

CORNELL UNIVERSITY LIBRARY



FROM

The Barnes Hall Licrary





The original of this book is in the Cornell University Library.

There are no known copyright restrictions in the United States on the use of the text.

WORD STUDIES

IN THE

NEW TESTAMENT

BY

MARVIN R. VINCENT, D.D.

BALDWIN PROFESSOR OF SACRED LITERATURE IN UNION THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY
NEW YORK

VOLUME II.

THE WRITINGS OF JOHN
THE GOSPEL
THE EPISTLES
THE APOCALYPSE

Τὰ ῥήματα ἃ ἐγὼ λελάληκα ὑμῖν πνεῦμά ἐστιν καὶ ζωή ἐστιν.
"The words that I have spoken unto you are spirit, and are life."

John vi.. 63

001010 11., 05

NEW YORK
CHARLES SCRIBNER'S SONS
1897

COPYRIGHT, 1889, BY CHARLES SCRIBNER'S SONS

LIST OF AUTHORS AND EDITIONS,

IN ADDITION TO THOSE CITED IN VOLUME I.

Ackermann, C.: The Christian Element in Plato and the Platonic Philosophy. Translated by S. R. Asbury. Edinburgh, 1861.

Alexander, W.: Commentary on the Epistles of John. Speaker's Commentary. New York.

Bigg, Charles: The Christian Platonists of Alexandria. Bampton Lectures for 1886. Oxford, 1886.

Bleek, Friedrich: An Introduction to the New Testament. Translated by W. Urwick. 2 vols. Edinburgh, 1883.

Bleek, Friedrich: Lectures on the Apocalypse. London, 1875. Crowe, J. A., and Cavalcaselle, G. B.: The Early Flemish Painters. 2d edition. London, 1872.

Curtius, Georg: Principles of Greek Etymology. 5th edition. Translated by A. S. Wilkins and E. B. England. London, 1886.

Cumming, John: Lectures on the Seven Churches of Asia Minor. London, 1852.

Delitzsch, Franz: Ein Tag in Capernaum. Leipzig, 1873.

Duffield, Samuel W.: English Hymns, their Authors and History. New York, 1886.

Düsterdieck, Friedrich: Kritisch Exegetisches Handbuch über die Offenbarung Johannis. Göttingen, 1859.

Ebrard, John H. A.: Commentary on the Epistles of John. Translated by W. B. Pope. Edinburgh, 1860.

Elliott, E. B.: Horæ Apocalypticæ. 5th edition. 4 vols. London, 1862.

Ewald, Heinrich: The History of Israel. Translated by J. E. Carpenter. 5 vols. London, 1874-78.

Field, Frederick: Otium Norvicense. Oxford, 1881.

Forbiger, Albertus: P. Virgilii Maronis Opera. 3 vols. Leipsic, 1852.

Fisher, George P.: Beginnings of Christianity. New York, 1877. Ford, James: The Gospel of John illustrated from Ancient and Modern Authors. London, 1852.

Ginsburg, Christian D.: Coheleth. London, 1861.

Godet, F.: Commentary on the Gospel of St. John. Translated by Crombie and Cusin. 3 vols. Edinburgh, 1876.

Holtzmann, Heinrich Julius: Die Pastoralbriefe. Leipzig, 1880. Huther, John Edward: Kritisch Exegetisches Handbuch über die drei Briefe des Johannes. Göttingen, 1855.

Lee, William: The Revelation of St. John. Speaker's Commentary. New York.

Luthardt, Christoph Ernst.: St. John the Author of the Fourth Gospel. Revised and Translated by Caspar Renè Gregory. Edinburgh, 1875.

Macdonald, James M.: The Life and Writings of St. John. New York, 1877.

Milligan, William: The Revelation of St. John. Baird Lecture for 1885. London, 1886.

Milligan, William: Commentary on the Revelation of John. Schaff's Popular Commentary. New York, 1883.

Milligan, William, and Moulton, William F.: Commentary on St. John's Gospel. Schaff's Popular Commentary. New York, 1880.

Meyer, Heinrich A. W.: Critical and Exegetical Handbook to the Gospel of John. Translated by Urwick and Crombie. Edited by A. C. Kendrick. New York, 1884.

Milman, Henry Hart: History of Latin Christianity. 8 vols. New York, 1860.

Nägelsbach, Carl Friedrich von : Homerische Theologie. Nürnberg, 1884.

Neale, J. M., and Littledale, R. F.: Translations of the Primitive Liturgies. 3d edition. London.

Plumptre, E. H.: The Epistles to the Seven Churches of Asia. Expositor. First Series. Vols. II., III.

Plumptre, E. H.: The Commedia and Canzoniere of Dante Alighieri. A New Translation. 2 vols. Boston, 1887.

Ritter, Heinrich: History of Ancient Philosophy. Translated by A. J. W. Morrison. 4 vols. London, 1846.

Sears, Edmund H.: The Fourth Gospel the Heart of Christ. Boston, 1874.

Sophocles, E. A.: Greek Lexicon of the Roman and Byzantine Periods, from B.c. 146 to A.D. 1100. Boston, 1870.

Spiess, Edmund: Logos Spermaticós. Leipzig, 1871.

Trench, Richard C.: Commentary on the Epistles to the Seven Churches in Asia. New York, 1864.

Vaughan, Robert Alfred: Hours with the Mystics. London. 2 vols. 3d edition. 1879.

Westcott, Brooke Foss: Commentary on the Gospel of John. Speaker's Commentary. New York, 1880.

Wetstein, John J.: Novum Testamentum Græcum, with various readings and commentary. 2 vols. Amsterdam, 1751.

ABBREVIATIONS.

A. V. Authorized Version.

Apoc. Apocalypse.

Cit. Cited.

= Equivalent to.

Expn. Explanation.

Lit. Literally.

Rev. Revised Version of the New Testament.

Rev. O. T. Revised Version of the Old Testament.

Sept. Septuagint Version of the Old Testament.

Sqq. Following.

Synop. Synoptists.

Tex. Rec. Received Text.

Tynd. Tyndale's Version of the New Testament.

Vulg. Vulgate or Latin Translation of the New Testament.

Wyc. Wycliffe's Version of the New Testament.

The phrase "only here in New Testament" refers to Greek words only.

WRITINGS OF JOHN.

INTRODUCTION.

THE life of John covers a period from near the beginning of the first century to the beginning of the second. He was a native of Galilee, and, according to tradition, of the town of Bethsaida, which was on the western shore of the Lake, not far from Capernaum and Chorazin. His father was Zebedee. His mother, Salome (Mark xvi. 1; Matt. xx. 20), was among the women who supported the Lord with their substance (Luke viii. 3), and attended Him to His crucifixion (Mark xv. 40). The family was not without worldly means. Zebedee was a fisherman, and had hired servants in his employ (Mark i. 20). Salome ministered to Jesus, and John seems to have had his own house (John xix. 27). He was, apparently, one of the disciples of John the Baptist; and while engaged in his father's craft, was found and called by Jesus (Matt. iv. 21; Mark i. 19). Of the two mentioned in John i. 35, only one, Andrew, is named (John i. 40); the other is commonly supposed to have been John, who suppresses his own name, as in other instances where he refers to himself (John xiv. 23; xviii. 15; xix. 26; xx. 2, 4, 8; xxi. 20).*

As soon as Jesus was made known to him, he became His enthusiastic disciple. His peculiar intimacy with our Lord is

^{*} That he names himself in the Apocalypse, and not in the Gospel, is sufficiently explained by the fact that the Gospel is historical, intended to bring Christ into prominence and to keep the writer out of view. The Apocalypse, on the other hand, is prophetic, and the name of the author is required as a voucher for the revelations granted him. Compare Dan. vii. 15; viii 27.

marked by the phrase "the disciple whom Jesus loved," and also by the fact that he was one of the three chosen to be with Him at certain special and momentous crises. He was admitted to the death-chamber of the ruler's daughter (Mark v. 37) and witnessed her restoration to life; he was present at the Transfiguration (Luke ix. 28), and with Peter and James was chosen by the Master to bear Him company during His agony in Gethsemane (Mark xiv. 33). He accompanied Jesus, after His arrest, into the palace of the High Priest, and secured entrance for Peter (John xviii. 15, 16). He stood by the cross with the mother of Jesus, and to his care Jesus committed her (John xix. 25-27). With Peter he ran to the sepulchre on the morning of the Resurrection at the summons of Mary Magdalene. entered the empty tomb, and saw and believed (John xx. 2-8). After the Resurrection he appears engaged in his former employment on the Lake of Galilee. He is the first to recognize the risen Lord standing upon the shore (John xxi. 7), and is. the subject of Peter's inquiry, "Lord, what shall this man do?" when he is seen by Peter to be following Jesus (John xxi. 20).

His apostolic activity was in the first thirty years after the Ascension. In Jerusalem his position among the apostles was not exceptionally prominent. At the time of the Stephanic persecution he remained with the other apostles at Jerusalem (Acts viii. 1); but when Paul, three years after his conversion, came to that city (Gal. 1. 18), he met there only Peter, and James the Lord's brother. From this, however, it does not follow that the remaining apostles had permanently departed from Jerusalem and settled elsewhere. In Gal. ii. 9, Paul alludes to John as having been present in Jerusalem at the time of the council (Acts xv.). The narrative in Acts does not mention him in connection with the council, but Paul, in the Galatian letter, refers to him as one of the pillars of the church with James and Cephas.

The commonly received tradition represents him as closing his apostolic career in Asia and at Ephesus. An old tradition affirms that he left Jerusalem twelve years after the death of Christ. In no case, therefore, did he go immediately to Ephesus. Definite notices as to his abode in the interval are wholly wanting. It is a noteworthy fact that the lives of so many of the world's leaders include spaces which remain a blank to the most careful biographer, and into which the world's curiosity can never penetrate. Such is the period of Paul's retirement in Arabia, of Dante's exile, and, to some extent, of Jesus' temptation in the wilderness. Some later traditions assert that he visited Parthia, and Jerome groundlessly conjectures that he had preached in Judæa. There is some plausibility in the supposition that he may have betaken himself to Antioch at the time of Paul's first missionary journey. It is certain that, much later, John was a successor of Paul at Ephesus. Neither at the departure of Paul to Miletus (Acts xx.) nor during the composition of the Ephesian letter is there a trace of John's presence at Ephesus.

Tradition is also agreed that John was banished to the isle of Patmos by the Roman authority. Ireneus says that he was banished in the reign of Domitian: another tradition assigns the exile to the reign of Nero. From this exile he was permitted to return, it is said, under Nerva (A.D. 96–98). The date of his death is unknown. Jerome places it sixty-eight years after the death of Christ.

The dominant characteristic of John's nature is contemplative receptivity. Every word of his Lord is taken into his deepest heart, held fast and pondered. "He does not ask, 'What shall I do?' but 'What does He do?'" Hence it is clear why the finest and subtilest flavor of Jesus' personality has been caught by him. With this receptiveness goes a power of impartation. "Every man," says Ebrard, "can see the sunset-glow on an Alp, but not every one can paint it." John, like a mirror, not only received but reflected. While the other Evangelists perceived that element of Jesus' teaching and work which produced the most immediate and striking outward results, as the Sermon on the Mount, for instance, John discerned the meaning and the bearing of less prominent incidents, such as the conversation at Jacob's well. Paul, like John, has the quality of inwardness, but Paul reasons where John contemplates. John is tenacious and intense; Paul equally so, but

more deft than John. John broods over his thought; Paul

thrusts and parries with it.

Yet John is no sentimentalist. He is not the lovely, effeminate youth of picture. His mental and moral fibre is strong. He received the title "Son of Thunder" from One who never misread character. Not irascible, as some have too hastily inferred from Luke ix. 54, he illustrates the peculiarity of many affectionate and contemplative natures, which flash into a startling impetuosity on occasions which appeal to their more radical view of truth and to their longer range of vision. John was incapable of half-enthusiasms and of suspended faith. To whatever he addressed himself, he was totus in illis. In his own way, he is no less plain-spoken and severe than Paul. He is direct where Paul is sometimes ironical. He is neither gentle nor vague in his language concerning those who deny that Jesus is the Christ (1 John ii. 22), nor concerning the lineage of him that committeth sin (1 John iii. 8) and the moral quality of him that hateth his brother (1 John iii. 15; iv. 20). In the Apocalypse he enters with profoundest sympathy into the divine indignation against evil, and contemplates with unfeigned joy its wholesale and crushing defeat and punishment. He seems to cheer the progress of the Conqueror upon the white horse. The issues between truth and falsehood, life and death, light and darkness, love and hatred are stated by him with a stern and decisive sharpness, and as absolute finalities. The quality of sin is conceived according to the scale of his adoring love for Christ. He deals with it as wickedness rather than as weakness, though not overlooking the latter. For him the victory of the Gospel is not a prophecy, but an accomplished fact. Faith overcometh the world. The overcoming Christ is already present in every believer.

Such a character would not have been adapted to Paul's work. It was not sufficiently versatile and many-sided. John had not Paul's pioneer instinct, his pushing activity, and his executive power. He was fitted to raise the superstructure rather than to lay foundations; to be a teacher rather than an evangelist. It was his to complete the teaching of the other apostles by unfolding the speculative mystery of the incarna-

tion and the secret of the inward union of the believer with Christ; to purge the Church from speculative error, and to hold up, over against the Gnostic caricature, the true image of the Son of Man.

The writings ascribed to John are the Gospel, three Epistles, and the Apocalypse or Revelation.

THE GOSPEL.

The nearly unanimous tradition of the Church assigns the fourth Gospel to John. It is unquestionably the work of a Jew, an eye-witness, and a disciple of Jesus. It was probably written toward the close of the first century, and therefore later than the other three Gospels. According to the earliest evidence, it was composed at Ephesus, at the request of John's intimate friends, who desired to have his oral teaching recorded for the permanent use of the Church.

There are three theories as to the motive of its composition. According to the first, known as the "supplementary" theory, John wrote the fourth Gospel as a supplement to its predecessors, in order to supply what was wanting in the synoptic narrative. This Gospel is indeed supplementary in fact, but not in motive. It is supplementary in that the writer constantly assumes that certain facts are already known to his readers, and adds other facts from his own special information. But the Gospel itself expressly disclaims all intention to be complete (xxi. 25), and is an original conception, both in form and substance, having a distinct plan of its own, and presenting a fresh aspect of the person and teaching of our Lord. "It is the picture of one who paints, not because others have failed to catch the ideal he would represent, but because his heart is full and he must speak."

The second theory is that the Gospel is "polemical" or controversial, designed to oppose the errors of the Nicolaitanes and of Cerinthus. But the Gospel is polemical only incidentally, as the presentation of the positive truth suggests particular points of error. The point of view is not controversial. The writer is moved by the pressure of his great theme to set

it forth in its positive aspects, and not with special reference to the errors of his time.

The third theory, known as the "irenic" or conciliatory, maintains that the Gospel was intended to reconcile divergent religious views, and to bring into their right relation truths which heresy perverted. The Gospel is conciliatory in fact, not from definite intent, but from the very nature of the subject—the Word made flesh, in which all religious controversies are reconciled. "Just as it rises above controversy while it condemus error, it preserves the characteristic truths which heresy isolated and misused. The fourth Gospel is the most complete answer to the manifold forms of Gnosticism, yet it was the writing most used by the Gnostics. It contains no formal narrative of the institution of sacraments, and yet it presents most fully the idea of sacraments. It sets forth with the strongest emphasis the failure of the ancient people, and yet it points out most clearly the significance of the dispensation which was committed to them. It brings the many oppositions -antitheses-of life and thought, and leaves them in the light of the one supreme fact which reconciles all, the Word became flesh; and we feel from first to last that this light is shining over the record of sorrow and triumph, of defeat and hope" (Westcott).

The object is distinctly stated in the Gospel itself. "These are written that ye may believe that Jesus is the Christ, the Son of God, and that, believing, ye may have life in His name" (xxi. 30, 31). The last of these three—life in Christ through faith—is the key to the two others. The readers were already disciples; and in vindicating the two propositions that Jesus is the Christ and that Jesus is the Son of God, the object was not to lead to the acknowledgment of His divine mission, but to exhibit these as the ground of a living communion of believers with God, and of a richer spiritual life. The character of the Gospel is predominantly historic. Even the doctrinal portions have a historic background and a historic embodiment. The doctrine, for instance, of the essential antagonism between light and darkness, it set forth in the narrative of the hostile attitude of the Jews toward Christ; and the dis-

cussions with them have their root and material in this same antagonism. The historical material is carefully selected with a view to its bearing on the particular conception of Christ's person and work which is announced in the Prologue. The history is the practical exhibition of the Logos-doctrine in the person and earthly life of the Man Jesus. The miracles are invariably termed signs, and are regarded as expressions and evidences of the divine personality of the worker.

The Gospel is characterized by the profuse employment of symbolism. This accords with its Hebrew fibre, and also, largely, with the nature of its subject. For not only was John a Jew, familiar with the symbolic economy and prophecy of the Old Testament, but Jesus, the central figure of his Gospel was, pre-eminently the fulfiller of the Law and of the Prophecies. Christ's own teaching, too, was largely symbolic; and John's peculiar, profound spiritual insight detected in His ordinary acts that larger meaning which belonged to them in virtue of Jesus' position as the representative of humanity; and that unity of the natural and spiritual worlds which was assumed in the utterances of our Lord in which the visible was used as the type of the invisible. "John," says Lange, "gives us not only a symbolism of the Old Testament word, of Old Testament institutions, histories, and persons; he gives also the symbolism of nature, of antiquity, of history and of personal life; hence the absolute symbolism, or the ideal import of all real existence, in significant outlines."

The relation of the Gospel to the Old Testament is pronounced. The centre of the Old Testament system is the manifestation of the glory of God—the Shekinah. John declares that this glory appears essentially in Christ. He recognizes the divine preparation among the nations for Christ's coming, and the special discipline of Israel with a view to the advent of the Messiah. In the Jews he discerns the special subjects of the Messianic economy. Nathanael is an Israelite indeed: the temple is the Father's house: salvation is from the Jews: the Jewish Scriptures testify of Christ: the testimonies to Christ are drawn from the three successive periods of the people's training—the patriarchal, the theocratic, and the monarchi-

cal: the Serpent in the wilderness prefigures Christ's "lifting up," and the Passover His own sacrifice as the Lamb of God.

The fourth Gospel is the only one of the four which is developed according to a prearranged and systematic plan. This plan may be generally described as the exhibition of "the parallel development of faith and unbelief through the historical presence of Christ." * The Gospel accordingly falls into two general divisions: the Prologue (i. 1-18); the Narrative (i. 19-xxi. 23). The narrative consists of two parts: the selfrevelation of Christ to the world (i. 19-xii. 50); the self-revelation of Christ to the disciples (xiii. xxi.). In the development of this plan the author dwells upon three pairs of ideas: witness and truth; glory and light; judgment and life. is the manifold attestation of the divine mission; there is the progressive manifestation of the inherent majesty of the Son: there is the continuous and necessary effect which this manifestation produces on those to whom it is made; and the narrative may be fairly described as the simultaneous unfolding of these three themes, into which the great theme of faith and unbelief is divided" (Westcott). The plan is foreshadowed in the Prologue. He who was the Word, in the beginning with God, by whom all things came into being, was life and lightthe light of men. To Him witness was borne by John, who was sent to testify of Him that all men might believe on Him. But though He was made flesh and dwelt among men, though He came unto His own home, though He was full of grace and truth, the world knew Him not, and His own people refused to receive Him. There were, however, those who did receive Him; and to such He gave power to become sons of God through faith in His name. They became such, not in a physical sense, not of blood, nor of the will of man, but of God. They received of His fulness.

Accordingly the Gospel treats of the nature of Christ, and of the witness borne to Christ by John, by the disciples, and by miracles. It goes on to describe the conflict between the eternal Light and the darkness as embodied historically in the persist-

^{*} I follow the general arrangement of Westcott.

ent opposition of the Jews to Jesus. He came to them and they received Him not. Then the other aspect is presented—the blessing of those who did receive Him, the impartation of sonship and the consequent privilege of communion with the divine nature. From the thirteenth to the end of the seventeenth chapter is described Christ's revelation of Himself to His disciples in ministries of love and in confidential discourse. The darkness did not overcome the light. The apparent defeat through death was converted into victory through resurrection. This victory of the light is unfolded from the eighteenth to the end of the twentieth chapter, in the story of the betrayal, the passion, and the resurrection. The twenty-first chapter forms an Epilogue in which the divine light again shines forth in miracle, ministry, and counsel, before the final departure to the Father.

RELATION TO THE SYNOPTIC GOSPELS.

The fourth Gospel exhibits marked differences from the others both in chronological arrangement and in the selection of material. As regards the latter, it contains much that is peculiar to itself, and falls in with the Synoptists only in a few sections.

But, while independent, it is not contradictory of the Synoptic Gospels. All the four Gospels are consciously based upon the same great facts; and the author of the fourth owns and confirms the first three. The incidents common to the fourth Gospel and all the Synoptists are, the baptism of John; the feeding of the five thousand; the triumphal entry into Jerusalem; the last supper and the passion and resurrection. John, with Matthew and Mark, relates the walking on the sea and the anointing at Bethany.

John's Gospel also implies acquaintance with incidents which he does not relate. Such are the circumstances of Christ's baptism; the position and character of Simon Peter; Christ's early home at Nazareth and later residence at Capernaum; the number of the disciples; the date of the Baptist's imprisonment; the Ascension, etc. The same imagery appears, in the figures of the bride and the bridegroom, the harvest, the ser-

vant, the vine. The same sayings occur, and verbal and other coincidences are frequent.*

The inner coincidences are still more striking. John's portrait of Jesus, for instance, is, in many particulars, unique. It is fuller, more subtile, and indicates a closer intimacy. John deals with His person, where Matthew and Luke deal with His offices. In Matthew He is the fulfiller of the law; in John He foreshadows the grander and richer economy of the Spirit. Nevertheless, John's Christ is the same figure which appears in the lines of the Synoptists. In both He is the teacher, the meek and lowly one, the worker of miracles of power and mercy. In both He is plain of speech toward those who would become His disciples, the hater of hypocrisy, the reader of men's hearts.

Similar coincidences appear in the portraits of prominent disciples, notably of Peter. Though appearing in some scenes not noted by the Synoptists, the Peter of their Gospels is easily recognized in the portrait by his fellow-disciple. He is the same combination of impulsive boldness and cowardice; of affectionateness and brusqueness; as quickly responsive to love as to anger; as prompt to leap into the lake at the sight of his Lord, as to smite Malchus.

The inner coincidences are also to be discerned in John's assumption of facts recorded by the other evangelists, so that the coincidence sometimes appears in what he does not record. Giving no details of the birth of Christ, like Matthew and Luke, he tells us that the Word became flesh. The childhood, with its subjection to parental authority appears in the story of the wedding at Cana. While the Synoptists dwell upon the event of the incarnation, he dwells upon the doctrine. The sacraments of Baptism and of the Eucharist, the institution of which he does not relate, are assumed as familiar in the conversation with Nicodemus and in the discourse at Capernaum. The ascension is not described, but is predicted in Christ's words to Mary. Similarly, the work of Jesus in Galilee, which

^{*} For a list of these coincidences see Westcott's Introduction to his Commentary on the Gospel, in the Speaker's Commentary.

John does not narrate, is presupposed in the sixth and seventh chapters. The anointing at Bethany is assumed to be known, as is the hearing of Jesus before Caiaphas.

With these coincidences marked differences appear. Setting aside the omission by Mark of the Gospel of the infancy, the Synoptic narrative falls into three parts: 1, The ministry of the Baptist, the baptism and temptation of Jesus. 2, The return of Jesus to Galilee, followed by a series of connected narratives concerning His teaching and miracles in this and surrounding districts, without any intimation that, during this time, He also visited Judæa and Jerusalem. 3, Hereupon all the three pass at once from the last journey of Jesus to Jerusalem to the Passover, at which He was crucified. Hence, as Dean Alford remarks, "had we only their accounts, we could never, with any certainty, have asserted that He went to Jernsalem during His public life, until His time was come to be delivered They do not, it is true, exclude such a supposition, but rather, perhaps, imply it. It would not, however, have been gathered from their narrative with any historical precision."

Turning now to John's Gospel, we find Christ's ministry in Galilee between the Baptism and the Passion interrupted by journeys to Jerusalem. He goes up to the Passover, on which occasion occur the cleansing of the temple and the visit of Nicodemus (ii. 13; iii. 1-21). A second visit is made to an unnamed feast of the Jews (v. 1), during which He heals the impotent man at Bethesda, excites thereby the hostility of the Jews, and delivers the discourse in v. 17-47. He goes up again at the Feast of Tabernacles (vii. 10), and, ten months later, appears at the Feast of Dedication (x. 22). An interval is spent on the other side of the Jordan (x. 40), at Ephraim in the wilderness of Judæa (xi. 53-4), and at Bethany (xi., xii. 1), after which He makes His triumphal entry into Jerusalem (xii. 12 sqq.). According to John, therefore, between Christ's last journey from Galilee to Jerusalem and His triumphal entry, there is an interval of several months, spent partly in Jerusalem and partly in the neighboring districts; while according to the Synoptists it seems that He went from Galilee to Jerusalem to the last Passover only a short time before it began; and that He had previously remained continuously in Galilee or in the neighborhood, having taken up His abode there at the beginning of His public ministry.

In the Synoptists the scene of Christ's work is almost exclusively Galilee, while John mentions only five events connected with the Galilæan ministry. On the other hand, the fourth Gospel assumes a knowledge of Jesus' activity in Galilee and Peræa (vi. 1; vii. 1; v. 11, 52; x. 40).

The difference between John and the Synoptists also appears in the form of the narrative. The latter represent Jesus' teaching as dealing mainly with the humble peasantry. It is proverbial, popular, abounding in parable, and the discourses are brief. John represents Christ as speaking in long and profoundly thoughtful discourses. While John has nothing answering to the Sermon on the Mount and the groups of parables, the other evangelists have nothing answering to the interviews with Nicodemus, the Samaritan woman, and the disciples before the Passover. In John the discourses are more. dramatic and dialectic; in the Synoptists, proverbial, parabolic, and prophetic. Yet John's account of Jesus' teaching is not wanting in short paradoxical sayings, such as abound in the Synoptists (see ii. 19; iv. 32, 34, 35; vii. 33; v. 17; vi. 27, 33, 62); nor, though no parable is worked out by John, are parabolic sayings wanting, such as the Good Shepherd, the Vine, the Living Water, and the Bread of Heaven.

In another and deeper aspect his Gospel stands related to the others as completing. He alone has seized and preserved certain sides of the life and teaching of the Lord, such as His utterances as to His eternal relation to the Father and His eternal unity with Him (iii. 13 sqq.; v. 17 sqq.; vi. 33, 51; vii. 16, 28 sqq.; viii. 58, and elsewhere). It is to John, in short, that we owe the view of the speculative side of Christ's work; while as regards the relation of believers to their Lord, John gives us those deep and comforting words concerning the mystical unity and community of life between Himself and His disciples, into which they will enter through the Holy Spirit.

Yet these deeper and more mystical views were not altogether the outcome of John's characteristic personality. They

were also toned and shaped by the peculiar conditions of the Church and of the religious thought of his time. The conflict of Christianity was no longer with Judaistic error; no longer between the Gospel and the Law; between circumcision and uncircumcision; but with an essentially heathen Gnosticism which appealed to the Church with the claim of a profound insight into Christianity, and sought to wrest the Gospel to its own service. It has already been remarked that the aim of the fourth Gospel was not distinctively polemic. John was impelled to write by the pressure upon his own soul of the truth "God manifest in the flesh," rather than by the aggressions of heresy; but none the less the utterances of a Cerinthus * lent sharpness to the lines of the Apostle's portrait of the Son of Man, and no more impressive answer to such teaching could have been given than John furnished in the words of the Lord himself concerning His own pre-existence and eternal Godhead, and in His testimony that the Father has created all things through the Word. (See i. 3, 14, 33, 34, 49; iii. 13, 14; v. 23, 26; vi. 51, 62; viii. 58; xiii. 23 sqq.; xvii. 1, 2, 16, 19; xviii. 6, 11, 37.)

THE EPISTLES.

It is generally conceded that the first Epistle was written at Ephesus. In the Latin Church the opinion prevailed that it was primarily addressed to the Parthians; but ecclesiastical tradition knows of no mission of John to the Parthians, St. Thomas being supposed to have carried the Gospel to them.

Its exact destination, however, is of little consequence. "Its coloring is moral rather than local." It is a unique picture of a Christian society, the only medium of the Spirit's work among

^{*} Cerinthus taught that the world was not made by the supreme God, but by another and remote power which is over the universe. Jesus was not born of the Virgin by miraculous conception, but was the Son of Joseph and Mary by natural generation, though specially endowed with justice and wisdom. After the baptism of Jesus the Christ descended upon Him in the form of a dove, from that sovereign power which is over all things. He then announced the unknown Father and wrought miracles; but toward the end of His ministry the Christ departed from Jesus, and Jesus suffered and rose from the dead, while the Christ remained impassible as a spiritual being.

men. There is no trace of persecution: "the world was perilous by its seductions rather than by its hostility;" the dangers were within rather than without.

These facts give character to the Epistle in two ways: First, the missionary work of the Church falls into the background in the Apostle's thought. The world is overcome by faith as represented in the Church, and the Gospel is proclaimed by the very existence of the Church, and effectively proclaimed in proportion to the Church's purity and fidelity. Secondly, attention is concentrated upon the central idea of the message itself rather than upon the relation of the message to other systems. The great question is the person and work of the Lord.

The peculiar form of error combated in the Epistle is Docetic and Cerinthian.* In this teaching sin and atonement have no place. Christ came into the world, not to redeem it by the remission of sins, but to illuminate a few choice intellects with philosophy: Jesus is not God manifest in the flesh: Jesus and the Christ are distinct: Jesus' humanity was not real, but a phantasm. Against these views John asserts that no spirit is of God who denies that Jesus Christ is come in the flesh (1 John iv. 2, 3): that he that denieth that Jesus is the Christ is a liar, and that the denial of the Son involves the rejection of the Father (ii. 22, 23): that he who denies that he is sinful deceives himself, and impugns the veracity of God (i. 8, 10). The Word of life which he proclaims was the real human manifestation of God, the human Christ whom he and his fellow-disciples had seen and heard and touched (i. 1, 2). Jesus is the propitiation for sin (ii. 2). The world is not overcome by knowledge, but by faith that Jesus is the Son of God (v. 4, 5).

The principal evidence for John's authorship of the Epistle is internal, drawn from its resemblance to the Gospel in vocabulary, style, thought, and scope. There is the same repetition of fundamental words and phrases, such as *truth*, *love*, *light*, *born of God*, *abiding in God*. There is the same simplicity of

^{. *} The Docetes held that the body of our Lord was an immaterial phantom. Their name is derived from $\delta o \kappa \epsilon \omega$ (dokeo) to seem.

construction; the same rarity of particles; the employment of the simple connective (καὶ, and) instead of a particle of logical sequence (iii. 3, 16); the succession of sentences and clauses without particles (ii. 22–24; iv. 4–6; 7–10; 11–13; ii. 5, 6, 9, 10), and the bringing of sentences into parallelism by the repetition of clauses (i. 6, 8, 10; v. 18, 20). Verbal coincidences abound. Such words as κόσμος (world), φῶς (light), σκοτία (darkness), φανεροῦν (to manifest), ζωὴ αἰώνιος (eternal life), ὁ ἀληθινὸς Θέος (the real God), ὁ μονογενὴς νίός (the only-begotten Son), etc., are common to both. Coincidences of expression are also numerous. Compare, for example,

1 John i. 2, 3.	Gospel iii. 11.
4.	xvi. 24.
ii. 11.	xii. 35.
14.	v. 38.
17.	viii. 35.
iii. 5.	46.
8.	44.
13.	xv. 18.
14.	v. 24.
16.	x. 15.
iv. 6.	viii. 47.
v. 4.	xvi. 23.

The Epistle presupposes the Gospel. The differences are such as would naturally appear between a historian and a teacher interpreting the history. This may be seen by a comparison of the Prologue of the Gospel with the Epistle. The Prologue and the Epistle stand in the same relation to the discourses, as appears from a comparison of the thoughts on life, light, and truth in the Prologue with passages in the discourses. Thus compare, on Life, Gospel v. 26; xi. 25; xiv. 6; Prologue i. 4; Epistle i. 1; v. 20. On Light, Gospel viii. 12; xii. 46; Prologue i. 4, 7, 9; Epistle i. 6, 7; ii. 8. On Truth, Gospel viii. 32; xiv. 6; Prologue i. 9, 14, 17; Epistle i. 6, 8, 10; ii. 4, 8, 21, 27; iii. 19; iv. 1, 6; v. 20.

The theme of the Gospel is, Jesus is the Christ in process of

manifesting His glory. In the Epistle the manifestation of the glory is assumed as the basis of the exhortation to believers to manifest it in their life. The doctrine of propitiation, which is unfolded to Nicodemus, is applied in 1 John iii. 1. The promise of the Paraclete in the Gospel is assumed in the Epistle as fulfilled (ii. 20). The Epistle deals with the fruits of that love which is commanded in the Gospel. (Compare Gospel xiii. 34; xv. 12, and Epistle iii. 11; iv. 7, 11; iii. 14; iv. 12, 20, 21.) In the Gospel the divine glory is prominent; in the Epistle, Christ's humanity. The doctrine of propitiation and cleansing is more fully treated in the Epistle (ii. 2; iii. 16; iv. 10; i. 7, 9).

The epistolary character does not appear in the form. It is without address or subscription, and bears no direct trace of its author or of its destination. But it is instinct with personal feeling (i. 4; ii. 12), personal experience (i. 1), and appreciation of the circumstances of the persons addressed (ii. 12, 22, 27; iii. 2, 13; iv. 1, 4; v. 18).

The Second and Third Epistles contain no direct indication of the time or the place at which they were written. They were probably composed at Ephesus. That the two are the work of the same author is apparent from their agreement in style and spirit. As related to the First Epistle, the resemblance between the second and first in language and thought is closer than between the first and third.

THE APOCALYPSE

This document has given rise to voluminous controversy as to its author, its origin, its purpose, and its interpretation. It has been held to be a forgery in the name of John; to have been composed by another writer in the apostle's name, not in order to deceive, but in order to record an oral revelation of John; or to have been the work of another John. Some who deny that John wrote the Gospel, have attributed the Apocalypse to him, and the authenticity of the latter is maintained by some prominent rationalistic critics.

The Apostle John was banished to the Island of Patmos, probably by the Emperor Domitian, A.D. 95 or 96, and the

book, composed either during his exile, or, as is more likely, after his return to Ephesus, contains the revelation given him there in a series of visions. It is directly addressed to the Seven Churches of Proconsular Asia; the number seven being representative, and not including all the Asiatic Churches. Its design was to encourage the Church during that trying period, predicted by Jesus himself, between the close of direct revelation and the second coming of the Lord. This encouragement centres in the return of Jesus to give His people eternal life and to trample down His foes. As related to the progress of doctrine in the New Testament, it represents the final consummation in the redeemed Church, the heavenly Jerusalem, which is foreshadowed in the rise and growth of the Apostolic Church.

The style is figurative and symbolical. It deals with principles rather than with particular events. To the neglect of this characteristic, and the corresponding attempt to link the symbols and prophecies with specific historical incidents or personages, are due most of the extravagances of interpretation. No satisfactory argument against its authenticity can be drawn from its contents as related to the other writings of John. It proclaims the same eternal truths which are asserted and vindicated in the Gospel and in the Epistles-the sovereignty of God, the conflict of sin with righteousness, the temporary triumph of evil, and the final, decisive victory of holiness. As in the other writings, Christ is the central figure, the conqueror of sin and death, the crowning joy of the redeemed, and the object of their adoration. It emphasizes the divine hatred of sin and the certainty of the divine judgment of the wicked and of the future bliss of believers in Jesus. The main idea of the Gospel and of the Apocalypse is the same—that of a decisive conflict between the powers of good and evil.

The symbolism of the Apocalypse is Jewish, and not Greek or Roman. It is pervaded with the style and imagery of the Old Testament, and is moulded by its historical and prophetical books. "The book," says Professor Milligan, "is absolutely steeped in the memories, the incidents, the thoughts, and the language of the Church's past. To such an extent is this

the case that it may be doubted whether it contains a single figure not drawn from the Old Testament, or a single complete sentence not more or less built up of materials brought from the same source. . . It is a perfect mosaic of passages from the Old Testament, at one time quoted verbally, at another referred to by distinct allusion; now taken from one scene in Jewish history, and now again from two or three together." Thus the heresy of the Nicolaitanes is the heresy of Balaam (ii. 14): the evil in the Church of Thyatira is personified in Jezebel (ii. 20): the angelic captain in the war against the dragon is the Michael of Daniel (xii. 7): Jernsalem, Monnt Zion, Babylon, the Euphrates, Sodom, and Egypt are symbols of the holy bliss of the saints, of the transgressors against God, and of the judgment of the wicked (xxi. 2; xiv. 1; xvi. 19; ix. 14; xi. 8). The battle of Har-Magedon carries us back to the great slaughters in the plain of Megiddo (Judges v. 19; Ps. lxxxiii. 9; 2 Kings xxiii. 29). The promises to the churches are given under the figure of the tree of life, the hidden manna, the white stone, the iron sceptre, the pillar in the temple of God (ii. 7, 17, 27, 28; iii. 5, 12, 20). Heaven is described under the image of the tabernacle in the wilderness (xi. 1, 19; vi. 9; viii. 3; iv. 6). The plagues of ch. viii. are the plagues of Egypt: the crossing of the Red Sea and the destruction of Korah are blended in the representation of the deliverance of God's people (xii. 15, 16). Of the Prophets, Haggai contributes the earthquake of ch. vi., and Joel the sun changed into the blackness of sackcloth and the moon into blood: Isaiah the falling stars, the fig-tree casting her untimely fruit, and the heavens departing as a scroll: Ezekiel the scorpions of ch. ix., the description of the New Jerusalem in ch. xxi., the roll in ch. v., and the little book in ch. x.: Zechariah the opening of the seals in ch. vi. and the olive trees in ch. xi. The vision of the glorified Redeemer (i. 12-20) is combined from Exodus, Zechariah, Daniel, Ezekiel, Isaiah, and the Psalms.

Along with these coincidences there are certain contrasts, notably as respects the doctrine of Christ's coming, which, in the Gospel and Epistles lies in the background, while it is the main theme of the Apocalypse. The Apocalypse treats the

impending judgment as external, the Gospel as spiritual. The Apocalypse describes the triumph of Christianity under the imagery of Judaism; the consumnation being an ideal Jerusalem and an ideal worship; while in the Gospel, Judaism appears in opposition to Christ, "standing without, isolated and petrified, and not taken up with it, quickened and glorified."

The symbols of the book are drawn from objects familiar to the writer—the locusts, the eagles, the millstone, the olive and palm and vine.

The principal objection urged against the common authorship of the Gospel and the Apocalypse, is the difference in language and style. This difference must be frankly admitted. "The language," says Dr. Davidson, "departs materially from the usual Greek of the New Testament, presenting anomalies, incorrectnesses, peculiar constructions, and awkward dispositions of words, which have no parallel. . . The language is so thoroughly Hebraistic as to neglect the usual rules of Greek." By many eminent critics these differences are regarded as irreconcilable on the assumption of a common authorship.

On the other hand, it may be urged that these differences are largely intentional; that the anthor departs from common usage under the peculiar demands of his subject, arising from the conditions under which he writes, and his intent to conform to the Old Testament style of address; and further, that his familiarity with correct usage is shown by other passages in the same book. The Apocalypse, moreover, contains many of the words which are peculiar to the Gospel and Epistles, such as to witness, to tabernacle, to keep, to overcome, to name as the expression of character, true (aln Sivos) in the sense of real; and the figures of hungering and thirsting, the manna, the living water, the shepherd and the sheep. It is, indeed, answered that, where the same words occur, they are used in a different sense; but many of these alleged differences disappear upon closer examination. The Hebrew character is only superficially different from that of the Gospel, which is Hebrew in spirit, though the Greek is much purer, and "the absence of solecisms arises from the avoidance of idiomatic expressions." *

STYLE AND DICTION OF JOHN.

John's style in the Gospel and Epistles is marked by simplicity and ease. It is plain without elegance, and the diction is comparatively pure so far as words and grammar are concerned, but animated with a Hebrew genius. Godet describes the style as characterized by "a childlike simplicity and transparent depth, a holy melancholy, and a vivacity not less holy; above all, the sweetness of a pure and gentle love."

The vocabulary is meagre. The same expressions continually recur. Thus we find $\phi\hat{\omega}s$ (light), 23 times; $\delta\delta\xi a$, $\delta\delta\xi\dot{\alpha}\xi\epsilon\sigma$ - $\vartheta a\iota$ (glory, to be glorified), 42; $\xi\omega\dot{\eta}$, $\xi\hat{\eta}\nu$ (life, to live), 52; $\mu a\rho$ - $\tau \nu \rho \epsilon \hat{\nu}\nu$, $\mu a\rho \tau \nu \rho \iota \dot{\alpha}$ (to witness, testimony), 47; $\gamma \iota \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ (to know), 55; $\kappa \dot{\delta} \sigma \mu \sigma s$ (world), 78; $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\nu} \epsilon \iota \nu$ (to believe), 98; $\tilde{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \sigma \nu$ (work), 23; $\tilde{\sigma} \nu \sigma \mu a$ (name), and $\tilde{\alpha} \lambda \eta \vartheta \epsilon \iota \dot{\alpha}$ (truth), each 25; $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \iota \sigma \nu$ (sign), 17.

The meagreness of the vocabulary, however, is compensated by its richness. The few constantly recurring words are symbols of fundamental and eternal ideas. "They are not purely abstract notions, but powerful spiritual realities, which may be studied under a multitude of aspects. If the author has only a few terms in his vocabulary, these terms may be compared to pieces of gold with which great lords make payment" (Godet).

A similar sameness is apparent in the constructions. These are usually simple, plain, and direct. The sentences are short and are co-ordinated, following each other by a kind of parallelism as in Hebrew poetry. Thus where other writers would employ particles of logical connection, he uses the simple connective $\kappa a \lambda$ (and). For example in ch. i. 10, John means to say that though Jesus was in the world, yet the world knew

^{*} It is, of course, foreign to the scope of this work to discuss this, with other Johannine questions, critically. Such a discussion must assume the reader's acquaintance with Greek. The discussion concerning the differences in language will be found in Professor Milligan's excellent Lectures on the Revelation of St. John, Appendix II.

Him not; but he states the fact in two distinct and independent propositions: "He was in the world, and the world knew Im not." So in viii. 20. Jesus spake in the treasury, teaching in the temple, and yet, though He appeared and taught thus publicly, no one laid hands on Him. John writes: "These words spake Jesus as He taught in the temple, and no man laid hands on Him." He uses and, where the antithetic but might be expected (i. 5; iii. 11; xv. 24). There is also a frequent absence of connecting particles. There is not, for instance, a single one in the first seventeen verses of ch. xv. Out of the wealth of Greek particles, John uses only five. He abounds in contrasts or antithetic parallelisms without connecting links. Thus, "the law was given by Moses: grace and truth came by Jesus Christ" (i. 17): "No one ever saw God: the only-begotten Son revealed Him" (i. 18). Compare viii. 23; xv. 5, etc. This simple co-ordination of clauses is assisted by the repetition of a marked word or phrase, so that a connection between two statements is established and the idea carried forward in a new direction (see x. 11; xv. 13 sqq.; xv. 1, 5; xvii. 14 sqq.; vi. 39, 40, 44).

The narrative is direct. Even the words of others are given directly and not obliquely. Instead of saying "This is the witness of John when the Jews sent to ask him who he was, and he confessed that he was not the Christ"-John says. "This is the witness of John when the Jews sent to ask him Who art thou? and he confessed I am not the Christ" (i. 19). Compare vii. 40 sqq.; ii. 3 sqq.; iv. 24 sqq.; v. 10 sqq.; vi. 14; viii. 22; x. 2 sqq. Illustrative details are not wrought into the texture of the narrative, but are interjected as parentheses or distinct statements (see vi. 10; iv. 6; x. 22; xiii. 30; xviii. 40). John's style is circumstantial. An action which, by other writers, is stated as complex, is analyzed by him and its components stated separately. Thus, instead of the usual Greek idiom, "Jesus answering said," John writes, "Jesus answered and said," thus making both factors of the act equally prominent (see xii. 44; vii. 28; i. 15, 25). This peculiarity is further illustrated by the combination of the positive and negative expression of the same trnth (see i. 3, 20; ii. 24; iii. 16; x. 5;

xviii. 20; 1 John 1, 6; ii. 4, 27). The detachment, however, is only superficial. The inner connection is closely held in the writer's mind, and is impressed upon the reader by that constant iteration which, upon a hasty view, savors of monotony. but which serves to represent the central thought in its manysidedness, and to place it in its commanding relation to subordinate thoughts. His frequent use of the particle oùv (therefore) directs attention to the sequence of events or ideas (ii. 22; iii. 25, 29; iv. 1, 6, 46; vi. 5; vii. 25; viii. 12, 21, 31, 38; x. 7; xii. 1, 3, 9, 17, 21). The phrase in order that (wa), marking an object or purpose, is of frequent occurrence, and exhibits the characteristic of John's mind to regard things in their moral and providential relations. Thus iv. 34: "My meat is in order that I may do the will of Him that sent me;" the emphasis lying not on the process, but on the end. Compare v. 36; vi. 29; viii. 56; xii. 23; xiii. 34; xvii. 3.

The subject or the significant word of a sentence is often repeated, especially in dialogues (which are characteristic of John's Gospel), where, by the constant repetition of the names of the parties they are kept clearly before the reader's mind (see ii. 18; iv. 7 sqq.; viii. 48 sqq.; x. 23 sqq. Also i. 1, 7, 10; iv. 22; v. 31; vi. 27; xi. 33).

The demonstrative pronoun is habitually introduced to recall the subject, when a clause has intervened between the subject and the verb (see xv. 5; vii. 18; x. 1; xii. 48; xiv. 21, 26; xv. 26). The personal pronoun is frequently employed, especially that of the first person. "In this respect," says Westcott, "much of the teaching of the Lord's discourses depends upon the careful recognition of the emphatic reference to His undivided personality" (see viii. 14, 16; v. 31).

The quotations are commonly from the Septuagint, and never immediately from the Hebrew.

THE GOSPEL ACCORDING TO JOHN.

PROLOGUE.*

Vv. 1-18. "The Prologue is summed up in three thoughts, which also determine its plan: The Logos: the Logos disowned: the Logos acknowledged and regained. These three fundamental aspects correspond with the three principal aspects of the history as related in this gospel: the revelation of the Logos; the unbelief of the Jewish people; the faith of the disciples. Between the first part (vv. 1-5) and the second (vv. 6-11), ver. 5 forms a transition, as vv. 12, 13 connect the second part with the third (vv. 12-18), which, in its turn, is in close connection with the first. The relation of this last part to the first, indicated by the similarity of thought and expression which may be observed between ver. 18 and ver. 1, may be expressed thus: The Person whom the Apostles beheld, who was proclaimed by John the Baptist, and in whom the Church believed (vv. 12-18), is none other than He whose existence and supreme greatness have been indicated by the title Logos. The Church possesses, therefore, in its Redeemer the Creator of all things, the Essential Light, the Principle of Life, God himself. The original link between man and God, which sin had impaired (ver. 5), and which unbelief completely broke (ver. 11), is for the believer perfectly restored; and, by means of faith, the law of Paradise (ver. 4) becomes once more the law of human history (vv. 16-18). Thus the Prologue forms a compact, organic whole, of which the germinal thought is this: by the Incarnation believers are

^{*} I give the arrangement of the Prologue according to Godet.

restored to that communion with the Word, and that living relation with God, of which man had been deprived by sin."

FIRST DIVISION OF THE PROLOGUE. THE WORD (1-5).

1. In the beginning was $(\vec{\epsilon}\nu \ \vec{a}\rho\chi\hat{\eta} \ \vec{\eta}\nu)$. With evident allusion to the first word of Genesis. But John elevates the phrase from its reference to a point of time, the beginning of creation, to the time of absolute pre-existence before any creation, which is not mentioned until ver. 3. This beginning had no beginning (compare ver. 3; xvii. 5; 1 Ep. i. 1; Eph. i. 4; Prov. viii. 23; Ps. xc. 2). This heightening of the conception, however, appears not so much in apyń, beginning, which simply leaves room for it, as in the use of $\hat{\eta}\nu$, was, denoting absolute existence (compare εἰμί, I am, John viii. 58) instead of ἐγένετο, came into being, or began to be, which is used in vv. 3, 14, of the coming into being of creation and of the Word becoming flesh. Note also the contrast between $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ $\dot{a}\rho\chi\hat{\eta}$, in the beginning, and the expression $d\pi' d\rho \chi \eta_s$, from the beginning, which is common in John's writings (viii. 44; 1 Ep. ii. 7, 24; iii. 8) and which leaves no room for the idea of eternal pre-existence. "In Gen. i. 1, the sacred historian starts from the beginning and comes downward, thus keeping us in the course of time. Here he starts from the same point, but goes upward, thus taking us into the eternity preceding time" (Milligan and Moulton). See on Col. i. 15. This notion of "beginning" is still further heightened by the subsequent statement of the relation of the Logos to the eternal God. The apyn must refer to the creation—the primal beginning of things; but if, in this beginning, the Logos already was, then he belonged to the order of eternity. "The Logos was not merely existent, however, in the beginning, but was also the efficient principle, the beginning of the beginning. The ἀρχή (beginning), in itself and in its operation dark, chaotic, was, in its idea and its principle, comprised in one single luminous word, which was the Logos. And when it is said the Logos was in this beginning, His eternal existence is already expressed, and His eternal position in the Godhead already indicated thereby" (Lange). "Eight times in the narrative of creation (in Genesis) there occur, like the refrain of a hymn, the words, And God said. John gathers up all those sayings of God into a single saying, living and endowed with activity and intelligence, from which all divine orders emanate: he finds as the basis of all spoken words, the speaking Word" (Godet).

The Word ($\delta \lambda \delta \gamma \sigma s$): Logos. This expression is the keynote and theme of the entire gospel. Abyos is from the root $\lambda \epsilon \gamma$, appearing in $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$, the primitive meaning of which is to lay: then, to pick out, gather, pick up: hence to gather or put words together, and so, to speak. Hence $\lambda \delta \gamma \sigma s$ is, first of all, a collecting or collection both of things in the mind, and of words by which they are expressed. It therefore signifies both the outward form by which the inward thought is expressed, and the inward thought itself, the Latin oratio and ratio: compare the Italian ragionare, "to think" and "to speak."

As signifying the outward form it is never used in the merely grammatical sense, as simply the name of a thing or act (emos, ονομα, ρημα), but means a word as the thing referred to: the material, not the formal part: a word as embodying a conception or idea. See, for instance, Matt. xxii. 46; 1 Cor. xiv. 9, 19. Hence it signifies a saying, of God, or of man (Matt. xix. 21, 22; Mark v. 35, 36): a decree, a precept (Rom. ix. 28; Mark vii. 13). The ten commandments are called in the Septuagint, oi δέκα λόγοι, "the ten words" (Exod. xxxiv. 28), and hence the familiar term decalogue. It is further used of discourse: either of the act of speaking (Acts xiv. 12), of skill and practice in speaking (Eph. vi. 19), or of continuous speaking (Luke iv. 32, 36). Also of doctrine (Acts xviii. 15; 2 Tim. iv. 15), specifically the doctrine of salvation through Christ (Matt. xiii. 20-23; Philip. i. 14); of narrative, both the relation and the thing related (Acts i. 1; John xxi. 23; Mark i. 45); of matter under discussion, an affair, a case in law (Acts xv. 6; xix. 38).

As signifying the inward thought, it denotes the faculty of thinking and reasoning (Heb. iv. 12); regard or consideration (Acts xx. 24); reckoning, account (Philip. iv. 15, 17; Heb. iv. 13); cause or reason (Acts x. 29).

John uses the word in a peculiar sense, here, and in ver. 14; and, in this sense, in these two passages only. The nearest approach to it is in Apoc. xix. 13, where the conqueror is called the Word of God; and it is recalled in the phrases Word of Life, and the Life was manifested (1 John i. 1, 2). Compare Heb. iv. 12. It was a familiar and current theological term when John wrote, and therefore he uses it without explanation.

OLD TESTAMENT USAGE OF THE TERM.

The word here points directly to Gen. 1, where the act of creation is effected by God speaking (compare Ps. xxxiii. 6). The idea of God, who is in his own nature hidden, revealing himself in creation, is the root of the Logos-idea, in contrast with all materialistic or pantheistic conceptions of creation. This idea develops itself in the Old Testament on three lines. (1) The Word, as embodying the divine will, is personified in Hebrew poetry. Consequently divine attributes are predicated of it as being the continuous revelation of God in law and prophecy (Ps. xxxiii. 4; Is. xl. 8; Ps. cxix. 105). The Word is a healer in Ps. cvii. 20; a messenger in Ps. cxlvii. 15; the agent of the divine decrees in Isa. lv. 11.

(2) The personified wisdom (Job xxviii. 12 sq.; Prov. viii., ix.). Here also is the idea of the revelation of that which is hidden. For wisdom is concealed from man: "he knoweth not the price thereof, neither is it found in the land of the living. depth saith, It is not in me; and the sea saith, It is not with It cannot be gotten for gold, neither shall silver be weighed for the price thereof. It is hid from the eyes of all living, and kept close from the fowls of the air" (Job xxviii.). Even Death, which unlocks so many secrets, and the underworld, know it only as a rumor (ver. 22). It is only God who knows its way and its place (ver. 23). He made the world, made the winds and the waters, made a decree for the rain and a way for the lightning of the thunder (vv. 25, 26). He who possessed wisdom in the beginning of his way, before His works of old, before the earth with its depths and springs and mountains, with whom was wisdom as one brought up with Him (Prov. viii. 26-31), declared it. "It became, as it were, objective, so that He beheld it" (Job xxviii. 27) and embodied it in His creative work. This personification, therefore, is based on the thought that wisdom is not shut up at rest in God, but is active and manifest in the world. "She standeth in the top of high places, by the way in the places of the paths. She crieth at the gates, at the entry of the city, at the coming in at the doors" (Prov. viii. 2, 3). She builds a palace and prepares a banquet, and issues a general invitation to the simple and to him that wanteth understanding (Prov. ix. 1-6). It is viewed as the one guide to salvation, comprehending all revelations of God, and as an attribute embracing and combining all His other attributes.

(3) The Angel of Jehovah. The messenger of God who serves as His agent in the world of sense, and is sometimes distinguished from Jehovah and sometimes identical with him (Gen. xvi. 7-13; xxxii. 24-28; Hos. xii. 4, 5; Exod. xxiii. 20, 21; Mal. iii. 1).

APOCRYPHAL USAGE.

In the Apocryphal writings this mediative element is more distinctly apprehended, but with a tendency to pantheism. In the Wisdom of Solomon (at least 100 B.c.), where wisdom seems to be viewed as another name for the whole divine nature, while nowhere connected with the Messiah, it is described as a being of light, proceeding essentially from God; a true image of God, co-occupant of the divine throne; a real and independent principle, revealing God in the world and mediating between it and Him, after having created it as his organ—in association with a spirit which is called *μονογενές*, only begotten (vii. 22). "She is the breath of the power of God, and a pure influence flowing from the glory of the Almighty; therefore can no defiled thing fall into her. For she is the brightness of the everlasting light, the unspotted mirror of the power of God, and the image of his goodness" (see ch. vii., throughout). Again: "Wisdom reacheth from one end to another mightily, and sweetly doth she order all things. In that she is conversant with God, she magnifieth her nobility: yea, the Lord of all things Himself loved her. For she is privy to the mysteries of

the knowledge of God, and a lover of His works. Moreover, by the means of her I shall obtain immortality, and leave behind me an everlasting memorial to them that come after me" (ch. ix.). In ch. xvi. 12, it is said, "Thy word, O Lord, healeth all things" (compare Ps. cvii. 20); and in ch. xviii. 15, 16, "Thine almighty word leaped from heaven out of thy royal throne, as a fierce man of war into the midst of a land of destruction, and brought thine unfeigned commandment as a sharp sword, and, standing up, filled all things with death; and it touched the heaven, but it stood upon the earth." See also Wisdom of Sirach, chs. i., xxiv., and Baruch iii., iv. 1-4.

LATER JEWISH USAGE.

After the Babylonish captivity the Jewish doctors combined into one view the theophanies, prophetic revelations and manifestations of Jehovah generally, and united them in one single conception, that of a permanent agent of Jehovah in the sensible world, whom they designated by the name Memra (word, $\lambda \acute{o}\gamma os$) of Jehovah. The learned Jews introduced the idea into the Targums, or Aramæan paraphrases of the Old Testament, which were publicly read in the synagogues, substituting the name the word of Jehovah for that of Jehovah, each time that God manifested himself. Thus in Gen. xxxix. 21, they paraphrase, "The Memra was with Joseph in prison." In Ps. cx. Jehovah addresses the first verse to the Memra. The Memra is the angel that destroyed the first-born of Egypt, and it was the Memra that led the Israelites in the cloudy pillar.

USAGE IN THE JUDÆO-ALEXANDRINE PHILOSOPHY.

From the time of Ptolemy I. (323-285 B.C.), there were Jews in great numbers in Egypt. Philo (A.D. 50) estimates them at a million in his time. Alexandria was their headquarters. They had their own senate and magistrates, and possessed the same privileges as the Greeks. The Septuagint translation of the Hebrew Scriptures into Greek (B.C. 280-150) was the beginning of a literary movement among them, the key-note of which was the reconciliation of Western culture and Judaism, the establishment of a connection between the Old Testament.

faith and the Greek philosophy. Hence they interpreted the facts of sacred history allegorically, and made them symbols of certain speculative principles, alleging that the Greek philosophers had borrowed their wisdom from Moses. Aristobulus (about 150 B.C.) asserted the existence of a previous and much older translation of the law, and dedicated to Ptolemy VI. an allegorical exposition of the Pentateuch, in which he tried to show that the doctrines of the Peripatetic or Aristotelian school were derived from the Old Testament. Most of the schools of Greek philosophy were represented among the Alexandrian Jews, but the favorite one was the Platonic. The effort at reconciliation culminated in Philo, a contemporary of Christ. Philo was intimately acquainted with the Platonic philosophy. and made it the fundamental feature of his own doctrines, while availing himself likewise of ideas belonging to the Peripatetic and Stoic schools. Unable to discern the difference in the points of view from which these different doctrines severally proceeded, he jumbled together not merely discordant doctrines of the Greek schools, but also those of the East, regarding the wisdom of the Greeks as having originated in the legislation and writings of Moses. He gathered together from East and West every element that could help to shape his conception of a vicegerent of God, "a mediator between the eternal and the ephemeral. His Logos reflects light from countless facets."

According to Philo, God is the absolute Being. He calls God "that which is:" "the One and the All." God alone exists for himself, without multiplicity and without mixture. No name can properly be ascribed to Him: He simply is. Hence, in His nature, He is unknowable.

Outside of God there exists eternal matter, without form and void, and essentially evil; but the perfect Being could not come into direct contact with the senseless and corruptible; so that the world could not have been created by His direct agency. Hence the doctrine of a mediating principle between God and matter—the divine *Reason*, the *Logos*, in whom are comprised all the ideas of finite things, and who created the sensible world by causing these ideas to penetrate into matter.

The absolute God is surrounded by his powers (δυνάμεις) as a

king by his servants. These powers are, in Platonic language, ideas; in Jewish, angels; but all are essentially one, and their nnity, as they exist in God, as they emanate from him, as they are disseminated in the world, is expressed by Logos. the Logos appears under a twofold aspect: (1) As the immanent reason of God, containing within itself the world-ideal, which, while not outwardly existing, is like the immanent reason in This is styled Λόγος ἐνδιάθετος, i.e., the Logos conceived and residing in the mind. This was the aspect emphasized by the Alexandrians, and which tended to the recognition of a twofold personality in the divine essence. (2) As the outspoken word, proceeding from God and manifest in the world. when it has issued from God in creating the world, is the Aóyos προφορικός, i.e., the Logos uttered, even as in man the spoken word is the manifestation of thought. This aspect prevailed in Palestine, where the Word appears like the angel of the Pentateuch, as the medium of the outward communication of God with men, and tends toward the recognition of a divine person subordinate to God. Under the former aspect, the Logos is, really, one with God's hidden being: the latter comprehends all the workings and revelations of God in the world; affords from itself the ideas and energies by which the world was framed and is upheld; and, filling all things with divine light and life, rules them in wisdom, love, and righteousness. the beginning of creation, not inaugurated, like God, nor made, like the world; but the eldest son of the eternal Father (the world being the younger); God's image; the mediator between God and the world; the highest angel; the second God.

Philo's conception of the Logos, therefore, is: the sum-total and free exercise of the divine energies; so that God, so far as he reveals himself, is called Logos; while the Logos, so far as he reveals God, is called God.

John's doctrine and terms are colored by these preceding influences. During his residence at Ephesus he must have become familiar with the forms and terms of the Alexandrian theology. Nor is it improbable that he used the term Logos with an intent to facilitate the passage from the current theories of his time to the pure gospel which he proclaimed. "To

those Hellenists and Hellenistic Jews, on the one hand, who were vainly philosophizing on the relations of the finite and infinite; to those investigators of the letter of the Scriptures, on the other, who speculated about the theocratic revelations, John said, by giving this name Logos to Jesus: 'The unknown Mediator between God and the world, the knowledge of whom you are striving after, we have seen, heard, and touched. Your philosophical speculations and your scriptural subtleties will never raise you to Him. Believe as we do in Jesus, and you will possess in Him that divine Revealer who engages your thoughts'" (Godet).

But John's doctrine is not Philo's, and does not depend upon it. The differences between the two are pronounced. Though both use the term Logos, they use it with utterly different meanings. In John it signifies word, as in Holy Scripture generally; in Philo, reason; and that so distinctly that when Philo wishes to give it the meaning of word, he adds to it by way of explanation, the term $\hat{\rho}\hat{\eta}\mu a$, word.

The nature of the being described by Logos is conceived by each in an entirely different spirit. John's Logos is a person, with a consciousness of personal distinction; Philo's is impersonal. His notion is indeterminate and fluctuating, shaped by the influence which happens to be operating at the time. Under the influence of Jewish documents he styles the Logos an "archangel;" under the influence of Plato, "the Idea of Ideas;" of the Stoics, "the impersonal Reason." It is doubtful whether Philo ever meant to represent the Logos formally as a person. All the titles he gives it may be explained by supposing it to mean the ideal world on which the actual is modelled.

In Philo, moreover, the function of the Logos is confined to the creation and preservation of the universe. He does not identify or connect him with the Messiah. His doctrine was, to a great degree, a philosophical substitute for Messianic hopes. He may have conceived of the Word as acting through the Messiah, but not as one with him. He is a universal principle. In John the Messiah is the Logos himself, uniting himself with humanity, and clothing himself with a body in order to save the world.

The two notions differ as to origin. The impersonal God of Philo cannot pass to the finite creation without contamination of his divine essence. Hence an inferior agent must be interposed. John's God, on the other hand, is personal, and a loving personality. He is a Father (i. 18); His essence is love (iii. 16; 1 John iv. 8, 16). He is in direct relation with the world which He desires to save, and the Logos is He Himself, manifest in the flesh. According to Philo, the Logos is not coexistent with the eternal God. Eternal matter is before him in time. According to John, the Logos is essentially with the Father from all eternity (i. 2), and it is He who creates all things, matter included (i. 3).

Philo misses the moral energy of the Hebrew religion as expressed in its emphasis upon the holiness of Jehovah, and therefore fails to perceive the necessity of a divine teacher and Saviour. He forgets the wide distinction between God and the world, and declares that, were the universe to end, God would die of loneliness and inactivity.

THE MEANING OF LOGOS IN JOHN.

As Logos has the double meaning of thought and speech, so Christ is related to God as the word to the idea, the word being not merely a name for the idea, but the idea itself expressed. The thought is the inward word (Dr. Schaff compares the Hebrew expression "I speak in my heart" for "I think").

The Logos of John is the real, personal God (i. 1), the Word, who was originally before the creation with God, and was God, one in essence and nature, yet personally distinct (i. 1, 18); the revealer and interpreter of the hidden being of God; the reflection and visible image of God, and the organ of all His manifestations to the world. Compare Heb. i. 3. He made all things, proceeding personally from God for the accomplishment of the act of creation (i. 3), and became man in the person of Jesus Christ, accomplishing the redemption of the world. Compare Philip. ii. 6.

The following is from William Austin, "Meditation for Christmas Day," cited by Ford on John:

"The name Word is most excellently given to our Saviour; for it expresses His nature in one, more than in any others.

Therefore St. John, when he names the Person in the Trinity (1 John v. 7),* chooses rather to call Him Word than Son; for word is a phrase more communicable than son. Son hath only reference to the Father that begot Him; but word may refer to him that conceives it; to him that speaks it; to that which is spoken by it; to the voice that it is clad in; and to the effects it raises in him that hears it. So Christ, as He is the Word, not only refers to His Father that begot Him, and from whom He comes forth, but to all the creatures that were made by Him; to the flesh that He took to clothe Him; and to the doctrine He brought and taught, and which lives yet in the hearts of all them that obediently do hear it. He it is that is this Word; and any other, prophet or preacher, he is but a voice (Luke iii. 4). Word is an inward conception of the mind: and voice † is but a sign of intention. St. John was but a sign, a voice; not worthy to untie the shoe-latchet of this Word. Christ is the inner conception 'in the bosom of His Father;' and that is properly the Word. And yet the Word is the intention uttered forth, as well as conceived within; for Christ was no less the Word in the womb of the Virgin, or in the cradle of the manger, or on the altar of the cross, than he was in the beginning, 'in the bosom of His Father.' For as the intention departs not from the mind when the word is uttered, so Christ, proceeding from the Father by eternal generation, and after here by birth and incarnation, remains still in Him and with Him in essence; as the intention, which is conceived and born in the mind, remains still with it and in it, though the word be spoken. He is therefore rightly called the Word, both by His coming from, and yet remaining still in, the Father."

And the Word. A repetition of the great subject, with solemn emphasis.

Was with God ($\eta \nu \pi \rho \delta s \tau \delta \nu \Theta \epsilon \delta \nu$). Anglo-Saxon vers., mid Gode. Wyc., at God. With $(\pi \rho \delta s)$ does not convey the full

^{*} Of course not anticipating the criticism which has eliminated this passage from the text.

[†] Austin used the Latin rox, and of course has in mind the secondary meaning as a word or saying.

Vol. II.-3

meaning, but there is no single English word which will give it better. The preposition $\pi\rho\delta s$, which, with the accusative case, denotes motion towards, or direction, is also often used in the New Testament in the sense of with; and that not merely as being near or beside, but as a living union and communion; implying the active notion of intercourse. Thus: "Are not his sisters here with us" ($\pi\rho\delta s$ $\delta\mu\delta s$), i.e., in social relations with us (Mark vi. 3; Matt. xiii. 56). "How long shall I be with you" ($\pi\rho\delta s$ $\delta\mu\delta s$, Mark ix. 16). "I sat daily with you" (Matt. xxvi. 55). "To be present with the Lord" ($\pi\rho\delta s$ $\tau\delta v$ Kύριον, 2 Cor. v. 8). "Abide and winter with you" (I Cor. xvi. 6). "The eternal life which was with the Father" ($\pi\rho\delta s$ $\tau\delta v$ $\pi\alpha\tau\epsilon\rho a$, 1 John i. 2). Thus John's statement is that the divine Word not only abode with the Father from all eternity, but was in the living, active relation of communion with Him.

And the Word was God ($\kappa \alpha \lambda \Theta \epsilon \delta s \hat{\eta} \nu \delta \lambda \delta \gamma \sigma s$). In the Greek order, and God was the Word, which is followed by Ang. Sax., Wyc., and Tynd. But Ocos, God, is the predicate and not the subject of the proposition. The subject must be the Word; for John is not trying to show who is God, but who is the Word. Notice that $\Theta \epsilon \delta s$ is without the article, which could not have been omitted if he had meant to designate the word as God; because, in that event, $\Theta \epsilon \delta s$ would have been ambiguous; perhaps a God. Moreover, if he had said God was the Word, he would have contradicted his previous statement by which he had distinguished (hypostatically) * God from the word, and lóyos (Logos) would, further, have signified only an attribute of God. The predicate is emphatically placed in the proposition before the subject, because of the progress of the thought; this being the third and highest statement respecting the Word—the climax of the two preceding propositions. The word God, used attributively, maintains the personal distinction between God and the Word, but makes the unity of essence and

^{*} The word hypostasis is equivalent to substance. In theological language it is used in the sense of person as distinguished from essence. Hence the adverb hypostatically signifies personally in the theological sense, which recognizes three persons in the Godhead with one essence.

nature to follow the distinction of person, and ascribes to the Word all the attributes of the divine essence. "There is something majestic in the way in which the description of the Logos, in the three brief but great propositions of ver. 1, is unfolded with *increasing* fulness" (Meyer).

2. The same (οὖτος). Lit., this one; the one first named; the Word.

Was in the beginning with God. In ver. 1 the elements of this statement have been given separately: the Word, the eternal being of the Word, and his active communion with God. Here they are combined, and with new force. This same Word not only was coëternal with God in respect of being $(\hat{\eta}\nu, was)$, but was eternally in active communion with Him (in the beginning with God: $\pi\rho \delta s$ $\tau \delta \nu$ $\Theta \epsilon \delta \nu$): "not simply the Word with God, but God with God" (Moulton). Notice that here $\Theta \epsilon \delta \nu$ has the article, as in the second proposition, where God is spoken of absolutely. In the third proposition, the Word was God, the article was omitted because $\Theta \epsilon \delta s$ described the nature of the Word and did not identify his person. Here, as in the second proposition, the Word is placed in personal relation to God.

This verse forms the transition point from the discussion of the personal being of the Word to His manifestation in creation. If it was this same Word, and no other, who was Himself God, and who, from all eternity, was in active communion with God, then the statement follows naturally that all things were created through Him, thus bringing the essential nature of the Word and His manifestation in creation into connection. As the idea of the Word involves knowledge and will, wisdom and force, the creative function is properly His. Hence His close relation to created things, especially to man, prepares the way for His incarnation and redeeming work. The connection between creation and redeeming work. The connection between creation and redemption is closer than is commonly apprehended. It is intimated in the words of Isaiah (xlvi. 4), "I have made, and I will bear." Redemption, in a certain sense, grows out of creation. Because God created man in

His own image, He would restore him to that image. Because God made man, He loves him, educates him, bears with him carries on the race on the line of His infinite patience, is bur dened with its perverseness and blindness, and expresses and effectuates all this in the incarnation and redemptive work of Jesus Christ. God is under the stress of the parental instinct (humanly speaking) to redeem man.

3. All things $(\pi \acute{a}\nu \tau a)$. Regarded severally. The reference is to the infinite detail of creation, rather than to creation as a whole, which is expressed by $\tau \grave{a}$ $\pi \acute{a}\nu \tau a$, the all (Col. i. 16). For this reason John avoids the word $\kappa \acute{o}\sigma \mu os$, the world, which denotes the world as a great system. Hence Bengel, quoted by Meyer, is wrong in referring to $\kappa \acute{o}\sigma \mu \phi$ (the world) of ver. 10 as a parallel.

Were made (ἐγένετο). Lit., came into being, or became. Expressing the passage from nothingness into being, and the unfolding of a divine order. Compare. vv. 14, 17. Three words are used in the New Testament to express the act of creation: κτίζειν, to create (Apoc. iv. 11.; x. 6; Col. i. 16); ποιεῖν. to make (Apoc. xiv. 7; Mark x. 6), both of which refer to the Creator; and γίγνεσθαι, to become, which refers to that which is created. In Mark x. 6, both words occur. "From the beginning of the creation (κτίσεως) God made" (ἐποίησεν). So in Eph. ii. 10: "We are His workmanship (ποίημα), created (κτισθέντες) in Christ Jesus." Here the distinction is between the absolute being expressed by ην (see on ver. 1), and the coming into being of creation (ἐγένετο). The same contrast occurs in vv. 6; 9: "A man sent from God came into being" (ἐγένετο); "the true Light was" (ην).

"The main conception of creation which is present in the writings of St. John is expressed by the first notice which he makes of it: All things came into being through the Word. This statement sets aside the notions of eternal matter and of inherent evil in matter. 'There was when' the world 'was not' (John xvii. 5, 24); and, by implication, all things as made were good. The agency of the Word, 'who was God,' again excludes

both the idea of a Creator essentially inferior to God, and the idea of an abstract Monotheism in which there is no living relation between the creature and the Creator; for as all things come into being 'through' the Word, so they are supported 'in' Him (John i. 3; compare Col. i. 16 sq.; Heb. i. 3). And yet more, the use of the term eyévero, came into being, as distinguished from extlogn, were created, suggests the thought that creation is to be regarded (according to our apprehension) as a manifestation of a divine law of love. Thus creation (all things came into being through Him) answers to the Incarnation (the Word became flesh). All the unfolding and infolding of finite being to the last issue lies in the fulfilment of His will who is love" (Westcott, on 1 John ii. 17).

By Him (δι' αὐτοῦ). Lit., through him. The preposition διά is generally used to denote the working of God through some secondary agency, as διὰ τοῦ προφήτου, through the prophet (Matt. i. 22, on which see note).* It is the preposition by which the relation of Christ to creation is usually expressed (see 1 Cor. viii. 6; Col. i. 16; Heb. i. 2), though it is occasionally used of the Father (Heb. ii. 10; Rom. xi. 36, and Gal. i. 1, where it is used of both). Hence, as Godet remarks, it "does not lower the Word to the rank of a simple instrument," but merely implies a different relation to creation on the part of the Father and the Son.

Without (xwpls). Lit., apart from. Compare xv. 5.

Was not anything made that was made (ἐγένετο οὐδὲ ἔν, ὁ γέγονεν). Many authorities place the period after ἕν, and join ὁ γένονεν with what follows, rendering, "without Him was not anything made. That which hath been made was life in Him." †

^{*} So the Rev., but not consistently throughout. A. V., by. See my article on the Revised New Testament, Presbyterian Review, October, 1881.

[†] This reading is very earnestly defended by Canon Westcott, and is adopted in Westcott and Hort's text, and supported by Milligan and Moulton. It is rejected by Tischendorf and by the Revisers; also by Alford, De Wette, Meyer, and Godet. Grammatical considerations seem to be against it (see Alford on the passage), but Canon Westcott's defence is most ingenious and plausible.

Made (ἐγένετο), as before, came into being.

Not anything $(oi\delta \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\epsilon} \nu)$. Lit., not even one thing. Compare on $\pi \acute{a} \nu \tau a$ (all things) at the beginning of this verse.

That was made (ὁ γέγονεν). Rev., more correctly, that hath been made, observing the force of the perfect tense as distinguished from the aorist (ἐγένετο). The latter tense points back to the work of creation considered as a definite act or series of acts in the beginning of time. The perfect tense indicates the continuance of things created; so that the full idea is, that which hath been made and exists. The combination of a positive and negative clause (compare ver. 20) is characteristic of John's style, as also of James'. See note on "wanting nothing," Jas. i. 4.

 In Him was life (ἐν αὐτῷ ζωὴ ἦν). He was the fountain of life-physical, moral, and eternal-its principle and source. Two words for life are employed in the New Testament: Bios and ζωή. The primary distinction is that ζωή means existence as contrasted with death, and Blos, the period, means, or manner of existence. Hence Bios is originally the higher word, being used of men, while $\zeta \omega \dot{\eta}$ is used of animals ($\zeta \hat{\omega} a$). We speak therefore of the discussion of the life and habits of animals as zoology; and of accounts of men's lives as biography. Animals have the vital principle in common with men, but men lead lives controlled by intellect and will, and directed to moral and intellectual ends. In the New Testament, Bios means either living, i.e., means of subsistence (Mark xii. 44; Luke viii. 43), or course of life, life regarded as an economy (Luke viii. 14; 1 Tim. ii. 2; 2 Tim. ii. 4). Zωη occurs in the lower sense of life, considered principally or wholly as existence (1 Pet. iii. 10; Acts viii. 33; xvii. 25; Heb. vii. 3). There seems to be a significance in the use of the word in Luke xvi. 25: "Thou in thy lifetime (ἐν τῆ ζωῆ σου) receivedst thy good things;" the intimation being that the rich man's life had been little better than mere existence, and not life at all in the true sense. But throughout the New Testament ζωή is the nobler word, seeming to have changed places with βlos . It expresses the sum of mortal and eternal blessedness (Matt. xxv. 46; Luke xviii. 30; John xi. 25; Acts ii. 28; Rom. v. 17; vi. 4), and that not only in respect of men, but also of God and Christ. So here. Compare John v. 26; xiv. 6; 1 John i. 2. This change is due to the gospel revelation of the essential connection of sin with death, and consequently, of life with holiness. "Whatever truly lives, does so because sin has never found place in it, or, having found place for a time, has since been overcome and expelled" (Trench).

Zωη is a favorite word with John. See xi. 25; xiv. 6; viii. 12; 1 John i. 2; v. 20; John vi. 35, 48; vi. 63; Apoc. xxi. 6; xxii. 1, 17; vii. 17; John iv. 14; Apoc. ii. 7; xxii. 2, 14, 19; John xii. 50; xvii. 3; xx. 31; v. 26; vi. 53, 54; v. 40; iii. 15, 16, 36; x. 10; v. 24; xii. 25; vi. 27; iv. 36; 1 John v. 12, 16; John vi. 51.

Was the Light of men (ἦν τὸ φῶς τῶν ἀνθρώπων). Passing from the thought of creation in general to that of mankind, who, in the whole range of created things, had a special capacity for receiving the divine. The Light—the peculiar mode of the divine operation upon men, conformably to their rational and moral nature which alone was fitted to receive the light of divine truth. It is not said that the Word was light, but that the life was the light. The Word becomes light through the medium of life, of spiritual life, just as sight is a function of physical life. Compare xiv. 6, where Christ becomes the life through being the truth; and Matt. v. 8, where the pure heart is the medium through which God is beheld. In whatever mode of manifestation the Word is in the world, He is the light of the world; in His works, in the dawn of creation; in the happy conditions of Eden; in the Patriarchs, in the Law and the Prophets, in His incarnation, and in the subsequent history of the Church. Compare ix. 5. Of men, as a class, and not of individuals only.

THE SECOND DIVISION OF THE PROLOGUE. THE WORD DISOWNED.

Against the eternal being, light and life of the divine Word, a contrary principle emerges in the world—darkness. The purpose and work of God in creation having been set forth, we are now shown man's attitude toward these.

5. Shineth $(\phi alvei)$. Note the present tense, indicating not merely the present point of time, but that the light has gone forth continuously and without interruption from the beginning until now, and is still shining. Hence $\phi alvei$, shineth, denoting the peculiar property of light under all circumstances, and not $\phi \omega \tau i \zeta ei$, lighteneth or illuminateth, as in ver. 9. The shining does not always illuminate. Compare 1 John ii. 8.

In the darkness ($\hat{\epsilon}\nu \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \kappa o \tau \ell a$). $\Sigma \kappa o \tau \ell a$, darkness, is a word peculiar to later Greek, and used in the New Testament almost exclusively by John. It occurs once in Matt. x. 27, and once in Luke xii. 3. The more common New Testament word is σκότος, from the same root, which appears in σκιά, shadow, and σκηνή, tent. Another word for darkness, ζόφος, occurs only in Peter and Jude (2 Pet. ii. 4, 17; Jude 6, 13). See on 2 Pet. ii. 4. The two words are combined in the phrase blackness of darkness (2 Pet. ii. 17; Jude 13). In classical Greek σκότος, as distinguished from ζόφος, is the stronger term, denoting the condition of darkness as opposed to light in nature. Hence of death; of the condition before birth; of night. Zópos, which is mainly a poetical term, signifies gloom, half-darkness, nebulousness. Here the stronger word is used. The darkness of The moral condition which opposes itself to disin is deen. vine light is utterly dark. The very light that is in it is darkness. Its condition is the opposite of that happy state of humanity indicated in ver. 4, when the life was the light of men; it is a condition in which mankind has become the prey of falsehood, folly and sin. Compare 1 John i. 9-11. Rom.i 21, 22.

Comprehended (κατέλαβεν). Rev., apprehended. Wyc., took not it. See on Mark ix. 18; Acts iv. 13. Comprehended,

in the sense of the A. V., understood, is inadmissible. This meaning would require the middle voice of the verb (see Acts iv. 13; x. 34; xxv. 25). The Rev., apprehended, i.e., grasped or seized, gives the correct idea, which appears in John xii. 35. "lest darkness come upon you," i.e., overtake and seize. The word is used in the sense of laying hold of so as to make one's own: hence, to take possession of. Used of obtaining the prize in the games (1 Cor. ix. 24); of attaining righteousness (Rom. ix. 30); of a demon taking possession of a man (Mark ix. 18): of the day of the Lord overtaking one as a thief (1 Thess. v. 4). Applied to darkness, this idea includes that of eclipsing or overwhelming. Hence some render overcame (Westcott, Moulton). John's thought is, that in the struggle between light and darkness, light was victorious. The darkness did not appropriate the light and eclipse it. "The whole phrase is indeed a startling paradox. The light does not banish the darkness; the darkness does not overpower the light. Light and darkness coexist in the world side by side" (Westcott).

6. There was a man (èyévero ἄνθρωπος). Better, Rev., "there came a man," èyévero denoting the historical manifestation, the emergence of the Baptist into the economy of the revelation of the light. Compare iii. 1, there was a man (ἢν ἄνθρωπος), where the mere fact that there was such a man as Nicodemus is stated. See remarks on ἢν, ver. 1. A distinction is also intimated between the eternal being (ἢν) of the Word and the coming into being of his messenger.

Sent (ἀπεσταλμένος). See on Matt. x. 2, 16; Mark iv. 29; Luke iv. 18. The verb carries the sense of sending an envoy with a special commission. Hence it is used of the mission of the Son of God, and of His apostles; the word apostle being directly derived from it. It is thus distinguished from πέμπω, to send, which denotes simply the relation of the sender to the sent. See on xx. 21, and 1 John iii. 5. The statement is not merely equivalent to was sent. The finite verb and the participle are to be taken separately, as stating two distinct facts, the appear-

ance and the mission of John. There came a man, and that man was sent from God.

From God ($\pi a \rho \hat{\alpha} \Theta e o \hat{\nu}$). The preposition means from beside. It invests the messenger with more dignity and significance than if the writer had said, "sent by God." It is used of the Holy Spirit, sent from the Father (xv. 26).

Whose name was John (ὄνομα αὐτῷ Ἰωάνης). Lit., the name unto him John. The first mention of John the Baptist. The last occurs, Acts xix. 3. On the name, see on Matt. iii. 1; Luke iii. 2. John never speaks of the Baptist as John the Baptist, like the other Evangelists, but simply as John. This is perfectly natural on the supposition that John himself is the author of the gospel, and is the other John of the narrative.

7. The same (οὖτος). Compare ver. 2, and the pronoun ἐκεῖνος, he, in ver. 8.

For a witness (εἰς μαρτυρίαν). Rev., more correctly, for witness: a witness would be μάρτυρα as Acts i. 8. The sense is for witness-bearing or to bear witness. On the word, see Acts i. 22; 1 Pet. v. 1. It is one of John's characteristic words, occurring nearly fifty times in various forms in his Gospel, and thirty or forty times in the Epistles and Apocalypse. emphatic development of the idea of witness is peculiar to this Gospel. "It evidently belongs to a time when men had begun to reason about the faith, and to analyze the grounds on which it rested" (Westcott). He develops the idea under the following forms: The witness of the Father (v. 31, 34, 37); the witness of Christ himself (viii. 14; xviii. 37); the witness of works (v. 17, 36; x. 25; xiv. 11; xv. 24); the witness of Scripture (v. 39, 40, 46; i. 46); the witness of the forerunner (i. 7; v. 33, 35); the witness of the disciples (xv. 27; xix. 35; xxi. 24; 1 John i. 2; iv. 14); the witness of the Spirit (xv. 26; xvi. 13, 14; 1 John v. 6). Note the emphasis attached to the idea here, by the twofold form in which it is put: first, generally, for witness, and then by giving the subject of the testimony.

All. The Baptist took up the work of the prophets, as respects their preparation for the universal extension of the divine call (Isa. xlix. 6). His message was to *men*, without regard to nation, sect, descent, or other considerations.

Through him. John the Baptist.

8. He (ἐκεῖνος). Emphatic, "It was not he who was the light." Compare ii. 21, "He (ἐκεῖνος) spake," bringing out the difference between Jesus' conception of destroying and rebuilding the temple, and that of his hearers.

That light $(\tau \hat{o} \phi \hat{\omega} s)$. Rev., the light. The emphatic that of the A. V. is unnecessary.

Was sent. Rev., came. Neither in the original text. Lit., "He was not the light, but in order that (lva) he might bear witness." So in ix. 3. "Neither hath this man sinned, nor his parents, but (he was born blind) that the works," etc. Compare xv. 25.

9. That was the true light, etc. This passage is differently interpreted. Some join coming (ἐρχόμενον) with man (ἄνθρωπον), and render every man that cometh, as A. V. Others join coming with light, and render, as Rev., the true light-coming into the world. The latter is the preferable rendering, and is justified by John's frequent use of the phrase coming into the world, with reference to our Lord. See iii. 19; vi. 14; ix. 39; xi. 27; xii. 46; xvi. 28; xviii. 37. In iii. 19 and xii. 46, it is used as here, in connection with light. Note especially the latter, where Jesus himself says, "I am come a light into the world." Was $(\hat{\eta}\nu)$ is to be taken independently, there was, and not united in a single conception with coming (ἐρχόμενον), so as to mean was coming. The light was, existed, when the Baptist appeared as a witness. Up to the time of his appearance it was all along coming: its permanent being conjoined with a slow, progressive coming, a revelation "at sundry times and in divers manners" (Heb. i. 1). "From the first He was on His way to the world, advancing toward the incarnation by preparatory revelations" (Westcott). Render therefore as Rev., "There was the true light, even the light which lighteth every man, coming into the world."

True (ἀληθινον). Wyc., very light (compare the Nicene creed, "very God of very God"). This epithet is applied to light only here and 1 John ii. 8, and is almost confined to the writings of John. A different word, άληθής, also rendered true, occurs at iii. 33; v. 31; viii. 13, and elsewhere. The difference is that ἀληθής signifies true, as contrasted with false; while and substantial, what is real, perfect, and substantial, as contrasted with what is fanciful, shadowy, counterfeit, or increly symbolic. Thus God is άληθής (John iii. 33) in that He cannot lie. He is $\partial \lambda \eta \Im \nu \delta s$ (1 Thess. i. 9), as distinguished from idols. In Heb. viii. 2, the heavenly tabernacle is called άληθινή, as distinguished from the Mosaic tabernacle, which was a figure of the heavenly reality (Heb. ix. 24). Thus the expression true light denotes the realization of the original divine idea of the Light—the archetypal Light, as contrasted with all imperfect manifestations: "the Light which fulfilled all that had been promised by the preparatory, partial, even fictitious lights which had existed in the world before."

"Our little systems have their day;
They have their day and cease to be:
They are but broken lights of Thee,
And Thon, O Lord, art more than they."

TENNYSON. In Memorian.

Lighteth $(\phi\omega\tau i\zeta\epsilon\iota)$. See on *shineth*, ver. 5, and compare Luke xi. 35, 36.

Every man $(\pi \acute{a}\nu \tau a \ \ \mathring{a}\nu \vartheta \rho \omega \pi o \nu)$. Not collectively, as in ver. 7, but individually and personally.

The world $(\tau \delta \nu \kappa \delta \sigma \mu o \nu)$. As in ver. 3, the creation was designated in its several details by $\pi \delta \nu \tau a$, all things, so here, creation is regarded in its totality, as an ordered whole. See on Acts xvii. 24; Jas. iii. 6.

Four words are used in the New Testament for world: (1)

yn, land, ground, territory, the earth, as distinguished from the heavens. The sense is purely physical. (2) οἰκουμένη, which is a participle, meaning inhabited, with $\gamma \hat{\eta}$, earth, understood, and signifies the earth as the abode of men; the whole inhabited world. See on Matt. xxiv. 14; Luke ii. 1. Also in a physical sense, though used once of "the world to come" (Heb. ii. 5). (3) alw, essentially time, as the condition under which all created things exist, and the measure of their existence: a period of existence; a lifetime; a generation; hence, a long space of time; an age, era, epoch, period of a dispensation. On this primary, physical sense there arises a secondary sense, viz., all that exists in the world under the conditions of time. From this again develops a more distinctly ethical sense, the course and current of this world's affairs (compare the expression, the times), and this course as corrupted by sin; hence the evil world. So Gal. i. 4; 2 Cor. iv. 4. (4) κόσμος, which follows a similar line of development from the physical to the ethical sense; meaning (a) ornament, arrangement, order (1 Pet. iii. 3); (b) the sum-total of the material universe considered as a system (Matt. xiii. 35; John xvii. 5; Acts xvii. 24; Philip. ii. 15). Compare Plato. "He who is incapable of communion is also incapable of friendship. And philosophers tell us. Callicles, that communion and friendship and orderliness and temperance and justice bind together heaven and earth and gods and men, and that this universe is therefore called Cosmos, or order, not disorder or misrule" ("Gorgias," 508). (c) That universe as the abode of man (John xvi. 21; 1 John iii. 17). (d) The sum-total of humanity in the world; the human race (John i. 29; iv. 42). (e) In the ethical sense, the sum-total of human life in the ordered world, considered apart from, alienated from, and hostile to God, and of the earthly things which seduce from God (John vii. 7; xv. 18; xvii. 9, 14; 1 Cor. i. 20, 21; 2 Cor. vii. 10; Jas. iv. 4).

This word is characteristic of John, and pre-eminently in this last, ethical sense, in which it is rarely used by the Synoptists; while John nowhere uses $al\omega v$ of the moral order. In this latter sense the word is wholly strange to heathen literature, since the heathen world had no perception of the opposition between God

and sinful man; between the divine order and the moral disorder introduced and maintained by sin.

10. He was in the world. Not merely at His advent, but before His incarnation no less than after it. See on vv. 4, 5.

Was made (ἐγένετο). Came into being. See on ver. 3.

By Him. Or through Him (διά). See on ver. 3.

Knew ($\check{e}\gamma\nu\omega$). Recognized. Though He was in the world and was its Creator, yet the world did not recognize him. This is the relation of ideas in these three clauses, but John expresses this relation after the Hebrew manner, by simply putting the three side by side, and connecting them by $\kappa a i$, and. This construction is characteristic of John. Compare viii. 20, where the point of the passage is, that though Jesus was teaching publicly, where He might easily have been seized, yet no man attempted his seizure. This is expressed by two parallel clauses with the simple copulative. "These words spake Jesus," etc., "and no man laid hands on Him."

Him $(a\dot{v}\tau\dot{o}v)$. The preceding $him~(a\dot{v}\tau\dot{o}v)$ is, in itself, ambiguous as to gender. So far as its form is concerned, it might be neuter, in which case it would refer to the light, "the Word regarded as a luminous principle," as it, in ver. 5. But $a\dot{v}\tau\dot{o}v$ is masculine, Him, so that the Word now appears as a person. This determines the gender of the preceding $a\dot{v}\tau\dot{o}v$.

On the enlightened and unenlightened nature, compare the allegory in Plato's "Republic," at the beginning of Book vii., where he pictures men confined from childhood in an underground den, chained so that they can only see before them, and with no light save from a fire behind them. They mistake shadows for substance, and echoes for voices. When they are liberated and compelled to look at the light, either of the fire or of the sun, their unaccustomed eyes are pained, and they imagine that the shadows which they formerly saw are truer than the real objects which are now shown them. Finally, they will be able to see the sun, and will recognize him as the giver of the

seasons and years, and the guardian of all that is in the visible world. "When the eye of the soul is turned round, the whole soul must be turned round from the world of becoming into that of being, and of the brightest and best of being, or, in other words, of the good."

Notice also the appropriateness of the two verbs joined with the neuter and the masculine pronouns. In ver. 5, with it, the Word, as a principle of light, κατέλαβεν, apprehended. Here, with Him, the Word, as a person, ἔγνω, recognized.

11. He came $(\hat{\eta}\lambda \Re \epsilon \nu)$. The narrative now passes from the general to the special action of the Word as the Light. The verb *came*, in the aorist tense, denotes a definite act—the Incarnation. In ver. 10 the Word is described as in the world *invisibly*. Now He *appears*.

Unto His own (εἰς τὰ ἴδια). Lit., his own things: see on Acts i. 7. The Rev. follows the A. V. Wyc., into his own things. Render his own home, and compare xvi. 32; xix. 27; Acts xxi. 6. The reference is to the land of Israel, which is recognized as God's own in a peculiar sense. See Jer. ii. 7; Hosea ix. 3; Zech. ii. 12; Deut. vii. 6. Not a repetition of ver. 10. There is a progress in the narrative. He was in the world at large: then he came unto His own home.

His own (oi low). The masculine gender, as the preceding was neuter. That signified His own home or possessions, this His own people. Rev., they that were His own.

Received $(\pi a \rho \epsilon \lambda a \beta o \nu)$. Most commonly in the New Testament of taking one along with another. See on Matt. iv. 5; xvii. 1; Acts xvi. 33. But also of accepting or acknowledging one to be what he professes to be, and of receiving something transmitted, as 1 Cor. xi. 23; Gal. i. 12, etc. Westcott thinks this latter sense is implied here; Christ having been offered by the teachers of Israel through John. Alford adopts the former sense; "expressing the personal assumption to one's self as a friend or companion." De Wette explains to receive into the house. Godet strains a point by explaining as welcomed. De

Wette's explanation seems to agree best with his own home. Here again compare the nice choice of verbs: apprehended $(\kappa a \tau \acute{\epsilon} \lambda a \beta \epsilon \nu)$ the Light as a principle, and received $(\pi a \rho \acute{\epsilon} \lambda a \beta o \nu)$ the Light as a person and the Master of the house.

THIRD DIVISION OF THE PROLOGUE. THE WORD RECEIVED (12–18).

12. As many as (ὅσοι). Denoting individuals, as οἱ ιδιοι (ver. 11) signified the nation at large.

Received ($\check{\epsilon}\lambda a\beta o\nu$). The simple verb of the compound $\pi a\rho \check{\epsilon}\lambda a\beta o\nu$ in ver. 11. The meaning of the two verbs is substantially the same (so Alford, De Wette, and apparently Meyer), though some recognize a difference, as Milligan and Moulton, who render $\pi a\rho \acute{\epsilon}\lambda a\beta o\nu$ accepted, and $\check{\epsilon}\lambda a\beta o\nu$ received, and say that "the former lays emphasis upon the will that consented (or refused) to receive, while the latter brings before us the possession gained: so that the full meaning is, As many as by accepting Him, received Him." For the use of the simple verb, see v. 43; xiii. 20; xix. 6.

Power (ἐξουσίαν). Rev., the right. Six words are used for power in the New Testament: Bia, force, often oppressive, exhibiting itself in violence (Acts v. 26; xxvii. 41. Compare the kindred verb βιάζεται, Matt. xi. 12; "the kingdom of heaven is taken by violence): δύναμις, natural ability (see on 2 Pet. ii. 11): ἐνέργεια, energy, power in exercise; only of superhuman power, good or evil. Used by Paul only, and chiefly in the Epistles of the Imprisonment (Eph. i. 19; iii. 7; Col. ii. 12. Compare the kindred verb ένεργέω, to put forth power, and see on Mark vi. 14; Jas. v. 16): ἰσχύς, strength (see on 2 Pet. ii. 11. Compare the kindred verb ἰσχύω, to be strong, and see on Luke xiv. 30; xvi. 3): κράτος, might, only of God, relative and manifested power, dominion (Eph. i. 19; vi. 10; 1 Tim. vi. 16; 1 Pet. iv. 11. Compare the kindred verb κρατέω, to have power, to be master of, and see on Mark vii. 3; Acts iii. 11): έξουσία, liberty of action (έξεστι, it is lawful), authority, delegated or arbitrary (John v. 27; x. 18; xvii. 2; xix. 10, 11. See on

Mark ii. 10; Luke xx. 20). Here, therefore, ¿ξουσία is not merely possibility or ability, but legitimate right derived from a competent source—the Word.

To become (γενέσθαι). As those who are born (ver. 13. Compare iii. 3, and Matt. v. 45).

Sons (τέκνα). Rev., more correctly, children. Son is νίός. Τέκνον, child (τίκτω, to bring forth), denotes a relation based on community of nature, while νίός, Son, may indicate only adoption and heirship. See Gal. iv. 7. Except in Apoc. xxi. 7, which is a quotation, John never uses νίός to describe the relation of Christians to God, since he regards their position not as a result of adoption, but of a new life. Paul, on the other hand, regards the relation from the legal standpoint, as adoption, imparting a new dignity and relation (Rom. viii. 15; Gal. iv. 5, 6). See also Jas. i. 18; 1 Pet. i. 3, 23, where the point of view is John's rather than Paul's. Τέκνον, indicating the relationship of man to God, occurs in John i. 12; xi. 52; 1 John iii. 1, 2, 10; v. 2, and always in the plural.

Believe on (πιστευούσιν είς). The present participle, believing, indicates the present and continuous activity of faith. The word is used by John, sometimes with the dative case simply, meaning to believe a person or thing; i.e., to believe that they are true or speak the truth. Thus, to believe the Scripture (ii. 22); believe me (iv. 21); believe Moses, his writings, my words (v. 46). At other times with a preposition, είς, into, which is rendered believe in, or believe on. So here, vi. 29; viii. 30; 1 John v. 10. See the two contrasted in vi. 29, 30; viii. 30, 31; 1 John v. 10. To believe in, or on, is more than mere acceptance of a statement. It is so to accept a statement or a person as to rest upon them, to trust them practically; to draw upon and avail one's self of all that is offered to him in them. Hence to believe on the Lord Jesus Christ is not merely to believe the facts of His historic life or of His saving energy as facts, but to accept Him as Saviour, Teacher, Sympathizer, Judge; to rest the soul upon Him for present and

future salvation, and to accept and adopt His precepts and example as binding upon the life.

Name (ὄνομα). See on Matt. xxviii. 19. Expressing the sum of the qualities which mark the nature or character of a person. To believe in the name of Jesus Christ the Son of God, is to accept as true the revelation contained in that title. Compare xx. 31.

13. Which (of). Referring to children of God.

Were born (ἐγεννήθησαν). Lit., were begotten. The phrase γεννηθήναι ἐκ τοῦ Θεοῦ, to be born or begotten of God, occurs only here in the Gospel, and several times in the First Epistle. It is peculiar to John.

There is a progress of thought in the three following clauses, describing the proper origin of a believer's new life. Children of God are begotten, not of blood, nor of the will of the flesh, nor of the will of man. "The new birth is not brought about by descent, by desire, or by human power" (Westcott).

Of blood (ἐξ αἰμάτων). Lit., of bloods. The plural is variously explained: by some as indicating the duality of the sexes, by others of the multiplicity of ancestors. The best explanation seems to be afforded by a similar use of the plural in Plato, ἔτι ἐν γάλαξι τρεφόμενοι, "while still nourished by milks" ("Laws," 887). The fluids, blood or milk being represented as the sum-total of all their parts. Compare τὰ ΰδατα, the waters.

14. And the Word (κal). The simple copula as before; not yea, or namely, or therefore, but passing to a new statement concerning the Word.

Was made flesh (σὰρξ ἐγένετο). Rev., "became flesh." The same verb as in ver. 3. All things became through Him; He in turn became flesh. "He became that which first became through Him." In becoming, He did not cease to be the Eternal Word. His divine nature was not laid aside. In becoming flesh He did not part with the rational soul of man.

Retaining all the essential properties of the Word, He entered into a new *mode* of being, not a new *being*.

The word $\sigma \lambda \rho \xi$, flesh, describes this new mode of being. It signifies human nature in and according to its corporeal manifestation. Here, as opposed to the purely divine, and to the purely immaterial nature of the Word. He did not first become a personality on becoming flesh. The prologue throughout conceives Him as a personality from the very beginning—from eternal ages. The phrase became flesh, means more than that He assumed a human body. He assumed human nature entire, identifying Himself with the race of man, having a human body, a human soul, and a human spirit. See xii. 27; xi. 33: xiii. 21; xix. 30. He did not assume, for a time merely, humanity as something foreign to Himself. The incarnation was not a mere accident of His substantial being. "He became flesh, and did not clothe Himself in flesh." Compare, on the whole passage, 1 John iv. 2; 2 John 7.

Dwelt (ἐσκήνωσεν). Lit., tabernacled, fixed, or had His tabernacle: from σκηνή, a tent or tabernacle. The verb is used only by John: in the Gospel only here, and in Apoc. vii. 15; xii.12; xiii. 6: xxi. 3. It occurs in classical writings, as in Xenophon, έν τῷ πεδίω ἐσκήνου, he pitched his tent in the plain ("Anabasis," vii. 4, 11). So Plato, arguing against the proposition that the unjust die by the inherent destructive power of evil, says that "injustice which murders others keeps the murderer alive—aye, and unsleeping too; ούτω πόρρω που ώς ἔοικεν ἐσκήνωται τοῦ θανάσιμος είναι, i.e., literally, so far has her tent been spread from being a house of death" ("Republic," 610). The figure here is from the Old Testament (Lev. xxvii. 11; 2 Sam. vii. 6; Ps. lxxviii. 67 sqq.; Ezek. xxxvii. 27). The tabernacle was the dwelling-place of Jehovah; the meeting-place of God and Israel. So the Word came to men in the person of Jesus. As Jehovah adopted for His habitation a dwelling like that of the people in the wilderness, so the Word assumed a community of nature with mankind, an embodiment like that "That which was of humanity at large, and became flesh. from the beginning, we heard, we saw, we beheld, we handled.

Our fellowship is with the Father, and with his Son Jesus Christ" (1 John i. 1-3. Compare Philip. ii. 7, 8).

Some find in the word tabernacle, a temporary structure (see the contrast between σκῆνος, tabernacle, and οἰκοδομή, building, in 2 Cor. v. 1), a suggestion of the transitoriness of our Lord's stay upon earth; which may well be, although the word does not necessarily imply this; for in Apoc. xxi. 3, it is said of the heavenly Jernsalem "the tabernacle of God is with men, and He will set up his tabernacle (σκηνώσει) with them."

Dante alludes to the incarnation in the seventh canto of the "Paradiso:"

——"the human species down below Lay sick for many centuries in great error, Till to descend it pleased the Word of God To where the nature, which from its own Maker Estranged itself, He joined to Him in person By the sole act of His eternal love."

Among us ($\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ $\dot{\eta}\mu\hat{\iota}\nu$). In the midst of us. Compare Gen. xxiv. 3, Sept., "the Canaanites, with whom I dwell ($\mu\epsilon$ 9' $\dot{\delta}\nu$ $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\delta}\nu$ $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\delta}\nu$ $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$

The following words, as far as and including *Father*, are parenthetical. The unbroken sentence is: "The Word became flesh, and dwelt among us, full of grace and truth."

We beheld (ἐθεασάμεθα). Compare Luke ix. 32; 2 Pet. ii. 16; 1 John i. 1; iv. 14. See on Matt. xi. 7; xxiii. 5. The word denotes calm, continuous contemplation of an object which remains before the spectator.

Glory (δόξαν). Not the absolute glory of the Eternal Word, which could belong only to His pre-existent state, and to the conditions subsequent to his exaltation; but His glory revealed under human limitations both in Himself and in those who beheld Him. The reference is again to the Old Testament mani-

festations of the divine glory, in the wilderness (Exod. xvi. 10; xxiv. 16, etc.); in the temple (1 Kings viii. 11); to the prophets (Isa. vi. 3; Ezek. i. 28). The divine glory flashed out in Christ from time to time, in His transfiguration (Luke ix. 31; compare 2 Pet. i. 16, 17) and His miracles (John ii. 11; xi. 4, 40), but appeared also in His perfect life and character, in His fulfilment of the absolute idea of manlood.

Glory. Without the article. This repetition of the word is explanatory. The nature of the glory is defined by what follows.

As (\o's). A particle of comparison. Compare Apoc. v. 6, "a lamb as though it had been slain;" also Apoc. xiii. 3.

Of the only begotten of the Father (μονογενοῦς παρὰ πατρὸς). Rev., "from the Father." The glory was like, corresponds in nature to, the glory of an only Son sent from a Father. It was the glory of one who partook of His divine Father's essence; on whom the Father's love was visibly lavished. and who represented the Father as His ambassador. The word μονογενής, only begotten (De Wette and Westcott, "only born") is used in the New Testament of a human relationship (Luke vii. 12; viii. 42; ix. 38). In the Septuagint it answers to darling, Heb., only one, in Ps. xxi., A. V. xxii. 20; and to desolate in Ps. xxiv., A. V. xxv., 16. With the exception of the passages cited above, and Heb. xi. 17, it occurs in the New Testament only in the writings of John, and is used only of Christ. With this word should be compared Paul's πρωτότοκος, first born (Rom. viii. 29; Col. i. 15, 18), which occurs but once in John (Apoc. i. 5), and in Heb. i. 6; xi. 28; xii. 23. John's word marks the relation to the Father as unique, stating the fact in itself. Paul's word places the eternal Son in relation to the universe. Paul's word emphasizes His existence before created things; John's His distinctness from created things. Μονογενής distinguishes between Christ as the only Son, and the many children (τέκνα) of God; and further, in that the only Son did not become (yevéo Sai) such

by receiving power, by adoption, or by moral generation, but $was(\hat{\eta}\nu)$ such in the beginning with God. The fact set forth does not belong to the sphere of His incarnation, but of His eternal being. The statement is anthropomorphic,* and therefore cannot fully express the metaphysical relation.

Of the Father is properly rendered by Rev., "from the Father," thus giving the force of παρά (see on from God, ver. 6). The preposition does not express the idea of generation, which would be given by ἐκ or by the simple genitive, but of mission—sent from the Father, as John from God (see vi. 46; vii. 29; xvi. 27; xvii. 8). The correlative of this is ver. 18, "who is in the bosom (εἰς τὸν κόλπον) of the Father;" lit., "into the bosom," the preposition εἰς signifying who has gone into and is there; thus viewing the Son as having returned to the Father (but see on ver. 18).

Full of grace and truth (πλήρης χάριτος καὶ ἀληθείας). This is connected with the main subject of the sentence: "The Word—full of grace and truth." A common combination in the Old Testament (see Gen. xxiv. 27, 49; xxxii. 10; Exod. xxxiv. 6; Ps. xl. 10, 11; lxi. 7). In these two words the character of the divine revelation is summed up. "Grace corresponds with the idea of the revelation of God as Love (1 John iv. 8, 16) by Him who is Life; and Truth with that of the revelation of God as Light (1 John i. 5) by Him who is Himself Light" (Westcott). Compare ver. 17. On Grace, see on Luke i. 30.

15. As ver. 14 is parallel to vv. 1-5, so this verse is parallel to vv. 6-8, but with an advance of thought. Vv. 6-8 set forth the Baptist's witness to the Word as the general light of men. This verse gives the Baptist's witness to the personal Word become flesh.

Bare witness (μαρτυρεί). Present tense. Rev., correctly, beareth witness. The present tense describes the witness of the

^{*} i.e., attributing human form and human modes of activity to God, as when we speak of the hand, the face, the eye of God, or of God begetting as here.

Baptist as abiding. The fact of the Word's becoming flesh is permanently established by his testimony.

Cried (κέκραγεν). See on Mark v. 5; ix. 24; Luke xviii. 39. The verb denotes an inarticulate utterance as distinguished from words. When used in connection with articulate speech, it is joined with λέγειν or εἶπεῖν, to say, as vii. 28, cried, saying. Compare vii. 37; xii. 44. The crying corresponds with the Baptist's description of himself as a voice (φωνή, sound or tone), Mark i. 3; Luke iii. 4; John i. 23. The verb is in the perfect tense, but with the usual classical sense of the present.

Was He $(\hat{\eta}\nu)$. The imperfect tense, pointing back to a testimony historically past.

After me $(\partial \pi l \sigma \omega \mu o v)$. Lit., behind me: in His human manifestation.

Is preferred before me (ἔμπροσθέν μου γέγονεν). Lit., "is become," so Rev., "or is here (compare vi. 25) before me." Before is used of time, not of dignity or rank. The expression is enigmatical in form: "my successor is my predecessor." The idea of the superior dignity of Christ is not a necessary inference from His coming after John, as, on that interpretation, the words would imply. On the contrary, the herald who precedes is inferior in dignity to the Prince whom he announces.

For $(\tilde{\sigma}\iota)$. Or *because*. The reason for the preceding statement: the key to the enigma.

He was before me $(\pi\rho\tilde{\omega}\tau\acute{o}s\ \mu\sigma\upsilon\ \mathring{\eta}\upsilon)$. Lit., first in regard of me (Rev., in margin). The reference to dignity would require $\acute{e}\sigma\tau\acute{\iota}\upsilon$, is (see Matt. iii. 11, "is mightier"). A similar expression occurs in xv. 18: the world hated me before (it hated) you $(\pi\rho\tilde{\omega}\tau\sigma\upsilon\ \mathring{\upsilon}\mu\tilde{\omega}\upsilon)$. The reference is to the pre-existence of Christ. When speaking of Christ's historic manifestation, is become before me, the Baptist says $\gamma\acute{e}\gamma\upsilon\upsilon\varepsilon\upsilon$. When speaking of Christ's eternal being, He was before me, he uses $\mathring{\eta}\upsilon$. The

meaning is, then, that Christ, in His human manifestation, appeared after John, but, as the Eternal Word, preceded him, because He existed before him. Compare viii. 58.*

16. And (καl). But the correct reading is ὅτι, because, thus connecting the following sentence with "full of grace and truth" in ver. 14. We know Him as full of grace and truth, because we have received of His fulness.

Of His fulness ($\epsilon \kappa \tau o \hat{v} \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu a \tau o \hat{v}$). These and the succeeding words are the Evangelist's, not the Baptist's. The word fulness (πλήρωμα) is found here only in John, but frequently occurs in the writings of Paul, whose use of it in Ephesians and Colossians illustrates the sense in John; these being Asiatic churches which fell, later, within the sphere of John's influence. The word is akin to $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho \eta s$, full (ver. 14), and to πληροῦν, to fill or complete: and means that which is complete in itself, plenitude, entire number or quantity. Thus the crew of a ship is called πλήρωμα, its complement. Aristophanes ("Wasps," 660), "τούτων πλήρωμα, the sum-total of these, is nearly two thousand talents." Herodotus (iii., 22) says that the full term of man's life among the Persians is eighty years; and Aristotle ("Polities," iv., 4) refers to Socrates as saying that the eight classes, representing different industries in the state, constitute the pleroma of the state (see Plato, "Republic," 371). In Ephesians i. 23, Paul says that the church is the pleroma of Christ: i.e., the plenitude of the divine graces in Christ is communicated to the Church as His body, making all the body, supplied and knit together through the joints and bands, to increase with the increase of God (Col. ii. 19; compare Eph. iv. Similarly he prays (Eph. iii. 19) that the brethren may be filled unto all the pleroma of God: i.e., that they may be filled with the fulness which God imparts. More closely related to John's use of the term here are Col. i. 19, "It pleased

^{*} I follow Meyer and Godet. De Wette, Alford, Milligan and Moulton adopt the other interpretation, referring $\xi\mu\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\delta\epsilon\nu$, to rank or dignity. So Westcott, who, however, does not state the issue between the two explanations with his usual sharpness.

the Father that in Him (Christ) should all the fulness (τὸ πλήρωμα, note the article) dwell;" and ii. 9, 10, "In Him dwelleth all the pleroma of the Godhead bodily (i.e., corporeally, becoming incarnate), and in Him ye are fulfilled (πεπληρωμένοι)." This declares that the whole aggregate of the divine powers and graces appeared in the incarnate Word, and corresponds with John's statement that "the Word became flesh and tabernacled among men, full of grace and truth;" while "ye are fulfilled" answers to John's "of His fulness we all received." Hence John's meaning here is that Christians receive from the divine completeness whatever each requires for the perfection of his character and for the accomplishment of his work (compare John xv. 15; xvii. 22).*

Have—received (ἐλάβομεν). Rev., we received: rendering the agrist tense more literally.

Grace for grace ($\chi \acute{a}\rho \iota \nu \dot{a}\nu \tau \dot{\iota} \chi \acute{a}\rho \iota \tau o s$). The preposition $\dot{a}\nu \tau \dot{\iota}$ originally means over against; opposite; before (in a local sense). Through the idea of placing one thing over against another is developed that of exchange. Thus Herodotus (iii., 59), "They bought the island, ἀντὶ χρημάτων, for money." So Matt. v. 38, "An eye for $(a\nu\tau)$ an eye," etc. This idea is at the root of the peculiar sense in which the preposition is used here. We received, not New Testament grace instead of Old Testament grace; nor simply, grace added to grace; but new grace imparted as the former measure of grace has been received and improved. "To have realized and used one measure of grace, was to have gained a larger measure (as it were) in exchange for it." Consequently, continuous, unintermitted grace. The idea of the development of one grace from another is elaborated by Peter (2 Pet. i. 5), on which see notes. Winer cites a most interesting parallel from Philo. "Wherefore, having provided and dispensed the first graces (χάριτας), before their recipients have waxed wanton through satiety, he subsequently bestows

^{*} It is hardly necessary to refer the critical student to the admirable note of Bishop Lightfoot, in his Commentary on Colossians, p. 323 sq.

different graces in exchange for $(\dot{a}\nu\tau\dot{\iota})$ those, and a third supply for the second, and ever new ones in exchange for the older."

17. For $(6\tau\iota)$. Because. Giving the ground of the statement that Christians received new and richer gifts of grace: the ground being that the law of Moses was a limited and narrow enactment, while Jesus Christ imparted the fulness of grace and truth which was in Him (ver. 14). Compare Rom. iv. 15; x. 4; Gal. iii. 10.

Was given $(\epsilon \delta \delta 9\eta)$. A special gift serving a special and preparatory purpose with reference to the Gospel: the word being appropriate to "an external and positive institution."

By Moses (διά). Lit., through. See on by Him, ver. 3.

Grace and truth came (ἐγένετο). Came into being as the development of the divine plan inaugurated in the law, and unfolding the significance of the gift of the law. They came into being not absolutely, but in relation to mankind. Compare 1 Cor. i. 30, where it is said of Christ, He was made (properly, became, ἐγενήθη) unto us wisdom and righteousness, etc. Note the article with grace and truth; the grace and the truth; that which in the full sense is grace and truth. Grace occurs nowhere else in John, except in salutations (2 John 3; Apoc. i. 4; xxii. 21).

Jesus Christ. The Being who has been present in the Evangelist's mind from the opening of the Gospel is now first named. The two clauses, "the law was given," "grace and truth came," without the copula or qualifying particles, illustrate the parallelism which is characteristic of John's style (see on ver. 10).

18. No man hath seen God at any time (Θεὸν οὐδεὶς ἐώρακεν πώποτε). God is first in the Greek order, as emphatic: "God hath no man ever seen." As to the substance of the statement, compare iii. 11; Exod. xxxiii. 20; 1 John iv. 12. Manifestations of God to Old Testament saints were only par-

tial and approximate (Exod. xxxiii. 23). The seeing intended here is seeing of the divine essence rather than of the divine person, which also is indicated by the absence of the article from Ocov. God. In this sense even Christ was not seen as God. The verb opáw, to see, denotes a physical act, but emphasizes the mental discernment accompanying it, and points to the result rather than to the act of vision. In 1 John i. 1; iv. 12, 14, Θεάομαι is used, denoting calm and deliberate contemplation (see on ver. 14). In xii. 45, we have Θεωρέω, to behold (see on Mark v. 15; Linke x. 18). Both Θεάομαι and Θεωρέω imply deliberate contemplation, but the former is gazing with a view to satisfy the eye, while the latter is beholding more critically, with an inward spiritual or mental interest in the thing beheld. and with a view to acquire knowledge about it. "Θεωρείν would be used of a general officially reviewing or inspecting an army; Seâo Sai of a lay spectator looking at the parade" (Thaver).

The only begotten son (ὁ μονογενης νίὸς). Several of the principal manuscripts and a great mass of ancient evidence support the reading μονογενης Θεὸς, "God only begotten." *

Another and minor difference in reading relates to the article, which is omitted from $\mu o \nu o \gamma e \nu \dot{\gamma}$ s by most of the authorities which favor $\Theta e \dot{o}$ s. Whether we read the only begotten Son, or God only begotten, the sense of the passage is not affected. The latter reading merely combines in one phrase the two attributes of the word already indicated—God (ver. 1), only begotten (ver. 14); the sense being one who was both God and only begotten.

^{*} Dr. Scrivener, "Introduction to the Criticism of the New Testament," remarks: "Those who will resort to ancient evidence exclusively for the recension of the text, may well be perplexed in dealing with this passage. The oldest manuscripts, versions, and writers are hopelessly divided." He decides, however, for the reading vibs. So Tischendorf's text, and of commentators, Meyer, De Wette, Alford, Godet, Schaff (in Lange). Westcott and Hort's text gives Θεὸs, with ὁ μονογενης vibs in margin. So Westcott (Commentary), Milligan and Moulton, and Tregelles. See Schaff's note on the passage in Lange; Scrivener, p. 525; and "Two Dissertations," by F. J. A. Hort, Cambridge, 1877.

Who is in the bosom (ὁ ὧν εἰς τὸν κόλπον). The expression ὁ ὧν, who is, or the one being, is explained in two ways: 1. As a timeless present, expressing the inherent and eternal relation of the Son to the Father. 2. As interpreted by the preposition εἰς, in, lit., into, and expressing the fact of Christ's return to the Father's glory after His incarnation: "The Son who has entered into the Father's bosom and is there." In the former case it is an absolute description of the nature of the Son: in the latter, the emphasis is on the historic fact of the ascension, though with a reference to his eternal abiding with the Father from thenceforth.

While the fact of Christ's return to the Father's glory may have been present to the writer's mind, and have helped to determine the form of the statement, to emphasize that fact in this connection would seem less consistent with the course of thought in the Prologue than the other interpretation; since John is declaring in this sentence the competency of the incarnate Son to manifest God to mankind. The ascension of Christ is indeed bound up with that truth, but is not, in the light of the previous course of thought, its primary factor. That is rather the eternal oneness of the Word with God; which, though passing through the phase of incarnation, nevertheless remains unbroken (iii. 13). Thus Godet, aptly: "The quality attributed to Jesus, of being the perfect revealer of the divine Being, is founded on His intimate and perfect relation to God Himself."

The phrase, in the bosom of the Father, depicts this eternal relation as essentially a relation of love; the figure being used of the relation of husband and wife (Deut. xiii. 6); of a father to an infant child (Num. xi. 12), and of the affectionate protection and rest afforded to Lazarus in Paradise (Luke xvi. 23). The force of the preposition eis, into, according to the first interpretation of who is, is akin to that of "with God" (see on ver. 1); denoting an ever active relation, an eternal going forth and returning to the Father's bosom by the Son in His eternal work of love. He ever goes forth from that element of grace and love and returns to it. That element is His life. He is there "because He plunges into it by His unceasing action" (Godet).

He (ἐκεῖνος). Strongly emphatic, and pointing to the eternal Son. This pronoun is used by John more frequently than by any other writer. It occurs seventy-two times, and not only as denoting the more distant subject, but as denoting and laying special stress on the person or thing immediately at hand, or possessing pre-eminently the quality which is immediately in question. Thus Jesus applies it to Himself as the person for whom the healed blind man is inquiring: "It is He (ἐκεῖνος) that talketh with thee" (John ix. 37). So here, "the only-begotten Son who is in the bosom of the Father—He hath declared Him."

Hath declared (ἐξηγήσατο). Or, rendering the agrist strictly, He declared. From ἐκ, forth, and ἡγέομαι, to lead the way. Orig., to lead or govern. Hence, like the Lat. practice verbis, to go before with words, to prescribe or dictate a form of words. To draw out in narrative, to recount or rehearse (see Acts xv. 14, and on Luke xxiv. 35). To relate in full; to interpret, or translate. Therefore εξήγησις, exegesis, is interpretation or explanation. The word εξηγητής was used by the Greeks of an expounder of oracles, dreams, omens, or sacred rites. Thus Crossus, finding the suburbs of Sardis alive with serpents, sent to the soothsayers (ἐξηγητὰς) of Telmessus (Herodotus, i., 78). The word thus comes to mean a spiritual director. Plato calls Apollo the tutelary director (πατρώος έξηγητής) of religion ("Republic," 427), and says, "Let the priests be interpreters for life" ("Laws," 759). In the Septnagint the word is used of the magicians of Pharaoh's court (Gen. xli. 8, 24), and the kindred verb of teaching or interpreting concerning leprosy (Levit. xiv. 57). John's meaning is that the Word revealed or manifested and interpreted the Father to men. The word occurs only here in John's writings. Wyc. renders, He hath told out. These words conclude the Prologue.

THE HISTORICAL NARRATIVE now begins, and falls into two general divisions.

I. THE SELF-REVELATION OF CHRIST TO THE WORLD (i. 19-xii. 50).

II. THE SELF-REVELATION OF CHRIST TO THE DISCIPLES (xiii. 1-xxi. 23).*

19. This $(a\tilde{v}\tau\eta)$. The following. This use of the pronoun, calling the reader's attention to what follows, and preparing him for it, is frequent in John. Sometimes the pronoun carries the sense of *quality:* of this character. See iii. 19; xv. 12; 1 John v. 4, 9, 11, 14.

Witness ($\mu a \rho \tau \nu \rho l a$). Testimony. See on ver. 7, and 1 Pet. v. 1.

John. See on ver. 6. Note the article: the John previously mentioned.

The Jews (oi 'Iovôaîoı). This is a characteristic word in John. It occurs more than fifty times in his Gospel as his own expression, while there are six instances of the formula King of the Jews used by Gentiles. In the Synoptic Gospels, on the other hand, to twelve instances of King of the Jews, there are but four passages in which the word Jews occurs. In Paul's writings it is comparatively rare, mostly in contrast with Greek, and both in contrast with Christianity. In the Apocalypse it is found twice (ii. 9; iii. 9), of those who say they are Jews and are not, but are "of the synagogue of Satan" and "do lie."

John, in the Gospel, distinguishes between the multitude (ὁ ἄχλος) and the Jews (Ἰουδαῖοι). By the former he means the aggregate of the Jewish inhabitants of Palestine, the mass of the people, chiefly Galilæans; by the latter, more particularly Judæans, the leaders of Judaism in opposition to Jesus. The multitude are unsettled in conviction, inquisitive, despised by the Pharisees, inclined to listen to Jesus and to believe; moved by an impulse to make Him a king, escorting Him triumphantly into Jerusalem, and not appearing in the narrative of the trial

^{*} I take this division from Westcott.

and crucifixion. The Jews are tenacious of the expectation of a national Messiah. They represent the narrow, sectarian aspect of Judaism; they are the instigators and leaders of the opposition to Jesus, and to them His crucifixion is attributed. John uses the word where the other Evangelists speak of the opposers of Christ as Pharisees, Sadducees, elders, chief-priests, scribes, or lawyers. He recognizes the distinction between Pharisee and Sadducee, and though he does not mention the latter by name, he characterizes them by their position. Jesus is the key to the sense in which John employs the term Jews. He regards them in their relation to Him. The idea underlying the word is habitually that of separation from the character and privileges of a true Israelite through their rejection of Jesus.*

Sent (ἀπέστειλαν). As a deputation. See on ver. 6.

Priests and Levites. Representing the ecclesiastical element of the nation; the two classes employed in the temple service. See Josh. iii. 3; 2 Chron. xxx. 27; Ezek. xliv. 15. The combination occurs nowhere else in the New Testament. These deputies probably came from the Sanhedrim.

To ask (ἵνα ἐρωτήσωσιν). Lit., in order that they should ask. See on Matt. xv. 23.

Who art thou (σὺ τίς εί)? Lit., thou, who art thou?

20. He confessed and denied not. John's characteristic combination of a positive and negative clause. See on ver. 3. Both verbs are used absolutely.

I am not the Christ. According to the proper reading, $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$, I, stands first in the Baptist's statement, the $\delta\tau\iota$ having the force merely of quotation marks. It is emphatic: "I am not the Christ, though the Christ is here." Some were questioning

^{*} The student should by all means read Canon Westcott's admirable summary of this subject in the Introduction to his Commentary on John's Gospel.

whether John was the Christ (Luke iii. 15; Acts xiii. 25). Note the frequent occurrence of the emphatic *I*: vv. 23, 26, 27, 30, 31, 33, 34. On the Christ, see on Matt. i. 1.

24. What then? Art thou Elias? Better, as Rev., Elijah. Some authorities read, What then art thou? Elijah? Elijah, predicted in Mal. iv. 5, as the forerunner of the day of the Lord.

Art thou that prophet? Rev., "the prophet." According to the Greek order, the prophet art thou. See Deut. xviii. 15, and compare Acts iii. 22; vii. 37; John i. 46; vi. 14.

- No. Observe how the successive denials become shorter.
- 23. The voice $(\phi\omega\nu\dot{\eta})$. Or, a voice. There is no article. See on Matt. iii. 5.

Crying in the wilderness. Some join in the wilderness with make straight, as in the Hebrew. The quotation is from Isa. xl. 3. In the other three Gospels it is applied to the Baptist (Matt. iii. 3; Mark i. 3; Luke iii. 4). Here he uses it of himself. On wilderness, see on Matt. iii. 1.

Make straight the way (εὐθύνατε τὴν ὁδὸν). For ὁδὸν, way, all the Synoptists have τρίβους, beaten tracks; and for the verb εὐθύνατε, make straight, the adjective and verb εὐθείας ποιεῖτε. On the figure of preparing the roads, see on Luke iii. 5.

- 24. They which were sent were (οἱ ἀπεσταλμένοι ἦσαν). Lit., those having been sent were. But the best texts omit the article, so that the remaining words form the pluperfect passive: "they had been sent from the Pharisees." This addition of an explanatory circumstance is characteristic of John. Compare i. 41, 45; ix. 14; xi. 5, 18; xiii. 23.
- 26. I baptize with water: but there standeth. The best texts omit but; so that the two clauses illustrate John's characteristic parallelism, and bring out the sharp contrast between the Baptist and his successor.

Among you ($\mu\acute{e}\sigma$ os $\acute{\nu}\mu\acute{\omega}\nu$). The Greek idiom is a mid one in respect of you. Eyè, I, and $\mu\acute{e}\sigma$ os, a mid one, stand respectively at the head of the parallel clauses, thus emphasizing the two contrasted parties.

Standeth (ἔστηκεν). The best texts read στήκει, a verb which is kindred to ἔστηκεν, but with the added sense of firm, persistent standing. Thus, stand fast (1 Cor. xvi. 13; Gal. v. 1: Philip. i. 27). The verb emphasizes the firm, dignified attitude of Christ.

Ve know not $(\hat{\nu}\mu\epsilon\hat{\imath}s)$. Emphatic.

27. He it is who, coming after me ($a\dot{v}\tau \delta s \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota v \delta \delta \delta \pi l \sigma \omega \mu o \hat{v} \epsilon \rho \chi \delta \mu \epsilon \nu o s$). The best texts omit the first two words. We stcott and Hort also omit δ ; so that the rendering is, whom ye know not, coming after me.

Was preferred before me. The best texts omit.

To unloose (ἵνα λύσω). Lit., that I should unloose. Mark (i. 7) and Luke (iii. 16) have unloose. Matt. (iii. 11) bear. See on Matt. iii. 11.

28. Bethabara (Βηθαβαρᾶ). The correct reading is Βηθανία, Bethany. Not the Bethany of John xi. 18, but an unknown village. It was not uncommon for two places to have the same name, as the two Bethsaidas, the one on the eastern shore of the Lake of Gennesaret (Mark vi. 32, 45), and the other on the western shore (John i. 44); the two Cæsareas, on the Mediterranean (Acts viii. 40), and in Gaulonitis, at the foot of Lebanon, Cæsarea Philippi (Matt. xvi. 13).

Was baptizing $(\hat{\eta}\nu \beta a\pi\tau l\zeta\omega\nu)$. The participle with the substantive verb indicating continued action; was engaged in baptizing.

29. John. The best texts omit. Vol. II.—5

Seeth ($\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon i$). Both $\delta \rho \delta \omega$ and $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega$ denote the physical act of seeing, the former seeing in general, the latter the single look. The perception indicated by $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega$ is more outward; the perception of sense as distinguished from mental discernment, which is prominent in $\delta \rho \delta \omega$. A look told the Baptist that the Mightier One had come. See on ver. 18, and Matt. vii. 3.

Unto $(\pi\rho\delta\varsigma)$. Strictly, towards.

Behold (‰). The imperative in the singular number, though the *company* of his followers is addressed. This construction, however, is not uncommon. See Matt. xxvi. 65; Acts xiii. 46.

The Lamb (ὁ ἀμνὸς). The word occurs in John only here and in ver. 36. Also in Acts viii. 32; 1 Pet. i. 19. The diminutive ἀρνίον, a little lamb, is found once in the Gospel (xxi. 15), often in the Apocalypse, but only of the glorified Redeemer, and nowhere else in the New Testament. In some instances the word may emphasize the gentle and innocent character of Jesus suffering to expiate the sins of men (Apoc. v. 6, 12; xiii. 8); but it is also employed in describing Him as indignant (Apoc. vi. 16); as victorious (Apoc. xvii. 4); as the object of adoration (Apoc. v. 8); and as enthroned (Apoc. v. 13; vii. 17).

The term, the Lamb of God (note the article), is evidently used here by the Baptist in some sense understood by his hearers, and points to Isa. liii. (7); compare Acts viii. 32. The reference is probably to the Paschal lamb, though commentators differ.

Of God. Provided by God for sacrifice.

That taketh away (ὁ aἴρων). Either takes away or takes upon himself, in order to bear: either removal or expiation of sin. The one idea, however, is included in the other. The taking away of the sin is through His bearing it. In Isa. liii. (Sept.), φέρω, to bear, and its compound ἀναφέρω (see on 1 Pet.

ii. 5) are used, and $a\ell\rho\omega$, to take up and carry away, occurs only in the phrase his life is taken from the earth, A.V., he was cut off out of the land of the living, in accordance with the universal usage of the Septuagint, which never employs $a\ell\rho\omega\nu$ to express the bearing of sin. If the Baptist had meant bearing, he would probably have used $\phi\epsilon\rho\omega$. Compare 1 John iii. 5: "He was manifested to take away ($\ell\nu\alpha$ $\ell\rho\alpha$) our sins," and 1 John i. 7, "cleanseth us from all sin." In the use of the present tense, taketh, the Baptist views the future consummation of Christ's atoning work as potentially present.

The $\sin (\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \mu a \rho \tau i a \nu)$. Collectively regarded.

World. See on ver. 9.

30. Of whom $(\pi\epsilon\rho)$ où; i.e., "concerning whom;" but the proper reading is $\nu\pi\epsilon\rho$ où, "on behalf of whom;" in vindication of.

A man (ἀνὴρ). Three words are used in the New Testament for man: ἄρρην or ἄρσην, ἀνήρ, and ἄνθρωπος. "Αρσην marks merely the sexual distinction, male (Rom. i. 27; Apoc. xii. 5, 13). 'Ανήρ denotes the man as distinguished from the woman, as male or as a husband (Acts viii. 12; Matt. i. 16), or from a boy (Matt. xiv. 21). Also man as endowed with conrage, intelligence, strength, and other noble attributes (1 Cor. xiii. 11; Eph. iv. 13; Jas. iii. 2).

"Aνθρωπος is generic, without distinction of sex, a human being (John xvi. 21), though often used in connections which indicate or imply sex, as Matt. xix. 10; x. 35. Used of mankind (Matt. iv. 4), or of the people (Matt. v. 13, 16; vi. 5, 18; John vi. 10). Of man as distinguished from animals or plants (Matt. iv. 19; 2 Pet. ii. 16), and from God, Christ as divine and angels (Matt. x. 32; John x. 33; Luke ii. 15). With the notion of weakness leading to sin, and with a contemptuous sense (1 Cor. ii. 5; 1 Pet. iv. 2; John v. 12; Rom. ix. 20). The more honorable and noble sense thus attaches to ἀνήρ rather than to ἄνθρωπος. Thus Herodotus says that when the Medes charged the Greeks, they fell in vast numbers, so that

it was manifest to Xerxes that he had many men combatants $(\mathring{a}\nu \vartheta \rho \omega \pi o \iota)$ but few warriors $(\mathring{a}\nu \vartheta \rho \varepsilon)$ vii., 210. So Homer: "O friends, be men $(\mathring{a}\nu \acute{e}\rho \varepsilon)$, and take on a stout heart" ("Iliad," v., 529). ' $A\nu \acute{\eta}\rho$ is therefore used here of Jesus by the Baptist with a sense of dignity. Compare $\mathring{a}\nu \vartheta \rho \omega \pi o \varepsilon$, in ver. 6, where the word implies no disparagement, but is simply indefinite. In John $\mathring{a}\nu \acute{\eta}\rho$ has mostly the sense of husband (iv. 16–18). See vi. 10.

31. And I (κἀγὼ). Emphatic. "And I, though I predicted His coming (ver. 30), knew Him not."

Knew Him not. Officially, as the Messiah. There is no reference to personal acquaintance. It is inconceivable that, with the intimate relations between the two families, the Baptist should have been personally unacquainted with Jesus.

Israel. Always with the idea of the spiritual privilege of the race.

32. Bare record (ἐμαρτύρησεν). Better, bear witness, as Rev. See on ver. 7.

I saw (τεθέαμαι). Rev., more correctly, gives the force of the perfect tense, *I have beheld*. Calmly and thoughtfully; see on ver. 14. The perfect indicates the abiding effect of the vision. Compare ἐώρακα, *I have seen* (ver. 34).

As a dove ($\omega \sigma \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \rho i \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \lambda \nu$). In the shape of a dove. See on Matt. iii. 16.

33. The same (ἐκεῖνος). Rev., He. See on ver. 18. Emphasizing the personal communication of Christ to the Baptist.

With the Holy Ghost (ἐν Πνεύματι ʿΑγίφ). Better, as Rev., Holy Spirit. The preposition ἐν, in (Rev., in marg.), often has the instrumental force, with. Here, however, it would seem to signify the element of the new life, as ἐν ὕδατι, in water, signifies the element of the symbolic baptism, and might better be rendered in. The absence of the article from Holy Spirit falls

in with this, as indicating the spiritual influence of the divine Agent rather than His personality.

34. I saw ($\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\omega}\rho\alpha\kappa\alpha$). Rev., more strictly, according to the perfect tense, *I have seen*. See on ver. 32, and note the different verb for seeing, on which see on ver. 18.

Bare record ($\mu\epsilon\mu a\rho\tau\nu\rho\eta\kappa a$). Rev., have borne witness. Also the perfect tense.

The Son of God. This is the proper reading, but one very important manuscript reads δ εκλεκτὸς, the chosen. By the phrase John means the Messiah. It has the same sense as in the Synoptic Gospels. Compare Matt. xi. 27; xxviii. 19. For the sense in which it was understood by the Jews of Christ's day, see v. 18, 19; x. 29, 30–36. The phrase occurs in the Old Testament only in Daniel iii. 25. Compare Ps. ii. 12. On viòs, son, as distinguished from τέκνον, child, see on ver. 12.

35. **Stood** (εἰστήκει). Rev., more correctly, was standing, since the imperfect tense denotes something in progress. Here, therefore, with the idea of waiting; was standing in expectation. Compare vii. 37; xviii. 5, 6, 18.

Two of his disciples. The one was Andrew (ver. 41), the other the Evangelist himself, who studiously refrains from mentioning his own name throughout the narrative. The name of James the elder also does not appear, nor that of Salome, the Evangelist's mother, who is mentioned by name in Mark's Gospel (xv. 40; xvi. 1). The omission of his own name is the more significant from the fact that he is habitually exact in defining the names in his narrative. Compare the simple designation Simon (i. 42) with subsequent occurrences of his name after his call, as i. 42; xiii. 6; xxi. 15, etc. Also Thomas (xi. 16; xx. 24; xxi. 2); Judas Iscariot (vi. 71; xii. 4; xiii. 2, 26); the other Judas (xiv. 22). Note also that he never speaks of the Baptist as John the Baptist, like the other three Evangelists, but always as John.

36. Looking $(\epsilon \mu \beta \lambda \epsilon \psi a_5)$. Rev., giving the force of the aorist, and he looked. See on ver. 29. The verb is used by John only here and ver. 42.

As He walked $(\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\pi a\tau o\hat{\nu}\nu\tau\iota)$. The verb literally means to walk about $(\pi\epsilon\rho\iota)$. Here, possibly, walking along. Westcott, "walking away." See on 1 Pet. v. 8; Luke xi. 44.

37. Speak (λαλοῦντος). Lit., speaking.

They followed. Bengel says, "The origin of the Christian Church."

38. Saw (θεασάμενος). Better, as Rev., beheld: looked steadfastly upon them as if studying them.

What seek ye? The first words of Christ as recorded by John. Compare Matt. iii. 15; Mark i. 15; Luke ii. 49.

Rabbi. My great one; my honorable sir. Explained by Jesus himself as διδάσκαλος, teacher (Matt. xxiii. 8, where the proper reading is διδάσκαλος, instead of καθηγητής, guide, master, found in Matt. xxiii. 10). Used by the Jews in addressing their teachers, and formed from a Hebrew root meaning great. It occurs commonly in John, and is found in Matthew and Mark, but not in Luke, who uses ἐπιστατής. See on Luke v. 5.

Being interpreted. John frequently adds explanatory remarks. See vv. 42, 43; ix. 7.

Dwellest thou (μένεις). Rev., abidest. Jesus had asked "What seek ye?" not whom. They reply, "Where dost thou abide?"

39. See ($l \delta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$). But the correct reading is $\delta \psi \epsilon \sigma \Re \epsilon$, ye shall see.

They came. The best texts add ow, therefore. So Rev. This connecting particle is found in John's Gospel as often as in the other three combined, and most commonly in narrative,

marking the transition from one thing to another, and serving to connect the several parts of the narrative. See ver. 22; ii. 18; iii. 25; iv. 28, 30, etc. Much more frequently thus than in the discourses, where it would be used to mark a sequence of thought. Still such instances occur, as vv. 21, 25; iii. 29; viii. 5; iv. 11.

He dwelt (μένει). The present tense. Lit., they saw where he dwelleth. For a similar construction see ii. 9; iv. 1; Acts x. 18, etc.

Tenth hour. The question is whether this is to be reckoned according to the Jewish or the Roman method of computation. The Jewish method, employed by the other Evangelists, begins the day at sunrise; so that, according to this. the tenth hour would be four o'clock in the afternoon. The Roman method, like our own, reckons from midnight; according to which the tenth hour would be ten o'clock in the morning. The weight of the argument seems, on the whole, to be in favor of the Jewish method, which is undoubtedly assumed by John in xi. 9. The Greeks of Asia Minor, for whom John wrote, had the Jewish method, received from the Babylonians. Godet cites an incident from the "Sacred Discourses" of Aelius Aristides, a Greek sophist of the second century, and a contemporary of Polycarp. God having commanded him to take a bath, he chose the sixth hour as the most favorable to health. It being winter, and the bath a cold one, the hour was midday; for he said to his friend who kept him waiting, "Seest thou the shadow is already turning?" Even Canon Westcott, who advocates the Roman method, admits that "this mode of reckoning was nnusual in ancient times," and that "the Romans and Greeks, no less than the Jews, reckoned their hours from sunrise," though the Romans reckoned their civil days from midnight, and the tenth hour is named as a late hour, when soldiers took their repast or were allowed to rest. Thus Livy, in his account of the Roman attack on Sutrium says, "About the tenth hour the consul ordered his men a repast, and gave directions that they should be ready in arms at

whatever time of the day or night he should give the signal.
. . . After refreshing themselves, they consigned themselves to rest" (ix., 37).

Aristophanes says, "When the shadow on the dial is ten feet long, then go to dinner" ("Ecclesiazusæ," 648), and Horace, "You will dine with me to day. Come after the ninth hour" ("Epistle," Bk. 1., vii., 69). It is objected that the time from four o'clock to the close of the day would not have been described as that day; but beyond the marking of the specific hour of accompanying Jesus as the first hour of his Christian life, John would not have been unlikely to use a looser and more popular form of speech in indicating the length of the stay with Jesus, meaning simply that they remained with him during the remainder of the day, and, no doubt, prolonged their conversation into the night.*

40. One of the two. The other being John.

Andrew. See on Mark iii. 18. Compare Mark xiii. 3; John vi. 8; xii. 22.

Simon Peter's brother. The mention of Simon Peter before he has appeared in the narrative indicates the importance which the Evangelist attaches to him. It seems to assume a knowledge of the evangelic narrative on the part of the readers. See a similar instance of anticipating what is subsequently explained, in the mention of Mary, xi. 2.

41. He first findeth (εὐρίσκει οὖτος πρῶτος). Rev., findeth first. He is the demonstrative pronoun, this one, which, with first, seems to point to the later finding of his brother by the other disciple, i.e., of James by John. Bengel says: "With the

^{*} It is not easy to adjust all the references to the hour of the day in John's Gospel to either of the two methods. Thus xix. 14 places the crucifixion at the sixth hour, or noon, reckoning by the Jewish mode, while Mark (xv. 25) names the third hour, or between 8 and 9 a.m. The two passages in ch. iv. 6, 52, afford little help, especially the latter. Perhaps, after all, the passage most nearly decisive is xi. 9. There are strong authorities on both sides. For the Roman method, Tholuck, Ebrard, Ewald, Westcott; for the Jewish, Lücke, De Wette, Meyer, Alford, Lange, Godet.

festival freshness of those days beautifully corresponds the word findeth, which is frequently used here."

His own (τὸν ἴδιον). See on Matt. xxii. 5; xxv. 15; Acts i. 7; 1 Pet. i. 3.

We have found (εὐρήκαμεν). This has been called the chapter of the Eurekas.

The Messias. Peculiar to this Gospel, and only here and iv. 25.

Christ. See on Matt. i. 1.

42. Beheld. The same word as in ver. 36, on which see. Rev., looked upon.

Thou art. Some read interrogatively: art thou.

Jona (Ιωνά). The correct reading is Ἰωάνου, of John.

A stone (Πέτρος). See on Matt. xvi. 18. A detached mass of rock. Cephas is the Aramaic name, occurring 1 Cor. i. 12; iii. 22; ix. 5; xv. 5; Gal. ii. 9.

43. Jesus. The best texts omit.

Would go forth (ήθέλησεν έξελθεῖν). Rev., better, was minded to go. On the construction see on Matt. xx. 14. On the verb to be minded, see on Matt. i. 19.

And findeth. Note the graphic interchange of tenses: was minded, findeth. The co-ordination of the two clauses, which by other writers would be placed in logical dependence, is characteristic of John. Even where there is a real inner dependence he uses only the simple connective particles. Compare ii. 13 sqq.

Philip. See on Mark iii. 18. For hints of his character see vi. 5, 7; xii. 21 sqq.; xiv. 8, 9.

Saith. The best texts insert Jesus: "And Jesus said unto him."

Follow (ἀκολούθει). Often used in the New Testament with the special sense of following as a disciple or partisan. See Matt. iv. 20, 22; ix. 9; Mark i. 18; John viii. 12. Also with the meaning of cleaving steadfastly to one and conforming to his example. See Matt. x. 38; xvi. 24; John xii. 26. The verb occurs but once outside of the writings of the Evangelists, 1 Cor. x. 4. It appears in the noun acolyte, or acolyth, or acolothist, a church-servant ranking next below a subdeacon, whose duty it was to trim the lamps, light the church, prepare the sacramental elements, etc. Under the Byzantine emperors the captain of the emperor's body-guard was called Acolouthos, or the Follower. See Scott's "Count Robert of Paris."

- 44. Of Bethsaida. Rev., more literally, from $(\mathring{a}\pi \acute{b})$. Bethsaida of Galilee. See xii. 21, and on ver. 28. Philip, being of the same city as Andrew and Peter, was the more ready to welcome Christ, because of the testimony and example of his fellow-citizens. Notice the change of preposition: from Bethsaida $(\mathring{a}\pi \acute{b})$ and out of $(\mathring{\epsilon}\kappa)$ the city. See on from the dead, Luke xvi. 31.
- 45. Nathanael. Probably the same as Bartholomew. See on Bartholomew, Mark iii. 18.

Moses in the law, etc. Note the circumstantial detail of this confession as compared with Andrew's (ver. 42).

46. Come out of Nazareth (ἐκ Ναζαρὲτ εἶναι). Lit., "be out of;" a characteristic expression of John. See iii. 31; iv. 22; vii. 17, 22; viii. 23; xv. 19; xviii. 36, 38, etc. It means more than to come out of: rather to come out of as that which is of; to be identified with something so as to come forth bearing its impress, moral or otherwise. See especially iii. 31: "He that is of the earth is of the earth;" i.e., partakes of its quality. Compare Christ's words to Nicodemus (iii. 6), and 1 Cor. xv. 47.

In the Greek order, out of Nazareth stands first in the sen-

tence as expressing the prominent thought in Nathanael's mind, surprise that Jesus should have come from Nazareth, a poor village, even the name of which does not occur in the Old Testament. Contrary to the popular explanation, there is no evidence that Nazareth was worse than other places, beyond the fact of the violence offered to Jesus by its people (Luke iv. 28, 29), and their obstinate unbelief in Him (Matt. xiii. 58; Mark vi. 6). It was a proverb, however, that no prophet was to come from Galilee (John vii. 52).

47. An Israelite indeed (ἀληθῶς Ἰσραηλίτης). Lit., truly an Israelite. An Israelite not merely in descent, but in character, according to the ideal laid down in God's law. The word Israelite itself was an honorable designation. See on men of Israel, Acts iii. 12, and compare remarks on Jews, ver. 19.

Guile (δόλος). Properly, a bait for fish, and related at the root to δελεάζω, to catch with a bait, or beguile. See on beguiling, 2 Pet. ii. 14. The true Israelite would be the true child of Israel after he had ceased to be the Supplanter. It is an interesting fact that in Genesis xxv. 27, Jacob is called a plain man, i.e., as some explain the Hebrew, a perfect or upright man, and others, a man of quiet and simple habits, and that the Septuagint renders this adjective by ἄπλαστος, unfeigned, without disguise, simple, guileless. The Greek here reads literally, in whom quile is not.

48. Knowest (γινώσκεις). See on Acts xix. 15.

Under the fig-tree ($im \delta \tau \eta \nu \sigma v \kappa \eta \nu$). To be construed with $\epsilon l \delta \delta \nu \sigma \epsilon$, I saw thee; i.e., I saw thee under the fig-tree. The preposition with the accusative case, which implies motion toward, indicates his withdrawal to the shade of the tree for meditation or prayer. See on ver. 50. The Jewish writings tell of distinguished rabbis who were accustomed to rise early and pursue their studies under the shade of a fig-tree. Compare Mic. iv. 4; Zech. iii. 10. Augustine, in his "Confessions," relates of himself: "I cast myself down, I know not how, under a certain fig-tree, giving full vent to my tears; and the

floods of mine eyes gushed out, an acceptable sacrifice to Thee" (viii. 28). Nathanael asks, "Whence knowest thon me?" Jesus answers, "I saw thee (είδον)."

- 49. Rabbi. Nathanael here gives the title, which he had withheld in his first address.
- 50. Under the fig-tree ($\dot{\nu}\pi\dot{\rho}$ $\tau\eta\hat{s}$ $\sigma\nu\kappa\hat{\eta}\hat{s}$). Compare ver. 48. Here the same preposition is used with the genitive case, indicating rest, without the suggestion of withdrawal to.

Believest thou? Rightly so, though some render affirmatively, thou believest.

51. Verily, verily $(\partial \mu \dot{\eta} \nu, \partial \mu \dot{\eta} \nu)$. The word is transcribed into our *Amen*. John never, like the other Evangelists, uses the single *verily*, and, like the single word in the Synoptists, it is used only by Christ.

Hereafter $(\partial \pi' \ \partial \rho \tau)$. The best texts omit. The words literally mean, from henceforth; and therefore, as Canon West-cott aptly remarks, "if genuine, would describe the communion between earth and heaven as established from the time when the Lord entered upon His public ministry."

Heaven (τὸν οὐρανὸν). Rev., giving the article, the heaven.

Open (ἀνεφγότα). The perfect participle. Hence Rev., rightly, opened. The participle signifies standing open, and is used in the story of Stephen's martyrdom, Acts vii. 56. Compare Isa. lxiv. 1. The image presented to the true Israelite is drawn from the history of his ancestor Jacob (Gen. xxviii. 12).

Angels. With the exception of xii. 29 and xx. 12, John does not use the word "angel" elsewhere in the Gospel or in the Epistles, and does not refer to their being or ministry. Trench ("Studies in the Gospels") cites a beautiful passage of Plato as suggestive of our Lord's words. Plato is speaking of Love. "He is a great spirit, and like all spirits he is intermediate between the divine and the mortal. He interprets between gods

and men, conveying to the gods the prayers and sacrifices of men, and to men the commands and replies of the gods; he is the mediator who spans the chasm which divides them, and in him all is bound together, and through him the acts of the prophet and the priest, their sacrifices and mysteries and charms, and all prophecy and incantation find their way. For God mingles not with man, but through Love all the intercourse and speech of God with man, whether awake or asleep, is carried on " ("Symposium," 203).

Son of man. See on Luke vi. 22. Notice the titles successively applied to our Lord in this chapter: the greater Successor of the Baptist, the Lamb of God, the Son of God, the Messiah, the King of Israel. These were all given by others. The title Son of man He applies to Himself.

In John's Gospel, as in the Synoptists, this phrase is used only by Christ in speaking of Himself; and elsewhere only in Acts vii. 56, where the name is applied to Him by Stephen. It occurs less frequently in John than in the Synoptists, being found in Matthew thirty times, in Mark thirteen, and in John twelve.

Jesus' use of the term here is explained in two ways.

- I. That He borrows the title from the Old Testament to designate Himself either (a) as a prophet, as in Ezek. ii. 13; iii. 1, etc.; or (b) as the Messiah, as prefigured in Dan. vii. 13. This prophecy of Daniel had obtained such wide currency that the Messiah was called Anani, or the man of the clouds.
- (a.) This is untenable, because in Ezekiel, as everywhere in the Old Testament, the phrase Son of man, or Sons of men, is used to describe man under his human limitations, as weak, fallible, and incompetent by himself to be a divine agent.
- (b.) The allusion to Daniel's prophecy is admitted; but Jesus does not mean to say, "I am the Messiah who is prefigured by Daniel." A political meaning attached in popular conception to the term *Messiah*; and it is noticeable throughout John's Gospel that Jesus carefully avoids using that term before the people, but expresses the thing itself by circumlocution, in order to avoid the complication which the popular

understanding would have introduced into his work. See viii. 24, 25; x. 24, 25.

Moreover, the phrase Son of man was not generally applied to the Messiah. On the contrary, v. 27 and xii. 34 show that it was set off against that term. Compare Matt. xvi. 13, 15. Son of God is the Messianic title, which, with one exception, appears in confessions (i. 34, 49; xi. 27; xx. 31).*

In Daniel the reference is exclusively to the final stage of human affairs. The point is the final establishment of the divine kingdom. Moreover, Daniel does not say "the Son of man," but "one like a Son of man." Compare Apoc. i. 13;

xiv. 14, where also the article is omitted.

II. The second, and correct explanation is that the phrase $Son\ of\ man$ is the expression of Christ's self-consciousness as being related to humanity as a whole: denoting His real participation in human nature, and designating Himself as the representative man. It thus corresponds with the passage in Daniel, where the earthly kingdoms are represented by beasts, but the divine kingdom by a Son of man. Hence, too, the word $\alpha \nu \beta \rho \omega \pi \sigma s$ is purposely used (see on $\alpha\ man$, ver. 30, and compare John viii. 40).

While the human element was thus emphasized in the phrase, the consciousness of Jesus, as thus expressed, did not exclude His divine nature and claims, but rather regarded these through the medium of His humanity. He showed Himself divine in being thus profoundly human. Hence two aspects of the phrase appear in John, as in the Synoptists. The one regards His earthly life and work, and involves His being despised; His accommodation to the conditions of human life; the partial veiling of His divine nature; the loving character of His mission; His liability to misinterpretation; and His outlook upon a consummation of agony. On the other hand, He is possessed of supreme authority; He is about His Father's work; He reveals glimpses of His divine

^{*} In John ix. 35, where Jesus himself formulates a confession, the reading is disputed; three of the leading MSS. reading Son of man. See on that passage.

nature through His humanity; His presence and mission entail serious responsibility upon those to whom He appeals; and He foresees a consummation of glory no less than of agony. See Matt. viii. 20; xi. 19; xii. 8, 32; xiii. 37; xvi. 13; xx. 18; xxvi. 64; Mark viii. 31, 38; xiv. 21; Luke ix. 26, 58; xii. 8; xvii. 22; xix. 10; xxii. 69.

The other aspect is related to the future. He has visions of another life of glory and dominion; though present in the flesh, His coming is still future, and will be followed by a judgment which is committed to Him, and by the final glory of His redeemed in His heavenly kingdom. See Matt. x. 23; xiii. 40 sqq.; xvi. 27 sqq.; xix. 28; xxiv. 27, 37, 44; xxv. 31 sqq.; Mark xiii. 26; Luke vi. 22; xvii. 24, 30; xviii. 8; xxi. 27.

CHAPTER II.

1. The third day. Reckoning from the last day mentioned (i. 43).

A marriage $(\gamma \acute{a}\mu o\varsigma)$. Or marriage *festival*, including a series of entertainments, and therefore often found in the plural. See on Matt. xxii. 2.

Cana of Galilee. To distinguish it from Cana in Cœlo-Syria.

Mother of Jesus. Her name is never mentioned by John.

Was there. When Jesus arrived. Probably as an intimate friend of the family, assisting in the preparations.

2. Was called. Rev., bidden. After His return from the Baptist.

His disciples. In honor of Jesus.

3. They wanted wine (ὑστερήσαντος οἴνου). Lit., when the wine failed. So Rev. Wyc., and wine failing. Some early

authorities read: "they had no wine, for the wine of the marriage was consumed." Marriage festivals sometimes lasted a whole week (Gen. xxix. 27; Judg. xiv. 15; Tobit ix. 12; x. 1).

They have no wine. Implying a request for help, not necessarily the expectation of a miracle.

4. Woman. Implying no severity nor disrespect. Compare xx. 13, 15. It was a highly respectful and affectionate mode of address.

What have I to do with thee ($\tau l \in \mu o l \times a l \sigma o l$). Lit., what is there to me and to thee. See on Mark v. 7, and compare Matt. viii. 29; xxvii. 19; Mark i. 24; Luke viii. 28. It occurs often in the Old Testament, 2 Sam. xvi. 10; 1 Kings xvii. 18, etc. Though in a gentle and affectionate manner, Jesus rejects her interference, intending to supply the demand in His own way. Compare John vi. 6. Wyc., What to me and to thee, thou woman?

Mine hour is not yet come. Compare viii. 20; xii. 23; xiii. 1. In every case the coming of the hour indicates some crisis in the personal life of the Lord, more commonly His passion. Here the hour of His Messianic manifestation (ver. 11).

- 5. Unto the servants (διακόνοις). See on Matt. xx. 26; Mark ix. 35.
- 6. Water-pots (ὑδρίαι). Used by John only, and only in the Gospel, ver. 7; iv. 28. Water-pots is literally correct, as the word is from ΰδωρ, water.

Of stone. Because less liable to impurity, and therefore prescribed by the Jewish authorities for washing before and after meals.

After the manner of the purifying, etc. That is, for the purifications customary among the Jews.

Containing (χωροῦσαι). From χῶρος, a place or space. Hence, to make room or give place, and so, to have space or room for holding something.

Firkins (μετρητάς). Only here in the New Testament. From μετρέω, to measure; and therefore, properly, a measurer. A liquid measure containing nearly nine gallons.

- 7. Fill (γεμίσατε). Compare Mark iv. 37, and see on Luke xiv. 23.
- 8. Draw out (ἀντλήσατε). From ἄντλος, the hold of a ship where the bilge-water settles, and hence, the bilge-water itself. The verb, therefore, originally, means to bale out bilge-water; thence, generally, to draw, as from a well (iv. 15). Canon Westcott thinks that the water which was changed into wine was not taken from the vessels of purification, but that the servants were bidden, after they had filled the vessels with water, to continue drawing from the well or spring.

Ruler of the feast (ἀρχιτρικλίνφ). From ἄρχω, to be chief, and τρίκλινον, Lat., triclinium, a banqueting-hall with three couches (see on Mark vi. 39). Some explain the word as meaning the superintendent of the banqueting-chamber, a servant whose duty it was to arrange the table-furniture and the courses, and to taste the food beforehand. Others as meaning one of the guests selected to preside at the banquet according to the Greek and Roman usage. This latter view seems to be supported by a passage in Ecclesiasticus (xxxv. 1, 2): "If thou be made the master of a feast, lift not thyself up, but be among them as one of the rest; take diligent care for them, and so sit down. And when thou hast done all thy office, take thy place, that thou mayst be merry with them, and receive a crown for thy well ordering of the feast." According to the Greek and Roman custom, the ruler of the feast was chosen by throwing the dice. Thus Horace, in his ode to his friend Sestius, says, moralizing on the brevity of life: "Soon the home of Pluto will be thine, nor wilt thou cast lots with the dice for the presidency over the wine." He prescribed the

proportions of wine and water, and could also impose fines for failures to guess riddles, etc. As the success of the feast depended largely upon him, his selection was a matter of some delicacy. Plato says, "Must we not appoint a sober man and a wise to be our master of the revels? For if the ruler of drinkers be himself young and drunken, and not over-wise, only by some special good fortune will he be saved from doing some great evil" ("Laws," 640). The word occurs only here and ver. 9. Wyc. simply transcribes: architriclyn.

10. Have well drunk (μεθυσθώσι). Wyc., be filled. Tynd., be drunk. The A. V. and Tynd. are better than the Rev., when men have drunk freely. The ruler of the feast means that when the palates of the guests have become less sensitive through indulgence, an inferior quality of wine is offered. In every instance of its use in the New Testament the word means intoxication. The attempt of the advocates of the unfermented-wine theory to deny or weaken this sense by citing the well-watered garden (Isa. lviii. 11; Jer. xxxi. 12) scarcely requires comment. One might answer by quoting Plato, who uses βαπτίζεσθαι, to be baptized, for being drunk ("Symposium," 176). In the Septuagint the verb repeatedly occurs for watering (Ps. lxv. 9, 10), but always with the sense of drenching or soaking; of being drunken or surfeited with water. In Jer. xlviii. (Sept. xxxi.) 26, it is found in the literal sense, to be drunken. The metaphorical use of the word has passed into common slang, as when a drunken man is said to be wetted or soaked (so Plato, above). The figurative use of the word in the Septuagint has a parallel in the use of morly, to give to drink, to express the watering of ground. So Gen. ii. 6, a mist watered the face of the earth, or gave it drink. Compare Gen. xiii. 10; Deut. xi. 10. A curious use of the word occurs in Homer, where he is describing the stretching of a bull's hide, which, in order to make it more elastic, is soaked (μεθύουσαν) with fat ("Iliad," xvii., 390).

Worse $(\dot{\epsilon}\lambda \acute{a}\sigma\sigma\omega)$. Lit., smaller. Implying both worse and weaker. Small appears in the same sense in English, as small-beer.

Hast kept (τετήρηκας). See on 1 Pet. i. 4.

11. This beginning. Or, more strictly, this as a beginning.

Of miracles (σημείων). Rev., correctly, signs. See on Matt. xi. 20; xxiv. 24. This act was not merely a prodigy (τέρας), nor a wonderful thing (θαυμάσιον), nor a power (δύναμις), but distinctively a sign, a mark of the doer's power and grace, and divine character. Hence it falls in perfectly with the words manifested His glory.

Believed on Him (ἐπίστευσαν εἰς αὐτὸν). See on i. 12. Lit., believed *into*. Canon Westcott most aptly says that it conveys the idea of "the absolute transference of trust from one's self to another."

- 12. He went down ($\kappa a \tau \epsilon \beta \eta$). Capernaum being on the lake shore, and Nazareth and Cana on the higher ground.
- 13. The Jews' passover. On John's use of the term Jews, see on i. 19. So it is used here with an under-reference to the national religion as consisting in mere ceremonies. The same hint underlies the words in ver. 6, "after the Jews' manner of purifying." Only John mentions this earliest passover of Christ's ministry. The Synoptists relate no incident of his ministry in Judæa, and but for the narrative of John, it could not be positively asserted that Jesus went up to Jerusalem during His public life until the time of His arrest and crucifixion.
- 14. The temple $(i\epsilon\rho\hat{\varphi})$. The temple inclosure: not the sanctuary $(va\acute{o}s)$. See on Matt. ix. 5; Mark xi. 16.

Those that sold $(\tau o \dot{v}_S \pi \omega \lambda o \hat{v} v \tau a_S)$. The article defines them as a well-known class.

Changers of money ($\kappa\epsilon\rho\mu\alpha\tau\iota\sigma\tau\dot{\alpha}s$). Only here in the New Testament. The kindred noun $\kappa\epsilon\rho\mu\alpha$, money, which occurs only in ver. 15, is from $\kappa\epsilon\ell\rho\omega$, to cut into bits, and means therefore small coin; "small change," of which the money-changers would require a large supply. Hence changers of money means,

strictly, dealers in small change. Matthew and Mark use KOAλυβιστής (see ver. 15), of which the meaning is substantially the same so far as regards the dealing in small coin; but with the difference that κόλλυβος, the noun from which it is derived, and meaning a small coin, is also used to denote the rate of ex-This latter word therefore gives a hint of the premium on exchange, which John's word here does not convey. The money-changers opened their stalls in the country towns a month before the feast. By the time of the first arrivals of passover-pilgrims at Jerusalem, the country stalls were closed, and the money-changers sat in the temple (see on Matt. xvii. 24; xxi. 12; Mark xi. 15). John's picture of this incident is more graphic and detailed than those of the Synoptists, who merely state summarily the driving out of the traders and the overthrow of the tables. Compare Matt. xxi. 12, 13; Mark xi. 15-17; Luke xix. 45, 46.*

15. A scourge (φραγέλλιον). Only here in the New Testament. Only John records this detail.

Of small cords ($\epsilon \kappa \sigma \chi o \iota \nu \ell \omega \nu$). The Rev. omits small, but the word is a diminutive of $\sigma \chi o \iota \nu \sigma \sigma$, a rush, and thence a rope of twisted rushes. The A. V. is therefore strictly literal. Herodotus says that when Crosus besieged Ephesus, the Ephesians made an offering of their city to Diana, by stretching a small rope ($\sigma \chi o \iota \nu l \sigma \nu$) from the town wall to the temple of the goddess, a distance of seven furlongs (i., 26). The schoene was an Egyptian measure of length, marked by a rush-rope. See Herodotus, ii. 6. Some find in this the etymology of skein.

Drove out $(i\xi i\beta a\lambda \epsilon \nu)$. Lit., as Rev., cast out. See on Matt. x. 34; xii. 35; Mark i. 12; Jas. ii. 25.

All. Referring to the animals. The A. V. makes the reference to the traders; but Rev., correctly, "cast all out—both the sheep and the oxen."

^{*} I do not raise the question whether the narratives of John and of the Synoptists refer to the same event.

Money. See on ver. 14.

Tables. Wyc., turned upside down the boards. See on Luke xix. 23.

16. My Father's house. See on Father's business, Luke ii. 49, and compare Matt. xxiii. 38, where Jesus speaks of the temple as your house. The people had made God's house their own.

Merchandise $(\epsilon \mu \pi o \rho lov)$. Only here in the New Testament. The Synoptists say a den of robbers.

17. It was written (γεγραμμένον ἐστὶν). Lit., it stands written. This form of the phrase, the participle with the substantive verb, is peculiar to John in place of the more common γέγραπται. For a similar construction see iii. 21.

The zeal of thine house. Jealousy for the honor of God's house. Zeal, ζήλος, from ζέω, to boil. See on Jas. iii. 14.

Hath eaten me up (κατέφαγέ με). So the Sept., Ps. lxviii. (A. V., lxix. 9). But the best texts read καταφάγεται, shall eat up. So Rev., Wyc., "The fervor of love of thine house hath eaten me."

18. Answered. Often used in reply to an objection or criticism, or to something present in another's mind, as xix. 7, or iii. 3, where Jesus answers with reference to the error in Nicodemus' mind, rather than in direct reply to his address.

Destroy this temple (λύσατε τὸν ναὸν τοῦτον). Destroy, lit., loosen. Wyc., undo. See on Mark xiii. 2; Luke ix. 12; Acts v. 38. Notice that the word for temple is ναὸν, sanctuary (see on ver. 14). This temple points to the literal temple, which is truly a temple only as it is the abode of God, hence sanctuary, but with a typical reference to Jesus' own person as the

holy dwelling-place of God who "was in Christ." Compare 1 Cor. iii. 16, 17. Christ's death was therefore the pulling down of the temple, and His resurrection its rebuilding. The imperative in destroy is of the nature of a challenge. Compare fill ye up, Matt. xxiii. 32.

20. Forty and six years was this temple in building (τεσσαράκοντα καὶ εξ ἔτεσιν ῷκοδομήθη ὁ ναὸς οὖτος). Lit., In forty and six years was this temple built. It was spoken of as completed, although not finished until thirty-six years later.

Thou. The position of the Greek pronoun makes it emphatic.

- 21. He (¿κεῖνος). See on i. 18. Emphatic, and marking the contrast between the deeper meaning of Jesus and the literalism of the Jews and of His disciples (see next verse). For other illustrations of John's pointing out the meaning of words of Jesus which were not at first understood, see vii. 39; xii. 33; xxi. 19.
- 22. Was risen $(\dot{\eta}\gamma\acute{e}\rho \Im\eta)$. Rev., more correctly, was raised. The same verb as in vv. 19, 20.

Had said ($\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu$). Rev., more correctly, He spake. The best texts omit *unto them*.

Believed the Scripture ($\epsilon \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \sigma a \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \gamma \rho a \phi \hat{\eta}$). Notice that $\epsilon \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \sigma a \nu$, believed, is used here with the simple dative, and not with the preposition $\epsilon i s$, into (see on i. 12). The meaning is, therefore, they believed that the Scripture was true. On $\gamma \rho a \phi \hat{\eta}$, a passage or section of Scripture, see on Mark xii. 10.

In John, as elsewhere, the word almost always refers to a particular passage cited in the context. The only two exceptions are xvii. 12; xx. 9. For the Old Testament, as a whole, John always uses the plural ai γραφαί. The passage referred to here is probably Ps. xvi. 10. Compare Acts ii. 27, 31; xiii. 35.

The word. The saying just uttered concerning the destruction of the temple.

23. At the passover. Note the omission of of the Jews (ver. 13).

In the feast-day ($\vec{\epsilon}\nu \tau \hat{\eta} \vec{\epsilon}o\rho\tau \hat{\eta}$). Rev., during the feast. The feast of unleavened bread, during the seven days succeeding the actual passover (see on Mark xiv. 1).

Believed on $(\epsilon \pi l \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \sigma a \nu \epsilon l s)$. The stronger expression of faith (i. 12).

His name. See on i. 12. With the phrase believe on His name, compare believe on Him (viii. 30), which is the stronger expression, indicating a casting of one's self upon Him; while to believe on the name is rather to believe in Him as being that which he claims to be, in this case the Messiah. It is believing recognition rather than appropriation. "Their faith in His name (as that of the Messiah) did not yet amount to any decision of their inner life for Jesus, but was only an opinion produced by the sight of His miracles, that He was the Messiah" (Meyer).

When they saw (θεωροῦντες). Rev., literally and rightly, beholding (see on i. 14, 29).

He did $(\epsilon \pi o l \epsilon \iota)$. Better, was doing; the imperfect denoting the wonderful works as in progress.

24. But Jesus (αὐτὸς δὲ ὁ Ἰησοῦς). The αὐτὸς, which does not appear in translation, has the force of on His part, marking the contrast with those just mentioned.

Did not commit (οὐκ ἐπίστευεν). Rev., trust. There is a kind of word-play between this and ἐπίστευσαν, believed, in the preceding verse. Wyc. reproduces it: "Jesus himself believed not himself to them." He did not trust His person to them. Tynd., put not himself in their hands. "He had no faith in their faith" (Godet).

Because He knew (διὰ τὸ αὐτὸν γινώσκειν). Lit., on account

of the fact of His knowing. John describes the Lord's knowledge by two words which it is important to distinguish. Γινώσκειν, as here, implies acquired knowlege; knowledge which is the result of discernment and which may be enlarged. This knowledge may be drawn from external facts (v. 6; vi. 15) or from spiritual sympathy (x. 14, 27; xvii. 25). Εἰδέναι (i. 26) implies absolute knowledge: the knowledge of intuition and of satisfied conviction. Hence it is used of Christ's knowledge of divine things (iii. 11; v. 32; vii. 29), of the facts of His own being (vi. 6; viii. 14; xiii. 1), and of external facts (vi. 61, 64; xiii. 11). In xxi. 17 the two words appear together. Peter says to Jesus, appealing to His absolute knowledge, "Thou knowest (οίδας) all things:" appealing to his discernment, "Thou knowest or perceivest (γινώσκεις) that I love Thee."

25. He needed not (οὐ χρείαν εἶχεν). Lit., he had not need.

Testify (μ aρτυρήση). Rev., better, bear witness. The same word is in i. 7, 8, 15, 32 (see on i. 7).

Of man (περὶ τοῦ ἀνθρώπου). Better, as Rev., concerning man.

He knew (αὐτὸς ἐγίνωσκεν). The pronoun is expressed, and with a view to emphasis, as Rev., "He himself knew." The imperfect expresses continuance: He was all along cognizant as the successive cases presented themselves; thus falling in with the next words, "what was in the man," i.e., in each particular man with whom He had to do. No such characteristic as this was attributed to the gods of Paganism. "While, then, the gift of anything like general foreknowledge appears to be withheld from all the deities of invention, that of 'the discerner of the thoughts and intents of the heart,' is nowhere found; nor was it believed of any member of the Olympian community, as it was said of One greater than they, 'He knew all men, and needed not that any should testify of man, for He knew what was in man,'" (Gladstone, "Homer and the Homeric Age," ii., 366).

CHAPTER III.

1. A man. With a reference to the last word of the previous chapter. The interview with Nicodemus is, apart from the important truth which it embodies, an illustration of Christ's knowledge of what was in man. Godet truthfully observes that John reminds us by the word $\mathring{a}v \mathcal{P}\rho\omega\pi\sigma_{0}$ (man), that Nicodemus was a specimen of the race which Jesus knew so well.

Named Nicodemus. Lit., Nicodemus, the name unto him. The name means conqueror of the people (vlkn, victory, and $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu os$, people), though some give it a Hebrew derivation meaning innocent blood.

A ruler. A member of the Sanhedrim.

2. To Jesus. The best texts substitute πρὸς αὐτὸν, to him.

By night. Through timidity, fearing to compromise his dignity, and possibly his safety. The fact is noticed again, xix. 39 (see on vii. 50). By night, "when Jewish superstition would keep men at home." He could reach Jesus' apartment without being observed by the other inmates of the house, for an outside stair led to the upper room.

Rabbi. The teacher of Israel (ver. 10) addresses Jesus by the title applied by his own disciples to himself—my master (see on i. 38). "We may be sure that a member of the sect that carefully scrutinized the Baptist's credentials (i. 19-24) would not lightly address Jesus by this title of honor, or acknowledge Him as teacher" (Milligan and Moulton).

We know $(o''\delta a\mu \epsilon \nu)$. Assured conviction based on Jesus' miracles (see on ii. 24).

Thou art a teacher. According to the Greek order, that thou art come from God as teacher.

From God. These words stand first in the sentence as emphatic. It is from God that thou hast come.

3. Answered and said. See on ii. 18.

Verily, verily. See on i. 51.

Be born again (γεννηθή ἄνωθεν). See on Luke i. 3. Lit., from the top (Matt. xxvii. 51). Expositors are divided on the rendering of ἄνωθεν, some translating, from above, and others, again or anew. The word is used in the following senses in the New Testament, where it occurs thirteen times:

- 1. From the top: Matt. xxvii. 51; Mark xv. 38; John xix. 23.
 - 2. From above: John iii. 31; xix. 11; Jas. i. 17; iii. 15, 17.
 - 3. From the beginning: Luke i. 3; Acts xxvi. 5.
 - 4. Again: Gal. iv. 9, but accompanied by πάλιν, again.

In favor of the rendering from above, it is urged that it corresponds to John's habitual method of describing the work of spiritual regeneration as a birth from God (i. 13; 1 John iii. 9; iv. 7; v. 1, 4, 8); and further, that it is Paul, and not John, who describes it as a new birth.

In favor of the other rendering, again, it may be said: 1. that from above does not describe the fact but the nature of the new birth, which in the logical order would be stated after the fact, but which is first announced if we render from above. If we translate anew or again, the logical order is preserved, the nature of the birth being described in ver. 5. 2. That Nicodemus clearly understood the word as meaning again, since, in ver. 4, he translated it into a second time. 3. That it seems strange that Nicodemus should have been startled by the idea of a birth from heaven.

Canon Westcott calls attention to the traditional form of the saying in which the word ἀναγεννᾶσθαι, which can only mean reborn, is used as its equivalent. Again, however, does not give the exact force of the word, which is rather as Rev., anew, or afresh. Render, therefore, as Rev., except a man be born anew. The phrase occurs only in John's Gospel.

See (ἰδεῖν). The things of God's kingdom are not apparent to the natural vision. A new power of sight is required, which attaches only to the new man. Compare 1 Cor. ii. 14.

Kingdom of God. See on Luke vi. 20.

4. When he is old (γέρων ων). Lit., being an old man.

Can he $(\mu \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\nu} \nu a \tau a \iota)$. The interrogative particle anticipates a negative answer. Surely he cannot.

Second time. Nicodemus looks at the subject merely from the physical side. His second time is not the same as Jesus' anew. As Godet remarks, "he does not understand the difference between a second beginning and a different beginning."

5. Born of water and the Spirit. The exposition of this much controverted passage does not fall within the scope of this work. We may observe, 1. That Jesus here lays down the preliminary conditions of entrance into His kingdom, expanding and explaining His statement in ver. 3. 2. That this condition is here stated as complex, including two distinct factors, water and the Spirit. 3. That the former of these two factors is not to be merged in the latter; that the spiritual element is not to exclude or obliterate the external and ritual element. We are not to understand with Calvin, the Holy Spirit as the purifying water in the spiritual sense: "water which is the Spirit." 4. That water points definitely to the rite of baptism, and that with a twofold reference—to the past and to the future. Water naturally suggested to Nicodemus the baptism of John, which was then awakening such profound and general interest; and, with this, the symbolical purifications of the Jews, and the Old Testament use of washing as the figure of purifying from sin (Ps. li. 2, 7; Ezek. xxxvi. 25; Zech. xiii. 1). Jesus' words opened to Nicodemus a new and more spiritual significance in both the ceremonial purifications and the baptism of John which the Pharisees had rejected (Luke vii. 30). John's rite had a real and legitimate relation to the kingdom of God which Nicodemus must accept. 5. That while Jesus asserted

the obligation of the outward rite, He asserted likewise, as its necessary complement, the presence and creating and informing energy of the Spirit with which John had promised that the coming one should baptize. That as John's baptism had been unto repentance, for the remission of sins, so the new life must include the real no less than the symbolic cleansing of the old. sinful life, and the infusion by the Spirit of a new and divine principle of life. Thus Jesus' words included a prophetic reference to the complete ideal of Christian baptism-"the washing of regeneration and the renewing of the Holy Ghost" (Tit. iii. 5; Eph. v. 26); according to which the two factors are inseparably blended (not the one swallowed up by the other), and the new life is inaugurated both symbolically in the baptism with water, and actually in the renewing by the Holy Spirit, vet so as that the rite, through its association with the Spirit's energy, is more than a mere symbol: is a veritable vehicle of grace to the recipient, and acquires a substantial part in the inauguration of the new life. Baptism, considered merely as a rite, and apart from the operation of the Spirit, does not and cannot impart the new life. Without the Spirit it is a lie. It is a truthful sign only as the sign of an inward and spiritual grace. 6. That the ideal of the new life presented in our Lord's words. includes the relation of the regenerated man to an organization. The object of the new birth is declared to be that a man may see and enter into the kingdom of God. But the kingdom of God is an economy. It includes and implies the organized Christian community. This is one of the facts which, with its accompanying obligation, is revealed to the new vision of the new man. He sees not only God, but the kingdom of God; God as King of an organized citizenship; God as the Father of the family of mankind; obligation to God implying obligation to the neighbor; obligation to Christ implying obligation to the church, of which He is the head, "which is His body, the fulness of Him that filleth all things with all things" (Eph. i. 23). Through water alone, the mere external rite of baptism, a man may pass into the outward fellowship of the visible church without seeing or entering the kingdom of God. Through water and the Spirit, he passes indeed into the outward fellowship, but through that into the vision and fellowship of the kingdom of God.

Enter into. This more than see (ver. 3). It is to become partaker of; to go in and possess, as the Israelites did Canaan.

6. That which is born (τὸ γεγεννημένον). Strictly, that which hath been born, and consequently is now before us as born. The acrist tense (3, 4, 5, 7), marks the fact of birth; the perfect (as here), the state of that which has been born (see on 1 John v. 18, where both tenses occur); the neuter, that which, states the principle in the abstract. Compare ver. 8, where the statement is personal: everyone that is born. Compare 1 John v. 4, and 1 John v. 1, 18.

Of the flesh ($\epsilon \kappa \tau \eta s$ $\sigma a \rho \kappa \delta s$). See on ver. 14. John uses the word $\sigma \acute{a} \rho \xi$ generally, to express humanity under the conditions of this life (i. 14; 1 John iv. 2, 3, 7; 2 John 7), with sometimes a more definite hint at the sinful and fallible nature of humanity (1 John ii. 16; John viii. 15). Twice, as opposed to $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu a$, Spirit (iii. 6; vi. 63).

Of the Spirit (ἐκ τοῦ πνεύματος). The Holy Spirit of God, or the principle of life which He imparts. The difference is slight, for the two ideas imply each other; but the latter perhaps is better here, because a little more abstract, and so contrasted with the flesh. Spirit and flesh are the distinguishing principles, the one of the heavenly, the other of the earthly economy.

- 7. Unto thee—ye must. Note the change from the singular to the plural pronoun. In his address to Nicodemus (thee) the Lord had spoken also to those whom Nicodemus represented, and whom he had included when he said "we know" (ver. 2). His error was the error of his class.
- 8. The wind $(\tau \delta \pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu a)$. Some hold by the translation spirit, as Wyc., the spirit breatheth where it will. In Hebrew the words spirit and wind are identical. $\Pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu a$ is from $\pi \nu \epsilon \omega$ to breathe or blow, the verb used in this verse (bloweth), and

everywhere in the New Testament of the blowing of the wind (Matt. vii. 25, 27; Luke xii. 55; John vi. 18). It frequently occurs in the classics in the sense of wind. Thus Aristophanes, τὸ πνεῦμ' ἔλαττον γίγνεται, the wind is dying away ("Knights," 441), also in the New Testament, Heb. i. 7, where the proper translation is, "who maketh His angels winds," quoted from Ps. ciii. 4 (Sept.). In the Septuagint, 1 Kings xviii. 45; xix. 11; 2 Kings iii. 17; Job i. 19. In the New Testament, in the sense of breath, 2 Thess. ii. 8; Apoc. xi. 11. The usual rendering, wind, is confirmed here by the use of the kindred verb πνεῦ, bloweth, and by φωνὴν, sound, voice. Tholuck thinks that the figure may have been suggested to Jesus by the sound of the night-wind sweeping through the narrow street.

Where it listeth (ὅπου Θέλω). On the verb Θέλω, to will or determine, see on Matt. i. 19. Listeth is old English for pleaseth or willeth, from the Anglo-Saxon lust, meaning pleasure. Chaucer has the forms leste, lust, and list.

"Strong was the wyn, and wel to drynke us leste (pleased)."
"Canterbury Tales," 752.

"Love if thee lust."

" Canterbury Tales," 1185.

"She walketh up and down wher as hire list (wherever she pleases)."
"Canterbury Tales," 1054.

"A wretch by fear, not force, like Hannibal,
Drives back our troops, and conquers as she *lists*."
Shakspeare, "Henry VI.," Pt. I., i., v., 22.

Hence listless is devoid of desire. The statement of Jesus is not meant to be scientifically precise, but is rather thrown into a poetic mould, akin to the familiar expression "free as the wind." Compare 1 Cor. xii. 11; and for the more prosaic description of the course of the wind, see Eccl. i. 6.

Sound $(\phi\omega\nu\dot{\eta}\nu)$. Rev., voice. Used both of articulate and inarticulate utterances, as of the words from heaven at Jesus'

baptism and transfiguration (Matt. iii. 17; 2 Pet. i. 17, 18); of the trumpet (Matt. xxiv. 31; 1 Cor. xiv. 8), and of inanimate things in general (1 Cor. xiv. 17). John the Baptist calls himself $\phi\omega\nu\dot{\eta}$, a voice, and the word is used of the wind, as here, in Acts ii. 6. Of thunder, often in the Apocalypse (vi. 1; xiv. 2, etc.).

Canst not tell (où v olòas). Better, as Rev., knowest not. Socrates, (Xenophon's "Memorabilia)," says, "The instruments of the deities you will likewise find imperceptible; for the thunder-bolt, for instance, though it is plain that it is sent from above, and works its will with everything with which it comes in contact, is yet never seen either approaching, or striking, or retreating; the winds, too, are themselves invisible, though their effects are evident to us, and we perceive their course" (iv., 3, 14). Compare Eccl. xi. 5.

- So. So the subject of the Spirit's invisible influence gives visible evidence of its power.
 - 9. These things. Such as the new birth.

Be (γενέσθαι). Lit., come to pass.

10. Answered and said. See on ii. 18.

Art thou a master of Israel (σὐ εἰ ὁ διδάσκαλος τοῦ Ισραὴλ). The σὐ, thou, is emphatic. A master is more correctly rendered by Rev., the teacher. Not ironical, but the article marks Nicodemus' official relation to the people, and gives additional force to the contrast in the following words. Similarly Plato: "Will you (σὐ, emphatic), O professor of true virtue, pretend that you are justified in this?" ("Crito," 51). On "Israel," see on i. 47. The word occurs four times in John's Gospel; here, i. 31, 47, 49.

Knowest not (οὐ γινώσκεις). See on ii. 24. Nicodemus is not reproved for the want of previous knowledge, but for the want of perception or understanding when these truths are expounded to him. Rev., better, understandest not.

11. We speak—we know—we have seen. After the use of the singular number in vv. 3, 5, 7, 12, the plural here is noteworthy. It is not merely rhetorical—"a plural of majesty"—but is explained by ver. 8, "every one that is born of the Spirit." The new birth imparts a new vision. The man who is born of the Spirit hath eternal life (ver. 36); and life eternal is to know God and Jesus Christ whom He hath sent (xvii. 3). "Ye have an anointing from the Holy One, and ye know (οἴδατε) all things"* (1 John ii. 20). He who is born of water and of the Spirit sees the kingdom of God. This we therefore includes, with Jesus, all who are truly born anew of the Spirit. Jesus meets the we know of Nicodemus (ver. 2), referring to the class to which he belonged, with another we know, referring to another class, of which He was the head and representative. We know (οἴδαμεν), absolutely. See on ii. 24.

Testify $(\mu a \rho \tau \nu \rho o \hat{\nu} \mu \epsilon \nu)$. Rev., better, bear witness of. See on i. 7.

12. Have told ($\epsilon l\pi o\nu$). Rendering the agrist more strictly, I told.

Earthly things ($\tau \lambda i m l \gamma e a$). Compounded of i m l, upon, and $\gamma \hat{\eta}$, earth. In Col. iii. 2, the adjective appears in its analyzed form, $\tau \lambda i m l \tau \hat{\eta} s$, $\gamma \hat{\eta} s$, things on the earth. It is in this literal sense it is to be taken here; not things of earthly nature, but things whose proper place is on earth. Not worldly affairs, nor things sinful, but, on the contrary, "those facts and phenomena of the higher life as a class, which have their seat and manifestation on earth; which belong in their realization to our present existence; which are seen in their consequences, like the issues of birth; which are sensible in their effects, like the action of the wind; which are a beginning and a prophecy, and not a fulfilment" (Westcott). The earthly things would therefore include the phenomena of the new birth.

[•] Or, according to some high authorities, "ye all know."

Heavenly things (τὰ ἐπουράνια). Compounded with ἐπι, upon or in, and οὐρανός, heaven. Not holy things as compared with sinful, nor spiritual things as compared with temporal; but things which are in heaven, mysteries of redemption, having their seat in the divine will, realized in the world through the work and death of Jesus Christ and the faith of mankind (v. 14–16). Thus it is said (ver. 13) that the Son of man who is in heaven came down out of heaven, and in vv. 31, 32 that He that cometh out of heaven beareth witness (on earth) of what He has seen and heard; and that, being sent from God, He speaketh the words of God (ver. 34).

It has been urged against the genuineness of the fourth Gospel that the lofty and mystical language which is there ascribed to Jesus is inconsistent with the synoptical reports of That if the one represents truthfully His style of His words. speaking, the other must misrepresent it. Godet's words on this point are worth quoting: "It would be truly curious that the first who should have pointed out that contrast should be the Evangelist himself against whose narrative it has been brought forward as a ground of objection. The author of the fourth Gospel puts these words (iii. 12) into the mouth of Jesus. He there declares that He came down from heaven to bring this divine message to the world. The author of the fourth Gospel was then clearly aware of two ways of teaching adopted by Jesus: the one the usual, in which he explained earthly things, evidently always in their relation to God and His kingdom; the other, which contrasted in many respects with the first, and which Jesus employed only exceptionally, in which He spoke directly, and as a witness, of God and the things of God, always naturally in connection with the fate of mankind. The instructions of the first kind had a more simple, more practical, more varied character. They referred to the different situations of life; it was the exposition of the true moral relations of men to each other, and of men to God. . . . But in that way Jesus could not attain to the final aim which He sought, the full revelation of the divine mystery, of the plan of salvation. Since His baptism Jesus had heaven constantly open before Him; the decree of salvation was disclosed to Him; He had, in particular, heard these words: 'Thou art my well beloved Son;' He reposed on the Father's bosom, and He could descend and redescend without ceasing into the depths of the Father's fathomless love, of which He felt the vivifying power; and when He came, at certain exceptional moments, to speak of that divine relationship, and to give scope to that fulness of life with which it supplied Him, His language took a peculiar, solemn, mystical, one might even say a heavenly tone; for they were heavenly things which He then revealed. Now such is precisely the character of His language in the fourth Gospel." Compare Luke x. 18, sqq., where Jesus' words take on a character similar to that of His utterances in John.

13. And (καί). Note the simple connective particle, with nothing to indicate the logical sequence of the thought.

Hath ascended. Equivalent to hath been in. Jesus says that no one has been in heaven except the Son of man who came down out of heaven; because no man could be in heaven without having ascended thither.

Which is in heaven. Many authorities omit.

14. Must $(\delta \hat{\epsilon i})$. Must signifies the eternal necessity in the divine counsels. Compare Luke xxiv. 26, 46; Matt. xxvi. 54; Mark viii. 31; John xii. 34.

Lifted up (ὑψωθῆναι). The following are the uses of the word in the New Testament: The exaltation of pride (Matt. xi. 23; Luke x. 15; xiv. 11). The raising of the humble (Luke i. 52; Jas. iv. 10; 1 Pet. v. 6). The exaltation of Christ in glory (Acts ii. 33; v. 31). The uplifting on the cross (John iii. 14; viii. 28; xii. 32, 34). The reference here is to the crucifixion, but beyond that, to the glorification of Christ. It is characteristic of John to blend the two ideas of Christ's passion and glory (viii. 28; xii. 32). Thus, when Judas went out to betray him, Jesus said, "Now is the Son of man glorified" (xiii. 31). Hence the believer overcomes the world through

faith in Him who came not by water only, but by water and blood (1 John v. 4-6).

15. Believeth in Him (πιστεύων εἰς αὐτὸν). The best texts read ἐν αὐτῷ, construing with have eternal life, and rendering may in Him have eternal life. So Rev.

Should not perish, but. The best texts omit.

Have eternal life. A characteristic phrase of John for live forever. See vv. 16, 36; v. 24; vi. 40, 47, 54; 1 John iii. 15; v. 12.

The interview with Nicodemus closes with ver. 15; and the succeeding words are John's. This appears from the following facts: 1. The past tenses loved and gave, in ver. 16, better suit the later point of view from which John writes, after the atoning death of Christ was an accomplished historic fact, than the drift of the present discourse of Jesus before the full revelation of that work. 2. It is in John's manner to throw in explanatory comments of his own (i. 16-18; xii. 37-41), and to do so abruptly. See i. 15, 16, and on and, i. 16. 3. Ver. 19 is in the same line of thought with i. 9-11 in the Prologue; and the tone of that verse is historic, carrying the sense of past rejection, as loved darkness; were evil. 4. The phrase believe on the name is not used elsewhere by our Lord, but by John (i. 12; ii. 23; 1 John v. 13). 5. The phrase only-begotten son is not elsewhere used by Jesus of himself, but in every case by the Evangelist (i. 14, 18; 1 John iv. 9). 6. The phrase to do truth (ver. 21) occurs elsewhere only in 1 John i. 6.*

16. The world ($\kappa \delta \sigma \mu o \nu$). See on i. 9.

Gave. Rather than sent; emphasizing the idea of sacrifice.

Only-begotten Son. See on i. 14.

Have. See on ver. 15.

^{*} This view, however, is opposed by Meyer, Lange, De Wette, Alford, and Godet.

This attitude of God toward the world is in suggestive contrast with that in which the gods of paganism are represented.

Thus Juno says to Vulcan:

"Dear son, refrain: it is not well that thus
A god should suffer for the sake of men."
"Iliad," xxi., 379, 380.

And Apollo to Neptune:

"Thou would'st not deem me wise, should I contend
With thee, O Neptune, for the sake of men,
Who flourish like the forest-leaves awhile,
And feed upon the fruits of earth, and then
Decay and perish. Let us quit the field,
And leave the combat to the warring hosts."

"Iliad" vvi

"Iliad," xxi., 461, 467.

Man has no assurance of forgiveness even when he offers the sacrifices in which the gods especially delight. "Man's sin and the divine punishment therefor are certain; forgiveness is uncertain, dependent upon the arbitrary caprice of the gods. Human life is a life without the certainty of grace" (Nägelsbach, "Homerische Theologie"). Mr. Gladstone observes: "No Homeric deity ever will be found to make a personal sacrifice on behalf of a human client" ("Homer and the Homeric Age," ii., 372).

17. Sent (ἀπέστειλεν). See on i. 6. Sent rather than gave (ver. 16), because the idea of sacrifice is here merged in that of authoritative commission.

His Son. The best texts read τον, the, for αὐτοῦ, his.

Condemn (κρίνη). Better, as Rev., judge. Condemn is κατακρίνω, not used by John (Matt. xx. 18; Mark x. 33, etc.). The verb κρίνω means, originally, to separate. So Homer, of Ceres separating the grain from the chaff ("Iliad," v., 501). Thence, to distinguish, to pick out, to be of opinion, to judge. See on Hypocrite, Matt. xxiii. 13.

- World. The threefold repetition of the word has a certain solemnity. Compare i. 10; xv. 19.
- 18. Is condemned already (ἤδη κέκριται). Rev., more correctly, hath been judged already. Unbelief, in separating from Christ, implies judgment. He has been judged in virtue of his unbelief.
- 19. This. That is, herein consists the judgment. The prefacing a statement with this is, and then defining the statement by on or lva, that, is characteristic of John. See xv. 12; xvii. 3; 1 John i. 5; v. 11, 14; 3 John 6.

Light $(\tau \hat{o} \phi \hat{\omega} s)$. Rev., correctly, the light. See i. 4, 9.

Men (οἱ ἄνθρωποι). Lit., the men. Regarded as a class.

Darkness (τὸ σκότος). See on i. 5. Rev., correctly, the darkness. John employs this word only here and 1 John i. 6. His usual term is σκοτία (i. 5; viii. 12; 1 John i. 5, etc.), more commonly describing a state of darkness, than darkness as opposed to light.

Were $(\hat{\eta}v)$. Habitually. The imperfect tense marking continuation.

Evil (πονηρά). Actively evil. See on Mark vii. 22; Luke iii. 19.

20. Doeth $(\pi\rho\acute{a}\sigma\sigma\omega\nu)$. The present participle, indicating habit and general tendency.

Evil (φαῦλα). Rev., ill. A different word from that in the previous verse. Originally, light, paltry, trivial, and so worthless. Evil, therefore, considered on the side of worthlessness. See on Jas. iii. 16.

Lest his works should be reproved (ἴνα μὴ ἐλεγχθῆ τὰ ἔργα αὐτοῦ). Rather, in order that his works may not be re-

proved. Έλέγχω, rendered reprove, has several phases of meaning. In earlier classical Greek it signifies to disgrace or put to shame. Thus Ulysses, having succeeded in the trial of the bow, says to Telemachus, "the stranger who sits in thy halls disgraces (ελέγχει) thee not" ("Odyssey, xxi., 424). Then, to cross-examine or question, for the purpose of convincing, convicting, or refuting; to censure, accuse. So Herodotus: "In his reply Alexander became confused, and diverged from the truth, whereon the slaves interposed, confuted his statements (ήλεγγον, cross-questioned and caught him in falsehood). and told the whole history of the crime" (i., 115). The messenger in the "Antigone" of Sophocles, describing the consternation of the watchmen at finding Polynices' body buried, says: "Evil words were bandied among them, gnard accusing (ἐλέγχων) guard" (260). Of arguments, to bring to the proof; prove; prove by a chain of reasoning. It occurs in Pindar in the general sense of to conquer or surpass. "Having descended into the naked race they surpassed (ηλεγξαν) the Grecian band in speed ("Pythia," xi., 75).

In the New Testament it is found in the sense of reprove (Luke iii. 19; 1 Tim. v. 20, etc.). Convince of crime or fault (1 Cor. xiv. 24; Jas. ii 9). To bring to light or expose by conviction (Jas. iii. 20; Eph. v. 11, 13; John viii. 46; see on that passage). So of the exposure of false teachers, and their refutation (Tit. i. 9, 13; ii. 15). To test and expose with a view to correction, and so, nearly equivalent to chasten (Heb. xii. 5). The different meanings unite in the word convict. Conviction is the result of examination, testing, argument. The test exposes and demonstrates the error, and refutes it, thus convincing, convicting, and rebuking the subject of it. This conviction issues in chastening, by which the error is corrected and the erring one purified. If the conviction is rejected, it carries with it condemnation and punishment. The man is thus convicted of sin, of right, and of judgment (John xvi. 8). In this passage the evil-doer is represented as avoiding the light which tests, that light which is the offspring of love (Apoc. iii. 19), and the consequent exposure of his error. Compare Eph. v. 13; John i. 9-11. This idea of loving darkness rather than light is graphically treated in Job xxiv. and runs through vv. 13-17.

- 21. Doeth the truth (ποιῶν τὴν ἀλήθειαν). The phrase occurs only here and in 1 John i. 6. Note the contrasted phrase, doeth evil (ver. 20). There the plural is used: doeth evil things; evil being represented by a number of bad works. Here the singular, the truth, or truth; truth being regarded as one, and "including in a supreme unity all right deeds." There is also to be noted the different words for doing in these two verses: doeth evil (πράσσων); doeth truth (ποιων). The latter verb contemplates the object and end of action; the former the means, with the idea of continuity and repetition. Πράσσων is the practice, while ποιῶν may be the doing once for all. Thus ποιείν εἰρήνην is to conclude a peace: πράσσειν εἰρήνην, to negotiate a peace. So Demosthenes: "He will do (πράξει) these things, and will accomplish them (ποιήσει)." In the New Testament a tendency is observable to use ποιείν in a good sense, and πράσσειν in an evil sense. Compare the kindred word $\pi \rho \hat{a} \xi \nu_{s}$, deed or work, which occurs six times, and in four out of the six of evil doing (Matt. xvi. 27; Luke xxiii. 51; Acts xix. 18; Rom. viii. 13; xii. 14; Col. iii. 9). With this passage compare especially v. 29, where the two verbs are used with the two nouns as here. Also, Rom. vii. 15, 19. Bengel says: "Evil is restless: it is busier than truth." In Roul, i. 32; ii. 3, both verbs are used of doing evil, but still with a distinction in that $\pi\rho\dot{\alpha}\sigma\sigma\omega$ is the more comprehensive term, designating the pursuit of evil as the aim of the activity.
- 21. Cometh to. In contrast with hateth (ver. 20). His love of the light is shown by his seeking it.
- In God. The element of holy action. Notice the perfect tense, have been wrought (as Rev.) and abide.
- 22. The land of Judæa $(\tau \dot{\eta} \nu)$ 'Iov $\delta a la \nu \gamma \dot{\eta} \nu$). Lit., the Judæan land. The phrase occurs only here in the New Testament.

Tarried (διέτριβεν). The verb originally means to rub, hence to wear away, consume; and so of spending or passing time.

Baptized ($\partial \hat{\beta} \hat{a} \pi \tau \iota \zeta \epsilon \nu$). The imperfect tense agrees with the idea of tarrying. He continued baptizing during His stay.

23. Was baptizing $(\tilde{\eta}\nu \beta a\pi\tau i\zeta\omega\nu)$. The substantive verb with the participle also indicating continuous or habitual action; was engaged in baptizing.

Ænon, near to Salim. The situation is a matter of conjecture. The word Ænon is probably akin to the Hebrew áyin, an eye, a spring. See on Jas. iii. 11.

Much water (ὕδατα πολλά). Lit., many waters. Probably referring to a number of pools or springs.

Came-were baptized. Imperfects. They kept coming.

- 24. **Prison** (τὴν φυλακὴν). See on Acts v. 18, 21.
- 25. Then (ov). Not a particle of time but of consequence; therefore, because of both Jesus and John baptizing.

Question ($\zeta \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \sigma \iota s$). Rev., more correctly, questioning. Question would be $\zeta \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \mu a$, always in the sense of a question in debate. The word here represents the process of inquiry.

Between ($\ell \kappa$). Rev., correctly, on the part of. Lit., proceeding from. The rendering of the A. V. does not show with which party the discussion originated. The Greek distinctly states that the question was raised by the disciples of the Baptist.

The Jews. The best texts read 'Iovôalov, with a Jew. Possibly one who asserted that John's baptism might now be dispensed with.

Purifying. Probably not about the familiar ceremonial purifications, but as to whether the baptism of Jesus or of Johnhad the greater purifying power.

26. Behold ($i\delta\epsilon$). Used by both Matthew and Mark, not by Luke, but very frequently by John.

Baptizeth—come. The present would be better rendered by is baptizing, are coming.

27. Receive. Answering to given.

Be given (\hat{j} $\delta \epsilon \delta o \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$). Rev., more correctly, have been given.

From heaven. Lit., out of heaven $(\partial \kappa)$.

29. The bride. A common figure in the Old Testament prophecies, of the relation between Jehovah and His people (Ezek. xvi.; Hos. ii. 19; Mal. ii. 11). See also on Matt. i. 21, concerning Hosea.

Friend of the bridegroom. Or groomsman. The term is appropriate to Judæa, the groomsmen not being customary in See Matt. ix. 15, where the phrase children of the bridechamber is used. (See on Mark ii. 19). In Judæa there were two groomsmen, one for the bridegroom, the other for his bride. Before marriage they acted as intermediaries between the couple; at the wedding they offered gifts, waited upon the the bride and bridegroom, and attended them to the bridal chamber. It was the duty of the friend of the bridegroom to present him to his bride, after marriage to maintain proper terms between the parties, and especially to defend the bride's The Rabbinical writings speak of Moses as the good fame. friend of the bridegroom who leads out the bride to meet Jehovah at Sinai (Ex. xix. 17); and describe Michael and Gabriel as acting as the friends of the bridegroom to our first parents, when the Almighty himself took the cup of blessing

and spoke the benediction. The Baptist represents himself as standing in the same relation to Jesus.

Rejoiceth greatly (χαρᾶ χαίρει). Lit., rejoiceth with joy. A Hebrew idiom. See on Luke xxii. 15, and compare Acts xxiii. 14; Jas. v. 17. Only here in John's writings.

This my joy $(a \ddot{v} \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \chi a \rho \dot{\alpha} \dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\eta})$. A very emphatic expression: this, the joy which is mine. The change of style in the following verses seems to indicate that the words of the Baptist break off at this point, and are taken up and commented upon by the Evangelist.

31. He that cometh (δ ἐρχόμενος). The present participle. The coming regarded as still in process of manifestation. Compare vi. 33.

From above $(a\nu\omega\vartheta\epsilon\nu)$. See on iii. 2.

Above $(\partial \pi \dot{a} \nu \omega)$. Supreme.

Of the earth ($\frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial x} \tau \hat{\eta} \hat{\eta} \hat{\eta} \hat{\eta} \hat{\eta}$). Lit., out of the earth; of earthly origin.

Is earthly. The same phrase, out of the earth, is repeated, signifying of earthly nature. On the characteristic phrase cluat èk, to be of, see on i. 46.

Speaketh of the earth. Out of the earth. His words have an earthly source. On haheî, speaketh, see on Matt. xxviii. 18.

32. Receiveth $(\lambda a\mu\beta\acute{a}\nu\epsilon\iota)$. Once only John uses $\delta\acute{e}\chi o\mu a\iota$ for receive, of the Galilæans receiving Christ (iv. 45). The distinction between the two is not sharply maintained, but $\delta\acute{e}\chi o\mu a\iota$ commonly adds to the idea of taking, that of welcoming. Thus Demosthenes says that the Theban elders did not receive $(\acute{e}\delta\acute{e}\xi a\nu\tau o)$ i.e., with a welcome pleasure, the money which was offered them, nor did they take it $(\acute{e}\lambda a\beta o\nu)$. $\Lambda a\mu-\beta\acute{a}\nu\epsilon\iota$ also includes the retaining of what is taken. Hence of

receiving Christ (i. 12; v. 43; xiii. 20). The phrase receive the witness is peculiar to John (ver. 11; v. 34; 1 John v. 9).

- 33. Hath set to his seal (ἐσφράγισεν). To set to, is to affix. To set to a seal is therefore to attest a document. The expression is retained from Coverdale's version (1535). So, "They must set to their hands, and shall set to their hands." Compare also the old legal formula: "In wittenesse qwherof I have set to myn seele." Rev., better, hath set his seal to this. The meaning here is, has solemnly attested and confirmed the statement "God is true." Only here in this sense. Elsewhere of closing up for security; hiding; marking a person or thing. See on Apoc. xxii. 10. The aorist tense here denotes an accomplished act.
- 34. The words (τὰ ῥήματα). Not words, nor individual words, but the words—the complete message of God. See on Luke i. 37.

God giveth. The best texts omit God. Rev., He giveth. Rev. also, rightly, omits the italicized to Him. The personal object of the verb giveth is indefinite. Render, He giveth not the Spirit by measure.

In order to convey the full force of the terms giveth and by measure, it will be necessary to attempt an explanation of the general scope and meaning of this very difficult and much disputed passage. The starting-point of the exposition must be ver. 30, the Baptist's noble resignation of his own position and claims to Jesus: He must increase, but I must decrease. At this point the Evangelist, as we have seen, takes up the discourse. The Baptist's declaration that Jesus "must increase"—that He is a messenger of a transcendently higher character, and with a far larger and more significant message than his own—furnishes the Evangelist with a text. He will show why Jesus "must increase." He must increase because He comes from above, and is therefore supreme over all (ver. 31). This statement he repeats; defining from above (ἄνωθεν) by out of heaven (ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ), and emphasizing it by contrast with a

mere earthly witness (ὁ ἐκ τῆς γῆς) whose words bear the stamp of his earthly origin (ἐκ τῆς γῆς λαλεῖ). Being from heaven, He testifies of heavenly things, as an eye- and ear-witness. "What He hath seen and heard, of that he beareth witness." It is indeed true that men reject this testimony. "No man receiveth His witness" (ver. 32). None the less it is worthy of implicit credence as the testimony of God himself. He that has received that testimony has solemnly attested it as God's own witness; "hath set his seal to this, that God is true." To declare Jesus' testimony untrue is to declare God untrue (ver. 33). For He whom God hath sent utters the whole divine message (the words of God, ver. 34).

Thus far the reasoning is directed to the conclusion that Jesus *ought* to increase, and that His message ought to be received. He is God's own messenger out of heaven, and speaks God's own words.

The common explanation of the succeeding clause is that God bestows the Spirit upon Jesus in His fulness, "not by measure."

But this is to repeat what has already been more than implied. It would seem to be superfluous to say of one who comes out of heaven, who is supreme over all things, who hears witness of heavenly things which He has seen and heard, and who reveals the whole message of God to men—that God bestows upon Him the Spirit without measure.

Take up, then, the chain of thought from the first clause of ver. 34, and follow it on another line. The Messenger of God speaks the words of God, and thus shows himself worthy of credence, and shows this further, by dispensing the gift of the Spirit in full measure to His disciples. "He giveth not the Spirit by measure." This interpretation adds a new link to the chain of thought; a new reason why Jesus should increase, and His testimony be received; the reason, namely, that not only is He himself divinely endowed with the Spirit, but that He proves it by dispensing the Spirit in full measure.

Thus ver. 35 follows in natural sequence. This dispensing power which attests His claims, is His through the gift of the divine Father's love. "The Father loveth the Son, and hath given all things into His hand." This latter phrase, into His hand, signifies not only possession, but the power of disposal. See Mark ix. 31; xiv. 41; Luke xxiii. 46; Heb. x. 31. God has given the Son all things to administer according to His own pleasure and rule. These two ideas of Christ's reception and bestowment of divine gifts are combined in Matt. xi. 27. "All things are delivered unto me of my Father; and no man knoweth the Son but the Father, neither knoweth any man the Father save the Son, and He to whomsoever the Son may determine (βούληται) to reveal Him."

Therefore John the Baptist must decrease, and Jesus must increase. A measure of the Spirit was given to the Baptist, sufficient for his preparatory work, but the Baptist himself saw the Spirit descending in a bodily form upon the Son of God, and heard the voice from heaven, "This is my beloved Son, in whom I am well pleased." The Spirit is thus Christ's own. He dispenses, gives it $(\delta i\delta\omega\sigma\iota\nu)$, in its fulness. Hence Jesus said, later, of the Spirit of truth, "He shall glorify Me, for He shall receive of mine, and shall show it unto you. All things that the Father hath are mine; therefore said I that He shall take of mine and shall show it unto you" (John xvi. 14, 15).

36. He that believeth not (δ $d\pi\epsilon\iota \Im \delta v$). More correctly, as Rev., obeyeth not. Disbelief is regarded in its active manifestation, disobedience. The verb $\pi\epsilon\iota \Im \omega$ means to persuade, to cause belief, to induce one to do something by persuading, and so runs into the meaning of to obey, properly as the result of persuasion. See on Acts v. 29. Compare 1 Pet. iv. 17; Rom. ii. 8; xi. 30, 31. Obedience, however, includes faith. Compare Rom. i. 5, the obedience of faith.

Shall not see (οὐκ ὄψεται). Compare the future tense with the present "hath eternal life," and the simple life with the fully developed idea eternal life. He who believes is within the circle of the life of God, which is essentially eternal. His life "is hid with Christ in God." Life eternal is to know the only true God, and Jesus Christ whom He hath sent. Hence, to such an one, eternal life is not merely something future. It

is a present possession. He hath it. The unbelieving and disobedient, instead of having eternal life, shall not have life: shall not even see it (compare see the kingdom of God, iii. 3). He shall have no perception of life simply considered, much less of eternal life, the full and complex development of life.

The wrath of God $(\delta\rho\gamma\dot{\gamma}\tau\sigma\bar{\nu})$ $\Theta\epsilon\sigma\bar{\nu}$). Both $\delta\rho\gamma\dot{\gamma}$ and $\Im\nu\mu\delta\varsigma$ are used in the New Testament for wrath or anger, and without any commonly observed distinction. $O\rho\gamma\dot{\gamma}$ denotes a deeper and more permanent sentiment, a settled habit of mind; while $\Im\nu\mu\delta\varsigma$ is a more turbulent, but temporary agitation. Both words are used in the phrase wrath of God, which commonly denotes a distinct manifestation of God's judgment (Rom. i. 18; iii. 5; ix. 22; xii. 19). $O\rho\gamma\dot{\gamma}$ (not $\Omega\nu\mu\delta\varsigma$) also appears in the phrase the wrath to come (Matt. iii. 7; Luke iii. 7; 1 Thess. ii. 16, etc.). Compare wrath of the Lamb (Apoc. vi. 16).

Abideth ($\mu\acute{e}\nu\acute{e}\iota$). The present tense. As the believer hath life, so the unbeliever hath wrath abiding on him. He lives continually in an economy which is alienated from God, and which, in itself, must be habitually the subject of God's displeasure and indignation.

CHAPTER IV.

1. Therefore. Pointing back to iii. 22, and the controversy which arose about the two baptisms.

The Lord. See on Matt. xxi. 3.

Knew (ἔγνω), or perceived. See on ii. 24.

Pharisees. John never alludes to the Sadducees by name. The Pharisees represented the opposition to Jesus, the most powerful and dangerous of the Jewish sects.

Made and baptized. Both verbs are in the present tense. The narrator puts himself at the scene of the story: is making and baptizing.

2. Though (καίτοιγε). Lit., and yet. The report of Jesus' baptizing brought to the Baptist by his disciples is corrected.

Baptized. The imperfect tense: it was not *His practice* to baptize.

3. He left (ἀφῆκε). The verb means literally to send away, dismiss. It is used of forgiving offences (Matt. vi. 43; Jas. v. 15); of yielding up (Matt. xxvii. 50); of letting alone (Matt. xix. 14); of allowing or permitting (Luke vi. 12). See on these passages. Its employment here is peculiar. Compare xvi. 28, of Christ's leaving the world.

Again. See i. 44.

- 4. Must needs. Because this was the natural route from Jerusalem to Galilee. Possibly with a suggestion of the necessity arising from the Father's will. John does not put this as a mission undertaken to the Samaritans. Jesus observed the law which He imposed on His disciples (Matt. x. 5).
- 5. Then (ov). Not a particle of time, but of logical connection. Therefore, going by this route, He must needs, etc.
- City. Not implying a place of great size or importance. Compare xi. 54; Matt. ii. 23.
- **Sychar.** Commonly identified with *Schechem*, the modern *Nablous*, and regarded as a corruption of *Sichem*. Some modern authorities, however, argue that a place so famous as Schechem would not be referred to under another name, and identify the site with *Askar*, about two miles east of Nablous. The name *Sychar* means *drunken-town* or *lying-town*.

Parcel of ground ($\chi\omega\rho lov$). A diminutive from $\chi\omega\rho a$ region.

6. Well $(\pi\eta\gamma\dot{\eta})$. Strictly, spring. The word for cistern or well is φρέαρ, which John uses at vv. 11, 12. Elsewhere in the New Testament always of a pit. See Luke xiv. 5; Apoc. ix. 1. 2. There is no mention of Jacob's Well in the Old Testa-The traditional well still remains. "At the month of the valley of Schechem two slight breaks are visible in the midst of the vast plain of corn-one a white Mussulman chapel; the other a few fragments of stone. The first of these covers the alleged tomb of Joseph, . . . the second marks the undisputed site of the well, now neglected and choked up by the ruins which have fallen into it; but still with every claim to be considered the original well" (Stanley, "Sinai and Palestine"). Dr. Thomson says: "I could see nothing like a well-nothing but a low, modern wall, much broken down, and never, apparently, more than ten feet high. The area enclosed by it is fifty-six paces from east to west, and sixty-five from north to south. The surface is covered by a confused mass of shapeless rubbish, overgrown with weeds and nettles. The well is near the southeastern corner of the area, and, to reach the mouth of it, one must let himself down, with some risk, about ten feet into a low vault " ("Land and Book"). Dr. Thomson also remarks upon the great discrepancy in the measurements of the well by different tourists, owing to the accumulations of stones and débris from the ruins of the buildings which formerly covered it. "All confirm the saying of the Samaritan woman that 'the well is deep.'" Maundrell, in 1697, makes the depth one hundred and five feet, with fifteen feet of water. Mr. Calhoun, in 1838, found nearly the same depth of water. Dr. Wilson, in 1841, found the depth only seventy-five feet, which is confirmed by the later measurements of Captain Anderson in 1866, and of Lieutenant Conder in 1875.

Wearied (κεκοπιακώς). See on Luke v. 5.

Thus. Just as He was; or, as some explain, being thus wearied.

Sat. The imperfect tense; was sitting, when the woman came.

Sixth Hour. According to the Jewish reckoning, mid-day. According to the Roman mode, between 5 and 6 P.M. See on i. 39. Evening was the usual time for drawing water.

7. A woman. Held in low esteem by the popular teachers; a Samaritan, and therefore despised by the Jews; poor, for drawing water was not, as in earlier times, performed by women of station (Gen xxiv. 15; xxix. 9).

Of Samaria. Lit., out of Samaria ($\epsilon \kappa$). Not of the city of Samaria, which was some six miles distant, but the country. A Samaritan by race and religion.

To draw. See on ii. 8.

9. The woman of Samaria (ἡ γυνὴ ἡ Σαμαρεῖτις). Differently expressed from the same phrase in the preceding verse. Lit., the woman the Samaritan. Here the distinctive character of the woman, as indicated by the race, is emphasized.

Askest (alreîs). See on Matt. xv. 23.

Have no dealings (οὐ συγχρῶνται). Have no familiar or friendly intercourse with. That they had dealings of some kind is shown by the disciples going into the city to buy provisions. Some authorities omit for the Jews have no dealings with the Samaritans. The Jews treated the Samaritans with every mark of contempt, and accused them of falsehood, folly, and irreligion. The Samaritans sold Jews into slavery when they had them in their power, lighted spurious signals for the beacon-fires kindled to announce the beginnings of months, and waylaid and killed pilgrims on their road to Jerusalem.

10. If thou knewest, etc. Answering rather something latent in the question than the question itself, as in Jesus' first answer to Nicodemus.

The gift $(\delta\omega\rho\epsilon\grave{a}\nu)$. Only here in the Gospels, though Luke uses it in Acts four times, and the kindred adverb, $\delta\omega\rho\epsilon\grave{a}\nu$, freely, is found once in Matthew. The word carries the sense of a bountiful, free, honorable gift. Compare $\delta\grave{\omega}\rho\eta\mu a$, gift, and see on James i. 17.

Asked ($\eta \tau \eta \sigma as$). Jesus uses the same word for ask which the woman had employed of his asking her, the word expressing the asking of the inferior from the superior. Here it is the appropriate word.

Living water ($\mathring{v}\delta\omega\rho$ $\zeta\tilde{\omega}\nu$). Fresh, perennial. A familiar figure to the Jews. See Jer. ii. 13; xvii. 13; Zech. xiv. 8. Not necessarily the same as water of life ($\mathring{v}\delta\omega\rho$ $\zeta\omega\hat{\eta}s$, Apoc. xxi. 6; xxii. 1, 17).

11. To draw with $(a\nu\tau\lambda\eta\mu a)$. The noun means what is drawn, the act of drawing, and the thing to draw with. Here the bucket, of skin, with three cross sticks at the mouth to keep it open, and let down by a goat's-hair rope. Not to be confounded with the water-pot $(i\delta\rho la)$ of ver. 28. The word is found only here in the New Testament.

Well $(\phi \rho \epsilon a \rho)$. See on ver. 6. It may have been fed by living springs $(\pi \eta \gamma a)$.

12. Art thou greater $(\mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \dot{\nu} \mu \epsilon l \zeta \omega \nu)$. The interrogative particle indicates that a negative answer is expected: Surely thou art not. The $\sigma \dot{\nu}$, thou, first in the sentence, is emphatic, and possibly with a shade of contempt.

Our father Jacob. The Samaritans claimed descent from Joseph, as representing the tribes of Ephraim and Manasseh.

Children (vioi). Rev., correctly, sons.

Cattle $(\Im\rho\epsilon\mu\mu\alpha\tau a)$. Only here in the New Testament. From $(\tau\rho\epsilon\phi\omega)$ to nourish. A general term for whatever is fed or nursed. When used of animals—mostly of tame ones—cattle, sheep, etc. It is applied to children, fowls, insects, and fish, also to domestic slaves, which, according to some, is the meaning here; but, as Meyer justly remarks, "there was no need specially to name the servants; the mention of the herds completes the picture of their nomadic progenitor."

- 13. Whosoever drinketh ($\pi \hat{a}_s$ \hat{o} $\pi l \nu \omega \nu$). Lit., every one that drinketh. So Rev.
- 14. Whosoever drinketh (\hat{o}_s δ $\hat{a}v\pi iy$). So Rev. The A.V. renders the two expressions in the same way, but there is a difference in the pronouns, indicated, though very vaguely, by every one that and whosoever, besides a more striking difference in the verb drinketh. In the former case, the article with the participle indicates something habitual; every one that drinks repeatedly, as men ordinarily do on the recurrence of their thirst. In ver. 14 the definite agrist tense expresses a single act—something done once for all. Lit., he who may have drunk.

Shall never thirst (où μὴ διψήσει εἰς τὸν αἰῶνα). The double negative, οὐ μὴ, is a very strong mode of statement, equivalent to by no means, or in nowise. It must not be understood, however, that the reception of the divine life by a believer does away with all further desire. On the contrary, it generates new desires. The drinking of the living water is put as a single act, in order to indicate the divine principle of life as containing in itself alone the satisfaction of all holy desires as they successively arise; in contrast with human sources, which are soon exhausted, and drive one to other fountains. Holy desire, no matter how large or how varied it may become, will always seek and find its satisfaction in Christ, and in Christ only. Thirst is to be taken in the same sense in both clauses, as refer-

ring to that natural craving which the world cannot satisfy, and which is therefore ever restless. Drusius, a Flemish critic, cited by Trench ("Studies in the Gospels"), says: "He who drinks the water of wisdom thirsts and does not thirst. He thirsts, that is, he more and more desires that which he drinks. He does not thirst, because he is so filled that he desires no other drink." The strong contrast of this declaration of our Lord with pagan sentiment, is illustrated by the following passage from Plato:

"Socrates: Let me request you to consider how far you would accept this as an account of the two lives of the temperate and intemperate: There are two men, both of whom have a number of casks; the one man has his casks sound and full, one of wine, another of honey, and a third of milk, besides others filled with other liquids, and the streams which fill them are few and scanty, and he can only obtain them with a great deal of toil and difficulty; but when his casks are once filled he has no need to feed them any more, and has no further trouble with them, or care about them. The other, in like manner, can procure streams, though not without difficulty, but his vessels are leaky and unsound, and night and day he is compelled to be filling them, and if he pauses for a moment he is in an agony of pain. Such are their respective lives: And now would you say that the life of the intemperate is happier than that of the temperate? Do I not convince you that the opposite is the truth?

"Callicles: You do not convince me, Socrates, for the one who has filled himself has no longer any pleasure left; and this, as I was just now saying, is the life of a stone; he has neither joy nor sorrow after he is once filled; but the life of pleasure is the pouring in of the stream.

"Socrates: And if the stream is always pouring in, must there not be a stream always running out, and holes large enough to admit of the discharge?

" Callicles: Certainly.

"Socrates: The life, then, of which you are now speaking is not that of a dead man, or of a stone, but of a cormorant; you mean that he is to be hungering and eating?

" Callicles: Yes.

"Socrates: And he is to be thirsting and drinking?

"Callicles: Yes, that is what I mean; he is to have all his desires about him, and to be able to live happily in the gratification of them" ("Gorgias," 494). Compare Apoc. vii. 16, 17.

Shall be (γενήσεται). Rev., better, shall become, expressing the ever-developing richness and fresh energy of the divine principle of life.

In Him. A supply having its fountain-head in the man's own being, and not in something outside himself.

A well $(\pi\eta\gamma\dot{\eta})$. The Rev. retains well, where spring would have been more correct.

Springing up (ἀλλομένου). Leaping; thus agreeing with shall become. "The imperial philosopher of Rome uttered a great truth, but an imperfect one; saw much, but did not see all; did not see that this spring of water must be fed, and fed evermore, from the 'upper springs,' if it is not presently to fail, when he wrote: 'Look within; within is the fountain of good, and ever able to gush forth if you are ever digging'" (Plutarch, "On Virtue and Vice").

Unto everlasting life. Christ in a believer is *life*. This life ever tends toward its divine source, and issues in eternal life.

Come hither ($\epsilon\rho\chi\omega\mu\alpha\iota$ $\epsilon\nu$ 9 $\acute{a}\delta\epsilon$). The best texts read $\delta\iota\dot{\epsilon}\rho$ - $\chi\omega\mu\alpha\iota$, the preposition $\delta\iota\dot{a}$ having the force of through the intervening plain.

16. Husband ($\mathring{a}\nu\delta\rho a$). See on i. 30.

17. Well (καλῶς). Aptly, truly. Compare viii. 48; Matt. xv. 7; Luke xx. 39.

19. I perceive $(\Re \omega \rho \hat{\omega})$. See on i. 18. Not immediate perception, but rather, I perceive as I observe thee longer and more carefully.

A prophet. See on Luke vii. 26. The Greek order is a prophet art thou; the emphasis being on prophet.

20. Our fathers. Probably meaning the ancestors of the Samaritans, as far back as the building of the temple on Mount Gerizim in the time of Nehemiah. This temple had been destroyed by John Hyrcanus, 129 B.C., but the place remained holy, and to this day the Samaritans yearly celebrate there the feast of the Passover. See the graphic description of Dean Stanley, who was present at the celebration ("Jewish Church," vol. i., Appendix III.).

This mountain. Gerizim, at the foot of which lies the well. Here, according to the Samaritan tradition, Abraham sacrificed Isaac, and met Melchisedek. By some convulsion of nature, the central range of mountains running north and south, was cleft open to its base at right angles to its own line of extension, and the deep fissure thus made is the vale of Nablus, as it appears to one coming up the plain of El Mukhna from Jerusalem. The valley is at least eighteen hundred feet above the level of the sea, and the mountains on either hand tower to an elevation of about one thousand feet more. Mount Ebal is on the north, Gerizim on the south, and the city between. Near the eastern end the vale is not more than sixty rods wide: and there, I suppose, the tribes assembled to hear the "blessings and cursings" read by the Levites (Deut. xxvii., xxviii.). The panorama seen from the top of Gerizim is about the most extensive and imposing in all Palestine. The summit is a small level plateau. In the midst of the southern end is a sloping rock, said by the Samaritans to be the site of the altar of their temple, and on approaching which they remove their shoes. At the eastern edge of the plateau, a small cavity in the rock is shown as the place on which Abraham offered up Isaac. Ebal is three thousand and seventy-nine feet above the sea-level, and more than two hundred and thirty feet higher than Gerizim.*

Ought to worship ($\delta \epsilon \hat{i}$). Better, must worship. She puts it as a divine obligation. It is the only true holy place. Compare ver. 24.

21. The hour cometh (ἔρχεται ἄρα). Rather an hour. There is no article. Is coming; is even now on its way.

Shall ye worship $(\pi\rho\sigma\kappa\nu\nu\eta\sigma\epsilon\tau\epsilon)$. See on Acts x. 25. The word was used indefinitely in ver. 20. Here with the Father, thus defining true worship.

The Father. This absolute use of the title the Father is characteristic of John. He speaks of God as the Father, and mu Father, more commonly the former. On the distinction between the two Canon Westcott observes: "Generally it may be said that the former title expresses the original relation of God to being, and specially to humanity, in virtue of man's creation in the divine image; and the latter more particularly the relation of the Father to the Son incarnate, and so indirectly to man in virtue of the Incarnation. The former suggests those thoughts which spring from the consideration of the absolute moral connection of man with God; the latter those which spring from what is made known to us, through revelation, of the connection of the Incarnate Son with God and with man." See vi. 45; x. 30; xx. 21; viii. 18, 19; xiv. 6-10; xv. 8. John never uses our Father: only once your Father (xx. 17), and never Father without the article, except in address.

22. Ve know not what (ὁ οὐκ οἴδατε). Lit., what ye know not. Rev., rightly, that which ye know not. Compare Acts xvii. 23, where the correct reading is ô, what, instead of ôν, whom: "what therefore ye worship in ignorance." This wor-

^{*} Condensed from Dr. Thomson's "Central Palestine and Phœnicia," in "The Land and the Book." An interesting description of the excavations made on the summit of Gerizim, by Lieutenant Anderson, will be found in the same volume, pp. 126-128.

ship of the unknown is common to vulgar ignorance and to philosophic culture; to the Samaritan woman, and to the Athenian philosophers. Compare John vii. 28; viii. 19, 27. The nenter expresses the unreal and impersonal character of the Samaritan worship. As the Samaritans received the Pentatench only, they were ignorant of the later and larger revelation of God, as contained especially in the prophetic writings, and of the Messianic hope, as developed among the Jews. They had preserved only the abstract notion of God.

We. Jesus here identifies Himself with the Jewish people. The essence of the true Jewish worship is represented by Him.

Know what we worship (προσκυνοῦμεν δ οἴδαμεν). Lit., and as Rev., we worship that which we know. On know, see on ii. 24. The nenter that which, is used of the true as of the unreal object of worship, perhaps for the sake of correspondence with the preceding clause, or because the object of worship is conceived abstractly and not personally. Compare xiv. 9.

Salvation ($\dot{\eta} \ \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a$). The word has the article: the salvation, promised and to be revealed in Christ.

Is of the Jews. Rev., rightly, from the Jews (¿x). Not therefore belongs to, but proceeds from. See Gen. xii.; Isa. ii. 3; Mic. iv. 2. Even the Old Testament idea of salvation is bound up with Christ. See Rom. ix. 4, 5. The salvation is from the Jews, even from that people which has rejected it. See on i. 19. On the characteristic is from, see on i. 46. The passage illustrates John's habit of confirming the divine authority of the Old Testament revelation, and of showing its fulfilment in Christ.

23. And now is. This could not be added in ver. 21, because local worship was not yet abolished; but it was true as regarded the true worship of the Father by His true worshippers, for Jesus was already surrounded by a little band of such, and more were soon to be added (vv. 39-42). Bengel says

that the words and now is are added lest the woman should think that she must seek a dwelling in Judæa.

True (ἀληθινοί). Real, genuine. See on i. 9.

Worshippers (προσκυνηταί). Only here in the New Testament.

In spirit and in truth ($\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ $\pi\nu\epsilon\dot{\nu}\mu a\tau\iota$ $\kappa a \dot{\iota}$ $\dot{a}\lambda\eta\vartheta\epsilon\dot{\iota}a$). Spirit(πνεῦμα) is the highest, deepest, noblest part of our humanity, the point of contact between God and man (Rom. i. 9); while soul $(\psi \nu \chi \eta)$ is the principle of individuality, the seat of personal impressions, having a side in contact with the material element of humanity as well as with the spiritual element, and being thus the mediating element between the spirit and the body. The phrase in spirit and in truth describes the two essential characteristics of true worship: in spirit, as distinguished from place or form or other sensual limitations (ver. 21): in truth. as distinguished from the false conceptions resulting from imperfect knowledge (ver. 22). True worship includes a spiritual sense of the object worshipped, and a spiritual communion with it; the manifestation of the moral consciousness in feelings, motions of the will, "moods of elevation, excitements," etc. It includes also a truthful conception of the object. In Jesus the Father is seen (xiv. 9) and known (Luke x. 22). Thus the truthful conception is gained. He is the Truth (xiv. 6). Likewise through Him we come to the Father, and spiritually commune with Him. No man can come in any other way (xiv. 6). To worship in truth is not merely to worship in sincerity, but with a worship corresponding to the nature of its object.

For the father $(\kappa a l \gamma a \rho \delta \pi a \tau \eta \rho)$. The A. V. fails to render $\kappa a l a l s o$, and Rev. places it in the margin. It emphasizes the conclusiveness of the reason assigned: "for the Father also, on His part, seeketh," etc. For a similar use of $\kappa a l$, see on Matt. viii. 9; also Matt. xxvi. 73; Acts xix. 40.

Seeketh such to worship Him (τοιούτους ζητεῖ τοὺς προσκυνοῦντας αὐτὸν). A rather peculiar construction. Lit., seeketh such as those worshipping him: as His worshippers. Such: i.e., those who worship in spirit and in truth, and are therefore real (ἀληθινοὶ) worshippers of the real God (ἀληθινὸν Θεὸν, xvii. 3).

- 24. God is a Spirit (πνεῦμα ὁ Θεός). Or, as Rev., in marg., God is Spirit. Spirit is the emphatic word; Spirit is God. The phrase describes the nature, not the personality of God. Compare the expressions, God is light; God is love (1 John i. 5; iv. 8).
- 25. Messiah cometh. The woman uses the Jewish name, which was known in Samaria. The Samaritans also expected the Messiah, basing their hopes on such Scriptures as Gen. iii. 15; xlix. 10; Num. xxiv. 17; Deut. xviii. 15. They looked for Him to restore the kingdom of Israel and to re-establish the worship on Gerizim, where they supposed that the tabernacle was hidden. They called Him Hushab or Hathab, meaning the Converter, or, according to some, the Returning One. The Samaritan idea was less worldly and political than the Jewish.

Which is called Christ. Added by the Evangelist. Compare i. 41.

He is come (¿κείνος). Emphatic; pointing to Messiah as contrasted with all other teachers.

He will tell (ἀναγγελεῖ). Lit., proclaim or announce. The compounded preposition ἀνά, the radical meaning of which is up, signifies throughout, from bottom to top. The verb is used in xvi. 13, of the revelations of the Comforter.

26. I—am He ($\epsilon l\mu u$). Lit., I am. The less political conception of the Samaritan Messiah made it possible for Jesus to announce Himself to the woman without fear of being misunderstood as He was by the Jews. Compare Matt. viii. 4; xvi. 20.

This incident furnishes a notable illustration of our Lord's love for human souls, and of His skill, tact, and firmness in dealing with moral degradation and ignorant bigotry. He conciliates the woman by asking a favor. Her hesitation arises less from prejudice of race than from surprise at being asked for drink by a Jew (compare the story of Zacchæus). He seizes upon a near and familiar object as the key-note of His great lesson. He does not overwhelm her with new knowledge. but stimulates question and thought. He treats her sin frankly, but not harshly. He is content with letting her see that He is aware of it, knowing that through Him, as the Discerner, she will by and by reach Him as the Forgiver. Even from her ignorance and coarse superstition He does not withhold the sublimest truth. He knows her imperfect understanding, but He assumes the germinative power of the truth itself. He is not deterred from the effort to plant His truth and to rescue a soul, either by His own weariness or by the conventional sentiment which frowned upon His conversation with a woman in a public place. Godet contrasts Jesus' method in this case with that employed in the interview with Nicodemus. Nicodemus He started from the idea which filled every Pharisee's heart, that of the kingdom of God, and deduced therefrom the most rigorous practical consequences. He knew that He had to do with a man accustomed to the discipline of the law. Then He unveiled to him the most elevated truths of the kingdom of heaven, by connecting them with a striking Old Testament type, and contrasting them with the corresponding features of the Pharisaic programme. Here, on the contrary, with a woman destitute of all scriptural training, He takes His point of departure from the commonest thing imaginable, the water of the well. He suddenly exalts it, by a bold antithesis, to the idea of that eternal life which quenches forever the thirst of the human heart. Spiritual aspiration thus awakened in her becomes the internal prophecy to which He attaches His new revelations, and thus reaches that teaching on true worship which corresponds as directly to the peculiar prepossessions of the woman, as the revelation of heavenly things corresponded to the inmost thoughts of Nicodemus. Before the latter He unveils Hinself as the only-begotten Son, but this while avoiding the title of "Christ." With the woman He boldly uses this term; but he does not dream of initiating into the mysteries of incarnation and redemption a soul which is yet only at the first elements of religious life and knowledge" ("Commentary on the Gospel of John").

27. Came—marvelled (ἦλθαν—ἐθαύμαζον). The tense of each verb is different: the aorist, came, marking as in a single point of time the disciples' arrival, and the imperfect, they were wondering, marking something continued: they stood and contemplated him talking with the woman, and all the while were wondering at it.

He talked (ἐλάλει). The imperfect tense, he was speaking. So Rev.

The woman. Rev., correctly, a woman. They were surprised, not at his talking with that woman, but that their teacher should converse with any woman in public. The Rabbinical writings taught that it was beneath a man's dignity to converse with women. It was one of the six things which a Rabbi might not do. "Let no one," it is written, "converse with a woman in the street, not even with his own wife." It was also held in these writings that a woman was incapable of profound religious instruction. "Rather burn the sayings of the law than teach them to women."

- 28. Water-pot. See on ii. 6.
- 29. All things. Jesus' insight in the one case convinced her that He knew everything, and to her awakened conscience it seemed as though He had told everything.

Is not this the Christ $(\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \iota \ \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu)$? Rather, as Rev., can this be. The particle suggests a negative answer. Surely this cannot be, yet with some hope.

30. Then. Omit.

Went out—came unto Him (ἐξῆλθον—ἤρχοντο πρὸς αὐτόν). Went out is the agrist tense, denoting the coming forth from the city as a single act at a point of time. Came is the imperfect, denoting action in progress. The observance of the distinction makes the narrative more graphic. They were coming. Unto should be toward (πρὸς). The imperfect also is required by the following words: "In the mean while" (while the woman was still absent and the Samaritans were coming toward Him) "the disciples were praying" Him to eat. This last imperfect is overlooked by the Rev.

32. **Meat** $(\beta \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma w)$. Originally the act of eating (Col. ii. 16), but often of that which is eaten. A parallel is found in the vulgar phrase, a thing is good or poor eating. The word is always used by Paul in its original sense.

Know not of $(oi\kappa \ oi\delta a\tau\epsilon)$. Incorrect. Rev., rightly, ye know not; i.e., you do not know its virtue.

33. Said (ĕλεγον). Imperfect tense: began to say, or were saying. The question was discussed among them.

One to another. Fearing to ask Jesus.

34. Meat $(\beta\rho\hat{\omega}\mu a)$. A different word from that in ver. 32, signifying what is eaten.

To do ($\ln a \pi o \iota \hat{\omega}$). Lit., in order that I do. Emphasizing the end and not the process. Frequently so used in John. See on iii. 19.

Finish (τελειώσω). Better, as Rev., accomplish. Not merely bring to an end, but perfect. From τέλειος, perfect. The verb is characteristic of John, and of the Epistle to the Hebrews. See v. 36; xvii. 4; xix. 28; 1 John ii. 5; iv. 12; Heb. ii. 10; v. 9, etc.

35. Say not ye. In what follows, Jesus is contrasting the natural harvest-time with the spiritual, which was immediately to take place in the ingathering of the Samaritans. Ye is em-

phatic, marking what the disciples expect according to the order of nature. As you look on these green fields between Ebal and Gerizim, ye say, it is yet four months to harvest.

There are four months (τετράμηνον ἐστιν). Properly, it is a space of four months. Only here in the New Testament.

Harvest (Θερισμός). See on Luke x. 2.

White (Leukal). See on Luke ix. 29.

Already unto harvest. Spiritual harvest. The crowd of Samaritans now pouring out toward the well was to Jesus as a ripe harvest-field, prefiguring the larger harvest of mankind which would be reaped by His disciples. By the best texts the already is joined with the next verse, and the kal, and, at the beginning of that verse is omitted: Already he that reapeth receiveth, etc.

Wages $(\mu \iota \sigma \vartheta \dot{o} \nu)$. See on 2 Pet. ii. 13.

Unto life eternal. This is explained either, which shall not perish but endure unto eternal life, or into life eternal, as into a granary. Compare ver. 14.

Together $(\delta\mu o\hat{v})$. The construction is peculiar: that both the sower may rejoice together and the reaper. Together signifies not in common, but simultaneously. So quickly does the harvest follow the gospel-seed sown among the Samaritans, that the sower and the reaper rejoice together.

37. Herein $(\vec{\epsilon}\nu \tau o \acute{\nu} \tau \phi)$. Lit., in this. In this relation between sower and reaper.

Is that saying true (ὁ λόγος ἐστὶν ὁ ἀληθινὸς). Rev., properly, the saying; the common proverb. True: not only says the truth, but the saying is completely fulfilled according to the ideal in the sowing and reaping of which Jesus speaks. The literal rendering of the Greek, as given above, is, "the saying is

the true (saying);" but several high authorities omit the article before true.

38. I sent ($\partial \gamma \hat{\omega} \hat{\alpha} \pi \acute{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota \lambda a$). The I is emphatic. The agrist tense points back to the mission of the disciples as involved in their original call.

Other men. Jesus himself and all who had prepared the way for Him, such as John the Baptist.

Labored (κεκοπιάκασι). The perfect tense. Rev., rightly, have labored, their labor showing its effects in the present case. On the word labor, see on Luke v. 5. Compare Josh. xxiv. 13.

- 39. The saying (τὸν λόγον). Rev., better, the word. It does not refer merely to the woman's statement, He told me, etc., but to her whole testimony (μαρτυρούσης) concerning Christ.
 - 40. To tarry (μεῖναι). Better, as Rev., to abide.
- 41. Many more (πολλφ πλείους). Lit., more by much; i.e., far more, with reference to the simple πολλοί, many, in ver. 39.
- 42. Said (ἔλεγον). The imperfect tense: said to the woman as they successively met her.

Saying $(\lambda a \lambda \iota \dot{a} \nu)$. Another word is designedly substituted for $\lambda \dot{o} \gamma o \nu$, word (vv. 39, 41). In ver. 39 $\lambda \dot{o} \gamma o s$, word, is used of the woman, from the Evangelist's standpoint, as being a testimony to Christ. Here the Samaritans distinguish between the more authoritative and dignified word of Jesus, and the talk of the woman. Rev., speaking. Compare the kindred verb $\lambda a \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \omega$, in vv. 26, 27; also viii. 43; Matt. xxvi. 73.

The Christ. The best texts omit.

The Saviour ($\delta \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho$). John uses the word only here and 1 John iv. 14. See on *Jesus*, Matt. i. 21. It is significant that this conception of Christ should have been first expressed by a Samaritan.

44. For—in His own country (γὰρ—ἐν τῆ ἰδία πατρίδι). For assigns the reason why Jesus went into Galilee. By His own country, Judæa seems to be meant, though almost the same phrase, His country,* is used by the three Synoptists of Nazareth in Galilee. John's Gospel, however, deals with the Judæan rather than with the Galilean ministry of Jesus, and the phrase, His own country, is appropriate to Judæa as "the true home and fatherland of the prophets, the land which contained the city of Messiah's birth, the city associated with Him alike in ancient prophecy and in popular expectation." Hence, at Jerusalem, the people said, "Hath not the Scriptures said that Christ cometh of the seed of David, and out of the town of Bethlehem, where David was" (vii. 42)? In vv. 1-3 it is stated that Jesus left Judæa because of a controversy excited by the Pharisees, whom John always marks as the leaders of the opposition to Jesus. Further, we are told that at Jerusalem, though many believed on His name, vet Jesus did not trust them (ii. 23, 24). According to this explanation, γàρ, for, is used in its natural and most obvious sense as assigning the reason for Christ's departure into Galilee. The proverb is naturally suggested by the reference to Galilee, where Jesus had used it at Nazareth (see Matt. xiii. 57). The ὅτε οὖν, when then (then indicating logical sequence and not time) of ver. 45 follows naturally upon the citation of the proverb, signifying a correspondence between the character of His reception in Galilee and the motive of His going thither. Finally, if we understand by His own country, Nazareth, we are compelled to explain γàρ, for, from ver. 46; Jesus went to Cana (north of Nazareth) without passing through His native place, for the reason mentioned. This seems forced and arbitrary.†

^{*} In Matt. xiii. 57, Tischendorf reads as here, ἐν τῆ ἰδία πατρίδι, in his own country. Westcott and Hort, ἐν τῆ πατρίδι αὐτοῦ.

[†] I have given what seems, on the whole, the most simple and natural explanation. though against a host of high authorities. The various interpretations form a bewildering jungle. All of them are open to objection. One of the most clear and simple discussions of the passage may be found in Schaff's Popular Commentary on the Gospel of John, edited by Professors Milligan and Moulton, where this explanation is adopted, though Professor

- 45. Received (ἐδέξαντο). See on iii. 32.
- 46. Jesus. The best texts omit.

Cana $(\tau \dot{\eta} \nu Ka\nu \hat{a})$. Note the article the Cana, and see on ii. 1. The article defines the Cana previously referred to.

Nobleman (βασιλικὸς). Properly an adjective, meaning royal, from βασιλεὺς, king. It occurs in John only, here and ver. 49; and in all other passages is used as an adjective (Acts xii. 20, 21; Jas. ii. 8). Literally here, a king's officer. Wyc., little King.

Was sick (ἦσθένει). See on infirmities, Luke v. 15.

47. He went $(\dot{a}\pi\hat{\eta}\lambda\Im\epsilon v)$. Lit., went away $(\dot{a}\pi\delta)$. Leaving his son for the time.

Heal (ἰάσηται). See on Matt. viii. 7, and Luke vi. 19.

At the point of death (ἤμελλεν ἀποθυήσκειν). Lit., was about to die. Compare Mark's uncouth phrase, ἐσχάτως ἔχει, lieth at the point of death, v. 23, on which see note. Compare also John xii. 33.

48. Said unto him, Except ye see. Addressing the nobleman (him), but having in mind the Galilean population which he represents (ye).

Signs and wonders (σημεῖα καὶ τέρατα). See on Matt. xxiv. 24. Σημεῖα, signs, and ἔργα, works, are John's characteristic words for miracles. See v. 20; vii. 21; xiv. 10; ii. 23; vi. 2, etc.

Ye will **not** $(o\dot{i} \mu \dot{\eta})$. The double negative is correctly given by Rev., "ye will in nowise."

Schaff in Lange calls it "far-fetched." This is also the view of Canon Westcott. Other explanations are: Galilee generally; Nazareth; Lower Galilee, in which Nazareth was situated, as distinguished from Upper Galilee, in which was Capernaum.

- 49. Child (παιδίον). Diminutive. Lit., my little one; a touch of tenderness.
- 50. Went his way (ἐπορεύετο). But thus the force of the imperfect is lost, which harmonizes with the succeeding sentence: he was proceeding on his way, and as he was now going down, etc.
- 51. Servants (δοῦλοι). Properly, bond-servants. See on Matt. xx. 26; Mark ix. 35.

Thy son liveth (δ vi δ s σ o δ $\xi \hat{\eta}$). The best texts, however, read $a\dot{v}\tau$ o \hat{v} , his. So Rev., that his son lived. Christ uses vi δ s, son, instead of π au δ iov, little one, expressing the worth of the child as representing the family. See on i. 12.

52. Then $(o\tilde{v}v)$. Not a particle of time, but of sequence. Rev., so he inquired.

Began to amend (κομψότερον ἔσχεν). A peculiar phrase, occurring only here in the New Testament. Lit., had himself better. Κομψότερον is from κομψός, well-dressed, well-caredfor, elegant; and this from κομέω, to take care of. The idea of the phrase is conveyed in the familiar English expression: He is doing well, or nicely, or bravely. A parallel is cited by the commentators from Arrian: "When the doctor comes in, you must not be afraid as to what he will say; nor if he says, 'You are doing bravely' (κόμψως ἔχεις), must you give way to excessive joy."

At the seventh hour ($\delta \rho a \nu \epsilon \beta \delta \delta \mu \eta \nu$). The accusative case denotes not a *point* of time, but *duration*: during the seventh hour.

Fever ($\pi \nu \rho \epsilon \tau \delta s$). From $\pi \hat{\nu} \rho$, fire. So the Latin febris, which is for ferbris, from fervee, to glow with heat.

Left $(\partial \phi \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \nu)$. Lit., sent him away. See on ver. 3.

54. This is again the second miracle, etc. Lit., this did

Jesus again as a second sign. The pleonasm in again, the second, is only apparent. Other miracles had indeed been wrought between these two; but John emphasizes these two as marking Jesus' coming from Judæa to Galilee. The healing of the nobleman's child was the second miracle, only in respect of its taking place upon Jesus' withdrawal from Judæa into Galilee. Hence the again. He wrought a miracle again, when He again came into Galilee, and this miracle was the second, as marking His second coming.

CHAPTER V.

- 1. A feast $(\dot{\epsilon}o\rho\tau\dot{\eta})$. Or *festival*. What festival is uncertain. It has been identified with the Passover, Pentecost, and the Feast of Tabernacles; also with the Day of Atonement, the Feast of Dedication, and the Feast of Purim.
- 2. Sheep-market $(\tau \hat{\eta} \ \pi \rho o \beta a \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta})$. The word is an adjective pertaining to sheep, which requires to be completed with another word, not with $\dot{a}\gamma o \rho \hat{a}$, market, but with $\pi \dot{\nu} \lambda \eta$, gate. This gate was near the temple on the east of the city. See Neh. iii. 1, 32; xii. 39. Some editors join the adjective with the following $\kappa o \lambda \nu \mu \beta \dot{\eta} \beta \rho a$, pool, making the latter word $\kappa o \lambda \nu \mu \beta \dot{\eta} \beta \rho a$ (the dative case), and reading the sheep-pool. Wyc., a standing water of beasts.
- Pool (κολυμβήθρα). In the New Testament only in this chapter and ix. 7, 11. Properly, a pool for swimming, from κολυμβάω, to dive. In Eccl. ii. 6 (Sept.) it is used of a reservoir in a garden. The Hebrew word is from the verb to kneel down, and means, therefore, a kneeling-place for cattle or men when drinking. In ecclesiastical language, the baptismal font, and the baptistery itself.

Called (ἐπιλεγομένη). Strictly, surnamed, the name having perhaps supplanted some earlier name.

Porches (στοάς). Cloisters, covered porticoes.

3. Great multitude. The best texts omit great.

Impotent (ἀσθενούντων). Rev., sick. Yet the A. V. gives the literal meaning, people without strength. Wyc., languishing.

Withered $(\xi \eta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu)$. Lit., dry. So Wyc.

The following words, to the end of ver. 4, are omitted by the best texts.

- 5. Had an infirmity thirty and eight years. Lit., having thirty and eight years in his infirmity.
- 6. Had been now a long time (πολὺν ἤδη χρόνον ἔχει). Lit., he hath already much time.

Wilt thou (θέλεις). Not merely, do you wish, but are you in earnest? See on Matt. 1. 19. Jesus appeals to the energy of his will. Not improbably he had fallen into apathy through his long sickness. Compare Acts iii. 4; John vii. 17.

Whole (ὑγιὴς). Sound.

- 7. Put $(\beta \acute{a}\lambda \eta)$. Lit., cast; indicating the hasty movement required to bring him to the water before its agitation should have ceased. See on Mark vii. 30; Luke xvi. 20.
- 8. Bed (κράββατον). Used by both Mark and Luke. See on Mark ii. 4, and compare Acts v. 15; ix. 33.

10. Cured (τεθεραπευμένφ). See on Matt. viii. 7; Luke v. 15; Acts xvii. 25.

To carry (ἀραι). Rev., more correctly, to take up. It is Jesus' own word in ver. 8.

- 11. He that made—the same (ὁ ποιήσας—ἐκεῖνος). The demonstrative pronoun points with emphasis to the subject of the preceding clause. A characteristic usage of John. See i. 18, 33; ix. 37; x. 1; xii. 48, etc.
 - 12. Then. Omit.

What man is he, etc. "See the cunning of malice. They do not say, 'Who is he that healed thee?' but, 'Who bade thee take up thy bed?'" (Grotius, in Trench, "Miracles.")

Take up thy bed. Omit bed. Lit., take up and walk.

13. He that was healed (ia Seis). Compare ver. 10, and note the different word for healing. See references there.

Who it was $(\tau is \ \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu)$. The present tense, who it is.

Had conveyed Himself away (ἐξένευσεν). The verb means, literally, to turn the head aside, in order to avoid something. Hence, generally, to retire or withdraw. Only here in the New Testament.

14. Findeth—said. Note the lively interchange of the tenses, as in ver. 13.

Sin no more (μηκέτι ἀμάρτανε). No longer continue to sin. See on Matt. i. 21. Jesus thus shows His knowledge that the sickness was the result of sin.

A worse thing. Than even those thirty-eight years of suffering.

Come unto thee (σοί γένηται). Rev., better, befall thee. Lit., come to pass.

- 15. **Told** (ἀνήγγειλεν). See on iv. 25. The best texts, however, read εἶπεν, said.
- 16. Did the Jews persecute. The imperfect tense (ἐδίωκον) might be rendered began to persecute, as this is an opening of hostilities against Jesus, or, more probably, corresponds with the same tense in ἐποίει, he did, or better, was wont to do. Διώκω, to persecute, is originally to run after, to pursue with hostile purpose, and thence to harass.

And sought to kill Him. The best texts omit.

- He did. See above. Godet observes: "the imperfect malignantly expresses the idea that the violation of the Sabbath has become with Him a sort of maxim."
- 17. Worketh. The discussion turned on work on the Sabbath. The Father's work in maintaining and redeeming the world has continued from the creation until the present moment (ἔως ἄρτι): until now, not interrupted by the Sabbath.
- And I work (κἀγὼ ἐργάζομαι). Or, I also work. The two clauses are co-ordinated. The relation, as Meyer observes, is not that of imitation, or example, but of equality of will and procedure. Jesus does not violate the divine ideal of the Sabbath by His holy activity on that day. "Man's true rest is not a rest from human, earthly labor, but a rest for divine, heavenly labor. Thus the merely negative, traditional observance of the Sabbath is placed in sharp contrast with the positive, final fulfilment of spiritual service, for which it was a preparation" (Westcott).
- 18. Had broken (¿\u00e4\u00be). Lit., was loosing: the imperfect tense. See on He did, ver. 16. Not, broke the Sabbath in any particular case, but was annulling the law and duty of Sabbath observance.
- His Father (πατέρα ἴδιον). Properly, His own Father. So Rev.

19. Verily, verily. See on i. 51.

But what He seeth. Referring to can do nothing, not to of himself. Jesus, being one with God, can do nothing apart from Him.

The Father do (τον πατέρα ποιοῦντα). Rev., rightly, doing. The participle brings out more sharply the coincidence of action between the Father and the Son: "the inner and immediate intuition which the Son perpetually has of the Father's work" (Meyer).

Likewise (δμοίως). Better, as Rev., in like manner. Likewise is popularly understood as equivalent to also; but the word indicates identity of action based upon identity of nature.

20. Loveth (φιλεί). To love is expressed by two words in the New Testament, Φιλέω and ἀναπάω. 'Αναπάω indicates a reasoning, discriminating attachment, founded in the conviction that its object is worthy of esteem, or entitled to it on account of benefits bestowed. $\Phi \iota \lambda \epsilon \omega$ represents a warmer, more instinctive sentiment, more closely allied to feeling, and implying more passion. Hence ἀγαπάω is represented by the Latin diligo, the fundamental idea of which is selection, the deliberate choice of one out of a number, on sufficient grounds, as an object of regard. Thus φιλέω emphasizes the affectional element of love, and dyamáw the intelligent element. Socrates, in Xenophon's "Memorabilia," advises his friend Aristarchus to alleviate the necessities of his dependents by furnishing means to set them at work. Aristarchus having acted upon his advice, Xenophon says that the women in his employ loved (ἐφίλουν) him as their protector, while he in turn loved ($\dot{\eta}\gamma\dot{a}\pi a$) them because they were of use to him ("Memorabilia," ii., 7, § 12). Jesus' sentiment toward Martha and Mary is described by . $η \gamma άπα$, John xi. 5. Men are bidden to love $(λ \gamma απ αν)$ God (Matt. xxii. 37; 1 Cor. viii. 3); never φιλείν, since love to God implies an intelligent discernment of His attributes and not merely an affectionate sentiment. Both elements are combined

in the Father's love for the Son (Matt. iii. 17; John iii. 35; v. 20). ' $A\gamma \dot{\alpha}\pi\eta$ is used throughout the panegyric of love in 1 Cor. xiii., and an examination of that chapter will show how large a part the discriminating element plays in the Apostle's conception of love. The noun $\dot{\alpha}\gamma \dot{\alpha}\pi\eta$ nowhere appears in classical writings. As Trench remarks, it "is a word born within the bosom of revealed religion." ' $E\rho\dot{\alpha}\omega$, in which the idea of sensual passion predominates, is nowhere used in the New Testament. Trench has some interesting remarks on its tendency toward a higher set of associations in the Platonic writings ("Synonyms," p. 42).

Greater works will He show Him. As Jesus does whatever He sees the Father do (ver. 19), the showing of greater works will be the signal for Jesus to do them. On works, as a characteristic word in John, see on iv. 47.

Ye may marvel. The ye is emphatic (ὑμεῖς) and is addressed to those who questioned His authority, whose wonder would therefore be that of astonishment rather than of admiring faith, but might lead to faith. Plato says, "Wonder is the feeling of a philosopher, and philosophy begins in wonder" ("Theætetus," 155); and Clement of Alexandria, cited by Westcott, "He that wonders shall reign, and he that reigns shall rest." Compare Acts iv. 13.

21. Raiseth—quickeneth. Physically and spiritually.

The Son quickeneth. Not raiseth and quickeneth. The quickening, however (ζωοποιεί, maketh alive), includes the raising, so that the two clauses are coextensive. In popular conception the raising precedes the quickening; but, in fact, the making alive is the controlling fact of the raising. Έγειρει, raiseth, means primarily awaketh.

22. For the Father ($o\dot{v}\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ $\gamma\dot{a}\rho$ \dot{o} $\pi a\tau\dot{\eta}\rho$). The A. V. misses the climax in $o\dot{v}\delta\dot{\epsilon}$; not even the Father, who might be expected to be judge.

1

Hath committed (δέδωκεν). Rev., given. The habitual word for the bestowment of the privileges and functions of the Son. See ver. 36; iii. 35; vi. 37, 39; x. 29, etc.

All judgment $(\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \ \kappa \rho l \sigma \iota \nu \ \pi \hat{a} \sigma a \nu)$. Lit., the judgment wholly.

- 23. Which sent Him. A phrase peculiar to John, and used only by the Lord, of the Father. See iv. 34; vi. 38, 39; vii. 16, 28, 33, etc.
 - 24. Heareth. Closely connected with believeth.

Hath eternal life. See on iii. 36.

Shall not come into condemnation (εἰς κρίσων οὐκ ἔρχεται). The present tense, cometh not. So Rev. Not condemnation, but judgment, as Rev. See on iii. 17. Wyc., cometh not into doom. The present, cometh, states the general principle or order.

From death ($\ell \kappa$ $\vartheta av \acute{a} \tau ov$). Rev., correctly, out of death, pointing to the previous condition in which he was.

Life $(\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \zeta \omega \dot{\eta} \nu)$. The life; the ideal of perfect life.

- 25. The dead. Spiritually.
- 26. As—so (ὥσπερ—οΰτως). The correspondence is that of fact, not of degree.

Hath he given (ἔδωκεν). Rev., more strictly, gave, the aorist tense pointing back to the eternal past.

27. Authority. See on i. 12.

Also. Omit.

The Son of man. Better, a son of man. The article is wanting. The authority is assigned to Him as being very man.

John uses the article everywhere with this phrase, except here and Apoc. i. 13; xiv. 14. See on Luke vi. 22.

- 28. The graves (τοῖς μνημείοις). Rev., better; tombs. Two words are used in the New Testament for the place of burial, τάφος, and μνημεῖον or μνῆμα. The former emphasizes the idea of burial (θάπτω, to bury); the latter of preserving the memory of the dead; from μιμνήσκω, to remind.
- 29. Have done good—have done evil. Note again the use of the different verbs for doing with good and evil. See on iii. 21. On the word for evil $(\phi a \hat{v} \lambda a)$, see on iii. 20.

Resurrection of life (ἀνάστασιν ζωῆς). The phrase occurs only here in the New Testament: so resurrection of judgment (ἀνάστασιν κρίσεως).

- 30. Of the Father. Omit. Rev., of Him that sent.
- 31. If I ($\dot{\epsilon}\dot{a}\nu\ \dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$). The I expressed for emphasis: I alone.

True $(\partial \lambda \eta \vartheta \eta s)$. As distinguished from false. See on i. 9.

- 33. **Ve sent.** Rev., rightly, have sent. The perfect tense, with allusion to something abiding in its results. Similarly, bare witness should be hath borne. Note the expressed ye $(i\mu\epsilon\hat{i}s)$, emphatically marking the contrast between the human testimony which the Jews demanded, and the divine testimony on which Jesus relies (ver. 34).
 - 34. But I (ἐγὼ δὲ). Emphatic, in contrast with ye (ver. 33).

Receive $(\lambda a \mu \beta \dot{a} \nu \omega)$. See on iii. 32.

Testimony $(\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \mu a \rho \tau \nu \rho l a \nu)$. Rev., properly the witness. The restoration of the article is important. It has the force of my, marking the witness as characteristic of Christ's work. The only testimony which I accept as proof.

From man. Or from a man, with a primary reference to

the Baptist. Rev. renders, the witness which I receive is not from man.

These things. With reference to the Baptist.

Ye may be saved. The ye ($i\mu\epsilon\hat{i}s$), marking them as those who might be influenced by the inferior, human testimony, though they did not apprehend the divine testimony.

35. A burning and shining light ($\delta \lambda \acute{\nu}\chi vos \delta$ kai $\acute{\rho} \mu evos$ kai $\acute{\rho} alv\omega v$). Rev., correctly, the lamp that burneth and shineth. A $\acute{\nu}\chi vos$, lamp, as contrasted with the light ($\acute{\rho}$ s). See i. 5, 7, 8, 9; and compare viii. 12; ix. 5; xii. 46. Wyc., lantern. The Baptist did not, like Jesus, shine by his own light. The definite article with lamp, points to it as a familiar household object. Burning hints at the fact that the lamp gives but a transitory light. In burning the oil is consumed.

Ye were willing. Again the emphatic ὑμεῖς, ye.

To rejoice (ἀγαλλιασθήναι). The word signifies exultant, lively joy. See Matt. v. 12; Luke i. 47; x. 21; 1 Pet. i. 6. The interest in the Baptist was a frivolous, superficial, and short-lived excitement. Bengel says, "they were attracted by his brightness, not by his warmth."

36. Greater witness (τήν μαρτυρίαν μείζω). The article, omitted in A. V., has the force of my, as in ver. 34. Rev., the witness which I have is greater.

Hath given. See on ver. 22.

To finish (ἵνα τελειώσω). Lit., in order that I should accomplish. Rev., accomplish. See on iv. 34.

The same works ($a\dot{v}\tau\dot{a}$ $\tau\dot{a}$ $\xi\rho\gamma a$). Rev., more correctly, the very works.

37. Himself (αὐτὸς). The best texts substitute ἐκεῖνος, he; reading, "the Father which sent me, He hath borne witness." So Rev.

Voice—shape. Not referring to the descent of the dove and the voice from heaven at Jesus' baptism, but generally and figuratively to God's witness in the Old Testament Scriptures. This is in harmony with the succeeding reference to the word.

- 38. His word. Emphatic, commencing the sentence. Compare xvii. 6 sqq.; 1 John i. 10; ii. 14.
- 39. Search (ἐρευνᾶτε). Rev., rightly, ye search. Jesus is appealing to a familiar practice of which for in them ye think is explanatory. See 1 Pet. i. 11; Rom. viii. 27; 1 Cor. ii. 10; Apoc. ii. 23.

The scriptures $(\tau \partial s \gamma \rho a \phi \partial s)$. Lit., the writings; possibly with a hint at the contrast with the word (ver. 38).

They (ἐκεῖναι). Those very scriptures.

40. And. More than a simple copula. Rather and yet. See on Luke xviii. 7.

Ye will not (οὐ θέλετε). Indicating stubborn determination. See on Matt. i. 19.

- 41. I receive not honor from men. The Greek order is: glory from men I receive not. Compare ver. 34. His glory consists in his loving fellowship with God. Men who do not love God are not in sympathy with Him.
 - 42. I know (ἔγνωκα). See on ii. 24.

The love of God. Love toward God. This was the summary of their own law. The phrase occurs elsewhere in the Gospels only in Luke xi. 42.

In you (ἐν ἑαυτοῖς). Rev., rightly, in yourselves. Compare vi. 53; 1 John v. 10; Mark iv. 17.

44. Ye believe. Again the emphatic ye, the reason for the emphasis being given in the succeeding clause.

Which receive (λαμβάνοντες). Lit., receiving (as ye do): seeing that ye receive.

Seek not the honor that cometh from God only (καὶ τὴν δόξαν τὴν παρὰ τοῦ μόνου Θεοῦ οὐ ζητεῖτε). The Rev. gives it capitally, following the Greek order: and the glory that cometh from the only God ye seek not. Not God only, which entirely overlooks the force of the definite article; but the only God. Compare 1 Tim. vi. 15, 16; John xvii. 3; Rom. xvi. 27.

45. I will accuse (κατηγορήσω). From κατά, against, and ἀγορεύω, to speak in the assembly (ἀγορά). Hence, properly, to bring an accusation in court. John uses no other verb for accuse, and this only here, viii. 6, and Apoc. xii. 10. Once in the New Testament διαβάλλω occurs (Luke xvi. 1, on which see note), signifying malicious accusation, and secret, as distinguished from public, accusation (κατηγορία). Αἰτιάομαι occurs once in the compound προητιασάμεθα, we before laid to the charge (Rom. iii. 9). This has reference especially to the ground of accusation (αἰτία). Ἐγκαλέω occurs only in Acts, with the exception of Rom. viii. 33. It means to accuse publicly, but not necessarily before a tribunal. See Acts xxiii. 28, 29; xxvi. 2, 7.

In whom ye trust (εἰς δυ ὑμεῖς ἢλπίκατε). A strong expression. Lit., into whom ye have hoped. Rev., admirably, on whom ye have set your hope.

47. Writings (γράμμασιν). It is important to understand the precise sense of this word, because it goes to determine whether Jesus intended an antithesis between Moses' writings and His own words, or simply between Moses (ἐκείνου) and Himself (ἐμοῖς).

Γράμμα primarily means what is written. Hence it may describe either a single character or a document. From this general notion several forms develop themselves in the New Testament. The word occurs in its narrower sense of characters, at Luke xxiii. 38; 2 Cor. iii. 7; Gal. vi. 11. In Acts xxviii. 21, it means official communications. Paul, with a sin-

gle exception (2 Cor. iii. 7), uses it of the letter of scripture as contrasted with its spirit (Rom. ii. 27, 29; vii. 6; 2 Cor. iii. 6). In Luke xvi. 6, 7, it denotes a debtor's bond (A. V., bill). John vii. 15, Acts xxvi. 24, it is used in the plural as a general term for scriptural and Rabbinical learning. Compare Sept. Isa. xxix. 11, 12. where a learned man is described as ἐπιστάμενος γράμματα, acquainted with letters. Once it is used collectively of the sacred writings—the scriptures (2 Tim. iii. 15). though some give it a wider reference to Rabbinical exegesis, as well as to scripture itself. Among the Alexandrian Greeks the term is not confined to elementary instruction, but includes exposition, based, however, on critical study of the text. The tendency of such exegesis was often toward mystical and allegorical interpretation, degenerating into a petty ingenuity in fixing new and recondite meanings upon the old and familiar forms. This was illustrated by the Neo-Platonists' expositions of Homer, and by the Rabbinical exegesis. Men unacquainted with such studies, especially if they appeared as public teachers, would be regarded as ignorant by the Jews of the times of Christ and the Apostles. Hence the question respecting our Lord Himself: How knoweth this man letters (γράμματα, John vii. 15)? Also the comment upon Peter and John (Acts iv. 13) that they were unlearned (ἀγράμματοι). Thus, too, those who discovered in the Old Testament scriptures references to Christ, would be stigmatized by Pagans, as following the ingenious and fanciful method of the Jewish interpreters, which they held in contempt. Some such feeling may have provoked the words of Festus to Paul: Much learning (πολλά γράμματα) doth make thee mad (Acts xxvi. 24). It is well known with what minute care the literal transcription of the sacred writings was guarded. The Scribes (γραμματείς) were charged with producing copies according to the letter (κατὰ τὸ γράμμα).

The one passage in second Timothy cannot be urged in favor of the general use of the term for the scriptures, especially since the best texts reject the article before ἱερὰ γράμματα, so that the meaning is apparently more general: "thou hast known sacred writings." The familiar formula for the scriptures was ai γραφαὶ ἀγίαι. A single book of the collection of writings

was known as $\beta \iota \beta \lambda lov$ (Luke iv. 17), or $\beta \iota \beta \lambda os$ (Luke xx. 42); never $\gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta}$, which was the term for a particular passage. See on Mark xii. 10.*

It seems to me, therefore, that the antithesis between the writings of Moses, superstitiously reverenced in the letter, and minutely and critically searched and expounded by the Jews, and the living words (ἡήμασιν, see on Luke i. 37), is to be recognized. This, however, need not exclude the other antithesis between Moses and Jesus personally.

CHAPTER VI.

- 1-14. Compare Matt. xiv. 13-21; Mark vi. 30-44; Luke ix. 10-17.
 - 1. The sea. See on Matt. iv. 18.
 - 2. Multitude (ὄχλος). See on i. 19.

Followed (ἠκολούθει). Imperfect tense, denoting not merely the following on this occasion, but generally.

Saw (ἐώρων). Rev., beheld. See on i. 18.

His miracles. Omit his. Render, as Rev., the signs.

He did (¿molei). Imperfect, was doing, from time to time.

3. A mountain ($\tau \delta$ $\delta \rho o s$). Strictly, the mountain. The writer speaks as one familiar with the district.

He sat (ἐκάθητο). Imperfect: was sitting, when he saw the multitude approaching (ver. 5).

^{*}Bishop Lightfoot (Commentary on Galatians iii. 22) urges with much force that this is *invariably* its meaning. The passages cited in opposition to this view by Professor Thayer (Lexicon of the New Testament), John vii. 38; x. 35; Rom. iv. 3; Gal. iii. 22; iv. 30; Jas. ii. 8; 1 Pet. ii. 6; 2 Pet. i. 20, do not appear to me to be conclusive; on the contrary, several of them seem to make rather for Bishop Lightfoot's view.

- 4. A feast $(\dot{\eta} \epsilon o \rho \tau \dot{\eta})$. With the definite article, the feast; pointing to something well known.
- 5. Come ($\epsilon \rho \chi \epsilon \tau a \iota$). Better, is coming. Unto Him ($\pi \rho \delta s$) is rather toward.

Bread (ἄρτους). Properly, loaves. See on Matt. iv. 1.

- 6. Το prove (πειράζων). Lit., proving. See on Matt. vi. 13. Wyc., tempting.
- 7. Pennyworth ($\delta \eta \nu a \rho l \omega \nu$). See on Matt. xx. 2. Two hundred pennyworth would represent between thirty and thirty-five dollars.

That every one may take a little. Peculiar to John.

9. A lad (παιδάριον). Diminutive. Only here in the New Testament.* Only John mentions the lad.

Barley (κριθίνους). A detail peculiar to John. The word occurs in the New Testament only here and ver. 13. An inferior sort of bread is indicated by the term. Pliny and some of the Jewish writers describe barley as food fit for beasts. Suetonius speaks of a turgid rhetorician as a barley orator, inflated like barley in moisture: and Livy relates how cohorts which had lost their standards were ordered barley for food.

Fishes ($\partial \psi \acute{a}\rho \iota a$). The word occurs only here and at xxi. 9. The Synoptists use $i\chi 9\nu \acute{e}s$. The A. V., small fishes, is intended to render the diminutive.† The word means anything that is eaten with bread, and may apply to meat generally, or to what is eaten with bread as a relish. Homer speaks of an onion as a relish ($\mathring{e}\psi o\nu$) for drink ("Iliad," xi., 630). The term was applied

^{*} The correct reading in Matt. xi. 16 is maidlois.

[†] Edersheim ("Life of Jesus") says that the Talmud names certain kinds of fish, specially designated as *small fishes*, which might be eaten without cooking: that small fishes were recommended for health, and that the lake of Galilee was particularly rich in these, the salting and pickling of which was a special industry among the fishermen.

to fish par excellence. Fish became among the Greeks a chief dainty to gourmands, so that Demosthenes describes a glutton and spendthrift as one who is extravagant in fish.

But what are they among so many? Peculiar to John, though the idea is implied in Luke ix. 13.

10. Sit down (ἀναπεσεῖν). Lit., recline.

Grass (χόρτος). Originally an enclosure. Thus Homer speaks of Peleus offering a sacrifice, αἰλῆς ἐν χόρτφ, in the enclosure of the court ("Iliad," xi., 774). Hence a feeding-place, and so grass, provender. The sense is merely that of our abstract pasture. Matthew and Mark mention the grass, Mark with the epithet green. Wyc., hay.

11. Given thanks. All the Synoptists relate his looking up to heaven and blessing. Perhaps he used the familiar formula, "Blessed art thou Jehovah our God, King of the world, who causes to come forth bread from the earth."

To the disciples, and the disciples. The best texts omit. Render, as Rev., He distributed to them that were set down.

Likewise of the fishes. So also Mark.

As much as they would. Peculiar to John.

12. Fragments (κλάσματα). From κλάω, to break. Rev., broken pieces.

That remain (περισσεύσαντα). Rev., remain over. Lit., exceed the necessary supply. Only John gives the Lord's command to collect the fragments, and the reason for it, that nothing be lost.

13. Baskets (κοφίνους). See on Matt. xiv. 20. Wyc., coffins.

With the fragments, etc. John goes into fuller detail than the Synoptists. Mark alone notes the gathering of the remains Vol. II.—10

of the fishes. John also uses $\epsilon \gamma \epsilon \mu \iota \sigma a \nu$, filled, for they took up, or were taken up, of the Synoptists.

Five barley loaves. A detail peculiar to John, emphasizing the identity of the fragments with the original loaves.

Unto them that had eaten (βεβρωκόσιν). Only here in the New Testament.

14. That should come (ὁ ἐρχόμενος). Lit., the one coming. Rev., that cometh.

Vv. 15-21. Compare Matt. xiv. 22-36; Mark vi. 45-52.

15. Would come (μέλλουσιν ἔρχεσ \Im aι). Lit., are about to come.

Take by force (ἀρπάζειν). See on Matt. xi. 12.

A king. Better, as Rev., king; over themselves.

Himself alone (αὐτὸς μόνος). Matthew has κατ ἰδίαν, privately, and both Matthew and Mark add, to pray.

- 16. Even (ὀψία). An adjective; ὄψιος, late, with ὅρα, hour, understood.
- 17. Ship $(\pi \lambda o io \nu)$. Rev., boat. See on Luke v. 2. The best texts omit the article.

Went (ἤρχοντο). The imperfect, were going. So Rev.

Capernaum. Mark has Bethsaida.

It was now dark (σκοτία ἤδη ἐγεγόνει). Lit., darkness had already come on. On darkness, see on i. 5.

18. Arose (διηγείρετο). It is lamentable how the A.V. misses the graphic force of these imperfects. Rev., rightly, was rising. Lit., was being awakened. The imperfects convey the sense of gathering danger, and throw into stronger relief the

fact of Jesus' appearance. They were going; the darkness had already fallen, the sea was rising, and Jesus had not yet come.

That blew (πνέοντος). Lit., blowing. That was blowing would be better. John's narrative at this point is more detailed and graphic than the others.

19. Had rowed (ἐληλακότες). Lit., had driven or propelled (the boat).

Five and twenty, etc. The lake being about forty furlongs, six miles, at its broadest, they had gone only a little more than half-way.

They see (Θεωροῦσι). Rev., behold; with an intent gaze. See on i. 18. Both Luke and John use this word frequently.

Drawing nigh. Lit., becoming nigh. Wyc., to be made next to the boat. Mark adds, He would have passed by them, and Luke that they thought Him a phantom.

21. They willingly received (ἤθελον λαβεῖν). Wrong. Rev., correctly, they were willing to receive; after being reassured by His voice. The imperfect denotes a continuous state of feeling, not a mere impulsive and temporary wish.

Immediately (εὐθέως). Whether Jesus actually entered the boat or not, John does not say. The more natural inference is that he did. Both Matthew and Mark say so. Their immediate and miraculous arrival at the shore was simultaneous either with their entertaining the wish to receive Him, or with His actually coming on board. Only John mentions this incident. Matthew and Mark say that the wind ceased.

They went $(i\pi\hat{\eta}\gamma\sigma\nu)$. Imperfect: were going. Lit., were going away. The verb has the sense of retiring from something. Compare ver. 67; vii. 33, on which see note; xii. 11; xviii. 8.

22. Which stood (ὁ ἐστηκὼς). Having remained during the night near the scene of the miracle, and being there still.

Boat (πλοιάριον). Diminutive: little boat.

That—whereinto His disciples were entered. Omit, and read as Rev., save one.

- 23. Howbeit there came other boats (ἄλλα δὲ ἡλθεν πλοιάρια). Some editors omit δὲ, howbeit, change ἄλλα, other, into ἀλλὰ, but, and read, but there came boats.
- 26. The miracles $(\sigma\eta\mu\epsilon\hat{i}a)$. Both the insertion of the definite article and the translation *miracles* in the A. V. tend to obscure the true sense of the passage. Jesus says: You do not seek me because you saw *signs*. What you saw in my works was only *marvels*. You did not see in them *tokens* of my divine power and mission.

Were filled (ἐχορτάσθητε). See on Matt. v. 6; Luke xv. 16.

27. **Meat** $(\beta\rho\hat{\omega}\sigma\nu)$. See on iv. 32. In Matt. vi. 19, 20, and there only, it is used in the sense of *rust*, that which *eats* or *corrodes*. Similarly, *corrode* is from *rodo*, *to gnaw*.

Him hath God the Father sealed. The Rev. makes the sentence culminate properly in God: "for Him the Father, even God, hath sealed." According to the strict Greek order it is: for Him the Father sealed, even God. On sealed (ἐσφράγισεν) see on iii. 33. Wyc., betokened Him.

- 28. What shall we do? (τί ποιοῦμεν). Lit., what do we do? The best texts read ποιῶμεν, what are we to do?
- Works. The question is from the legal stand-point, works being regarded as the condition of obtaining the living bread.
- 29. Believe. Faith is put as a moral act or work. The work of God is to believe. Faith includes all the works which

God requires. The Jews' question contemplates numerous works. Jesus' answer directs them to one work. Canon Westeott justly observes that "this simple formula contains the complete solution of the relation of faith and works."

- 30. Therefore. Since He had claimed to be the One sent of God.
- 31. Manna. Properly, the manna, referring to the familiar historic fact. A passage is cited from a Hebrew commentary on Ecclesiastes, as follows: "As the first Redeemer made the manna to descend, as it is written, 'Behold I will rain bread from heaven for you'; so the later Redeemer also shall make the manna to descend, as it is written, 'May there be abundance of corn in the earth.'"
- 32. Moses gave you not (οὐ Μωσῆς δέδωκεν ὑμῖν). The antithesis is between Moses and my Father. So Rev., rightly, "it was not Moses that gave you," etc.—"but my Father giveth," etc. Some editors change the perfect tense, δέδωκεν, hath given, to the acrist, ἔδωκεν, gave.

The true bread from heaven (τὸν ἄρτον ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ τὸν ἀληθινόν). The translation would gain by following the Greek order, "the bread out of heaven, the real bread."

- 33. He which cometh down (ὁ καταβαίνων). So it may be rendered; but also that which, referring to ἄρτος, bread: and so, better, as Rev., since Jesus does not identify Himself with the bread until ver. 35.
- 35. I am the bread of life. A form of expression peculiar to John. See vv. 41, 48, 51; viii. 12; x. 7, 9, 11, 14; xi. 25; xiv. 6; xv. 1, 5.

Cometh—believeth. Faith in its active aspect and in its resting aspect.

Never $(o\dot{v} \ \mu \dot{\eta})$. Rather, in nowise, or by no means. Rev., shall not.

- 36. But. Though you have seen as you asked, I repeat what I said to you that you have seen and do not believe.
- 37. All that $(\pi \hat{a}\nu \delta)$. The neuter singular of the adjective and pronoun. All believers are regarded as one complete whole. Compare xvii. 24, according to the correct reading, "that which Thou hast given me."

Shall come ($\eta \xi \epsilon \iota$). Emphasizing the idea of reaching or arriving.

Cometh (ἐρχόμενον). A different verb, emphasizing the *process* of coming.

- 38. From heaven ($\epsilon \kappa \tau o \hat{v} o \dot{v} \rho a v o \hat{v}$). But the best texts read $a \tau o$, from, instead of $\epsilon \kappa$, out of, the idea being rather that of departure (I came down) than of origin. I came down should be as Rev. (I am come down). The tense is the perfect.
- 39. The Father's will. Omit the Father's. Render, the will of Him, etc.

That of all which He hath given me (va πav δ $\delta \epsilon \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon \mu \omega$). The construction is a peculiar and broken one. All which He hath given, stands alone as an absolute nominative; a very emphatic and impressive mode of statement. Literally it reads, that all which He hath given me I should lose nothing out of it.

At the last day ($\vec{\epsilon v}$ $\tau \hat{\eta}$ $\vec{\epsilon} \sigma \chi \acute{a} \tau \eta$ $\acute{\eta} \mu \acute{\epsilon} \rho a$). The phrase occurs only in John.

40. And this ($\delta \epsilon$). The best texts read $\gamma \lambda \rho$, for. There is a logical connection between the last sentence and the following. The Father's will in preserving and raising up that which he has given to the Son, includes in its fulfilment the believing contemplation of the Son and its issue in eternal life.

Of Him that sent me. The best texts substitute $\pi a \tau \rho \delta s$ $\mu o v$, of my Father.

Seeth (Θεωρῶν). The word is designedly used. The saving vision of Christ is not mere seeing, but earnest contemplation. Rev., beholdeth. See on i. 18. Compare ye have seen me, and believe not (ver. 36).

41. Then (ovv). Rev., rightly, therefore: because of His words.

Murmured (ἐγγόγυζου). See on Jude 16, and compare 1 Cor. x. 10; Philip. ii. 14. The word is constantly used in the Septuagint of the murmuring of Israel in the wilderness. Wyc., grudged of Him. So Chaucer, "Judas grucched agens the Maudeleyn whan sche anoynted the hed of oure Lord" ("Parson's Tale"); and Shakespeare,

"Served
Without or grudge or grumbling."
"Tempest," 1, 2, 249.

At Him $(\pi\epsilon\rho i \ a\dot{v}\tau o\hat{v})$. Implying that they addressed their remonstrances to Him. But $\pi\epsilon\rho i$ means about or concerning. So Rev., properly, concerning.

- 42. We know. Not implying necessarily that Joseph was still alive, but merely the fact that Joseph was recognized as the father of Jesus.
- 44. **Draw** (ἐλκύση). Two words for drawing are found in the New Testament, σύρω and ἐλκύω. The distinction is not habitually observed, and the meanings often overlap. Σύρω is originally to drag or trail along, as a garment or torn slippers. Both words are used of haling to justice. (See Acts viii. 3; xvii. 6; xvi. 19.) In Acts xiv. 19, σύρω, of dragging Paul's senseless body out of the city at Lystra. In John xxi. 6, 8, 11, both words of drawing the net. In John xviii. 10, ἐλκύω, of drawing Peter's sword. One distinction, however, is observed: σύρω is never used of Christ's attraction of men. See vi. 44; xii. 32. Έλκύω occurs only once outside of John's writings (Acts xvi. 19). Luther says on this passage: "The drawing is not like that of the executioner, who draws the thief up the

ladder to the gallows; but it is a gracions allurement, such as that of the man whom everybody loves, and to whom everybody willingly goes."

- 45. Taught of God (διδακτοί τοῦ Θεοῦ). The idea is thrown into a compound adjective, θεοδίδακτοι, in 1 Thess. iv. 9.
- 46. Hath seen. As contrasted with hearing and learning. (ver. 45). The Father is not seen immediately, but through the Son. Compare i. 18; xiv. 9; 1 John iii. 2; Matt. xi. 27.
- Of God $(\pi a \rho \dot{a} \tau o \hat{v} \Theta e o \hat{v})$. More correctly, as Rev., from, with an idea of association with: from with God. $\Pi a \rho \dot{a}$ is used of procession from a personal object, indicating it generally as the starting-point.
- 49. Are dead (ἀπέθανον). The agrist points, not to their present condition but to the historical fact; they died. So Rev.
- 51. The living bread (ὁ ἄρτος ὁ ζῶν). Lit., the bread the living (bread). Wyc., quick bread.

I will give. The $\epsilon\gamma\dot{\omega}$, I, is emphatic, in contrast with Moses (ver. 32).

Flesh. See on i. 14.

Which I will give. The best texts omit. Read, as Rev., my flesh for the life of the world.

- 52. Strove (ἐμάχοντο). The murmuring (ver. 41) now breaks out into open contention among the Jews themselves.
- 53. Eat the flesh. Appropriate the life. Compare Gal. ii. 20; Eph. iii. 17.

Drink His blood. Appropriate the saving merit of His death. The passover was approaching, and the reference may well have been to the flesh and blood of the paschal lamb.

Have no life in you (οὐκ ἔχετε ζωὴν ἐν ἑαυτοῖς). Not according to the Greek. Rightly, as Rev., ye have not life in yourselves. All true life must be in Christ. Compare Col. iii. 3.

- 54. Eateth (τρώγων). Another verb for eating is used. With the exception of Matt. xxiv. 38, it is found only in John, and always in connection with Christ. No special significance can be fairly attached to its use here. It seems to be taken as a current word, and ἔφαγον is resumed in ver. 58.
- 55. Indeed (ἀληθῶς). Lit., truly. The best texts read ἀληθης, true: true meat, true drink.
- 56. **Dwelleth** (μένει). Render, as Rev., abideth. The word is a favorite one with John, occurring more frequently than in all the rest of the New Testament.
- 57. The living Father ($\delta \zeta \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \tau \dot{\eta} \rho$). A phrase found nowhere else in the New Testament. On living and live, see i. 4.

By the Father $(\delta\iota\dot{a}\ \tau\dot{o}\nu\ \pi a \tau \acute{e}\rho a)$. Wrong. Render, because of, as Rev. Because the Father is the living One. So, because of me, instead of by me.

- 59. In the synagogue (ἐν συναγωγῆ). But the definite article is wanting; so that we must either understand in a synagogue, or in an assembly. See on Jas. ii. 2. Among the ruins at Tell Hûm, the probable site of Capernaum, have been found among the remains of a synagogue a block of stone, perhaps the lintel, carved with the pot of manna, and with a pattern of vine leaves and clusters of grapes. See a full account of these ruins in Thomson's "Land and Book, Central Palestine and Phænicia," pp. 417–419.
- 60. Hard (σκληρός). See on Matt. xxv. 24; Jude 14. According to the Greek order, hard is this saying.

Hear it $(a\dot{v}\tau o\hat{v} \dot{a}\kappa o\dot{v}\epsilon v)$. $A\dot{v}\tau o\hat{v}$ may be rendered Him, but this is not probable. Hear means a docile hearing, with a view

to receiving what is heard. Compare x. 3, 16, 27; xii. 47; xviii. 37.

- 61. Offend (σκανδαλίζει). Rev., cause to stumble. See on Matt. v. 29. Wyc., slandereth you.
- 62. What and if ye shall see $(\dot{\epsilon}a\nu \ o\dot{\nu}\nu \ \Im\epsilon\omega\rho\hat{\eta}\tau\epsilon)$. The question is marked by an aposiopesis, i.e., a breaking off of the sentence and leaving the hearer to complete it for himself. Lit., if then ye should behold, etc.—the completion would be, would not this still more cause you to stumble?

Ascend ($\dot{a}va\beta a\dot{v}o\nu\tau a$). Rev., properly, renders the participle, ascending.

- I speak ($\lambda a\lambda \hat{\omega}$). But the correct reading is $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \dot{a}\lambda \eta \kappa a$, the perfect tense, I have spoken, or I have just spoken, referring to the preceding discourse.
- 64. Should betray $(\pi a \rho a \delta \omega \sigma \omega \nu)$. See on Matt. iv. 12; Mark iv. 29. Judas is once in the New Testament designated by the noun $\pi \rho o \delta \omega \tau \eta s$, traitor, Luke vi. 16.
- 66. From that time (ἐκ τούτου). Render, as Rev., upon this. As a result proceeding out of (ἐκ) this. Compare xix. 12.

Went back $(\dot{a}\pi\hat{\eta}\lambda \theta ov\ \epsilon is\ \tau \dot{a}\ \dot{o}\pi i\sigma \omega)$. The Greek expresses more than the English. They went $away\ (\dot{a}\pi\dot{o})$ from Christ, lit., to the things behind, to what they had left in order to follow the Lord.

Walked (περιεπάτουν). Lit., walked about, with Jesus in His wanderings here and there.

67. The twelve. John assumes that the number is known. It is implied in the twelve baskets of fragments. As in so many other instances in this Gospel, facts of the synoptic narrative are taken for granted as familiar.

- Will ye also go away? ($\mu\dot{\eta}$ καὶ ὑμεῖς Θέλετε ὑπάγειν). The interrogative particle $\mu\dot{\eta}$ shows that a negative answer is expected. Surely ye will not. Will ye go is not the future tense of the verb to go, but is expressed by two words, do ye will (Θέλετε), to go away (ὑπάγειν). Rev., would ye. On the verb to go away, see on they went (ver. 21).
- 68. Simon Peter. Assailants of the authenticity of John's Gospel have asserted that it reveals an effort on the part of the writer to claim for the disciple whom Jesus loved a pre-eminence above Peter. The assertion is effectually contradicted by the narrative itself. See i. 42; vi. 68; xiii. 6; xviii. 10, 16; xx. 2, 7; xxi. 3, 7, 11, and notes on those passages. Peter's replying for the twelve, in this passage, is a case in point.

The words of eternal life ($\dot{\rho}\dot{\eta}\mu\alpha\tau a \ \zeta \omega \dot{\eta} s \ al\omega \nu lov$). There is no article. Thou hast words. Words of life are words which carry life with them. Compare the phrases bread of life, light of life, water of life, tree of life.

- 69. Are sure (ἐγνώκαμεν). Lit., have come to know. The order of the words believe and know is reversed in xvii. 8; 1 John iv. 16. In the case of the first disciples, faith, produced by the overpowering impression of Jesus' works and person, preceded intellectual conviction.
- That Christ, the Son of the living God. The best texts substitute δ äylos $\tau o \hat{v}$ $\Theta c o \hat{v}$, the holy one of God. The other reading has resulted from the attempt to bring Peter's confession here into accord with that in Matt. xvi. 16. The two confessions differ in that "here the confession points to the inward character in which the Apostles found the assurance of life; there the confession was of the public office and theocratic person of the Lord" (Westcott).
- 70. A devil (διάβολος). See on Matt. iv. 1. The word is an adjective, meaning slanderous, but is almost invariably used in the New Testament as a noun, and with the definite article. The article is wanting only in 1 Pet. v. 8; Acts xiii. 10; Apoc.

- xii. 9; and perhaps Apoc. xx. 2. It is of the very essence of the devilish nature to oppose Christ. Compare Matt. xvi. 23.
- 71. Judas Iscariot the son of Simon (Ἰούδαν Σίμωνος Ἰσκαριώτην). The correct reading is Ἰσκαριώτον, Iscariot, agreeing in the genitive case with Σίμωνος, of Simon. Render, as Rev., Judas, the son of Simon Iscariot. Iscariot denotes the name of Simon's town: a man of Kerioth. See on Matt. x. 5.

CHAPTER VII.

1. The Jews' feast of tabernacles. The Rev. brings out the defining force of the two articles: the feast of the Jews, the feast of tabernacles. This feast occurred in the early autumn (September or early October), and lasted for seven days. Its observance is commanded in Exod. xxiii. 16; xxxiv. 22; Lev. xxiii. 39, 42, 43; Deut. xvi. 13. Its significance was twofold. It was a harvest-home festival, and hence was called the Feast of Ingathering, and it commemorated the dwelling of Israel in tents or bootlis in the wilderness. Hence the name Feast of Booths or Tabernacles. The association of the latter event with harvest was designed to remind the people in their prosperity of the days of their homeless wandering, that their hearts might not be lifted up and forget God, who delivered them from bondage (Deut. viii. 12-17). Therefore they were commanded to quit their permanent homes and to dwell in booths at the time of harvest. The festival was also known as the Feast of Jehovah, or simply the Festival (Lev. xxiii. 39; 1 Kings viii. 2), because of its importance, and of being the most joyful of all festivals. At the celebration of the feast at Jerusalem booths were erected in the streets and squares and on the housetops.* The Greek word for this feast, σκηνοπηγία, construction of tabernacles, occurs only here in the New Testament.

^{*} For a full description see the article "Feast of Tabernacles," in McClintock and Crooks' Cyclopædia, vol. x., and Edersheim, "The Temple," ch. xiv.

- 3. Thy disciples. Both those who had been gained by former teaching in Judæa and Jernsalem, and others from other parts.
- 4. Openly (ἐν παρρησία). Lit., in boldness. The reasoning is: no man can assert the position which Christ claims, and at the same time keep secret the works which go to vindicate it.
 - 5. Neither (οὐδέ). Better, as Rev., not even.

Did believe (ἐπίστευον). The imperfect, were believing; referring not to a single act of faith, but to faith as habitual and controlling.

6. Time (καιρὸς). See on Matt. xii. 1; Luke i. 20; Acts xii. 1. The appropriate season or juncture.

Alway ready. The disciples might at any time associate with the world, with which they were still in sympathy. Not so Jesus, who was in essential antagonism to the world.

7. Cannot. Frequent in John, and expressing an *inherent* impossibility. See iii. 3, 5; v. 19; vi. 44; vii. 34, 36; viii. 21, 43; xii. 39; xiv. 17, etc.

Evil (πονηρά). See on Luke iii. 19; vii. 21.

8. This feast. For this, read the, the first time, but not the second.

Full come ($\pi\epsilon\pi\lambda\eta\rho\omega\tau a\iota$). Lit., has been fulfilled. So Rev., is not yet fulfilled.

11. Then (ov). Better, therefore; because He did not come up with the Galilæans.

Sought (ἐζήτουν). The imperfect: kept seeking; persistently sought for Him.

He (ἐκεῖνος). Emphatic: that one of whom we have heard, and whom we once saw.

12. Murmuring. See on vi. 41.

The people (τοῖς ὄχλοις). See on i. 19.

Said (¿λεγον). Imperfect: were saying.

Deceiveth $(\pi \lambda a \nu \hat{q})$. Rev., better, *leadeth astray*. See on Mark xii. 24; Jude 13.

- 13. Openly (παρρησία). The word may mean either without reserve (x. 24; xi. 14), or without fear (xi. 54).
- 14. About the midst of the feast $(\tau \hat{\eta}s)$ is $\delta \circ \rho \tau \hat{\eta}s$, $\mu \epsilon \sigma \circ \delta \sigma \eta s$. A peculiar form of expression found only here. The midst is expressed by a participle from the verb $\mu \epsilon \sigma \delta \omega$, to be in the middle. Lit., the feast being midway.

Taught (ἐδίδασκεν). Or began to teach. Imperfect tense.

- 15. Letters (γράμματα). See on v. 47.
- 16. Doctrine $(\delta\iota\delta a\chi\dot{\eta})$. Better, teaching, as Rev. Doctrine has acquired a conventional sense which might mislead.
- 17. Will do his will (Θέλη τὸ Θέλημα αὐτοῦ ποιεῖν). This is a notable illustration of the frequent blunder of the A. V. in rendering Θέλειν, to will or determine, as a mere auxiliary verb. By overlooking the distinct meaning of the verb to will, and resolving willeth to do into will do, it sacrifices the real force of the passage. Jesus says, if it be one's will to do; if his moral purpose is in sympathy with the divine will.

He shall know. Sympathy with the will of God is a condition of understanding it.

Of God (ἐκ τοῦ Θεοῦ). Better, from; proceeding out of.

Of myself $(a\pi)^2 \epsilon \mu a \nu \tau o \hat{\nu}$. Of myself is misleading, being commonly understood to mean concerning myself. Rev., correctly, from myself; without union with the Father. Compare v. 30.

18. His own glory (την δόξαν την ίδίαν). Lit., the glory which is His own; the second article throwing His own into sharp contrast with His that sent Him. On His own, see on Acts i. 7; Matt. xxii. 5; xxv. 15.

The same (0070s). Notice the characteristic use of the pronoun taking up and emphasizing the principal subject of the sentence.

Unrighteousness (ἀδικία). See on 2 Pet. ii. 13.

19. Did—give (δέδωκεν). Some texts read the agrist tense ἔδωκεν, in which case this rendering is correct. If with others we read the perfect, we should render hath not Moses given you the law, which you still profess to observe.

Keepeth ($\pi o \iota \epsilon \hat{i}$). Rev., rightly, doeth. Compare do in ver. 17.

Go ye about $(\zeta \eta \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau \epsilon)$. Properly, seek ye. So Rev.

- 20. A devil (δαιμόνιον). Or more correctly, a demon. See on Mark i. 34. The name was applied to Jesus by the multitude (ὄχλος) and not by those whom He was addressing in ver. 19, because of the gloomy suspicions which they thought He entertained, and in entire ignorance of the design of the Jews which Jesus had penetrated. The same term was applied to John the Baptist, the ascetic, as one who withdrew from social intercourse (Matt. xi. 18).
- 21. One work ($\hat{\epsilon}\nu$ $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\gamma\sigma\nu$). The healing on the Sabbath (v. 1-8).
- 23. Are ye angry $(\chi \circ \lambda \hat{a} \tau \epsilon)$. Only here in the New Testament. From $\chi \circ \lambda \dot{\eta}$, gall. Strictly, the verb means to be full of bile, hence to be melancholy mad.

Every whit whole $(\delta \lambda o \nu \ \dot{\nu} \gamma \iota \hat{\eta})$. Strictly, I made a whole man sound, in contrast with the rite of circumcision which af-

fects only a single member, but which, nevertheless, they practise on the Sabbath.

24. Appearance (ὄψιν). Primarily, seeing or sight. In xi. 44; Apoc. i. 16, face, and hence external appearance. The word occurs only in the three passages cited.

Righteous judgment (τὴν δικαίαν κρίσιν). Properly, the righteous judgment; that which is appropriate to the case in hand.

- 25. Them of Jerusalem (Γεροσολυμιτῶν). Lit., of the Jerusalemites, who knew better than the multitude the designs of the priesthood. The word occurs only here and Mark i. 5.
- 26. Do the rulers know indeed? The interrogative particle $\mu\eta\pi\sigma\tau\epsilon$ may be rendered by the familiar expression they do not, do they? Rev., can it be that the rulers, etc. Indeed $(\lambda\eta\vartheta\hat{\omega}s)$; lit., truly.

The very $(\partial \lambda \eta \vartheta \hat{\omega} s)$. Omit.

27. Howbeit (ἀλλά). But, it cannot be that the rulers have made such a discovery, for we know whence this man is.

We know (οἴδαμεν). The knowing of the rulers is expressed by ἔγνωσαν; have they ascertained by searching and watching. The people's knowledge, οἴδαμεν, is that of settled conviction.

Whence $(\pi \acute{o} \Im \epsilon \nu)$. Referring to His parentage and family.

No one knoweth whence He is. Opinions differ as to the precise reference of these words. Some explain by a popular idea that the Messiah would not be known until anointed by Elias, when he would suddenly appear. Others refer to Isaliii. 8; or to Dan. vii. 13. Meyer says that while the popular belief that the immediate ancestry of the Messiah would be unknown when He came cannot further be historically proved, it is credible, partly from the belief in His divine origin, and

partly from the obscurity into which the Davidic family had sunk.

28. Then (oùv). Rev., rightly, therefore, giving the reason for the succeeding words in Jesus' emotion awakened by the misconceptions of the people.

Cried (ἔκραξεν). See on Mark v. 5; ix. 24.

As He taught (διδάσκων). Better, Rev., teaching. The expression *cried teaching* implies speaking in a peculiarly solemn manner and with an elevation of voice.

Me—whence I am. Conceding the truth of the people's statement in ver. 27, we know this man whence he is, so far as His outward person and His earthly origin were concerned. He goes on to show that they are ignorant of His divine relationship.

True $(a\lambda\eta \Im \nu \delta s)$. True to the ideal of a sender: a *genuine* sender in the highest sense of the term. See on i. 9.

- 29. From him (παρ' αὐτοῦ). See on vi. 46.
- 30. Then. Another of the frequent instances in which the A. V. of this Gospel renders the *logical* particle as a particle of *time*. Translate as Rev., *therefore*; because of His claim to be sent from God.

To take (πιάσαι). See on Acts iii. 7.

- 31. Will he do (μήτι ποιήσει). Lit., surely he will not at all do.
 - 32. Officers (ὑπηρέτας). See on Matt. v. 25; Luke i. 2.
 - 33. Unto them. Omit.
 - I go (ὑπάγω). I withdraw. See on vi. 21. Vol. II.—11

34. Ye shall seek me. Not as now, for disputation or violence, but for help.

Where I am. In absolute, eternal being and fellowship with the Father. I am $(\partial \gamma \hat{\omega} e i \mu \iota)$ is the formula of the divine existence (viii. 58). The phrase carries a hint of the essential nature of Jesus, and thus prepares the way for ye cannot come (see on ver. 7). The difference in character will make it essentially impossible.

35. Will He go (οὖτος μέλλει πορεύεσθαι). Lit., whither does this man intend to go, or whither is He thinking of going? The A. V. misses the contemptuous insinuation in this man (Rev.).

We shall not find him ($\eta\mu\epsilon\hat{i}s$). The pronoun is emphatic; we, the religious leaders, the wise men, who scrutinize the claims of all professed teachers and keep a watchful eye on all impostors.

The dispersed among the Gentiles (τὴν διασπορὰν τῶν Ἑλλήνων). Lit., the dispersion of the Greeks. The Jews who remained in foreign lands after the return from the Captivity were called by two names: 1. The Captivity, which was expressed in Greek by three words, viz., ἀποικία, α settlement far from home, which does not occur in the New Testament; μετοικεσία, change of abode, which is found in Matt. i. 11, 12, 17, and always of the carrying into Babylon; αἰχμαλωσία, α taking at the point of the spear; Eph. iv. 8; Apoc. xiii. 10. 2. The Dispersion (διασπορά). See on 1 Pet. i. 1; Jas. i. 1. The first name marks their relation to their own land; the second to the strange lands.

The Gentiles ("Ελληνας). Lit., the Greeks. So Rev. See on Acts vi. 1.

36. What manner of saying is this (τίς ἐστιν οὖτος ὁ λόγος)? Rev., more simply and literally, what is this word?

37. The last day. The eighth, the close of the whole festival, and kept as a Sabbath (Lev. xxiii. 36). It was called the Day of the Great Hosanna, because a circuit was made seven times round the altar with "Hosanna;" also the Day of Willows, and the Day of Beating the Branches, because all the leaves were shaken off the willow-boughs, and the palmbranches beaten in pieces by the side of the altar. Every morning, after the sacrifice, the people, led by a priest, repaired to the Fountain of Siloam, where the priest filled a golden pitcher, and brought it back to the temple amid music and joyful shouts. Advancing to the altar of burnt-offering, at the cry of the people, "Lift up thy hand!" he emptied the pitcher toward the west, and toward the east a cup of wine, while the people chanted, "With joy shall ye draw water out of the wells of salvation." It is not certain that this libation was made on the eighth day, but there can be no doubt that the following words of the Lord had reference to that ceremony.

Stood (εἰστήκει). The imperfect, was standing; watching the ceremonies. Both A. V. and Rev. miss this graphic touch.

38. The scripture hath said. There is no exactly corresponding passage, but the quotation harmonizes with the general tenor of several passages, as Isa. lv. 1; lviii. 11; Zech. xiii. 1; xiv. 8; Ezek. xlvii. 1; Joel iii. 18.

Belly (κοιλίας). The word is often used in the Old Testament for the innermost part of a man, the soul or heart. See Job xv. 35; xxxii. 19; Prov. xviii. 8; xx. 27, 30. The rite of drawing and pouring out the water pointed back to the smitten rock in the desert. In Exod. xvii. 6, "there shall come water out of it," is literally, "there shall come water from within him." The word belly here means the inmost heart of the believer, which pours forth spiritual refreshment. Compare 1 Cor. x. 4; John iv. 14.

Shall flow (ρεύσουσιν). The word occurs only here in the New Testament.

Rivers. A type of abundance. Compare Num. xx. 11. Living water. Compare iv. 10.

39. The Spirit. The Holy Spirit, personally.

The Holy Ghost (πνεῦμα ἄγιον). The best texts omit ἄγιον, holy, and the definite article is not in the text, so that the strict rendering is simply spirit. Lit., spirit was not yet. Given, in A. V. and Rev., is added to guard against a possible misconception, which, as Alford observes, "no intelligent reader could fall into." The word spirit, standing thus alone, marks, not the personal Spirit, but His operation or gift or manifestation. Canon Westcott aptly says: "It is impossible not to contrast the mysteriousness of this utterance with the clear teaching of St. John himself on the 'unction' of believers (1 John ii. 20 sqq.), which forms a commentary, gained by later experience, upon the words of the Lord."

Was glorified (ἐδοξάσθη). We have here one of John's characteristic terms, even as the idea is central to his Gospelto show forth Jesus as the manifested glory of God (i. 14). The beginning of our Lord's miracles was a manifestation of His glory (ii. 11). His glory was the expression of the Father's will (viii. 54). By His work He glorified the Father upon earth (xii. 28; xvii. 4), and in this was Himself glorified (xvii. 10). The sickness and resurrection of Lazarus were for the glory of God (xi. 4). The consummation of His work was marked by the words, "Now was the Son of man glorified, and God was glorified in Him" (xiii. 31). His glory He had with the Father before the world was (xvii. 5). It is consummated at His ascension (vii. 39; xii. 16). The passion is the way to glory (xii. 23, 24; xiii. 31). The fruitfulness of believers in Him is for the glory of God (xv. 8), and the office of the Spirit is to glorify Christ (xvi. 14).

40. Many. The best texts omit. Read as Rev., some.

This saying (τον λόγον). The best texts substitute των λόγων τούτων, these words. So Rev.

The prophet. See on i. 21.

41. **Shall Christ,** etc. $(\mu \dot{\gamma} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \ \dot{\delta} \ X \rho \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\delta} s)$. The Rev. gives better the force of the interrogative particle with $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$, for: What, doth the Christ come, etc. The idea in full is, "you cannot $(\mu \dot{\gamma})$ say that, for $(\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho)$ doth the Christ, etc."

Shall—come (ἔρχεται). The present tense. Rev., rightly, doth—come.

- 43. There was a division (σχίσμα ἐγένετο). More correctly, as Rev., "there arose a division." See on i. 3.
- 44. Would have taken (ήθελον πιάσαι). See on vii. 17. Rather, were disposed or wished to take him.
 - 46. Like this man. Some of the best texts omit.

Deceived ($\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \acute{a} \nu \eta \sigma \Im \epsilon$). Rev., led astray. See on ver. 12.

- 48. Of the rulers or of the Pharisees. The Greek order, as followed by Rev., is more suggestive: Hath any of the rulers believed on Him, or (to appeal to a larger circle) of the Pharisees?
- 49. This people (ὁ ὄχλος οὖτος). Better, multitude, as contrasted with the orthodox Jews. See on i. 19.
- Cursed. As specimens of Rabbinical utterances concerning this class may be cited the expressions vermin, people of the earth, and the saying, "the ignorant is impious; only the learned shall have part in the resurrection." Even more abusive and abominable is this: "He shall not take a daughter of the people of the earth, because they are an abomination, and their wives are an abomination, and concerning their daughters it is said, Deut. xxvii. 21"——!
- 50. He that came to Him by night (ὁ ἐλθῶν νυκτὸς πρὸς αὐτὸν). The texts vary, either substituting πρότερον, before,

for νυκτὸς, by night, or omitting the whole clause, and reading, Nicodemus saith unto them, being one of them.

51. Any man $(\tau \partial \nu \ a\nu \partial \rho \omega \pi o \nu)$. Lit., the man, whoever he may be, that comes before them.

Before it hear him (ἐἀν μὴ ἀκούση παρ' αὐτοῦ). Rev., more correctly, except it first hear. Hear him is an inadequate rendering of παρ' αὐτοῦ, which is, as Rev., from himself; παρά, implying from beside, i.e., from his side of the case.

52. Search. Compare v. 39.

Look ($\tilde{l}\delta\epsilon$). Some render *see*, and translate the following $\tilde{o}\tau\iota$, that, instead of for. So Rev. The difference is unimportant.

53. This verse, and the portion of Chapter VIII., as far as ver. 12, are generally pronounced by the best critical authorities not to belong to John's Gospel.

CHAPTER VIII.

12. The light of the world (τὸ φῶς τοῦ κόσμου). Not λύχνος, a lamp, as John the Baptist (v. 35). Light is another of John's characteristic terms and ideas, playing a most important part in his writings, as related to the manifestation of Jesus and His work upon men. He comes from God, who is light (1 John i. 5). "In Him was life, and the life was the light of men" (i. 4). The Word was among men as light before the incarnation (i. 9; ix. 5), and light came with the incarnation (iii. 19–21; viii. 12; xii. 46). Christ is light through the illuminating energy of the Spirit (xiv. 21, 26; xvi. 13; 1 John ii. 20, 27), which is received through love (xiv. 22, 23). The object of Christ's work is to make men sons of light (xii. 36, 46), and to endow them with the light of life (viii. 12).

In ver. 20, we are told that Jesus spake these words in the Treasury. This was in the Court of the Women, the most

public part of the temple. Four golden candelabra stood there, each with four golden bowls, each one filled from a pitcher of oil by a youth of priestly descent. These were lighted on the first night of the Feast of Tabernacles. It is not unlikely that they may have suggested our Lord's figure, but the figure itself was familiar both from prophecy and from tradition. According to tradition, Light was one of the names of the Messiah. See Isa. ix. 1; xlii. 6; xlix. 6; lx. 1-3; Mal. iv. 2; Luke ii. 32.

Walk in darkness (περιπατήσει ἐν τῆ σκοτία). This phrase is peculiar to the Gospel and First Epistle.

Shall have $(\tilde{\epsilon}\xi\epsilon\iota)$. Not only shall see it, but shall possess it. Hence Christ's disciples are the light of the world (Matt. v. 14). Compare lights, or, properly, luminaries $(\phi\omega\sigma\tau\hat{\eta}\rho\epsilon\varsigma)$, a name, applied to believers in Philip. ii. 15.

13. Thou barest record of thyself. Rev., witness. A technical objection, evading the real purport of Jesus' declaration. The Rabbinical writings declared that no man could give witness for himself.

14. Though $(\kappa \hat{a}\nu)$. Lit., even if.

I know (οἶδα). With a clear inward consciousness. See on ii. 24.

Whence I came and whither I go. Two essential facts of testimony, viz., origin and destiny. "The question was one about His own personal conscionsness, of which only Himself could bear witness" (Lange). "If the sun or the day could speak, and should say: 'I am the sun!' and it were replied, 'No, thou mayest be the night, for thou bearest witness of thyself!' how would that sound? Argue it away if thou canst" ("Berlenburg Bible," cited by Stier, "Words of the Lord Jesus").

And whither I go. The best texts read, \$\dagger\$, or.

- 16. True (ἀληθής). The best texts, however, read ἀληθινή, true to the perfect ideal of judgment.
- 17. In your law (ἐν τῷ νόμφ τῷ ὑμετέρφ). Lit., in the law, that which is yours. Yours has an emphatic force: of which you claim a monopoly. See vii. 49.

It is written (γέγραπται). The perfect tense: it has been written, and stands written. The common form of citation elsewhere, but used by John of the Old Testament scriptures only here. His usual form is γεγραμμένον ἐστίν, the participle with the finite verb, lit., it is having been written.

The witness of two men. See Deut. xix. 15.

The Father—beareth witness of me. Thus there are two witnesses, and the letter of the law is fulfilled.

- 19. Where. The testimony of an unseen and unheard witness would not satisfy them.
- 20. The Treasury (γαζοφυλακίφ). From γάζα, treasure, a Persian word, occurring only once in the New Testament (Acts viii. 27), and φυλακή, guard. Used by John only here. The Treasury was in the Court of the Women, so called, not because it was appropriated to the worship of women exclusively, but because they were not allowed to proceed further, except for sacrificial purposes. The court covered a space upwards of two hundred feet square, and was surrounded by a colonnade, within which, and against the wall, were the thirteen trumpet-shaped chests, called "trumpets" from their shape, for charitable contributions. This court was the most public part of the temple.

And no man laid hands on Him (καὶ οὐδεὶς ἐπίασεν αὐτὸν). Notice the connection with the previous sentence by the simple and, where another writer would have said and yet: the sense being that though Jesus was teaching where He might easily have been apprehended, yet no one attempted to arrest Him. See on i. 10. Laid hands on is better rendered, as elsewhere,

took (compare vii. 30). The inconsistency of the A. V. in the renderings of the same word, of which this is only one of many instances, is noteworthy here from the fact that in the only two passages in which John uses the phrase laid hands on (vii. 30; vii. 44), he employs the common formula, $\epsilon \pi \iota \beta \acute{a} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \grave{a} s \chi \epsilon \acute{l} \rho a s$, or $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \chi \epsilon \acute{l} \rho a$, and in both these passages the word $\pi \iota \acute{a} \sigma a \iota$ is rendered take. The use of this latter word is confined almost exclusively to John, as it is found only three times elsewhere (Acts iii. 7; xii. 4; 2 Cor. xi. 32).

21. Then (ov). Properly, therefore, connecting the fact of Jesus' continuing to speak with His freedom from arrest.

Said Jesus. Omit Jesus, and read, He said therefore.

Go away (ὑπάγω). Withdraw myself from you; this sense being emphasized by the succeeding words, ye shall seek me. In expressing one's departure from men or from surrounding objects, we may emphasize merely the fact of removal, in which case ἀπέρχομαι, to go away, would be appropriate; or we may emphasize the removal as affecting some relation of the person to that from which he removes, as in vi. 67, where Jesus says to the disciples, "will ye also go away, or withdraw from me," in which case ὑπάγω is the proper word.*

In your sin ($\dot{\epsilon}\nu \tau \hat{\eta} \dot{\alpha}\mu a \rho \tau i \dot{q} \dot{\nu}\mu \hat{\omega}\nu$). See on Matt. i. 21. Note the singular, sin, not sins. It is used collectively to express the whole condition of estrangement from God.

22. Will He kill Himself (μήτι ἀποκτενεῖ ἐαυτὸν)? The mockery in these words is alike subtle and bitter. The interrogative particle, μήτι, signifies surely He will not by any chance kill Himself; and the sense of the whole clause is, He will not surely go where we cannot reach Him, unless perchance He should kill Himself; and as that would insure His going to

^{*} I am inclined, however, to think that the distinction between these two, and also between these and πορεύομαι, which Canon Westcott claims is observed by John, will not bear too strict pressing. See his commentary on John vii. 33.

Gehenna, of course we could not go to Him there. The remark displays alike the scorn and the self-righteonsness of the speakers.

23. Ve are from beneath ($\epsilon \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \tau \hat{\epsilon}$). A phrase peculiar to John and to his Gospel. Jesus states the radical antagonism between His opposers and Himself, as based upon difference of *origin* and *nature*. They spring from the lower, sensual, earthly economy; He from the heavenly. Compare Jas. iii. 15 sqq.

From above $(\vec{\epsilon}\kappa \tau \hat{\omega}\nu \tilde{a}\nu\omega)$. Also peculiar to John's Gospel. Compare Col. iii. 1. On the phrase to be of $(\vec{\epsilon}l\nu a\iota \vec{\epsilon}\kappa)$ see on i. 46.

Ve are of this world (ἐκ τοῦ κόσμου τούτου ἐστὲ). Peculiar to John, and occurring in the First Epistle. On κόσμου, world, see on i. 9. Ye are of this earthly order or economy.

- 24. I am he $(\epsilon\gamma')$ $\epsilon i\mu\iota$). He is inserted in the versions and is not in the text. By retaining it, we read, I am the Messiah. But the words are rather the solemn expression of His absolute divine being, as in ver. 58: "If ye believe not that I am." See Deut. xxxii. 39; Isa. xliii. 10; and compare vv. 28, 58 of this chapter, and xiii. 19.
- 25. Even the same that I said unto you from the beginning $(\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \ \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\eta} \nu \ \ddot{o} \ \tau \iota \ \kappa \alpha \dot{\iota} \ \lambda \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} \ \dot{\upsilon} \mu \hat{\iota} \nu)$. A very difficult passage, on which the commentators are almost hopelessly divided. There are two main classes of interpretations, according to one of which it is to be read interrogatively, and according to the other, affirmatively. The two principal representatives of the former class are Meyer, who renders "Do you ask that which all along $(\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \ \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\eta} \nu)$ I am even saying to you?" and Westcott, "How is it that I even speak to you at all $(\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \ \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\eta} \nu)$ "? So also Milligan and Moulton. This latter rendering requires the change of $\ddot{o} \tau \iota$, the relative, that which, into the conjunction $\ddot{o}\tau \iota$, that.

The second class of interpreters, who construe the passage

.. affirmatively, vary in their explanations of την ἄρχην, which they render severally, altogether, essentially, first of all, in the beginning. There is also a third class, who take την ἄρχην as a noun, and explain according to Apoc. xxi. 6, "I am the beginning, that which I am even saying unto you." This view is represented mostly by the older commentators, Augustine, Bede, Lampe, and later by Wordsworth.

I adopt the view of Alford, who renders essentially, explaining by generally, or traced up to its principle (ἀρχη). Shading off from this are Godet, absolutely; Winer, throughout; Thayer, wholly or precisely. I render, I am essentially that which I even speak to you. If we accept the explanation of I am, in ver. 24, as a declaration of Jesus' absolute divine being, that thought prepares the way for this interpretation of His answer to the question, Who art thou? His words are the revelation of Himself. "He appeals to His own testimony as the adequate expression of His nature. They have only to fathom the series of statements He has made concerning Himself, and they will find therein a complete analysis of His mission and essence" (Godet).*

26. I have many things, etc. The connection of thought seems to be as follows: "I being such as my words show me to be, I must declare the whole message of Him by virtue of my essential union with whom I speak. Many things I have to declare and judge, and you may turn a deaf ear to them;

^{*} I am aware of the objection to this rendering based on the canon that $\tau h \nu \ \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi h \nu$ has this meaning only in negative sentences, an objection which is certainly not parried by Godet's attempt to explain this passage as essentially negative. But this rule is not absolutely universal (see Thayer's Lexicon, $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi h$, 1, b.), and this explanation seems to me, on the whole, to fall in better than any other with the general sense of the passage as I understand it. I always differ from Canon Westcott with reluctance; but without going so far as to say, with Alford, that his interpretation is ungrammatical, I must confess that it seems to me artificial and forced, as also does Meyer's rendering, which is open besides to serious criticism on grammatical grounds. The student will find the different interpretations well summed up and classified in Schaff's Lange, and also more briefly in Westcott's additional note to ch. viii. See also Meyer.

nevertheless, I must speak the whole truth, the things which I have heard from Him who sent me and who is true."

I speak to the world (λέγω εἰς τὸν κοσμὸν). The best texts read λαλῶ, which emphasizes not what Christ says (which would be λέγω), but the fact that He speaks. See on Matt. xxviii. 18. The use of the preposition εἰς here is peculiar. Lit., "I speak into the world;" so that my words may reach and spread through the world. See for a similar construction 1 Thess. ii. 9; iv. 8; Heb. ii. 3. So Sophocles, where Electra says, κήρυσσέ μ² εἰς ἄπαντας, proclaim me to all: so that the report of me may reach all ears ("Electra," 606).

27. They understood ($\tilde{\epsilon}\gamma\nu\omega\sigma\alpha\nu$). Perceived, as Rev.

He spake. Imperfect. Was speaking would be much better.

28. Lifted up. See on iii. 14.

Ve shall know (ἡνώσεσθε). Render, *perceive*, here as in ver. 27.

I am He. As in ver. 24, on which see note.

Of myself ($\dot{a}\pi'$ $\dot{\epsilon}\mu a \nu \tau o \hat{\nu}$). Properly, from myself, as Rev., at vii. 17, but not here. See on vii. 17.

Hath taught (ἐδίδαξεν). Rev., more correctly, taught. The aorist tense, regarding the teaching as a single act. Compare ἤκουσα, I heard, iii. 32.

I speak these things $(\tau a \hat{\nu} \tau a \lambda a \lambda \hat{\omega})$. Not equivalent to so I speak (i.e., as the Father taught me), but an absolute declaration with reference to these present revelations.

29. The Father. The best texts omit.

Alone. See ver. 16.

Those things that please Him $(\tau \grave{a} \ \check{a} \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \grave{a} \ a \mathring{v} \tau \hat{\varphi})$. Lit., as Rev., the things that are pleasing to Him. Always $(\pi \acute{a} v \tau \sigma \tau \epsilon)$

closing the sentence, is emphatic. Jesus' holy activity is habitual and continuous. See iv. 34.

- 30. Believed on (ἐπίστευσαν εἰς). See on i. 12, and compare believed Him, ver. 31.
- 31. Believed on Him (πεπιστευκότας αὐτῷ). Note the different phrase, distinguishing the Jews from the mixed company in ver. 30. Rev., rightly, believed Him.

If ye continue ($\hat{\epsilon}\hat{a}\nu$ $\hat{\nu}\mu\hat{\epsilon}\hat{i}s$ $\mu\hat{\epsilon}(\nu\eta\tau\hat{\epsilon})$. The emphasis is on the ye, addressed to those whose faith was rudimentary; who believed Him, but did not yet believe on Him. Rev., abide.

In my word (ἐν τῷ λόγῳ τῷ ἐμῷ). Lit., in the word which is mine: peculiarly mine, characteristic of me. The expression is intentionally stronger than my word. Compare my love (xv. 9).

Indeed $(\partial \lambda \eta \partial \hat{\omega}_s)$. Lit., truly, as Rev. As those who believe on me, not as those who are moved by temporary excitement to admit my claims.

33. Were never in bondage (δεδουλεύκαμεν πώποτε). Rev., better, have never yet been in bondage; thus giving the force of the perfect tense, never up to this time, and of the πώ, yet. In the light of the promises given to Abraham, Gen. xvii. 16; xxii. 17, 18, the Jews claimed not only freedom, but dominion over the nations. In their reply to Jesus they ignore alike the Egyptian, Babylonian, and Syrian bondage, through which the nation had successively passed, as well as their present subjection to Rome, treating these merely as bondage which, though a fact, was not bondage by right, or bondage to which they had ever willingly submitted, and, therefore, not bondage in any real sense. Beside the fact that their words were the utterance of strong passion, it is to be remembered that the Romans, from motives of policy, had left them the semblance of political independence. As in so many other cases, they overlook the higher significance of Jesus' words, and base their

reply on a technicality. These are the very Jews who believed Him (ver. 31). Stier remarks: "These poor believers soon come to the end of their faith." The hint of the possible inconstancy of their faith, conveyed in the Lord's words if ye abide in my word, is thus justified.

34. Whosoever committeth ($\pi \hat{a}s$ δ $\pi o \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$). Rev., more correctly, every one that committeth.

Sin $(\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{a}\mu a \rho \tau i a \nu)$. The definite article, the sin, shows that Jesus does not mean merely a simple act, but a life of sin. Compare 1 John iii. 4–8, and doeth the truth (John iii. 21); doeth the righteousness (1 John ii. 29).

The servant (δοῦλος). Or, a servant. Properly, a bond-servant or slave. See on Matt. xx. 26.

Of sin. A few authorities omit, and read whosoever committeth sin is a bond-servant. Compare Rom. vi. 17, 20.

- 35. Abideth not in the house forever. A slave has no permanent place in the house. He may be sold, exchanged, or cast out. Compare Gen. xxi. 10; Gal. iv. 30. House. See Heb. iii. 6; John xiv. 2. The elder son in the parable of the prodigal (Luke xv. 29), denies his sonship by the words, "These many years do I serve thee (δουλεύω)."
- 36. Indeed ($\ddot{o}\nu\tau\omega\varsigma$). Used by John only here. It means essentially.
- 37. Hath no place (οὐ χωρεῖ). Rev., hath not free course, or maketh no way. This rendering is in harmony with vv. 30, 31, concerning those who believed, but did not believe on Him, and who showed by their angry answer, in ver. 33, that the word of Jesus had made no advance in them. The rendering of the A. V. is not supported by usage, though Field ("Otium Norvicense") cites an undoubted instance of that sense from the Epistles of Alciphron, a post-Christian writer, who relates the story of a parasite returning gorged from a banquet and

applying to a physician, who administered an emetic. The parasite, describing the effect of the medicine, says that the doctor wondered where such a mess had place $(\dot{\epsilon}\chi\omega\rho\eta\sigma\epsilon)$. For the rendering of the Rev., compare Aristophanes: $\pi\omega_s$ où où $\chi\omega\rho\epsilon\hat{\iota}$ τ où $\rho\gamma$ ov; "How is it that the work makes no progress?" ("Peace," 472). Plutarch, $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\omega\rho\epsilon\iota$ διὰ $\tau\eta$ s π όλεωs ὁ λόγοs, "the word (or report) spread (or advanced) through the city" ("Cæsar," 712).

- 38. Ye have seen. The best texts read ηκούσατε, ye heard.
- 39. Children $(\tau \acute{\epsilon} \kappa \nu a)$. See on i. 12.
- 40. A man $(a\nu 9\rho\omega\pi\sigma\nu)$. Used only here by the Lord of Himself. To this corresponds His calling the Devil a manslayer at ver. 44. Perhaps, too, as Westcott remarks, it may suggest the idea of the human sympathy which, as a man, He was entitled to claim from them.

This did not Abraham. In the oriental traditions Abraham is spoken of as "full of loving-kindness."

41. Ye do. Or, are doing.

Fornication (πορνείας). From πέρνημι, to sell.

42. I proceeded forth—from God (ἐκ τοῦ Θεοῦ ἐξῆλθου). Rev., came forth. The phrase occurs only here and in xvi. 28. Ἐξελθεῖν ἀπό is found in xiii. 3; xvi. 30, and emphasizes the idea of separation; a going from God to whom He was to return (and goeth unto God). Ἐξελθεῖν παρά (xvi. 27; xvii. 8), is going from beside, implying personal fellowship with God. Ἐξελθεῖν ἐκ, here, emphasizes the idea of essential community of being: "I came forth out of."

And am come ($\eta \kappa \omega$). As much as to say, and here I am.

Of myself $(a\pi' \dot{\epsilon}\mu a \nu \tau o \hat{\nu})$. Of my own self-determination, independently, but my being is divinely derived. See on vii. 17.

43. Speech—word (λαλιὰν—λόγον). The former word refers to the *form*, the latter to the *substance* of discourse. So Matt. xxvi. 73, of Peter, "thy *speech* (λαλιά) bewrayeth thee;" thy *mode* of speaking. If they had understood the substance, they would have understood the form.

Cannot. See on vii. 7.

44. Ve ($\delta\mu\epsilon\hat{i}$ s). Emphatic, in contrast with $\delta\mu\epsilon\hat{i}$ s, we, of ver. 41.

Of your father $(\partial \kappa)$. Very suggestive, implying community of nature, as in ver. 42. Compare 1 John iii. 8, 10.

The Devil. See on Matt. iv. 1. John uses Satan only once in the Gospel (xiii. 27), frequently in the Apocalypse, and nowhere in the Epistles. A few critics have adopted the very singular rendering, which the Greek will bear, ye are of the father of the devil. This is explained by charging John with Gnosticism, and making him refer to the Demiurge, a mysterious and inferior being descended from God, by whom God, according to the Gnostics, created the universe, and who had rebelled against God, and was the father of Satan. It is only necessary to remark with Meyer that such a view is both unbiblical and un-Johannine.

Lusts (ἐπιθυμίας). See on Mark iv. 19.

Ye will do (θέλετε ποιείν). Wrong. Properly, ye will to do. Rev., it is your will to do. See on vii. 17.

Murderer (ἀνθρωποκτόνος). Only here and 1 John iii. 15. Lit., a manslayer; from ἄνθρωπος, man, and κτείνω, to kill. The epithet is applied to Satan, not with reference to the murder of Abel, but to the fact of his being the author of death to the race. Compare Rom. vii. 8, 11; Heb. ii. 14.

From the beginning. Of the human race.

Stood not (οὐκ ἔστηκεν). This may be explained in two ways. The verb may be taken as the perfect tense of ἴστημι, which is the form for the English present tense, I stand. In that case it would describe Satan's present standing in the element of falsehood: he standeth not in the truth. Or it may be taken as the imperfect tense of στήκω, I keep my standing, or simply, I stand, in which case the form will be ἔστηκεν, and it will mean that even before his fall he was not true, or that he did not remain true to God, but fell. Meyer, who takes it in the former sense, observes: "Truth is the domain in which he has not his footing; to him it is a foreign, heterogeneous sphere of life.

. The lie is the sphere in which he holds his place." So Mephistopheles in Goethe's "Fanst":

"I am the spirit that denies!
And justly so; for all things from the void
Called forth, deserve to be destroyed;
"Twere better, then, were naught created.
Thus, all which you as sin have rated,—
Destruction,—aught with evil blent,—
That is my proper element."

When he speaketh a lie (ὅταν λαλῆ τὸ ψεῦδος). More strictly, whenever—the lie, as opposed to the truth, regarded as a whole. Two interpretations are given. According to one, the Devil is the subject of speaketh: according to the other, the subject is indefinite; "when one speaketh;" stating a general proposition.

Of his own ($i\kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu i\delta l\omega \nu$). Lit., out of the things which are his own. "That which is most peculiarly his ethical nature" (Meyer).

For he is a liar, and the father of it (ὅτι ψεύστης ἐστὶ καὶ ὁ πατὴρ αὐτοῦ). Three interpretations are given. 1. That of the A. V. and Rev. "He is a liar, and the father of the lie." 2. "He is a liar, and the father of the liar (since of it may also be rendered of him)." 3. Making ὁ πατὴρ αὐτοῦ, his father, the subject of the sentence, and referring his to one, the indefinite subject of speaketh ("when one speaketh a lie").

Thus the rendering will be, Because his father is a liar. As to Jesus' course of thought-if we accept either of the first two renderings, it turns on the character of Satan. After stating that the Jews are children of the Devil, He goes on to describe the Devil as a murderer and a liar, and enlarges on the latter characteristic by saying that falsehood is his natural and peculiar element. Whenever he lies he speaks out of his own false nature, for he is a liar, and the father of the lie or of the liar. If we accept the third rendering, the thought turns rather on the character of the Jews as children of Satan. He utters first, the general charge, ye are the children of the Devil, and as such will do his works. Hence you will be both murderers and liars. He was a murderer, and ye are seeking to kill me. He stood not in the truth, neither do ye; for, when one speaketh a lie, he speaketh out of his own false nature, by a birthright of falsehood, since his father also is a liar.*

- 45. And because I ($\partial \dot{\gamma} \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \dot{\gamma} \dot{\alpha}$). Render but, instead of and. You would believe falsehood if I should speak it, but because I tell you the truth, you do not believe. The I is emphatic. I, because I tell you, etc.
 - 46. Convinceth (ἐλέγχει). See on iii. 20. Rev., convicteth.

Sin $(\dot{a}\mu a\rho\tau ias)$. Not fault or error, but sin in general, as everywhere in the New Testament.

^{*} I adopt this rendering, though with some hesitation, as best representing what seems to me the line of thought in the whole passage, and as avoiding most of the grammatical difficulties. 1, though grammatically defensible, necessitates the awkwardness of rendering advov as neuter, by inference or derivation from the masculine $\psi \dot{\psi} \dot{\psi} \sigma \eta s$. It is much more natural to take it as masculine. Both 1 and 2 require δ nath ρ to be taken as the predicate, whereas, having the article, it would naturally be expected to be the subject. The main objection to 3, is the omission of the subject with $\lambda a\lambda \hat{\eta}$, which is harsh. Professor Kendrick (American edition of Meyer) cites as a parallel $\phi \eta \sigma t$, in 2 Cor. x. 10, and very justly observes that "if any objection may lie against this construction, it does not approach in harshness to that which makes δ nath ρ advov a predicate in the sense ordinarily assigned to it. It is adopted by Westcott, and Milligan and Moulton.

The truth $(\partial \lambda \eta) \mathcal{G}(av)$. Without the article, and therefore not the whole truth, but that which is true as to any part of divine revelation.

- 47. He that is of $(\delta \hat{\omega} \nu \hat{\epsilon} \kappa)$. The familiar construction. See on i. 46.
- 48. Say we not well. Indicating a current reproach. Well (καλῶς) is literally, finely, beautifully. Sometimes ironical, as Mark vii. 6.

Thou art a Samaritan (Σ a μ a ρ e i σ i). Lit., a Samaritan art thou: the σ i, thou, terminating the sentence with a bitter emphasis: thou who professest such reverence for God and His law, art only a Samaritan, hostile to the true law and kingdom of God.

- 49. I have not a devil. He ignores the charge of being a Samaritan, refusing to recognize the national distinction. For devil read demon.
- 50. There is one that seeketh. That seeks my honor and judges between me and my opposers.
 - 51. **Keep** (τηρήση). See on 1 Pet. i. 4.

Saying (λόγον). Better, word, as Rev. See on ver. 43.

He shall not see death (βάνατον οὐ μὴ θεωρήση). The phrase θεωρεῦν θάνατον, to see death, occurs only here in the New Testament. The double negative signifies in nowise, by no means. Θεωρήση, see, denoting steady, protracted vision, is purposely used, because the promise contemplates the entire course of the believer's life in Christ. It is not, shall not die forever, but shall live eternally. Upon this life, which is essentially the negation and contradiction of death, the believer enters from the moment of his union with Christ, and moves along its entire course, in time no less than in eternity, seeing only life, and with his back turned on death. The reverse of this truth, in connection with the same verb, is painfully suggestive. The

question is pertinent why the Revisers have retained see, and have not substituted behold, as in so many instances.

52. Now. Looking back to ver. 48. If we were too hasty then in saying that you have a demon, your words now fully justify us. They understood Him to be speaking of natural death.

Is dead $(\dot{a}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\vartheta a\nu\epsilon)$. Better, died: referring to the historical fact.

Taste of death. They change the form of Jesus' statement. The Lord himself tasted of death. See Heb. ii. 9. The phrase taste of death does not occur in the Old Testament, but is common in Rabbinic writings. "The angel of death," say the Rabbis, "holdeth his sword in his hand at the bed's head, having on the end thereof three drops of gall. The sick man, spying this deadly angel, openeth his mouth with fear; and then those drops fall in, of which one killeth him, the second maketh him pale, the third rotteth."

53. Art thou $(\mu \hat{\eta} \sigma \hat{v})$. Thou, emphatic, and the negative interrogative particle implying a negative answer, thou art not surely greater.

Which is dead (σστις). The compound pronoun σστις, which, is used explicatively, according to a familiar New Testament usage, instead of the simple relative. The sense is, seeing that he is dead. The compound relative properly indicates the class or kind to which an object belongs. Art thou greater than Abraham, who is himself one of the dead? So Col. iii. 5. "Mortify covetousness, seeing it is (ητις ἐστὶν) idolatry." See on Matt. xiii. 52; xxi. 41; Mark xii. 18; Luke xii. 1; Acts vii. 53; x. 41; 1 Pet. ii. 11.

- 55. Saying (λόγον). Properly, word, as ver. 51. So Rev.
- 56. Rejoiced (ἢγαλλιάσατο). With exultant joy. See on 1 Pet. i. 6.

To see ("va "δη). The Greek construction is peculiar. Lit., that he should see; i.e., in the knowledge or anticipation that he should see.

My day. The exact meaning of the expression is altogether uncertain.

57. Thou art not yet fifty years old (πεντήκοντα ἔτη οὔπω ἔχεις). Lit., thou hast not yet fifty years. The age of completed manhood.

Hast thou seen. Again misquoting the Lord's words.

- 58. Was, I am (γενέσθαι, ἐγώ εἰμι). It is important to observe the distinction between the two verbs. Abraham's life was under the conditions of time, and therefore had a temporal beginning. Hence, Abraham came into being, or was born (γενέσθαι). Jesus' life was from and to eternity. Hence the formula for absolute, timeless existence, I am (ἐγώ εἰμι). See on i. 3; vii. 34.
- 59. Going through the midst of them, and so passed by. The best texts omit.

CHAPTER IX.

- 1. From his birth $(\dot{\epsilon}\kappa \, \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s)$. The word only here in the New Testament.
- 2. This man, or his parents. It was a common Jewish view that the merits or demerits of the parents would appear in the children, and that the thoughts of a mother might affect the moral state of her unborn offspring. The apostasy of one of the greatest Rabbis had, in popular belief, been caused by the sinful delight of his mother in passing through an idol grove.

- 3. But that (ἀλλ' ἵνα). There is an ellipsis: but (he was born blind) that.
- 4. I must work (èµè δεῖ ἐργάζεσθαι). The best texts read ἡµᾶς, us, instead of ἐµè, me. Lit., it is necessary for us to work. The disciples are thus associated by Jesus with Himself. Compare iii. 11.

Sent me, not us. The Son sends the disciples, as the Father sends the Son.

5. As long as (ὅταν). More correctly, whensoever. Rev., when. Whether in my incarnation, or before my incarnation, or after it. Compare John i. 4, 10.

The light. See on viii. 12. The article is wanting. West-cott says, "Christ is 'light to the world,' as well as 'the one Light of the world.' The character is unchangeable, but the display of the character varies with the occasion."

6. On the ground $(\chi a \mu a)$. Only here and xviii. 6.

Anointed (ἐπέχρισε). Only here and ver. 11. The spittle was regarded as having a peculiar virtue, not only as a remedy for diseases of the eye, but generally as a charm, so that it was employed in incantations. Persius, describing an old crone handling an infant, says: "She takes the babe from the cradle, and with her middle finger moistens its forehead and lips with spittle to keep away the evil eye" ("Sat.," ii., 32, 33). Tacitus relates how one of the common people of Alexandria importuned Vespasian for a remedy for his blindness, and prayed him to sprinkle his cheeks and the balls of his eyes with the secretion of his mouth ("History," iv., 81). Pliny says: "We are to believe that by continually anointing each morning with fasting saliva (i.e., before eating), inflammations of the eyes are prevented" ("Natural History," xxviii., 7). Some editors read here ἐπέθηκεν, put upon, for ἐπέχρισεν, anointed.

Of the blind man. Omit, and read as Rev., his eyes.

7. Wash (v/\pai). Wash the eyes. See on Acts xvi. 33.

Siloam. By Rabbinical writers, Shiloach: Septuagint, Σι-λωάμ: Vulgate and Latin fathers, Siloe. Josephus, generally, Siloa. In scripture always called a pool or tank, built, and not natural. The site is clearly identified in a recess at the southeastern termination of Zion, near the junction of the valley of Tyropœon with that of the Kidron. According to Dr. Thomson, it is a parallelogram about fifty-three feet long and eighteen wide, and in its perfect condition must have been nearly twenty feet deep. It is thus the smallest of all the Jerusalem pools. The water flows into it through a subterraneous conduit from the Fountain of the Virgin, and the waters are marked by an ebb and flow. Dr. Robinson witnessed a rise and fall of one foot in ten minutes. The conduit has been traversed by two explorers, Dr. Robinson and Captain Warren. See the account of Warren's exploration in Thomson, "Southern Palestine and Jerusalem," p. 460. On the word pool, see on v. 2.

Sent. The Hebrew word means outflow (of waters); missio, probably with reference to the fact that the temple-mount sends forth its spring-waters. Many expositors find a typical significance in the fact of Christ's working through the pool of this name. Thus Milligan and Moulton, after noting the fact that the water was drawn from this pool for pouring upon the altar during the Feast of Tabernacles; that it was associated with the "wells of salvation" (Isa. xii. 3); and that the pouring out of the water symbolized the effusion of spiritual blessing in the days of the Messiah, go on to say: "With the most natural interest, therefore, the Evangelist observes that its very name corresponds to the Messiah; and by pointing out this fact indicates to us what was the object of Jesus in sending the man to these waters. In this, even more distinctly than in the other particulars that we have noted, Jesus, in sending the man away from Him, is keeping Himself before him in everything connected with his cure. Thus, throughout the whole narrative, all attention is concentrated on Jesus Himself, who is the

Light of the world, who was 'sent of God' to open blind eyes." See also Westcott and Godet.

8. Blind. The best texts substitute προσαίτης, a beggar.

That sat and begged (ὁ καθήμενος καὶ προσαιτῶν). Lit., the one sitting and begging. Denoting something customary.

- 9. He said (¿κείνος). The strong demonstrative throws the man into strong relief as the central figure.
- 11. To the pool of Siloam. The best texts read simply, Go to Siloam.

Received sight ($\dot{a}\nu\dot{e}\beta\lambda\dot{e}\psi a$). Originally, to look up, as Matt. xiv. 19; Mark xvi. 4, and so some render it here; but better, I recovered sight.

- 14. It was the Sabbath day when $(\tilde{\eta}\nu \delta \tilde{\epsilon} \sigma \acute{a}\beta\beta a\tau o\nu \delta\tau \epsilon)$. The best texts read, instead of $\delta\tau \epsilon$ when, $\epsilon \nu \tilde{\eta} \tilde{\eta}\mu \acute{\epsilon}\rho a$ on which day. Lit., it was a Sabbath on the day on which.
- 16. Keepeth not the Sabbath. A Rabbinical precept declares, "It is forbidden to apply even fasting-spittle to the eyes on the Sabbath." The words in ver. 14, made the clay, also mark a specific point of offence.
- 18. **The Jews.** Notice the change from *the Pharisees*. The Pharisees had already divided on this miracle (ver. 16). The Jews represent that section which was hostile to Jesus.

Of him that had received his sight (αὐτοῦ τοῦ ἀναβλέψαντος). Properly, "of the very one who had received."

22. Had agreed—that (συνετέθειντο—ἵνα). The sense is, had formed an agreement in order to bring about this end, viz., that the confessor of Christ should be excommunicated.

Confess (ὁμολογήση). See on Matt. vii. 23; x. 32.

He should be put out of the synagogue (ἀποσυνάγωγος γένηται). The literal rendering cannot be neatly given, as there is no English adjective corresponding to ἀποσυνάγωγος, which means excluded from the synagogue: as nearly as possible—that he should become banished from the synagogue. The adjective occurs only in John's Gospel—here, xii. 42: xvi. 2. Three kinds of excommunication were recognized of which only the third was the real cutting off, the other two being disciplinary. The first, and lightest, was called rebuke, and lasted from seven to thirty days. The second was called thrusting out, and lasted for thirty days at least, followed by a "second admonition," which lasted for thirty days more. This could only be pronounced in an assembly of ten. It was accompanied by curses, and sometimes proclaimed with the blast of the horn. The excommunicated person would not be admitted into any assembly of ten men, nor to public prayer. People would keep at the distance of four cubits from him, as if he were a leper. Stones were to be cast on his coffin when dead, and mourning for him was forbidden. If all else failed, the third, or real excommunication was pronounced, the duration of which was indefinite. The man was to be as one dead. No intercourse was to be held with him; one must not show him the road, and though he might buy the necessaries of life, it was forbidden to eat and drink with him. These severer forms appear to have been of later introduction, so that the penalty which the blind man's parents feared was probably separation from all religious fellowship, and from ordinary intercourse of life for perhaps thirty days.

24. Give God the praise ($\delta \delta s \delta \delta \delta a \nu \tau \hat{\phi} \Theta \epsilon \hat{\phi}$). Rev., give glory to God. Compare Josh. vii. 19; 1 Sam. vi. 5. This phrase addressed to an offender implies that by some previous act or word he has done dishonor to God, and appeals to him to repair the dishonor by speaking the truth. In this case it is also an appeal to the restored man to ascribe his cure directly to God, and not to Jesus. Palgrave, "Central and Eastern

Arabia," says that the Arabic phrase commonly addressed to one who has said something extremely out of place, is *Istaghfir Allah*, Ask pardon of God.

We know. The we is emphatic. We, the wise men and guardians of religion.

28. Reviled (ἐλοιδόρησαν). The verb means to reproach or scold in a lond and abusive manner. Calvin, on 1 Cor. iv. 12, "being reviled we bless," remarks: "Λοιδορία is a harsher railing, which not only rebukes a man, but also sharply bites him, and stamps him with open contumely. Hence λοιδορεῖν is to wound a man as with an accursed sting."

His disciple ($\mu a \Im \eta \tau \dot{\eta} s$ exclvov). Lit., that man's disciple. The pronoun has a contemptuous force which is not given by his.

29. Spake (λελάληκεν). Perfect tense, hath spoken, and the authority of Moses' words therefore continues to the present. So Rev. Λαλέω is to talk, familiarly. See Exod. xxxiii. 11.

Whence he is. Compare vii. 27; viii. 14.

30. A marvellous thing (βαυμαστόν). The correct reading adds the article, the marvel. So Rev.

Ye know not. Ye is emphatic: ye who might be expected to know about a man who has wrought such a miracle.

And yet (kai). See on viii. 20; i. 10.

31. We know. Here the pronoun is not expressed, and the we is not emphatic, like the pronouns in vv. 24, 29, but expresses the common information of all concerning a familiar fact.

A worshipper of God (Θεοσεβής). Only here in the New Testament. The kindred word, Θεοσέβεια, godliness, occurs only at 1 Tim. ii. 10. Compounded with Θεός, God, and σέβομαι, to

worship, the same verb which appears in εὐσεβής, devout (Acts x. 2, 7; xxii. 12), and εὐσέβεια, godliness (Acts iii. 12; 1 Tim. ii. 2, etc.). See on 2 Pet. i. 3. These two latter words, while they may mean reverence toward God, may also mean the due fulfilment of human relations; while θ εοσεβής, worshipper of God, is limited to piety towards God.

- 32. Since the world began (ἐκ τοῦ αἰῶνος). The exact phrase only here in the New Testament. 'Απ' αἰῶνος is found in Acts iii. 21; xv. 18; ἀπὸ τῶν αἰώνων in Col. i. 26.
 - 34. Altogether (ολος). Lit., all of thee.

In sins. Standing first in the Greek order, and emphatic, as is also $\sigma v thou$, in both instances. "In sins wast thou born, all of thee; and dost thou teach us?"

Teach. Emphatic. Dost thou, thus born in sins, assume the office of teacher?

Cast him out. From the place where they were conversing. Not excommunicated, which this miscellaneous gathering could not do.

35. Said unto him. Omit unto him.

Dost thou believe (σὺ πιστεύεις)? The form of the question indicates the confident expectation of an affirmative answer. It is almost an affirmation, you surely believe; you (σὺ, emphatic) who have borne such bold testimony to me that they have cast you out. Note the phrase, πιστεύεις εἰς, believe on, and see on i. 12.

Son of God. Both Tischendorf and Westcott and Hort read Son of man.

- 36. Who is He? The best texts insert $\kappa a i$, and; and who is He? which imparts an air of eagerness to the question.
 - 38. Worshipped (προσεκύνησεν). See on Acts x. 25.

- 39. Judgment ($\kappa\rho(\mu a)$). Not the act of judgment, but its result. His very presence in the world constitutes a separation, which is the primitive idea of judgment, between those who believe on Him and those who reject Him. See on iii. 17.
- 40. Are we blind also (μὴ καὶ ἡμεῖς τυφλοί ἐσμεν)? The also belongs with we. The interrogative particle has the force of we are surely not, and the we is emphatic. Are we also blind? So Rev.
- 41. Ye should have no sin (οὐκ ἀν εἴχετε ἁμαρτίαν). Or, ye would have had. The phrase ἁμαρτίαν ἔχειν, to have sin, occurs only in John, in the Gospel and First Epistle.

CHAPTER X.

1. Verily, verily $(\partial \mu \dot{\eta} \nu, \partial \mu \dot{\eta} \nu)$. The formula never begins anything quite new, but connects what follows with what precedes. This discourse grows out of the assumption of the Pharisees to be the only authoritative guides of the people (ix. 24, 29). They have already been described as *blind* and *sinful*.

Sheepfold (αὐλὴν τῶν προβάτων). Lit., fold of the sheep. So Rev., better, because the two ideas of the flock and the fold are treated distinctly. Compare ver. 16.

Some other way (ἀλλαχόθεν). Lit., from some other quarter. The thief does not, like the shepherd, come from some well-known direction, as from his dwelling or from the pasture, but from an unknown quarter and by a road of his own. This from is significant, because, in the previous discourses, Jesus has laid great stress on the source from which He proceeded, and has made the difference in character between Himself and His opposers turn upon difference of origin. See viii. 23, 42, 44. In the latter part of this chapter He brings out the same thought (vv. 30, 32, 33, 36).

Thief—robber ($\kappa\lambda\epsilon\pi\tau\eta_s$ — $\lambda\eta\sigma\tau\dot{\eta}_s$). For the distinction see on Mark xi. 17. There is a climax in the order of the words; one who will gain his end by craft, and, if that will not suffice, by violence.

- 2. The shepherd $(\pi o \iota \mu \eta \nu)$. Better, a shepherd. It is the character rather than the person that is contemplated.
- 3. Porter (θυρωρὸς). From θύρα, door, and ἄρα, care. An under-shepherd, to whose charge the sheep are committed after they have been folded for the night, and who opens the door on the arrival of the shepherd in the morning.

Calleth ($\kappa a \lambda \epsilon \hat{i}$). But the best texts read $\phi \omega \nu \epsilon \hat{i}$, expressing personal address.

4. Putteth forth (ἐκβάλη). Rev., more strictly, hath put forth. Instead of leadeth out, in ver. 3. It implies a constraint; as if some of the sheep were unwilling to leave the fold. Meyer says that putteth forth pictures the manner of the leading out. He lays hold on the sheep and brings them out to the door.

His own sheep $(\tau \grave{a}$ ἴδια πρόβατα). The best texts read πάντα, all, for πρόβατα, sheep: all his own. So Rev.

Goeth before. As the Eastern shepherd always does. Having pushed them forth, he now leads them.

Follow. "It is necessary that they should be taught to follow, and not stray away into the unfenced fields of wheat which lie so temptingly on either side. The shepherd calls from time to time to remind them of his presence. They know his voice and follow on; but if a stranger call, they stop, lift up their heads in alarm, and if the call is repeated, they turn and flee from him; for they know not the voice of strangers. This is not the fanciful costume of a parable; it is simple fact. I have made the experiment often" (Thomson).

6. Parable (παροιμίαν). The word occurs but once outside of John's writings (2 Pet. ii. 22). The usual word for parable

is $\pi a \rho a \beta o \lambda \eta$, which is once rendered *proverb* in the A. V. (Luke iv. 23, changed to *parable* by Rev.), and which occurs nowhere in John. For the distinction see on Matt. xiii. 3.

- 7. The door of the sheep. Meaning the door for the sheep; not the door of the fold. "The thought is connected with the life, and not simply with the organization."
- 10. The thief (ὁ κλέπτης). Christ puts Himself in contrast with the meaner criminal.

lam come ($\hat{\eta}\lambda \Im o\nu$). More correctly, I came. I am come would be the perfect tense.

More abundantly $(\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \delta \nu)$. Lit., may have abundance.

11. The good shepherd (ὁ ποιμὴν ὁ καλὸς). Lit., the shepherd the good (shepherd). Kalòs, though not of frequent occurrence in John, is more common than aya965, good, which occurs but four times, and three times out of the four in the neuter gender, a good thing, or that which is good. Kalòs in John is applied to wine (ii. 10), three times to the shepherd in this chapter, and twice to works (x. 32, 33). In classical usage, originally as descriptive of outward form, beautiful; of usefulness, as a fair haven, a fair wind. Auspicious, as sacrifices. Morally beautiful, noble; hence virtue is called τὸ καλὸν. The New Testament usage is similar. Outwardly fair, as the stones of the temple (Luke xxi. 5): well adapted to its purpose, as salt (Mark ix. 50): competent for an office, as deacons (1 Tim. iv. 6); a steward (1 Pet. iv. 10); a soldier (2 Tim. ii. 3): expedient, wholesome (Mark ix. 43, 45, 47): morally good, noble, as works (Matt. v. 16); conscience (Heb. xiii. 18). The phrase it is good, i.e., a good or proper thing (Rom. xiv. 21). In the Septuagint kalòs is the most usual word for good as opposed to evil (Gen. ii. 17; xxiv. 50; Isa. v. 20). In Luke viii. 15, καλὸς and ἀγαθός are found together as epithets of the heart; honest (or virtuous, noble) and good. The epithet καλὸς, applied here to the shepherd, points to the essential goodness as nobly realized, and appealing to admiring respect and affection. As Canon Westcott observes, "in the fulfilment of His work, the Good Shepherd claims the admiration of all that is generous in man."

Giveth his life $(\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \psi \nu \chi \dot{\eta} \nu a \dot{\nu} \tau i \Im \eta \sigma \iota \nu)$. The phrase is peculiar to John, occurring in the Gospel and First Epistle. It is explained in two ways: either (1) as laying down as a pledge, paying as a price, according to the classical usage of the word τίθημι. So Demosthenes, to pay interest or the alien tax. Or (2) according to John xiii. 4, as laying aside his life like a garment. The latter seems preferable. Tignus, in the sense of to pay down a price, does not occur in the New Testament, unless this phrase, to lay down the life, be so explained.* In John xiii. 4, layeth aside His garments (τίδησι τὰ ἰμάτια) is followed, in ver. 12, by had taken His garments (ἐλαβε τὰ ἰμάτια). So, in this chapter, giveth $(\tau l \delta \eta \sigma w)$ His life (ver. 11), and I lay down (τίδημι) my life (vv. 17, 18), are followed by λαβεῖν "to take it again." The phrases την ψυχην έθηκε He laid down His life, and tas vuyas Selvai to lay down our lives, occur in 1 John iii. 16. The verb is used in the sense of laying aside in the classics, as to lay aside war, shields, etc. Compare Matt. xx. 28, δοῦναι τὴν ψυχὴν, to give His life.

For the sheep $(im\dot{\epsilon}\rho)$. On behalf of.

12. Hireling (μισθωτὸς). From μισθός, hire. See on 2 Pet. ii. 13. Wyc., merchant.

Seeth ($\Im \omega \rho \epsilon i$). Very graphic. His gaze is *fixed* with the fascination of terror on the approaching wolf. Compare Dante:

"But not so much, that did not give me fear A lion's aspect which appeared to me.

And a she wolf, that with all hungerings Seemed to be laden in her meagreness, And many folk has caused to live forlorn! She brought upon me so much heaviness, With the affright that from her aspect came, That I the hope relinquished of the height."

"Inferno," i., 44-54.

^{*} Huther on 1 John iii. 16, claims that this sense would be admissible only in the event of the phrase being used invariably with $i\pi\epsilon\rho$ ruos, on behalf of one.

Westcott cites Augustine on this word: fuga animi timor est, the flight of the mind is cowardice; with which again compare Dante:

"So did my soul, that still was fleeing onward,
Turn itself back," etc.
"Inferno," i., 25.

Leaveth $(\dot{a}\phi l\eta \sigma \iota)$. See on iv. 3.

Catcheth (ἀρπάζει). Better, as Rev., snatcheth; though catch is doubtless used by the A. V. in its earlier and stronger sense, from the low Latin caciare, to chase, corrupted from captare, to snatch or lay hold of. Compare the Italian cacciare, to hunt. The same word is used at ver. 28, of plucking out of Christ's hand. See on Matt. xi. 12.

The sheep. The best texts omit. Read, as Rev., scattereth them.

13. The hireling fleeth. The best texts omit. Read, as Rev., supplying he fleeth.

Careth not (où $\mu \in \lambda \in \iota$ $a \circ \tau = \hat{\varphi}$). Lit., the sheep are not a care to him. See on 1 Pet. v. 7. The contrast is suggestive.

- 14. Am known of mine (γινώσκομαι ὑπὸ τῶν ἐμῶν). The best texts read, γινώσκουσί με τὰ ἐμά, mine own know me. So Rev.
- 15. As the Father knoweth me. Connect these words with the previous sentence: mine own know me, even as the Father knoweth me, etc.
- 16. Fold (αὐλῆs). From ἄω, to blow, hence, strictly, a place open to the air; an uncovered space enclosed by a wall. So Homer, of the cave of the Cyclops:

[&]quot;But when we came upon that neighboring coast, We saw upon its verge beside the sea A cave high-vaulted, overbrowed with shrubs

Of laurel. There much cattle lay at rest, Both sheep and goats. Around it was a court (αὐλή), A high enclosure of hewn stone."

"Odyssey," ix., 181-185.

Dr. Inomson says: "The low building on the hill-side which we have just passed, with arches in front, and its enclosure protected by a rubble wall and thorny hedge, is a sheepfold or marâh. . . . The marâhs are generally built in a valley, or on the sunny side of a hill, where they are sheltered from the winter winds. In ordinary weather the sheep and goats are gathered at night into the enclosed yard; but when the nights are cold and stormy the flocks are shut up in the marâh. The sharp thorn-bushes on the top of the wall that surrounds the yard are a defence which the prowling wolf will rarely attempt to scale. The leopard and panther of this country, however, when pressed with hunger, will sometimes overleap this thorny hedge, and with one bound land amongst the frightened fold" ("Central Palestine and Phænicia," p. 591). Compare Homer:

"As a lion who has leaped Into a fold—and he who guards the flock Has wounded but not slain him—feels his rage Waked by the blow;—the affrighted shepherd then Ventures not near, but hides within the stalls, And the forsaken sheep are put to flight, And huddling, slain in heaps, till o'er the fence The savage bounds into the fields again."

" Iliad," v., 136–142.

Bring (ἀγαγεῖν). Better, lead, as Rev., in margin. Compare ver. 3, leadeth them out. The idea is not bringing them together (as συναγάγη, xi. 52), or conducting them to one place, but assuming the guidance.

There shall be (γενήσεται). More correctly, shall come to be. Some editors read γενήσονται, they shall become.

One fold (μla ποίμνη). The A. V. entirely ignores the distinction between αὐλή, fold, and ποίμνη, flock. The latter word is found Matt. xxvi. 31; Luke ii. 8; 1 Cor. ix. 7, and always

distinctly meaning a flock, as does also the diminutive ποίμνιον, little flock (Luke xii. 32; 1 Pet. v. 2, etc.). Render, as Rev., one flock, one shepherd. So Tynd. Compare Ezek. xxxiv. 23. We are not, however, to say with Trench ("Anthorized Version of the New Testament"), that the Jew and the Gentile are the two folds which Christ will gather into a single flock. heathen are not conceived as a fold, but as a dispersion. vii. 35; xi. 52; and, as Meyer observes, "the thought of a divine leading of the heathen does not correspond at all to the figure of a fold, of which the conception of theocratic fellowship constitutes an essential feature." So Bengel. "He says, other sheep, not another fold, for they were scattered abroad in the world." When Jesus speaks of the other sheep who are not from this fold, the emphasis is on fold, not on this. Compare Rom. xi. 17 sqq. Nor, moreover, does Jesus mean that the Gentiles are to be incorporated into the Jewish fold, but that the unity of the two is to consist in their common relation to Himself. "The unity of the Church does not spring out of the extension of the old kingdom, but is the spiritual antitype of that earthly figure. Nothing is said of one fold under the new dispensation" (Westcott). It will readily be seen that the incorrect rendering fostered by the carelessness or the mistake of some of the Western fathers, and by the Vulgate, which renders both words by ovile, fold, has been in the interest of Romish claims.

18. Taketh away (alpei). Some texts read how, took away. According to this reading the word would point back to the work of Jesus as conceived and accomplished in the eternal counsel of God, where His sacrifice of Himself was not exacted, but was His own spontaneous offering in harmony with the Father's will.

I lay it down of myself. Wyc., I put it from myself.

Power (¿ξουσίαν). Rev., in margin, right. See on i. 12.

Commandment (ἐντολὴν). See on Jas. ii. 8.

19. There was a division ($\sigma\chi i\sigma\mu a$ erevero). Rev., more correctly, there arose. The word $\sigma\chi l\sigma\mu a$, division, from $\sigma\chi l\zeta\omega$, to cleave, describes a fact which continually recurs in John's narrative. See vi. 52, 60, 66; vii. 12, 25 sqq.; viii. 22; ix. 16, 17; x. 19, 24, 41; xi. 37 sqq.; xii. 19, 29, 42; xvi. 18, 19.

Words (λόγους). Or, discourses.

21. That hath a devil (δαιμονιζομένου). Lit., of one demonized. Rev., one possessed with a devil.

Can a devil (μη δύναται). Surely a demon cannot.

22. Feast of the dedication (ἐγκαίνια). Only here in the New Testament. The word signifies renewal, from καινός, new, fresh. Josephus calls it φῶτα, lights. It was instituted by Judas Maccabæus (B.C. 164), in memory of the cleansing of the temple from the pollutions of Antiochns Epiphanes. The victorious Jews, says Dean Stanley, "entered and found the scene of havoc which the Syrian occupation had left. The corridors of the priests' chambers, which encircled the temple, were torn down; the gates were in ashes, the altar was disfigured, and the whole platform was overgrown as if with a mountain jungle or forest glade. It was a heartrending spectacle. Their first impulse was to cast themselves headlong on the pavement, and blow the loud horns which accompanied all mournful as well as all joyful occasions—the tocsin as well as the chimes of the nation. Then, whilst the foreign garrison was kept at bay, the warriors first began the elaborate process of cleansing the polluted place. For the interior of the temple everything had to be refurnished afresh-vessels, candlesticks and incense-altar, and tables and curtains. At last all was completed, and on the 25th of Chisleu (middle of December), the same day that, three years before, the profanation had occurred, the temple was rededicated. . . What most lived in the recollection of the time was that the perpetual light blazed again. The golden candlestick was no longer to be had. Its place was taken by an iron chandelier, cased in wood" ("Jewish Church," pt. iii., 345, 346). According to tradition, the oil was found to have been

desecrated, and only one flagon of pure oil, sealed with the High-Priest's signet, was found, sufficient to feed the candlestick for a single day. But by a miracle the flagon was replenished during eight days, until a fresh supply could be procured. The festival lasted for eight days. Lights were kindled, not only in the temple, but in every home. Pious householders lighted a lamp for every inmate of the home, and the most zealous added a light every night for every individual, so that if a house with ten inmates began with ten lights, it would end with eighty. The Jews assembled in the temple, or in the synagogues of the places where they resided, bearing branches of palm, and singing psalms of praise. No fast or mourning, on account of any calamity or bereavement, was permitted to commence during the festival.

- 23. Solomon's porch. A covered colonnade on the eastern side of the outer court of the temple. According to Josephus it was a relic of Solomon's days, which had remained intact in the destruction of the temple by Nebuchadnezzar.
- 24. Make us to doubt $(\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \psi \nu \chi \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu a l \rho \epsilon \iota s)$. Lit., lift up our soul. Excite us and inflame our hopes. Rev., hold us in suspense.

Plainly $(\pi a \hat{\rho} \hat{\rho} \eta \sigma \hat{\iota} \hat{a})$. See on vii. 13.

- 26. As I said unto you. The best texts omit.
- 27. My sheep $(\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho \acute{\rho} \beta a \tau a \ \grave{\epsilon} \mu \grave{\alpha})$. Lit., the sheep, those that are mine. A characteristic form of expression with John. Compare iii. 29; v. 30; xiv. 15, etc.
- 28. I give ($\delta i \delta \omega \mu i$). Not, I will give. The gift is present and continuous. Compare iii. 36.
- Shall pluck (ἀρπάσει). See on ver. 12. Compare can pluck, ver. 29. Here Jesus speaks of the fact; there of the possibility. Rev., snatch. Wyc., ravish.

- 29. My Father, which gave them me, is greater than all (ὁ πατήρ μου δς δέδωκέ μοι, μείζων πάντων ἐστιν). There is considerable confusion here about the reading. We stcott and Hort and Tischendorf read ὁ πατήρ μου (Tischendorf rejects μου) δ δέδωκέν μοι πάντων μεῖζόν ἐστιν. That which the Father (or my Father) hath given me is greater than all. Rev. gives this in the margin. For gave, render hath given.
- 30. One ($\tilde{\epsilon}\nu$). The neuter, not the masculine ϵl_s , one person. It implies unity of essence, not merely of will or of power.
- 31. Took up—again ($\epsilon \beta \acute{a} \sigma \tau a \sigma a \nu \pi \acute{a} \lambda \iota \nu$). Again refers to viii. 59. It seems as though a different verb is purposely chosen here (compare $\mathring{\eta} \rho a \nu \ took \ up$, in viii. 59), since the interview took place in Solomon's porch, where stones would not be at hand. The verb here may mean to take up. So Ajax says:

"Send some one as a messenger to bear
The evil news to Teucros, that he first
May lift (βαστάση) my corpse by this sharp sword transfixed."
Sophocles, "Ajax," 827.

Its more usual meaning in the New Testament, however, is to bear or carry. So of the cross (John xix. 17; Luke xiv. 27). Here it might very properly be rendered brought, perhaps from the works which were then going on at the temple. See further on xii. 6.

32. Good works (καλά). Beautiful, noble works, adapted to call forth admiration and respect. Compare Mark xiv. 6, and see on ver. 11.

For which of these works (διὰ ποῖον αὐτῶν ἔργον). Lit, for what kind of a work of these. This qualitative force of ποῖον is not to be lost sight of, though it is impossible to render it accurately without paraphrasing. Jesus does not mean, as the A. V. and Rev. imply, "for which one of these works," but "what is the character of that particular work among all these for which you stone me?" The me, closing the sentence, is emphatic.

- 33. Saying, Omit.
- 34. Is it not written (οὐκ ἐστιν γεγραμμένου). More strictly, does it not stand written.

Law $(\nu \delta \mu \varphi)$. The word is sometimes used in the New Testament of other scriptures. See xii. 34; xv. 25; Rom. iii. 19; 1 Cor. xiv. 21.

I said, etc. The reference is to Ps. lxxxii. 6.

35. The Scripture ($\dot{\eta}$ $\gamma\rho a\phi\dot{\eta}$). The passage of scripture. See on ii. 22; v. 47.

Broken ($\lambda \nu 9 \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$). Lit., loosened. Wyc., undone. The word is characteristic of John. He uses it of the destruction of the temple (ii. 19); the breaking of the Sabbath (v. 18); the violation of the law (vii. 23); the destruction of Satan's works (1 John iii. 8), besides elsewhere in the physical sense.

36. Sanctified (ἡγίασεν). Better, as Rev., in margin, consecrated. The fundamental idea of the word is separation and consecration to the service of Deity. See note on Acts xxvi. 10, on the kindred adjective ἄγιος, holy or consecrated.

The Son of God. There is no article. Its absence directs us to the *character* rather than to the *person* of Jesus. The judges, to whom the quotation in ver. 35 refers, were called *gods*, as being representatives of God. See Exod. xxi. 6; xxii. 8, where the word rendered *judges* is *elohim*, *gods*. In Exod. xxii. 28, *gods* appears in the A. V.* Jesus' course of reasoning is, if these judges could be called *gods*, how do I blaspheme in calling myself *Son* of God, since the Father has consecrated me and sent me on a special mission to the world?

37. Believe me (πιστεύετέ μοι). Notice believe, with the simple dative; believe me, not on me. It is a question of faith in His testimony, not in His person. See on i. 12.

^{*} Rev. God, with the judges in margin.

- 38. In Him. The best texts read ἐν τῷ πατρί, in the Father.
- 39. Again. Pointing back to vii. 30, 32, 44, where the word πιάσαι, to seize, is found.

Escaped out of $(\dot{\epsilon}\xi\hat{\eta}\lambda \Im\epsilon\nu\ \dot{\epsilon}\kappa)$. Rev., literally, went forth out of. The phrase occurs only here.

40. Beyond Jordan (πέραν τοῦ Ιορδάνου). Into the region called Peræa, from πέραν, beyond. It was on the east side of the river, and was the ancient possession of Gad and Reuben. It corresponds, in an enlarged sense, to the region round about Jordan (Matt. iii. 5; Luke iii. 3). Compare Matt. xix. 1; Mark x. 1.

CHAPTER XI.

1. Now $(\delta \hat{\epsilon})$. Marking the interruption to Jesus' retirement (x. 40).

Lazarus. See on Luke xvi. 20.

2. Anointed (ἀλείψασα). Three words for anointing are found in the New Testament: ἀλείφω, χρίω, and its compounds, and μυρίζω. The last is used but once, Mark xiv. 8, of anointing the Lord's body for burying. Between the two others the distinction is strictly maintained. Χρίω, which occurs five times, is used in every case but one of the anointing of the Son by the Father with the Holy Spirit (Luke iv. 18; Acts iv. 27; x. 38; Heb. i. 9). In the remaining instance (2 Cor. i. 21) of enduing Christians with the gifts of the Holy Spirit. Thus the word is confined to sacred anointing.* 'Αλείφω is used of all actual anointings. See Matt. vi. 17; Mark vi. 13; Luke vii. 38; Jas. v. 14. The same distinction is gen-

^{*} Trench (Synonyms) appears to overlook the exception in 2 Cor., though he cites the passage. He says that $\chi\rho(\epsilon\nu)$ is absolutely restricted to the anointing of the Son by the Father, p. 131.

erally maintained in the Septuagint, though with a few exceptions, as Num. iii. 3.

- 3. Thou lovest (φιλεῖς). See on v. 20. "They do not say, come. He who loves needs but know" (Bengel).
 - 4. Unto death. Not to have death as its final issue.

For the glory $(im \epsilon \rho)$. Here, as elsewhere in John, in behalf of. Canon Westcott remarks: "The sickness is regarded in a triple relation; unto, in respect of the actual result; in behalf of, in respect of the suffering borne; in order that, in respect of the divine purpose."

- 5. Loved (ἢγάπα). Notice the verb here: not φιλεῖς, as ver.
 3. See on v. 20. Lazarus is not mentioned in Luke x. 38 sqq.
- 8. Of late sought $(\nu \hat{\nu} \nu \hat{\epsilon} \xi \acute{\eta} \tau o \nu \nu)$. Rev., much better, giving the true force of $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu$, now, and of the imperfect: were but now seeking.

Goest (ὑπάγεις). Dost thon withdraw from this safe retreat? See on vi. 21; viii. 21.

- 9. Walk $(\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a \tau \hat{\eta})$. Walk about, in the pursuit of his ordinary business. Wyc., wander.
- 11. Sleepeth (κεκοίμηται). More correctly, as Rev., hath fallen asleep. See on Acts vii. 60; 2 Pet. iii. 4.

Awake him out of sleep (ἐξυπνίσω αὐτόν). Only here in the New Testament.

- 12. Shall do well (σωθήσεται). Lit., shall be saved. Rev., he will recover. Wyc., shall be safe. Tynd., shall he do well enough.
- 13. Taking rest (κοιμήσεως). Akin to the verb in ver. 11. Wyc., the sleeping of sleep. Tynd., the natural sleep.

15. For your sakes—to the intent ye may believe. These two clauses, which are separated in the A. V. and Rev., are, in the Greek order, placed together: for your sakes, to the intent ye may believe; the latter clause being explanatory of the former.

That I was not there. Bengel's comment is beautiful and characteristic. "It accords beautifully with divine propriety that we read of no one having died while the Prince of life was present. If you suppose that death could not, in the presence of Jesus, have assailed Lazarus, the language of the two sisters, vv. 21, 32, attains loftier meaning; and the Lord's joy at His own absence is explained."

Unto him $(\pi\rho \partial s \ a \dot{v} \tau \delta v)$. Most touching. To him, as though lie were yet living. Death has not broken the personal relation of the Lord with His friend.

16. Didymus (Δίδυμος). Not a surname of Thomas, but the Greek equivalent of the Aramaic name, twin. See on Mark iii. 18. The word occurs only in John's Gospel.

Fellow-disciples ($\sigma v \mu \mu a \Im \eta \tau a \hat{\imath} s$). Only here in the New Testament.

We may die. "He will die for the love which he has, but he will not affect the faith which he has not" (Westcott).

- 17. Had lain in the grave four days already ($\tau \acute{e}\sigma \sigma a \rho a s$ $\acute{\eta} \acute{\mu}\acute{e}\rho a s$ $\acute{\eta} \acute{\delta} \eta$ $\acute{e}\chi o \nu \tau a$ $\acute{e}\nu$ $\tau \acute{\varphi}$ $\mu \nu \eta \mu \epsilon \acute{\iota} \varphi$). Lit., found him having already four days in the tomb.
 - 18. Fifteen furlongs. About two miles.
- 19. Many of the Jews came. Rev., rightly, had come. The tense is the pluperfect. Lazarus' friendship with Jesus had not caused him to be regarded as an apostate, at whose burial every indignity would have been shown. People were even to array themselves in white, festive garments in demonstrate.

stration of joy. Here, on the contrary, every token of sympathy and respect seems to have been shown.

- To Martha and Mary (πρὸς τὰς περὶ Μάρθαν καὶ Μαρίαν). Lit., to those about Martha and Mary; a Greek idiom for Martha and Mary and their companions, or attendants. Compare οἱ περὶ τὸν Παῦλον, Paul and his companions (Acts xiii. 13). Somewhat analogous is our familiar idiom when we speak of going to visit a household: I am going to Smith's or Brown's, by which we include the head of the household with its members. We stoott and Hort and Tregelles, however, read πρὸς τὴν Μάρθαν κ. Μ., to Martha and Mary. So also the Revisers' text.
- 20. That Jesus was coming (ὅτι ὁ Ιησοῦς ἔρχεται). Lit., is coming. The exact words of the message: Jesus is coming.

Went and met $(\dot{\nu}\pi\dot{\eta}\nu\tau\eta\sigma\epsilon\nu)$. The verb means to go to meet.

- 22. Wilt ask of God (aἰτήση τὸν Θεόν). The verb αἰτέω is used of the asking of an inferior from a superior. Ἐρωτάω is to ask on equal terms, and hence is always used by Christ of His own asking from the Father, in the consciousness of His equal dignity. Hence Martha, as Trench observes, "plainly reveals her poor, unworthy conception of His person, that she recognizes in Him no more than a prophet, when she ascribes that asking (αἰτεῖσθαι) to Him which He never ascribes to Himself" ("Synonyms"). Bengel says: "Martha did not speak in Greek, yet John expresses her inaccurate remark, which the Lord kindly tolerated." See on Matt. xv. 23.
 - 24. In the resurrection. Wyc., the again rising.
- 25. I am the resurrection and the life. The words I am are very significant. Martha had stated the resurrection rather as a doctrine, a current tenet: Jesus states it as a fact, identified with His own person. He does not say, I raise the dead; I perform the resurrection, but I am the resurrection. In His

own person, representing humanity, He exhibits man as immortal, but immortal only through union with Him.

The life. The life is the larger and inclusive idea. Resurrection is involved in life as an incident developed by the temporary and apparent triumph of death. All true life is in Christ. In Him is lodged everything that is essential to life, in its origin, its maintenance, and its consummation, and all this is conveyed to the believer in his union with Him. This life is not affected by death. "Every believer is in reality and for ever sheltered from death. To die with full light, in the clear certainty of the life which is in Jesus, to die only to continue to live to Him, is no longer that fact which human language designates by the name of death. It is as though Jesus had said: In me death is certain to live, and the living is certain never to die" (Godet). On $\zeta \omega \eta$, life, see on i. 4.

He were dead $(\partial \pi o \partial \Delta v \eta)$. The agrist denotes an event, not a condition. Hence, much better, Rev., though he die.

- 27. I believe (πεπίστευκα). Lit., I have believed. The perfect tense. So Rev. Martha goes back to her previous belief, which consists in the recognition of Christ as her Lord. Whatever faith she has in this new revelation of Christ rests upon the truth that He is the Anointed, the Son of God, even He that cometh into the world.
- 28. The Master (ὁ διδάσκαλος). Lit., the teacher. Westcott remarks that this title opens a glimpse into the private intercourse of the Lord and the disciples: so they spoke of Him.

Is come $(\pi \acute{a} \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu)$. Lit., is present. Rev., is here.

- 29. Arose and came (ἠγέρθη καὶ ἤρχετο). The arrist, arose, marks the single, instantaneous act of rising. The imperfect, was coming, the progress towards Jesus.
- 31. Saying (λέγοντες). The best texts read δόξαντες, supposing. So Rev.

She goeth ($i\pi \dot{\alpha}\gamma\epsilon\iota$). Withdraweth from our company. See on vi. 21; viii. 21.

To weep (ἴνα κλαύση). Rev., in margin, wail. The word means loud weeping. See Matt. ii. 18; Mark v. 38; and on Luke vi. 21; vii. 32.

33. He groaned in the spirit (ἐνεβριμήσατο τῷ πνεύματι). See on Mark i. 43. The word for groaned occurs three times elsewhere: Matt. ix. 30; Mark i. 43; xiv. 5. In every case it expresses a charge, or remonstrance, accompanied with a feeling of displeasure. On this passage there are two lines of interpretation, both of them assuming the meaning just stated. (1) Τῷ πνεύματι, the spirit, is regarded as the object of Jesus' inward charge or remonstrance. This is explained variously: as that Jesus sternly rebnked the natural shrinking of His human spirit, and summoned it to the decisive conflict with death: or that He checked its impulse to put forth His divine energy at once. (2) Takes in the spirit, as representing the sphere of feeling, as xiii. 21; Mark viii. 12; Luke x. 21. Some explain the feeling as indignation at the hypocritical mourning of the Jews, or at their unbelief and the sisters' misapprehension; others as indignation at the temporary triumph of Satan, who had the power of death.

The interpretation which explains $\tau \hat{\omega}$ $\pi \nu e i \mu a \tau_i$ as the sphere of feeling is to be preferred. Comp. ver. 38, in himself. The nature of the particular emotion of Jesus must remain largely a matter of conjecture. Rev. renders, in margin, was moved with indignation in the spirit.

Was troubled (ἐτάραξεν ἐαυτὸν). Lit., troubled Himself. Probably of the outward manifestation of His strong feeling.

35. Wept (ἐδάκρυσεν). A different verb from that in ver. 31. From δάκρυ, tear, and meaning to shed tears, to weep silently. Only here in the New Testament. Κλαίω, to weep audibly, is once used of our Lord in Luke xix. 41. "The very Gospel in which the deity of Jesus is most clearly asserted, is also that

which makes us best acquainted with the profoundly human side of His life" (Godet). How far such a conception of deity is removed from the pagan ideal, may be seen by even a superficial study of the classics. Homer's gods and goddesses weep and bellow when wounded, but are not touched with the feeling of human infirmity* (see on iii. 16). "The gods," says Gladstone, "while they dispense afflictions upon earth, which are neither sweetened by love, nor elevated by a distinct disciplinary purpose, take care to keep themselves beyond all touch of grief or care."

"The gods ordain The lot of man to suffer, while themselves Are free from care."

" Iliad," xxiv., 525.

So Diana, when appealed to by the wretched Hippolytus for sympathy, replies:

"I see thy love, but must not shed a tear."

Euripides, "Hippolytus," 1396.

The Roman satirist unconsciously bears witness to the profound truthfulness and beauty of this picture of the weeping Saviour, in the words: "Nature confesses that she gives the tenderest of hearts to the human race by giving them tears: this is the best part of our sensations" (Juvenal, "Satire" xv., 131–133).

- 36. Loved $(\partial \phi i \lambda \epsilon i)$. Not the word in ver. 5. See on v. 20, and compare xx. 2.
- 37. Of the blind $(\tau o \hat{v} \tau \nu \phi \lambda o \hat{v})$. Referring to the restoration of the blind man in ch. ix. The A. V. is too indefinite. Rev., rightly, of him that was blind.

Have caused, etc. This saying of the Jews may have been uttered ironically, in which case it throws light on the meaning

^{*}Perhaps the nearest approach to such a sentiment in Homer is the case of Thetis, weeping for and with her son Achilles ("Iliad," i., 360; xviii., 51, 66).

of groaned in the spirit (ver. 33) and of groaning in Himself in the next verse. But the words may have been spoken sincerely.

38. Lay upon (ἐπέκειτο). This would be the meaning if the tomb were a vertical pit; but if hollowed horizontally into the rock, it may mean lay against. The traditional tomb of Lazarus is of the former kind, being descended into by a ladder.

Take ye away. The stone was placed over the entrance mainly to gnard against wild beasts, and could easily be removed.

The sister of him that was dead. An apparently superfluons detail, but added in order to give point to her remonstrance at the removal of the stone, by emphasizing the natural reluctance of a sister to have the corrupted body of her brother exposed.

Stinketh (öζει). Only here in the New Testament. Not indicating an experience of her sense, which has been maintained by some expositors, and sometimes expressed in the pictorial treatment of the subject,* but merely her inference from the fact that he had been dead four days.

He hath been dead four days (τεταρταῖος ἐστιν). A peculiar Greek idiom. He is a fourth-day man. So Acts xxviii. 13, after one day: lit., being second-day men. The common Jewish idea was that the soul hovered about the body until the third day, when corruption began, and it took its flight.

41. From the place where the dead was laid. Omit.

42. The people (τὸν ὄχλον). In view of the distinction which John habitually makes between the Jews and the multitude, the use of the latter term here is noticeable, since Jews

^{*}As by Fra Angelico (Florence), Bonifazio (Louvre), and the superb picture by Sebastian del Piombo in the National Gallery, London.

occurs at vv. 19, 31, 36. It would seem to indicate that a miscellaneous crowd had gathered. Rev., the multitude. See on i. 19.

- 43. Come forth (δεῦρο ἔξω). Lit., hither forth.
- 44. Grave-clothes (κειρίαις). Lit., swathing-bands. Only here in the New Testament. In xix. 40; xx. 5, 7, δθόνια, linen bands, is used.

A napkin (σουδαρίφ). See on Luke xix. 20.

It is interesting to compare this Gospel picture of sisterly affection under the shadow of death, with the same sentiment as exhibited in Greek tragedy, especially in Sophocles, by whom it is developed with wonderful power, both in the "Antigone" and in the "Electra."

In the former, Antigone, the consummate female figure of the Greek drama, falls a victim to her love for her dead brother. Both here, and in the "Electra," sisterly love is complicated with another and sterner sentiment: in the "Antigone" with indignant defiance of the edict which refuses burial to her brother; in the "Electra" with the long-cherished craving for vengeance. Electra longs for her absent brother Orestes, as the minister of retribution rather than as the solace of loneliness and sorrow. His supposed death is to her, therefore, chiefly the defeat of the passionate, deadly purpose of her whole life. Antigone lives for her kindred, and is sustained under her own sad fate by the hope of rejoining them in the next world. She believes in the permanence of personal existence.

"And yet I go and feed myself with hopes
That I shall meet them, by my father loved,
Dear to my mother, well-beloved of thee,
Thou darling brother" (897-900).

And again,

"Loved, I shall be with him whom I have loved, Guilty of holiest crime. More time is mine In which to share the favor of the dead, Than that of those who live; for I shall rest Forever there" (73-76). No such hope illuminates the grief of Electra.

"Ah, Orestes!
Dear brother, in thy death thou slayest me;
For thou art gone, bereaving my poor heart
Of all the little hope that yet remained
That thou wouldst come, a living minister
Of vengeance for thy father and for me" (807-812).

And again,

"If thou suggestest any hope from those So clearly gone to Hades, then on me, Wasting with sorrow, thou wilt trample more" (832–834).

When she is asked,

"What! shall I ever bring the dead to life?"

she replies,

"I meant not that: I am not quite so mad."

In the household of Bethany, the grief of the two sisters, unlike that of the Greek maidens, is unmixed with any other sentiment, save perhaps a tinge of a feeling bordering on reproach that Jesus had not been there to avert their calamity. Comfort from the hope of reunion with the dead is not expressed by them, and is hardly implied in their assertion of the doctrine of a future resurrection, which to them, is a general matter having little or no bearing on their personal grief. In this particular, so far as expression indicates, the advantage is on the side of the Theban maiden. Though her hope is the outgrowth of her affection rather than of her religious training—a thought which is the child of a wish—she never loses her grasp upon the expectation of rejoining her beloved dead.

But the gospel story is thrown into strongest contrast with the classical by the truth of resurrection which dominates it in the person and energy of the Lord of life. Jesus enters at once as the consolation of bereaved love, and the eternal solution of the problem of life and death. The idea which Electra sneered at as madness, is here a realized fact. Beautiful, wonderful as is the action which the drama evolves out of the conflict of sisterly love with death, the curtain falls on death as victor. Into the gospel story Jesus brings a benefaction, a lesson, and a triumph. His warm sympathy, His comforting words, His tears at His friend's tomb, are in significant contrast with the politic, timid, at times reproachful attitude of the chorus of Theban elders towards Antigone. The consummation of both dramas is unmitigated horror. Suicide solves the problem for Antigone, and Electra receives back her brother as from the dead, only to incite him to murder, and to gloat with him over the victims. It is a beautiful feature of the Gospel narrative that it seems, if we may so speak, to retire with an instinctive delicacy from the joy of that reunited household. It breaks off abruptly with the words, "Loose him, and let him go." The imagination alone follows the sisters with their brother, perchance with Christ, behind the closed door, and hears the sacred interchanges of that wonderful communing. Tennyson, with a deep and truly Christian perception, has struck its kcy-note.

"Her eyes are homes of silent prayer,
Nor other thought her mind admits
But, he was dead and there he sits!
And He that brought him back is there.

Then one deep love doth supersede All other, when her ardent gaze Roves from the living brother's face And rests upon the Life indeed."

" In Memoriam."

- 45. The things which Jesus did. The best texts omit Jesus. Some read ô, that which He did; others à, the things which.
- 46. Some of them. Not of the Jews who had come to Mary, but some of the Jews, some perhaps who had joined the crowd from curiosity.
- 47. The chief priests. Of the Saddncean party. This should be constantly kept in mind in reading both John's narrative and that of the Synoptists. The Saddncees, represented

by the chief priests, are the leaders in the more decisive measures against Christ. Throughout this Gospel the form of expression is either the chief priests alone, or the chief priests and the Pharisees. The only mention of the Pharisees in the history of the passion is Matt. xxvii. 62, where also the expression is the chief priests and Pharisees. The chief priests are the deadly enemies of Christ (Matt. xxvii. 3, 14). Similarly, in the Acts, the opposition to the Christians is headed by the priests and Sadducees, who represent the same party. In the two instances where the Pharisees appear, they incline to favor the Christians (v. 34; xxiii. 6).

47. A council ($\sigma v \nu \epsilon \delta \rho \iota o \nu$). Correctly, and not the council, which would require the article. The meaning is, they called a sitting of the Sanhedrim; probably as distinguished from a formal meeting of that body.

What do we? The present tense, indicating an emergency. This man is at work teaching and working miracles, and what are we doing?

- 48. Place and nation (τον τόπον καὶ τὸ ἔθνος). Place, the temple and city (Acts vi. 13; xxi. 28; Matt. xxiv. 15). Nation, the civil organization. See on 1 Pet. ii. 9; Luke ii. 32. In the Sanhedrim were many devoted adherents of Rome, and the rest were well aware of the weakness of the national power.
- 49. Caiaphas. A Sadducee, who held the office for eighteen years.

That year. This has been cited to show that John is guilty of a historical error, since, according to the Mosaic law, the high priesthood was held for life. The occurrence of the phrase three times (vv. 49, 51) is significant, and, so far from indicating an error, goes to connect the office of Caiaphas with his part in accomplishing the death of Christ. It devolved on the High-Priest to offer every year the great sacrifice of atonement for sin; and in that year, that memorable year, it fell to Caiaphas to be the instrument of the sacrifice of Him that taketh away the

sin of the world. Dante places Caiaphas and his father-in-law, Annas, far down in Hell in the Bolgia of the Hypocrites:

"to mine eyes there rushed
One crucified with three stakes on the ground.
When me he saw, he writhed himself all over,
Blowing into his beard with suspirations;
And the friar Catalan who noticed this,
Said to me: 'This transfixed one whom thou seest,
Counselled the Pharisees that it was meet
To put one man to torture for the people.
Crosswise and naked is he on the path,
As thou perceivest; and he needs must feel,
Whoever passes, first how much he weighs;
And in like mode his father-in-law is punished
Within this moat, and the others of the council,
Which for the Jews was a malignant seed."
"Informo" wriii 110

"Inferno," xxiii., 110-122.

Dean Plumptre suggests that the punishment described by the poet seems to reproduce the thought of Isa. li. 23.

- 50. People—nation $(\tau o \hat{v} \lambda a o \hat{v} \tau \delta)$ $\xi 9 \nu o s$. The former the theocratic nation, the people of God: the latter, the body politic. See on 1 Pet. ii. 9.
- 52. Nation (¿9vovs). John does not used the word \(\lambda\)os, people, which Caiaphas had just employed. The Jews were no longer a people, only one of the nations of the world. He wishes to set the Gentiles over against the Jews, and this distinction was national. Moreover, John points out in this word the fact that the work of Christ was not to be for any people as specially chosen of God, but for all nations.
- 54. Wilderness. The wild hill-country, northeast of Jerusalem.
- **Ephraim.** The site is uncertain. Commonly taken as *Ophrah* (1 Sam. xiii. 17), or *Ephraim* (2 Chron. xiii. 19), and identified with *el-Taiyibeh*, sixteen miles from Jerusalem, and situated on a hill which commands the Jordan valley.
 - 55-57. xii. 1-11. Compare Matt. xxvi. 6-13; Mark xiv. 3-9.

CHAPTER XII.

1. Which had been dead. (Omit.)

He raised. For He, read Jesus.

3. A pound $(\lambda l \tau \rho a \nu)$. Only here and xix. 39. Matthew and Mark, $\partial \lambda \delta \beta a \sigma \tau \rho o \nu$, a flask.

Of spikenard (νάρδου πιστικής). So Mark. See on Mark xiv. 3.

Very precious (πολυτίμου). Lit., of much value. Matthew has βαρυτίμου, of weighty value.

Anointed. See on xi. 2.

Feet. The Synoptists mention only the pouring on the head.

- 4. Simon's son. Omit.
- 5. Three hundred pence (τριακοσίων δηναρίων). Or three hundred denarii. On the denarius, see on Matt. xx. 2. Mark says more than three hundred pence. Three hundred denarii would be about fifty dollars, or twice that amount if we reckon according to the purchasing power.

The poor $(\pi\tau\omega\chi\sigma\hat{\imath}s)$. See on Matt. v. 3. No article: to poor people.

6. And had the bag, and bare what was put therein (καὶ τὸ γλωσσόκομον εἶχε, καὶ τὰ βαλλόμενα ἐβάσταζεν). The best texts read ἔχων, having, and omit the second καὶ, and. The rendering would then be, and having the bag bare, etc.

The bag (γλωσσόκομον). Only here and xiii. 29. Originally a box for keeping the mouth-pieces of wind instruments.

From γλωσσα, tongue, and κομέω, to tend. The word was also used for a coffin. Josephus applies it to the coffer in which the golden mice and emerods were preserved (1 Sam. vi. 11). In the Septnagint, of the chest which Joash had provided for receiving contributions for the repairing of the Lord's house (2 Chron. xxiv. 8). Rev. gives box, in margin.

Bare (ἐβάσταζεν). Carried away or purloined. This meaning is rather imparted by the context than residing in the verb itself, i.e., according to New Testament usage (see on x. 21). Unquestionably it has this meaning in later Greek, frequently in Josephus.* Render, therefore, as Rev., took away. The rendering of the A. V. is tautological.

7. Let her alone: against the day of my burying hath she kept this (ἄφες αὐτήν εἰς τὴν ἡμέραν τοῦ ἐνταφιασμοῦ μου τετήρηκεν αὐτό). This passage presents great difficulty. According to the reading just given, the meaning is that Mary had kept the ointment, perhaps out of the store provided for Lazarus' burial, against the day of Christ's preparation for the tomb. The word ἐνταφιασμοῦ is wrongly rendered burial. It

^{*} The meaning to take or bear away is claimed by some for Matt. viii. 17 and John xx, 25 (so Thayer, N. T. Lexicon). The former I think more than doubtful. Meyer declares it "contrary to the sense;" De Wette and Lange both render bore. Canon Cook says: "The words chosen by St. Matthew preclude the supposition that he refers the prophet's words, contrary to the sense of the original, to the mere removal of diseases by healing them." The words in Matthew are a citation from Isa. liii. 4, which Cheyne ("Prophecies of Isaiah") renders, "snrely our sicknesses he bore, and our pains he carried them." Septuagint: "This man carries our sins and is pained for us." Symmachus: "Snrely he took np our sins and endnred our labors." Edersheim remarks that "the words as given by St. Matthew are most truly a New Testament targum of the original." Delitzsch, who thinks that the meaning took away is included in the sense of the Hebrew nāsā, admits that its primary meaning is, He took up, bore. The meaning in John xx. 25 may be explained as in John xii. 6, as determined by the context, though it may be rendered if thou hast taken him up. Field ("Otium Norvicense") cites a passage from Diogenes Lærtius, iv., 59, where it is said that Lacydes, whenever he took anything out of his store-room, was accustomed, after sealing it up, to throw the seal or ring through the hole, so that it might never be taken from his finger, and any of the stores be stolen (βασταχθείη).

means the preparation for burial, the laying out, or embalmment. It is explained by xix. 40, as the binding in linen cloths with spices, "as the manner of the Jews is ἐνταφιάζειν to prepare for burial," not to bury. It is the Latin pollingere, to wash and prepare a corpse for the funeral pile. Hence the name of the servant to whom this duty was committed was pollinctor. He was a slave of the libitinarius, or furnishing undertaker. Mary, then, has kept the ointment in order to embalm Jesus with it on this day, as though He were already dead. This is the sense of the Synoptists. Matthew (xxvi. 12) says, she did it with reference to my preparation for burial. Mark, she anticipated to anoint.

The reading of the Received Text is, however, disputed. The best textual critics agree that the perfect, τετήρηκεν, she hath kept, was substituted for the original reading τηρήση, the aorist, she may keep, or may have kept, by some one who was trying to bring the text into harmony with Mark xiv. 8; not understanding how she could keep for His burial that which she poured out now. Some, however, urge the exact contrary, namely, that the perfect is the original reading, and that the aorist is a correction by critics who were occupied with the notion that no man is embalmed before his death, or who failed to see how the ointment could have been kept already, as it might naturally be supposed to have been just purchased. (So Godet and Field.)

According to the corrected reading, "va, in order that, is inserted after ἄφες αὐτὴν, let her alone, or suffer her; τετήρηκεν, hath kept, is changed to τηρήση, may keep, and the whole is rendered, suffer her to keep it against the day of my burying. So Rev.

But it is difficult to see why Christ should desire to have kept for His embalmment what had already been poured out upon Him. Some, as Meyer, assume that only a part of the ointment was poured out, and refer $a\dot{v}\tau\dot{o}$, it, to the part remaining. "Let her alone, that she may not give away to the poor this ointment, of which she has just used a portion for the anointing of my feet, but preserve it for the day of my embalmment." Canon Westcott inclines to this view of the use of only

a part. But the inference from the synoptic narratives can be only that the whole contents of the flask were used, and the mention of the *pound* by John, and the charge of *waste* are to the same effect. There is nothing whatever to warrant a contrary supposition.

Others explain, suffer her to have kept it, or suffer that she may have kept it. So Westcott, who says: "The idiom by which a speaker throws himself into the past, and regards what is done as still a purpose, is common to all languages."

Others, again, retain the meaning let her alone, and render "va, in order that, with an ellipsis, thus: "Let her alone: (she hath not sold her treasure) in order that she might keep it," etc.

The old rendering, as A. V., is the simplest, and gives a perfectly intelligible and consistent sense. If, however, this must be rejected, it seems, on the whole, best to adopt the marginal reading of the Rev., with the elliptical "iva: let her alone: it was that she might keep it. This preserves the prohibitory force of ἄφες αὐτήν, which is implied in Matt. xxvi. 10, and is unquestionable in Mark xiv. 6. Compare Matt. xv. 14; xix. 14; xxvii. 49.*

Note that the promise of the future repute of this act (Matt. xxvi. 13; Mark xiv. 9) is omitted by the only Evangelist who records Mary's name in connection with it.

9. Much people ($\delta\chi\lambda$ os π o λ ds). The best texts insert the article, which converts the expression into the current phrase, the common people. So Rev.

Knew ($\check{\epsilon}\gamma\nu\omega$). Rev., more correctly, learned. They came to know.

10. The chief priests. See on ver. 47.

^{*} Field ("Otium Norvicense"), who holds by τετήρηκεν, observes that "the conjecture that the ointment may have been reserved from that used at the burying of Lazarns, is not fanciful, but an excellent example of undesigned coincidence, since we should never have perceived the propriety of the might have been sold of the first two Gospels, if John had not helped us out with his τετήρηκεν, she hath kept."

- 11. Went away $(i\pi \hat{\eta}\gamma o\nu)$. Withdrew from their company.
- 12-19. Compare Matt. xxi. 1-11; Mark xi. 1-11; Luke xix. 29-44.
- 12. A great multitude ($\delta\chi\lambda$ os $\pi o\lambda \dot{\nu}$ s). Some editors add the article and render, the common people.
- 13. Branches of palms (τὰ βαΐα τῶν φοινίκων). The A. V. overlooks both the articles, the branches of the palms. Baΐa occurs only here in the New Testament, and means palmbranches, or, strictly, tops of the palms where the fruit is produced. Of the palms may have been added by John for readers unacquainted with the technical term, but the expression palmbranches of the palms, is similar to house-master of the house (οἰκοδοσπότης τῆς οἰκίας, Luke xxii. 11). The articles are commonly explained as marking the trees which were by the wayside on the route of the procession. Some think that they point to the well-known palm-branches connected with the Feast of Tabernacles. On the different terms employed by the Evangelists for "branches," see on Mark xi. 8.

To meet (εἰς ὑπάντησιν). Very literally, to a going to meet.

Cried (ἔκραζον). Imperfect, kept crying as he advanced.

Hosanna. Meaning O save!

Blessed (εὐλογημένος). A different word from the blessed of Matt. v. 3 (μακάριος). This is the perfect participle of the verb εὐλογέω, to speak well of, praise, hence our eulogy. Matthew's word applies to character; this to repute. The ascription of praise here is from Ps. cxviii. 25, 26. This Psalm, according to Perowne, was composed originally for the first celebration of the Feast of Tabernacles after the completion of the sacred temple. The words of the twenty-fifth verse were sung during that feast, when the altar of burnt-offering was solemnly compassed; that is, once on each of the first six days of the feast, and seven times on the seventh day. This seventh day

was called "the Great Hosanna," and not only the prayers for the feast, but even the branches of trees, including the myrtles which were attached to the palm-branch, were called "Hosannas."

The King of Israel. The best texts add kal, even the king, etc.

- 14. A young ass (ὀνάριον). Only here in the New Testament. Matthew mentions an ass and a colt; Mark and Luke a colt only.
- 18. **Met** (ὑπήντησεν). The verb means to go to meet. Hence Rev., went and met.
- 19. Is gone after Him ($\partial \pi i \sigma \omega \ a \partial \tau o \hat{v} \ a \pi \hat{\eta} \lambda \vartheta \epsilon \nu$). The phrase occurs only here. Lit., is gone away.
- 20. Greeks ("Ελληνες). Gentiles, not Hellenists. See on Acts vi. 1. Jesus comes into contact with the Gentile world at His birth (the Magi) and at the close of His ministry.
- 22. Philip—Andrew. They appear together in i. 45; vi. 7, 8. Compare Mark iii. 18.
- 23. Answered (ἀπεκρίνατο). The best texts read ἀποκρίνεται. answereth.

The hour is come, that (ἐλήλυθεν ἡ ὅρα ἵνα). This is not equivalent to "the hour is come in which." The hour is used absolutely: the critical hour is come in order that the Son, etc.

24. Verily, verily. See on i. 51; x. i.

'A corn (ὁ κόκκος). Properly, the corn or grain. The article should be inserted in the translation, because Jesus is citing the wheat-grain as a familiar type of that which contains in itself the germ of life. So wheat has the article: the corn of the wheat. The selection of the corn of wheat as an illustration

acquires a peculiar interest from the fact of its being addressed to Greeks, familiar with the Eleusinian mysteries celebrated in their own country. These mysteries were based on the legend of Dionysus (Bacchus). According to the legend his original name was Zagreus. He was the son of Zeus (Jupiter) by his own daughter Persephone (Proserpina), and was destined to succeed to supreme dominion and to the wielding of the thunderbolt. The jealousy of Here (Juno), the wife of Zeus, incited the Titans against him, who killed him while he was contemplating his face in a mirror, cut up his body, and boiled it in a caldron, leaving only the heart. Zeus, in his wrath, hurled the Titans to Tartarns, and Apollo collected the remains of Zagreus and buried them. The heart was given to Semele. and Zagrens was born again from her under the form of Dionysus. The mysteries represented the original birth from the serpent, the murder and dismemberment of the child, and the revenge inflicted by Zeus; and the symbols exhibited—the dice, ball, top, mirror, and apple—signified the tovs with which the Titans allured the child into their power. Then followed the restoration to life; Demeter (Ceres) the goddess of agriculture, the mother of food, putting the limbs together, and giving her maternal breasts to the child. All this was preparatory to the great Eleusinia, in which the risen Dionysus in the freshness of his second life was conducted from Athens to Eleusis in joyful procession. An ear of corn, plucked in solemn silence, was exhibited to the initiated as the object of mystical contemplation, as the symbol of the god, prematurely killed, but, like the ear enclosing the seed-corn, bearing within himself the germ of a second life.

With this mingled the legend of Persephone, the daughter of Demeter, who was carried off by Pluto to the infernal world. The mother wandered over the earth seeking her daughter, and having found her, applied to Zeus, through whose intervention Persephone, while condemned to Hades for a part of the year, was allowed to remain upon earth during the other part. Thus the story became the symbol of vegetation, which shoots forth in spring, and the power of which withdraws into the earth at other seasons of the year. These features of the mysteries set

forth, and with the same symbol as that employed by Christ here, the crude pagan conception of life rising out of death.

Alone (αὐτὸς μόνος). Lit., itself alone. Rev., by itself alone.

25. Life (ψυχὴν). See on Mark xii. 30; Luke i. 46.

Shall lose (ἄπολέσει). The best texts read ἀπολλύει, loseth. See on Luke ix. 25.

In this world. This earthly economy, regarded as alien and hostile to God. The words are added in order to explain the strong phrase, hateth his life or soul.

Shall keep (φυλάξει). See on 1 Pet. i. 4.

26. Serve (διακονη̂). See on Matt. xx. 26; Mark ix. 35; 1 Pet. i. 12.

Me $(\dot{\epsilon}\mu o \dot{\ell})$. Notice the emphatic recurrence of the pronoun in this verse.

My Father. Rev., rightly, the Father. "Very much of the exact force of St. John's record of the Lord's words appears to depend upon the different conceptions of the two forms under which the fatherhood of God is described. God is spoken of as 'the Father' and as 'my Father.' Generally it may be said that the former title expresses the original relation of God to being, and specially to humanity, in virtue of man's creation in the divine image; and the latter more particularly the relation of the Father to the Son incarnate, and so indirectly to man in virtue of the incarnation. The former suggests those thoughts which spring from the consideration of the absolute moral connection of man with God; the latter, those which spring from what is made known to us through revelation of the connection of the incarnate Son with God and with man. 'The Father' corresponds, under this aspect, with the group of ideas gathered up in the Lord's titles, 'the Son,' 'the Son of man;' and 'my Father' with those which

are gathered up in the title 'the Son of God,' 'the Christ'" (Westcott).

27. My soul. See reff. on ver. 25. The soul, $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$, is the seat of the human affections; the spirit $(\pi v \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a)$ of the religious affections.

Is troubled (τετάρακται). The perfect tense; has been disturbed and remains troubled. The same verb as in xi. 33. Notice that there it is said He groaned in the spirit (τῷ πνεύματι). His inward agitation did not arise from personal sorrow or sympathy, but from some shock to His moral and spiritual sense.

What shall I say? A natural expression out of the depths of our Lord's humanity: How shall I express my emotion? Some commentators connect this with the following clause, shall I say, Father, save me, etc. But this does not agree with the context, and represents a hesitation in the mind of Jesus which found no place there.*

Save me. The shrinking from suffering belongs to the human personality of our Lord (compare Matt. xxvi. 39); but the prayer, save me from this hour, is not for deliverance from suffering, but for victory in the approaching trial. See Heb. v. 7. The expression is very vivid. "Save me out of this hour."

For this cause. Explained by glorify thy name. For this cause, namely, that the Father's name might be glorified.

28. Glorify (δόξασον). (Wyc., clarify, as the Vulgate clarifica.)

Name. See on Matt. xxviii. 19.

30. For my sake. Emphatic in the Greek order. It is not for my sake that this voice hath come.

^{*}Meyer acutely remarks that this rendering "yields the result of an actual prayer interwoven into a reflective monologue, and is therefore less suitable to a frame of mind so deeply moved."

31. The prince of this world (ὁ ἄρχων τοῦ κόσμου τούτου). The phrase occurs only in the Gospel; here, xiv. 30; xvi. 11.

Shall be cast out $(\epsilon \kappa \beta \lambda \eta \Im \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota \ \epsilon \xi \omega)$. In every case but one where the word $\epsilon \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ occurs in John, it is used of casting out from a holy place or society. See ii. 15; ix. 34, 35; 3 John 10; Apoc. xii. 2. Compare John x. 4.

32. Be lifted up ($\dot{\nu}\psi\omega\vartheta$). See on iii. 14. The primary reference is to the cross, but there is included a reference to the resurrection and ascension. Bengel says: "In the very cross there was already something tending towards glory." Wyc., enhanced.

From the earth ($\epsilon \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma \hat{\eta} s$). Lit., out of the earth.

Will draw (ἐλκύσω). See on vi. 44.

All men $(\pi \acute{a}\nu\tau as)$. Some high authorities read $\pi \acute{a}\nu\tau a$, all things.

Unto Me $(\pi\rho \delta s \ \epsilon \mu a \nu \tau \delta \nu)$. Rev., rightly, unto Myself: in contrast with the prince of this world.

34. The law. See on x. 34.

35. With you ($\mu \epsilon \Im$ $\delta \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$). The best texts read $\epsilon \nu \delta \mu \hat{\iota} \nu$, among you.

While ye have ($\tilde{\epsilon}\omega s$). The best texts read $\dot{\omega}s$, as: walk in conformity with the fact that you have the Light among you.

Lest darkness come upon you (ἵνα μὴ σκοτία ὑμᾶς καταλάβη). Rev., better, that darkness overtake you not. On overtake see on taketh, Mark ix. 18; and perceived, Acts iv. 13.

40. He hath blinded, etc. These words of Isaiah are repeated five times in the New Testament as the description of the Jewish people in its latest stage of decay. Matt. xiii. 13; Mark iv. 12; Luke viii. 10; John xii. 40; Acts xxviii. 26.

Hardened (πεπώρωκεν). See on the kindred noun πώρωσις, hardness, Mark iii. 5.

Understand (νοήσωσιν). Rev., better, perceive. Mark has συνιῶσιν, understand. See on understanding, Luke ii. 47.

Be converted ($\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho a \phi \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$). See on Matt. xiii. 15; Luke xxii. 32. Rev., more accurately, turn, with the idea of turning to or toward something ($\epsilon \pi l$).

41. When $(\delta \tau \epsilon)$. The best texts read $\delta \tau \iota$, because.

His glory. In the vision in the temple, Isa. vi. 1, 3, 5.

Of Him. Christ.

42. Among the chief rulers (καὶ ἐκ τῶν ἀρχόντων). Rev., more neatly and accurately, even of the rulers.

Believed on Him (ἐπίστευσαν εἰς αὐτόν). See on i. 12. It is to be noted that John here uses of this imperfect faith which refused to complete itself in confession, the formula for complete faith. Compare believed in His name (ii. 23), and see note there.

Confess Him (ώμολόγουν). The Him, or, Rev., it, is not in the text. The verb is used absolutely. They did not make confession. See on Matt. vii. 23; x. 32; xiv. 7.

Lest they should be put out of the synagogue (Γνα μὴ ἀποσυνάγωγοι γένωνται). Better, that they should not be, etc. Compare Rev., ver. 35. On the phrase, be put out of the synagogue, see on ix. 22.

43. Praise $(\delta \delta \xi a \nu)$. Much better, Rev., glory, because suggesting a contrast with the vision of divine glory referred to in ver. 41. Compare v. 44.

Than $(\eta \pi \epsilon \rho)$. The word cannot be rendered by a corresponding word in English. The force is, "more than the glory of God, though He is so much more glorious." The word is

found nowhere else in the New Testament. Some authorities read $\ddot{v}\pi\epsilon\rho$, above.

44. Cried (ἔκραξεν). This is not meant to relate a reappearance of Jesus in public. The close of His public ministry is noted at ver. 36. It is in continuation of the Evangelist's own remarks, and introduces a summary of Jesus' past teaching to the Jews.

Believeth—on Him that sent Me ($\pi\iota\sigma\tau\epsilon\acute{\nu}\epsilon\iota$ — $\epsilon\acute{l}s$ $\tau\acute{o}\nu$ $\pi\acute{e}\mu\psi$ - $a\nu\tau\acute{a}$ $\mu\epsilon$). This is the first and almost the only place in the Gospel where the words believe on are used with reference to the Father. This rendering in v. 24 is an error. See xiv. 1. The phrase is constantly associated with our Lord. At the same time it is to be noted that it contemplates the Father as the source of the special revelation of Christ, and therefore is not absolutely an exception to the habitual usage. The same is true of xiv. 1.

45. **Seeth** (Θεωρεί). Rev., properly, beholdeth. Compare xiv. 9. The word is purposely chosen to mark an intent, continuous contemplation of Christ, issuing in ever larger knowledge of the Father.

I am come (ἐλήλυθα). The perfect tense, pointing to the abiding result of His manifestation. Compare v. 43; vii. 28; viii. 42; xvi. 28; xviii. 37.

Abide in darkness. The phrase occurs only here. Compare 1 John ii. 9, 11; also viii. 12; xii. 35.

47. Believe not (μὴ πιστεύση). The best texts read φυλάξη, keep (them).

Came (ηλθον). The agrist tense, pointing to the *purpose* of the coming, as *I am come* (ver. 46) to the *result*. Compare viii. 14; ix. 39; x. 10; xii. 27, 47; xv. 22. Both tenses are found in viii. 42; xvi. 28.

48. Rejecteth (ἀθετῶν). See on Luke vii. 30.

The word (ὁ λόγος). Comprehending all the sayings (ῥή-ματα).

The same (ἐκεῖνος). That. The pronoun of remote reference. We stcott finely remarks: "The resumptive, isolating pronoun places in emphatic prominence the teaching which is regarded as past, and separated from those to whom it was addressed. It stands, as it were, in the distance, as a witness and an accuser."

The last day. Peculiar to John. See vi. 39.

49. Of myself ($\dot{\epsilon}\xi$ $\dot{\epsilon}\mu a\nu\tau o\hat{\nu}$). Out of myself. This formula occurs only here. The usual expression is $\dot{a}\pi'$ $\dot{\epsilon}\mu a\nu\tau o\hat{\nu}$. $\dot{A}\pi\dot{o}$, from, as distinguished from $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$, out of, marks rather the point of departure, while $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$, including this idea, emphasizes the point of departure as the living and impelling source of that which issues forth. In vii. 17, we read, "whether it be out of God ($\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$ $\tau o\hat{\nu}$ $\Theta\epsilon o\hat{\nu}$), or whether I speak from myself ($\dot{a}\pi'$ $\dot{\epsilon}\mu a\nu\tau o\hat{\nu}$)."

Gave (ἔδωκεν). The best texts read δέδωκεν, the perfect tense, hath given, the result of the gift still abiding. So Rev.

Say—speak ($\epsilon l \pi \omega - \lambda a \lambda \eta \sigma \omega$). The former relating to the substance, and the latter to the form of Jesus' utterances.

CHAPTER XIII.

1. Before the Feast of the Passover. This clause is to be construed with ηγάπησεν, loved, at the close of this verse. Notice that John, in mentioning the Passover, here drops the explanatory phrase of the Jews (xi. 55). It is not the Passover of the Jews which Jesus is about to celebrate, which had degenerated into an empty form, but the national ordinance, according to its true spirit, and with a development of its higher meaning.

Knowing $(\epsilon i \delta \hat{\omega}_{S})$. Or, since he knew.

His hour. See on xii. 23, and compare ii. 4.

That ("va). In order that; marking the departure as a divine decree.

Depart $(\mu \epsilon \tau a \beta \hat{\eta})$. The compounded preposition $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{a}$, signifies *passing over* from one sphere into another.

His own (τοὺς ἰδίους). See on Acts i. 7. Compare xvii. 6 sqq.; Acts iv. 23; xxiv. 23; 1 Tim. v. 8; John i. 11.

He loved $(\dot{\eta}\gamma\dot{a}\pi\eta\sigma\epsilon\nu)$. Notice that John uses the word indicating the *discriminating* affection: the love of *choice* and *selection*. See on v. 20.

Unto the end ($\epsilon i s \tau \epsilon \lambda o s$). Interpretations differ. The rendering of the A. V. and Rev. is of doubtful authority. The passages cited in support of this, Matt. x. 22; xxiv. 13; Mark xiii. 13, may all be rendered to the uttermost. Morever, other formulas are used where the meaning to the end is unquestionable. In Apoc. ii. 26, the only other instance in John's writings where $\tau \in \lambda_0$ is used in an adverbial phrase, the expression is ἄχρι τέλους, unto the end. Similarly Heb. vi. 11. In Heb. iii. 6, 14, μέχρι τέλους, unto the end. The phrase may mean at last, and so is rendered by many here, as Meyer, Lange, Thaver (Lex.). "At last He loved them;" that is, showed them the last proof of His love. This is the most probable rendering in Luke xviii. 5, on which see note. It may also mean to the uttermost, completely. So Westcott and Godet. But I am inclined. with Meyer, to shrink from the "inappropriate gradation" which is thus implied, as though Jesus' love now reached a higher degree than before (ἀγαπήσας). Hence I prefer the rendering at last, or finally He loved them, taking ηγάπησεν, loved, in the sense of the manifestation of His love. This sense frequently attaches to the verb. See, for instance, 1 John iv. 10 ("love viewed in its historic manifestation" Westcott):

and compare John iii. 16; Eph. ii. 4; v. 2, 25; 2 Thess. ii. 16; Apoc. iii. 9.

2. Supper being ended (δείπνου γενομένου). The most approved reading is γινομένου, the present participle, denoting while a supper was in progress. Hence Rev., rightly, during supper. The A. V. is wrong, even if the reading of the Received Text be retained; for in ver. 12 Jesus reclined again, and in ver. 26, the supper is still in progress. It should be, supper having begun, or having been served.* It is important to note the absence of the definite article: a supper, as distinguished from the feast, which also is designated by a different word.

Having now put (ἤδη βεβληκότος). Rev., better, already. Put, is literally, thrown or cast.

Into the heart of Judas. Meyer, strangely, refers the heart, not to Judas, but to the Devil himself; rendering, the Devil having already formed the design that Judas should deliver Him up. Godet does not speak too strongly when he says that "this meaning is insufferable." †

3. Had given (δέδωκεν). The best texts read ἔδωκεν, gave, the agrist marking Jesus' commission as given once for all.

Was come $(i\xi\hat{\eta}\lambda\mathcal{I}\epsilon)$. This rendering would require the perfect tense. The agrist points to His coming as a historic fact, not as related to its result. See on xii. 47. Rev., rightly, came forth.

Went (ὑπάγει). Present tense: goeth; withdrawing from the scenes of earth. Note the original order: that it was from God He came forth, and unto God He is going.

^{*}Godet, with his well-known aversion to departures from the Rec., holds by the reading γενομένου, and explains γινομένου by when the repast as a repast began; adding that the correction was made in order to place the foot-washing at the beginning of the repast, the customary time for it. But the performance of the act during the course of the meal, is indicated by the words in ver. 4, He riseth from (ἐκ) the supper.

[†]I am surprised to find it adopted by Milligan and Moulton,

4. From the supper ($\tilde{\epsilon}\kappa \tau o \hat{v} \delta \epsilon i \pi \nu o v$). Out of the group gathered at the table.

Laid aside (τίθησι). Present tense: layeth aside.

Garments (ἱμάτω). See on Matt. v. 40. Upper garments.

Towel (λέντιον). A Latin word, linteum. A linen cloth. Only here and ver. 5.

Girded (διέζωσεν). Only in this chapter and xxi. 7. The compound verb means to bind or gird all round.

- 5. A bason (νιπτῆρα). Only here in the New Testament. From νίπτω, to wash.
- 6. Dost thou wash $(\sigma \acute{v} \mu \sigma v \nu l \pi \tau \epsilon \iota s)$? The two pronouns Thou, my, stand together at the beginning of the sentence in emphatic contrast. Dost thou of me wash the feet?
- 7. Knowest—shalt know (οἶδας—γνώση). The A. V. ignores the distinction between the two words. "Thou knowest not" (οὐκ οἶδας), of absolute and complete knowledge. Thou shalt learn or perceive (γνώση), of knowledge gained by experience. See on ii. 24.

Hereafter (μετὰ ταῦτα). Lit., after these things.

- 8. Never (où $\mu\dot{\eta}$ —els $\tau\dot{o}\nu$ al $\hat{\omega}\nu$ a). A very strong expression. Lit., thou shalt by no means wash my feet as long as the world stands.
- 10. He that is washed—wash his feet (ὁ λελουμένος—νίψασθαι). The A. V. obliterates the distinction between λούω, to bathe, to apply water to the whole body, and νίπτω, to wash a part of the body. Thus, when Dorcas died (Acts ix. 37) they bathed her body (λούσαντες). The proverb in 2 Pet. ii. 22, is about the sow that has been bathed all over (λουσαμένη). On the other hand, he who fasts must wash (νίψαι) his face (Matt. vi. 17). Both verbs are always used of living beings in

the New Testament. The word for washing things, as nets, garments, etc., is $\pi\lambda\acute{\nu}\omega$. See Luke v. 2. All three verbs occur in Lev. xv. 11 (Sept.).

- 11. Who should betray (τὸν παραδιδόντα). Lit., him that is betraying. So in Matt. xxvi. 2, the present tense is used, is being betrayed (παραδίδοται). See on Matt. iv. 12, and compare προδότης, betrayer, Luke vi. 16; Acts vii. 52; 2 Tim. iii. 4.
- 12. Was set down ($\partial \nu a\pi \varepsilon \sigma \dot{\omega}\nu$). Lit., having reclined. The guests reclined on couches, lying on the left side and leaning on the left hand. The table was in the hollow square or oblong formed on three sides by the couches, the fourth side being open, and the table extending beyond the ends of the couches.

Know ye (γινώσκετε)? Perceive or understand ye?

- 13. Master (ὁ διδάσκαλος). Lit., the Teacher. Teacher and Lord were used, according to the Jewish titles Rabbi and Mar, corresponding to which the followers were disciples or servants.
- 14. Your. Inserted in A. V. Better, the Lord and the Master as Rev. Both have the article.

Ought (ὀφείλετε). The verb means to owe. It occurs several times in John's Epistles (1 John ii. 6; iii. 16; iv. 11; 3 John 8). In the Gospel only here and xix. 7. Compare Luke xvii. 10. In Matthew's version of the Lord's prayer occur the two kindred words ὀφείλημα, debt, and ὀφειλέτης, debtor. Jesus here puts the obligation to ministry as a debt under which His disciples are laid by His ministry to them. The word ought is the past tense of owe. Δεῖ, ought or must (see iii. 7, 14, 30, etc.) expresses an obligation in the nature of things; ὀφείλειν, a special, personal obligation.

15. Example (ὑπόδειγμα). On the three words used in the New Testament for example, ὑπόδειγμα, τύπος, and δεῖγμα, see on 2 Pet. ii. 6; 1 Pet. v. 3; Jude 7.

16. Verily, verily. See on i. 51; x. 1.

The servant. No article. Better a servant, as Rev., a bondservant.

He that is sent (ἀπόστολος). Lit., an apostle. See on Matt. x. 2.

- 17. Happy (μακάριοι). Better, as Rev., blessed. See on Matt. v. 3.
- 18. I have chosen (exchesámn). As ist tense, I chose. Not elected to salvation, but chose as an apostle.

That the scripture, etc. (wa). Elliptical. We must supply this choice was made in order that, etc.

Eateth (τρώγων). With the exception of Matt. xxiv. 38, the word occurs only in John. See on vi. 54. Originally it means to gnaw or crunch; to chew raw vegetables or fruits, and hence often used of animals feeding, as Homer ("Odyssey," vi., 90), of mules feeding. Of course it has lost its original sense in the New Testament, as it did to some extent in classical Greek, though, as applied to men, it more commonly referred to eating vegetables or fruit, as Aristophanes ("Peace," 1325) σῦκα τρώγων, to eat figs. The entire divorce in the New Testament from its primitive sense is shown in its application to the flesh of Christ (vi. 54). It is used by John only in connection with Christ.

Bread with me ($\mu\epsilon\tau$ ' $\epsilon\mu$ $o\hat{v}$ τ $\delta\nu$ $\delta\rho\tau$ $o\nu$). Some editors read, μ $o\hat{v}$ τ $\delta\nu$ $\delta\rho\tau$ $o\nu$, my bread.

Heel $(\pi\tau\ell\rho\nu\alpha\nu)$. Only here in the New Testament. The metaphor is of one administering a kick. Thus Plutarch, describing the robber Sciron, who was accustomed "out of insolence and wantonness to stretch forth his feet to strangers, commanding them to wash them, and then, when they did it, with a kick to send them down the rock into the sea" ("Theseus"). Some have explained the metaphor by the tripping up

of one's feet in wrestling; but, as Meyer justly says, "Jesus was not overreached." The quotation is from the Hebrew, not the Septuagint of Ps. xli. 9 (Sept. xl.). The Septuagint reads, "For the man of my peace in whom I hoped, who eateth my bread, magnified his cunning (πτερνισμόν, lit., tripping up) against me."

19. Now (ἀπ' ἄρτι). Rev., correctly, from henceforth. Compare i. 52; xiv. 7; Matt. xxiii. 39.

I am he ($\epsilon \gamma \omega \epsilon i \mu \iota$). Or, I am. See on viii. 24.

- 21-35. Compare Matt. xxvi. 21-25; Mark xiv. 18-21; Luke xxii. 21-23.
- 21. Was troubled in spirit. See on xi. 33; xii. 27. The agitation was in the highest region of the spiritual life $(\pi\nu\epsilon\hat{\nu}\mu a)$.

One of you shall betray me. So Matthew and Mark, with the addition of, who eateth with me. Luke, the hand of him that betrayeth me is with me on the table.

22. Looked ($\xi\beta\lambda\epsilon\pi\sigma\nu$). The imperfect tense, kept looking as they doubted.

Doubting (ἀπορούμενοι). See on Mark vi. 20.

He spake ($\lambda \acute{e} \gamma \epsilon \iota$). The present tense, speaketh, introduced with lively effect.

23. Was leaning on Jesus' bosom (ην ἀνακείμενος ἐν τῷ κόλπῳ τοῦ Ἰησοῦ). The Rev. renders, "there was at the table reclining," etc. At the table is added because the verb is the general term equivalent to sitting at table. "In Jesus' bosom," defines John's position relatively to the other guests. As the guests reclined upon the left arm, the feet being stretched out behind, the head of each would be near the breast of his companion on the left. Supposing that Jesus, Peter, and John were together, Jesus would occupy the central place, the place

of honor, and John, being in front of Him, could readily lean back and speak to Him. Peter would be behind him.

Bosom. See on Luke vi. 38. The Synoptists do not give this incident.

24. Beckoneth (νεύει). Lit., noddeth.

That he should ask who it should be (πυθέσθαι τις αν είη). The best texts read, καὶ λέγει αὐτῷ εἰπὲ τίς ἐστιν, and saith unto him, Tell us who it is.

25. Lying (ἐπυπεσων). This word is, literally, to fall upon, and is so rendered in almost every instance in the New Testament. In Mark iii. 10, it is applied to the multitudes pressing upon Christ. It occurs, however, nowhere else in John, and therefore some of the best authorities read avameous, leaning back, a verb which John uses several times in the Gospel, as in ver. 12.* So Rev. Whichever of the two is read, it points out the distinction, which the A. V. misses by the translation lying, between ην ανακείμενος (ver. 23), which describes the reclining position of John throughout the meal, and the sudden change of posture pictured by ἀναπεσών, leaning back. The distinction is enforced by the different preposition in each case: reclining in (èv) Jesus' bosom, and leaning back (àvá). Again, the words bosom and breast represent different words in the Greek; κόλπος representing more generally the bend formed by the front part of the reclining person, the lap, and στηθος the breast proper. The verb ἀναπίπτω, to lean back, always in the New Testament describes a change of position. It is used of a rower bending back for a fresh stroke. Plato, in the wellknown passage of the "Phædrus," in which the soul is described under the figure of two horses and a charioteer, says that when the charioteer beholds the vision of love he is afraid, and falls backward (ἀνέπεσεν), so that he brings the steeds upon their haunches.

^{*} Godet's affection for the "received reading" carries him rather beyond bounds, when it leads him to say that ἀναπεσὼν "seems absurd."

As he was (οὖτως). Inserted by the best texts, and not found in the A. V. Reclining as he was, he leaned back. The general attitude of reclining was maintained. Compare iv. 6: "sat thus (οὖτως) on the well." According to the original institution, the Passover was to be eaten standing (Exod. xii. 11). After the Captivity the custom was changed, and the guests reclined. The Rabbis insisted that at least a part of the Paschal meal should be eaten in that position, because it was the manner of slaves to eat standing, and the recumbent position showed that they had been delivered from bondage into freedown.

Breast (στήθος). From ίστημι, to cause to stand. Hence, that which stands out. In later writings John was known as ὁ ἐπιστήθιος, the one on the breast, or the bosom friend.

26. To whom I shall give a sop when I have dipped it $(\mathring{\omega} \stackrel{?}{\epsilon} \gamma \grave{\omega}) \beta \acute{\omega} \psi a_S \tau \grave{\omega} \psi \omega \mu lov \stackrel{?}{\epsilon} \pi \iota \delta \acute{\omega} \sigma \omega$. The best texts read $\mathring{\omega} \stackrel{?}{\epsilon} \gamma \grave{\omega} \beta \acute{\omega} \psi \omega \tau \grave{\omega} \psi \omega \mu lov \kappa a \grave{\omega} \delta \acute{\omega} \sigma \omega a \mathring{\omega} \tau \hat{\omega}$, for whom I shall dip the sop and give it him.

Sop $(\psi\omega\mu lo\nu)$. Only in this chapter. Diminutive from $\psi\omega\mu \dot{o}s$, a morsel, which, in turn, is from $\psi\dot{a}\omega$, to rub, or to crumble. Homer, of the Cyclops:

"Then from his mouth came bits (ψωμοί) of human flesh
Mingled with wine." "Odyssey," ix., 374.

And Xenophon: "And on one occasion having seen one of his companions at table tasting many dishes with one bit (ψωμῷ) of bread" ("Memorabilia," iii., 14, 15). The kindred verb ψωμίζω, rendered feed, occurs Rom. xii. 20; 1 Cor. xiii. 3. See also Septuagint, Ps. lxxix. 5; lxxx. 16. According to its etymology, the verb means to feed with morsels; and it was used by the Greeks of a nurse chewing the food and administering it to an infant. So Aristophanes: "And one laid the child to rest, and another bathed it, and another fed (ἐψώμισεν) it" ("Lysistrate," 19, 20). This sense may possibly color the word as used in Rom. xii. 20: "If thine enemy hunger, feed (ψώμιζε)

him;" with tender care. In 1 Cor. xiii. 3, the original sense appears to be emphasized: "Though I bestow all my goods to feed the poor $(\psi\omega\mu l\sigma\omega)$." This idea is that of doling away in morsels. Dean Stanley says: "Who that has witnessed the almsgiving in a Catholic monastery, or the court of a Spanish or Sicilian bishop's or archbishop's palace, where immense revenues are syringed away in farthings to herds of beggars, but must feel the force of the Apostle's half satirical $\psi\omega\mu l\sigma\omega$?"

Dipped the sop. Compare Matt. xxvi. 23; Mark xiv. 20. The regular sop of the Paschal supper consisted of the following things wrapped together: flesh of the Paschal lamb, a piece of unleavened bread, and bitter herbs. The sauce into which it was dipped does not belong to the original institution, but had been introduced before the days of Christ. According to one authority it consisted of only vinegar and water (compare Ruth ii. 14); others describe it as a mixture of vinegar, figs, dates, almonds, and spice. The flour which was used to thicken the sauce on ordinary occasions was forbidden at the Passover by the Rabbins, lest it might occasion a slight fermentation. According to some, the sauce was beaten up to the consistence of mortar, in order to commemorate the toils of the Israelites in laying bricks in Egypt.

To Judas Iscariot the son of Simon (Ἰούδα Σίμωνος Ἰσκαριώτη). The best texts read Ἰσκαριώτου. "Judas the son of Simon Iscariot." So vi. 71. The act was a mark of forbearance and good-will toward the traitor, and a tacit appeal to his conscience against the contemplated treachery.

27. Then $(\tau \delta \tau \epsilon)$. With a peculiar emphasis, marking the decisive point at which Judas was finally committed to his dark deed. The token of good-will which Jesus had offered, if it did not soften his heart would harden it; and Judas appears to have so interpreted it as to confirm him in his purpose.

Satan. The only occurrence of the word in this Gospel.

Into him (eis ekeîvov). The pronoun of remote reference sets Judas apart from the company of the disciples.

Quickly (τάχιον). Lit., more quickly. The comparative implies a command to hasten his work, which was already begun.

29. The bag. See on xii. 6.

Buy (ἀγόρασον). An incidental argument in favor of this gathering of the disciples having taken place on the evening of the Paschal feast. Had it been on the previous evening, no one would have thought of Judas going out at night to buy provisions for the feast, when there was the whole of the next day for it, nor would they have thought of his going out to seek the poor at that hour. The 15th Nisan, the time of the Passover celebration, was indeed invested with the sanctity of a Sabbath; but provision and preparation of the needful food was expressly allowed on that day. The Rabbinical rules even provided for the procuring of the Paschal lamb on the Passover eve when that happened to fall on the Sabbath.

Against the feast (els $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ éoρ $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$). Rev., better, for the feast. The Passover feast. The meal of which they had been partaking was the preliminary meal, at the close of which the Passover was celebrated; just as, subsequently, the Eucharist was celebrated at the close of the Agape, or love-feast. Notice the different word, $\dot{\epsilon}o\rho\tau\dot{\eta}$, feast, instead of $\delta\epsilon\hat{\iota}\pi\nu o\nu$, supper, and the article with feast.

To the poor. Perhaps to help them procure their Paschal lamb.

- 30. He (ἐκεῖνος). See on ver. 27.
- 31. Now. Marking a crisis, at which Jesus is relieved of the presence of the traitor, and accepts the consequences of his treachery.

Is—glorified (ἐδοξάσθη). Lit., was glorified. The agrist points to the withdrawal of Judas. Jesus was glorified through death, and His fate was sealed (humanly speaking) by Judas' going out. He speaks of the death and consequent glorification as already accomplished.

32. If God be glorified in Him. The most ancient authorities omit.

In Himself (ἐν ἑαντῷ). His glory will be contained in and identified with the divine glory. Compare "the glory which I had with thee," παρὰ σοί (xvii. 5). Έν in, indicates unity of being; παρά with, unity of position.

33. Little children (τεκνία). Diminuitive, occurring only here in the Gospel, but repeatedly in the First Epistle. Nowhere else in the New Testament.

Now $(\mathring{a}\rho\tau\iota)$. In ver. 31, now is $v\hat{v}v$, which marks the point of time absolutely. " $A\rho\tau\iota$ marks the point of time as related to the past or to the future. Thus, "from the days of John the Baptist until now" $(\mathring{a}\rho\tau\iota)$, Matt. xi. 12). "Thinkest thou that I cannot now $(\mathring{a}\rho\tau\iota)$ pray to my Father?" though succor has been delayed all along till now (Matt. xxvi. 53). Here the word implies that the sorrowful announcement of Jesus' departure from His disciples had been withheld until the present. The time was now come.

34. **New** (καινὴν). See on Matt. xxvi. 29.

Commandment (ἐντολὴν). The word for a single commandment or injunction, but used also for the whole body of the moral precepts of Christianity. See 1 Tim. vi. 14; 2 Pet. ii. 21; iii. 2. See also on Jas. ii. 8. This new commandment embodies the essential principle of the whole law. Compare also 1 John iii. 23. Some interpreters, instead of taking that ye love one another, etc., as the definition of the commandment, explain the commandment as referring to the ordinance of the Holy Communion, and render, "a new commandment (to ob-

serve this ordinance) I give unto you, in order that ye love one another." It is, however, more than improbable, and contrary to usage, that the Holy Supper should be spoken of as ἐντολὴ a commandment.

That (wa). With its usual telic * force; indicating the scope and not merely the form or nature of the commandment.

As (καθώς). Rev., better, even as. Not a simple comparison (ὥσπερ), but a conformity; the love is to be of the same nature. There are, however, two ways of rendering the passage. 1. "I give you a new commandment, that ye love one another with the same devotion with which I loved you." 2. "I give you a new commandment, that ye love one another, even as up to this moment I loved you, in order that you may imitate my love one toward another." By the first rendering the character of the mutual love of Christians is described; by the second, its ground. The Rev. gives the latter in margin.

35. Shall—know (γνώσονται). Perceive, or come to know.

My disciples ($\epsilon\mu$) μ). See on Matt. xii. 49. Lit., disciples unto me. Compare xv. 8.

36-38. Compare Matt. xxvi. 31-35; Mark xiv. 27-31; Luke xxii. 31-38.

37. Now $(\tilde{a}\rho\tau\iota)$. Without waiting for a future time. See on ver. 33, and compare $\nu\hat{\nu}\nu$ now, in ver. 36.

I will lay down my life. See on x. 11.

38. Wilt thou lay down thy life? For a similar repetition of Peter's own words, see on xxi. 17.

^{*} Directed to an end (τέλος), and therefore marking a purpose.

CHAPTER XIV.

1. Heart $(\kappa a \rho \delta la)$. Never used in the New Testament, as in the Septuagint, of the mere physical organ, though sometimes of the vigor and sense of physical life (Acts xiv. 17; Jas. v. 5; Luke xxi. 34). Generally, the centre of our complex being—physical, moral, spiritual, and intellectual. See on Mark xii. 30. The immediate organ by which man lives his personal life, and where that entire personal life concentrates itself. It is thus used sometimes as parallel to $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$, the individual life, and to $\pi v \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a$ the principle of life, which manifests itself in the $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$. Strictly, $\kappa a \rho \delta la$ is the immediate organ of $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$, occupying a mediating position between it and $\pi v \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a$. In the heart $(\kappa a \rho \delta la)$ the spirit $(\pi v \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a)$, which is the distinctive principle of the life or soul $(\psi v \chi \dot{\eta})$, has the seat of its activity.

Emotions of joy or sorrow are thus ascribed both to the heart and to the soul. Compare John xiv. 27, "Let not your heart (καρδία) be troubled;" and John xii. 27, "Now is my soul (ψυχή) troubled." The heart is the focus of the religious life (Matt. xxii. 37; Luke vi. 45; 2 Tim. ii. 22). It is the sphere of the operation of grace (Matt. xiii. 19; Luke viii. 15; xxiv. 32; Acts ii. 37; Rom. x. 9, 10). Also of the opposite principle (John xiii. 2; Acts v. 3). Used also as the seat of the understanding; the faculty of intelligence as applied to divine things (Matt. xiii. 15; Rom. i. 21; Mark viii. 17).

Ve believe—believe also (πιστεύετε καὶ πιστεύετε). The verbs may be taken either as indicatives or as imperatives. Thus we may render: ye believe in God, ye believe also in me; or, believe in God and ye believe in me; or, believe in God and believe in me; or again, as A. V. The third of these renderings corresponds best with the hortatory character of the discourse.

2. House (oikia). The dwelling-place. Used primarily of the edifice (Matt. vii. 24; viii. 14; ix. 10; Acts iv. 34). Of

the family or all the persons inhabiting the house (Matt. xii. 25; John iv. 53; 1 Cor. xvi. 15; Matt. x. 13). Of property (Matt. xxiii. 14; Mark xii. 40). Here meaning heaven.*

Mansions (μοναί). Only here and ver. 23. From μένω to stay or abide. Originally a staying or abiding or delay. Thus Thucydides, of Pausanias: "He settled at Colonæ in Troas, and was reported to the Ephors to be negotiating with the Barbarians, and to be staying there (την μονην ποιούμενος, lit., making a stay) for no good purpose" (i., 131). Thence, a staying or abiding-place; an abode. The word mansion has a similar etymology and follows the same course of development, being derived from manere, to remain. Mansio is thus, first, a staying, and then a dwelling-place. A later meaning of both mansio and μονή is a halting-place or station on a journey. Some expositors, as Trench and Westcott, explain the word here according to this later meaning, as indicating the combination of the contrasted notions of progress and repose in the vision of the future.† This is quite untenable. The word means here abodes. Compare Homer's description of Priam's palace:

"A palace built with graceful porticoes,
And fifty chambers near each other, walled
With polished etone, the rooms of Priam's sons
And of their wives; and opposite to these

^{*}The explanation given by Milligan and Moulton is, that the Father's house includes earth as well as heaven: that it is, in short, the universe, over which the Father rules, having many apartments, some on this side. others beyond the grave. When, therefore, Jesus goes away, it is only to another chamber of the one house of the Father. The main thought is that wherever Jesus is, wherever we are, we are all in the Father's house, and therefore there can be no real separation between Jesus and His disciples. This is very beautiful, and, in itself, true; but, as an explanation of this passage, is not warranted by anything in it, but is rather read into it.

[†] W. Aldis Wright ("Bible Word-Book") is wrong in calling this "the primary meaning" of the word. No authorities for the use of mansio in this sense are quoted earlier than Pliny and Suetonius, and none for this use of μονή earlier than Pausanias (A.D. 180). Canon Westcott's interpretation is effectively demolished (usually no easy thing to do) by J. Sterling Berry, in The Expositor, 2d series, vol. iii., p. 397.

Twelve chambers for his daughters, also near Each other; and, with polished marble walls, The sleeping-rooms of Priam's sons-in-law And their unblemished consorts."

"Iliad," vi., 242-250.

Godet remarks: "The image is derived from those vast oriental palaces, in which there is an abode not only for the sovereign and the heir to the throne, but also for all the sons of the king, however numerous they may be."

If it were not so, I would have told you $(\epsilon i \ \delta \hat{\epsilon} \ \mu \hat{\eta} \ \epsilon \hat{l} \pi o \nu \ \hat{a} \nu \ \hat{\nu} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu)$. Wyc., If anything less, I had said to you.

I go to prepare, etc. Many earlier interpreters refer I would have told you to these words, and render I would have told you that I go to prepare a place for you. But this is inadmissible, because Jesus says (ver. 3) that He is actually going to prepare a place. The better rendering regards if it were not so, I would have told you, as parenthetical, and connects the following sentence with are many mansions, by means of $\delta \tau \iota$, for or because, which the best texts insert. "In my Father's house are many mansions (if it were not so, I would have told you), for I go to prepare a place for you."

I go to prepare. Compare Num. x. 33. Also Heb. vi. 20, "whither the forerunner is for us entered, even Jesus."

A place $(\tau \acute{o}\pi o \nu)$. See on xi. 48. The heavenly dwelling is thus described by three words: house, abode, place.

3. If I go $(\partial a \nu \pi o \rho e \nu \Im a)$. Πορεύομαι, go, of going with a definite object. See on viii. 21.

I will come again (πάλιν ἔρχομαι). The present tense; I come, so Rev. Not to be limited to the Lord's second and glorious coming at the last day, nor to any special coming, such as Pentecost, though these are all included in the expression; rather to be taken of His continual coming and presence by the Holy Spirit. "Christ is, in fact, from the moment of His

resurrection, ever coming into the world and to the Church, and to men as the risen Lord " (Westcott).

And receive (παραλήψομαι). Here the future tense, will receive. Rev., therefore, much better: I come again and will receive you. The change of tense is intentional, the future pointing to the future personal reception of the believer through death. Christ is with the disciple alway, continually "coming" to him, unto the end of the world. Then He will receive him into that immediate fellowship, where he "shall see Him as He is." The verb παραλαμβάνω is used in the New Testament of taking along with (Matt. iv. 5; xvii. 1: Acts xvi. 33, on all which see notes): of taking to (Matt. i. 20; John xiv. 3): of taking from, receiving by transmission: so mostly in Paul (Gal. i. 12; Col. ii. 6; iv. 17; 1 Thess. ii. 13, etc. See also Matt. xxiv. 40, 41). It is scarcely fanciful to see the first two meanings blended in the use of the verb in this passage. Jesus, by the Spirit, takes His own along with Him through life, and then takes them to His side at death. He himself conducts them to Himself.

lam. See on vii. 34.

4. I go $(\dot{\nu}\pi\dot{a}\gamma\omega)$. Withdraw from you. See on viii. 21.

Ye know, and the way ye know (οἴδατε, καὶ τὴν ὁδὸν οἴδατε). The best texts omit the second ye know, and the and before the way; reading, whither I go ye know the way.

- 5. And how can we know (καὶ πῶς δυνάμεθα τὴν ὁδὸν εἰδέναι). The best texts substitute οἴδαμεν, know we, for δυνάμεθα, can we; reading, how know we the way. So Rev. Some also omit and before how.
- 6. I am the way. The disciples are engrossed with the thought of separation from Jesus. To Thomas, ignorance of whither Jesus is going involves ignorance of the way. "Therefore, with loving condescension the figure is taken up, and they are assured that He is Himself, if we may so speak, this dis-

tance to be traversed" (Milligan and Moulton). All along the course to the Father's house they are still with Him.

The truth. As being the perfect revelation of God the Father: combining in Himself and manifesting all divine reality, whether in the being, the law, or the character of God. He embodies what men ought to know and believe of God; what they should do as children of God, and what they should be.

The life. Not only life in the future world. He is "the principle and source of life in its temporal development and future consummation, so that whoever has not received Him into himself by faith, has become a prey to spiritual and eternal death" (Meyer). "He that believeth on the Son hath everlasting life." Compare Col. iii. 4; John vi. 50, 51; xi. 25, 26.

"I am the way, the truth, and the life. Without the way there is no going; without the truth there is no knowing; without the life there is no living. I am the way which thou shouldst pursue; the truth which thou shouldst believe; the life which thou shouldst hope for "(Thomas à Kempis, "Imitation of Christ," iii., 56). On $\zeta \omega \eta$, life, see on i. 4.

Unto the Father. The end of the way.

7. Had known (ἐγνώκειτε). Rather, had learned to know, through my successive revelations of myself.

Ye should have known (ἐγνώκειτε ἄν). The same verb as above. Some editors, however, read ἤδειτε, the verb signifying absolute knowledge, the knowledge of intuition and satisfied conviction. If this is adopted, it marks a contrast with the progressive knowledge indicated by ἐγνώκειτε. See on ii. 24.

My Father. Not the Father, as ver. 6. It is the knowledge of the Father in His relation to the Son. Through this knowledge the knowledge of God as the Father, "in the deepest

verity of His being," is attained. This latter knowledge is better expressed by $ol\delta a$. See on iv. 21.

Have seen. See on i. 18.

9. Have I been $(\epsilon i\mu \iota)$. Lit., am I.

Known (ἐγνωκάς). Come to know.

Sayest thou (σv). Emphatic. Thou who didst say, "We have found him of whom Moses in the law and the prophets did write" (i. 46). Omit and before how sayest thou.

10. Of myself $(\dot{a}\pi' \dot{\epsilon}\mu a \nu \tau o \hat{v})$. Rev., better, from myself. See on vii. 17.

The Father that dwelleth in me, He doeth the works (ὁ δὲ πατὴρ ὁ ἐν ἐμοὶ μένων, αὐτὸς ποιεῖ τὰ ἔργα). The best texts read, ὁ δὲ πατὴρ ἐν ἐμοὶ μένων ποιεῖ τὰ ἔργα αὔτου; the Father abiding in me doeth His works. Philip donbts whether Christ is in the Father, and the Father in Him. The answer is twofold, corresponding to the two phases of the donbt. His words, spoken not from Himself, are from the Father, and therefore He utters them from within the Father, and is Himself in the Father. His works are the works of the Father abiding in Him; therefore the Father is in Him.

11. Believe me (πιστεύετέ μοι). The plural of the imperative: "believe ye me." Compare believest thou, ver. 10. These words are addressed to the disciples collectively, whose thought Philip had voiced.

Or else $(\epsilon i \ \delta \epsilon \ \mu \dot{\gamma})$. Lit., but if not. If you do not believe on the authority of my personal statement.

For the very works' sake $(\delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \tau \dot{\alpha} \ equal eq a \dot{\nu} \tau \dot{\alpha})$. Lit., on account of the works themselves, irrespective of my oral testimony.

12. Greater works. Not more remarkable miracles, but referring to the wider work of the apostolic ministry under the

dispensation of the Spirit. This work was of a higher nature than mere bodily cures. Godet truthfully says: "That which was done by St. Peter at Pentecost, by St. Paul all over the world, that which is effected by an ordinary preacher, a single believer, by bringing the Spirit into the heart, could not be done by Jesus during His sojourn in this world." Jesus' personal ministry in the flesh must be a local ministry. Only under the dispensation of the Spirit could it be universal.

- 13. In my name. The first occurrence of the phrase. See on Matt. xxviii. 19. Prayer is made in the name of Jesus, "if this name, Jesus Christ, as the full substance of the saving faith and confession of him who prays, is, in his consciousness, the element in which the prayerful activity moves; so that thus that Name, embracing the whole revelation of redemption, is that which specifically measures and defines the disposition, feeling, object, and contents of prayer. The express use of the name of Jesus therein is no specific token; the question is of the spirit and mind of him who prays" (Meyer). Westcott cites Augustine to the effect that the prayer in Christ's name must be consistent with Christ's character, and that He fulfils it as Saviour, and therefore just so far as it conduces to salvation.
- 14. If ye shall ask anything. Some authorities insert me. So Rev. This implies prayer to Christ.
- 15. **Keep** (τηρήσατε). The best texts read τηρήσετε, ye will keep. Lay up in your hearts and preserve by careful watching. See on reserved, 1 Pet. i. 4.
- My commandments (τὰς ἐντολὰς τὰς ἐμὰς). Lit., the commandments which are mine. See on x. 27.
 - 16. I will pray (ἐρωτήσω). See on xi. 22.

Comforter (παράκλητον). Only in John's Gospel and First Epistle (xiv. 16, 26; xv. 26; xvi. 7; 1 Ep. ii. 1). From παρά, to the side of, and καλέω, to summon. Hence, originally, one

who is called to another's side to aid him, as an advocate in a court of justice. The later, Hellenistic use of παρακαλείν and παράκλησις, to denote the act of consoling and consolation, gave rise to the rendering Comforter, which is given in every instance in the Gospel, but is changed to advocate in 1 John ii. 1, agreeably to its uniform signification in classical Greek. The argument in favor of this rendering throughout is conclusive. urged that the rendering Comforter is justified by the fact that, in its original sense, it means more than a mere consoler, being derived from the Latin confortare, to strengthen, and that the Comforter is therefore one who strengthens the cause and the courage of his client at the bar: but, as Bishop Lightfoot observes, the history of this interpretation shows that it is not reached by this process, but grew out of a grammatical error, and that therefore this account can only be accepted as an apology after the fact, and not as an explanation of the fact. The Holy Spirit is, therefore, by the word παράκλητος, of which Paraclete is a transcription, represented as our Advocate or Counsel, "who suggests true reasonings to our minds, and true courses of action for our lives, who convicts our adversary, the world, of wrong, and pleads our cause before God our Father." It is to be noted that Jesus as well as the Holy Spirit is represented as Paraclete. The Holy Spirit is to be another Paraclete, and this falls in with the statement in the First Epistle, "we have an advocate with God, even Jesus Christ." Compare Rom. viii. 26. See on Luke vi. 24. Note also that the word another is ἄλλον, and not ἔτερον, which means different. The advocate who is to be sent is not different from Christ, but another similar to Himself. See on Matt. vi. 24.*

With you $(\mu\epsilon\vartheta' i\mu\hat{\omega}\nu)$. Notice the three prepositions used in this verse to describe the Spirit's relation to the believer. With you $(\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{a})$, in fellowship; by you $(\pi a\rho\dot{a})$, in His personal

^{*}The student will find the whole question discussed by Bishop Lightfoot ("On a Fresh Revision of the New Testament," p. 58 sqq.); Julius Charles Hare ("Mission of the Comforter," p. 348); and Canon Westcott (Introduction to the Commentary on John's Gospel, Speaker's Commentary, p. 211). See also his note on 1 John ii. 1, in his Commentary on the Epistles of John.

presence; in you $(\hat{\epsilon}\nu)$, as an indwelling personal energy, at the springs of the life.

17. The Spirit of Truth. "A most exquisite title," says Bengel. The Spirit, who has the truth, reveals it, by knowledge in the understanding; confers it by practical proof and taste in the will; testifies of it to others also through those to whom He has revealed it; and defends that truth, of which ch. i. 17 speaks, grace and truth. . . . The truth makes all our virtues true. Otherwise there is a kind of false knowledge, false faith, false hope, false love; but there is no such thing as false truth."

The world. See on i. 9.

Shall be in you. Some editors read, ἐστίν, is in you.

18. Leave $(a\phi \eta \sigma \omega)$. See on iv. 3.

Comfortless (¿opфavos). Lit., bereft or orphans. Only here and Jas. i. 27, where it is rendered fatherless. Compare my little children (xiii. 33). "He hath not left us without a rule (xiii. 34); nor without an example (xiii. 15); nor without a motive (xiv. 15); nor without a strength (xv. 5); nor without a warning (xv. 2, 6); nor without a Comforter (xiv. 18); nor without a reward (xiv. 2) (James Ford, "The Gospel of St. John Illustrated").

I will come ($\check{\epsilon}\rho\chi o\mu a\iota$). Present tense, I come. See on ver. 3.

- 19. Ye shall live also (καὶ ὑμεῖς ζήσεσθε). This may also be rendered, and ye shall live, explaining the former statement, ye behold me. So Rev., in margin. This is better. John is not arguing for the dependence of their life on Christ's, but for fellowship with Christ as the ground of spiritual vision.
- 21. Hath. "Who has in memory and keeps in life" (Augustine).

Will manifest $(\dot{\epsilon}\mu\phi a\nu l\sigma\omega)$. Properly, of manifestation to the sight, as distinguished from $\delta\eta\lambda\delta\omega$, to make evident to the mind (1 Cor. iii. 13; Col. i. 8, etc.). A clear, conspicuous manifestation is indicated. Compare ye see me (ver. 19). "It conveys more than the disclosing of an undiscovered presence $(\dot{a}\pi\omega\kappa\lambda\lambda\dot{v}\pi\omega)$, or the manifesting of a hidden one $(\phi a\nu\epsilon\rho\delta\omega)$ " (Westcott).

22. Judas. See on Thaddaus, Mark iii. 18.

Not Iscariot. The Rev. improves the translation by placing these words immediately after *Judas*. "He distinguishes the godly Judas, not by his own surname, but by the negation of the other's; marking at the same time the traitor as present again after his negotiation with the adversaries, but as having no sympathy with such a question" (Bengel).

How is it (τί γέγονεν). Lit., what has come to pass. Implying that Judas thought that some change had taken place in Jesus' plans. He had assumed that Jesus would, as the Mcssiah, reveal Himself publicly.

23. My word ($\lambda \acute{o}\gamma o\nu \mu o\nu$). The entire gospel message, as distinguished from its separate parts or commandments.

We will come. Compare x. 30; Apoc. iii. 20.

Abode $(\mu o \nu \dot{\eta} \nu)$. See on ver. 2. Compare 1 John ii. 24; v. 15.

- 24. My sayings (λόγους). Rev., words. Compare word, ver. 23. The constituent parts of the one word.
- 25. Being yet present ($\mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$). Rev., stronger and more literally, while yet abiding.
 - 26. In my name. See on ver. 13.

He (ἐκεῖνος). Setting the Advocate distinctly and sharply before the hearers. The pronoun is used in John's First Epis-

tle, distinctively of our Lord. See 1 John ii. 6; iii. 3, 5, 7, 16; iv. 17.

I have said $(\epsilon i\pi o\nu)$. The agrist tense, I said.

27. Peace. "These are last words, as of one who is about to go away and says 'good-night' or gives his blessing" (Luther). Peace! was the ordinary oriental greeting at parting. Compare xx. 21.

My peace I give. Compare 1 John iii. 1. "It is of his own that one gives" (Godet).

Let it be afraid $(\delta \epsilon i \lambda i \acute{a} \tau \omega)$. Only here in the New Testament. Properly it signifies cowardly fear. Rev., fearful. The kindred adjective $\delta \epsilon i \lambda \acute{o}s$ fearful, is used by Matthew of the disciples in the storm (viii. 26), and in the Apocalypse of those who deny the faith through fear of persecution (xxi. 8). The kindred noun, $\delta \epsilon i \lambda \acute{a}$, occurs only in 2 Tim. i. 7, "God hath not given us the spirit of fear," contrasted with the spirit of power, love, and a sound mind.

- 28. I said. Omit, and read, ye would have rejoiced because I go unto the Father.
- 30. Hereafter I will not talk (οὐκ ἔτι λαλήσω). Rev., more correctly, I will no more speak.

The prince of this world. The best texts read, "of the world."

Hath nothing in me. No right nor power over Christ which sin in Him could give. The Greek order is, in me he hath nothing.

31. But that the world may know, etc. The connection in this verse is much disputed. Some explain, Arise, let us go hence, that the world may know that I love the Father, and that even as the Father commanded me so I do. Others, So I do,

that the world may know—and even as the Father, etc. Others, again, take the opening phrase as elliptical, supplying either, he cometh, i.e., Satan, in order that the world may know—and that as the Father, etc.; or, I surrender myself to suffering and death that the world may know, etc. In this case, Arise, etc., will form, as in A. V. and Rev., an independent sentence. I incline to adopt this. The phrase àll'iva, but in order that, with an ellipsis, is common in John. See i. 8, 31; ix. 3; xiii. 18; xv. 25; 1 John ii. 19.

CHAPTER XV.

WHERE the following discourses were uttered is a matter of uncertainty. Some suppose that after the words, Arise, let us go hence, the disciples still lingered at the table. Others, that the discourses were spoken on the way to the Mount of Olives; and others, again, in the court of the temple. Assuming that the figure of the vine was suggested by some external object, that object is explained according to the different views of the scene of the discourses. Those who think that it was the chamber which the company had not yet left, suggest the cup of wine of which they had recently partaken (Meyer); or a vine whose tendrils had crept into the room (Tholuck); or the view of vineyards outside in the light of the moon (Storr). Those who assign the discourses to the walk to the Mount of Olives, down to Kedron through the vinevards, draw the figure from the vineyards, and the fires burning along the sides of the Kedron valley in order to consume the vine-cuttings (Lange, Godet). Those who place the discourses in the court of the temple, find the suggestion in the great golden vine over the temple-gate (Westcott, Lampe). Others, again, think that the similitude was not drawn from any external object, but was suggested by the familiar Old Testament symbolism of the vineyard and the vine, as in Ps. lxxx. 8-19; Isa. v. 1 sqq.; Jer. ii. 21; Ezek. xix. 10 sqq. (So Lücke, Alford, Milligan and Moulton, and Trench).

1. The true vine ($\dot{\eta}$ $\ddot{a}\mu\pi\epsilon\lambda$ os $\dot{\eta}$ $\dot{a}\lambda\eta\Im\nu\dot{\eta}$). Lit., the vine, the true (vine). True, genuine, answering to the perfect ideal. See on i. 9. The vine was a symbol of the ancient church. See the passages cited above, and Hosea x. 1; Matt. xxi. 33; Lnke xiii. 6.

Husbandman (γεωργός). From γη, the earth, and ἔργω, to work. The vine-dresser is ἀμπελουργός, occurring only at Luke xiii. 7; but the office of the vine-dresser is a subordinate one, while γεωργός may indicate the proprietor. See 2 Chron. xxvi. 10 (Sept.), where the word is applied to King Uzziah. So of Noah, Gen. ix. 20. In Matt. xxi. 33-41, the γεωργοί represent the chiefs and leaders of the Jews. Wyc., an earth-tiller.

2. Branch (κλημα). Occurring only in this chapter. Both this and κλάδος, branch (see on Matt. xxiv. 32; Mark xi. 8) are derived from κλάω, to break. The word emphasizes the ideas of tenderness and flexibility.

Purgeth (καθαίρει). Cleanseth, Rev.

- 3. Clean (καθαροί). Etymologically akin to καθαίρει, purgeth. The Rev. indicates this by rendering καθαίρει, cleanseth.
- Of itself (ἀφ' ἐαυτοῦ). Properly, from itself. See on vii.
 17.

No more can ye (οὕτως οὐδὲ ὑμεῖς). Lit., so neither can ye. So Rev.

- 5. Without me (χωρὶς ἐμοῦ). Properly, apart from me. So Rev. Compare i. 3; Eph. ii. 12.
- 6. He is cast forth $(\hat{\epsilon}\beta\lambda\hat{\eta}9\eta\ \hat{\epsilon}\xi\omega)$. The agrist tense. Lit., was east forth. The agrist, denoting a momentary act, indicates that it was east forth at the moment it ceased to abide in the vine. Forth signifies from the vineyard; $\hat{\epsilon}\xi\omega$, outside.

As a branch ($\dot{\omega}_S \tau \dot{o} \kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \mu a$). Strictly, the branch: the unfruitful branch.

Is withered (ἐξηράνθη). The aorist, as in was cast forth. Wyc., shall wax dry.

Men gather. Or, as Rev., they gather. Indefinite. Compare Isa. xxvii. 11; Ezek. xv. 5.

7. **V**e shall ask (aἰτήσεσθε). The best texts read the imperative, aἰτήσασθε, ask.*

Shall be done unto you (γενήσεται ὑμῖν). Lit., it shall come to pass for you.

8. Herein (ἐν τούτφ). Commonly referred to what follows. My Father is glorified in this, namely, that ye bear much fruit. It is better to refer it back to ver. 7. In the perfect unity of will between the Son and the disciple, which results in the disciple's obtaining whatever he asks, the Father is glorified. To this effect is xiv. 13, "Whatsoever ye shall ask in my name, that will I do, that the Father may be glorified in the Son." The design of this glorification is that (ἴνα) you may bear much fruit. This retrospective reference of ἐν τούτφ, in this, or herein, occurs in iv. 37; xvi. 30; 1 John iv. 17.

Is glorified $(\partial \delta \xi \acute{a} \sigma \Re \eta)$. The arrist tense; was glorified. As in ver. 6, marking the point when the Father's glory was realized in the perfect union of the believer's will with Christ's.

So shall ye be (καὶ γενήσεσθε). Lit., and ye shall become. Some editors, however, read γένησθε, and connect, in the same construction with the preceding clause, rendering, "Herein is (was) my Father glorified, that ye might bear much fruit and become my disciples." Note that the word is become, not be. Christian discipleship implies progress and growth.

9. In my love $(\vec{\epsilon}\nu \tau \hat{\eta} \ \vec{a}\gamma \acute{a}\pi \eta \ \tau \hat{\eta} \ \vec{\epsilon}\mu \hat{\eta})$. Lit., in the love, that which is mine. Not only the love of the disciple for Christ,

^{*}This does not, as Godet says, turn the promise into "a moral precept." It is a hortatory eucouragement. But then the reading occurs in Cod. A.!

nor the love of Christ for the disciple, but the *Christ-principle* of love which includes both. See the same form of expression in the joy that is mine, ver. 11; iii. 29; xvii. 13; the judgment (v. 30; viii. 16); the commandments (xiv. 15); peace (xiv. 27).

11. My joy. The joy that is mine; characteristic of me. See on ver. 9.

Might remain $(\mu\epsilon\ell\nu\eta)$. The best texts read $\vec{\eta}$, may be.

Might be full $(\pi\lambda\eta\rho\omega\hat{g}\hat{\eta})$. Rev., more correctly, may be fulfilled. The A. V. loses the distinction between the absolute joy which is Christ's, and the progressive, but finally consummated joy which is the disciple's.

12. My commandment ($\hat{\eta}$ $\hat{\epsilon}\nu\tau\sigma\lambda\hat{\eta}$ $\hat{\eta}$ $\hat{\epsilon}\mu\hat{\eta}$). The commandment which is mine.

That ye love ("va). Indicating not merely the nature of the commandment, but its purport.

13. Greater love hath no man than this, that ("va"). Some of the more subtile phases of John's thought cannot be apprehended without a careful study of this often-recurring conjunction. It is still claimed by some grammarians that it is used to mark, not only design and end, but also result.* But it may fairly be claimed that its predominant sense is intent, purpose, purport, or object. Hence that, as representing "va, is to be taken in the sense of to the end or intent that; in order that. Here the use of the word is very subtile and suggestive, as well as beautiful. No man hath greater love than this (love), which, in its original conception, was intended and designed to reach to the extent of sacrificing life for a friend. Christ, therefore, here gives us more than a mere abstract comparison, and more than a merely human gauge of love. He measures love according to its divine, original, far-reaching intent.

^{*} The technical terms are τελικώς (telicos), of the design and end, and ἐκβατικώς (ekbatikos), of the result.

Lay down his life. See on x. 11.

- 14. I command (ἐντέλλομαι). Of several words for command in the New Testament, this one is always used of giving a specific injunction or precept. The kindred noun, ἐντολή, means an order, a charge, a precept, and hence is used of a separate precept of the law as distinguished from the law as a whole (νόμος). See Matt. xxii. 36, 38. It is, however, sometimes used of the whole body of the moral precepts of Christianity. See on xiii. 34. The sense of specific commands here falls in with the reading of the Rec. Text, ὅσα, whatsoever, lit., as many things as.
- 15. Henceforth—not (οὐκέτι). Rev., better, no longer. No longer servants, as you were under the dispensation of the law. Compare Gal. iv. 7.

Servants (δούλους). Strictly, bond-servants.

Knoweth not $(oi\kappa \ oi\delta \hat{\epsilon})$. Has no instinctive perception. See on ii. 24.

You. The position of the pronoun in the Greek is emphatic: "You I have called friends."

16. **Ye**—chosen. The pronoun is emphatic: "It was not ye that chose me."

Ordained (Εθηκα). Rev., appointed is better, because it divests the word of its conventional meaning. Ordain is from the Latin ordinare, and means to set in order. Thus, Robert of Gloucester's "Chronicle:" "He began to ordain his folk," i.e., set his people in order. Hakluyt, "Voyages:" "He ordained a boat made of one tree." The Greek verb means to set, put, or place. Hence of appointing one to service. See 1 Tim. i. 12. Wyc., Matt. xxiv. 47: "Upon all his goods he shall ordain him."

Should go $(i\pi \dot{a}\gamma\eta\tau\epsilon)$. Withdraw from His personal society and go out into the world.

That whatsoever, etc. ("iva). Co-ordinated with the preceding "iva, that, as marking another result of their choice and appointment by Christ. He has appointed them that they should bring forth fruit, and that they should obtain such answers to their prayer as would make them fruitful.

- 17. That ("va). All my teachings are to the end that you should love one another.
- 18. If the world hate ($\epsilon i \mu \iota \sigma \epsilon i$). Lit., hates. The indicative mood with the conditional particle assumes the fact as existing: If the world hates you, as it does.

Ye know (γινώσκετε). This may also be rendered as imperative: *Know ye*.

It hated $(\mu \epsilon \mu l \sigma \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu)$. The perfect tense, hath hated. The hatred continues to the present time.

Before it hated you $(\pi\rho\hat{\omega}\tau o\nu \ \hat{\nu}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu)$. Lit., first in regard of you. See on i. 15.

19. Of the world (ἐκ τοῦ κόσμου). Sprung out of the world. See on of the earth, iii. 31.

Would love $(a\nu)$ $\epsilon\phi(\lambda\epsilon\iota)$. The verb for *natural* affection. See on v. 20.

20. Persecuted (ἐδίωξαν). The verb means originally to put to flight; thence to run swiftly in order to overtake or attain, as the goal or the competitor in the race. Thus Sophocles ("Electra," 738): "He urged his swift steeds vehemently with shouts that pierced their ears, and makes for him (διώκει)." Compare I follow after (διώκω, Philip. iii. 12). Hence to pursue with hostile intent, and, generally, to molest, harass, persecute. Persecute is from the equivalent Latin persequor, to follow up, and is used earlier, in the sense of pursue, while pursue, in turn, is used in the sense of persecute. Thus Wyc., Matt. v.

- 44, for men pursuing you. Sir Thomas More ("Utopia"), "Whiles their enemies rejoicing in the victory have persecuted (i.e., pursued) them."
- 21. For my name's sake (διὰ τὸ ὄνομά μου). Lit., on account of my name. The name of Christ represented the faith, the attitude, the claims, and the aim of the disciples. His name was their confession. Luther says: "The name of Christ from your mouth will be to them nothing but poison and death."
 - 22. Had sin (ἀμαρτίαν εἶχον). See on ix. 41; 1 John i. 8.

Cloke (πρόφασω). From πρό, before, in front of, and φημl, to say or affirm. Hence something which is placed in front of the true cause of a thing, a pretext. Compare 1 Thess. ii. 5; Acts xxvii. 30. Pretext carries the same idea, Latin, pratextum, something woven in front, with a view to concealment or deception. Rev., excuse. Wyc., excusation. The A.V. follows Tyndale: nothing to cloke their sin withal. Latimer ("Sermons"): "By such cloaked charity, when thou dost offend before Christ but once, thou hast offended twice herein." The word appears in the low Latin cloca, a bell (compare the French cloche, and English clock), and the name was given to a horseman's cloak because of its resemblance to a bell. The word palliate is from the Latin pallium, a cloak.

- 25. Without a cause (δωρεάν). Gratuitously. Akin to δίδωμι, to give. Their hatred was a voluntary gift.
- 27. Shall bear witness (μαρτυρεῖτε). Present tense, bear witness. So Rev. Or, it may be taken as imperative: bear ye witness.

CHAPTER XVI.

1. Be offended (σκανδαλισθήτε). Rev., made to stumble. In this Gospel only here and vi. 61. See on Matt. v. 29. Wyc., be not slandered.

2. They shall put you out of the synagogues. See on ix. 22.

Yea $(\dot{a}\lambda\lambda')$. Lit., but. They shall excommunicate you, but worse than this, the hour cometh, etc.

The hour cometh that ("va). Lit., "there cometh an hour in order that." The hour is ordained with that end in view: it comes fraught with the fulfilment of a divine purpose.

Whosoever $(\pi \hat{a}_{S} \delta)$. Lit., every one who.

Doeth service ($\lambda a \tau \rho e l a \nu \pi \rho o \sigma \phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$). Lit., bringeth or offereth service. Aa $\tau \rho e l a$ means, strictly, service for hire, but is used of any service, and frequently of the service of God.

- 3. Unto you. Omit.
- 4. But (ἀλλά). Marks a breaking off of the enumeration of fearful details; but (to say no more of these things), I have spoken these, etc.

At the beginning ($\tilde{\epsilon}\xi \tilde{a}\rho\chi\hat{\eta}s$). Properly, from the beginning. So Rev. The phrase only here and vi. 64.

7. It is expedient (συμφέρει). From σύν together, and φέρω to bear or bring. The underlying idea of the word is concurrence of circumstances.

Go away $(\partial \pi \in \lambda \Im \omega)$. The different words for go should be noted in this verse, and ver. 10. Here, expressing simple departure from a point.

Depart $(\pi o \rho \epsilon v \vartheta \hat{\omega})$. Rev., go. With the notion of going for a purpose, which is expressed in I will send him.

8. Will reprove (ἐλέγξει). See on iii. 20. Rev., convict.

Of sin—righteousness—judgment ($\pi\epsilon\rho l$). Lit., concerning. Rev., in respect of. Of gives a wrong impression, viz., that

He will convict the world of being sinful, unrighteous, and exposed to judgment. This is true, but the preposition implies more. He will convict the world as respects these three; that is, will convict it of ignorance of their real nature.

Righteousness (δικαιοσύνης). Only here and ver. 10 in the Gospel. It occurs in the First Epistle and in the Apocalypse.

10. I go $(b\pi \dot{\alpha}\gamma\omega)$. Withdraw from their sight and earthly fellowship. See on viii. 21, and foot-note.

Ve see (θεωρείτε). Rev., behold. See on i. 18.

- 11. Is judged (κέκριται). Perfect tense. Rev., therefore, rightly, hath been judged.
 - 12. Bear (βαστάζειν). See on x. 31; xii. 6.

Now $(a\rho\tau\iota)$. See on xiii. 33. With reference to a future time, when they will be able to bear them.

13. Spirit of truth. Lit., of the truth. See on xiv. 7.

Will guide (ὁδηγήσει). From ὁδός, way, and ἡγέομαι, to lead. The kindred noun, ὁδηγός, guide, leader, occurs Matt. xv. 14; Acts i. 16, etc.

Into all truth (εἰς πᾶσαν τὴν ἀλήθειαν). Rev., more correctly, into all the truth. Some editors read, ἐν τῷ ἀληθείᾳ πάση, in all the truth. Others, εἰς τὴν ἀλήθειαν πᾶσαν, joining πᾶσαν in an adverbial sense with will guide you: i.e., will guide you wholly into the truth. The Spirit does not reveal all truth to men, but He leads them to the truth as it is in Christ.

Of himself. Rev., rightly, from himself. See on vii. 17.

He shall hear (ἀν ἀκούση). Some read, ἀκούει, heareth, and omit ἀν, the conditional particle. "Όσα ἀν ἀκούση, the

reading of the Rec. Text, is, strictly, whatsoever things he may have heard.

Will shew (ἀναγγελεί). Better, as Rev., declare. Compare Mark v. 14, 19; Acts xx. 27; 2 Cor. vii. 7. Also to rehearse; Acts xiv. 27. Used of the formal proclamation of the Christian religion (Acts xx. 20; 1 Pet. i. 12; 1 John i. 5). See on Acts xix. 18.

Things to come ($\tau \lambda$ έρχόμενα). The article, omitted by A. V., is important. The meaning is not, He will show you some things to come, but the things that are to come, or the things that are coming. These things are whatsoever He shall hear. The phrase occurs only here in the New Testament.

- 14. Shall receive (λήψεται). Rev., take. See on iii. 32.
- 15. All things that (πάντα ὅσα). Lit., all things as many as. Rev., all things whatsoever.

Shall take (λήψεται). The best texts read λαμβάνει, taketh. The relation between the Son and the Spirit is put by Jesus as present and constant.

16. Ye shall not see (οὐ θεωρεῖτε). The present tense: "ye behold me no more." So Rev.

Ve shall see (ὄψεσθε). A different verb for seeing is used here. For the distinction, see on i. 18. Θεωρέω emphasizes the act of vision, ὁράω, the result. Θεωρέω denotes deliberate contemplation conjoined with mental or spiritual interest. "The vision of wondering contemplation, in which they observed little by little the outward manifestation of the Lord, was changed and transfigured into sight, in which they seized at once, intuitively, all that Christ was. As long as His earthly presence was the object on which their eyes were fixed, their view was necessarily imperfect. His glorified presence showed Him in His true nature" (Westcott).

Because I go unto the Father. The best texts omit.

- 17. Then (οδυ). Rev., correctly, therefore. It is a particle of logical connection, not of time.
- 18. He saith (λέγει). Emphasizing the *purport* of the saying.

A little while $(\tau \delta \mu \kappa \rho \delta \nu)$. In vv. 16, 17, without the article. Here the article the or this little while defines the special point of their difficulty; this "little while" of which He speaks.

We cannot tell (οὖκ οἴδαμεν). Rev., more simply and literally, we know not.

He saith $(\lambda a \lambda \epsilon \hat{i})$. Emphasizing the form of the saying.

- 19. Knew (ἔγνω). Better, Rev., perceived. See on ii. 24.
- 20. Weep—lament—be sorrowful (κλαύσετε—βρηνήσετε λυπηθήσεσθε). Of these three words, the last is the most general in meaning, expressing every species of pain, of body or of soul, and not necessarily the outward manifestation of sorrow. Both the other words denote audible expressions of grief. Θρηνέω marks the more formal expression. It means to utter a dirge over the dead. Thus Homer, of the mourning over Hector in Troy:
 - "On a fair couch they laid the corse, and placed Singers beside it, leaders of the dirge (δρήνων),
 Who sang (ἐδρήνεον) a sorrowful, lamenting strain,
 And all the women answered it with sobs."
 "Iliad," xxiv., 720-722.

The verb occurs Matt. xi. 17; Luke vii. 32; xxiii. 27. Κλαίω means audible weeping, the crying of children, as distinguished from δακρύω, to shed tears, to weep silently, which occurs but once in the New Testament, of Jesus' weeping (John xi. 35). See on Luke vii. 32.

21. A woman ($\dot{\eta} \gamma \nu \nu \dot{\eta}$). Lit., the woman. The generic article marking the woman as representing her sex: woman as such.

She is in travail. A common Old Testament image of sorrow issuing in joy. See Isa. xxi. 3; xxvi. 17; lxvi. 7; Hos. xiii. 13; Mic. iv. 9, 10.

The anguish (τη̂ς 9λίψεως). Commonly rendered affliction or tribulation in A. V. See on Matt. xiii. 21.

Joy $(\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \chi a \rho \dot{\alpha} \nu)$. Properly, the joy which answers to the anguish.

A man $(a\nu \vartheta \rho \omega \pi \sigma s)$. See on i. 30.

- 22. Have sorrow (λύπην ἔχετε). This form of expression occurs frequently in the New Testament, to denote the possession or experience of virtues, sensations, desires, emotions, intellectnal or spiritual faculties, faults, or defects. It is stronger than the verb which expresses any one of these. For instance, to have faith is stronger than to believe: to have life, than the act of living. It expresses a distinct, personal realization of the virtue or fault or sentiment in question. Hence, to have sorrow is more than to be sorrowful. In Matt. xvii. 20, Christ does not say if ye believe, but if ye have faith; if faith, in ever so small a degree, is possessed by you as a conscions, living principle and motive. Compare have love (xiii. 35; 1 John iv. 16); have peace (xvi. 33); have trust (2 Cor. iii. 4); have boldness (Heb. x. 19; 1 John ii. 28).
- 23. Ve shall ask (ἐρωτήσετε). Or, as Rev., in margin, ask—question. To question is the primary meaning of the verb, from which it runs into the more general sense of request, beseech. So Mark vii. 26; Luke iv. 38; John xvii. 15, etc. Here the meaning is, ye shall ask me no question (compare ver. 19, where the same verb is used). Compare Matt. xvi. 13; xxi. 24; John i. 19. Ask, absolutely, Luke xxii. 68. Note, moreover, the selection of the word here as marking the asking on

familiar terms. See on xi. 22. Another verb for ask occurs in the following sentence: "If ye shall ask (αἰτήστητε) anything," etc. Here the sense is, if ye shall make any request. Compare Matt. v. 42; vii. 7, 9, 10, etc. Note, also, that this word for asking the Father marks the asking of an inferior from a superior, and is the word which Christ never uses of His own requests to the Father. Compare 1 John iii. 22.

Verily, verily. See on i. 51; x. 1.

Whatsoever ye shall ask—in my name—give. The best texts change $\delta\sigma a$ ∂v , whatsoever, to $\partial v \tau \iota$, if (ye shall ask) anything; and place in my name after give it you. So Rev. If ye shall ask anything of the Father, He will give it you in my name. Not only is the prayer offered, but the answer is given in Christ's name.

24. Ask (αἰτεῖτε). The present imperative, implying continuous asking. Be asking. Compare Mark vi. 22, αἴτησον, the aorist imperative, marking a single, definite petition.

May be full († πεπληρωμένη). Very literally, may be having been fulfilled. Rev., more correctly, fulfilled. Compare xv. 11.

25. Proverbs (παροιμίαις). See on parables, Matt. xiii. 3. He had spoken under figures, as the vine, and the woman in travail.

Shall shew $(\dot{\alpha}\nu\alpha\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda\hat{\omega})$. Rev., tell. See on ver. 13. The best texts read $\dot{\alpha}\pi\alpha\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda\hat{\omega}$, the original force of which is to bring tidings from $(\dot{\alpha}\pi\dot{\omega})$ something or some one.

Plainly $(\pi a \dot{\rho} \dot{\rho} \eta \sigma l \dot{q})$. See on vii. 13.

26. Ve shall ask—I will pray. Note again the use of the two verbs for asking. Ye shall ask (alτήσεσ ϑ ε); I will pray (ἐρωτήσω). See on ver. 23.

27. Loveth ($\phi i \lambda \epsilon \hat{i}$). As sons, with the love of natural affection. See on v. 20. The same verb in the following clause, of the love of the disciples for Christ.

From God. Some editors read, from the Father. Παρά, from beside.

28. From the Father ($\pi a \rho \acute{a}$). The best texts read, $\acute{\epsilon} \kappa$, out of:

Go (πορεύομαι). See on ver. 7.

- 29. Speakest—speakest (λαλείς—λέγεις). The first, of the form; the second, of the purport. See on ver. 18.
 - 30. We are sure (o'loa $\mu\epsilon\nu$). Better, as Rev., we know.

By this (ἐν τούτφ). Lit., in this. Compare 1 John ii. 3, 5; iii. 16, 19, 24; iv. 9, 10, 13, 17; v. 2.

- 31. Now $(\ddot{a}\rho\tau\iota)$. See on xiii. 33. With reference to the coming time of greater trial.
- 32. That (wa). See on ver 2, and xv. 12. In the divine counsel the hour cometh that ye may be scattered, and may leave, etc.

To his own (εἰς τὰ ἴδια). To his own home. See on i. 11.

33. Ye shall have (ἔξετε). The best texts read, ἔχετε, ye have.

Be of good cheer (βαρσείτε). Only here in John.

I have overcome (νευlκηκα). The verb occurs only three times outside of John's writings. Only here in the Gospel, and frequently in First Epistle and Apocalypse. Uniformly of spiritual victory.

CHAPTER XVII.

THE HIGH-PRIESTLY PRAYER.

"Out of Christ's divinely rich prayer-life there emerge, as from an ocean, the pearls of those single prayers of His that are preserved to us; the prayer given in the Sermon on the Mount for the use of His people—Our Father; the ascription of praise to God at the departure from Galilee (Matt. xi. 25); the prayers at the grave of Lazarus, and within the precincts of the temple; our high-priestly prayer; the supplication in Gethsemane, and the prayer-words of the Crucified One—Father, forgive them-Eli, Eli,—and the closing prayer, Father, into thy hands, etc., to which the exultant cry, It is finished, attaches itself, inasmuch as from one point of view, it may be regarded as a word of prayer. Add to these the mentions of the prayings, the thanksgivings, the heavenward sighings of Christ. as also His summonses and encouragements to prayer, and He appears as the Prince of humanity even in the realm of prayer; in the manner, likewise, in which He has concealed His prayerlife, exhibiting it only as there was necessity for its present-If we regard His work as a tree that towers into heaven and overshadows the world, His prayer-life is the root of this tree; His overcoming of the world rests upon the infinite depth of His self-presentation before God, His self-devotion to God, His self-immersion in God, His self-certitude and power from God. In His prayer-life the perfect truth of His human nature has also approved itself. The same who, as the Son of God, is complete revelation, is, as the Son of Man, complete religion" (Lange).

In the "Lord's Prayer" (Matt. vi.) Christ sets forth what His disciples should desire for themselves. In this prayer He indicates what He desires for them. It is interesting to study the forms in which the ideas of the Lord's Prayer are reproduced and developed in this.

1. These words (ταῦτα). Lit., these things. So Rev.

Said. John nowhere says that Jesus prayed, as the other Evangelists do.

Thy Son—thy Son (σοῦ τὸν νίὸν—ὁ νίὸς). Properly, thy Son—the Son. The second phrase marks a change from the thought of personal relationship to that of the relation in which Jesus manifests the Father's glory.

2. Power (ἐξουσίαν). Rev., rightly, authority. See on i. 12.

All flesh. A Hebrew phrase, denoting the whole of humanity in its imperfection. See Gen. vi. 12; Ps. lxv. 2; Isa. xl. 5, etc.

That He should give eternal life to as many as Thou hast given Him (ἵνα πᾶν ὁ δέδωκας αὐτῷ, δώση αὐτοῖς ζωὴν αἰώνιον). Lit., that all that Thou hast given Him, to them He should give eternal life. All (πᾶν), singular number, regards the body of Christian disciples collectively: to them, individually.

3. Life eternal. With the article: the life eternal. Defining the words in the previous verse. The life eternal (of which I spoke) is this.

That (wa). Expressing the aim.

Might know (γινώσκωσι). Might recognize or perceive. This is striking, that eternal life consists in knowledge, or rather the pursuit of knowledge, since the present tense marks a continuance, a progressive perception of God in Christ. That they might learn to know. Compare ver. 23; x. 38; 1 John v. 20; iv. 7, 8.

"I say, the acknowledgment of God in Christ Accepted by thy reason, solves for thee All questions in the earth and out of it, And has so far advanced thee to be wise. Wouldst thou improve this to re-prove the proved? In life's mere minute, with power to use that proof, Leave knowledge and revert to how it sprung?
Thou hast it; use it, and forthwith, or die.
For this I say is death, and the sole death,
When a mau's loss comes to him from his gain,
Darkness from light, from knowledge ignorance,
And lack of love from love made manifest."
Robert Browning, "A Death in the Desert."

The relation of perception of God to character is stated in 1 John iii. 2, on which see note.

True $(\partial_{\lambda}\eta \vartheta_{\nu} \partial_{\nu})$. See on i. 9. Compare 1 Cor. viii. 4; 1 Tim. vi. 15.

Jesus Christ, whom Thou hast sent. The Rev. brings out better the emphasis of the Greek order: and Him whom Thou didst send, even Jesus Christ. Didst send (ἀπέστειλας). The aorist tense, emphasizing the historic fact of Christ's mission.

4. I have glorified—I have finished (ἐδόξασα—ἐτελείωσα). The best texts read, τελειώσας, having finished; the participle defining the manner in which He had glorified the Father upon earth. So Rev.

To do (ἵνα ποιήσω). Lit., in order that I should do (it).

- 5. With Thyself $(\pi \alpha \rho \hat{\alpha} \ \sigma \epsilon \alpha \nu \tau \hat{\varphi})$. In fellowship with Thyself. So with Thee $(\pi \alpha \rho \hat{\alpha} \ \sigma o i)$.
 - I had. Actually possessed.
- 8. The words (τὰ ῥήματα). Compare thy word (λόγον), ver. 6. That signified the gospel message in its entirety. This, the message considered in its constituent parts. See on Luke i. 37. Compare v. 38, 47; vi. 60, 63, 68; viii. 43, 47, 51; xii. 47, 48; xv. 3, 7.
- 9. I pray $(\hat{\epsilon}\rho\omega\tau\hat{\omega})$. More strictly, *I make request*. See on xvi. 23. The *I* is emphatic, as throughout the prayer.

- 10. All mine (τὰ ἐμὰ πάντα). All things that are mine. So Rev.
- 11. I come (ἔρχομαι). I am coming. Spoken of His departure to the Father.

Holy (ἄγιε). See on saints, Acts xxvi. 10; also on 1 Pet. i. 15. Compare 1 John ii. 20, and righteous Father (δίκαιε), ver. 25. This epithet, now first applied to the Father, contemplates God, the holy One, as the agent of that which Christ desires for His disciples—holiness of heart and life; being kept from this evil world.

Those whom (obs). The correct reading is ϕ , referring to name. Thy name which Thou hast given me. So in ver. 12. Compare Philip. ii. 9, 10; Apoc. ii. 17; xix. 12; xxii. 4.

- 12. In the world. Omit.
- I kept $(\tilde{\epsilon}\tau\tilde{\eta}\rho\sigma\nu)$. Imperfect tense. I continued to keep. The I is emphatic: I kept them, now do Thou keep them.
- I kept (ἐφύλαξα). Rev., rightly, I guarded. The A. V. overlooks the distinction between the two words for keeping. The former word means, I preserved them; the latter, I guarded them as a means to their preservation. See on reserved, 1 Pet. i. 4.

Is lost—perdition (ἀπώλετο—ἀπωλείας). A play of words: "None of them perished, but the son of perishing" (Westcott).

The scripture $(\dot{\eta} \gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta})$. See close of note on v. 47, and on Mark xii. 10.

15. From the evil (τοῦ πονηροῦ). Or, the evil one. This rendering is according to John's usage. See 1 John ii. 13, 14; iii. 12; v. 18, 19; and compare xii. 31; xiv. 30; xvi. 11. From (ἐκ), lit., out of, means out of the hands of.

17. Sanctify (ἀγίασον). Constantly used in the Septuagint to express the entire dedication and consecration of both persons and things to God. See Exod. xxix. 1, 36; xl. 13; Lev. xxii. 2, 3. Rev., in margin, consecrate. See on x. 36.

Through thy truth ($\vec{\epsilon}\nu \tau \hat{\eta}$ $\vec{a}\lambda \eta \Im \epsilon l \vec{a}$ $\sigma o \nu$). The best texts omit thy. Through ($\vec{\epsilon}\nu$) is to be rendered literally, in, marking the sphere or element of consecration. Rev., sanctify them in the truth.

Thy word (ὁ λόγος ὁ σὸς). Properly, the word which is thine. See on xv. 9.

18. Sent (ἀπέστειλας—ἀπέστειλα). On a mission. See on Matt. x. 16.

Sanctify. See on ver. 17.

20. **Shall believe** (πιστευσόντων). The best texts read πιστευόντων, the present participle, that believe. The future body of believers is conceived as actually existing.

On me through their word. The Greek order is, believe through their word on me. "Believe through their word" forms a compound idea.

21. One. Omit.

24. They—whom (ovs). The best texts read δ , that which. The construction is similar to that in ver. 2, "that He should give eternal life," etc. Like $\pi \hat{a} \nu$, all, in that passage, that which here refers to the body of believers taken collectively.

l will (θέλω). See on Matt. i. 19.*

My glory. The glory which is mine.

^{*}Godet says that this expression "is nowhere else found in the mouth of Jesus." But see Matt. viii. 3; Mark xiv. 36; John xxi. 22.

CHAPTER XVIII.

- 1. Compare Matt. xxvi. 30; 36-46; Mark xiv. 26; 32-42; Luke xxii. 39-46.
- 1. Brook (χειμάρρου). From χεῖμα, winter, and ρέω, to flow. Properly, a winter torrent. Only here in the New Testament. Rev., in margin, ravine. In classical Greek it occurs in Demosthenes in the sense of a drain or conduit. It may be taken as equivalent to the Arabic wady, which means a stream and its bed, or properly, the valley of a stream even when the stream is dry.

Kidron (Κέδρων). Which might also be rendered of the cedars, which some editors prefer. There is some uncertainty as to the exact meaning of the word cedar, which occurs frequently, some supposing it to be a general name for the pine family. A tree of dark foliage is mentioned in the Talmud by the name of cedrun. The ravine of Kidron separated the Mount of Olives from the Temple-Mount. Westcott cites from Derenbourg ("On the History and Geography of Palestine") a passage of the Talmud to the effect that on the Mount of Olives there were two cedars, under one of which were four shops for the sale of objects legally pure; and that in one of them pigeons enough were sold for the sacrifices of all Israel. He adds: "Even the mention of Kidron by the secondary and popular name of 'the ravine of the cedars' may contain an allusion to a scandal felt as a grievous burden at the time when the priests gained wealth by the sale of victims by the two cedars." The Kidron is the brook over which David passed, barefoot and weeping, when fleeing from Absalom (2 Sam. xv. 23-30). There King Asa burned the obscene idol of his mother (1 Kings xv. 13). It was the receptacle for the impurities and abominations of idol-worship, when removed from the temple by the adherents of Jehovah (2 Chron. xxix. 16); and, in the time of Josiah, was the common cemetery of the

city (2 Kings xxiii. 6). In the vision of Ezekiel (xlvii. 5, 6, 7) he goes round to the eastern gate of the temple, overhanging the defile of Kidron, and sees the waters rushing down into the valley until the stream becomes a mighty river.

A garden. Neither John nor Luke give the name Geth-

- 2-12. Compare Matt. xxvi. 47-56; Mark xvi. 43-52; Luke xxii. 47-53.
- 2. Which betrayed (ὁ παραδιδούς). The present participle, marking the betrayal as in progress. Lit., who is betraying.

Resorted $(\sigma vv\eta\chi \Im \eta)$. Lit., assembled. The items of this verse are peculiar to John.

3. A band $(\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \ \sigma \pi \epsilon \hat{\nu} \rho a \nu)$. Properly, the band. See on Mark xv. 16; also on centurion, Luke vii. 2; and Acts xxi. 31. The band, or cohort, was from the Roman garrison in the tower of Antonia.

Officers ($i\pi\eta\rho\epsilon\tau as$). See on Matt. v. 25. Sent from the Sanhedrim. The temple police. The Synoptists speak of the body which arrested Jesus as $\ddot{o}\chi\lambda\sigma$, a multitude or rabble; but both Matthew and Mark mention the band $(\sigma\pi\epsilon\hat{i}\rho a)$ later in the narrative (Matt. xxvii. 27; Mark xv. 16).

Lanterns $(\phi a \nu \hat{\omega} \nu)$. Only here in the New Testament. A detail peculiar to John. Though it was full moon, it was feared that Jesus might hide and escape.

- 4. That should come (τὰ ἐρχόμενα). Lit., that are coming. The details in 4–9 are peculiar to John.
 - 5. Of Nazareth (τὸν Ναζωραῖον). Lit., the Nazarene.

Stood (είστήκει). Imperfect tense. Rev., correctly, was standing.

8. These. The disciples.

Go their way (ὑπάγειν). Withdraw.

10. Simon Peter. The names of Simon Peter and Malchus are mentioned only by John in connection with this incident. The incident itself is related by all the Evangelists.

A sword. Contrary to the rule which forbade the carrying of weapons on a feast-day.

The high-priest's servant. See on Matt. xxvi. 51.

Right ear. Luke and John. The others do not specify which ear. For ear John and Mark have ἀτάριον, a diminutive; Luke, οὖς, and Matthew, ἀτίον, a diminutive in form, but not in force. See on Matt. xxvi. 51.

11. Thy sword. Omit thy, and read, the sword.

Sheath $(9\eta\kappa\eta\nu)$. Only here in the New Testament. From $\tau i 9\eta\mu\iota$, to put. That into which the sword is put.

The cup. Compare Matt. xxvi. 39; Mark xiv. 36; Luke xxii. 42. Peculiar to John.

12. The captain (χιλίαρχος). See on Mark vi. 21, and on centurion, Luke vii. 2.

Took (συνέλαβον). Rev., better, seized. It is the technical word for arresting. Lit., took with them, of which there is a suggestion in the modern policeman's phrase, go along with me. Compare Luke xxii. 54.

- 13-18. Compare Matt. xxvi. 57, 58; 69-75; Mark xiv. 53, 54; 66-72; Luke xxii. 54-62.
- 13. Annas first. This supplies the detail of an examination preliminary to that before the high-priest, which is omitted by the Synoptists.

Father-in-law (πενθερὸς). Only here in the New Testament.

That same year. See on xi. 49.

15. Followed (ἡκολούθει). Imperfect, was following.

The other disciple. The correct reading omits the article. Another. Probably John himself.

Palace (αὐλὴν). Not palace, but court, as Rev. See on Matt. xxvi. 3; Luke xi. 21.

16. Stood. Properly, was standing.

Door. The door opening from the street into the court.

Her that kept the door $(\tau \hat{\eta} \vartheta \nu \rho \omega \rho \hat{\omega})$. See on x. 3.

17. The damsel ($\dot{\eta}$ $\pi a \iota \delta i \sigma \kappa \eta$). See on Acts xii. 13.

Art thou $(\mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \dot{v})$. The question is put in a negative form, as if expecting a negative answer: thou art not, art thou?

Also. Showing that she recognized John as a disciple.

18. **Stood.** It is discouraging to see how the A. V. habitually ignores the imperfect tense, and thus detracts from the liveliness of the narrative. Render, as Rev., were standing.

Fire of coals $(\partial \nu \partial \rho a \kappa i \partial \nu)$. Only here and xxi. 9. Matthew does not mention the fire. Mark has $\tau \partial \phi \partial s$, strictly, the light of the fire. Luke says they had kindled a fire $(\pi \hat{\nu} \rho)$.

Warmed. Rev., correctly, were warming. So, ver. 25, was standing and was warming, for stood and warmed.

19-24. Compare Matt. xxvi. 59-68; Mark xiv. 55-65; Luke xxii. 63-71.

19. Asked (ἠρώτησεν). Or, questioned.

Doctrine $(\delta \iota \delta a \chi \hat{\eta} s)$. Rev., better, teaching.

20. In the synagogue ($\partial v \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma v v a \gamma \omega \gamma \hat{\eta}$). The best texts omit the article. Render, in synagogue: when the people were assembled. Like our phrase, in church.

Always resort (πάντοτε συνέρχονται). For πάντοτε always, read πάντες all. Συνέρχονται is rather come together, assemble. Rev., where all the Jews come together.

22. Struck—with the palm of his hand (ἔδωκε ῥάπισμα). Lit., gave a blow. Interpreters differ as to whether it was a blow with a rod, or with the hand. The kindred verb ραπίζω, from ραπίς, α rod, is etymologically related to ραβδίζω, from ράβδος, a rod, and occurs Matt. v. 39, of smiting on the cheek, and Matt. xxvi. 67, where it is distinguished from κολαφίζω, to strike with the fist. This latter passage, however, leaves the question open, since, if the meaning to smite with a rod can be defended, there is nothing to prevent its being understood there in that sense. The earlier meaning of the word was, undoubtedly, according to its etymology, to smite with a rod. So Herodotus of Xerxes. "It is certain that he commanded those who scourged (ραπίζοντας) the waters (of the Hellespont) to utter, as they lashed them, these barbarian and wicked words" (vii., 35). And again: "The Corinthian captain, Adeimantus, observed, 'Themistocles, at the games they who start too soon are scourged (ραπίζονται)'" (viii., 59). It passes, in classical Greek, from this meaning to that of a light blow with the hand. The grammarian Phrynichus (A.D. 180) condemns the use of the word in the sense of striking with the hand, or slapping, as not according to good Attic usage, and says that the proper expression for a blow on the cheek with the open hand is ἐπὶ κόρρης πατάξαι. This shows that the un-Attic phrase had crept into use. In the Septuagint the word is clearly used in the sense of a blow with the hand. See Isa. l. 6: "I gave my cheeks to blows (εἰς ῥαπίσματα). Hos. xi. 4, "As a man that smiteth (ραπίζων) upon his cheeks" (A. V. and Rev., that take off the yoke on their jaws). In 1 Kings xxii. 24, we read,

"Zedekiah—smote Micaiah on the cheek (ἐπάταξε ἐπὶ τὴν σιαγόνα)." The word in ver. 23, δέρεις, lit., flayest, hence, to beat or
thrash (compare Luke xii. 47), seems better to suit the meaning
strike with a rod; yet in 2 Cor. xi. 20, that verb is used of
smiting in the face (εἰς πρόσωπον δέρει), and in 1 Cor. ix. 27,
where Paul is using the figure of a boxer, he says, "So fight I (πυκτεύω, of boxing, or fighting with the fists), not as one
that beateth (δέρων) the air." These examples practically destroy the force of the argument from δέρεις. It is impossible
to settle the point conclusively; but, on the whole, it seems as
well to retain the rendering of the A. V. and Rev.*

24. Annas had sent (ἀπέστειλεν ὁ "Αννας). The best texts insert οὖν, therefore. The rendering of the aorist by the pluperfect here is inadmissible, and is a device to bring this examination of Jesus into harmony with that described in Matt. xxvi. 56-68, and to escape the apparent inconsistency between the mention of the high-priest (Caiaphas) as conducting this examination and the statement of ver. 13, which implies that this was merely a preliminary examination before Annas. Render, Annus therefore sent him.

Bound. Probably He had been unbound during His examination.

27. The cock crew. The Greek has not the definite article. See on Matt. xxvi. 34. The use of the article would seem to mark the time, cock-crowing, rather than the incident.

28-38. Compare Matt. xxvii. 1, 2; 11-14; Mark xv. 1-5; Luke xxiii. 1-5.

28. Led (ἄγουσω). Present tense, lead.

Hall of judgment (πραιτώριον). A Latin word, prætorium, transcribed. Originally, the general's tent. In the Roman prov-

^{*} Mr. Field's remark ("Otium Norvicense") that it is improbable that the word would continue to be used in the older sense (rod) after it had acquired the later meaning (hand), can hardly be called conclusive.

inces it was the name for the official residence of the Roman governor, as here. Compare Acts xxiii. 35. It came to be applied to any spacious villa or palace. So Juvenal: "To their crimes they are indebted for their gardens, palaces (prætoria), etc." ("Sat.," i., 75). In Rome the term was applied to the prætorian guard, or imperial body-guard. See on Philip. i. 13. Rev., palace.

Early $(\pi\rho\omega t)$. Used technically of the fourth watch, 3-6 A.M. See Mark xiii. 35. The Sanhedrim could not hold a legal meeting, especially in capital cases, before sunrise; and in such cases judicial proceedings must be conducted and terminated by day. A condemnation to death, at night, was technically illegal. In capital cases, sentence of condemnation could not be legally pronounced on the day of trial. If the night proceedings were merely preliminary to a formal trial, they would have no validity; if formal, they were, ipso facto, illegal. In neither case was the law observed in reference to the second council. According to the Hebrew computation of time, it was held on the same day.

Be defiled (μιανθώσιν). Originally, to stain, as with color. So Homer: "Tinges (μιήνη) the white ivory with purple." Not necessarily, therefore, in a bad sense, like μολύνω, to besmear or besmirch with filth (1 Cor. viii. 7; Apoc. iii. 4). In classical Greek, μιαίνω, the verb here used, is the standing word for profaning or unhallowing. So Sophocles:

"Not even fearing this pollution (μlασμα) dire,
Will I consent to burial. Well I know
That man is powerless to pollute (μιαίνειν) the gods."

"Antigone," 1042-1044.

And Plato: "And if a homicide . . . without purification pollutes the agora, or the games, or the temples," etc. ("Laws," 868). See on 1 Pet. i. 4. The defilement in the present case was apprehended from entering a house from which all leaven had not been removed.

Eat the Passover. The purpose of this work forbids our entering upon the much-vexed question of the apparent inconsistency between John and the Synoptists as to the time of celebrating the Passover.

29. Pilate. Note the abruptness with which he is introduced as one well known. Two derivations of the name are given. Pilatus, one armed with the pilum or javelin, like Torquatus, one adorned with a collar (torques). Or, a contraction from Pileatus, wearing the pileus or cap, which was the badge of manunitted slaves. Hence some have supposed that he was a freedman. Tacitus refers to him as connected with Christ's death. "The author of that name (Christian), or sect, was Christ, who was capitally punished in the reign of Tiberins, by Pontius Pilate" ("Annals," xv., 44). He was the sixth Roman procurator of Judæa.

What accusation. Not implying Pilate's ignorance of the charge, but his demand for the formal accusation.

- 30. Malefactor (κακοποιὸς). Rev., evil-doer. From κακὸν, evil, and ποιέω, to do. Luke uses a different word, κακοῦργος, from κακὸν, evil, and ἔργω, to work. See on 1 Pet. ii. 12.
- 31. Take ye him (λάβετε αὐτὸν ὑμεῖς). The A. V. obscures the emphatic force of ὑμεῖς, you. Pilate's words display great practical shrewdness in forcing the Jews to commit themselves to the admission that they desired Christ's death. "Take him yourselves (so Rev.), and judge him according to your law." "By our law," reply the Jews, "he ought to die." But this penalty they could not inflict. "It is not lawful," etc.
- 32. By what death $(\pi o l \varphi \ Sav \acute{a} \tau \varphi)$. More correctly, by what manner of death. So Rev. Compare xii. 32; Matt. xx. 19. Crucifixion was not a Jewish punishment.
- 33. Art thou $(\sigma \dot{v} \epsilon i)$. Thou is emphatic. Thou, the despised malefactor.

- King of the Jews. The civil title. The theocratic title, king of Israel (i. 49; xii. 13) is addressed to Jesus on the cross (Matt. xxvii. 42; Mark xv. 32) in mockery.
- 35. Am I a Jew? As if Jesus' question implied that Pilate had been taking counsel with the Jews.
- 36. Servants (ὑπηρέται). Only in this passage in the Gospels, of Christians. Compare Acts xiii. 5; 1 Cor. iv. 1. Corresponding with Christ as a king.
- Fight (ἢγωνίζοντο). The imperfect tense, denoting action in progress: would now be striving.
- 37. Art thou then (οὐκοῦν εἶ σύ). The interrogative particle οὐκοῦν, not therefore, occurs only here in the New Testament. It is ironical. In ver. 33 the emphasis is on thou: here upon king. So then, after all, thou art a king.
- Was I born—came I (γεγέννημαι—ἐλήλυθα). Both perfects. Have I been born—am I come. So Rev. The Greek order is I for this have been born, etc., throwing the emphasis on Christ's person and destiny. The perfect describes His birth and coming not merely as historical facts, but as abiding in their results. Compare this confession before Pilate (1 Tim. vi. 13) with the corresponding confession before the high-priest (Matt. xxvi. 64). "The one, addressed to the Jews, is framed in the language of prophecy; the other, addressed to a Roman, appeals to the universal testimony of conscience. The one speaks of a future manifestation of glory, the other speaks of a present manifestation of truth. The one looks forward to the Return, the other looks backward to the Incarnation" (Westcott).
- Of the truth ($\frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial n}$ $\frac{\partial n}{\partial n}$ $\frac{\partial n}{\partial n}$ Lit., out of: sprung from: whose life and words issue from the truth. See on xiv. 6, and compare viii. 47.
- 38. Truth. Not with the article as in the previous verse, the truth. Jesus meant the absolute truth: Pilate, truth in any

particular case. "Pilate's exclamation is neither the expression of an ardent thirst for truth, nor that of the despair of a soul which has long sought it in vain; it is the profession of a frivolous scepticism, such as is frequently met with in the man of the world, and especially in the statesman" (Godet).

Fault (airiar). Properly, cause of accusation. Rev., crime. See on Matt. xxvii. 37, and compare on Matt. xix. 10.

- 39, 40. Compare Matt. xxvii. 15-26; Mark vi. 15.
- 39. Ye have a custom. The word συνήθεια, custom, originally means intimacy, habitual intercourse, and thence naturally passes into the meaning of habit or custom. Only John puts the statement of this custom into the mouth of Pilate. Matthew and Mark relate it as a fact.
- At the Passover ($\partial \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \acute{a} \sigma \chi a$). More specific than Mathew and Mark, where the expression is general, $\kappa a \tau \grave{a} \delta o \rho \tau \acute{\eta} \nu$, at feast-time.
- 40. Cried (ἐκραύγασαν). Peculiarly of a loud, importunate cry; a shout. Plato uses it of the howling of a dog: "The yelping hound, howling (κραυγάζουσα) at her lord" ("Republic," 607). Others, of the cries of spectators in the theatres and of the croak of a rayen. See on Matt. xv. 22.
- Again. Assuming John's recollection of a previous "crying out," which he has not recorded.

Robber (ληστής). See on Matt. xxvi. 55; Mark xi. 17; Luke x. 30. Matthew calls him a "notable prisoner" (xxvii. 16). Mark states that he had made insurrection, and had committed murder (xv. 7), speaking of the insurrection as a well-known event. Luke says, "for some insurrection (στάσιν τινὰ) that had arisen in the city, and for murder" (xxiii. 19). Writing for Gentiles, Luke would not refer to the event as something familiar. Bandits of this kind were numerous in the neighborhood of Jerusalem under the Roman dominion. Their leaders

were well known. Josephus describes them by the same word which Matthew uses, ἐπίσημοι, notable. Their depredations were often committed under patriotic pretences, so that Barabbas might have had influential friends among the people.

CHAPTER XIX.

- 1-3. Compare Matt. xxvii. 26-30; Mark xv. 15-19.
- 1. Scourged (ἐμαστίγωσεν). Matthew and Mark use the Greek form of the Latin word flagellare, φραγελλόω, which occurs only in those two instances in the New Testament. John uses the more common Greek word, though he has φραγελλίον (flagellum), scourge, at ii. 15. Matthew and Mark. however, both use μαστυγόω elsewhere (Matt. x. 17; xx. 29; Mark x. 34). Its kindred noun, μάστιξ, occurs several times in the metaphorical sense of a plague. See on Mark iii. 10, and compare Mark v. 29, 34; Luke vii. 21. The verb is used metaphorically only once, Heb. xii. 6. Scourging was the legal preliminary to crucifixion, but, in this case, was inflicted illegally before the sentence of crucifixion was pronounced, with a view of averting the extreme punishment, and of satisfying the Jews. (Luke xxiii. 22). The punishment was horrible, the victim being bound to a low pillar or stake, and beaten, either with rods, or, in the case of slaves and provincials, with scourges, called scorpions, leather thongs tipped with leaden balls or sharp spikes. The severity of the infliction in Jesus' case is evident from His inability to bear His cross.
- 2. Crown (στέφανον). So Matthew and Mark. Luke does not mention the crown of thorns. See on 1 Pet. v. 4.
- Of thorns (¿ξ ἀκανθῶν). So Matthew. Mark has ἀκάνθινον, the adjective, made of thorns, which John also uses in xix. 5. All attempts to define the botanical character of the thorns used for Christ's crown are guesses. The word for thorns used here is the only one that occurs in the New Testament; the

σκόλοψ (thorn in the flesh) of 2 Cor. xii. 7, being properly an

impaling-stake.

Both the crowning with thorns and the flagellation are favorite subjects in Christian art. Some of the earliest representations of the latter depict the figure of the Lord as fully draped. and standing unbound at the column, thus illustrating the voluntariness of His sacrifice. In a MS. of the fourteenth century, in the British Museum, He stands, wholly clothed, holding a book in one hand, and blessing with the other. The more devout feeling which predominated in such representations was gradually overpowered by the sense of physical suffering. The earlier paintings represented the back turned toward the spectator, and the face, turned in a forced attitude, exhibited in profile. Later, the face and figure are turned full to the front, and the strokes fall upon the chest. Hence Jerome, in his commentary on Matthew, says that the capacious chest of God (!) was torn with strokes. The standing position is the accepted one, but instances occur in which the Saviour is on the ground attached to the column by one hand. Such is the revolting pictnre by L. Caracci in the Bologna gallery, in which the soldier clutches Jesus by the hair as he plies the bundle of twigs. a Psalter of the fifteenth century the Saviour stands in front of the column, covering His face with His hands.

According to the later type, the moment chosen is when the execution of the sentence is just beginning. One man is binding the hands to the pillar, another is binding together a bundle of loose switches. The German representations are coarser than the Italian, but with more incident. They lack the spiritual feeling which appears in the best Italian specimens.

A field for a higher feeling and for more subtile treatment is opened in the moments succeeding the sconrging. One of the very finest examples of this is the picture of Velasquez, "Christ at the Column," in the National Gallery of London. The real grandeur and pathos of the conception assert themselves above certain prosaic and realistic details. The Saviour sits upon the ground, His arms extended, and leaning backward to the full stretch of the cord which binds His crossed hands. The face is turned over the left shoulder full upon the spectator. Rods,

ropes, and broken twigs lie upon the ground, and slender streams of blood appear upon the body. A guardian angel behind the figure of the Lord, stands bending slightly over a child kneeling with clasped hands, and points to the sufferer, from whose head a ray of light passes to the child's heart. The angel is a Spanish nursery-maid with wings, and the face of the child is of the lower Spanish type, and is in striking contrast with the exquisite countenance of Murillo's Christ-child, which hangs next to this picture, and which is of the sweetest type of Andalusian beauty. The Saviour's face is of a thoroughly manly, indeed, of a robust type, expressing intense suffering, but without contortion. The large, dark eyes are ineffably sad. The strong light on the right arm merges into the deep shadow of the bound hands, and the same shadow falls with startling effect across the full light on the left arm, marked at the wrist by a slight bloody line.

In the portrayal of the crowning with thorns, in a few instances, the moment is chosen after the crown has been placed, the action being in the mock-worship; but the prevailing conception is that of the act of crowning, which consists in pressing the crown upon the brow by means of two long staves. A inagnificent specimen is Luini's fresco in the Ambrosian Library at Milan. Christ sits upon a tribune, clad in a scarlet robe, His face wearing an expression of infinite sweetness and dignity, while a soldier on either side crowds down the crown with a staff. The Italian artists represent the crown as consisting of pliable twigs with small thorns; but the northern artists "have conceived," to quote Mrs. Jameson, "an awful structure of the most unbending, knotted boughs, with tremendous spikes half a foot long, which no human hands could have forced into such a form." In a few later instances the staves are omitted, and the crown is placed on the head by the mailed hand of a soldier.

Put on $(\pi \epsilon \rho i \epsilon \beta a \lambda o \nu)$. Lit., threw about. Rev., arrayed.

Purple (πορφυροῦν). An adjective. Found only here, ver. 5, and Apoc. xviii. 16. Mark uses the noun πορφύρα, purple,

which also occurs in Apoc. xvii. 4; xviii. 12. See on Luke xvi. 19. Matthew has κοκκίνην, scarlet.

Robe ($i\mu\acute{a}\tau\iota o\nu$). Better, as Rev., garment, since robe gives the impression of a trailing garment. See on Matt. v. 40. Matthew has $\chi\lambda a\mu\acute{\nu}\delta a$, a short military cloak (xxviii. 28). Luke describes the garment as $\lambda a\mu\pi\rho\grave{a}\nu$, gorgeous, bright or brilliant (xxiii. 11).

3. And said. Add καὶ ἤρχοντο πρὸς αὐτόν, and kept coming unto Him, before and said or kept saying. The imperfect denotes the successive acts of homage of the soldiers as they came up one after the other.

They smote Him with their hands (ἐδίδουν αὐτῷ ῥαπίσματα). Lit., kept giving Him blows with their hands. See on xviii. 22.

5. Came Jesus forth. From the Prætorium.

Wearing $(\phi o \rho \hat{\omega} \nu)$. Not $\phi \acute{e} \rho \omega \nu$, bearing, but the frequentative form of that verb, denoting an habitual or continuous bearing: hence, wearing, as though it were His natural dress.

6. They cried out. See on xviii. 40.

Crucify. The best texts omit Him.

Take ye Him (λάβετε αὐτὸν ὑμεῖς). According to the Greek order, "take Him ye." Rev., take Him yourselves. See on xviii. 31.

7. We have a law. We, emphatic. Whatever your decision may be, we have a law, etc.

By our law. The best texts omit our: Read by that law, as Rev.

8. The more afraid. "These words of the Jews produced an effect on Pilate for which they were not prepared. The

saying gives strength to a dreadful presentiment which was gradually forming within him. All that he had heard related of the miracles of Jesus, the mysterious character of His person, of His words and of His conduct, the strange message which he had just received from his wife—all is suddenly explained by the term "Son of God." Was this extraordinary man truly a divine being who had appeared on the earth? The truth naturally presents itself to his mind in the form of pagan superstitions and mythological legends" (Godet).

- 11. He that delivered. Caiaphas.
- 12. From thenceforth (ἐκ τούτου). Incorrect. Rev., rightly, upon this.

Sought (ἐζήτει). Imperfect tense. Made repeated attempts.

Cæsar's friend. A title conferred, since the time of Augustus, upon provincial governors. Probably, however, not used by the Jews in this technical sense, but merely as a way of saying "Thou art not true to the emperor."

Cæsar ($\tau \hat{\varphi}$ Kairapi). Lit., the Cæsar. The term, which was at first a proper name, the surname of Julius Cæsar, adopted by Augustus and his successors, became an appellative, appropriated by all the emperors as a title. Thus the emperor at this time was Tiberius Cæsar. A distinction was, however, introduced between this title and that of Augustus, which was first given to Octavianus the first emperor. The title "Augustus" was always reserved for the monarch, while "Cæsar" was more freely communicated to his relations; and from the reign of Hadrian at least (A.D. 117–138) was appropriated to the second person in the state, who was considered as the presumptive heir of the empire.

13. That saying (τοῦτον τὸν λόγον). The best texts read τῶν λόγων τούτων, these words. He was afraid of an accusation at Rome before Tiberius, an accusation which could be justified by his misrule.

Judgment-seat ($\beta \hat{\eta} \mu a \tau o s$). See on Acts vii. 5. The best texts omit the article, which may indicate that the tribunal was an improvised one.

The Pavement (Λιθόστρωτον). From λίθος, stone, and στρωτός, strewn or spread.

Gabbathâ. From the Hebrew gab, "back," and meaning, therefore, a raised place. Thus the Aramaic term is not a translation of the Greek term, which indicates that the place, wherever it was, was distinguished by a mosaic or tesselated pavement. Suetonius relates that Julius Cæsar used to carry about with him on his expeditions a portable tesselated pavement for his tribunal. It is not likely, however, that there is any allusion to such a practice here. Westcott explains Gabbathâ as the ridge of the house.

14. Sixth hour. See on i. 39.

15. They (oi). The best texts read ἐκεῖνοι, those (people). The pronoun of remote reference isolates and sharply distinguishes them from Jesus. See on xiii. 27.

Away with him $(\mathring{a}\rho o\nu)$. Lit., take away.

We have no king but Cæsar. These words, uttered by the chief priests, are very significant. These chief representatives of the theocratic government of Israel thus formally and expressly renounce it, and declare their allegiance to a temporal and pagan power. This utterance is "the formal abdication of the Messianic hope."

- 16-17. Compare Matt. xxvii. 31-34; Mark xv. 20-23; Luke xxiii. 26-33.
- 16. **Delivered.** Luke says, delivered to their will (xxiii. 25). Pilate pronounced no sentence, but disclaimed all responsibility for the act, and delivered Christ up to them (αὐτοῖς), they having invoked the responsibility upon themselves. See Matt. xxvii. 24, 25.

And led Him away. The best texts omit.

17. Bearing (βαστάζων). See on xii. 6; x. 31.

His cross (τὸν σταυρὸν αὐτοῦ). The best texts read αὐτῷ or ἐαυτῷ, "bearing the cross for Himself." John does not mention the impressment of Simon of Cyrene for this service. Compare Matt. xxvii. 32; Mark xv. 21; Luke xxiii. 26.

Skull. See on Matt. xxvii. 33.

18-24. Compare Matt. xxvii. 35-38; Mark xv. 24-28; Luke xxiii. 33, 34, 38.

18. In the midst. All the Synoptists describe the character of the two who were crucified with Jesus. Matthew and Mark, robbers; Luke, malefactors (κακούργους). All three use the phrase, one on the right, the other on the left, and so, substantially, John: on either side one. John says nothing about the character of these two, but simply describes them as two others.

19. Title (τίτλον). Only here and ver. 20, in the New Testament. John uses the technical Roman term titulus, a placard or notice. Used for a bill or notice of sale affixed to a house. Thus Ovid, of a heartless creditor: "She sent our household goods under the placard (sub-titulum);" i.e., put the house and furniture up for sale ("Remedia Amoris," 302). Meaning also the title of a book; an epitaph. Matthew has aiτίαν, accusation; Mark, ἐπυγραφή τῆς aiτίας superscription of the accusation; Luke, ἐπυγραφή superscription. John alone mentions the fact that Pilate wrote the inscription.

Jesus of Nazareth the King of the Jews. The wording of the title is differently given by each Evangelist.

Matthew: This is Jesus the King of the Jews.

Mark: The King of the Jews.

Luke: This is the King of the Jews.

John: Jesus the Nazarene the King of the Jews.

The essential element of the superscription, King of the Jews, is common to all. It expressed, on its face, the main intent of Pilate, which was to cast contempt on the Jews. "In the sense of the man Pilate, it meant: Jesus, the King of the Jewish fanatics, crucified in the midst of Jews, who should all be thus executed. In the sense of the Jews: Jesus, the seditionary, the King of the rebels. In the sense of the political judge: Jesus, for whose accusation the Jews, with their ambiguous accusation, may answer. In the sense of the divine irony which ruled over the expression: Jesus, the Messiah, by the crucifixion become in very truth the King of the people of God" (Lange).

- 20. Hebrew, Greek, Latin. Some editors vary the order. Rev., Latin, Greek. Such inscriptions in different languages Julius Capitolinus, a biographer (A.D. were not uncommon. 320), in his life of the third Gordian, says that the soldiers erected his tomb on the Persian borders, and added an epitaph (titulum) in Latin, Persian, Hebrew, and Egyptian characters. in order that it might be read by all. Hebrew was the national dialect, Latin the official, and Greek the common dialect. As the national Hebrew, King of the Jews was translated into Latin and Greek, so the inscription was prophetic that Christ should pass into civil administration and common speech: that the Hebrew Messiah should become equally the deliverer of Greek and Roman: that as Christ was the real centre of the religious civilization of Judaism, so He should become the real centre of the world's intellectual movement as represented by Greece, and of its legal and material civilization as represented by Rome. The three civilizations which had prepared the way for Christ thus concentrated at His cross. The cross is the real centre of the world's history.
- 21. The chief priests of the Jews. A unique expression, possibly by way of contrast with the King of the Jews.
- 23. Four parts. All the Synoptists relate the parting of the garments. The four pieces to be divided would be; the

head-gear, the sandals, the girdle, and the tallith or square outer garment with fringes. Delitzsch thus describes the dress of our Lord: "On His head He wore a white sudar, fastened under the chin and hanging down from the shoulders behind. Over the tunic which covered the body to the hands and feet, a blue tallith with the blue and white fringes on the four ends, so thrown over and gathered together that the gray, red-striped undergarment was scarcely noticeable, except when the sandal-shod feet came into view" ("A Day in Capernaum").

Coat (χιτῶνα). Or tunic. See on Matt. v. 40.

Without seam (ἄρραφος, or ἄραφος). Only here in the New Testament. From ἀ, not, and ράπτω, to sew together. Like the tunic of the High-Priest. Only John records this detail.

Woven (ὑφαντὸς). Only here in the New Testament.

- 24. Vesture (ἰματισμόν). Clothing, collectively. Rev., garments, for ἰμάτια, is better than raiment, which is collective, while the word is used of the separate pieces of clothing.
 - 25. There stood. Imperfect tense, were standing.

Mary Magdalene. Strictly, the $(\dot{\eta})$ Magdalene. She is introduced abruptly, as well known.

26. Woman. See on ii. 4.

Behold. Canon Westcott remarks upon the four exclamations in this chapter—Behold the man! Behold your King! Behold thy son! Behold thy mother! as a remarkable picture of what Christ is, and what He reveals men to be.

27. His own home (τὰ ἴδια). See on i. 11.

28-30. Compare Matt. xxvii. 45-50; Mark xv. 33-37; Luke xxiii. 44-46.

- 28. Were accomplished (τετέλεσται). Rev., with stricter rendering of the perfect tense, are finished. Finished corresponds better with it is finished, ver. 30. This sentence may be taken with the preceding one, or with that which follows.
 - 29. Vinegar. See on Matt. xxvii. 48.
- Hyssop. Matthew and Mark have καλάμφ, a reed. Luke says merely that they offered Him vinegar. The vinegar mingled with gall (Matt. xxvii. 34), or the wine mingled with myrrh (Mark xv. 23) was offered to Jesus before his crucifixion as a stupefying draught. The hyssop gives a hint of the height of the cross, as the greatest length of the hyssop reed was not more than three or four feet. The vinegar in this case was offered in order to revive Christ. John does not mention the stupefying draught.
- 30. Gave up the ghost (παρέδωκε τὸ πνεῦμα). Rev., his spirit. Matt., ἀφῆκεν, dismissed. Mark, ἐξέπνευσεν, breathed forth (his life). So Luke, who adds, "Father, into thy hands I commend (παρατίθεμαι, see on Luke ix. 16) my spirit."
- 31-42. Compare Matt. xxvii. 57-61; Mark xv. 42-47; Luke xxiii. 50-60.
- 31. The Jews—Sabbath. The Jews, who had so recently asserted their sole allegiance to Cæsar, are now scrupulous about observing the letter of the law.
- 32. Brake the legs. A detail recorded only by John. This crurifragium, leg-breaking, consisted in striking the legs with a heavy mallet in order to expedite death. It was sometimes inflicted as a punishment upon slaves. Some horrible illustrations are furnished by Suetonius, in his lives of Augustus and Tiberius.
- 34. With a spear $(\lambda \delta \gamma \chi \eta)$. Only here in the New Testament. Properly, the head of a spear. So Herodotus, of the Arabians: "They also had spears $(ai\chi\mu\dot{a}s)$ tipped with an antelope's horn

sharpened like a spear-point (λόγχης)" (vii., 96). Used also, as here, for the spear itself.

Pierced (ἔνυξεν). Only here in the New Testament. The question has been raised whether the Evangelist means to describe a gash or a prick. Another verb is rendered pierced in ver. 37, the quotation from Zech. xii. 10, ἐξεκέντησαν, which occurs also at Apoc. i. 7, with reference to Christ's crucifixion, and is used in classical Greek of putting out the eyes, or stabbing, and in the Septuagint of Sanl's request to his armor-bearer: "Draw thy sword and thrust me through therewith" (1 Chron. x. 4). The verb used here, however, νύσσω, is also used to describe severe and deadly wounds, as in Homer:

"As he sprang Into his car, Idomeneus, expert To wield the ponderous javelin, thrust $(\nu i\xi)$ its blade Through his right shoulder. From the car he fell, And the dark night of death came over him."

"Iliad," v., 45-47.

It has been suggested that the body was merely pricked with the spear to ascertain if it were yet alive. There seems, on the whole, no reason for departing from the ordinary understanding of the narrative, that the soldier inflicted a *deep thrust* on the side of Jesus (compare xx. 25, 27); nor is it quite apparent why, as Mr. Field urges, a distinction should be kept up between the two verbs in vv. 34 and 37.*

Blood and water. It has been argued very plausibly that this was a natural phenomenon, the result of a rupture of the

^{*} Mr. Field ("Otium Norvicense") claims that νύσσω, is the milder word, and cites a curious illustration from Plutarch ("Life of Cleomenes"). Cleomenes and his party escape from prison, and endeavor to raise the town and to get possession of the citadel. Failing in this, they resolve upon suicide. It is arranged that one of the number is not to kill himself until he shall be assured that all the rest are dead. When all are stretched on the ground, the survivor goes round and tries each with his dagger (τῷ ξιφιδίφ παραπτόμενοs). When he comes to Cleomenes, he pricks (νύξαs) him on the ankle (παρὰ τὸ σφυρύν), and sees him contract his face.

heart which, it is assumed, was the immediate cause of death, and which was followed by an effusion of blood into the *pericardium*. This blood, separated into its thicker and more liquid parts, flowed forth when the pericardium was pierced by the spear.* I think, however, with Meyer, that John evidently intends to describe the incident as something entirely unexpected and marvellous, and that this explanation better suits the solemn asseveration of ver. 35. That the fact had a symbolic meaning to the Evangelist is evident from 1 John v. 6.

35. He that saw it bare record (ὁ ἐωρακῶς μεμαρτύρηκεν). Rev., rendering the perfect tense in both verbs, he that hath seen hath borne witness. This can refer only to the writer of this Gospel. Compare 1 John i. 1.

True $(\dot{a}\lambda\eta\vartheta\nu\dot{\eta})$. Genuine, according to the true ideal of what testimony should be. See on i. 9.

And he (κακεῖνος). This pronoun is urged by some as a reason for regarding the witness as some other than John, because it is the pronoun of remote reference. But ix. 37 shows clearly that a speaker can use this pronoun of himself; and it is, further, employed in this Gospel to indicate a person "as possessing the quality which is the point in question in an eminent or even exclusive degree" (Godet). See i. 18; v. 39.

True $(\partial \lambda \eta \Im \hat{\eta})$. Lit., true things. As distinguished from false. Thus, by the use of the two words for true, there are brought out, as Westcott remarks, "the two conditions which testimony ought to satisfy; the first, that he who gives it should be competent to speak with authority; and the second, that the account of his experience should be exact."

38. A disciple of Jesus. Matthew calls him a rich man; Mark, an honorable counsellor, i.e., a member of the Sanhedrim; and Luke, a counsellor, good and just.

^{*} See William Stroud, "Physical Theory of the Death of Christ."

Besought (ἠρώτησε). Better, as Rev., asked. See on xi. 22; xvi. 23. Mark adds that he went in boldly, which is suggestive in view of John's statement of his secret discipleship, a fact which is passed over by the Synoptists.

Gave him leave. According to Roman law. Ulpian, a Roman jurist of the third century, says: "The bodies of those who are capitally punished cannot be denied to their relatives. At this day, however, the bodies of those who are executed are buried only in case permission is asked and granted; and sometimes permission is not given, especially in the cases of those who are punished for high treason. The bodies of the executed are to be given for burial to any one who asks for them." Avaricions governors sometimes sold this privilege. Cicero, in one of his orations against Verres, has a terribly graphic passage describing such extortions. After dwelling upon the tortures inflicted upon the condemned, he says: "Yet death is the end. It shall not be. Can cruelty go further? A way shall be found. For the bodies of the beheaded shall be thrown to the beasts. If this is grievous to parents, they may buy the liberty of burial" (v., 45). Compare Matt. xiv. 12; Acts viii. 2.

39. Came Nicodemus—came by night. The contrast is marked between his first and his second coming.

Mixture (μίγμα). Only here in the New Testament. Some authorities read ἔλυγμα, a roll.

Pounds. Roman pounds, of nearly twelve ounces. The large quantity may be explained by the intention of covering the entire body with the preparation, and by the fact that a portion was designed for the couch of the body in the grave. Compare the account of the burial of Asa, 2 Chron. xvi. 14. "Extraordinary reverence in its sorrowful excitement does not easily satisfy itself" (Meyer).

40. Linen cloths (690νίοις). Used only by John, if Luke xxiv. 12 is rejected, as by some editors. The Synoptists all YOL. II.—19

have σινδών, linen cloth. See on Mark xiv. 51. Matthew and Luke have ἐντύλιξεν, rolled or wrapped, and Mark ἐνείλησεν, wound, instead of John's ἔδησαν, bound.

With the spices. Spread over the sheet or bandages in which the body was wrapped.

The manner of the Jews. As contrasted with that of the Egyptians, for instance, which is thus described by Herodotus: "They take first a crooked piece of iron, and with it draw out the brains through the nostrils, thus getting rid of a portion, while the skull is cleared of the rest by rinsing with drngs; next they make a cut along the flank with a sharp Ethiopian stone, and take out the whole contents of the abdomen, which they then cleanse, washing it thoroughly with palm-wine, and again, frequently with an infusion of pounded aromatics. After this they fill the cavity with the purest bruised myrrh, with cassia, and every other sort of spicery except frankincense. and sew up the opening. Then the body is placed in natrum (subcarbonate of soda) for seventy days, and covered entirely After the expiration of that space of time, which must not be exceeded, the body is washed, and wrapped round, from head to foot, with bandages of fine linen cloth, smeared over with gum" (ii., 86). Or, possibly, a contrast may be implied with the Roman custom of burning the bodies of the dead. Tacitus says of the Jews: "The bodies of the deceased they choose rather to bury than burn, following in this the Egyptian custom; with whom also they agree in their attention to the dead " (" History," v., 5).

To bury (ἐνταφιάζειν). Properly, to prepare for burial. See on xii. 7. Compare Septuagint, Gen. l. 2, where the same word is used for *embalming* the body of Joseph.

41. A garden. Mentioned by John only.

New (καινὸν). See on Matt. xxvi. 29. John omits the detail of the tomb being hewn in the rock, which is common to all the Synoptists.

CHAPTER XX.

- 1, 2. Compare Matt. xxviii. 1; Mark xvi. 2-4; Luke xxiv. 1-3.
- 1. First day of the week $(\tau \hat{\eta} \mu \iota \hat{a} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma a \beta \beta \hat{a} \tau \omega \nu)$. The Hebrew idiom, day one of the week. See on Luke iv. 31; Acts xx. 7.
- Dark. Matthew says, as it began to dawn; Mark, when the sun was risen; Luke, very early in the morning, or at deep dawn; see on Luke xxiv. 1.

Taken away (ἠρμένον ἐκ). Lifted out of. All the Synoptists have rolled.

2. Loved $(\epsilon \phi l \lambda \epsilon i)$. The word for personal affection. In xiii. 23; xxi. 7, 20, $\eta \gamma a \pi a$ is used. See on v. 20.

We know not. The plural indicates that Mary was not alone, though she alone is mentioned as coming to the tomb. She may have preceded the others.

- 3. Came to (ἤρχοντο εἰς). Wrong. The tense is the imperfect; they were coming. Rev., they went toward.
- 4. They ran (ἔτρεχον). Still the imperfect, they were running. How much the A. V. loses by its persistent ignoring of the force of this tense.

Did outrun (προέδραμε τάχιον). Lit., ran on in front more quickly. Dante, addressing the spirit of John in Paradise says:

"O holy father, spirit who beholdest
What thou believedst so that thou o'ercamest,
Toward the sepulchre, more youthful feet."
"Paradise," xxiv. 124-126.

5. Stooping down (παρακύψας). See on Jas. i. 25, and compare 1 Pet. i. 12. See also Song of Solomon, ii. 9 (Sept.). "He looketh forth (παρακύπτων) at the windows."

Seeth ($\beta\lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota$). Simple *sight*. Compare the *intent gaze* of Peter ($3\epsilon\omega\rho\epsilon\hat{\iota}$), ver. 6, which discovered the napkin, not seen by John.

7. Napkin (σουδάριον). See on Luke xix. 20.

Wrapped together (ἐντετυλιγμένον). Rev., much better, rolled up. The orderly arrangement of everything in the tomb marks the absence of haste and precipitation in the awakening and rising from the dead.

- 8. **Believed.** This word is explained by what follows. He believed (at length) that Jesus was risen; for up to this time (οὐδέπω) he, with his fellow-disciple (plnral, ἤδεισαν) knew not, etc. The singular number, he believed, as Meyer profoundly remarks, "only satisfies the never-to-be-forgotten personal experience of that moment, though it does not exclude the contemporaneous faith of Peter also." On knew (ἤδεισαν), see on ii. 24.
- 9. The scripture $(\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta} \nu)$. The passage of scripture. See on v. 47. The reference may be to Ps. xvi. 10.

Must. On this necessity attaching in the divine counsel to the sufferings, death, and resurrection of Jesus, see Matt. xxvi. 54; Mark viii. 31; Luke ix. 22; xvii. 25; xxii. 37; xxiv. 7, 26, 44; John iii. 14; xii. 34; Acts i. 16.

- 11-18. Compare Mark xvi. 12, 13; Luke xxiv. 13-35.
- 11. Stood. Imperfect, was standing, or continued standing, after the two apostles had gone away.
 - 12. Seeth (θεωρεί). Rev., beholdeth. See on ver. 5.

Angels. Angels are rarely mentioned in John's narrative. See i. 51; xii. 29; xx. 12.

- 13. She saith. She is so absorbed in her grief and love that she is not appalled by the supernatural manifestation which, under ordinary circumstances, would have terrified her, but enters into conversation as if addressed by a human being.
- 14. Turned herself back. Canon Westcott, with that beautiful subtilty of perception which so eminently characterizes him, remarks: "We can imagine also that she became conscious of another Presence, as we often feel the approach of a visitor without distinctly seeing or hearing him. It may be, too, that the angels, looking toward the Lord, showed some sign of His coming."
- **Saw** ($9\epsilon\omega\rho\epsilon\hat{i}$). Present tense. Rev., beholdeth. She looks at Him steadfastly and inquiringly as at a stranger. The observance of these distinctions between verbs of seeing, is very important to the perception of the more delicate shading of the narrative.

Knew not ($\eta \delta \epsilon \iota$). Indicating a knowledge based on spiritual fellowship and affinity, an inward, conscious, sure conviction of His identity.

- 16. Saith unto Him, Rabboni. Insert, as Rev., after Him, in Hebrew.
- 17. Touch me not $(\mu \acute{\eta} \mu o \~o \~a \pi \tau o v)$. The verb, primarily, means to fasten to. Hence it implies here, not a mere momentary touch, but a clinging to. Mary thought that the old relations between her Lord and herself were to be renewed; that the old intercourse, by means of sight, sound, and touch, would go on as before. Christ says, "the time for this kind of intercourse is over. Henceforth your communion with me will be by faith through the Spirit. This communion will become possible through my ascending to the Father."

My Father. The best texts omit the pronoun and read the Father. See on xii. 26. This expression, emphasizing the re-

lation of God to humanity rather than to Christ himself, is explained by what follows—"my Father and your Father."

My brethren. The word brethren, applied to the disciples, occurs before (vii. 3, 5, 10), but not the phrase my brethren, which follows from my Father and your Father. Compare Matt. xxviii. 10.

I ascend (ἀναβαίνω). The present tense is used, not in the sense of the near future, but implying that He had already entered upon that new stage of being which the actual ascension formally inaugurated. The resurrection was really the beginning of the ascension.

- 18. Came and told (ἔρχεται ἀγγέλλουσα). Lit., cometh telling.
 - 19-23. Compare Mark xvi. 14-18; Luke xxiv. 36-49.
 - 19. Assembled. Omit.
- 21. Hath sent $(\dot{a}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau a\lambda\kappa\epsilon\nu)$. Note the distinction between this verb and that applied to the sending of the disciples $(\pi\dot{\epsilon}\mu-\pi\omega)$. See on i. 6.
- 22. Breathed on them (ἐνεφύσησεν). Only here in the New Testament. The act was symbolic, after the manner of the Hebrew prophets. Compare Ezek. xxxvii. 5.

The Holy Ghost. The article is wanting. The gift bestowed was not that of the personal Holy Spirit, but rather an earnest of that gift; an effusion of the Spirit.

- 23. Remit $(\hat{a}\phi\hat{\eta}\tau\epsilon)$. Only here in this Gospel in connection with *sins*. Often in the Synoptists (Matt. vi. 12; ix. 5; Mark ii. 5; Luke v. 23, etc.).
 - 25. **Print** (τύπον). See on 1 Pet. v. 3.

Put—thrust (βάλω). The same verb in both cases. Hence better, as Rev., put for thrust.

I will not $(o\dot{v} \mu \dot{\eta})$. Double negative: in nowise.

- 26. Then came Jesus. There is no connecting particle, then, and the verb is in the present tense. The abrupt Jesus cometh is more graphic.
- 27. Be not $(\mu \dot{\eta} \gamma l \nu o v)$. Lit., become not. Thomas was in a fair way to become unbelieving, through his doubt of the resurrection.

Faithless—believing (ἄπιστος—πιστός). There is a correspondence of the words here, to which, perhaps, the nearest approach in English is unbelieving, believing.

- 29. Thomas. Omit.
- 31. Are written (γέγραπται). Have been or stand written. The perfect tense. John's intent was to write a gospel rather than a biography.

CHAPTER XXI.

1. Shewed (ἐφανέρωσεν). This rendering might easily convey merely the sense of appearing; but its meaning is much deeper. Occurring frequently in the New Testament, it is used most frequently of God and Christ, or of men in their relation to these. Thus, of Christ in person while upon earth (Mark xvi. 12, 14; John i. 31; ii. 11; 1 Pet. i. 20; 1 John i. 2). Of the works of Christ (John ii. 11; ix. 3; 1 John iii. 5). Of Christ in redemption (1 John iii. 5). Of Christ in His seccond coming (1 John ii. 28). Of Christ in glory (1 John iii. 2; Col. iii. 4). It is used of God. Of His revelation to men of the knowledge of Himself (Rom. i. 19). Of His manifestation in Christ (1 Tim. iii. 16). Of His righteousness (Rom. iii. 21). Of His love (1 John iv. 9). It is used of men. As epistles

manifesting the character and spirit of Christ (2 Cor. iii. 3; v. 11). In the judgment (2 Cor. v. 10). In all these cases the appearing is not merely an appeal to sense, but is addressed to spiritual perception, and contemplates a moral and spiritual effect. It is the setting forth of the law or will or character of God; of the person or work of Christ; of the character or deeds of men, with a view to the disclosure of their quality and to the producing of a moral impression. Rev., manifested.

Sea. See on Matt. iv. 18.

Of Tiberias. Not elsewhere in the Gospels. The Synoptists say, Sea of Galilee or Lake of Gennesaret.

3. A ship $(\tau \delta \pi \lambda o \hat{i} o \nu)$. Rev., the boat; restoring the article, which indicates a familiar implement. See on Luke v. 2.

Immediately. Omit.

That night. The emphatic pronoun that (ἐκείνη) may indicate that their ill success was unusual.

Caught (¿πlaσaν). So ver. 10. The verb means to lay hold of, and is nowhere else used in the New Testament of taking fish. Elsewhere in this Gospel always of the seizure of Christ by the authorities (vii. 30, 32, 44; viii. 20; x. 39; xi. 57). Of apprehending Peter and Paul (Acts xii. 4; 2 Cor. xi. 32). Of the taking of the beast (Apoc. xix. 20). Of taking by the hand (Acts iii. 7).

4. Was come (γενομένης). The best texts read the present participle, γινομένης, is coming. Rev., when day was now breaking. The A. V. does not agree so well with the fact that Jesus was not at once recognized by the disciples, owing in part, perhaps, to the imperfect light.

On the shore (εἰς τὸν αἰγιαλόν). Rev., beach. See on Matt. xiii. 2. The preposition εἰς, to, makes the phrase equivalent to "Jesus came to the beach and stood there."

5. Children ($\pi a \iota \delta (a)$). Or, little children. Used also by John, in address, twice in the First Epistle (ii. 13, 18), where, however, the more common word is $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu la$, little children.

Have ye any meat $(\mu \acute{\eta} \tau \iota \pi \rho o \sigma \phi \acute{\alpha} \gamma \iota o \nu \ e \chi e \tau e)$? The interrogative $\mu \acute{\eta} \tau \iota$ indicates that a negative answer is expected: you have not, I suppose, anything. $\Pi \rho o \sigma \phi \acute{\alpha} \gamma \iota o \nu$ is equivalent to $\delta \psi \acute{\alpha} \rho \iota o \nu$, what is added to bread at a meal, especially fish. See on vi. 9. Only here in the New Testament. Wyc., any supping-thing.*

6. The net (δίκτυον). See on Matt. iv. 18; xiii. 47.

Were not able (οὐκ ἴσχυσαν). See on Luke xiv. 30; xvi. 3; Jas. v. 16.

To draw (ἐλκῦσαι). Into the boat. Compare σύροντες, ver. 8, dragging the net behind the boat.

7. Fisher's coat (ἐπενδύτην). An upper garment or blouse. Only here in the New Testament. In the Septuagint, 1 Sam. xviii. 4, the robe which Jonathan gave to David. 2 Sam. xiii. 18, the royal virgin garment of Tamar. The kindred verb, ἐπενδύομαι, occurs twice (2 Cor. v. 2, 4), meaning "to be clothed upon," with the house which is from heaven, i.e., clothed as with an upper garment. See on that passage.

Naked. Not absolutely, but clothed merely in his undergarment or shirt.

8. A little ship $(\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \lambda o \iota a \rho l \varphi)$. The nonn is diminutive. Rev., the little boat. It is hardly probable that this refers to a smaller boat accompanying the vessel. Compare the alternation of $\pi \lambda o \hat{\iota} o \nu$ and $\pi \lambda o \iota a \rho \iota o \nu$ in vi. 17, 19, 21, 22, 24.

Two hundred cubits. A little over a hundred yards.

^{*} ἔχεις τι, have you anything, is the usual question addressed by a bystander to those employed in fishing or bird-catching. Equivalent to have you had any sport? See Aristophanes, "Clouds," 731.

With fishes $(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu i \chi \Re i \omega \nu)$. Or, the net of the fishes. So Wyc. Rev., full of fishes.

9. They were come to land $(\partial \pi \acute{\epsilon} \beta \eta \sigma a \nu \epsilon i \varsigma \tau \mathring{\eta} \nu \gamma \mathring{\eta} \nu)$. Not of the arrival of the boat, but of the going ashore of the boatmen. Rev., therefore, correctly, they got out upon the land.

A fire of coals. Charcoal. See xviii. 18.

Fish (ὀψάριον). See on vi. 9.

Bread (ἄρτον). Or, a loaf. See on Matt. iv. 1; vii. 9.

10. Of the fish (των οψαρίων). As in ver. 9. Emphasizing the fish as food.

Ye have caught (ἐπιάσατε). See on ver. 3. Bengel says: "By the Lord's gift they had caught them: and yet, He courteously says, that they have caught them."

11. Went up. Into the vessel.

To land $(\hat{\epsilon}\pi\hat{\iota} \tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma \hat{\eta} s)$. Strictly, upon the land.

Great fishes. All authorities agree as to the abundance of fish in the Lake of Galilee. M. Lortet, cited by Dr. Thomson, says that two castings of the net usually filled his boat. Bethsaida (there were two places of that name on the lake) means House of the Fisheries. The fame of the lake in this particular reached back to very early times; so that, according to the Rabbinical legend, one of the ten fundamental laws laid down by Joshua on the division of the country was, that any one might fish with a hook in the Lake of Galilee, so that they did not interfere with the free passage of boats. The Talmud names certain kinds of fish which might be eaten without being cooked, and designates them as small fishes. So οψάρια is rendered in John vi. 9. Possibly the expression great fishes may imply a contrast with the small fishes which swarmed in the lake, and the salting and pickling of which was a special industry among its fishermen.

12. Dine (ἀριστήσατε). Rather, breakfast. In Attic Greek ἄριστον signified the mid-day meal; the evening meal being known as δεῖπνον. The regular hour for the ἄριστον cannot be fixed with precision. The drift of anthority among Greek writers seems to be in favor of noon. The meal described here, however, evidently took place at an earlier hour, and would seem to have answered more nearly to the ἀκρατίσμα, or breakfast of the Greeks, which was taken directly upon rising. Plutarch, however, expressly states that both names were applied to the morning meal, and says of Alexander, "He was accustomed to breakfast (ἡρίστα) at early dawn, sitting, and to sup (ἐδείπνει) late in the evening." In Matthew xxii. 4, it is an ἄριστον to which the king's wedding-guests are invited.

Ask (ἐξετάσαι). Rev., inquire. Implying careful and precise inquiry. It occurs only three times in the New Testament; of Herod's command to search diligently for the infant Christ (Matt. ii. 8), and of the apostles' inquiring out the worthy members of a household (Matt. x. 11).

13. Bread—fish. Both have the article—the loaf, the fish—apparently pointing to the provision which Jesus himself had made.

Giveth them. Nothing is said of His partaking Himself. Compare Luke xxiv. 42, 43.

- 14. The third time. The two former occasions being recorded in xx. 19, 26. The appearance to Mary Magdalene is not counted, because the Evangelist expressly says to His disciples.
- 15. Simon, son of Jonas. Compare Christ's first address to Peter, i. 43. He never addresses him by the name of *Peter*, while that name is commonly used, either alone or with Simon, in the narrative of the Gospels, and in the Greek form *Peter*, not the Aramaic *Cephas*, which, on the other hand, is always employed by Paul. For *Jonas* read as Rev., *John*.

Lovest $(a\gamma a\pi as)$. Jesus uses the more dignified, really the nobler, but, as it seems to Peter, in the ardor of his affection, the colder word for *love*. See on v. 20.

More than these. More than these disciples love me. Compare xiii. 37; Matt. xxvi. 33. The question conveys a gentle rebuke for his former extravagant professions.

I love $(\phi \iota \lambda \hat{\omega})$. Peter substitutes the warmer, more affectionate word, and omits all comparison with his fellow-disciples.

Feed (βόσκε). See on 1 Pet. v. 2.

Lambs (àpvia). Diminutive: little lambs. Godet remarks: "There is a remarkable resemblance between the present situation and that of the two scenes in the previous life of Peter with which it is related. He had been called to the ministry by Jesus after a miraculous draught of fishes; it is after a similar draught that the ministry is restored to him. He had lost his office by a denial beside a fire of coal; it is beside a fire of coal that he recovers it."

16. Lovest $(\dot{a}\gamma a\pi \hat{a}s)$. Again the colder word, but more than these is omitted.

I love (φιλώ). Peter reiterates his former word expressive of personal affection.

Feed (ποίμαινε). A different word: tend, as Rev. See on 1 Pet. v. 2.

Sheep $(\pi\rho\delta\beta\alpha\tau a)$. Some of the best texts read $\pi\rho\sigma\beta\dot{a}\tau\iota a$, diminutive, little sheep.

17. Lovest ($\phi\iota\lambda\epsilon\hat{\imath}s$). Here Jesus adopts Peter's word. Canon Westcott, however, ascribes Peter's use of $\phi\iota\lambda\epsilon\omega$ to his humility, and his hesitation in claiming that higher love which is implied in $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\alpha\pi\hat{\alpha}s$. This seems to me to be less natural, and to be refining too much.

18. **Young** (νεώτερος). Lit., younger. Peter was apparently of middle age. See Matt. viii. 14.

Thou girdedst thyself (ἐζώννυες σεαυτὸν). The word may have been suggested by Peter's girding his fisher's coat round him. The imperfect tense signifies something habitual. Thou wast wont to clothe thyself and to come and go at will.

Walkedst (περιεπάτεις). Lit., walkedst about. Peculiarly appropriate to describe the free activity of vigorous manhood.

Stretch forth thy hands. The allusion to the extending of the hands on the cross, which some interpreters have found here, is fanciful. It is merely an expression for the helplessness of age.

Whither thou wouldest not. According to tradition Peter suffered martyrdom at Rome, and was crucified with his head downward.

- 19. By what death $(\pi o l \varphi)$. Properly, by what manner of death. So Rev.
- 20. Leaned (ἀνέπεσεν). Rev., leaned back. See on xiii. 25. The reference is to the special act of John, leaning back to whisper to Jesus, and not to his position at table.
- 21. And what shall this man do (οὖτος δὲ τί;)? Lit., and this one what?
- 22. Till I come (ἔως ἔρχομαι). Rather, while I am coming. Compare ix. 4; xii. 35, 36; 1 Tim. iv. 13.

What is that to thee $(\tau l \pi \rho \delta_S \sigma \epsilon_S)$? Lit., what as concerns thee?

23. Should not die (οὐκ ἀποθνήσκει). Lit., dieth not.

24, 25. Many interpreters think that these two verses were written by some other hand than John's. Some ascribe vv. 24 and 25 to two different writers. The entire chapter, though bearing unmistakable marks of John's authorship in its style and language, was probably composed subsequently to the completion of the Gospel.

THE FIRST EPISTLE GENERAL OF JOHN.

CHAPTER I.

The term καθολική, catholic or general, applied to the Epistles of James, Peter, John, and Jude, was used from the second century onward in the sense of something addressed to the faithful generally, as distinguished from Christians of particular nations or cities, as the Galatians or Ephesians. Hence, Clement of Alexandria speaks of the letter of Acts xv. as "the catholic letter of all the Apostles, given to the faithful." The term was also used of letters specially addressed, but with a general application, which made no claim to canonical authority.

In the later Western Church the group of letters known as catholic was called canonical through a mistaken interpretation of the words of Junilius,* who referred to the letters of James, 2 Peter, Jude, 2 and 3 John as added by many to the collection of canonical books. This was understood to mean that the term canonical applied to those books peculiarly.

1. Compare John i. 1, 9, 14. The construction of the first three verses is somewhat involved. It will be simplified by throwing it into three parts, represented respectively by vv. 1, 2, 3. The first part, That which was from the beginning—Word of Life, forms a suspended clause, the verb being omitted for the time, and the course of the sentence being broken by ver. 2, which forms a parenthesis: and the Life—manifested

^{*} About A.D. 550, generally believed to have been a Bishop. The author of a work "De Partibus Divinæ Legis," a kind of introduction to the sacred writings.

unto us. Ver. 3, in order to resume the broken sentence of ver. 1, repeats in a condensed form two of the clauses in that verse, that which we have seen and heard, and furnishes the governing verb, we declare. Thus the simple sentence, divested of parenthesis and resumptive words would be, We declare unto you that which was from the beginning, that which we have seen with our eyes, that which we beheld, and our hands handled concerning the Word of Life.

That which (3). It is disputed whether John uses this in a personal sense as equivalent to He whom, or in its strictly neuter sense as meaning something relating to the person and revelation of Christ. On the whole, the $\pi\epsilon\rho\ell$, concerning (A. V., of), seems to be against the personal sense. The successive clauses, that which was from the beginning, etc., express, not the Eternal Word Himself, but something relating to or predicated concerning ($\pi\epsilon\rho\ell$) Him. The indefinite that which, is approximately defined by these clauses; that about the Word of Life which was from the beginning, that which appealed to sight, to hearing, to touch.* Strictly, it is true, the $\pi\epsilon\rho\ell$ is appropriate only with we have heard, but it is used with the other clauses in a wide and loose sense (compare John xvi. 8). "The subject is not merely a message, but all that had been made clear through manifold experience concerning it" (Westcott).

Was $(\hat{\eta}\nu)$. Not èyévero, came into being. See on John i. 3; vii. 34; viii. 58. It was already existing when the succession of life began.

From the beginning $(\dot{a}\pi'\dot{a}\rho\chi\hat{\eta}s)$. The phrase occurs twice in the Gospel (viii. 44; xv. 27); nine times in the First Epistle, and twice in the Second. It is used both absolutely (iii. 8; ii. 13, 14), and relatively (John xv. 27; 1 John ii. 24). It is here contrasted with "in the beginning" (John i. 1). The difference is that by the words "in the beginning," the writer

^{*} This is the view of Alford and Westcott. Ebrard and Huther maintain the personal sense.

places himself at the initial point of creation, and, looking back into eternity, describes that which was already in existence when creation began. "The Word was in the beginning." In the words "from the beginning," the writer looks back to the initial point of time, and describes what has been in existence from that point onward. Thus, "in the beginning" characterizes the absolute divine Word as He was before the foundation of the world and at the foundation of the world. "From the beginning" characterizes His development in time. Note the absence of the article both here and in John i. 1. Not the beginning as a definite, concrete fact, but as apprehended by man; that to which we look as "beginning."

Have heard—have seen (ἀκηκόαμεν—ἐωράκαμεν). Both in the perfect tense, denoting the still abiding effects of the hearing and seeing.

With our eyes. Emphasizing the direct, personal experience in a marvellous matter.

Have looked upon (ἐθεασάμεθα). Rev., correctly, beheld. The tense is the agrist; marking not the abiding effect of the vision upon the beholder, but the historical manifestation to special witnesses. On the difference between this verb and ἐωράκαμεν, we have seen, see on John i. 14, 18.

Have handled ($i\psi\eta\lambda\dot{a}\phi\eta\sigma a\nu$). The aorist tense. Rev., handled. For the peculiar force of the verb see on Luke xxiv. 39. The reference is, probably, to handle me (Luke xxiv. 39), and to John xx. 27. This is the more noticeable from the fact that John does not mention the fact of the Resurrection in the Epistles, and does not use the word in his own narrative of the Resurrection. The phrase therefore falls in with the numerous instances in which John assumes the knowledge of certain historic facts on the part of his readers.

Of the Word $(\pi\epsilon\rho)$ τ o \hat{v} λ o γ o \hat{v}). Better, as Rev., concerning the Word.

Vol. II.-20

Of life (της ζωης). Lit., the life. See on John i. 4. The phrase ὁ λόγος της ζωής, the Word of the Life, occurs nowhere else in the New Testament. The nearest approach to it is Philip. ii. 16; but there neither word has the article. In the phrase words of eternal life (John vi. 68), and in Acts v. 20, all the words of this life, ρήματα is used. The question is whether hoves is used here of the Personal Word, as John i. 1, or of the divine message or revelation. In the four passages of the Gospel where hoyos is used in a personal sense (John i. 1. 14), it is used absolutely, the Word (compare Apoc. xix. 13). On the other hand, it is often used relatively in the New Testament; as word of the kingdom (Matt. xiii. 19); word of this salvation (Acts xiii. 26); word of His grace (Acts xx. 32); word of truth (Jas. i. 18). By John Lwns of life, is often used in order to characterize the word which accompanies it. Thus, crown of life (Apoc. ii. 10); water of life (Apoc. xxi. 6); book of life (Apoc. iii. 5); bread of life (John vi. 35); i.e., the water which is living and communicates life; the book which contains the revelation of life; the bread which imparts life. In the same sense, John vi. 68: Acts v. 20. Compare Titus i. 2, 3.

Though the phrase, the Word of the Life, does not elsewhere occur in a personal sense, I incline to regard its primary reference as personal,* from the obvious connection of the thought with John i. 1, 4. "In the beginning was the Word,—in Him was life." "As John does not purpose to say that he announces Christ as an abstract single idea, but that he declares his own concrete historical experiences concerning Christ,—so now he continues, not the Logos (Word), but concerning the Word, we make annunciation to you" (Ebrard). At the same time, I agree with Canon Westcott that it is most probable that the two interpretations are not to be sharply separated. "The revelation proclaims that which it includes; it has, announces, gives life. In Christ life as the subject, and life as the character of the revelation, were absolutely united."

^{*} So Alford, Huther, Ebrard.

2. This verse is parenthetical. Compare, for similar interruptions of the construction, ver. 3; John i. 14; iii. 16, 31; xix. 35

And (kai). See on John i. 10; viii. 20.

The Life (ἡ ζωὴ). The Word Himself who is the Life.* Compare John xiv. 6; v. 26; 1 John v. 11, 12. Life expresses the nature of the Word (John i. 4). The phrase, the Life, besides being equivalent to the Word, also indicates, like the Truth and the Light, an aspect of His being.

Was manifested (ἐφανερώθη). See on John xxi. 1. Corresponding with the Word was made flesh (John i. 14). The two phrases, however, present different aspects of the same truth. The Word became flesh, contemplates simply the historic fact of incarnation. The life was manifested, sets forth the unfolding of that fact in the various operations of life. The one denotes the objective process of the incarnation as such, the other the result of that process as related to human capacity of receiving and understanding it. "The reality of the incarnation would be undeclared if it were said, 'The Word was manifested;' the manifoldness of the operations of life would be circumscribed if it were said, 'The Life became flesh.' The manifestation of the Life was a consequence of the incarnation of the Word, but it is not coextensive with it "(Westcott).

Have seen—bear witness—shew. Three ideas in the apostolic message: experience, testimony, announcement.

Bear witness. See on John i. 7.

Shew (ἀπαγγέλλομεν). Better, as Rev., declare. See on John xvi. 25. So here. The message comes from (ἀπὸ) God.

^{*} The student should consult, on John's use of the term *Life*, Canon Westcott's "additional note" on 1 John v. 20. "Commentary on the Epistles of John," p. 204.

That eternal life $(\tau \hat{\eta} \nu \zeta \omega \hat{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \nu al \omega \nu \iota \upsilon \nu)$. A particularly faulty translation, since it utterly fails to express the development of the idea of life, which is distinctly contemplated by the original. Render, as Rev., the life, the eternal life; or the life, even the eternal life. For a similar repetition of the article compare 1 John ii. 8; iv. 9; 2 John 11. This particular phrase occurs only here and ii. 25. John uses $\zeta \omega \hat{\eta}$ alwivos eternal life, and $\hat{\eta}$ alwivos $\zeta \omega \hat{\eta}$ the eternal life, the former expressing the general conception of life eternal, and the latter eternal life as the special gift of Christ. Alwivos eternal, describes the life in its quality of not being measured by time, a larger idea than that of mere duration.

Which (ntis). Not the simple relative n which, but defining the quality of the life, and having at the same time a kind of confirmatory and explanatory force of the word eternal: seeing that it was a life divine in its nature—"with the Father"—and therefore independent of temporal conditions.

With the Father $(\pi\rho\delta s \tau\delta\nu \pi a\tau\epsilon\rho a)$. See on with God (John i. 1). In living, active relation and communion with the Father. "The preposition of motion with the verb of repose involves eternity of relation with activity and life" (Coleridge). The life eternally tended to the Father, even as it emanated from Him. It came forth from Him and was manifested to men, but to the end that it might take men into itself and unite them with the Father. The manifestation of life to men was a revelation of life, as, first of all and beyond all, centring in God. Hence, though life, abstractly, returns to God, as it proceeds from God, it returns bearing the redeemed world in its bosom. The complete divine ideal of life includes impartation, but impartation with a view to the practical development of all that receives it with reference to God as its vivifying, impelling, regulating, and inspiring centre.

The Father. See on John xii. 26. The title "the Father" occurs rarely in the Synoptists, and always with reference to the Son. In Paul only thrice (Rom. vi. 4; 1 Cor. viii. 6; Eph.

- ii. 18). Nowhere in Peter, James, Jude, or the Apocalypse. Frequent in John's Gospel and Epistles, and in the latter, uniformly.*
- 3. The regular course of the sentence, broken by ver. 2, is now resumed, by the repetition of that which we have seen and heard. Only the order is reversed: seen and heard instead of heard and seen (ver. 1), and the two elements of experience, sight and hearing, are thrown together without the repeated relative that which. In ver. 1, the climax advanced from the lower evidence of hearing to that of sight. Here, in recapitulating, the process is reversed, and the higher class of evidence is put first.

Unto you also (καὶ ὑμῶν). The also is variously explained. According to some, referring to a special circle of Christian readers beyond those addressed at the conclusion of the Gospel. Others, again, as referring to those who had not seen and heard as contrasted with eye-witnesses. Thus Augustine on John xx. 26 sqq. "He (Thomas) touched the man, and confessed the God. And the Lord, consoling us who, now that He is seated in heaven, cannot handle Him with the hand, but touch Him by faith, says, 'Because thou hast seen thou hast believed; blessed are they who have not seen and believe.' It is we that are described; we that are pointed out. May there therefore come to pass in us that blessedness which the Lord predicted should be: the Life itself has been manifested in the flesh, so that the thing which can be seen with the heart alone might be seen with the eyes also, that it might heal our hearts."

Fellowship (κοινωνίαν). This word introduces us to one of the main thoughts of the Epistle. The true life in man, which comes through the acceptance of Jesus as the Son of God, consists in fellowship with God and with man. On the word, see on Acts ii. 42; Luke v. 10. The verb κοινωνέω, to come into fellowship, to be made a partner, to be partaker of, occurs 1 Pet.

^{*} Let the student by all means consult Canon Westcott's "additional note" on p. 27, of his "Commentary on the Epistles of John."

iv. 13; 2 John 11; Heb. ii. 14, etc. The expression here, ἔχειν κοινωνίαν, is stronger, since it expresses the enjoyment or realization of fellowship, as compared with the mere fact of fellowship. See on John xvi. 22.

Our fellowship (ἡ κοινωνία ἡ ἡμετέρα). More strictly, the fellowship, that which is ours, according to John's characteristic practice of defining and emphasizing a noun by an article and possessive pronoun. See on John x. 27. Ours (possessive instead of personal pronoun) indicating fellowship as a distinguishing mark of Christians rather than as merely something enjoyed by them.

With the Father and with His Son (μετὰ τοῦ πατρὸς καὶ μετὰ τοῦ νίοῦ αὐτοῦ). Note the repeated preposition μετά with, distinguishing the two persons, and co-ordinating the fellowship with the Father, and the fellowship with the Son, thus implying sameness of essence. The fellowship with both contemplates both as united in the Godhead. Plato says of one who lives in unrestrained desire and robbery, "Such an one is the friend neither of God nor man, for he is incapable of communion (κοινωνεῖν ἀδύνατος), and he who is incapable of communion (κοινωνία) is also incapable of friendship" ("Gorgias," 507). So, in the "Symposium" (188), he defines divination as "the art of communion (κοινωνία) between gods and men."

4. These things. The whole Epistle.

Write we unto you (γράφομεν ὑμῖν). The best texts read ἡμεῖς we, instead of ὑμῖν to you. Both the verb and the pronoun are emphatic. The writer speaks with conscious authority, and his message is to be not only announced (ἀπαγγέλλομεν, ver. 3), but written. We write is emphasized by the absence of the personal object, to you.

Your joy ($\hat{\eta}$ $\chi a \rho \hat{\alpha}$ $\hat{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$). The best texts read $\hat{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, our, though either reading gives a good sense.

Full $(\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta)$. More correctly, fulfilled. Frequent in John. See John iii. 29; vii. 8; xii. 38; xv. 11; 2 John 12; Apoc. vi. 11. "The peace of reconciliation, the blessed consciousness of sonship, the happy growth in holiness, the bright prospect of future completion and glory,-all these are but simple details of that which, in all its length and breadth is embraced by one word, Eternal Life, the real possession of which is the immediate source of our joy. We have joy, Christ's joy, because we are blessed, because we have life itself in Christ" (Düsterdieck, cit. by Alford). And Augustine: "For there is a joy which is not given to the ungodly, but to those who love Thee for thine own sake, whose joy Thou thyself art. And this is the happy life, to rejoice to Thee, of Thee; this is it and there is no other " (" Confessions," x., 22). Alford is right in remarking that this verse gives an epistolary character to what follows, but it can hardly be said with him that it "fills the place of the vaipew greeting, lit., rejoice, so common in the opening of Epistles." *

5. This then is (καὶ αὕτη ἐστὶν). Rev., correctly and literally, and this. According to the proper reading the verb stands first in order (ἐστὶν αὕτη), with emphasis, not merely as a copula, but in the sense "there exists this as the message." For a similar use of the substantive verb, see v. 16, 17; ii. 15; John viii. 50.

Message (ἐπαγγελία). This word, however, is invariably used in the New Testament in the sense of promise. The best texts read ἀγγελία, message, which occurs only at iii. 11; and the corresponding verb, ἀγγέλλω, only at John xx. 18.

We have heard of Him (ἀκηκόαμεν ἀπ' αὐτοῦ). A form of expression not found elsewhere in John, who commonly uses παρ' αὐτοῦ. See on John vi. 46. The phrase here points to the ultimate and not necessarily the immediate source of the message. Not only John, but others in earlier times had heard

^{*} But not New Testament epistles. Xalpew greeting, occurs in no address of an Apostolic epistle, except in that of James. See on Jas. i. 1.

this message. Compare 1 Peter i. 10, 11. ' $A\pi\delta$ points to the source, $\pi a \rho \acute{a}$ to the giver. Thus, John v. 41, "I receive not honor from $(\pi a \rho \acute{a})$ men." They are not the bestowers of honor upon me. Ver. 44, "How can ye believe which receive honor from $(\pi a \rho \acute{a})$ one another;" the honor which men have to give, "and seek not the honor that cometh from $(\pi a \rho \acute{a})$ God;" the honor which God alone bestows. On the other hand, 1 John iii. 22, "Whatsoever we ask we receive from $(\mathring{a}\pi\acute{a})$ Him," the ultimate source of our gifts. So Matt. xvii. 25: "Of $(\mathring{a}\pi\acute{a})$ whom do the kings of the earth take custom—of $(\mathring{a}\pi\acute{a})$ their own children or of $(\mathring{a}\pi\acute{a})$ strangers?" What is the legitimate and ultimate source of revenue in states?

Declare (ἀναγγέλλομεν). Compare the simple verb ἀγγέλλειν to bring tidings, John xx. 18, and only there. ἀναγγέλλειν is to bring the tidings up to (ἀνά) or back to him who receives them. ἀπαγγέλλειν is to announce tidings as coming from (ἀπό) some one, see Matt. ii. 8; John iv. 51. Καταγγέλλειν is to proclaim with authority, so as to spread the tidings down among (κατά) those who hear. See Acts xvii. 23. Found only in the Acts and in Paul.

God is Light ($\Theta \epsilon \delta s \phi \hat{\omega} s \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \hat{\iota} \nu$). A statement of the absolute nature of God. Not a light, nor the light, with reference to created beings, as the light of men, the light of the world, but simply and absolutely God is light, in His very nature. Compare God is spirit, and see on John iv. 24: God is love, 1 John iv. 8, 16. The expression is not a metaphor. "All that we are accustomed to term light in the domain of the creature. whether with a physical or metaphysical meaning, is only an effluence of that one and only primitive Light which appears in the nature of God" (Ebrard). Light is immaterial, diffusive, pure, and glorious. It is the condition of life. Physically, it represents glory; intellectually, truth; morally, holiness. As immaterial it corresponds to God as spirit; as diffusive, to God as love; as the condition of life, to God as life; as pure and illuminating, to God as holiness and truth. In the Old Testament, light is often the medium of God's visible revelations to men. It was the first manifestation of God in creation. The burning lamp passed between the pieces of the parted victim in God's covenant with Abraham. God went before Israel in a pillar of fire, descended in fire upon Sinai. and appeared in the luminous cloud which rested on the mercyseat in the most holy place. In classical Greek dos light, is used metaphorically for delight, deliverance, victory, and is applied to persons as a term of admiring affection, as we say that one is the light of our life, or the delight of our eyes. So Ulysses, on seeing his son Telemachus, says, "Thou hast come, Telemachus, sweet light (γλυκερον φάος)" (Homer, "Odyssey," xvi. 23). And Electra, greeting her returning brother, Orestes, "O dearest light (φίλτατον φῶς" (Sophocles, "Electra," 1223). Occasionally, as by Euripides, of the light of truth ("Iphigenia at Tauris," 1046). No modern writer has developed the idea of God as light with such power and beauty as Dante. "Paradise" might truthfully be called a study of light. Light is the only visible expression of God. Radiating from Him, it is diffused through the universe as the principle of life. key-note is struck at the very opening of "the Paradise."

"The glory of Him who moveth everything
Doth penetrate the universe, and shine
In one part more and in another less.
Within that heaven which most His light receives
Was I."

" Paradiso," i., 1-5.

In the final, beatific vision, God Himself is imagined as a luminous point which pours its rays through all the spheres, upon which the spirits gazed, and in which they read the past, the present, and the future.

"O grace abundant, by which I presumed
To fix my sight upon the Light Eternal,
So that the seeing I consumed therein!
I saw that in its depth far down is lying
Bound up with love together in one volume,
What through the universe in leaves is scattered;
Substance, and accident, and their operations,
All interfused together in such wise
That what I speak of is one simple light."

"Paradiso," xxxiii., 82-90.

"In presence of that light one such becomes,
That to withdraw therefrom for other prospect
It is impossible he e'er consent;
Because the good, which object is of will,
Is gathered all in this, and out of it
That is defective which is perfect there."

"Paradiso." xxxiii., 100-105.

"O Light eterne, sole in thyself that dwellest, Sole knowest thyself, and, known unto thyself And knowing, lovest and smilest on thyself!"
"Paradiso," xxxiii., 124-126.

Light enkindles love.

"If in the heat of love I flame npon thee
Beyond the measure that on earth is seen,
So that the valor of thine eyes I vanquish,
Marvel thou not thereat; for this proceeds
From perfect sight, which, as it apprehends,
To the good apprehended moves its feet.
Well I perceive how is already shining
Into thine intellect the eternal Light,
That only seen enkindles always love."

" Paradiso," v., 1-9.

See also "Paradiso," cantos xxx., xxxi.

In Him is no darkness at all (καὶ σκοτία οὐκ ἔστιν ἐν αὐτῷ οὐδεμία). It is characteristic of John to express the same idea positively and negatively. See John i. 7, 8, 20; iii. 15, 17, 20; iv. 42; v. 24; viii. 35; x. 28; 1 John i. 6, 8; ii. 4, 27; v. 12. According to the Greek order, the rendering is: "And darkness there is not in Him, no, not in any way." For a similar addition of οὐδείς not one, to a complete sentence, see John vi. 63; xii. 19; xix. 11. On σκοτία darkness, see on John i. 5.

6. If we say (ἐἀν εἴπωμεν). The subjunctive mood puts the case as supposed, not as assumed.

Walk in the darkness. The phrase occurs only in John's Gospel and First Epistle. Darkness here is σκότος, instead of σκοτία (ver. 5). See on John i. 5. Walk (περιπατῶμεν), is, literally, walk about; indicating the habitual course of the life,

outward and inward. The verb, with this moral sense, is common in John and Paul, and is found elsewhere only in Mark vii. 5; Acts xxi. 21.

We lie and do not the truth. Again the combination of the positive and negative statements. See on ver. 5. The phrase to do the truth occurs only in John's Gospel and First Epistle. See on John iii. 21. All walking in darkness is a not doing of the truth. "Right action is true thought realized. Every fragment of right done is so much truth made visible" (Westcott).

7. We walk in the light ($\dot{\epsilon}\nu \tau \hat{\phi} \phi \omega \tau i \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a \tau \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$). The phrase occurs only in the First Epistle. Walk, as above. In the light, having our life in God, who is light.

He is in the light. God is forever and unchangeably in perfect light. Compare Ps. civ. 2; 1 Tim. vi. 16. We walk, advancing in the light and by means of the light to more light. "The path of the just is as the shining light, that shineth more and more unto the perfect day" (Prov. iv. 18).

One with another (μετ' ἀλλήλων). Not, we with God and God with us, but with our brethren. Fellowship with God exhibits and proves itself by fellowship with Christians. See iv. 7, 12; iii. 11, 23.

Of Jesus Christ His Son. Omit Christ. The human name, Jesus, shows that His blood is available for man. The divine name, His Son, shows that it is efficacious. I shall be rendering a service to students of John's Epistles by giving, in a condensed form, Canon Westcott's note, classifying the several names of our Lord and their uses in the Epistles.

The name in John, as in the Bible elsewhere, has two distinct, but closely connected meanings.

1. The Revelation of the Divine Being by a special title.

2. The whole sum of the manifold revelations gathered up so as to form one supreme revelation.

The latter sense is illustrated in 3 John 7, where "the name" absolutely includes the essential elements of the Christian creed, the complete revelation of Christ's work in relation to God and man. Compare John xx. 31; Acts v. 41.

In ii. 12, the term is more limited, referring to Christ as He lived on earth and gave Himself for "the brethren." In iii. 23; v. 13, the exact sense is defined by what follows.

ACTUAL NAMES USED.

- (I.) His Son Jesus Christ. i. 3; iii. 23; v. 20. The divine antecedent is differently described in each case, and the difference colors the phrase. In i. 23, the Father (compare John 3). In iii. 23, God. In v. 20, He that is true. Thus the sonship of Christ is regarded in relation to God as Father, as God, and as satisfying the divine ideal which man is able to form. The whole phrase, His Son Jesus Christ, includes the two elements of the confessions which John makes prominent.
 - 1. Jesus is the Son of God (iv. 15; v. 5).
 - 2. Jesus is the Christ (ii. 22; v. 1).

The constituents of the compressed phrase are all used separately by John.

- (1.) Jesus. ii. 22; v. 1; iv. 3 (where the correct reading omits Christ). The thought is that of the Lord in His perfect historic humanity.
- (2.) Christ. 2 John 9. Pointing to the preparation made under the old covenant.
- (3). Jesus Christ. ii.1; v. 6; 2 John 7. Combining the ideas of true humanity and messianic position.

In iv. 15, the reading is doubtful: Jesus or Jesus Christ. On iv. 2, see note.

- (4.) The Son. ii. 22, 23, 24; iv. 14; v. 12. The absolute relation of Sonship to Fatherhood.
- (5.) The Son of God. iii. 8; v. 10, 12, 13, 20. Compare His Son (iv. 10; v. 9), where the immediate antecedent is $\delta \Theta \epsilon \delta \varsigma$ God; and v. 18. He that was begotten of God. Combination of the ideas of Christ's divine dignity and divine sonship.

- (6.) Jesus His (God's) Son. i. 7. Two truths. The blood of Christ is available and efficacious.
- (7). His (God's) Son, His only Son. iv. 9. The uniqueness of the gift is the manifestation of love.

The Son in various forms is eminently characteristic of the First and Second Epistles, in which it occurs more times than in all Paul's Epistles.

Kúpios Lord, is not found in the Epistles (omit from 2 John 3), but occurs in the Gospel, and often in the Apocalypse.

The expression, the blood of Jesus His Son, is chosen with a profound insight. Though Ignatius uses the phrase blood of God, yet the word blood is inappropriate to the Son conceived in His divine nature. The word Jesus brings out His human nature, in which He assumed a real body of flesh and blood, which blood was shed for us.

Cleanseth (καθαρίζει). See on Mark vii. 19. Not only forgives but removes. Compare Tit. ii. 14; Heb. ix. 13 sq.; 22 sq.; Eph. v. 26 sq.; Matt. v. 8; 1 John iii. 3. Compare also ver. 9, where forgive (ἀφῆ) and cleanse (καθαρίση) occur, with an obvious difference of meaning. Note the present tense cleanseth. The cleansing is present and continuous. Alexander (Bishop of Derry) cites a striking passage from Victor Hugo ("Le Parricide"). The usurper Canute, who has had a share in his father's death, expiring after a virtuous and glorious reign, walks towards the light of heaven. But first he cuts with his sword a shroud of snow from the top of Mt. Savo. As he advances towards heaven, a cloud forms, and drop by drop his shroud is soaked with a rain of blood.

All sin (πάσης άμαρτίας). The principle of sin in all its forms and manifestations; not the separate manifestations. Compare all joy (Jas. i. 2); all patience (2 Cor. xii. 12); all wisdom (Eph. i. 8); all diligence (2 Pet. i. 5).

8. That we have no sin. "Oth that, may be taken merely as a mark of quotation: "If we say, sin we have not." On the phrase to have sin, see on John xvi. 22, and compare have fel-

lowship, ver. 3. Sin (ἀμαρτίαν) is not to be understood of original sin, or of sin before conversion, but generally. "It is obvious that this ἔχειν ἀμαρτίαν (to have sin), is infinitely diversified, according to the successive measure of the purification and development of the new man. Even the apostle John does not exclude himself from the universal if we say" (Ebrard).

Heathen authors say very little about sin, and classic paganism had little or no conception of sin in the Gospel sense. The nearest approach to it was by Plato, from whose works a tolerably complete doctrinal statement might be gathered of the origin, nature, and effects of sin. The fundamental idea of άμαρτία (sin) among the Greeks is physical; the missing of a mark (see on Matt. i. 21; vi. 14); from which it develops into a metaphysical meaning, to wander in the understanding. This assumes knowledge as the basis of goodness; and sin, therefore, is, primarily, ignorance. In the Platonic conception of sin, intellectual error is the prominent element. Thus: "What then, I said, is the result of all this? Is not this the result that other things are indifferent, and that wisdom is the only good, and ignorance the only evil?" ("Enthydemus," 281). "The business of the founders of the state will be to compel the best minds to attain that knowledge which has been already declared by us to be the greatest of all—they must continue to rise until they arrive at the good" ("Republic," vii., 519). Plato represents sin as the dominance of the lower impulses of the soul. which is opposed to nature and to God (see "Laws," ix., 863. "Republic," i. 351). Or again, as an inward want of harmony. "May we not regard every living being as a puppet of the gods, either their plaything only or created with a purpose—which of the two we cannot certainly know? But this we know, that these affections in us are like cords and strings which pull us different and opposite ways, and to opposite actions; and herein lies the difference between virtue and vice" ("Laws," i., 644). He traces most sins to the influence of the body on the soul. "In this present life, I reckon that we make the nearest approach to knowledge when we have the least possible communion or fellowship with the body, and are not infected with the bodily nature, but remain pure until the hour when God himself is pleased to release us. And then the foolishness of the body will be cleared away, and we shall be pure, and hold converse with other pure souls, and know of ourselves the clear light everywhere, which is no other than the light of truth" ("Phædo," 67).*

We find in the classical writers, however, the occasional sense of the universal faultiness of mankind, though even Plato furnishes scarcely any traces of accepting the doctrine of innate depravity. Thus Theognis: "The sun beholds no wholly good and virtuous man among those who are now living" (615). "But having become good, to remain in a good state and be good, is not possible, and is not granted to man. God only has this blessing; but man cannot help being bad when the force of circumstances overpowers him "(Plato, "Protagoras," 344). "How, then: is it possible to be sinless? It is impossible; but this is possible, to strive not to sin" ("Epictetus," iv., 12, 19).

We deceive ourselves (ἐαντοὺς πλανῶμεν). Lit., we lead ourselves astray. See on Mark xii. 24; Matt. xxvii. 63, 64; Jude 13. Not only do we err, we are responsible for it. The phrase only here in the New Testament. For the verb as applied to deceivers of various kinds, see Matt. xxiv. 4; Apoc. ii. 20; xiii. 14; xix. 20; xii. 9; xx. 3. Compare πλάνοι deceivers (2 John 7); πλάνη error (Jude 11; 1 John iv. 6).

The truth. The whole Gospel. All reality is in God. He is the only true God (ἀληθινός, John xvii. 3; see on John i. 9). This reality is incarnated in Christ, the Word of God, "the very image of His substance," and in His message to men. This message is the truth, a title not found in the Synoptists, Acts, or the Apocalypse, but in the Catholic Epistles (Jas. v. 19; 1 Pet. i. 22; 2 Pet. ii. 2), and in Paul (2 Cor. xiii. 8; Eph. i. 13, etc.). It is especially characteristic of the Gospel and Epistles of John.

^{*}The student may profitably consult on Plato's view of sin, Ackermann, "The Christian Element in Plato," p. 57, sq.

The truth is represented by John objectively and subjectively.

1. Objectively. In the person of Christ. He is the Truth, the perfect revelation of God (John i. 18; xiv. 6). His manhood is true to the absolute law of right, which is the law of love, and is, therefore, our perfect pattern of manhood.

Truth, absolutely existing in and identified with God, was also, in some measure, diffused in the world. The Word was in the world, before as after the incarnation (John i. 10. See on John i. 4, 5). Christ often treats the truth as something to which He came to bear witness, and which it was His mission to develop into clearer recognition and expression (John xviii. 37). This He did through the embodiment of truth in His own person (John i. 14, 17; xiv. 6), and by His teaching (John viii. 40; xvii. 17); and His work is carried ont by the Spirit of Truth (John xvi. 13), sent by God and by Christ himself (John xiv. 26; xvi. 7). Hence the Spirit, even as Christ, is the Truth (1 John v. 6). The whole sum of the knowledge of Christ and of the Spirit, is the Truth (1 John ii. 21; 2 John 1).

This truth can be recognized, apprehended, and appropriated by man, and can be also rejected by him (John viii. 32; 1 John ii. 21; John viii. 44).

- 2. Subjectively. The truth is lodged in man by the Spirit, and communicated to his spirit (John xiv. 17; xv. 26; xvi. 13). It dwells in man (1 John i. 8; ii. 4; 2 John 2), as revelation, comfort, guidance, enlightenment, conviction, impulse, inspiration, knowledge. It is the spirit of truth as opposed to the spirit of error (1 John iv. 6). It translates itself into act. God's true children do the truth (John iii. 21; 1 John i. 6). It brings sanctification and freedom (John viii. 32; xvii. 17). See on John xiv. 6, 17.
- 9. Confess (ὁμολογῶμεν). From ὁμός, one and the same, and λέγω, to say. Hence, primarily, to say the same thing as another, and, therefore, to admit the truth of an accusation. Compare Ps. li. 4. The exact phrase, ὁμολογεῖν τὰς ἁμαρτίας confess the sins, does not occur elsewhere in the New Testament. Compare ἐξομολογεῖσθαι ἀμαρτίας (παραπτώματα) Matt.

iii. 6; Mark i. 5; Jas. v. 16. See on Matt. iii. 6; xi. 25; Luke xxii. 6; Acts xix. 18; Jas. v. 16.

Sins. Note the plural, as compared with the singular, sin, in the previous verse. See note. The plural indicates that the confession is to be specific as well as general. Augustine's words are exactly to the point, but his play upon pardon and confess cannot be reproduced in English. "Vis ut ille ignoscat? Tu agnosce." Do you wish Him to forgive? Do you confess.

Faithful (πιστός). True to His own nature and promises; keeping faith with Himself and with man. The word is applied to God as fulfilling His own promises (Heb. x. 23; xi. 11); as fulfilling the purpose for which He has called men (1 Thess. v. 24; 1 Cor. i. 9); as responding with guardianship to the trust reposed in Him by men (1 Cor. x. 13; 1 Pet. iv. 19). "He abideth faithful. He cannot deny Himself" (2 Tim. ii. 13). The same term is applied to Christ (2 Thess. iii. 3; Heb. iii. 2; ii. 17). God's faithfulness is here spoken of not only as essential to His own being, but as faithfulness toward us; "fidelity to that nature of truth and light, related to His own essence, which rules in us as far as we confess our sins" (Ebrard). The essence of the message of life is fellowship with God and with His children (ver. 3). God is light (ver. 5). Walking in the light we have fellowship, and the blood of Jesus is constantly applied to cleanse us from sin, which is durkness and which interrupts fellowship. If we walk in darkness we do not the truth. If we deny our sin the truth is not in us. If we confess our sins, "God, by whom we were called unto the fellowship of His Son Jesus Christ our Lord, is faithful" (1 Cor. i. 9) to forgive our sins, to cleanse us from all unrighteousness, and thus to restore and maintain the interrupted fellowship.

Just (δίκαιος). Rev., righteous. From δίκη right. The term is applied both to God and to Christ. See Apoc. xvi. 5; John xvii. 25; 1 John ii. 1; iii. 7; 1 Pet. iii. 18. The two words, faithful and righteous, imply each other. They unite in a true conception of God's character. God, who is absolute

rightness, must be faithful to His own nature, and His righteous dealing with men who partake of that nature and walk in fellowship with Him, is simply fidelity to Himself. "Righteousness is truth passing into action" (Westcott).

To forgive (ἴνα ἀφῆ). See John xx. 23; 1 John ii. 12. Primarily the word means to send away, dismiss; hence of sins, to remit, as a debt. Cleansing (ver. 7) contemplates the personal character of the sinner; remission, his acts. See on Matt. vi. 12; Jas. v. 15. To forgive is, literally, that he may forgive. On John's use of ἴνα in order that, see on John xv. 13; xiv. 31. Forgiveness answers to the essential purpose of His faithful and righteous being.

Our sins (τὰς ἀμαρτίας). Sin is defined by John as ἀνομία, lawlessness. Compare Rom. vi. 19. A. V., transgression of the law (1 John iii. 4). It may be regarded either as condition or as act: either with reference to the normal, divine ideal of manhood, or to an external law imposed upon man by God. Any departure from the normal ideal of man as created in God's image puts man out of true relation and harmony with his true self, and therefore with God and with his fellow-man. comes into false, abnormal relation with right, love, truth, and light. He walks in darkness and forfeits fellowship with God. Lawlessness is darkness, lovelessness, selfishness. This false principle takes shape in act He doeth (moieî) or committeth sin. He doeth lawlessness (την ἀνομίαν ποιεί; 1 John iii. 4, 8). He transgresses the words (ρήματα, John xvii. 8) of God, and His commandments (ἐντολαί, 1 John ii. 3) as included and expressed in His one word or message (λόγος, 1 John ii. 7, 14). Similarly the verb αμαρτάνειν to sin, may signify either to be sinful (1 John iii. 6), or to commit sin (1 John i. 10). Sin, regarded both as principle and act, is designated by John by the term auapria. The principle expressed in the specific acts is ή άμαρτία (John i. 29), which occurs in this sense in Paul, but not in the Synoptists, nor in Acts. Many of the terms used for sin by other New Testament writers are wanting in John; as ἀσέβεια unand liness (see on Jude 14); ἀσεβεῖν to be ungodly (2 Pet. ii. 6); παραβαίνειν to trangress; παράβασις transgression; παραβάτης transgressor (see on Matt. vi. 14; Jas. ii. 11); παρανομεῖν to act contrary to the law; παρανομία breach of law (see on Acts xxiii. 3; 2 Pet. ii. 16); παράπτωμα trespass (see on Matt. vi. 14).

To cleanse. See on ver. 7.

Unrighteousness (àδικίας). With reference to δίκαιος righteous. The righteous One who calls us into fellowship with Himself, purges away the unrighteousness which is contrary to His nature, and which renders fellowship impossible. The word occurs in John's writings only at John vii. 18; 1 John v. 17.

10. We have not sinned (οὐχ ἡμαρτήκαμεν). Committed sins. Sin regarded as an act. The state is expressed by ἁμαρτίαν οὐκ ἔχομεν we have no (or not) sin (ver. 8).

We make Him (ποιοῦμεν αὐτὸν). A phrase characteristic of John. See John v. 18; viii. 53; x. 33; xix. 7, 12.

His word (ὁ λόγος αὐτοῦ). Not the personal Word, as John i. 1, but the divine message of the Gospel. See Luke v. 1; viii. 11; Acts iv. 31; vi. 2, 7, etc. Compare "the truth is not in us" (ver. 8). The truth is the substance of the word. The word carries the truth. The word both moves the man (John viii. 31, 32) and abides in him (John v. 38; viii. 37). The man also abides in the word (John viii. 31).

CHAPTER II.

1. My little children (τεκνία μου). Τεκνίον, little child, diminutive of τέκνον child, occurs in John xiii. 33; 1 John ii. 12, 28; iii. 7, 18; iv. 4; v. 21. This particular phrase is found only here (best texts omit my in 1 John iii. 18). Used as a term of affection, or possibly with reference to the writer's advanced age. Compare Christ's word, παιδία children (John xxi. 5)

which John also uses (1 John ii. 13, 18). In the familiar story of John and the young convert who became a robber, it is related that the aged apostle repaired to the robber's haunt, and that the young man, on seeing him, took to flight. John, forgetful of his age, ran after him, crying: "O my son, why dost thou fly from me thy father? Thou, an armed man,—I, an old, defenceless one! Have pity upon me! My son, do not fear! There is still hope of life for thee. I wish myself to take the burden of all before Christ. If it is necessary, I will die for thee, as Christ died for us. Stop! Believe! It is Christ who sends me."*

I write. More personal than we write (i. 4), and thus better suiting the form of address, my little children.

If any man sin, we have. The change from the indefinite third person, any man, to the first person, we have, is significant. By the we have, John assumes the possibility of sinful acts on the part of Christians, and of himself in common with them, and their common need of the intervention of the divine Advocate. So Augustine: "He said, not 'ye have,' nor 'ye have Christ himself;' but he put Christ, not himself, and said 'we have,' and not 'ye have.' He preferred to place himself in the number of sinners, so that he might have Christ for his advocate, rather than to put himself as the advocate instead of Christ, and to be found among the proud who are destined to condemnation."

An advocate (παράκλητον). See on John xiv. 16.

With the Father $(\pi\rho\delta s \tau\delta v \pi a \tau \epsilon \rho a)$. See on with God, John i. 1. An active relation is indicated. On the terms the Father and my Father, see on John iv. 21.

The righteous. Compare righteous, i. 9. There is no article in the Greek. Jesus Christ righteous. See on i. 9.

^{*} The story may be found at length in Godet's "Commentary on John," vol. i., p. 58.

2. And He (καὶ αὐτὸς). The He is emphatic: that same Jesus: He himself.

The propitiation (ίλασμός). Only here and iv. 10. From ιλάσκομαι to appease, to conciliate to one's self, which occurs Luke xviii. 13; Heb. ii. 17. The noun means originally an appeasing or propitiating, and passes, through Alexandrine usage, into the sense of the means of appearing, as here. The construction is to be particularly noted; for, in the matter of $(\pi \epsilon \rho l)$ our sins; the genitive case of that for which propitiation is made. In Heb. ii. 17, the accusative case, also of the sins to be propitiated. In classical usage, on the other hand, the habitual construction is the accusative (direct objective case), of the person propitiated. So in Homer, of the gods. Θεον ιλάσκεσθαι is to make a god propitious to one. See "Iliad," i., 386, 472. Of men whom one wishes to conciliate by divine honors after death. So Herodotus, of Philip of Crotona. "His beauty gained him honors at the hands of the Egestæans which they never accorded to any one else; for they raised a hero-temple over his grave, and they still propitiate him (αὐτὸν ἱλάσκονται) with sacrifices" (v., 47). Again, "The Parians, having propitiated Themistocles (Θεμιστοκλέα ίλασάμενοι) with gifts, escaped the visits of the army" (viii., 112). The change from this construction shows, to quote Canon Westcott, "that the scriptural conception of the verb is not that of appeasing one who is angry, with a personal feeling, against the offender; but of altering the character of that which, from without, occasions a necessary alienation, and interposes an inevitable obstacle to fellowship. Such phrases as 'propitiating God,' and God being reconciled, are foreign to the language of the New Testament. Man is reconciled (2 Cor. v. 18 sqq.; Rom. v. 10 sq.). There is a propitiation in the matter of the sin or of the sinner"

For the sins of the whole world (περὶ ὅλου τοῦ κόσμου). The sins of (A. V., italicised) should be omitted; as in Rev., for the whole world. Compare 1 John iv. 14; John iv. 42; xii. 32. "The propitiation is as wide as the sin" (Bengel).

If men do not experience its benefit, the fault is not in its efficacy. Düsterdieck (cited by Huther) says, "The propitiation has its real efficacy for the whole world; to believers it brings life, to unbelievers death." Luther: "It is a patent fact that thou too art a part of the whole world; so that thine heart cannot deceive itself, and think, the Lord died for Peter and Paul, but not for me." On κόσμου world, see on John i. 9.

3. Hereby (ἐν τούτφ). Lit., in this. Characteristic of John. See John xiii. 35; xv. 8; xvi. 30; 1 John ii. 5; iii. 24; iv. 13; v. 2; iii. 16; iii. 19; iv. 2. The expression points to what follows, "if we keep His commandments," yet with a covert reference to that idea as generally implied in the previous words concerning fellowship with God and walking in the light.

We know (γινώσκομεν). Or, perceive. By experience, from day to day; distinguished from οἴδαμεν we know, expressing absolute, immediate knowledge of a fact once for all. Compare 1 John iii. 2.

That we know (ὅτι ἐγνώκαμεν). Or, more literally, have come to know. John does not use the compound forms ἐπιγινώσκειν and ἐπίγνωσις (see on Matt. vii. 16. See Luke i. 4; Acts iv. 13; Rom. i. 28; Eph. i. 17, etc.), nor the kindred word γνῶσις knowledge (Luke i. 77; Rom. ii. 20, etc.).

We keep His commandments (τὰs ἐντολὰς αὐτοῦ τηρῶμεν). A phrase peculiar to John and occurring elsewhere only Matt. xix. 17; 1 Tim. vi. 14. In 1 Cor. vii. 19, we find τήρησις ἐντολῶν the keeping of the commandments. On τηρέω to keep, see on 1 Pet. i. 5.

4. A liar. Compare we lie, i. 6.

In him (ἐν τούτφ). Emphatic. Lit., in this one the truth is not. See on i. 8.

5. **Keepeth His word** $(\tau\eta\rho\hat{p}$ αὐτοῦ τὸν λόγον). Note the changed phrase: word for commandments. The word is the revelation regarded as a whole, which includes all the separate commandments or injunctions. See the use of λόγος word, and ἐντολή precept, in John xiv. 21–24.

Is the love of God perfected (ἡ ἀγάπη τοῦ Θεοῦ τετελείωται). Rev., rendering the perfect tense more closely, hath the love of God been perfected. The change in the form of this antithetic clause is striking. He who claims to know God, yet lives in disobedience, is a liar. We should expect as an offset to this: He that keepeth His commandments is of the truth; or, the truth is in him. Instead we have, "In him has the love of God been perfected." In other words, the obedient child of God is characterized, not by any representative trait or quality of his own personality, but merely as the subject of the work of divine love: as the sphere in which that love accomplishes its perfect work.

The phrase ή ἀγάπη τοῦ Θεοῦ the love of God, may mean either the love which God shows, or the love of which God is the object, or the love which is characteristic of God whether manifested by Himself or by His obedient child through His Spirit. John's usage is not decisive like Paul's, according to which the love of God habitnally means the love which proceeds from and is manifested by God. The exact phrase, the love of God or the love of the Father, is found in iii. 16; iv. 9, in the undoubted sense of the love of God to men. The same sense is intended in iii. 1, 9, 16, though differently expressed. sense is doubtful in ii. 5; iii. 17; iv. 12. Men's love to God is clearly meant in ii. 15; v. 3. The phrase occurs only twice in the Gospels (Luke xi. 42; John v. 42), and in both cases the sense is doubtful. Some, as Ebrard, combine the two, and explain the love of God as the mutual relation of love between God and men.

It is not possible to settle the point decisively, but I incline to the view that the fundamental idea of the love of God as expounded by John is the love which God has made known and which answers to His nature. In favor of this is the general

usage of ἀγάπη love, in the New Testament, with the subjective genitive.* The object is more commonly expressed by eis towards, or to. See 1 Thess. iii. 12; Col. i. 4; 1 Pet. iv. 8. Still stronger is John's treatment of the subject in ch. iv. Here we have, ver. 9, the manifestation of the love of God in us (ἐν ἡμῖν). By our life in Christ and our love to God we are a manifestation of God's love. Directly following this is a definition of the essential nature of love. "In this is love; i.e., herein consists love: not that we have loved God, but that He loved us" (ver. 10). Our mutual love is a proof that God dwells in us. God dwelling in us, His love is perfected in us (ver. 12). The latter clause, it would seem, must be explained according to ver. 10. Then (ver. 16), "We have known and believed the love that God hath in us" (see on John xvi. 22, on the phrase have love). "God is love;" that is His nature, and He imparts this nature to be the sphere in which His children dwell. "He that dwelleth in love dwelleth in God." Finally, our love is engendered by His love to us. "We love Him because He first loved us" (ver. 19).

In harmony with this is John xv. 9. "As the Father loved me, I also loved you. Continue ye in my love." My love must be explained by I loved you. This is the same idea of divine love as the sphere or element of renewed being; and this idea is placed, as in the passage we are considering, in direct connection with the keeping of the divine commandments. "If ye keep my commandments ye shall abide in my love."

This interpretation does not exclude man's love to God. On the contrary, it includes it. The love which God has, is revealed as the love of God in the love of His children towards Him, no less than in His manifestations of love to them. The idea of divine love is thus complex. Love, in its very essence, is reciprocal. Its perfect ideal requires two parties. It is not enough to tell us, as a bare, abstract truth, that God is love. The truth must be rounded and filled out for us by the apprecia-

^{*} i.e., the genitive case, of God, of the Father, represents God as the subject of the emotion.

ble exertion of divine love upon an object, and by the response of the object. The love of God is *perfected* or *completed* by the perfect establishment of the relation of love between God and man. When man loves perfectly, his love is the love of God shed abroad in his heart. His love owes both its origin and its nature to the love of God.

The word verily (àληθῶs) is never used by John as a mere formula of affirmation, but has the meaning of a qualitative adverb, expressing not merely the actual existence of a thing, but its existence in a manner most absolutely corresponding to àλήθεια truth. Compare John i. 48; viii. 31. Hath been perfected. John is presenting the ideal of life in God. "This is the love of God that we keep His commandments." Therefore whosoever keepeth God's word, His message in its entirety, realizes the perfect relation of love.

We are in Him. Compare Acts xvii. 28. See note on ii. 15.

6. He abideth in Him (ἐν αὐτῷ μένειν). To abide in God is a more common expression with John than to be in God, and marks an advance in thought. The phrase is a favorite one with John. See John xv. 4 sqq.; vi. 56; 1 John ii. 24, 27, 28; iii. 6, 24; iv. 12 sq.; 15 sq. Bengel notes the gradation in the three phrases "to know Him, to be in Him, to abide in Him; knowledge, fellowship, constancy."

Ought (ὀφείλει). An obligation, put as a debt. See Luke xvii. 10, and on debts, Matt. vi. 12. The word expresses a special, personal obligation, and not as δεί must, an obligation in the nature of things. See John xx. 9, and compare 1 John iii. 16; iv. 11; 3 John 8.

He (ἐκεῖνος). Always of Christ in the Epistles of John. See ἐκείνης, referring to ἀμαρτία sin, 1 John v. 16.

7. Brethren ($\dot{a}\delta\epsilon\lambda\phi\dot{o}i$). The correct reading is $\dot{a}\gamma a\pi\eta\tau\dot{o}i$ beloved. The first occurrence of this title, which is suggested by the previous words concerning the relation of love.

No new commandment (οὐκ ἐντολὴν καινὴν). The Rev., properly, places these words first in the sentence as emphatic, the point of the verse lying in the antithesis between the new and the old. On new, see on Matt. xxvi. 29.

Old (παλαιὰν). Four words are used in the New Testament for old or elder. Of these γέρων and πρεσβύτερος refer merely to the age of men, or, the latter, to official position based primarily upon age. Hence the official term elder. Between the two others, àpxaîos and malaios, the distinction is not sharply maintained. 'Apyaios emphasizes the reaching back to a beginning (ἀρχή). Thus Satan is "that old (ἀρχαῖος) serpent," whose evil work was coeval with the beginning of time (Apoc. xii. 9; xx. 2). The world before the flood is "the old (apyalos) world" (2 Pet. ii. 5). Mnason was "an old (apyalos) disciple;" not aged, but having been a disciple from the beginning (Acts xxi. 16). Sophocles, in "Trachiniæ," 555, gives both words. "I had an old (παλαιὸν) gift," i.e., received long ago, "from the old (apyalov) Centaur." The Centaur is conceived as an old-world creature, belonging to a state of things which has passed away. It carries, therefore, the idea of old-fashioned: peculiar to an obsolete state of things.

Παλαιός carries the sense of worn out by time, injury, sorrow, or other causes. Thus the old garment (Matt. ix. 16) is π αλαιόν. So the old wine-skins (Matt. ix. 17). The old men of a living generation compared with the young of the same generation are π αλαιοί. In π αλαιός the simple conception of time dominates. In \mathring{a} ρχαῖος there is often a suggestion of a character answering to the remote age.

The commandment is here called *old* because it belonged to the first stage of the Christian church. Believers had had it from the beginning of their Christian faith.

Commandment. The commandment of love. Compare John xiii. 34. This commandment is fulfilled in walking as Christ walked. Compare Eph. v. 1, 2.

8. New commandment. The commandment of love is both old and new. Old, because John's readers have had it

from the beginning of their Christian experience. New, because, in the unfolding of Christian experience, it has developed new power, meaning, and obligation, and closer correspondence "with the facts of Christ's life, with the crowning mystery of His passion, and with the facts of the Christian life."

Which thing is true (ὁ ἐστιν ἀληθὲς). The expression which thing, or that which, refers either to the commandment of love, or to the fact stated, viz., that the old commandment is new. The fact that the old commandment is new is true in Him and in us. On the whole I prefer this.

In Him and in us. For us, read you. The fact that the old commandment is new, is true in Him (Christ), since He gave it as a new commandment, and illustrated it by His word and example. It is true in you, since you did not receive it until Christ gave it, and since the person and life of Christ are appealing to you in new lights and with fresh power as your Christian life develops. In Him, points back to as He walked.

Because. Explaining the apparent paradox.

The darkness ($\hat{\eta}$ σκοτία). See on John i. 5. God is *light*; and whatever is not in fellowship with God is therefore darkness. In all cases where the word is not used of physical darkness, it means moral insensibility to the divine light; moral blindness or obtuseness. Compare John viii. 12; xii. 35, 46; 1 John ii. 9, 11.

Is past (παράγεται). Wrong. The passing is not represented as accomplished, but as in progress. Rev., rightly rendering the present tense, is passing away.

The true light (τὸ φῶς τὸ ἀληθινὸν). Lit., the light, the true (light). See on that eternal life (i. 2). True, not as distinguished from false, but as answering to the true ideal. See on John i. 9. The true light is the revelation of God in Christ. See on 1 John i. 5.

Shineth (φαίνει). See on John i. 5. Compare Apoc. i. 16; viii. 12; xxi. 23; 2 Pet. i. 19. See also Rom. xiii. 11 sqq.; Tit. ii. 11; iii. 4.

9. Hateth ($\mu\iota\sigma\hat{\omega}\nu$). The sharp issue is maintained here as in Christ's words, "He that is not with me is against me" (Luke xi. 23). Men fall into two classes, those who are in fellowship with God, and therefore walk in light and love, and those who are not in fellowship with God, and therefore walk in darkness and hatred. "A direct opposition," says Bengel; where love is not, there is hatred. "The heart is not empty." See John iii. 20; vii. 7; xv. 18 sqq.; xvii. 14. The word hate is opposed both to the love of natural affection (φιλείν), and to the more discriminating sentiment—love founded on a just estimate (ava- $\pi \hat{a} \nu$). For the former see John xii. 25; xv. 18, 19; compare Luke xiv. 26. For the latter, 1 John iii. 14, 15; iv. 20; Matt. v. 43; vi. 24; Eph. v. 28, 29. "In the former case, hatred, which may become a moral duty, involves the subjection of an instinct. In the latter case it expresses a general determination of character" (Westcott).

His brother (τὸν ἀδελφόν). His fellow-Christian. The singular, brother, is characteristic of this Epistle. See vv. 10, 11; iii. 10, 15, 17; iv. 20, 21; v. 16. Christians are called in the New Testament, Christians (Acts xi. 26; xxvi. 28; 1 Pet. iv. 16), mainly by those outside of the Christian circle. Disciples, applied to all followers of Christ (John ii. 11; vi. 61) and strictly to the twelve (John xiii. 5 sqq.). In Acts xix. 1, to those who had received only John's baptism. Not found in John's Epistles nor in the Apocalypse. Brethren. The first title given to the body of believers after the Ascension (Acts i. 15, where the true reading is ἀδελφῶν brethren, for μαθητῶν disciples). See Acts ix. 30; x. 23; xi. 29; 1 Thess. iv. 10; v. 26; 1 John iii. 14; 3 John 5, 10; John xxi. 23. Peter has $\dot{\eta}$ άδελφότης the brotherhood (1 Pet. ii. 17; v. 9). The believers. Under three forms: The believers (οί πιστοί; Acts x. 45; 1 Tim. iv. 12); they that believe (οι πιστεύοντες; 1 Pet. ii. 7; 1 Thess. i. 7; Eph. i. 19); they that believed (οἱ πιστεύσαντες;

Acts ii. 44; iv. 32; Heb. iv. 3). The saints (oi ayıoı); characteristic of Paul and the Apocalypse. Four times in the Acts (ix. 13, 32, 41; xxvi. 10), and once in Jude (3). Also Heb. vi. 10; xiii. 24. In Paul, 1 Cor. vi. 1; xiv. 33; Eph. i. 1, 15, etc. In Apocalypse v. 8; viii. 3, 4; xi. 18, etc.

Until now (ἔως ἄρτι). Though the light has been increasing, and though he may claim that he has been in the light from the first. The phrase occurs in John ii. 10; v. 17; xvi. 24; and is used by Paul, 1 Cor. iv. 13; viii. 7; xv. 6.

10. Abideth (μένει). See on ver. 6. Compare ver. 9, is in.

Occasion of stumbling (σκάνδαλον). See on offend, Matt. v. 29. For the image in John, see John vi. 61; xi. 9; xvi. 1; Apoc. ii. 14. The meaning is not that he gives no occasion of stumbling to others, but that there is none in his own way. See John xi. 9, 10.

11. Is—walketh—whither. The condition of him who hates is viewed as related to being, action, and tendency.

He goeth (iπάγει). Or, is going. See on John vi. 21; viii. 21.

Hath blinded (ἐτύφλωσεν). For the image see Is. vi. 10. See on closed, Matt. xiii. 15. Compare John i. 5, and see note on κατέλαβεν, overtook; John xii. 35, 40. The acrist tense, blinded, indicates a past, definite, decisive act. When the darkness overtook, it blinded. The blindness is no new state into which he has come.

12. Little children. See on ver. 1, and John i. 12. Not children in age, but addressed to the readers generally.

Name. See on John i. 12; ii. 23.

13. Fathers. Indicating age and authority.

Have known (ἐγνώκατε). Rev., correctly, ye know. Knowledge is the characteristic of fathers; knowledge as the fruit of experience. Ye have perceived, therefore ye know.

Have overcome (νενικήκατε). Compare John xvi. 33. The image is characteristic of the Apocalypse and First Epistle. See Apoc. ii. 7, 11, 17, 26; xii. 11; xxi. 7; 1 John ii. 14; iv. 4; v. 4, 5.

The evil one (τὸν πονηρόν). See on wickedness, Mark vii. 22; evils, Luke iii. 19; evil spirits, Luke vii. 21. The prince of darkness is styled by John ὁ διάβολος the false accuser (John viii. 44; xiii. 2; 1 John iii. 8, 10. See on Matt. iv. 1): ὁ Σατανᾶς Satan, the adversary (John xiii. 27; compare ὁ κατήγωρ the accuser, properly, in court, Apoc. xii. 10): ὁ πονηρός the evil one (John xvii. 15; 1 John ii. 13, 14; iii. 12; v. 18, 19): ὁ ἄρχων τοῦ κόσμου τούτου the ruler of this world (John xii. 31; xiv. 30; xvi. 11). Note the abrupt introduction of the word here, as indicating something familiar.

I have written ($\Hef{e}\gamma\rho a\psi a$). Or, strictly, I wrote. Compare I write (vv. 12, 13), and note the change of tense. The past tense, I wrote, does not refer to some previous writing, as the Gospel, but, like the present, to this Epistle. The present, I write, refers to the immediate act of writing: the aorist is the epistolary aorist, by which the writer places himself at the reader's stand-point, regarding the writing as past. See on 1 Pet. v. 12. I write, therefore, refers to the Apostle's immediate act of writing; I have written, or I wrote, to the reader's act of reading the completed writing.

Little children (παιδία). Compare τεκνία little children (ver. 1), which emphasizes the idea of kinship, while this word emphasizes the idea of subordination and consequent discipline. Hence it is the more appropriate word when spoken from the stand-point of authority rather than of affection.

Ye have known (ἐγνώκατε). Rev., correctly, ye know.

The Father. In his rightful authority, as a Father over little children.

14. Him that is from the beginning. The eternal, preexistent Christ, who was from the beginning (John i. 1). The eternal Son, through whom men are brought into the relation of children of God, and learn to know the Father. The knowledge of God involves, on the part of both fathers and children, the knowledge of Christ.

Strong (loxupol). See on was not able, Luke xiv. 30; I cannot, Luke xvi. 3.

15. The world $(\tau \delta \nu \kappa \delta \sigma \mu o \nu)$. See on John i. 9.

The love of the Father ($\dot{\eta}$ $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\dot{\alpha}\pi\eta$ $\tau o\hat{v}$ $\pi a\tau\rho \delta s$). The phrase occurs only here in the New Testament. It means love towards the Father, yet as generated by the Father's love to man. Compare 1 John iii. 1. See on love of God, ver. 5.

Is not in him. This means more than that he does not love God: rather that the love of God does not dwell in him as the rnling principle of his life. Westcott cites a parallel from Philo: "It is impossible for love to the world to coexist with love to God, as it is impossible for light and darkness to coexist." Compare Plato. "Evils, Theodorus, can never pass away; for there must always remain something which is antagonist to good. Having no place among the gods in heaven, of necessity they hover around the earthly nature, and this mortal sphere. Wherefore we ought to fly away from earth to heaven as quickly as we can; and to fly away is to become like God, as far as this is possible; and to become like Him is to become holy and just and wise" ("Theætetus," 176).

16. All $(\pi \hat{a}\nu)$. Not all things severally, but all that is in the world collectively, regarded as a unit.

The lust (ἡ ἐπιθυμία). See on Mark iv. 19.

Of the flesh. Sensual appetite. The desire which resides in the flesh, not the desire for the flesh. For this subjective usage of the genitive with lust, see John viii. 44; Rom. i. 24; Apoc. xviii. 14. Compare 1 Pet. ii. 11; Titus ii. 12. The lust of the flesh involves the appropriation of the desired object. On the flesh, see on John i. 14.

The lust of the eyes. This is included in the lust of the flesh, as a specific manifestation. All merely sensual desires belong to the economy which "is not of the Father." The desire of the eyes does not involve appropriation. It is satisfied with contemplating. It represents a higher type of desire than the desire of the flesh, in that it seeks mental pleasure where the other seeks physical gratification. There is thus a significant hint in this passage that even high artistic gratification may have no fellowship with God.

The pride of life ($\dot{\eta}$ à λ a ζονεία τοῦ βίον). Rev., vainglory. The word occurs only here and Jas. iv. 16, on which see note. It means, originally, empty, braggart talk or display; swagger; and thence an insolent and vain assurance in one's own resources, or in the stability of earthly things, which issues in a contempt of divine laws. The vainglory of life is the vainglory which belongs to the present life. On βίος life, as distinguished from ζωή life, see on John i. 4.

Of the Father (ἐκ τοῦ πατρός). Do not spring forth from the Father. On the expression εἶναι ἐκ to be of, see on John i. 46. "He, therefore, who is always occupied with the cravings of desire and ambition, and is eagerly striving after them, must have all his opinions mortal, and, as far as man can be, must be all of him mortal, because he has cherished his mortal part. But he who has been earnest in the love of knowledge and true wisdom, and has been trained to think that these are the immortal and divine things of a man, if he attain truth, must of necessity, as far as human nature is capable of attaining immortality, be all immortal, for he is ever attending on the divine power, and having the divinity within him in perfect order, he has a life perfect and divine" (Plato, "Timæus," 90).

17. Forever (cis τὸν aiῶνa). The only form in which aiῶν age, life, occurs in the Gospel and Epistles of John, except ἐκ τοῦ aiῶνος since the world began (John ix. 32). Some old versions add, "as God abideth forever."

18. Little children (παιδία). See on ver. 13.

The last hour (ἐσχάτη ὅρα). The phrase only here in the New Testament. On John's use of ὅρα hour, as marking a critical season, see John ii. 4; iv. 21, 23; v. 25, 28; vii. 30; viii. 20; xii. 23, 27; xvi. 2, 4, 25, 32. The dominant sense of the expression last days, in the New Testament, is that of a period of suffering and struggle preceding a divine victory. See Acts ii. 17; Jas. v. 3; 1 Pet. i. 20. Hence the phrase here does not refer to the end of the world, but to the period preceding a crisis in the advance of Christ's kingdom, a changeful and troublous period, marked by the appearance of "many antichrists."

Antichrist. Peculiar to John in the New Testament. The absence of the article shows its currency as a proper name. It may mean one who stands against Christ, or one who stands instead of Christ; just as ἀντιστράτηγος may mean either one who stands in the place of a στρατηγός prætor, a proprætor (see Introd. to Luke, vol. i., p. 246, and note on Acts xvi. 20), or an opposing general. John never uses the word ψευδόχριστος false Christ (Matt. xxiv. 24; Mark xiii. 22). While the false Christ is merely a pretender to the Messianic office, the Antichrist "assails Christ by proposing to do or to preserve what he did, while denying Him." Antichrist, then, is one who opposes Christ in the guise of Christ. Westcott's remark is very important, that John's sense of Antichrist is determined by the full Christian conception of Christ, and not by the Jewish conception of the promised Saviour.

Cometh (ἔρχεται). The prophetic present, equivalent to is about to come. The same term is used of Christ (John xiv. 3; xxi. 22; Apoc. xxii. 20).

Are there (γεγόνασιν). Rev., more correctly, have there arisen.

Whereby (69ev). Lit., whence. Only here in John. It is found in Matthew and Luke, and frequently in Hebrews, and not elsewhere.

19. They went out from us $(\tilde{\epsilon}\xi\tilde{\eta}\mu\tilde{\omega}\nu\tilde{\epsilon}\xi\tilde{\eta}\lambda\Im{a}\nu)$. The phrase went out from, may mean either removal (Apoc. xviii. 4; John viii. 59) or origin (Apoc. ix. 3; xiv. 13, 15, 17; xix. 5, 21). Here the latter, as appears from the following clause. Compare Acts xx. 30.

Were not of. See on John i. 46.

No doubt. A needless addition of the A. V.

With us $(\mu \epsilon J) \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$. $\dot{E} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$, among us, would be more according to John's ordinary usage; but his thought rests here rather on *fellowship* than on the *unity* of believers as one body.

They might be made manifest $(\phi a \nu \epsilon \rho \omega \vartheta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu)$. See on John xxi. 1.

They were not all (où κ eigh π and π and π so, more correctly, they all are not.*

20. An unction (χρίσμα). The word means that with which the anointing is performed—the unguent or ointment. In the New Testament only here and ver. 27. Rev., an anointing. The root of this word and of Χριστός, Christ, is the same. See on Matt. i. 1. The anointing is from the Anointed.

The Holy One. Christ. See John vi. 69; Acts iii. 14; iv. 27, 30; Apoc. iii. 7.

^{*} Because the verb separates not from all. In such cases, according to New Testament usage, the negation is universal. The A.V. not all makes it partial. See, for instance, 1 John iii. 15; Matt. xxiv. 22.

Ve know all things (οἴδατε πάντα). The best texts read πάντες, ye all know; in which case the connection is with the following clause: "I have not written unto you because ye know not the truth, but because ye know it."

- 21. I have not written (οὐκ ἔγραψα). Or, I wrote not. See on ver. 13.
- 22. A liar (ὁ ψεύστης). Rev., correctly, "the liar." For a similar interrogative phrase see ch. v. 5. It marks the lively feeling with which the apostle writes. By the definite article, the liar, the lie is set forth in its concrete personality: the one who impersonates all that is false, as antichrist represents every form of hostility and opposition to Christ. The denial that Jesus is the Christ is the representative falsehood. He that denies is the representative liar.

He that denieth (o apvoupevos). The article with the participle denotes the habitual denial. Lit., the one denying, the one who habitually represents this attitude towards Christ. The words are aimed at the heresy of Cerinthus, a man of Jewish descent and educated at Alexandria. He denied the miraculous conception of Jesus, and taught that, after His baptism, the Christ descended upon Him in the form of a dove, and that He then announced the unknown Father and wrought miracles; but that, towards the end of His ministry, the Christ departed again from Jesus, and Jesus suffered and rose from the dead, while the Christ remained impassible (incapable of suffering) as a spiritual being.

The Father. The title the Father occurs always in its simple form in the Epistle. Never his or our Father, or the Father in heaven.

23. Hath not the Father (οὐδὲ τὸν πατέρα ἔχει). Properly, "hath not even the Father," though he professes to reverence the Father while rejecting the Son. Compare John viii. 42.

24. As for you ($i\mu\epsilon is$). This is the rendering of the Rev. The force of the emphatic you at the beginning of the sentence is utterly lost in the A. V., which takes the pronoun simply as nominative to ye have heard. You is emphatic by way of contrast with the false teachers (ver. 22).

From the beginning. See on i. 1. Notice the change in the order of the repeated sentence, that which ye heard from the beginning: δ $\mathring{\eta}$ κούσατε $\mathring{a}\pi$ $\mathring{a}\rho\chi\hat{\eta}$ s, that which ye heard; the emphasis being on their reception of the message: δ $\mathring{a}\pi$ $\mathring{a}\rho\chi\hat{\eta}$ s $\mathring{\eta}$ κούσατε, that which ye heard from the beginning; emphasizing the time of the reception as coincident with the origin of their faith.

In the Son and in the Father. Compare the reverse order in ver. 22. "Here the thought is that of rising through the confession of the Son to the knowledge of the Father; there the thought is of the issue of denial culminating in the denial of the Father" (Westcott).

25. The promise (ή ἐπαγγελία). See on Acts i. 4.

Eternal life (τὴν ζωὴν τὴν αἰώνιον). Lit., the life, the eternal (life).

- 26. Seduce (πλανώντων). See on i. 8. Rev., lead astray.
- 27. As for you $(\hat{\nu}\mu\epsilon\hat{\iota}s)$. Emphatic, as in ver. 24.

Of Him $(a\pi' a\dot{v}\tau o\hat{v})$. See on i. 5.

The same anointing $(\tau \delta \ a \dot{v} \tau \delta \ \chi \rho i \sigma \mu a)$. The best texts read $a \dot{v} \tau o \hat{v}$, His anointing.

Is truth, and is no lie. The characteristic combination of positive and negative statement. See on i. 5.

Ye shall abide $(\mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon i \tau \epsilon)$. Wrong. The best texts read $\mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \tau \epsilon$, which may be taken either as *imperative*, abide ye, or

as indicative, ye abide. The indicative is preferable, as answering to μένει abideth.

In Him. Christ.

28. When He shall appear (ὅταν φανερωθῆ). The best texts read ἐἀν if, for when. So Rev., which gives also the proper passive force of φανερωθῆ, if He shall be manifested. Not expressing a doubt of the fact, but uncertainty as to the circumstances. On φανερόω to make manifest, see on John xxi. i. John never uses ἀποκαλύπτω to reveal, of the revelation of Christ. Indeed, neither the verb nor the kindred noun, ἀποκάλυψις, occurs in his writings except in John xii. 38, which is a citation from Isaialı, and in Apoc. i. 1.

We may have. Thus identifying himself with his children in the faith. Teacher and pupil must alike abide in Him.

We may have confidence (σχῶμεν παρρησίαν). Rev., boldness. For the phrase have boldness, see iii. 21; iv. 17; v. 14; Heb. iii. 6; x. 19; Philem. 8. For the word παρρησία boldness, see on John vii. 13; Acts ii. 29. It is opposed, as here, to αἰσχύνομαι to be ashamed, in Prov. xiii. 5, where the Septuagint reads "a wicked man is ashamed (αἰσχύνεται) and shall not have boldness (παρρησίαν). Also in Philip. i. 20. Compare 2 Cor. iii. 12. The idea of free, open speech lies at the bottom of the word: coming before God's bar with nothing to conceal. The thought is embodied in the general confession of the Book of Common Prayer: "That we should not dissemble nor cloke them before the face of Almighty God our Heavenly Father, but confess them." So John Wesley's Hymn:

"Jesus, Thy blood and righteousness
My beauty are, my glorious dress:
'Midst flaming worlds. in these arrayed,
With joy shall I lift up my head.

Bold shall I stand in Thy great day, For who aught to my charge shall lay? Fully absolved through these I am,— From sin and fear, from guilt and shame." Be ashamed before Him (aἰσχυνθῶμεν ἀπ' αὐτοῦ). The expression is peculiar. Lit., "be ashamed from Him." The fundamental thought is that of separation and shrinking from God through the shame of conscious guilt. The same construction is found in the Septnagint. Isa. i. 29, "They shall be ashamed from their idols." Jer. ii. 36, "Thou shalt be ashamed of (from) Egypt, as thou wast ashamed of (from) Assyria." Jer. xii. 13.

Coming (παρουσία). Lit., presence. So 2 Cor. x. 10. Hence, the presence of one coming, and so coming, especially in the New Testament, of the future, visible return of our Lord to raise the dead, judge the world, and finally establish the kingdom of God. The word does not occur elsewhere in John, nor does he use ἐπιφάνεια, which is Paul's word for the same event.

29. If ye know—ye know (ἐὰν εἰδῆτε—γινώσκετε). If ye know absolutely that He is righteous, ye perceive that every one, etc. See on John ii. 24. Ye perceive may be taken as imperative: perceive or know ye.

Is born of Him (¿ξ αὐτοῦ γεγέννηται). The interpreters differ as to the reference of Him; some referring it to God, and others to Christ. Against the latter is the fact that men are not said to be born of Christ, but of God; and that to be born of God is a characteristic phrase of John, while to be born of Christ is a phrase which occurs nowhere. On the other hand, the undoubted reference to Christ in ver. 28, would seem to demand a similar reference here. Men are said to abide in Christ as well as in God, and to be born of the Spirit. Westcott's remark is pertinent. "When John thinks of God in relation to men, he never thinks of Him apart from Christ (see 1 John v. 20); and again, he never thinks of Christ in His human nature without adding the thought of His divine nature. Thus a rapid transition is possible from the one aspect of the Lord's divine-human person to the other."

Righteous (δίκαιος). Used by John both of God and of Christ. Of God, 1 John i. 9; John xvii. 25; Apoc. xvi. 5; of

Christ, 1 John ii. 1; iii. 7. Compare Acts iii. 14; vii. 52; xxii. 14.

Is born of Him (ἐξ αὐτοῦ γεγέννηται). Rev., begotten. The first occurrence of the phrase in the Epistle.

CHAPTER III

1. Behold (ἴδετε). Lit., behold ye. The plural is peculiar. The usual form is the singular ἴδε or ἰδού. See John i. 29; xi. 3, etc.; iv. 35; xix. 26, 27. Elsewhere the plural is used of something actually visible (Gal. vi. 11).

What manner of (ποταπὴν). The word is of infrequent occurrence in the New Testament, but is found in all the Synoptists and in 2 Pet. iii. 11. Only here in John's writings. Originally it means from what country or race; then, of what sort or quality. It is used of the quality of both persons and things.

Hath bestowed (δέδωκεν). Emphasizing the endowment of the receiver. Compare χαρίζομαι, from χάρις grace, favor, which emphasizes the good-will of the giver. See Gal. iii. 18; Philip. ii. 9; i. 29.

That ("va). See on John xv. 13.

We should be called (κληθῶμεν). Or, named. As Matt. ii. 23; xxi. 13; Luke i. 13, 31, etc. The verb is never used by John of the divine call. In John x. 3, for καλεῖ calleth, read φωνεῖ.

The sons (τέκνα). Rev., better, children. See on John i. 12.

And such we are (κa) $\epsilon \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$). Lit., and we are. Added by Rev., according to the best texts. A parenthetical, reflective comment, characteristic of John. See on i. 2.

2. Beloved. See ii. 7.

Now are we and, etc. The two thoughts of the present and the future condition of God's children are placed side by side with the simple copula, and, as parts of one thought. Christian condition, now and eternally, centres in the fact of being children of God. In that fact lies the germ of all the possibilities of eternal life.

It doth not yet appear (o $\tilde{v}\pi\omega$ è $\phi ave\rho\omega 9\eta$). Rev., more correctly, it is not yet made manifest. See on John xxi. 1. The force of the agrist tense is, was never manifested on any occasion.

What we shall be (τί ἐσόμεθα). "This what suggests something unspeakable, contained in the likeness of God" (Bengel).

But we know. Omit but.

When He shall appear (ἐἀν φανερωθή). Rev., correctly, if He (or it) shall be manifested. We may render either "if it shall be manifested," that is what we shall be; or, "if He," etc. The preceding έφανερώθη it is (not yet) made manifest, must, I think, decide us in favor of the rendering it. We are now children of God. It has not been revealed what we shall be, and therefore we do not know. In the absence of such revelation, we know (through our consciousness of childship, through His promise that we shall behold His glory), that if what we shall be were manifested, the essential fact of the glorified condition thus revealed will be likeness to the Lord. This fact we know now as a promise, as a general truth of our The condition of realizing the fact is the manifestation of that glorified state, the revealing of the $\tau i \epsilon \sigma \delta \mu \epsilon \Im a$ what we shall be; for that manifestation will bring with it the open vision of the Lord. When the what we shall be shall be manifest, it will bring us face to face with Him, and we shall be like Him *because* we shall see Him as He is.

As He is (καθώς ἐστιν). Strictly, just as. Rev., even as.

"As long as the festivity
Of Paradise shall be, so long our love
Shall radiate round about us such a vesture.
Its brightness is proportioned to the ardor,
The ardor to the vision; and the vision
Equals what grace it has above its worth.

Dante, "Paradiso," xiv., 37-42.

3. Every man that hath $(\pi \hat{a}s \ \delta \ \tilde{\epsilon}\chi\omega\nu)$. A characteristic form of expression with John, containing "a reference to some who had questioned the application of a general principle in particular cases." Here to some persons who had denied the practical obligation to moral purity involved in their hope. See vv. 4, 6, 9, 10, 15, 23, 29; iv. 7; v. 1, 4, 18; 2 John 9.

Hope. John's only reference to Christian hope. The phrase used here, to have the hope upon one, is unique in the New Testament. Compare ἐπ' αὐτῷ ἔθνη ἐλπιοῦσιν "on Him shall the Gentiles hope" (Rom. xv. 12): ἢλπίκαμεν ἐπὶ Θεῷ ζῶντι "we have hoped on the living God" (1 Tim. iv. 10). On the force of ἔχων, see on John xvi. 22.

In Him (ἐπ' αὐτῷ). Ambiguous. Better, as Rev., set on Him.

Purifieth himself (ἀγνίζει ἐαυτόν). On the verb, see on 1 Pet. i. 22; Jas. iv. 8. In the Septuagint nsed only of ceremonial purification, and so four out of the seven instances in which it occurs in the New Testament (John xi. 55; Acts xxi. 24, 26; xxiv. 18). In the remaining cases, of purifying the heart and the soul (Jas. iv. 8; 1 Pet. i. 22). The kindred adjective ἀγνός pure, has a moral signification in every case, as has the noun ἀγνότης pureness (only 2 Cor. vi. 6). ᾿Αγνισμός purification (only Acts xxi. 26), ceremonial.

He (ἐκεῖνος). Christ, as always in the Epistle.

Pure (άγνός). See above. Though marking moral and spiritnal purity, and that of a very high grade, since it is applied to Christ here, yet it admits the thought of possible

temptation or pollution, thus differing from ἄγιος, which means absolutely holy. Hence ἀγιός cannot properly be applied to God, who is ἄγιος; but both may be used of Christ, the latter in virtue of His human perfection.

4. Whosever committeth $\sin (\pi \hat{a}_s \delta \pi o \iota \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\gamma} \nu \delta \mu a \rho \tau \iota a \nu)$. Rev., better, every one that doeth sin. See on ver. 3, every man that hath, and note the frequent repetition of this form of expression in the present chapter. Compare $\pi \hat{a}_s \delta \delta \mu a \rho \tau \delta \nu \omega \nu$ whosever sinneth (ver. 6). The phrase to do sin regards $\sin a_s \delta \delta \nu \omega \nu$ sin realizes in action the $\sin \delta \nu$ (note the article $\delta \nu \nu$), that which includes and represents the complete ideal of $\delta \nu$. Compare do righteousness, ii. 29.

Transgresseth also the law (καὶ τὴν ἀνομίαν ποιεῖ). Rev., more accurately, doeth also lawlessness. Compare Matt. xiii. 41, and the phrase οἱ ἐργαζόμενοι τὴν ἀνομίαν ye that work iniquity (Matt. vii. 23).

For (κal) . Rev., correctly, and. This and the preceding clause are co-ordinated after John's manner.

Is the transgression of the law (ἐστὶν ἡ ἀνομία). Rev., correctly, is lawlessness. Sin is the violation of the law of our being, the law which includes our threefold relation to God, to the men and things around ns, and to ourselves. Compare Jas. i. 14; iv. 17.

5. **Ye know.** John's characteristic appeal to Christian knowledge. Compare ii. 20, 21; iv. 2, 14, 16; v. 15, 18; 3 John 12.

He (ἐκεῖνος). Christ, as always in this Epistle. See on John i. 18.

Was manifested. See on John xxi. 1. Including Christ's whole life on earth and its consequences. The idea of manifestation here assumes the fact of a previous being. John

uses various terms to describe the incarnation. He conceives it with reference to the Father, as a sending, a mission. Hence ο πέμψας με He that sent me (John iv. 34; vi. 38; ix. 4; xii. 44. etc.): ὁ πέμψας με πατήρ the Father that sent me (John v. 37; viii. 18; xii. 49, etc.): with the verb ἀποστέλλω to send us an envoy, with a commission; God sent (ἀπέστειλεν) His Son (John iii. 17; x. 36; 1 John iv. 10; compare John vi. 57; vii. 29; xvii. 18). With reference to the Son, as a coming, regarded as a historic fact and as an abiding fact. As a historic event, He came (ήλθεν, John i. 11); this is He that came (ὁ ἐλθων, 1 John v. 6). Came forth (ἐξηλθον; John viii. 42; xvi. 27, 28; xvii. 8). As something abiding in its effects, am come, hath come, is come, marked by the perfect tense: Light is come (ϵλήλυθεν, John iii. 19). Jesus Christ is come (ἐληλυθότα, 1 John iv. 2). Compare John v. 43; xii. 46; xviii. 37). In two instances with ηκω I am come, John viii. 42; 1 John v. 20. Or with the present tense, as describing a coming realized at the moment: whence I come (epyona, John viii. 14); compare John xiv. 3, 18, 28; also Jesus Christ coming (¿pyóμενον, 2 John 7). With reference to the form: in flesh $(\sigma \acute{a} \rho \xi)$. See John i. 14; 1 John iv. 2; 2 John 7. With reference to men, Christ was manifested (1 John i. 2; iii. 5, 8; John i. 31; xxi. 1, 14).*

To take away (ἵνα ἄρη). See on John i. 29.

Our sins (τὰς ἀμαρτίας ἡμῶν). Omit ἡμῶν our. Compare John i. 29, τὴν ἀμαρτίαν, the sin. The plural here regards all that is contained in the inclusive term the sin: all manifestations or realizations of sin.

In Him is no sin (ἀμαρτία ἐν αὐτῷ οὐκ ἔστιν). Lit., in Him sin is not. He is essentially and forever without sin. Compare John vii. 18.

6. Abideth. Compare John xv. 4-10. To abide in Christ is more than to be in Him, since it represents a condition main-

^{*}I am indebted for the substance of this note to Canon Westcott.

tained by communion with God and by the habitnal doing of His will. See on ii. 6.

Sinneth not. John does not teach that believers do not sin, but is speaking of a *character*, a *habit*. Throughout the Epistle he deals with the *ideal reality* of life in God, in which the love of God and sin exclude each other as light and darkness.

Seen—known. The vision of Christ and the appropriation of what is seen. Rev., correctly, *knoweth*.

7. Little children. See on ii. 1.

Deceive (πλανάτω). Rev., better, lead astray. See on i. 8.

Doeth righteousness. See on ver. 4, and compare ii. 29. Note the article $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$, the righteousness, in its completeness and unity. Not merely doing righteous acts. "In his relation to other men he will do what is just; and in his relation to the gods he will do what is holy; and he who does what is just and holy cannot be other than just and holy" (Plato, "Gorgias," 507).

8. The Devil. See on ii. 13. Compare John viii. 44. "The devil made no one, he begot no one, he created no one; but whosoever imitates the devil, is, as it were, a child of the devil, through imitating, not through being born of him" (Augustine).

Sinneth. The present tense indicates continuousness. He sinned *in* the beginning, and has never ceased to sin *from* the beginning, and still sinneth.

The Son of God. For the first time in the Epistle. Hitherto the title has been the Son, or His Son. See on i. 7.

Might destroy (λύση). Lit., dissolve, loosen. Compare Acts xxvii. 41; xiii. 43. "The works of the devil are repre-

sented as having a certain consistency and coherence. They show a kind of solid front. But Christ, by His coming, has revealed them in their complete unsubstantiality. He has 'undone' the seeming bonds by which they were held together" (Westcott).

9. Whosoever is born ($\pi \hat{a}_S$ δ yeyevvn $\mu \acute{e}vos$). On the form of expression, see on ver. 4. Rev., begotten. The perfect participle indicates a condition remaining from the first: he who hath been begotten and remains God's child.

His seed. The divine principle of life.

Cannot. See on ver. 6. Conceived as a perfect ideal, life in God excludes the possibility of sin. Compare Rom. vi. throughout.

10. In this (ἐν τούτφ). See on ii. 3.

Children of the devil (τέκνα τοῦ διαβόλου). The only occurrence of the phrase. Compare Acts xiii. 10, and see John viii. 44.

Righteousness. Here the article is wanting, compare ver. 7. Righteousness is regarded, not in its completeness, but as bearing a particular character. It will be interesting to follow out the same distinction between the following words with and without the article: ἀμαρτία sin; ἀγάπη love; ζωή life; ἀλήθεια truth.

11. From the beginning. See on i. 1.

That ("va). The purport and aim of the message. See on John xv. 13.

12. Cain who was $(K\acute{a}i\nu \ \mathring{\eta}\nu)$. Who is not in the Greek. The construction is irregular. Lit., as Rev., not as Cain was of the evil one.

Slew ($\xi\sigma\phi\alpha\xi\epsilon\nu$). The verb occurs only in John, and only here outside of the Apocalypse. Originally, to slay by cutting the throat; so in Homer, of cattle:

" the suitor train who slay (σφάζουσι)
His flocks and slow-paced beeves with crooked horns."
"Odyssey," i., 92.

To slaughter victims for sacrifice:

" Backward they turned the necks of the fat beeves,
And cut their throats (ἔσφαξαν), and flayed the carcasses."

"Iliad," i., 459.

Thence, generally, to slay or kill.

Wherefore (χάριν τίνος). Lit., on account of what. Χάριν for the sake of, on account of, is elsewhere placed after the genitive. See Eph. iii. 1, 14; 1 Tim. v. 14; Gal. iii. 19.

13. Brethren $(\dot{a}\delta\epsilon\lambda\phi oi)$. The only occurrence of this mode of address in the Epistle.

Hate $(\mu \iota \sigma \epsilon \hat{\iota})$. Indicative mood, pointing to the fact as existing: if the world hate you, as it does.

14. We know. Emphatic; we as distinguished from the world.

Have passed (μεταβεβήκαμεν). Lit., have passed over.

From death (ἐκ τοῦ θανάτου). Lit., out of the death. The article marks it as one of the two spheres in which men must be; death or life. The death, the life, present one of those sharp oppositions which are characteristic of the Epistle; as love, hatred; darkness, light; truth, a lie. 'O θάνατος the death, occurs in John's Epistles only here and in the next clause. In the Gospel, only v. 24. Personified in Apoc. i. 18; vi. 8; ix. 6; xx. 13.

Unto life (εἰς τὴν ζωήν). Rev., better, into. Compare enter into the life, Matt. xviii. 8; xix. 17.

Because. The sign of having passed into life; not the ground.

We love the brethren (ἀγαπῶμεν τοὺς ἀδελφούς). The only occurrence of the phrase. Elsewhere, love one another, or love his brother. See on ii. 9.

His brother. Omit.

15. Murderer (ἀνθρωποκτόνος). Manslayer. Only here and John viii. 44, of the devil.

Hath eternal life, etc. The contrast is suggestive between the sentiment embodied in this statement and that of Pagan antiquity respecting murder, in the Homeric age, for instance, "With regard to the practice of homicide, the ordinary Greek morality was extremely loose. . . . Among the Greeks, to have killed a man was considered in the light of misfortune, or. at most, a prudential error, when the perpetrator of the act had come among strangers as a fugitive for protection and hospitality. On the spot, therefore, where the crime occurred, it could stand only as in the nature of a private and civil wrong, and the fine payable was regarded, not (which it might have been) as a mode, however defective, of marking any guilt in the culprit, but as, on the whole, an equitable satisfaction to the wounded feelings of the relatives and friends, or as an actual compensation for the lost services of the dead man. The religion of the age takes no notice of the act whatever" (Gladstone "Homer and the Homeric Age," ii., 436).

16. Hereby $(\vec{\epsilon}\nu \tau o \acute{\nu} \tau φ)$. See on ii. 3.

Perceive (ἐγνώκαμεν). Rev., correctly, know.

The love. Omit the italics of A. V., of God, and render as Rev., hereby know we love.

Laid down His life (τὴν ψυχὴν αὐτοῦ ἔθηκεν). See on John x. 11.

We ought $(\partial \phi \epsilon i \lambda o \mu \epsilon \nu)$. See on ii. 6.

17. This world's good (τὸν βίον τοῦ κόσμου). Rev., the world's goods. Bios means that by which life is sustained, resources, wealth.

Seeth $(\Im \epsilon \omega \rho \hat{\eta})$. Deliberately contemplates. See on John i. 18. Rev., beholdeth. The only occurrence of the verb in John's Epistles.

Have need (χρείαν ἔχοντα). Lit., having need. Rev., in need.

Bowels of compassion (τa $\sigma \pi \lambda a \gamma \chi \nu a$). See on pitiful, 1 Pet. iii. 8. Rev., much better, his compassion. The word only here in John.

19. Shall assure (πείσομεν). Two renderings are possible; the primitive meaning persuade (Acts xix. 26; xvii. 4; 2 Cor. v. 11); or the secondary and consequent sense, assure, quiet, conciliate (Matt. xxviii. 14). Render as A. V., and Rev. as sure. See critical note at the end of the commentary on this Epistle.

Before Him ($\xi\mu\pi\rho\sigma\sigma$ $9e\nu$ $a\dot{\nu}\tau\sigma\hat{\nu}$). Emphatic, the order being, before Him we shall assure our heart. These words are to be kept in mind as the key-note of what follows.

20. For if our heart condemn us, God is greater, etc. A very difficult passage. See critical note as above. Render, as Rev., shall assure our heart before Him whereinsoever our heart condemn us, because God is greater than our heart.

For (6π) . To be rendered not as a conjunction (for, because) but as a relative, in whatsoever or whereinsoever.

Condemn (καταγινώσκη). The word occurs only three times in the New Testament; here, ver. 21, and Gal. ii. 11. It signifies (1.) To note accurately, usually in a bad sense.

Hence to detect (Prov. xxviii. 11); compare Aristophanes: "Having observed (καταγνούς) the foibles of the old man" ("Knights," 46). To form an unfavorable prejudice against. So Herodotus. Datis says to the Delians, "Why are ye fled, O holy men, having judged me (καταγνόντες κατ' ἐμεῦ) in so unfriendly a way?" (vi., 97). (2.) To note judicially: to accuse: to accuse one's self. So Thucydides: "No one, when venturing on a perilous enterprise, ever yet passed a sentence of failure on himself" (καταγνούς έαυτοῦ μὴ περιέσεσθαι : iii. 45). To give sentence, or condemn. To condemn to death. "Those who had fled they condemned to death" (Θάνατον καταγνόντες; Thueydides, vi., 60). To decide a suit against one. So Aristophanes: "You judges have no maintenance if you will not decide against (καταγνώσεσθε) this suit" ("Knights," 1360). In Gal. ii. 11, it is said of Peter that, because of his concessions to the Jewish ritualists, κατεγνωσμένος ην he stood condemned or self-condemned (not as A. V., he was to be blamed). His conduct was its own condemnation. is the sense in this passage, the internal judgment of conscience.

Because ($\delta\tau\iota$). This second $\delta\tau\iota$ does not appear in the A. V. It is a conjunction.

Greater (μείζων). Is this superior greatness to be regarded as related to God's judgment, or to His compassion? If to His judgment, the sense is: God who is greater than our heart and knows all things, must not only endorse but emphasize our selfaccusation. If our heart condemn, how much more God, who is greater than our heart. If to His compassion, the sense is: when our heart condemns us we shall quiet it with the assurance that we are in the hands of a God who is greater than our heart—who surpasses man in love and compassion no less than in knowledge. This latter sense better suits the whole drift of the discussion. See critical note. There is a play of the words γινώσκει knoweth, and καταγινώσκη condemneth, which is untranslatable.

21. Beloved. The affectionate address is suggested by the preceding thought of tormenting self-accusation.

Confidence (παρρησίαν). Rev., boldness. See on ii. 28.

22. We ask (αἰτῶμεν). See on Luke xi. 9.

We receive of Him $(\lambda a\mu\beta \acute{a}\nu o\mu\epsilon\nu \ \acute{a}\pi' \ a\dot{\nu}\tau o\hat{\nu})$. On the form of expression, see on i. 5. For the thought, compare John xv. 7.

We keep $(\tau\eta\rho o\hat{v}\mu\epsilon\nu)$. See on 1 Pet. i. 5. Note the combination of keep and do. Watchful discernment and habitual practice. Compare Ps. exxiii. 2. The same combination occurs v. 2, 3, where instead of the first $\tau\eta\rho\hat{w}\mu\epsilon\nu$ keep, read $\pi\sigma\hat{w}\mu\epsilon\nu$ do.

Pleasing (ἀρεστά). See John viii. 29.

- In His sight (ἐνώπιον αὐτοῦ). Compare ἔμπροσθεν αὐτοῦ before Him, or in His presence (ver. 19). In His sight "accentuates the thought of the divine regard. Compare John xii. 37 and xx. 30" (Westcott).
- 23. Believe on the name (πιστεύσωμεν τῷ ὀνόματι). See on John i. 12; 1 John i. 7.
- 24. Abideth in Him and He in Him. "Therefore let God be a home to thee, and be thou the home of God: abide in God, and let God abide in thee" (Bede).
- **Spirit.** The first mention of the Spirit in the Epistle. Never found with *Holy* in the Epistles or Apocalypse.

CHAPTER IV.

1. Beloved. Again the recognition of danger from false spirits prompts this affectionate address. Compare iii. 21.

Try (δοκιμάζετε). Better, as Rev., prove. See on 1 Pet. i. 7; Luke xii. 55. Compare the phrase discerning of spirits, 1 Cor. xii. 10.

Of God $(\epsilon \kappa)$. Out of: proceeding from.

False prophets (ψευδοπροφήται). The term is applied in the New Testament to rivals of true prophets under the old dispensation (Luke vi. 26; 2 Pet. ii. 1), and to rivals of the apostles under the gospel economy (Matt. vii. 15; xxiv. 11, 24; Mark xiii. 22). In the Apocalypse to "the embodied power of spiritual falsehood" (xvi. 13; xix. 20; xx. 10). The false prophet supports his claims by signs and portents (Matt. xxiv. 24; Acts xiii. 6; Apoc. xix. 20) and is thus distinguished from the false teacher. See 2 Pet. ii. 1, where the two terms occur together.

Are gone out $(i\xi \epsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \Omega \sigma w)$. The perfect tense indicates that the influence of their going out on their false mission is in operation at the present.

2. Hereby (ἐν τούτφ). See on ii. 3.

Know ye (γινώσκετε). Perceive. See on John ii. 24.

Confesseth (ὁμολογεί). See on Matt. vii. 23; x. 32.

That Jesus Christ is come in the flesh (Ἰησοῦν Χριστὸν ἐν σαρκὶ ἐληλυθότα). Lit., Jesus Christ having come, etc. The whole phrase forms the direct object of the verb confesseth.

Of God. Compare 1 Cor. xii. 3.

3. Is come in the flesh. Omit. Render, confesseth not Jesus. So Rev. An ancient reading is λύει τὸν Ἰησοῦν "annulleth or destroyeth Jesus." The simple Jesus emphasizes the humanity of our Lord considered in itself. See Rom. iii. 26; x. 9; 2 Cor. xi. 4; Eph. iv. 21; Heb. ii. 9.

This $(\tau o \hat{v} \tau o)$. Not this *spirit*, but this *non-confession*, summed up in all its manifestations.

Cometh. See on ii. 18.

4. Have overcome. See on ii. 13.

Greater. Compare iii. 20.

In you. The Christian society. Compare John vi. 56; xiv. 20; xv. 4-10; xvii. 23, 26; Gal. ii. 20 (of the individual).

He that is in the world. In v. 19, the world is said to be in the evil one. Compare Eph. ii. 2.

5. Of the world (ἐκ τοῦ κόσμου). Proceeding from, as their source (ἐκ). Different from ἐκ τῆς γῆς from the earth (John iii. 31), as marking the whole worldly economy morally considered.

Speak they of the world (ἐκ τοῦ κόσμου λαλοῦσιν). An ambiguous rendering, which might readily be interpreted "they speak concerning the world." Literally it is: "they speak out of the world; i.e., the character of their utterances corresponds to their origin. Rev., "speak they as of the world." The position of of the world in the sentence is emphatic: "it is out of the world that they speak."

6. He that knoweth (ὁ γινώσκων). Lit., the one knowing: he who is habitually and ever more clearly perceiving and recognizing God as his Christian life unfolds. The knowledge is regarded as progressive and not complete. Compare Philip. iii. 12, and He who is calling (ὁ καλῶν, 1 Thess. v. 24), also ὁ ἀγαπῶν he that loves (ver. 7).

Hereby (ἐκ τούτου). Not the same as the common ἐν τούτφ (ver. 2). It occurs only here in the Epistle. Ἐν τούτφ is in this: ἐκ τούτου, from this. The former marks the residing or consisting of the essence or truth of a thing in something the apprehension of which conveys to us the essential nature of the thing itself. The latter marks the inference or deduction of the truth from something, as contrasted with its immediate perception in that something. Rev., by this.

The spirit of error (τὸ πνεῦμα τῆς πλάνης). The phrase occurs nowhere else in the New Testament. Compare πνεύμασι πλάνοις misleading spirits, 1 Tim. iv. 1.

- 7. Of God (ἐκ τοῦ Θεοῦ). Flows from God.
- 8. Knoweth not (οὐκ ἔγνω). The aroist tense: did not know, from the beginning. He never knew.

Is love (ἀγάπη ἐστίν). See on God is light (i. 5), and the truth (i. 6); also God is spirit (John iv. 24). Spirit and light are expressions of God's essential nature. Love is the expression of His personality corresponding to His nature. See on love of God (ii. 5). Truth and love stand related to each other. Loving is the condition of knowing.

9. Was manifested. See on John xxi. 1; 1 John iii. 5.

Toward us $(\dot{\epsilon}\nu \, \dot{\eta}\mu \hat{\iota}\nu)$. Wrong. Not "among us," as John i. 14, nor "in us;" but as Rev., in margin, in our case.*

Sent ($\partial \pi \acute{e} \sigma \tau \lambda \kappa e \nu$). John describes the incarnation as a sending, more frequently than in any other way. $A\pi \sigma \sigma \tau \acute{e}\lambda \lambda \omega$ is to send under commission, as an envoy. The perfect tense, hath sent, points to the abiding results of the sending. See on iii. 5.

His only-begotten Son (τὸν νίὸν αὐτοῦ τὸν μονογενῆ). Lit., His Son, the only-begotten (Son). A mode of expression common in John, enlarging upon the meaning of a noun by the addition of an adjective or a participle with the article. See i. 2; ii. 7, 8, 25; v. 4; John vi. 41, 44, 50, 51; xv. 1, etc. On only-begotten, see on John i. 14.

^{*} So Alford and Huther, agt. Westcott. Westcott rightly observes that the preposition $\ell\nu$ in, is constantly used in the context to express the presence of God in the Christian body; but it is most commonly joined there with $\mu \ell\nu \epsilon \iota$ abideth, vv. 12, 13, 15, 16, and the objective statement, God sent, etc., defining the manifestation of God's love, does not adjust itself naturally to the subjective sense implied in in us.

- 10. Propitiation. See on ii. 2.
- 11. So (οὕτως). Emphatic.

We ought. See on ii. 6.

12. God. Beginning the sentence emphatically, and without the article: God as God. "God hath no man ever yet seen." Compare John i. 18.

His love. Not our love to Him, nor His love to us, but the love which is peculiarly His; which answers to His nature.

14. We have seen (τεθεάμεθα). Have deliberately and steadfastly contemplated. Compare i. 1, and see on John i. 14.

Do testify (μαρτυροῦμεν). Rev., bear witness. See on John i. 7.

Sent. See on ver. 9.

The Saviour of the world. See the same phrase, John iv. 42, and compare John iii. 17. Σωτήρ Saviour, occurs in John only here and John iv. 42. Elsewhere it is applied both to God (1 Tim. i. 1; ii. 3; Tit. i. 3; ii. 10; iii. 4; Jude 25), and to Christ (Luke ii. 11; Acts v. 31; xiii. 23; 2 Tim. i. 10; Tit. i. 4, etc.). The title is found in Paul's Epistles of the Captivity (Eph. v. 23; Philip. iii. 20), and in the Pastorals (see above), but not in Corinthians, Romans, Galatians, or Thessalonians. In classical writings the term is applied to many deities, especially to Zeus (Jupiter); also to Hermes (Mercury), Apollo, Hercules, and even to female deities, as Fortune and Aphrodite (Venus). "Zens Soter" (Zeus Saviour) was used as a formula in drinking at banquets. The third cup was dedicated to him. Compare Plato: "Then, by way of a third libation to the saviour Zeus, let us sum up and reassert what has been said" ("Philebus," 66). The drinking of this cup was a symbol of good fortune, and the third time came to mean the lucky time. "Twice then has the just man overthrown the unjust; and now comes the third trial, which, after Olympic fashion, is sacred to Zeus the saviour, . . . and surely this will prove the greatest and most decisive of falls" (Plato, "Republic," 583). Hence the proverb, τὸ τρίτον τῷ σωτῆρι, lit., the third to the saviour; i.e., the third or lucky time. The name was also given later to princes or public benefactors. The kindred noun σωτηρία salvation, does not occur in John's Epistles, and appears only once in the Gospel (iv. 22). It is found thrice in the Apocalypse (vii. 10; xii. 10; xix. 1). Σώζειν to save occurs six times in John's Gospel, and once in the Apocalypse (xxi. 24). It does not appear in the Epistles.

15. Whosoever (os $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{a}\nu$). Lit., who if there be any.

Shall confess. See on i. 9.

Son of God. See on 1.7.

16. The love which God hath. On this use of εχειν to have, see on John xvi. 22. Compare John xiii. 35.

To us ($\epsilon \nu$ $\eta \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$). Rev., in us. Compare God abideth in Him.

Dwelleth in love, etc. See John xv. 9, 10. Rev., abideth.

17. Herein (ἐν τούτφ). To what does this refer? Two explanations are given. (1.) To the following that we may have boldness. So Huther, who argues thus on the ground that ver. 18 shows that the drift of the writer's thought is toward the fearlessness of love. According to this, therefore, love has its fulfilment in freeing us from fear, and inspiring us with boldness even in view of the final judgment. (2.) To what precedes, viz., our dwelling in God and He in us. So Westcott: "The fellowship of God with man and of man with God, carries with it the consummation of love." I prefer the latter, principally on the ground that in such phrases as ἐν τούτφ in this, διὰ τοῦτο on this account, therefore, the pronoun usually refers to something preceding, though more fully developed in

what follows. See John v. 16, 18; vi. 65; viii. 47; x. 17; xii. 18; xvi. 15.

Our love ($\hat{\eta}$ $\hat{a}\gamma\hat{a}\pi\eta$ $\mu\epsilon\hat{S}$ $\hat{\eta}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$). The A. V. construes $\mu\epsilon\hat{S}$ ήμῶν with us, with love, making with us equivalent to our. In that case it might mean either the love which is between Christians, or the love which is between God and Christians. Rev. construes with us with the verb: love is made perfect with us. The latter is preferable. I do not think it would be easy to point out a parallel in the New Testament to the expression $\partial \gamma \partial \pi \eta \mu \epsilon \vartheta \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ love that is with $us = our \ love$. The true idea is that love is perfected in fellowship. The love of God is perfected with us, in communion with us, through our abiding in Him and He in us. "Love is not simply perfected in man, but in fulfilling this issue God works with man" (Westcott). Compare 2 John 3, "grace shall be with us" (true reading); and Acts xv. 4, "what things God had done with them." See also Matt. i. 23; 1 Cor. xvi. 24; Gal. vi. 18. Μετά with, is used constantly in the New Testament of ethical relations. See Matt. xx. 2; ii. 3; Luke xxiii. 12; Acts vii. 9; Rom. xii. 15; 1 John i. 6.

Boldness ($\pi a \dot{\rho} \dot{\rho} \eta \sigma l a \nu$). See on ii. 28.

The day of judgment (τη ἡμέρα της κρίσεως). Lit., the day of the judgment. The exact phrase occurs here only. Ήμέρα κρίσεως day of judgment, without the articles, is found Matt. x. 15; xi. 22, 24; xii. 36; 2 Pet. ii. 9; iii. 7. The day is called the great day of their wrath (Apoc. vi. 17); the day of wrath and of revelation of the righteous judgment of God (Rom. ii. 5); the day of visitation (1 Pet. ii. 12); the last day (John vi. 39, 40, 44, 54); that day (Matt. vii. 22; Luke vi. 23; x. 12). The judgment is found Matt. xii. 41, 42; Luke x. 14; xi. 31, 32.

Because. Likeness to Christ is the ground of boldness.

As (καθώς). Not absolutely, but according to our measure, as men in this world.

He is. The present tense is very significant. Compare iii. 7, "is righteous even as He is righteous." The essence of our being as He is lies in perfected love; and Christ is eternally love. "He that abideth in love abideth in God and God in lim." Compare iii. 2.

In this world. This present economy, physical and moral. The phrase limits the conception of likeness.

18. There is no fear in love (φόβος οὐκ ἔστιν ἐν τῷ ἀγάπη). Lit., fear is not. It has no existence. The fear is that spoken of in 1 Pet. i. 17; Heb. xii. 28; godly fear; filial reverence: not slavish fear, as Rom. viii. 15. In love, lit., the love, that perfected love of which John has been speaking.

Perfect (τελεία). Not perfected, as ver. 17, but perfect as the result of having been perfected. Compare Heb. v. 14; Jas. i. 4; iii. 2.

Casteth out ($\xi \omega$ $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$). A strong expression: turneth out of doors. Fear is cast out of the sphere of the fellowship of love. See the phrase in John vi. 37; ix. 34, 35; xii. 31; xv. 6.

Hath torment (κόλασιν ἔχει). Torment is a faulty translation. The word means punishment, penalty. It occurs in the New Testament only here and Matt. xxv. 46. The kindred verb, κολάζομαι to punish, is found Acts iv. 21; 2 Pet. ii. 9. Note the present tense, hath. The punishment is present. Fear by anticipating punishment has it even now. The phrase hath punishment (see on John xvi. 22) indicates that the punishment is inherent in the fear. Fear carries its own punishment. Augustine, commenting on the expulsion of fear by love, says: "As in sewing, we see the thread passed through by the needle. The needle is first pushed in, but the thread cannot be introduced until the needle is brought out. So fear first occupies the mind, but does not remain permanently, because it entered for the purpose of introducing love." The words because fear hath punishment are parenthetical.

He that feareth. The A. V. omits and $(\delta \grave{\epsilon})$, which is important as closely connecting this clause with there is no fear in love, etc. That is an abstract statement; this is personal; two modes of stating the same truth. Rev. "and he that feareth."

Is not made perfect. "Men's condition is varied; without fear and love; with fear without love; with fear and love; without fear with love" (Bengel).

- 19. We love Him (ἡμεῖς ἀγαπῶμεν αὐτὸν). The best texts omit Him. Some render let us love, as ver. 7. The statement is general, relating to the entire operation of the principle of love. All human love is preceded and generated by the love of God.
- 20. He that loveth not his brother, etc. Note the striking inversion of the clauses: He that loveth not his brother whom he hath seen, God whom he hath not seen cannot love.
- How. The best texts omit, and give the direct statement cannot love. So Rev.
- 21. That ("va). Not defining the contents of the commandment, but expressing its intent. Compare John xiii. 34, and see on John xv. 13.

His brother. "To the persecutor Saul, Christ said, 'Saul, Saul, why persecutest thou Me? I have ascended into heaven, yet still I lie upon earth. Here I sit at the right hand of the Father; there I still hunger, thirst, and am a stranger'" (Augustine).

CHAPTER V.

1. Whosoever believeth (πᾶς ὁ πιστεύων). Lit., every one that believeth. For the characteristic form of expression see on iii. 3.

The Christ. See on Matt. i. 1, and 1 John i. 7.

2. By this $(\vec{\epsilon}\nu \tau o \nu \tau \phi)$. Not by this or from this, as an inference (see on iv. 6), but in the very exercise of the sentiment toward God, we perceive.

When (öταν). More strictly, whenever. Our perception of the existence of love to our brethren is developed on every occasion when we exercise love and obedience toward God.

Keep (τηρῶμεν). Read ποιῶμεν do. So Rev. See on John iii. 21; 1 John iii. 4. The exact phrase ποιεῖν τὰς ἐντολὰς to do the commandments, occurs only here. See on Apoc. xxii. 14.

- 3. Grievous (βαρείαι). Lit., heavy. The word occurs six times in the New Testament. Acts xx. 29, violent, rapacious; "grievous wolves": 2 Cor. x. 10, weighty, impressive, of Paul's letters: Matt. xxiii. 23; Acts xxv. 7, important, serious; the weightier matters of the law; serious charges against Paul.
 - 4. Overcometh (νικά). See on ii. 13.

The victory ($\dot{\eta}$ $\nu i \kappa \eta$). Only here in the New Testament.

That overcometh (ἡ νικήσασα). The aorist tense, overcame. On the cumulative form of expression, the victory, that which overcame, see on iv. 9. The aorist is to be held here to its strict sense. The victory over the world was, potentially, won when we believed in Jesus as the Christ, the Son of God. We overcome the world by being brought into union with Christ. On becoming as He is (iii. 17) we become partakers of His victory (John xvi. 33). "Greater is He that is in you than He that is in the world" (iv. 4).

Our faith $(\pi l \sigma \tau \iota s \ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu)$. $\Pi l \sigma \tau \iota s \ faith$, only here in John's Epistles and not in the Gospel. Our faith is embraced in the confession that Jesus is the Christ, the Son of God. On the question of the subjective and objective use of the faith, see on Acts vi. 7.

5. He that overcometh (ὁ νικῶν). The article with the participle denoting what is habitual; one who leads a life of victory over the world.

6. This. Jesus.

He that came (ὁ ἐλθὼν). Referring to the historic fact. See Matt. xi. 3; Luke vii. 19; John i. 15, 27. Compare, for the form of expression, John i. 33; iii. 13.

By water and blood (δι ὕδατος καὶ αἵματος). Διά by, must be taken with ὁ ἐλθὰν He that came. It has not merely the sense of accompaniment, but also of instrumentality, i.e., by, through, by means of. Water and blood are thus the media through which Jesus the Mediator wrought, and which especially characterized the coming. See especially Heb. ix. 12: "Christ being come . . . neither by the blood (δι αἵματος) of goats and calves, but by His own blood (διὰ δε τοῦ ἰδίου αἵματος"). Compare "we walk by faith not by sight (διὰ πίστεως οὐ διὰ εἴδους," 2 Cor. v. 7): we wait with (lit., through) patience (δι ὑπομονῆς," Rom. viii. 25).

Water refers to Christ's baptism at the beginning of His Messianic work, through which He declared His purpose to fulfil all righteousness (Matt. iii. 15). Blood refers to His bloody death upon the cross for the sin of the world.

Other explanations are substituted for this or combined with it. Some refer the words water and blood to the incident in John xix. 34. To this it is justly objected that these words are evidently chosen to describe something characteristic of Christ's Messianic office, which could not be said of the incident in question. Nevertheless, as Alford justly remarks, "to deny all such allusion seems against probability. The apostle could hardly, both here and in that place, lay such evident stress on the water and the blood together, without having in his mind some link connecting this place and that." The readers of the Epistle must have been familiar with the incident, from oral or from written teaching.

Others refer the words to the Christian sacraments. These,

however, as Huther observes, are only the means for the appropriation of Christ's atonement; whereas the subject here is the accomplishment of the atonement itself. Alua blood, standing by itself, never signifies the Lord's Supper in the New Testament.

The true principle of interpretation appears to be laid down in the two canons of Düsterdieck. (1.) Water and blood must point both to some purely historical facts in the life of our Lord on earth, and to some still present witnesses for Christ. (2.) They must not be interpreted symbolically, but understood of something so real and powerful, as that by them God's testimony is given to believers, and eternal life assured to them. Thus the sacramental reference, though secondary, need not be excluded. Canon Westcott finds "an extension of the meaning" of water and blood in the following words: "Not in the water only, but in the water and in the blood," followed by the reference to the present witness of the Spirit. He argues that the change of the prepositions (ἐν in, for διά by), the use of the article $(\tau \hat{\omega})$, and the stress laid on actual experience (it is the Spirit that witnesseth), these, together with the fact that that which was spoken of in its unity (by water and blood) is now spoken of in its separate parts (in the water and in the blood)— "all show that St. John is speaking of a continuation of the first coming under some new but analogous form. The first proof of the Messiahship of Jesus lay in His complete historical fulfilment of Messiah's work once for all, in bringing purification and salvation; that proof is continued in the experience of the Church in its two separate parts." Thus we are led to the ideas underlying the two sacraments.

The subject opened by the word blood is too large for discussion within these limits. The student is referred to Dr. Patrick Fairbairn's "Typology of Scripture;" Andrew Jukes, "The Law of the Offerings;" Professor William Milligan, "The Resurrection of our Lord," note, p. 274 sqq.; Canon Westcott's "Additional Note" on 1 John i. 7, in his "Commentary on John's Epistles;" and Henry Clay Trumbull, "The Blood Covenant."

Not by water only (οὐκ ἐν τῷ ὕδατι μόνον). Lit., not in the water only. Rev., with. The preposition ἐν in, marks the

sphere or element in which; $\delta\iota\acute{a}$ by, the medium through which. For the interchange of $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ and $\delta\iota\acute{a}$ see 2 Cor. vi. 7. The words are probably directed against the teaching of Cerinthus. See on ii. 22. John asserts that Jesus is the Christ, and that He came by blood as well as by water.

And it is the Spirit that beareth witness (καὶ τὸ πνεῦμά ἐστιν τὸ μαρτυροῦν). Lit., and the Spirit is that which is bearing witness. Note the present tense, beareth witness, and compare ver. 9, hath borne witness. The witness is present and continuous in the Church, in the sacraments for instance, in water and in blood. Witnessing is the peculiar office of the Spirit. See John xiv. 26; xv. 26; xvi. 8 sqq. See on John i. 7.

Because (ö11). Some render that, as presenting the substance of the testimony, which is absurd: the Spirit witnesseth that the Spirit is the truth. The Spirit is the Holy Ghost, not the spiritual life in man.

The truth $(\dot{\eta} \ \dot{a}\lambda\dot{\eta}9\epsilon\iota a)$. Just as Christ is the truth (John xiv. 6).

7. There are three that bear record (τρεῖς εἰσιν οἱ μαρτυροῦντες). Lit., three are the witnessing ones.

The Father, the Word, and the Holy Ghost, and these three are one. These words are rejected by the general verdict of critical authorities. For the details of the memorable controversy on the passage, the student may consult Frederick Henry Scrivener, "Introduction to the Criticism of the New Testament;" Samuel P. Tregelles, "An Account of the Printed Text of the Greek New Testament;" John Selby Watson, "The Life of Richard Porson, M.A.;" Professor Ezra Abbot, "Orme's Memoir of the Controversy on 1 John v. 7;" Charles Foster, "A New Plea for the Authenticity of the Text of the Three Heavenly Witnesses," or "Porson's Letters to Travis Eclectically Examined," Cambridge, 1867. On the last-named work, Scrivener remarks, "I would fain call it a success if I could

with truth. To rebut much of Porson's insolent sophistry was easy, to maintain the genuineness of this passage is simply impossible." Tregelles gives a list of more than fifty volumes, pamphlets, or critical notices on this question. Porson, in the conclusion of his letters to Travis, says: "In short, if this verse be really genuine, notwithstanding its absence from all the visible Greek manuscripts except two (that of Dublin and the forged one found at Berlin), one of which awkwardly translates the verse from the Latin, and the other transcribes it from a printed book; notwithstanding its absence from all the versions except the Vulgate, even from many of the best and oldest manuscripts of the Vulgate; notwithstanding the deep and dead silence of all the Greek writers down to the thirteenth, and of most of the Latins down to the middle of the eighth century; if, in spite of all these objections, it be still genuine, no part of Scripture whatsoever can be proved either spurious or genuine; and Satan has been permitted for many centuries miraculously to banish the 'finest passage in the New Testament,' as Martin calls it, from the eyes and memories of almost all the Christian authors, translators, and transcribers."

- 8. Agree in one (είς τὸ εν είσιν). Lit., are for the one. They converge upon the one truth, Jesus Christ, the Son of God, come in the flesh.
- 9. If we receive ($\epsilon i \lambda a\mu\beta \acute{a}vo\mu\epsilon\nu$). The indicative mood, assuming such reception as a fact. If we receive, as we do. On the verb receive, see on John iii. 32.

The witness of God is greater. Supply mentally, and therefore we should receive that.

For ($\delta\tau$). Not explaining why it is greater, but why the principle of the superior greatness of divine testimony should apply and be appealed to in this case. Supply mentally, and this applies in the case before us, for, etc.

This is the witness of God which $(\hat{\eta}\nu)$. The best texts read $\delta\tau\iota$ that or because. Render that. This is the witness of God, even the fact that, etc.

- 10. On the Son of God. Faith in the person of Christ, not merely in the fact that Jesus is the Son of God.
- God. Also personal. To believe God, is to believe the message which comes from Him. See on John i. 12.

Hath made—hath believed (πεποίηκεν—πεπίστευκεν). The perfect tense marks the two results expressed by the verbs as connected with a past act. The act perpetuates itself in the present condition of the unbeliever.

Believed on the witness (πεπίστευκεν εἰς τὴν μαρτυρίαν). The phrase occurs only here. See on John i. 12. In one other case to believe on is used with an object not directly personal, πιστεύετε εἰς τὸ φῶς; but the reference is clearly to the personal Christ as the Light of the World (John viii. 12).

11. Hath given (ἔδωκεν). The aorist tense, gave. So Rev. The reference is to the historic fact of the gift. So 1 John iii. 23: "We should love one another as He gave (ἔδωκεν) us commandment." Ver. 24: "We know that He abideth in us by the Spirit which He gave (ἔδωκεν) us." On the other hand, 1 John iii. 1: "Behold what manner of love the Father hath bestowed (δέδωκεν) upon us." The gift of love abides in the fact that we are now children of God (ver. 2).

Eternal life (ζωὴν αἰώνιον). Compare the phrase τὴν ζωὴν τὴν αἰώνιον the life, the eternal life (i. 2), and ἡ αἰώνιος ζωὴ the eternal life (John xvii. 3). For the distinction between the phrases see on i. 2. The phrase here, without either article, merely defines the character of the life.

12. Hath life (ἔχει τὴν ζωήν). More strictly, as Rev., the life; i.e., the life which God gave (ver. 11). See on John xvi. 22. Compare Christ who is our life (Col. iii. 4).

The Son of God. Hath the Son, hath not the Son of God, pointing back to God as the giver of life in His Son. Bengel observes: "The verse has two clauses: in the former, of God

is not added, because believers know the Son; in the other it is added, that unbelievers may know at length how serious it is not to have Him."

Hath not life. Note the inversion "He that hath the Son hath the life. He that hath not the Son of God, the life hath he not."

13. Have I written ($\check{\epsilon}\gamma\rho a\psi a$). Lit., I wrote. John speaks as looking back over his Epistle and recalling the aim with which he wrote. See on ii. 13.

May know (εἰδῆτε). Not perceive (γινώσκειν), but know with settled and absolute knowledge. See on John ii. 24.

Ve have eternal life (ζωὴν ἔχετε αἰώνιον). The Greek order is peculiar, "ye may know that *life ye have eternal*." The adjective *eternal* is added as an after-thought. So Westcott: "that ye have life—yes, eternal life."

Unto you that believe. In the A.V., these words follow have I written. The Rev. follows the Greek order. The words, like eternal, above, are added as an after-thought, defining the character of the persons addressed.

On the name (εἰς τὸ ὄνομα). See on John ii. 23; i. 12.

14. Confidence (παρρησία). Rev., boldness. See on ii. 28; John vii. 13. On have boldness, see on John xvi. 22.

We ask (αἰτώμεθα). With a possible reference in the middle voice to asking for ourselves.

According to His will (κατὰ τὸ θέλημα αὐτοῦ). For the phrase compare 1 Pet. iv. 19; Gal. i. 4; Eph. i. 5, 11.

He heareth us (ἀκούει ἡμῶν). Compare John ix. 31; xi. 41, 42. Hear is used in this sense by John only.

VOL. II.-24

- 15. Whatsoever we ask. The whole phrase is governed by the verb hear. If we know that He heareth our every petition.
 - If any man see (ἐάν τις ἴδη). A supposed case.

His brother. Christian brother.

Sin a sin (ἀμαρτάνοντα ἀμαρτίαν). Lit., as Rev., sinning a sin. There is no exact parallel to the phrase in the New Testament. Compare the promise which He promised, ii. 25.

Not unto death $(\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \rho \dot{\delta} s \ 9 \acute{a} \nu a \tau o \nu)$. Describing the nature of the sin. The preposition *unto*, signifies *tendency toward*, not necessarily *involving death*. See on ver. 17.

He shall ask (αἰτήσει). In prayer. The future tense expresses not merely permission (it shall be permitted him to ask), but the certainty that, as a Christian brother, he will ask. An injunction to that effect is implied.

He shall give. He may refer either to God or to the petitioner, as being the means of bestowing life through his intercession, as in Jas. v. 20. The former explanation is the more natural. So Rev.

Him $(a\dot{v}\tau\hat{\phi})$. The brother for whom intercession is made.

For them that sin (τοῖς ἀμαρτάνουσιν). In apposition with αὐτῷ to him. God shall give life unto him (the erring brother), even unto them that sin. The plural generalizes the particular case described by ἀμαρτάνοντα ἀμαρτίαν sinning a sin.

There is a sin ($\check{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\iota\nu$ $\check{a}\mu a\rho\tau\iota a$). Rev., margin, better, sin. A sin would express a specific act as such. Sin describes the character of a class of acts.

Unto death. The difficulty of the passage lies in the explanation of these words. It is impossible to determine their exact

meaning with certainty. Some of the many explanations are as follows: Such sin as God punishes with deadly sickness or sudden death. All those sins punished with excommunication (so the older Catholic theologians). An unrepented sin. Envy. A sinful state or condition. The sin by which the Christian falls back from Christian life into death. The anti-Christian denial that Jesus is the Christ.

The phrase λαβεῖν ἀμαρτίαν θανητοφόρον to incur a death-bearing sin (A. V., bear sin and die), occurs Num. xviii. 22, Sept., and the distinction between sins unto death and sins not unto death is common in Rabbinic writings. However John's expression may have been suggested by these, it cannot be assumed that they determine the sense in which he uses it.

Life and death in the passage must correspond. Bodily death and spiritual life cannot be meant. The passage must be interpreted in the light of John's utterances elsewhere concerning life and death. In ver. 12, he says: He that hath the Son hath life, and he that hath not the Son of God hath not life. In iii. 14, 15, he says that he that loveth not abideth in death: that he that hateth his brother is a manslayer, and that no manslayer hath eternal life abiding in him. These canons of interpretation point to the explanation, in which some of the best authorities agree, that the sin unto death does not refer to a specific act, but to a class or species of sins, the tendency of which is to cut the bond of fellowship with Christ. Hence the passage is in the key-note of fellowship which pervades the Epistle. Whatever breaks the fellowship between the soul and Christ, and, by consequence, between the individual and the body of believers, is unto death, for there is no life apart from Christ. It is indeed true that this tendency inheres in all sin. Sin is essentially death. But a distinction is to be made, as Canon Westcott observes, between sins which flow from human imperfection and infirmity, and sins which are open manifestations of a character alien from God. "All unrighteousness is sin, and there is a sin not unto death." It must be carefully borne in mind in the study of the passage, that John is speaking of sinful acts as revelations of character, and not simply in themselves. So Huther: "Such sinning as is characterized,

not by the object with which it is connected, but by the disposition from which it proceeds." *

I do not say that he shall pray for it (οὐ περὶ ἐκείνης λέγω ἵνα ἐρωτήση). Lit., not concerning this do I say that he should make request. So Rev. Prayer even for this sin unto death is not forbidden, but John says that he does not enjoin it. Note the sharp distinctness with which that terrible sin is thrown out by the pronoun of remote reference and its emphatic position in the sentence. Note also the words make request (¿owτήση), and compare aiτήσει he shall ask. On the distinction, see on Luke xi. 9. Airéw to ask, is used of the petition of an inferior, and is never used of Christ's own requests to God. Hence it is properly used here of the humble and affectionate petition of a Christian to God on behalf of a sinning brother. Έρωτάω is used of the request of an equal, or of one who asks on equal terms. Hence it may mark a request based upon fellowship with God through Christ, or it may hint at an element of presumption in a prayer for a sin unto death. Westcott cites a very early inscription in the Roman Catacombs as an illustration of the use of ἐρωτᾶν in the sense of Christian prayer for Christians: ἐρωτᾶ ὑπὲρ ἡμῶν pray for us.

- 17. Unrighteousness (ἀδικία). This is the character of every offence against that which is right. Every breach of duty is a manifestation of sin. Compare iii. 4, where sin is defined as ἀνομία lawlessness, and lawlessness as sin. See Rom. vi. 13.
- 18. We know ($oi\delta a\mu\epsilon\nu$). John uses this appeal to knowledge in two forms: we know (iii. 2, 14; v. 18, 19, 20); ye know (ii. 20; iii. 5, 15).

He that is begotten of God (ὁ γεννηθεὶς ἐκ τοῦ Θεοῦ). Lit., was begotten. This exact phrase does not occur elsewhere.

^{*} An interesting paper on "The Sin unto Death," by the Rev. Samuel Cox, D.D., may be found in "The Expositor," 2d series, vol. i., p. 416. He holds to Bengel's view of a sinful state or condition.

Some refer it to the man who is born of God, making it parallel with ὁ γεγεννημένος ἐκ τοῦ Θεοῦ, he that is begotten of God. Others to Christ, the only-begotten of God. The latter is preferable.

That wicked one (δ $\pi o \nu \eta \rho \delta s$). See on ii. 13. Rev., the evil one.

Toucheth (ἄπτεται). See on John xx. 17, the only other passage in John's writings where the verb occurs. Both this verb and θιγγάνω (Col. ii. 21; Heb. xi. 28; xii. 20) express a touch which exerts a modifying influence upon the object, though θιγγάνω indicates rather a superficial touch. On ψηλαφάω (Acts xvii. 27; Heb. xii. 18; 1 John i. 1), see on Luke xxiv. 39. Compare Col. ii. 21. The idea here is layeth not hold of him.

19. We are of God (ἐκ τοῦ Θεοῦ ἐσμέν). For the phrase εἶναι ἐκ to be from, see on John i. 46. For ἐσμέν we are, see on iii. 1. John expresses the relation of believers to God by the following phrases: To be born or begotten of God, γεννη-βῆναι ἐκ τοῦ Θεοῦ (v. 1; ii. 29; iv. 7): denoting the initial communication of the new life. To be of God, εἶναι ἐκ τοῦ Θεοῦ (John viii. 47; 1 John iii. 10; iv. 6): denoting the essential connection in virtue of the new life. Child of God, τέκνον Θεοῦ (John i. 12; 1 John iii. 1, 10): denoting the relation established by the new life.

World (κόσμος). See on John i. 9.

Lieth (κεῖται). The word is stronger than ἐστι is, indicating the passive, unprogressive state in the sphere of Satan's influence. "While we are from God, implying a birth and a proceeding forth, and a change of state, the κόσμος the world, all the rest of mankind, remains in the hand of the evil one" (Alford).

In wickedness ($\vec{\epsilon}\nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi o \nu \eta \rho \hat{\varphi}$). Rev., better, in the evil one. The expression to lie in has a parallel in Sophocles' "Antigone:"

ἐν ὑμῖν γὰρ ὡς Θεῷ κείμε∂α τλάμονες.

"Wretched we lie in you as in a god" (247).

20. An understanding (διάνοιαν). Only here in John's writings. The faculty of understanding. See on Luke i. 51. Westcott remarks that nouns which express intellectual powers are rare in the writings of John.

We may know (γινώσκομεν). Apprehend progressively. Compare John xvii. 3.

Him that is true (τὸν ἀληθινόν). Compare Apoc. iii. 7, 14; vi. 10. On true, see on John i. 9. "God very strangely condescends indeed in making things plain to me, actually assuming for the time the form of a man, that I at my poor level may better see Him. This is my opportunity to know Him. incarnation is God making Himself accessible to human thought -God opening to man the possibility of correspondence through Jesus Christ. And this correspondence and this environment are those I seek. He Himself assures me, 'This is life eternal, that they might know Thee, the only true God, and Jesus Christ whom Thou hast sent.' Do I not now discern the deeper meaning in Jesus Christ whom Thou hast sent? Do I not better understand with what vision and rapture the profoundest of the disciples exclaims, 'The Son of God is come, and hath given us an understanding, that we might know Him that is true?"" (Drummond, "Natural Law in the Spiritual World ").

This. God the Father. Many, however, refer it to the Son.

Eternal life. See on i. 2.*

^{*} The student will do well to study Canon Westcott's "Additional Note" on this phrase, "Commentary on the Epistles of John," p. 204 sqq.

21. Keep yourselves (φυλάξατε ἐαυτὰ). The exact phrase is not found elsewhere in the New Testament. See 2 Pet. iii. 17. Rev., rightly, guard. See on 1 Pet. i. 4.

Idols (εἰδώλων). Strictly, images. The command, however, has apparently the wider Pauline sense, to guard against everything which occupies the place due to God.

CRITICAL NOTE ON 1 JOHN III. 19-22.

The second great division of John's First Epistle treats of the conflict of truth and falsehood. This section extends from ch. ii. 18. to ch. iv. 6, and is subdivided under the following topics:

- 1. The revelation of falsehood and truth (ii. 18-29).
- 2. The children of God and the children of the devil (iii. 1-12).
- 3. Brotherhood in Christ and the hatred of the world (iii. 13-24).
 - 4. The Rival Spirits of Truth and Error (iv. 1-6).

This passage lies within the third of these subdivisions; but the line of thought runs up into the second subdivision, which begins with this chapter,—the children of God and the children of the Devil.

Let us first briefly review the contents of this chapter down to the point of our text.

God shows His wonderful love in calling us children of God $(\tau \acute{\epsilon} \kappa \nu a)$; as expressing community of nature, rather than viol (sons), which expresses the position of privilege.

The world, therefore, does not know us, even as it did not know Him.

We are children of God; and in this fact lies enfolded our future, the essence of which will be likeness to God, coming through unveiled and transfiguring vision.

The result of such a relation and hope is persistent effort after moral purity. "Every one that hath this hope in Him, purifieth himself, even as He is pure."

This attempt to purify corresponds with the fulfilment of our true destiny which Christ has made possible. Sin is irreconcilable with a right relation to God, for Christianity emphasizes the law of God, and "sin is lawlessness." The object of Christ's manifestation was to "take away sin;" therefore, "everyone that abideth in Him sinneth not." "He that doeth righteonsness is righteous, even as He is righteous." "He that committeth sin is of the devil;" but the Son of God was manifested in order to destroy the works of the devil. The divine seed—the divine principle of growth—the germ of the new life is in the true believer; and the ideas of divine sonship and sin are mutually exclusive.

The being a child of God will manifest itself not only in doing righteousness, but in *love*—the love to God, taking shape in love and ministry to the brethren. This is the highest expression of righteousness. The whole aim of the Gospel is the creation and strengthening of love; and the type of life in God through Christ is therefore the direct opposite of Cain, who being of the evil one, slew his brother.

Over against this love is the world's hatred. This is bound up, as love is, with the question of origin. God's children share God's nature, which is love. The children of the world are the children of the evil one, whose nature is lawlessness and hatred. Love is the outgrowth of life; hatred, of death. He that loveth not, abideth in death. For ourselves, children of God, we know that we have passed from death unto life because we love the brethren.

Christ is the perfect type and revelation of love, since He gave His life for us. We, likewise, ought to lay down our lives for the brethren. The practical test of our brotherly love is ministry. The love of God does not dwell in us if we refuse to relieve our brother's need.

The fruit of love is confidence. "In this,"—the consciousness of active and sincere love to the brethren resting upon and moulded by the love of Christ—in this, we perceive that we are of the truth; and, perceiving this, we shall assure our hearts in the presence of God, in whom we live and move and have our being. It is of the very essence of Christian life that it is

lived and tested before God. No assurance or confidence is possible except from being in right relation to God.

Through the consciousness of love, then, which is of God, and which marks the children of God, we perceive that we are children of God—of the truth; and in this knowledge we find assurance and confidence before the very highest tribunal. "We shall assure our heart before Him."

This brings us to the heart of our passage. What is the specific character and direction of our assurance? Of what are we confident? Here we strike the differences in the exposition of the passage. The questions resolve themselves into three:

- 1. What is the meaning of $\pi\epsilon l\sigma o\mu\epsilon \nu$ (we shall assure or persuade)?
 - 2. How are the two otis (that or because) to be explained?
 - 3. What is the meaning of μείζων (greater)?

These questions are interlinked so that it will not be always possible to separate their discussion sharply.

Πείσομεν may be taken either according to its primitive meaning, persuade, induce, prevail upon (Acts xix. 26; xviii. 4; 2 Cor. v. 11), or in its secondary and consequent sense, to assure, quiet, appears (Matt. xxviii. 14).

- 1. If we render persuade, two courses are possible.
 - (a.) Either we may use it absolutely, and mentally supply something as the substance of the persuasion. "Hereby know we that we are of the truth, and shall persuade our hearts before Him." The mind might then supply:

We shall persuade our heart to be confident in asking anything from God. Objection. This would anticipate ver. 21. "If our heart condemn us not, then have we boldness toward God, and whatsoever we ask of Him we receive," etc.; or,

We shall persuade our heart to show love in life and act. Objection. This does not suit the connection; for we recognize ourselves by our love as children of faith, and do not need first to move our hearts to love which already dwells there; or,

We shall persuade our heart that we are of the truth. Objection. This is tautological. We know

or perceive that we are of the truth, by the fact of our love. We therefore reject the absolute use of $\pi\epsilon i\sigma o\mu\epsilon \nu$.

(b.) Still rendering persuade, we may attempt to find the substance of the persuasion in the following clauses. Here we run into the second of our three questions, the double $\delta\tau\iota$, for $\delta\tau\iota$ becomes the sign of definition of $\pi\epsilon\iota$ - $\sigma \circ \mu \circ \nu$. The different combinations and translations proposed centre in two possible renderings for $\delta\tau\iota$: because or that.

If we render because, it leaves us with the absolute πείσομεν which we have rejected. We have then to render—"Hereby perceive we that we are of the truth, and shall persuade our heart before Him: because, if our heart condemn us, because, I say (second ὅτι), God is greater than our heart," etc.

All the other renderings, like this, involve what is called the *epanaleptic* use of "oti; the second taking up and carrying forward the sense of the first. This is very objectionable here, because

- 1. There is no reason for it. This use of $\delta\tau\iota$ or similar words is appropriate only in passages where the course of thought is broken by a long, interjected sentence or parenthesis, and where the conjunction takes up again the thread of discourse. It is entirely out of place here after the interjection of only a few words.
- 2. There is no parallel to it in the writings of John, nor elsewhere in the New Testament, so far as I know (but see 1 John v. 9).

The case is no better if we translate $\delta \tau \iota$ that. Here indeed we get rid of the absolute $\pi \epsilon \iota \sigma o \mu \epsilon \nu$, but we are compelled to hold by the resumptive $\delta \tau \iota$. For instance,

"We shall persuade ourselves that, if our heart condemn us, that, I say, God is greater than our heart."

Moreover, some of these explanations at least, commit the apostle to misstatement. Suppose, for example, we read: "We shall persuade our heart that God is greater than our heart:"

we make the apostle say that the consciousness of brotherly love, and of our consequent being "of the truth," is the basis of our conviction of the sovereign greatness of God. Thus: "Herein (in our brotherly love) do we perceive that we are of the truth, and herein we shall persuade ourselves that God is greater than our heart, and knoweth all things."

The case is not improved if we render the first $\delta \tau \iota$ as pronominal, and read as follows: "We shall persuade ourselves in whatever our heart condemn us, that God is greater than our heart." The object of persuasion, then, is the greatness of God. The sense of condemnation is the occasion of our persuading ourselves: the foundation of our persuasion of God's greatness is our consciousness of being of the truth.

We conclude therefore,

- 1. That we must reject all renderings founded on the absolute use of $\pi \epsilon l \sigma o \mu \epsilon \nu$.
 - (a.) Because it leaves the mind to supply something which the text leads us to expect that it will supply.
 - (b.) Because the conception of persuasion or assurance takes its character from the idea of condemning or accusing (καταγινώσκη), and becomes vague if we separate it from that.
- 2. We must reject explanations founded on the epanaleptic use of $\delta \tau \iota$ for the reasons already given.

We turn now to the rendering adopted by the New Testament Revisers.

This rendering takes the first $\delta \tau \iota$ with $\epsilon \partial \nu$ as relative pronominal, and the second as causal; and is as follows:

"Herein do we know (or, more properly, perceive) that we are of the truth; and shall assure (or quiet) our heart before Him in whatsoever our heart may condemn (or accuse) us; because God is greater than our heart and knoweth all things."

The only grammatical objection to this rendering, which is entitled to any weight, is that the exact pronominal phrase ὅτι ἐἀν does not occur elsewhere in the New Testament; but this is little better than a quibble, since we have really the same combination under another form, viz., Gal. v. 10, ὅστις ἐἀν (so Lach., West. and H., Tisch., Lightfoot), and possibly in Acts

iii. 23, where Tisch. reads ἥτις ἐὰν. In Col. iii. 17, West. and H., Lightfoot, and Ellicott, read ὅτι ἐὰν ("whatsoever ye do in word or deed"). Moreover, it is borne ont by the frequent use of ἐὰν for ἀν after relatives (Matt. v. 19; viii. 19; x. 42; xi. 27; John xv. 7). See Moulton's "Winer," 2d ed., p. 390.

This rendering introduces the third question: What is the meaning of μείζων? Shall we take it as indicating judgment

or compassion on the part of God? i.e.:

1st. Shall we allay the accusation of our heart by saying: "God is greater than our heart, His judgment is therefore stricter than ours; and so, apart from fellowship with Him we can have no hope;" or, as Meyer puts it,

"Only in conscious brotherly love shall we calm our hearts, for, if we do not love, our heart condemns us, and God is greater than our heart, and there is no peace for the accusing conscience:" or, again, as it is popularly in-

terpreted:

"If our heart condemn us, then God, who is greater than our hearts, and knows all things, must not only endorse, but emphasize our self-accusation." If our heart condemn, how much more God?

Or, 2d. Shall we take $\mu\epsilon l\zeta\omega\nu$ as the expression of God's compassionate love, and say, "when our heart condemns us, we shall quiet it with the assurance that we are the proved children of God, and therefore, in fellowship with a God who is greater than our heart, greater in love and compassion no less than in knowledge?

The choice between these must be largely determined by the drift of the whole discussion, and here, therefore, we leave the textual and grammatical side of the question, and proceed to the homiletical aspect of the passage.

Generally, we may observe that the whole drift of the chapter is consolatory and assuring. The chapter is introduced with a burst of affectionate enthusiasm. "Behold what manner of love the Father has bestowed upon us that we should be called the children of God, and such we are." The darker shades—the origin and nature of sin; the truth that sinners are of the evil one; the hatred of the world, springing out of this radical op-

position between the origin and motive of children of God and children of the evil one-are thrown in to heighten and emphasize the position and privilege of God's children. They are to be left in no doubt as to their relation to God. They are thrown for decisive testimony upon the supreme fact of love. If God the Father is love, and they are His children, they must share His nature; and they prove that they do by loving Him and His children. Hence, John elsewhere says (iv. 7 sq.), "Beloved, let us love one another; for love is of God, and every one that loveth hath been born of God (or begotten) and knoweth God. He that leveth not, knew not God, for God is love. . . . If we love one another, God abideth in us, and His love is perfected in us. In this we perceive that we abide in Him and He in us, because He hath given us of His Spirit. . . . We have known and believed the love that God hath to us. God is love, and he that abideth in love, abideth in God, and God in him."

And again, in this chapter, "We know that we have passed from death unto life, because we love the brethren."

This testimony of love all tends to the assurance of the heart. All comes to a head in this 19th verse. "Herein,"-in the fact and consciousness of love,—"herein, perceive we that we are of the truth, and shall assure our heart before Him, in whatsoever our heart condemn us." In striking parallelism with this is the fourth chapter of this Epistle just alluded to, especially the way in which, as in this chapter, the evidence of love makes for assurance. Look at the verses from the 7th to the 16th—the burden of which is, as we have seen, that love is the evidence of our dwelling in God; and then note how this evidence runs into assurance in the 17th and 18th verses. "Herein is our love made perfect, that we may have boldness (compare 'shall assure our heart') in the day of judgment (compare 'before Him'), because as He is so are we in this world (like Christ). There is no fear in love, but perfect love casteth out fear, because fear hath torment."

Now there was a very good and obvious reason for emphasizing this thought of assurance. John knew the misgivings of the Christian heart; and he knew, moreover, how they would

be awakened by the high standard of Christian character which he set up in this chapter. Look at these statements: "Every one that hath this hope in Him, purifieth himself, even as He is pure." "Every one that abideth in Him sinneth not. Every one that sinneth hath not even seen Him nor known Him." "He that committeth sin is of the devil." "He that is born of God doth not commit sin." It is not difficult to conceive the effect of such statements upon a sensitive conscience. Let us bring ourselves to these tests. Shall we not need to assure our hearts? In the consciousness of infirmity, with the remembrance of error, under the pressure and thrust of daily temptation, is it strange if the heart accuses? Is it strange if the question is raised, "Am I indeed a child of God? Do not these errors and lapses prove me to be a child of the devil?"

Now I think we should all be led to anticipate, in view of this fact, and as the natural sequence of the former part of the chapter, a thought, not of severe criticism and judgment, based upon God's infinite knowledge, but of fatherly compassion and assurance dealing with our self-accusations, and quieting our misgivings.

The Christian consciousness exercises a judicial office in us, accusing or approving. Our heart passes judgment. But what we especially need to remember, and what, as it seems to me, is the very core of the teaching of this passage, is that the decrees of the heart are not final, but must be carried up to a higher tribunal for ratification. Even our renewed heart is ignorant and blind. God is greater than our heart and knoweth all things. Whatever power of discernment conscience has, it receives from God. Hence, in the interpretation of the passage more stress should be laid than is commonly done upon the words "before Him." "If we receive the witness of men, the witness of God is greater" (1 John v. 14. Compare Heb. iv. 16). It is, as already hinted, essential to the idea of Christian life that it is lived in the very sight of God. The true child of God sets the Lord always before his face. The prime regulator of his life is the sense of God's presence. God's manifestation in Christ's perfect obedience is his pattern: God's law imparts to his conscience its tone of rebuke or of commendation. This

is a natural and necessary result of the relation assumed in the passage—children of God. As children of God, in our Father's house, life is regulated by the perpetual consciousness of our Father's presence and scrutiny. No assurance or confidence is possible which does not grow out of a right relation to Him.

John, then, does not mean to say that a child of God is sinless by virtue of his relation as a child; and that his self-accusation is quieted by being pronounced groundless.

He does not mean to say that the heart may not accuse him justly. God's judgment may confirm that of the heart.

He does mean to say that the heart is not the supreme and final arbiter.

The ordinary interpretation presents a radical defect in this;—that it assumes the infallibility of the heart, and brings in God to confirm and emphasize its decision. If your heart condemn you, then God, who is greater than your heart, condemns you more severely, because He sees your sin in the light of His omniscience. Further, it makes our confidence toward God depend primarily on the testimony of our hearts. If our heart condemn us not, then we may go before God with confidence and ask what we will, because God, being greater than our heart, confirms its testimony. The voice of the heart, in short, on this construction, is the voice of God. As I read it. John's teaching is the direct opposite of this. It is only God who knoweth all things. No assurance, no accusation is to be received as final until it has passed before Him. We must look outside of self for the highest tests of self. It is not before ourselves that we are either to assure or to condemn ourselves. Self-condemnation will not be allayed by self-communion. We need, not to be self-assured, but to be assured by Him.

It is almost needless to say, but it should be kept in mind, that these words are addressed to Christians; and this opens another and interesting question,—that of sin in Christians. The heart sometimes condemns unjustly, or unduly. The conscience is sometimes diseased and morbidly exacting, and the heart is distressed with accusations which are as fanciful as they are painful. But the heart's condemnation is, as has been already said, often just. This, however, as well as the

other cases, is covered by the apostle's words: "We shall assure our heart before Him, whereinsoever our heart condemn us." It may well be asked then, how, when God endorses the conviction of the heart, we are to assure our heart before Him? What, when the apostle himself has just told us that "whosoever is born of God doth not commit sin?—that he cannot sin, because he is born of God?—that whosoever sinneth hath not seen or known God? These utterances, by themselves, are terrible. They destroy all hope of assurance. They make sinlessness the test of being in Christ. How shall we assure our heart?

Here we must be particular to note that all through this chapter, and it might be said, throughout the Epistle, John is dealing with something broader than specific errors or good deeds. He is dealing with the question of a Christian's relation to God. Note the sharp and broad classifications of this chapter to this effect, indicating the order or economy to which the man belongs rather than his specific acts.

He that doeth righteousness.

He that doeth sin; where sin as a whole answers to right-eousness as a whole.

He is righteous even as He is righteous. He is of the devil: where, in each case, the man's character is shown to be a reflection of his spiritual master.

So, too, the phrases, "children of God;" "of the truth;" "passed from death unto life." And in chapter i., "in darkness;" "in the light." Again, in chapter iv., "dwelleth in God;" "of the world;" "of God." And, once more, the fact that the entire Epistle turns on a question of relation between man and God. Its key-note is fellowship—"That which we have seen and heard declare we unto you also, that ye also may have fellowship with us; yea, and our fellowship is with the Father and with His Son Jesus Christ."

This being true, the tests applied are directed at this relation. "Hereby we perceive that we are of the truth:" that is our sphere, our genesis, our economy. And accordingly specific acts are treated in the light of this general relation. No man goes sinless before God. This is treated in the first chap-

ter with reference to certain actual delusions in this matter. Those who maintain that sin is an accident and not a principle, a transient phenomenon which leaves no abiding issues, are met with "if we say that we have no sin (άμαρτίαν οὐκ ἔχομεν), we lead ourselves astray and the truth is not in us." Those who deny that, personally, they have sinned, are met with "if we sav that we have not sinned (οὐχ ἡμαρτήκαμεν), we make of Him a liar, and His word is not in us." So that, I repeat, the test here contemplated is a test of relation and not of specific act. As Westcott truthfully says: "As long as the relationship with God is real" (if a man is truly born of God) "sinful acts are but accidents. They do not touch the essence of the man's being." (Compare also Westcott on 1 John v. 16.) Consequently, when our heart condemns us of sin, and we appear before God, our assurance or quieting of heart comes through God's throwing us back upon this relation to Him, and its accompanying proof, love for the brethren. God teaches the heart to meet its self-accusation with the fact and evidence of sonship. Hereby we shall assure our heart before Him.

It is noteworthy how John exalts and emphasizes the sufficiency and decisiveness of this test. "He that abideth in love abideth in God, and God in him." "We know that we have passed from death unto life because we love the brethren." And in the fourth chapter he is even more emphatic; asserting (ver. 12) that love to the brethren is the only possible proof of love to God; for "God hath no man ever beheld. If we love one another, God abideth in us, and His love is perfected in us."

So, then, the man takes his justly accusing heart before Him, and God says, "It is true, you have sinned. But you are my child, proven to be such by your love. Shall not I, your Father, forgive your sin? Do you fear to bring it to me? 'If any man sin, he hath an advocate with me, Jesus Christ the righteous, and He is the propitiation for his sins.' If you confess your sin, I am faithful and just to forgive you your sin and to cleanse you from all unrighteousness."

If he goes under the accusation of imperfect love, he is met with the assurance that his relation to God is not determined nor perpetuated by the scant measure of the purest human love. "Herein is love; not that we have loved (ἡγαπήκαμεν) God, but that He loved us (ἡγάπησεν, associating His love with a definite act) and sent His Son to be the propitiation for our sins."

If the self-accusation is morbid and unfounded, a freak of a diseased religious fancy, rather than a truthful verdict of a healthy conscience, the complex and confused witness of our ignorant heart is resolved into the simple testimony of love. I am God's child. At my Father's hand I shall meet with no encouragement to continue in sin, but with pardon for my sin; with tonics for my morbid conditions; with allowance for my Only by that perfect wisdom will the error be duly weighed; only by that perfect love will it be forgiven; only by that perfect strength will the soul be energized to renew the life-long fight with sin. If we are trembling lest the things of which our heart accuses us be the warrant for disinheriting us of our position and privilege, we are pointed past our individual lapses and errors to the great, dominant sentiment of our relation to God. We love Him, we love the brethren, therefore we are His children; erring children no doubt, but still His. Will He disinherit His child?

Observe again, how John finds comfort in the fact of omniscience. We shall assure our heart because God knoweth all things. The natural instinct of imperfection is to evade the contact and scrutiny of perfection. But that instinct is false and misleading. The Gospel creates a contrary instinct, in creating a filial consciousness. If God's holiness shames our sinfulness, and God's perfect wisdom dwarfs our folly, nevertheless, perfection is the only safe refuge for the imperfect. No man wants to be tried before an ignorant or a corrupt judge. If that omniscient knowledge sees deeper into our sin than we do. it also sees deeper into our weakness. If it weighs the act in more nicely-poised scales, it weighs the circumstances in the same scales. If it knows our secret faults, it knows likewise our frame and our frailty. If it discerns aggravations, it equally discerns palliations. If infinite knowledge compasses the sin, so does infinite love. There mercy and truth meet together, and righteousness and peace kiss each other.

So we shall assure our heart before Him in whatsoever our heart condemn us. Not with the conceited assurance of selfrighteousness; not with a drugged and dulled perception of the vileness of sin; not with an elixir which shall relax our spiritual fibre and moderate our enthusiasm for spiritual victory; but with the thought that we are God's children, loving, though erring, in our Father's hands; with our elder brother Christ interceding for us; with the knowledge that the judicial element in our Christian experience is transferred from our own heart to God; with the knowledge that, being His, "neither death, nor life, nor angels, nor principalities, nor powers, nor things present, nor things to come, nor height, nor depth, nor any other creature shall be able to separate us from the love of God, which is in Christ Jesus our Lord." As I read this passage I wonder if John, as he penned it, had not in mind that interview of Christ and Peter at the lake after the resurrection. There was Peter with a heart stung with self-accusation, as well it might be: Peter who had denied and forsaken his Lord: and yet Christ meets all this self-accusation with the words "Lovest thou Me?" And Peter's reply is in the very vein of our passage. "God is greater than our heart, and knoweth all things:" "Lord, thou knowest all things, thou knowest that I love Thee."

On this interpretation, the remainder of the passage follows simply and naturally. Once assured that we are children of God, we have boldness toward God. That assurance, carrying with it the assurance of pardon and sympathy, is the only means by which the heart's condemnation is legitimately allayed. If, under that assurance, our heart ceases to condemn us, "then have we confidence toward God." It is noteworthy how the line of thought coincides with that in the latter part of the fourth of Hebrews. There too we see the Divine omniscience emphasized—the discernment of the living word, "quick and powerful, sharper than any two-edged sword, a discerner of the thoughts and intents of the heart. Neither is there any creature that is not manifest in His sight, but all things are naked and opened unto the eyes of Him with whom we have to do." Then comes the priesthood and the sympathy of Jesus, the

Great High-Priest, "touched with the feeling of our infirmities;" and then the same conclusion: "Let us therefore come boldly unto the throne of grace."

This latter part of the passage must therefore be interpreted by the former. That the heart feels no sense of condemnation is not, of itself, a legitimate nor a safe ground of boldness toward There is a boldness which is born of presumption, of spiritual obtuseness, of ignorance of the character and claims of God, of false and superficial conceptions of sin. A valid absence of condemnation must have a definite and valid fact, a substantial evidence behind it; and that it has, according to the interpretation we have given: "We shall assure our heart before Him in whatsoever our heart condemn us, by this: namely, that the all-knowing God is our forgiving Father, that Christ is our Propitiator and Saviour, and that the Spirit of love in our hearts, and the loving ministry of our lives testify that we are children of God. Note at this point how John auswers to Paul. Look first at the fourth chapter of this Epistle. we love one another, God dwelleth in us, and His love is perfected in us. Hereby know we that we dwell in Him and He in us, because He hath given us of His Spirit." Now turn to the eighth chapter of Romans. "There is therefore now no condemnation to them that are in Christ Jesus. For the law of the Spirit of life in Christ Jesus made me free from the law of sin and death. Ye are not in the flesh, but in the Spirit, if so be that the Spirit of God dwelleth in von. For as many as are led by the Spirit of God, these are sons of God. received not the spirit of bondage again unto fear, but ve received the spirit of adoption, whereby we cry Abba, Father. The Spirit itself beareth witness unto our spirit that we are children of God; and if children, then heirs; heirs of God and joint heirs with Christ." And, in like manner, "the Spirit also helpeth our infirmity; the Spirit Himself maketh intercession for us with groanings which cannot be uttered; and He that searcheth the hearts (being greater than our heart and knowing all things) knoweth what is the mind of the Spirit, because He maketh intercession for the saints according to the will of God"-for the saints that love God, foreordained, called, justified, glorified. "What then shall we say to these things? If God is for us, who is against us? He that spared not His own Son, but delivered Him up for us all, how shall He not also with Him freely give us all things? Who shall lay anything to the charge of God's elect? It is God that justifieth, who is he that shall condemn? It is Christ Jesus that died, yea rather, that was raised from the dead, who is at the right hand of God, who also maketh intercession for us. Who shall separate us from the love of Christ? Shall tribulation, or anguish, or persecution, or famine, or nakedness, or peril, or sword? Even as it is written,

'For Thy sake we are killed all the day long; We were accounted as sheep for the slaughter.'

Nay, in all these things we are more than conquerors through Him that loved us. For I am persuaded that neither death, nor life, nor angels, nor principalities, nor things present, nor things to come, nor powers, nor height, nor depth, nor any other creature, shall be able to separate us from the love of God which is in Christ Jesus our Lord."

Finally, the whole passage carries a protest and an antidote against an introverted, morbidly subjective and self-scrutinizing type of piety, which habitually studies self for the evidence of right spiritual relation and condition: which tests growth in grace by tension of feeling, and reckons spiritual latitude and longitude by spiritual moods. Feeling, religious sensibility, has its place, and a high and sacred place it is; but its place is not the judgment-seat; and right feeling in Christian experience is always based upon right relation to the facts of the plan of redemption. The Christian consciousness gives no valid testimony, save as it reflects the great objective verities of the Christian faith. If our spirit witnesses with the Spirit, the Spirit must first bear witness to our spirit that we are children of God.

THE SECOND EPISTLE OF JOHN.

1. The elder (ὁ πρεσβύτερος). The word is used originally of seniority in age. So Luke xv. 25. Afterward as a term of rank or office. Applied to members of the Sanhedrim (Matt. xvi. 21; Acts vi. 12). Those who presided over the Christian assemblies or churches (Acts xi. 30; 1 Tim. v. 17, 19). The twenty-four members of the heavenly court in John's vision (Apoc. iv. 4, 10; v. 5, 6, 8, 11, 14). Here, with reference to official position, coupled, presumably, with age.

Unto the elect lady (ἐκλεκτῆ κυρία). An expression which baffles all the commentators. It is supposed by some that the title describes a person, by others, a society. The views of the former class as to the person designated, are (1.) That the letter was addressed to a certain Babylonian named Electa. (2.) To a person named Kyria. (3.) To Electa Kyria, a compound proper name. Those who regard the phrase as describing a society, divide on the question whether a particular Christian society or the whole Church is intended. It is impossible to settle the question satisfactorily.

Children (τέκνοις). May be taken either in a literal or in a spiritual sense. For the latter, see 1 Tim. 1, 2; Gal. iv. 25; 3 John 4. Compare also vv. 4, 13. The explanation turns on the meaning of ἐκλεκτῆ κυρία. If it mean the Church, children will have the spiritual sense. If it be a proper name, the literal.

Whom (obs). Comprehensive, embracing the mother and the children of both sexes.

Hove $(\partial y a \pi \hat{\omega})$. See on John v. 20.

In the truth (ἐν ἀληθεία. Omit the. The expression in truth marks the atmosphere or element of truth in which something is said, or felt, or done. See John xvii. 17. In truth is equivalent to truly, really. Compare Col. i. 6; John xvii. 19.

That have known (οἱ ἐγνωκότες). Either have come to know, or as Rev., know. The perfect tense of γινώσκω, to learn to know, is rendered as a present: I have learned to know, therefore I know. See on 1 John ii. 3.

2. Which dwelleth (τὴν μένουσαν). Rev., abideth. Enlarging on the idea of the truth: that which abideth. See on 1 John iv. 9, on the phrase to abide in, see on 1 John ii. 6.

Shall be with us $(\mu \epsilon \mathcal{Y} \hat{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tilde{\epsilon} \sigma \tau a \iota)$. With us has the emphatic position in the sentence: and with us it shall be. Note the change from abideth in to shall be with, and see on John xiv. 16, 17.

3. Grace be with you, mercy and peace (ἔσται μεθ' ἡμῶν γάρις έλεος εἰρήνη). The verb is in the future tense: shall be. In the Pauline Epistles the salutations contain no verb. In 1 and 2 Peter and Jude, πληθυνθείη be multiplied, is used. Grace (γάρις) is of rare occurrence in John's writings (John i. 14, 16, 17: Apoc. i. 4: xxii. 21); and the kindred χαρίζομαι to favor, be kind, forgive, and χάρισμα gift, are not found at all. See on Luke i. 30. Mercy (¿λεος), only here in John. See on Luke i. The pre-Christian definitions of the word include the element of grief experienced on account of the unworthy suffering of another. So Aristotle. The Latin misericordia (miser "wretched," cor "the heart") carries the same idea. So Cicero defines it, the sorrow arising from the wretchedness of another suffering wrongfully. Strictly speaking, the word as applied to God, cannot include either of these elements, since grief cannot be ascribed to Him, and suffering is the legitimate result of sin. The sentiment in God assumes the character of pitying love. Mercy is kindness and good-will toward the miserable and afflicted, joined with a desire to relieve them. Trench observes: "In the Divine mind, and in the order of our salvation as conceived therein, the mercy precedes the grace. God so loved the world with a pitying love (herein was the mercy), that He gave His only-begotten Son (herein the grace), that the world through Him might be saved. But in the order of the manifestation of God's purposes of salvation, the grace must go before the mercy and make way for it. It is true that the same persons are the subjects of both, being at once the guilty and the miserable; yet the righteonsness of God, which it is quite as necessary should be maintained as His love, demands that the guilt should be done away before the misery can be assuaged; only the forgiven may be blessed. He must pardou before He can heal. . . . From this it follows that in each of the apostolic salutations where these words occur, grace precedes mercy" ("Synonyms of the New Testament").

With you. The best texts read with us.

From God—from Jesus Christ ($\pi a \rho \lambda$ $\Theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ — $\pi a \rho \lambda$ ' $I \eta \sigma o \hat{v}$ $X \rho \iota \sigma \tau o \hat{v}$). Note the repeated preposition, bringing out the twofold relation to the Father and Son. In the Pauline salutations $\delta \pi \delta$ from, is invariably used with God, and never repeated with Jesus Christ. On the use of $\pi a \rho \delta$ from, see on John vi. 46; 1 John i. 5.

God the Father. The more common expression is "God our Father."

The Son of the Father. The phrase occurs nowhere else. Compare John i. 18; 1 John ii. 22, 23; 1 John i. 3.

In truth and in love. The combination is not found elsewhere. The words indicate the contents of the whole Epistle.

4. I rejoiced. Expressions of thankful joy are common in the Pauline salutations. See Rom., 1 Cor., Eph., Philip., Col., 1 Thess., 2 Thess., Philem.

Greatly (λlav). The word is found in John's writings only here and 3 John 3.

I found (εύρηκα). See on John i. 41. Rev., I have found.

Of thy children (ἐκ τῶν τέκνων). The rendering is obscure. Rev., rightly, supplies certain. Compare John xvi. 17.

In truth (ἐν ἀληθεία). Compare 3 John 3. See on 1 John i. 8.

5. New (καινήν). See on Matt. xxvi. 29.

We had $(\epsilon l \chi a \mu \epsilon \nu)$. The apostle identifies himself with his readers.

6. Love $(\dot{\eta} \, \dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta)$. The love just mentioned in the verb we love.

That ("va). See on John xv. 13.

After His commandments (κατὰ τὰς ἐντολὰς αὐτοῦ). For walk, with κατά after, according to, see Mark vii. 5; Rom. viii. 4; xiv. 15; 1 Cor. iii. 3; 2 Cor. x. 2. Very often with ἐν in. See John viii. 12; xi. 9, 10; 2 Cor. iv. 2; 1 John i. 7, 11. Both constructions are found 2 Cor. x. 2, 3.

From the beginning $(a\pi' \dot{a}\rho\chi\hat{\eta}s)$. See on John i. 1.

In it (ἐν αὐτῆ). In love: not the commandment.

Deceivers (πλάνοι). See on we deceive ourselves, 1 John
 8.

Are entered into $(i\xi\hat{\eta}\lambda \Im a\nu\ eis)$. Rev., are gone forth into. The A. V. follows the reading $ei\sigma\hat{\eta}\lambda \Im o\nu$ entered into. The tense is the aorist, strictly rendered, went forth. It may indicate a particular crisis, at which they went forth from the Christian society.

Who confess not (οἱ μὴ ὁμολογοῦντες). The article with the participle describes the character of this class of deceivers, and does not merely assert a definite fact concerning them. Compare Mark xv. 41, "other women which came up with Him" (αἱ συναναβᾶσαι). Confess. See on Matt. vii. 23; x. 32.

Is come ($\hat{\epsilon}\rho\chi\delta\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\nu$). Wrong. The verb is in the present participle, coming, which describes the manhood of Christ as still being manifested. See on 1 John iii. 5. In 1 John iv. 2 we have the manifestation treated as a past fact by the perfect tense, $\hat{\epsilon}\lambda\eta\lambda\nu\vartheta\dot{\delta}\tau a$ has come. Rev., that Jesus Christ cometh. So in 1 Thess. i. 10, $\tau\eta\hat{s}$ $\delta\rho\gamma\eta\hat{s}$ $\tau\eta\hat{s}$ $\epsilon\rho\chi\sigma\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\eta\hat{s}$ is the wrath which is coming; which has already begun its movement and is advancing: not merely, as A. V., the wrath to come, which makes it wholly a future event. See on lingereth, 2 Pet. ii. 3.

An antichrist (ὁ ἀντίχριστος). Rev., rendering the definite article, the antichrist. See on 1 John ii. 18.

8. Look to yourselves that (βλέπετε ἐαυτούς ἵνα). "Iva in order that, marks the intent of the caution. See on John xv. 13.

We lose (ἀπολέσωμεν). The best texts read ἀπολέσητε, ye lose. So Rev., with destroy in margin. For the meanings of the verb see on Luke ix. 25.

We receive $(\dot{\alpha}\pi o\lambda \dot{\alpha}\beta\omega\mu\epsilon\nu)$. The best texts read $\dot{\alpha}\pi o\lambda \dot{\alpha}\beta\eta\tau\epsilon$, ye receive. The compounded preposition $\dot{\alpha}\pi\dot{o}$, has the force of back: receive back from God.

Reward $(\mu \iota \sigma \vartheta \delta v)$. See on 2 Pet. ii. 13, and compare Matt. v. 12; John iv. 36; 1 Cor. iii. 8; Apoc. xi. 18; xxii. 12.

9. Whosoever transgresseth ($\pi \hat{a}_S$ δ $\pi a \rho a \beta a l \nu \omega \nu$). The best texts read $\pi \rho o \hat{a} \gamma \omega \nu$ goeth onward. So Rev., with taketh the lead in margin. The meaning is, whosoever advances beyond the limits of Christian doctrine. Others explain of those who would set themselves up as teachers, or take the lead. Such false progress is contrasted with abiding in the teaching. On the construction, $\pi \hat{a}_S$ every one, with the article and participle, see on 1 John iii. 3.

Abideth—in $(\mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu \acute{\epsilon} \nu)$. See on 1 John ii. 6.

Doctrine ($\delta\iota\delta\alpha\chi\hat{y}$). Better, as Rev., teaching.

Of Christ. Not the teaching concerning Christ, but the teaching of Christ Himself and of His apostles. See Heb. ii. 3. So according to New Testament usage. See John xviii. 19; Acts ii. 42; Apoc. ii. 14, 15.

In the doctrine of Christ. Omit of Christ. $\Delta \iota \delta a \chi \acute{\eta}$ teaching, is used thus absolutely, Rom. xvi. 17; Tit. i. 9.

10. If there come any (εἴ τις ἔρχεται). Better, Rev., if anyone cometh. The indicative mood assumes the fact: if any one comes, as there are those that come. Cometh is used in an official sense as of a teacher. See on 1 John iii. 5.

Bring ($\phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \iota$). For the use of the verb see John xviii. 29; Acts xxv. 18; 2 Pet. ii. 11; i. 17, 18; 1 Pet. i. 13.

Neither bid him God speed (καὶ χαίρειν αὐτῷ μὴ λέγετε). Lit., and say not unto him "greeting!" Χαίρειν rejoice, hail, was the customary form of salutation. It was also used in bidding farewell; but in the New Testament always of greeting (Acts xv. 23; xxiii. 26; Jas. i. 1).* "Now whoever cometh and teacheth you all these things, before spoken, receive him; but if the teacher himself turn aside and teach another teaching, so as to overthrow this, do not hear him" ("Teaching of the Twelve Apostles," ch. xi. See on Matt. x. 10).

- 11. Is partaker (κοινωνεί). The verb occurs nowhere else in John's writings. The kindred noun κοινωνία fellowship, is peculiar to the First Epistle. See on 1 John i. 3; also on partners (Luke v. 10); fellowship (Acts ii. 42); partaker (1 Pet. v. 1).
 - 12. I would not (οὐκ ἐβουλήθην). See on Matt. i. 19.

Paper (χάρτου). Only here in the New Testament. The Egyptian papyrus or byblus, Cyperus papyrus, anciently very

^{*} Lightfoot renders χ aípere farewell in Philip. iii. 1; and describes it as a parting benediction in iv. 4; but, in both cases, says that it includes an exhortation to rejoice. The farewell is needless in both instances.

common, but not now found within the limits of the country. It is a tall, smooth flag or reed, with a large triangular stalk. containing the pith which furnished the paper. The paper was manufactured by cutting the pith into strips, arranging them horizontally, and then placing across them another layer of strips, uniting the two layers by a paste, and subjecting the whole to a heavy pressure. The upper and middle portions of the reed were used for this purpose. The fact that the plant is no longer found is significant in connection with Isaiali's prophecy that "the flags (Heb. suph, papyrus) shall waste away" (Isa. xix. 6). The plant grew in shallow water or in marshes, and is accordingly represented on the monuments as at the side of a stream or in irrigated lands.* The Jews wrote on various materials, such as the leaves of the olive and palm, the rind of the pomegranate, and the skins of animals. The tablet (πινακίδιον, Luke i. 63) was in very common use. It consisted of thin pieces of wood, strung together, and either plain, or covered with papyrus or with wax.

Ink (μέλανος). Lit., that which is black. The word occurs only once outside of John's Epistles (2 Cor. iii. 3), and only three times in all (2 John 12; 3 John 13). Ink was prepared of soot or of vegetable or mineral substances. Gum and vitriol were also used. Colored inks, red and gold, were also employed.†

To come unto you (γενέσθαι πρὸς ὑμὰς). Or, to be present with you. For the phrase, see 1 Cor. ii. 3; xvi. 10.

Face to face (στόμα πρὸς στόμα). Lit., mouth to mouth. Compare πρόσωπου πρὸς πρόσωπου, face to face, 1 Cor. xiii. 12.

Full ($\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta$). Rev., rightly, fulfilled.

^{*} For fuller details, see article Papyrus in "Encyclopædia Britannica," 9th edition, vol. xviii.

⁺ See Edersheim, "Life and Times of Jesus the Messiah," ii., 270.

THE THIRD EPISTLE OF JOHN.

1. The elder. See on 2 John 1.

Gaius. The name occurs several times in the New Testament, as Acts xix. 29; xx. 4; Rom. xvi. 23; 1 Cor. i. 14. The person addressed here cannot be identified.

The well-beloved. Rev., the beloved. In the Greek order the name comes first. Gaius the beloved.

In the truth (ἐν αληθεία). Rev., properly, omitting the article, in truth. See on 2 John 4.

2. Beloved. Compare the plural, 1 John iii. 2, 21; iv. 1, 7, 11.

I wish above all things (περὶ πάντων εὔχομαι). Wrong. This sense of περὶ is contrary to New Testament usage. The preposition means concerning. So Rev. "I pray that in all things thou mayst prosper." Εὔχομαι I pray or wish, occurs only here in John's writings, and not often elsewhere. See Acts xxvi. 29; Rom. ix. 3; Jas. v. 16.

Mayst prosper (εὐοδοῦσθαι). Lit., have a prosperous journey. From εὐ well, and ὁδός a way. In this original sense, Rom. i. 10. The word occurs only three times in the New Testament. See 1 Cor. xvi. 2.

Be in health (ὑγιαίνειν). Used in the New Testament both in a physical and moral sense. The former is found only here and in Luke's Gospel. See Luke v. 31; vii. 10; xv. 27. Paul uses it of soundness in faith or doctrine. See 1 Tim. i. 10; vi.

3; 2 Tim. i. 13; Titus ii. 2. Here of Gains' bodily health, as is shown by soul in the next clause.

Soul (ψυχή). See on Mark xii. 30; Luke i. 46. The soul (ψυγή) is the principle of individuality, the seat of personal impressions. It has a side in contact with both the material and the spiritual element of humanity, and is thus the mediating organ between body and spirit. Its meaning, therefore, constantly rises above life or the living individual, and takes color from its relation to either the emotional or the spiritual side of life, from the fact of its being the seat of the feelings, desires, affections, aversions, and the bearer and manifester of the divine life-principle $(\pi\nu\epsilon\hat{\nu}\mu a)$. Consequently $\psi\nu\chi\dot{\eta}$ is often used in our sense of heart (Luke i. 46; ii. 35; John x. 24; Acts xiv. 2); and the meanings of ψυχή soul, and πυεθμα spirit, occasionally approach each other very closely. Compare John xii. 27, and xi. 33; Matt. xi. 29, and 1 Cor. xvi. 18. Also both words in Luke i. 47. In this passage ψυχή soul, expresses the soul regarded as a moral being designed for everlasting life. See Heb. vi. 19; x. 39; xiii. 17; 1 Pet. ii. 11; iv. 19. John commonly uses the word to denote the principle of the natural See John x. 11, 15; xiii. 37; xv. 13; 1 John iii. 16; Apoc. viii. 9; xii. 11; xvi. 3.

3. Rejoiced greatly. See on 2 John 4.

Brethren came (ἐρχομένων ἀδελφῶν). Lit., coming. The present participle denotes coming from time to time, and not coming on a single occasion, which would require the aorist. On brethren, see on 1 John ii. 9.

Thou walkest in truth. See on 1 John i. 8. For the phrase walk in, see on 2 John 6. Thou is emphatic, suggesting a contrast with less faithful ones, as Diotrephes, ver. 9.

4. Joy ($\chi a \rho a \nu$). The texts vary; some reading $\chi a \rho \nu$ grace or favor from God, on which see 2 John 3. Note the Greek order: greater joy than this have I not.

My children (τὰ ἐμὰ τέκνα). Lit., mine own children.

Walk (περιπατοῦντα). Rev., rightly, walking. The participle expresses something habitual.

5. Thou doest faithfully ($\pi\iota\sigma\tau\delta\nu$ $\pi\iota\iota\epsilon\hat{\iota}s$). Rev., thou doest a faithful work. A third interpretation is thou givest a pledge or guaranty, and a fourth, akin to this, thou makest sure. The Rev. is best. There is no parallel to justify the third and fourth.*

Thou doest (ἐργάση). Or lit., according to the etymology, workest (ἔργον work). See on Jas. ii. 9. The distinction between this verb and others signifying to do, such as ποιεῦν, πράσσειν, δρᾶν, which last does not occur in the New Testament, is not sharply maintained in Attic Greek. In certain connections the difference between them is great, in others, it is hardly perceptible. On ποιεῦν and πράσσειν, see on John iii. 21. Έργάζομαι, like πράσσειν, contemplates the process rather than the end of action, carrying the ideas of continuity and repetition. It means to labor, to be active, to perform, with the idea of continued exertion, and therefore is used of servants, or of those who have an assigned business or office. See Matt. xxi. 28; xxv. 26; Luke xiii. 14; John v. 17; vi. 27; ix. 4; 1 Thess. ii. 9. For the phrase ἐργάση εἰς thou doest toward (Rev.), see Matt xxvi. 10.

And to strangers (καὶ εἰς τοὺς ξένους). The best texts read, instead of εἰς τοὺς to the (strangers), τοῦτο, that; so that the sentence is, literally, "to them that are brethren, and that strangers." For the phrase and that, compare 1 Cor. vi. 6; Philip. i. 28; Eph. ii. 8.

6. The Church (ἐκκλησίας). See on Matt. xvi. 18.

^{*}Canon Westcott says "no parallel is quoted for" the Rev. rendering, but Apoc. xxi. 5, can hardly be esteemed a parallel to his rendering "thou makest sure."

If thou bring forward on their journey (προπέμψας). Lit., having sent forward. The agrist tense represents the act as accomplished. Compare Acts xv. 3; Tit. iii. 13. Rev., set forward.

After a godly sort (ἀξίως τοῦ Θεοῦ). Lit., worthily of God. So Rev. Compare 1 Thess. ii. 12; Col. i. 10.

Thou shalt do well (καλῶς ποιήσεις). For the phrase, see Acts x. 33; Philip. iv. 14; Jas. ii. 8, 19; 2 Pet. i. 19. Rev., renders the whole: whom thou wilt do well to set forward on their journey worthily of God.

7. For His Name's sake ($\dot{\nu}\pi\dot{e}\rho$ $\tau o\hat{\nu}$ $\dot{\nu}\nu\dot{\nu}\mu\alpha\tau\sigma_s$). His is supplied by the A. V. It is not in the text. Rev., correctly, for the sake of the Name. The Name (Jesus Christ) is used thus absolutely in Acts v. 41; compare Jas. ii. 7. For a similar absolute use of the way, see on Acts ix. 2. See on 1 John i. 7.

Taking nothing of $(\mu\eta\delta\dot{\epsilon}\nu \lambda a\mu\beta\dot{a}\nu\nu\nu\tau\epsilon\varsigma \dot{a}\pi\dot{\epsilon})$. For the phrase taking of, or from, see on 1 John i. 5.

The Gentiles $(\hat{\epsilon}\Im\nu\iota\kappa\hat{\omega}\nu)$. This word occurs elsewhere only in the Gospel of Matthew. The more common word is $\tilde{\epsilon}\Im\nu\eta$, which is the reading of the Tex. Rec. here: $\hat{\epsilon}\Im\nu\hat{\omega}\nu$. See on Luke ii. 32.

8. Ought (ὀφείλομεν). See on 1 John ii. 6.

To receive (ἀπολαμβάνεων). The best texts read ὑπολαμβάνεων to support; i.e., to welcome with the provision of hospitality. Rev., welcome. The verb means, originally, to take underneath in order to raise. Hence, to support. Figuratively, to take upon the mind, to suppose, as Luke vii. 43; Acts ii. 15: to take up or follow in speech; hence to answer, as Luke x. 30.

Fellow-helpers to the truth (συνεργοὶ τῆ ἀληθεία). Lit., fellow-workers. The expression is explained in two ways: either fellow-workers with the teachers (τοιούτους such) in sup-

port of the truth; or fellow-workers with the truth. Adopt the latter, as Rev.*

9. I wrote unto the Church. The best texts insert $\tau \iota$ somewhat, which indicates that the apostle did not regard the communication as specially important.

Diotrephes ($\Delta \iota \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \phi \dot{\eta} s$). The name is from Δlos of Zeus (Jove), and $\tau \rho \dot{\epsilon} \phi \omega$ to nourish, and means Jove-nursed.

Who loveth to have the pre-eminence (ὁ φιλοπρωτεύων). From the adjective φιλόπρωτος fond of being first. The word occurs here only.

10. Prating (φλυαρῶν). From φλύω to bubble up or boil over. Hence of talk which is both fluent and empty. Compare the kindred adjective φλύαρου tattlers, 1 Tim. v. 13.

Them that would. Those who were disposed to receive the strangers.

Casteth them out. By excommunication, which, through his influence, he had power to bring about.

11. Follow (μιμοῦ). More correctly, as Rev., imitate. Elsewhere only 2 Thess. iii. 7, 9; Heb. xiii. 7. The kindred word μιμητής imitator, uniformly rendered follower in A. V., occurs 1 Cor. iv. 16; xi. 1; Eph. v. 1. Hence our word mimic; also pantomime. Μῦμος means both an actor and a kind of prose

^{*}The ordinary usage of συνεργός with the genitive of the person co-operated with (Rom xvi. 21; 1 Cor. iii. 9) seems against the second explanation; but against the former is the fact that the thing for which, or on behalf of which, one is a fellow-worker, is also used in the genitive (2 Cor. i. 24) or with els unto (Col. iv. 11; 2 Cor. viii. 23). There is no instance of the dativus commodi (so Alford, Huther), dative of reference. On the other hand, the kindred verb συνεργέω occurs with the dative of the thing co-operated with in Jas. ii. 22: ἡ πίστις συνήργει τοῖς ἔργοις, faith wrought with his works (see Huther's note). I agree with Canon Westcott that this construction is sufficient to support the Rev. rendering. Huther, Alford, and Ebrard all adopt the other explanation.

drama, intended as a familiar representation of life and character, and without any distinct plot.

That which is evil—that which is good (τὸ κακὸν—τὸ ἀγαθόν). Compare τὰ ἀγαθά good, τὰ φαῦλα evil, John v. 29.

- 12. Demetrius hath good report (Δημητρίφ μεμαρτύρηται). Lit., unto Demetrius witness hath been borne. See John iii. 26.
- 13. I had ($\epsilon l \chi o \nu$). The imperfect tense: I was having, when I began to write.

Pen (καλάμου). Lit., reed. See Matt. xi. 7. The staff or sceptre placed in mockery in Jesus' hand, Matt. xxvii. 29. A measuring-reed, Apoc. xi. 1.

14. Face to face. See on 2 John 12.

THE REVELATION OF JOHN.

CHAPTER I.

1. The Revelation (ἀποκάλυψικ). The Greek word is transcribed in Apocalypse. The word occurs only once in the Gospels, Luke ii. 32, where to lighten should be rendered for revelation. It is used there of our Lord, as a light to dispel the darkness under which the heathen were veiled. It occurs thirteen times in Paul's writings, and three times in first Peter.

It is used in the following senses:

(a.) The unveiling of something hidden, which gives light and knowledge to those who behold it. See Luke ii. 32 (above). Christianity itself is the revelation of a mystery (Rom. xvi. 25). The participation of the Gentiles in the privileges of the new covenant was made known by revelation (Eph. iii. 3). Paul received the Gospel which he preached by revelation (Gal. i. 12), and went up to Jerusalem by revelation (Gal. ii. 2).

(b.) Christian insight into spiritual truth. Paul asks for Christians the spirit of revelation (Eph. i. 17). Peculiar manifestations of the general gift of revelation are given in Christian assemblies (1 Cor. xiv. 6, 26). Special revelations are

granted to Paul (2 Cor. xii. 1, 7).

(c.) The second coming of the Lord (1 Pet. i. 7, 13; 2 Thess. i. 7; 1 Cor. i. 7) in which His glory shall be revealed (1 Pet. iv. 13), His righteous judgment made known (Rom. ii. 5), and His children revealed in full majesty (Rom. viii. 19).

The kindred verb $\partial \pi o \kappa a \lambda \acute{\nu} \pi \tau \omega$ is used in similar connections. Following the categories given above,

(a.) Gal. i. 16; iii. 23; Eph. iii. 5; 1 Pet. i. 12.

(b.) Matt. xi. 25, 27; xvi. 17; Luke x. 21, 22; 1 Cor. ii. 10; xiv. 30; Philip. iii. 15.

(c.) Matt. x. 26; Luke ii. 35; xii. 2; xvii. 30; Rom. i. 17, 18; viii. 18; 1 Cor. iii. 13; 2 Thess. ii. 3, 6, 8; 1 Pet. i. 5; v. 1.

The word is compounded with ἀπό from, and καλύπτω to cover. Hence, to remove the cover from anything; to unveil. So of Balaam, "the Lord opened or unveiled his eyes (ἀπεκάλυψεν τοὺς ὀφθαλμοὺς: Num. xxii. 31, Sept.). So Boaz to Naomi's kinsman: "I thought to advertise thee:" Rev., "disclose it unto thee" (ἀποκαλύψω τὸ οὖς σου: Ruth iv. 4, Sept.). Lit., I will uncover thine ear.

The noun ἀποκάλυψις revelation, occurs only once in the Septuagint (1 Sam. xx. 30), in the physical sense of uncovering. The verb is found in the Septuagint in Dan. ii. 19, 22, 28.

In classical Greek, the verb is used by Herodotus (i., 119) of uncovering the head; and by Plato: thus, "reveal (ἀποκαλ-ύψας) to me the power of Rhetoric" ("Gorgias," 460): "Uncover your chest and back" ("Protagoras," 352). Both the verb and the noun occur in Plutarch; the latter of uncovering the body, of waters, and of an error. The religious sense, however, is unknown to heathenism.

The following words should be compared with this: 'Оπτασία a vision (Lnke i. 22; Acts xxvi. 19; 2 Cor. xii. 1). "Οραμα
a vision (Matt. xvii. 9; Acts ix. 10; xvi. 9). "Ορασις a vision
(Acts ii. 17; Apoc. ix. 17. Of visible form, Apoc. iv. 3).
These three cannot be accurately distinguished. They all denote the thing seen or shown, without anything to show whether it is understood or not.

As distinguished from these, ἀποκάλυψις includes, along with the thing shown or seen, its interpretation or unveiling.

'Επιφάνεια appearing (hence our epiphany), is used in profane Greek of the appearance of a higher power in order to aid men. In the New Testament by Paul only, and always of the second appearing of Christ in glory, except in 2 Tim. i. 10, where it signifies His first appearing in the flesh. See 2 Thess. ii. 8; 1 Tim. vi. 14; Tit. ii. 13. As distinguished from this, ἀποκάλυψις is the more comprehensive word. An apocalypse

may include several ἐπιφάνειαι appearings. The appearings are the media of the revealings.

Φανέρωσις manifestation; only twice in the New Testament; 1 Cor. xii. 7; 2 Cor. iv. 2. The kindred verb φανερόω to make manifest, is of frequent occurrence. See on John xxi. 1. It is not easy, if possible, to show that this word has a less dignified sense than ἀποκάλυψις. The verb φανερόω is used of both the first and the second appearing of our Lord (1 Tim. iii. 16; 1 John i. 2; 1 Pet. i. 20; Col. iii. 4; 1 Pet. v. 4). See also John ii. 11; xxi. 1.

Some distinguish between φανέρωσις as an external manifestation, to the senses, but single and isolated; while ἀποκάλυψις is an inward and abiding disclosure. According to these, the Apocalypse or unveiling, precedes and produces the φανέρωσις or manifestation. The Apocalypse contemplates the thing revealed; the manifestation, the persons to whom it is revealed.

The Revelation here is the unveiling of the divine mysteries.

Of Jesus Christ. Not the manifestation or disclosure of Jesus Christ, but the revelation given by Him.

To shew (δεῖξαι). Frequent in the Apocalypse (iv. 1; xvii. 1; xxii. 9; xxii. 1). Construe with ἔδωκεν gave: gave him to shew. Compare "I will give him to sit" (ch. iii. 21): "It was given to hurt" (ch. vii. 2): "It was given him to do" (A. V. "had power to do;" ch. xiii. 14).

Servants (δούλοις). Properly, bond-servants. See on Matt. xx. 26; Mark ix. 35.

Must $(\delta \epsilon \hat{i})$. As the decree of the absolute and infallible God.

Shortly come to pass (γενέσ θαι ἐν τάχει). For the phrase ἐν τάχει shortly, see Luke xviii. 8, where yet long delay is implied. Expressions like this must be understood, not according to human measurement of time, but rather as in 2 Pet. iii. 8. The idea is, before long, as time is computed by God. The

aorist infinitive γενέσθαι is not begin to come to pass, but denotes a complete fulfilment: must shortly come to pass in their entirety.

He sent (ἀποστείλας). See on Matt. x. 2, 16.

Signified (ἐσήμανεν). From σῆμα a sign. Hence, literally, give a sign or token. The verb occurs outside of John's writings only in Acts xi. 28; xxv. 27. See John xii. 33; xviii. 32; xxi. 19. This is its only occurrence in the Apocalypse. The word is appropriate to the symbolic character of the revelation, and so in John xii. 33, where Christ predicts the mode of His death in a figure. Compare sign, Apoc. xii. 1.

Angel (ἀγγέλου). Strictly, a messenger. See Matt. xi. 10; Luke vii. 24; ix. 52. Compare the mediating angel in the visions of Daniel and Zechariah (Dan. viii. 15, 16; ix. 21; x. 10; Zech. i. 19). See on John i. 51.

Servant. Designating the prophetic office. See Isa. xlix. 5; Amos iii. 7; compare Apoc. xix. 10; xxii. 9.

John. John does not name himself in the Gospel or in the Epistles. Here "we are dealing with prophecy, and prophecy requires the guarantee of the individual who is inspired to atter it" (Milligan). Compare Dan. viii. 1; ix. 2.

2. Bare record (ἐμαρτύρησεν). See on John i. 7. Rev., bear witness. The reference is to the present book and not to the Gospel. The agrist tense is the epistolary agrist. See on 1 John ii. 13, and compare the introduction to Thucydides' "History:" "Thucydides, an Athenian, wrote (ξυνέγραψε) the history of the war," etc.; placing himself at the reader's standpoint, who will regard the writing as occurring in the past.

Word of God. Not the *personal* Word, but the prophetic contents of this book. See xxii. 6.

Testimony (μαρτυρίαν). For the phrase to witness a witness see John v. 32. For the peculiar emphasis on the idea of witness

ness in John, see on John i. 7. The words and the idea are characteristic of the Apocalypse as of the Gospel and Epistles.

And $(\tau \epsilon)$. Omit. The clause all things that he saw is in apposition with the Word of God and the testimony of Jesus Christ, marking these as seen by him. Rev. adds even.

All things that he saw (ὅσα εἶδεν). Lit., as many things as he saw. In the Gospel John uses the word εἶδεν saw, only twice of his own eye-witness (i. 40; xx. 8). In the Apocalypse it is constantly used of the seeing of visions. Compare i. 19. For the verb as denoting the immediate intuition of the seer, see on John ii. 24.

3. Blessed (μακάριος). See on Matt. v. 3.

He that readeth (ὁ ἀναγινώσκων). See on Luke iv. 16. The Reader in the Church. See 2 Cor. iii. 14. They that hear, the congregation. The words imply a public, official reading, in full religious assembly for worship. The passage is of some weight in determining the date of this book. The stated reading of the Apostolical writings did not exist as a received form before the destruction of Jerusalem, A.D. 70.

And keep (καὶ τηροῦντες). The absence of the article from τηροῦντες keeping (compare oi ἀκούοντες they that hear), shows that the hearers and the keepers form one class. Τηρεῦν to keep, is a peculiarly Johannine word, and is characteristic of the Apocalypse as of the other writings in its own peculiar sense of "keeping" in the exercise of active and strenuous care, rather than of watching over to preserve. See on reserved, 1 Pet. i. 4.

Prophecy. See on prophet, Luke vii. 26.

Which are written (τὰ γεγραμμένα). Perfect participle, have been written, and therefore stand written.

The time (ὁ καιρὸς). See on Matt. xii. 1.

At hand (ἐγγύς). Lit., near. See on shortly, ver. 1.

4. John. Note the absence of all official titles, such as are found in Paul; showing that John writes as one whose position is recognized.

Seven. Among every ancient people, especially in the East, a religions significance attaches to numbers. This grows out of the instinctive appreciation that number and proportion are necessary attributes of the created universe. This sentiment passes over from heathenism into the Old Testament. The number seven was regarded by the Hebrews as a sacred number, and it is throughout Scripture the covenant number, the sign of God's covenant relation to mankind, and especially to the Church. The evidences of this are met in the hallowing of the seventh day; in the accomplishment of circumcision, which is the sign of a covenant, after seven days; in the part played by the number in marriage covenants and treaties of peace. It is the number of purification and consecration (Lev. iv. 6, 17; viii. 11, 33; Nnm. xix. 12). "Seven is the number of every grace and benefit bestowed upon Israel; which is thus marked as flowing out of the covenant, and a consequence of it. The priests compass Jericho seven days, and on the seventh day seven times, that all Israel may know that the city is given into their hands by God, and that its conquest is a direct and immediate result of their covenant relation to Him. is to dip in Jordan seven times, that he may acknowledge the God of Israel as the author of his cure. It is the number of reward to those who are faithful in the covenant (Dent. xxviii. 7: 1 Sam. ii. 5); of punishment to those who are froward in the covenant (Lev. xxvi. 21, 24, 28; Deut. xxviii. 25), or to those who injure the people in it (Gen. iv. 15, 24; Exod. vii. 25; Ps. lxxix. 12). All the feasts are ordered by seven, or else by seven multiplied into seven, and thus made intenser still. Thus it is with the Sabbath, the Passover, the Feast of Weeks, of Tabernacles, the Sabbath-year, and the Jubilee."

Similarly the number appears in God's dealing with nations outside the covenant, showing that He is working for Israel's sake and with respect to His covenant. It is the number of the years of plenty and of famine, in sign that these are for Israel's

sake rather than for Egypt's. Seven times pass over Nebuchadnezzar, that he may learn that the God of his Jewish captives is king over all the earth (partly quoted and partly condensed from Trench's "Epistles to the Seven Churches").

Seven also occurs as a sacred number in the New Testament. There are seven beatitudes, seven petitions in the Lord's Prayer; seven parables in Matt. xiii.; seven loaves, seven words from the cross, seven deacons, seven graces (Rom. xii. 6-8), seven characteristics of wisdom (Jas. iii. 17). In the Apocalypse the prominence of the number is marked. remarkable extent the structure of that book is moulded by the use of numbers, especially of the numbers seven, four, and three. There are seven spirits before the throne; seven churches; seven golden candlesticks; seven stars in the right hand of Him who is like unto a son of man; seven lamps of fire burning before the throne; seven horns and seven eyes of the Lamb; seven seals of the book; and the thunders, the heads of the great dragon and of the beast from the sea, the angels with the trumpets, the plagues, and the mountains which are the seat of the mystic Babylon,—are all seven in number.

So there are *four* living creatures round about the throne, four angels at the four corners of the earth, holding the four winds; the New Jernsalem is foursquare. Authority is given to Death to kill over the fourth part of the earth, and he employs four agents.

Again the use of the number three is, as Professor Milligan remarks, "so remarkable and continuous that it would require an analysis of the whole book for its perfect illustration." There are three woes, three unclean spirits like frogs, three divisions of Babylon, and three gates on each side of the heavenly city. The *Trisagion*, or "thrice holy," is sung to God the Almighty, to whom are ascribed three attributes of glory.

Seven Churches. Not all the churches in Asia are meant, since the list of those addressed in the Apocalypse does not include Colossæ, Miletus, Hierapolis, or Magnesia. The seven named are chosen to symbolize the whole Church. Compare

ch. ii. 7. Seven being the number of the covenant, we have in these seven a representation of the Church universal.

In Asia. See on Acts ii. 9.

Grace—peace. For grace ($\chi \acute{a}\rho \iota s$), see on Luke i. 30. Both words are used by Paul in the salutations of all his Epistles, except the three Pastorals.

From Him which is, and which was, and which is to come $(\mathring{a}\pi\mathring{o} \ \tau o\mathring{v} \ \mathring{o} \ \mathring{o}\nu \ \kappa a \mathring{i} \ \mathring{o} \ \mathring{\eta}\nu \ \kappa a \mathring{i} \ \mathring{o} \ \mathring{e}\rho\chi\acute{o}\mu e\nu o s)$. The whole salutation is given in the name of the Holy Trinity: the Father (Him which is, and was, and is to come), the Spirit (the seven spirits), the Son (Jesus Christ). See further below. This portion of the salutation has no parallel in Paul, and is distinctively characteristic of the author of the Apocalypse. It is one of the solecisms in grammatical construction which distinguishes this book from the other writings of John. The Greek student will note that the pronoun which (\mathring{o}) is not construed with the preposition $from\ (\mathring{a}\pi\acute{o})$, which would require the genitive case, but stands in the nominative case.

Each of these three appellations is treated as a proper name. The Father is Him which is, and which was, and which is to come. This is a paraphrase of the unspeakable name of God (Exod. iii. 14), the absolute and unchangeable. 'O ων, the One who is, is the Septuagint translation of Exod. iii. 14, "I am the $\delta \ \hat{\omega} \nu \ (I \ am)$: "" $\delta \ \hat{\omega} \nu \ (I \ am)$, hath sent me unto you." The One who was (o $\hat{\eta}_{\nu}$). The Greek has no imperfect participle, so that the finite verb is used. Which is and which was form one clause, to be balanced against which is to come. Compare xi. 17; xvi. 5; and "was (\$\hat{\eta}\nu)\$ in the beginning with God" (John i. 2). Which is to come (ὁ ἐρχόμενος). Lit., the One who is coming. This is not equivalent to who shall be; i.e., the author is not intending to describe the abstract existence of God as covering the future no less than the past and the present. If this had been his meaning, he would have written ό ἐσόμενος, which shall be. The phrase which is to come would not express the future eternity of the Divine Being. The dominant conception in the title is rather that of immutability. Further, the name does not emphasize so much God's abstract existence, as it does His permanent covenant relation to His people. Hence the phrase which is to come, is to be explained in accordance with the key-note of the book, which is the second coming of the Son (ch. i. 7; xxii. 20).

The phrase which is to come, is often applied to the Son (see on 1 John iii. 5), and so throughout this book. Here it is predicated of the Father, apart from whom the Son does nothing. "The Son is never alone, even as Redeemer" (Milligan). Compare "We will come unto him," John xiv. 23. Origen quotes our passage with the words: "But that you may perceive that the omnipotence of the Father and of the Son is one and the same, hear John speaking after this manner in the Apocalypse, 'Who is, etc.'" Dean Plumptre compares the inscription over the temple of Isis at Sais in Egypt: "I am all that has come into being, and that which is, and that which shall be, and no man hath lifted my veil."

The Spirit is designated by

The seven Spirits (τῶν ἐπτὰ πνευμάτων). Paul nowhere joins the Spirit with the Father and the Son in his opening salutations. The nearest approach is 2 Cor. xiii. 13. The reference is not to the seven principal angels (ch. viii. 2). These could not be properly spoken of as the source of grace and peace; nor be associated with the Father and the Son; nor take precedence of the Son, as is the case here. Besides, angels are never called spirits in this book. With the expression compare ch. iv. 5, the seven lamps of fire, "which are the seven Spirits of God:" ch. iii. 1, where Jesus is said to have "the seven Spirits of God." Thus the seven Spirits belong to the Son as well as to the Father (see John xv. 26). The prototype of John's expression is found in the vision of Zechariah, where the Messiah is prefigured as a stone with seven eyes, "the eyes of the Lord, which run to and fro through the whole earth" (Zech. iii. 9; iv. 10). Compare also the same prophet's vision of the seven-branched candlestick (iv. 2).

Hence the Holy Spirit is called the Seven Spirits; the perfect, mystical number seven indicating unity through diversity

(1 Cor. xii. 4). Not the sevenfold gifts of the Spirit are meant, but the divine Personality who imparts them; the one Spirit under the diverse manifestations. Richard of St. Victor (cited by Trench, "Seven Churches") says: "And from the seven Spirits, that is, from the sevenfold Spirit, which indeed is simple in nature, sevenfold in grace."

5. Jesus Christ. The Son. Placed after the Spirit because what is to follow in vv. 5-8 relates to Him. This is according to John's manner of arranging his thoughts so that a new sentence shall spring out of the final thought of the preceding sentence. Compare the Prologue of the Gospel, and vv. 1, 2, of this chapter.

The faithful witness (ὁ μάρτυς ὁ πιστὸς). For the phraseology see on 1 John iv. 9. For witness, see on John i. 7; 1 Pet. v. 1. As applied to the Messiah, see Ps. lxxxix. 37; Isa. lv. 4. The construction again departs from the grammatical The words witness, first-born, ruler, are in the nominative case, instead of being in the genitive, in apposition with Jesus Christ. This construction, though irregular, nevertheless gives dignity and emphasis to these titles of the Lord. See on ver. 4. The word πιστὸς, faithful is used (1), of one who shows Himself faithful in the discharge of a duty or the administration of a trust (Matt. xxiv. 45; Luke xii. 42). Hence, trustworthy (1 Cor. vii. 25; 2 Tim. ii. 2). Of things that can be relied upon (1 Tim. iii. 1; 2 Tim. ii. 11). (2), Confiding: trusting; a believer (Gal. iii. 9; Acts xvi. 1; 2 Cor. vi. 15; 1 Tim. v. 16). See on 1 John i. 9. The word is combined with άληθινός, true, genuine in ch. iii. 14; xix. 11; xxi. 5; xxii. 6. Richard of St. Victor (cit. by Trench) says: " A faithful witness, because He gave faithful testimony concerning all things which were to be testified to by Him in the world. A faithful witness, because whatever He heard from the Father, He faithfully made known to His disciples. A faithful witness, because He taught the way of God in truth, neither did He care for any one nor regard the person of men. A faithful witness, because He announced condemnation to the reprobate

and salvation to the elect. A faithful witness, because He confirmed by miracles the truth which He taught in words. A faithful witness, because He denied not, even in death, the Father's testimony to Himself. A faithful witness, because He will give testimony in the day of judgment concerning the works of the good and of the evil."

The first-begotten of the dead (ὁ πρωτότοκος ἐκ τῶν νεκ-ρῶν). Rev., the first-born. The best texts omit ἐκ from. Compare Col. i. 18. The risen Christ regarded in His relation to the dead in Christ. He was not the first who rose from the dead, but the first who so rose that death was thenceforth impossible for Him (Rom. vi. 9); rose with that resurrection-life in which He will finally bring with Him those who sleep in Him (1 Thess. iv. 14). Some interpreters, rendering first-born, find in the phrase the metaphor of death as the womb which bare Him (see on Acts ii. 24). Others, holding by the rendering first-begotten, connect the passage with Ps. ii. 7, which by Paul is connected with the resurrection of Christ (Acts xiii. 32, 33). Paul also says that Jesus "was declared to be the Son of God with power by the resurrection from the dead" (Rom. i. 4). The verb τίκτω which is one of the components of πρωτότοκος first-begotten or born, is everywhere in the New Testament used in the sense of to bear or to bring forth, and has nowhere the meaning beget, unless Jas. i. 15 be an exception, on which see note. In classical Greek the meaning beget is common.

The Ruler of the kings of the earth ($\delta \, a \rho \chi \omega \nu \, \tau \, \delta \nu \, \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda + \delta \omega \nu \, \tau \, \eta \, s \, \gamma \, \eta \, s$). Through resurrection He passes to glory and dominion (Philip. ii. 9). The comparison with the kings of the earth is suggested by Ps. ii. 2. Compare Ps. lxxxix. 27; Isa. lii. 15; 1 Tim. vi. 15; and see Apoc. vi. 15; xvii. 4; xix. 16.

Unto Him that loved (τῷ ἀγαπήσαντι). The true reading is ἀγαπῶντι that loveth. So Rev. Christ's love is ever present. See John xiii. 1.

Washed (λούσαντι). Read λύσαντι loosed. Trench remarks on the variation of readings as having grown out of a play on the words λουτρόν, a bathing, and λύτρον a ransom, both of which express the central benefits which redound to us through the sacrifice and death of Christ. He refers to this play upon words as involved in the etymology of the name Apollo as given by Plato; viz., the washer (ὁ ἀπολύων) and the absolver (ὁ ἀπολύων) from all impurities. Either reading falls in with a beautiful circle of imagery. If washed, compare Ps. li. 2; Isa. i. 16, 18; Ezek. xxxvi. 25; Acts xxii. 16; Eph. v. 26; Tit. iii. 5. If loosed, compare Matt. xx. 28; 1 Tim. ii. 6; 1 Pet. i. 18; Heb. ix. 12; Gal. iii. 13; iv. 5; Apoc. v. 9; xiv. 3, 4.

6. Kings (βασιλεῖς). The correct reading is βασιλείαν α kingdom. The term King is never applied in the New Testament to individual Christians. The reigning of the saints is emphasized in this book. See ch. v. 10; xx. 4, 6; xxii. 5. Compare Dan. vii. 18, 22.

Priests (iepeis). Kingdom describes the body of the redeemed collectively. Priests indicates their individual position. Peter observes the same distinction (1 Pet. ii. 5) in the phrases living stones (individuals) and a spiritual house (the body collectively), and combines both kings and priests in another collective term, royal priesthood (ver 9). The priesthood of believers grows out of the priesthood of Christ (Ps. cx. 4; Zech. vi. 13; Heb. vii.-x.). This dignity was promised to Israel on the condition of obedience and fidelity to God. "Ye shall be a kingdom of priests and a holy nation" (Exod. xix. 6). In the kingdom of Christ each individual is a priest. The priest's work is not limited to any order of the ministry. All may offer the sacrifice of praise and thanksgiving: all have direct access to the holiest through the blood of Jesus: all Christians, as priests, are to minister to one another and to plead for one another. The consummation of this ideal appears in Apoc. xxi. 22, where the heavenly Jerusalem is represented as without temple. It is all temple. "It is the abolition of the distinction between

holy and profane (Zech. xiv. 20, 21)—nearer and more remote from God—through all being henceforth holy, all being brought to the nearest whereof it is capable, to Him" (Trench).

Unto God and His Father (τῷ Θεῷ καὶ πατρὶ αὐτοῦ). Lit., to the God and Father of Him. Hence Rev., correctly, His God and Father. For the phrase compare Rom. xv. 6; 2 Cor. i. 3; Eph. i. 3.

Glory and dominion (ἡ δόξα καὶ τὸ κράτος). Rev., correctly, rendering the two articles, "the glory and the dominion." The articles express universality: all glory; that which everywhere and under every form represents glory and dominion. The verb be (the glory) is not in the text. We may render either as an ascription, be, or as a confession, is. The glory is His. Aóga glory means originally opinion or judgment. In this sense it is not used in Scripture. In the sacred writers always of a good or fuvorable opinion, and hence praise, honor, glory (Luke xiv. 10; Heb. iii. 3; 1 Pet. v. 4). Applied to physical objects, as light, the heavenly bodies (Acts xxii. 11; 1 Cor. xv. 40). The visible brightness in manifestations of God (Luke ii. 9; Acts vii. 55; Luke ix. 32; 2 Cor. iii. 7). Magnificence, dignity (Matt. iv. 8; Luke iv. 6). Divine majesty or perfect excellence, especially in doxologies, either of God or Christ (1 Pet. iv. 11; Jude 25; Apoc. iv. 9, 11; Matt. xvi. 27; Mark x. 37; viii. 38; Luke ix. 26; 2 Cor. iii. 18; iv. 4). The glory or majesty of divine grace (Eph. i. 6, 12, 14, 18; 1 Tim. i. 11). The majesty of angels (Lnke ix. 26; Jude 8; 2 Pet. ii. 10). The glorious condition of Christ after accomplishing His earthly work, and of the redeemed who share His eternal glory (Luke xxiv. 26; John xvii. 5; Philip. iii. 21; 1 Tim. iii. 16; Rom. viii. 18, 21; ix. 23; 2 Cor. iv. 17; Col. i. 27).

Trench remarks upon the prominence of the doxological element in the highest worship of the Church as contrasted with the very subordinate place which it often occupies in ours. "We can perhaps make our requests known unto God, and this is well, for it is prayer; but to give glory to God, quite apart from anything to be directly gotten by ourselves in re-

turn, this is better, for it is adoration." Dr. John Brown in his Memoir of his father, one of the very finest biographical sketches in English literature, records a formula used by him in closing his prayers on specially solemn occasions: "And now unto Thee, O Father, Son, and Holy Ghost, the one Jehovah and our God, we would—as is most meet—with the Church on earth and the Church in heaven, ascribe all honor and glory, dominion and majesty, as it was in the beginning, is now, and ever shall be, world without end, Amen" ("Horæ Snbsecivæ"). Compare the doxologies in 1 Pet. iv. 11; Gal. i. 5; Apoc. iv. 9, 11; v. 13; vii. 12; Jude 25; 1 Chron. xxix. 11.

Forever and ever (εἰς τοὺς αἰῶνας τῶν αἰώνων). Lit., unto the ages of the ages. For the phrase compare Gal. i. 5; Heb. xiii. 21; 1 Pet. iv. 11. It occurs twelve times in the Apocalypse, but not in John's Gospel or Epistles. It is the formula of eternity.

Amen $(\dot{a}\mu\dot{\gamma}\nu)$. The English word is a transcription of the Greek and of the Hebrew. A verbal adjective, meaning firm, faithful. Hence \dot{o} $\dot{a}\mu\dot{\gamma}\nu$, the Amen, applied to Christ (Apoc. iii. 14). It passes into an adverbial sense by which something is asserted or confirmed. Thus often used by Christ, verily. John alone uses the double affirmation, verily, verily. See on John i. 51; x. 1.

7. He cometh with clouds (ἔρχεται μετὰ τῶν νεφελῶν). The clouds are frequently used in the descriptions of the Lord's second coming. See Dan. vii. 13; Matt. xxiv. 30; xxvi. 64; Mark xiv. 62. Compare the manifestation of God in the clouds at Sinai, in the cloudy pillar, the Shekinah, at the transfiguration, and see Ps. xcvii. 2; xviii. 11; Nahum i. 3; Isa. xix. 1.

Shall see ($\delta\psi\epsilon\tau a\iota$). The verb denotes the physical act, but emphasizes the mental discernment accompanying it, and points to the result rather than to the act of vision. See on John i. 18.

Appropriate here as indicating the quickened spiritual discernment engendered by the Lord's appearing, in those who have rejected Him, and who now mourn for their folly and sin.

They which (oltives). The compound relative describes a class. See on Matt. xiii. 52; xxi. 41; Mark xii. 18.

Pierced (ἐξεκέντησαν). See on John xix. 34, and compare Zech. xii. 10; John xix. 36. The expression here refers not to the Jews only, but to all who reject the Son of Man; those who "in any age have identified themselves with the Spirit of the Saviour's murderers" (Milligan). The passage is justly cited as a strong evidence that the author of the Gospel is also the anthor of the Apocalypse.

Kindreds ($\phi\nu\lambda ai$). More correctly, tribes. The word used of the true Israel in ch. v. 5; vii. 4-8; xxi. 12. As the tribes of Israel are the figure by which the people of God, Jew or Gentile, are represented, so unbelievers are here represented as tribes, "the mocking counterpart of the true Israel of God." Compare Matt. xxiv. 30, 31.

Shall wail because of Him (κόψονται ἐπ' αὐτὸν). Rev., better, shall mourn over Him. Lit., shall beat their breasts. See on Matt. xi. 17.

8. Alpha and Omega $(\tau o A \kappa a) \tau o \Omega$). Rev., rightly, gives the article, "the Alpha," etc. The words are explained by the gloss, properly omitted from the text, the beginning and the ending. The Rabbinical writers used the phrase from Aleph to Tav, to signify completely, from beginning to end. Thus one says, "Adam transgressed the whole law from Aleph even to Tav." Compare Isa. xli. 4; xliii. 10; xliv. 6.

The Lord (ὁ Κύριος). See on Matt. xxi. 3. The best texts read Κύριος ὁ Θεὸς, the Lord the God. Rev., the Lord God.

Which is, etc. See on ver. 4. "God, as the old tradition declares, holding in His hand the beginning, middle, and end of all that is" (Plato, "Laws," 715).

The Almighty (ὁ παντοκράτωρ). Used only once outside of the Apocalypse, in 2 Cor. vi. 18, where it is a quotation. Constantly in the Septnagint.

9. I John. Compare Dan. vii. 28; ix. 2; x. 2.

Who am also your brother (ὁ καὶ ἀδελφὸς ὑμῶν). Omit καὶ, also, and render as Rev., John your brother.

Companion (συγκοινωνὸς). Rev., better, partaker with you. See Philip. i. 7, and note on partners, Luke v. 10. Κοινωνὸς, is a partner, associate. Σύν strengthens the term: partner along with. Compare John's favorite word in the First Epistle, κοινωνία fellowship, 1 John i. 3.

In the tribulation, etc. Denoting the sphere or element in which the fellowship subsisted.

Tribulation (3λ/ψει). See on Matt. xiii. 21. Persecution for Christ's sake, and illustrated by John's own banishment.

Kingdom (βασιλεία). The present kingdom. Trench is wrong in saying that "while the tribulation is present the kingdom is only in hope." On the contrary, it is the assnrance of being now within the kingdom of Christ-under Christ's sovereignty, fighting the good fight under His leadership—which gives hope and courage and patience. The kingdom of God is a present energy, and it is a peculiarity of John to treat the eternal life as already present. See John iii. 36; v. 24; vi. 47, 54; 1 John v. 11. "In all these things we are abundantly the conquerors (Rom. viii. 37 sqq.). This may go to explain the peculiar order of the three words; tribulation and kingdom, two apparently antithetic ideas, being joined, with a true insight into their relation, and patience being added as the element through which the tribulation is translated into sovereignty. The reference to the future glorious consummation of the kingdom need not be rejected. It is rather involved in the present kingdom. Patience, which links the life of tribulation with the sovereignty of Christ here upon earth, likewise links it with the consummation of Christ's kingdom in heaven. Through faith and patience the subjects of that kingdom inherit the promises. "Rightly he says first 'in the tribulation' and adds afterwards 'in the kingdom,' because, if we suffer together we shall also reign together" (Richard of St. Victor, cit. by Trench). Compare Acts xiv. 22.

Patience. See on 2 Pet. i. 6; Jas. v. 7.

Of Jesus Christ (Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ). The best texts omit Christ and insert ἐν in; rendering, as Rev., "kingdom and patience which are in Jesus."

Was (ἐγενόμην). Lit., I came to pass, i.e., I found myself. The past tense seems to imply that John was no longer in Patmos when he wrote.

Patmos. Now called Patmo and Palmosa. In the Ægean, one of the group of the Sporades, about twenty-eight miles S. S.W. of Samos. It is about ten miles long by six in breadth. The island is volcanic, and is bare and rocky throughout; the hills, of which the highest rises to nearly a thousand feet, commanding a magnificent view of the neighboring sea and islands. The bay of La Scala, running into the land on the east, divides the island into two nearly equal parts, a northern and a southern. The ancient town, remains of which are still to be seen, occupied the isthmus which separates La Scala from the bay of Merika on the western coast. The modern town is on a hill in the southern half of the island, clustered at the foot of the monastery of St. John. A grotto is shown called "the grotto of the Apocalypse," in which the apostle is said to have received the vision. "The stern, rugged barrenness of its broken promontories well suits the historical fact of the relegation of the condemned Christian to its shores, as of a convict to his prison. The view from the topmost peak, or, indeed, from any lofty elevation in the islands, unfolds an unusual sweep, such as well became the Apocalypse, the unveiling of the future to the eves of the solitary seer. Above, there was always the broad heaven of a Grecian sky; sometimes bright with its 'white cloud' (Apoc. xiv. 14), sometimes torn with 'lightnings and thunderings,' and darkened by 'great hail,' or cheered with 'a rainbow like unto an emerald' (Apoc. iv. 3; viii. 7; xi. 19; xvi. 21). Over the high tops of Icaria, Samos, and Naxos rise the mountains of Asia Minor; amongst which would lie, to the north, the circle of the Seven Churches to which his addresses were to be sent. Around him stood the mountains and islands of the Archipelago (Apoc. vi. 14; xvi. 20). When he looked round, above or below, 'the sea' would always occupy the foremost place . . . the voices of heaven were like the sound of the waves beating on the shore, as 'the sound of many waters' (Apoc. xiv. 2; xix. 6); the millstone was 'cast into the sea' (Apoc. xviii. 21); the sea was to 'give up the dead which were in it' (Apoc. xx. 13)" (Stanley, "Sermons in the East").

For the word of God (διὰ τὸν λόγον τοῦ Θεοῦ). For is because of: on account of. The expression is commonly explained with reference to John's banishment as a martyr for Christian truth. Some, however, especially those who desire to overthrow John's authorship of the book, explain that he was in Patmos for the sake of preaching the word there, or in order to receive a communication of the word of God. Apart, however, from the general tone of John's address, which implies a season of persecution, the phrase for the word of God occurs in two passages where the meaning cannot be doubtful; ch. vi. 9, and xx. 4.

Testimony (μαρτυρίαν). See on John i. 7.

Of Jesus Christ. Omit Christ.

10. I was (ἐγενόμην). See on ver. 9.

In the Spirit (ἐν πνεύματι). The phrase I was in the Spirit occurs only here and ch. iv. 2: in the Spirit, in ch. xvii. 3; xxi. 10. The phrase denotes a state of trance or spiritual ecstasy. Compare Acts x. 10; 2 Cor. xii. 2, 4. "Connection with surrounding objects through the senses is suspended, and

a connection with the invisible world takes place" (Ebrard). "A divine release from the ordinary ways of men" (Plato, "Phædrus," 265).

"You ask, 'How can we know the infinite?' I answer, not by reason. It is the office of reason to distinguish and define. The infinite, therefore, cannot be ranked among its objects. You can only apprehend the infinite by a faculty superior to reason; by entering into a state in which you are your finite self no longer; in which the divine essence is communicated to you. This is ecstacy. It is the liberation of your mind from its finite consciousness. . . But this sublime condition is not of permanent duration. It is only now and then that we can enjoy this elevation (mercifully made possible for us) above the limits of the body and the world. . . . All that tends to purify and elevate the mind will assist you in this attainment, and facilitate the approach and the recurrence of these happy intervals. There are then different roads by which this end may be reached. The love of beauty which exalts the poet; that devotion to the One, and that ascent of science which makes the ambition of the philosopher; and that love and those prayers by which some devont and ardent soul tends in its moral purity towards perfection. These are the great highways conducting to heights above the actual and the particular, where we stand in the immediate presence of the Infinite who shines ont as from the deeps of the soul" (Letter of Plotinus, about A.D. 260).

Richard of St. Victor (died 1173) lays down six stages of contemplation: two in the province of the imagination, two in the province of reason, and two in the province of intelligence. The third heaven is open only to the eye of intelligence—that eye whose vision is clarified by divine grace and a holy life. In the highest degrees of contemplation penitence avails more than science; sighs obtain what is impossible to reason. Some good men have been ever unable to attain the highest stage; few are fully winged with all the six pinions of contemplation. In the ecstasy he describes, there is supposed to be a dividing asunder of the soul and the spirit as by the sword of the Spirit of God. The body sleeps, and the soul and all the visible world is shut

away. The spirit is joined to the Lord, and, one with Him, transcends itself and all the limitations of human thought.

Sufism is the mystical asceticism of Mohammedanism. The ecstasy of a Sufi saint is thus described:

"My tongue clave fever-dry, my blood ran fire, My nights were sleepless with consuming love, Till night and day sped past—as flies a lance Grazing a buckler's rim; a hundred faiths Seemed there as one: a hundred thousand years No longer than a moment. In that hour All past eternity and all to come Was gathered up in one stupendous Now,— Let understanding marvel as it may. Where men see clouds, on the ninth heaven I gaze. And see the throne of God. All heaven and hell Are bare to me and all men's destinies. The heavens and earth, they vanish at my glance: The dead rise at my look. I tear the veil From all the world, and in the hall of heaven I set me ceutral, radiant as the sun." Vaughan, "Hours with the Mystics," ii., 19.

Beatrice says to Dante:

"We from the greatest body Have issued to the heaven that is pure light; Light intellectnal replete with love, Love of true good replete with ecstasy, Ecstasy that transcendeth every sweetness."

Dante says:

"I perceived myself
To be uplifted over my own power,
And I with vision new rekindled me,
Such that no light whatever is so pure
But that mine eyes were fortified against it."

"Paradiso," xxx., 38-60.

Again, just before the consummate beatific vision, Dante says:

"And I, who to the end of all desires
Was now approaching, even as I ought
The ardor of desire within me ended.
Bernard was beckening unto me, and smiling,

That I should upward look; but I already Was of my own accord such as he wished; Because my sight, becoming purified, Was entering more and more into the ray Of the High Light which of itself is true. From that time forward what I saw was greater Than our discourse, that to such vision yields, And yields the memory unto such excess."

" Paradiso," xxxiii., 46-57.

On the Lord's day (ἐν κυριακῆ ἡμέρᾳ). The phrase occurs only here in the New Testament. The first day of the week, the festival of the Lord's resurrection. Not, as some, the day of judgment, which in the New Testament is expressed by ἡ ἡμέρα τοῦ Κυρίου the day of the Lord (2 Thess. ii. 2); or ἡμέρα Κυρίου the day of the article being omitted (2 Pet. iii. 10); or ἡμέρα Χριστοῦ the day of Christ (Philip. ii. 16). The usual New Testament expression for the first day of the week is ἡ μία τῶν σαββάτων (Luke xxiv. 1; see on Acts xx. 7).

Behind me. The unexpected, overpowering entrance of the divine voice. Compare Ezek. iii. 12.

Of a trumpet ($\sigma \acute{a}\lambda \pi \iota \gamma \gamma \sigma s$). Properly, a war trumpet.

11. I am Alpha and Omega, the first and the last. Omit.

Thou seest (βλέπεις). See on John i. 29.

Write $(\gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \psi o \nu)$. The aorist imperative, denoting instantaneous action. Write at once, promptly.

In a book (els $\beta \iota \beta \lambda lov$). Lit., into. Commit in writing to a book. For book see on Matt. xix. 7; Mark x. 4; Luke iv. 17. The command to write is given twelve times in the Apocalypse.

Seven churches. See on ver. 4.

Which are in Asia. Omit.

Ephesus, etc. Five ont of the seven cities here named appear in a passage in Tacitus' "Annals" (iv., 55), in which is described a contention among eleven of the cities of proconsular Asia for the privilege of erecting a statue and a temple to Tiberius. Laodicea is passed over as unequal in wealth and dignity to the task. Philadelphia and Thyatira do not appear. Pergamum is rejected as having already a temple to Augustus. Ephesus (with Miletus) has sufficient employment for its state in the ceremonies of its own deity, Diana. Thus the dispute was confined to Sardis and Smyrna; and Smyrna was preferred on the ground of its friendly offices to the Roman people.

12. To see the voice. The voice is put for the speaker.

That spake ($\eta \tau \iota s$). The compound relative has a qualitative force: of what sort.

With me $(\mu \epsilon \tau' \dot{\epsilon} \mu o \hat{v})$. The preposition implies conversation and not mere address.

Candlesticks (\(\lambda\chi\nu\)vias). See on Matt. v. 15. We are at once reminded of the seven-branched candlestick of the tabernacle (Exod. xxv. 31; Heb. ix. 2; compare Zech. iv. 2). Here there is not one candlestick with seven branches, but seven candlesticks, representing the Christian Church. The Jewish Church was one, as being the Church of a single people. The Christian Church, though essentially one, is a Church composed of many peoples. It is no longer outwardly one or in one place. According to the literal meaning of the word, lampstand, the several lampstands are bearers of the light (Matt. v. 14, 16), "holding forth the word of life" (Philip. ii. 15, 16).

The epithet golden, so common in the Apocalypse, indicates the preciousness of all that pertains to the Church of God. Trench observes that throughout the ancient East there was a sense of sacredness attached to this metal, which still, to a great extent, survives. Thus, golden in the Zend Avesta is throughout synonymous with heavenly or divine. Even so late as the time of David gold was not used as a standard of value, but

merely as a very precious article of commerce, and was weighed. In the Scriptures it is the symbol of great value, duration, incorruptibility, strength (Isa. xiii. 12; Lam. iv. 2; 2 Tim. ii. 20; Job xxxvi. 19). It is used metaphorically of Christian character (Apoc. iii. 18). In the Earthly Paradise, Dante describes trees like gold.

"A little farther on, seven trees of gold
In semblance the long space still intervening
Between ourselves and them did counterfeit."
"Purgatorio," xxix., 43-45.

13. Seven. Omit.

The Son of Man. The article is wanting in the Greek. Rev., "a son of man." But the reference is none the less to the Lord, and is not equivalent to a man. Compare John v. 27; Apoc. xiv. 14.

A garment down to the foot (ποδήρη). Compare Dan. x. 5. From πούς, the foot, and ἄρω, to fasten: hence that which connects head and foot. The word is properly an adjective, reaching to the foot, with χίτων garment, understood. Xenophon speaks of the heavy-armed soldiers of the Persians as bearing wooden shields reaching to their feet (ποδήρεσι ξυλίναις ἀσπίσιν) "Anabasis," i., 8, 9). The word occurs only here in the New Testament, but several times in the Septuagint; as Ezek. ix. 2, 3, 11, where the A. V. gives merely linen; Exod. xxviii. 4, A. V., robe; of the High-Priest's garment, Levit. xvi. 4; of Aaron's holy linen coat.

The long robe is the garment of dignity and honor. It may be either royal, or priestly, or both. Compare Isa. vi. 1.

Girt about the paps (περιεζωσμένου πρὸς τοῖς μαστοῖς). Rev., more correctly, "girt about at (πρὸς) the breasts." Compare ch. xv. 6. The ordinary girding was at the loins. According to Josephus, the Levitical priests were girded about the breast.

A golden girdle. The girdle is an Old Testament symbol of power, righteousness, truth (Isa. xxii. 21; Job xii. 18; Isa.

- xi. 5). Compare Eph. vi. 14, where the girdle of the Christian panoply is *truth*, which binds together the whole array of graces as the girdle does the upper and lower parts of the armor. The girdle snits equally Christ's kingly and priestly office. The girdle of the High-Priest was not golden, but only inwrought with gold. See Exod. xxviii. 8: "cnrious girdle:" Rev., "cnnningly woven band." So Exod. xxix. 5.
 - 14. White (λευκαί). See on Luke ix. 29. Compare Dan. vii. 9.

Wool—snow. This combination to represent whiteness occurs in Dan. vii. 9, and Isa. i. 18. Snow, in Ps. li. 7.

Flame of fire. Compare Dan x. 6. Fire, in Scripture, is the expression of divine anger. The figure may include the thought of the clear and penetrating insight of the Son of Man; but it also expresses His indignation at the sin which His divine insight detects. Compare xix. 11, 12. So Homer, of Agamemnon in a rage: "His eyes were like shining fire" ("Iliad," i., 104); also of Athene, when she appears to Achilles: "Her eyes appeared dreadful to him" ("Iliad," i., 200).

15. Fine brass (χαλκολιβάνφ). Rev., burnished brass. Only here and ch. ii. 18. Compare Dan. x. 6; Ezek. i. 7. The meaning of the word is uncertain. Some explain electrum, an alloy of gold and silver: others, brass of Lebanon (Λίβανος): others, brass of the color of frankincense (λίβανος): others again, that it is an hybrid compound of the Greek χαλκός brass, and the Hebrew labân to make white. Dean Plumptre observes: "Such technical words were likely enough to be current in a population like that of Ephesus, consisting largely of workers in metal, some of whom were no doubt Jews" ("Epistles to the Seven Churches of Asia").

Many waters. Compare Ezek. i. 24; xliii. 2; Isa. xvii. 12. See also Apoc. xiv. 2; xix. 6.

16. A sharp, two-edged sword (ἑομφαία δίστομος ὀξεῖα). The Greek order is a sword, two-edged, sharp. For the peculiar

word for sword see on Luke ii. 35. Two-edged is, literally, two-mouthed. See on edge, Luke xxi. 24. Homer speaks of poles for sea-fighting, "clad on the tip ($\sigma\tau \acute{o}\mu a$, mouth) with brass."

Countenance (ὄψις). Used by John only, and only three times: here, John vii. 24; xi. 44. Not general appearance.

Shineth (φαίνει). See on John i. 5.

In his strength. With the full power of the eastern sun at noonday.

This picture of the Son of Man suggests some remarks on the general character of such symbols in the Apocalypse. It may be at once said that they are not of a character which tolerates the sharper definitions of pictorial art. They must be held in the mind, not as clearly-cut symbols which translate themselves into appeals to the eye and which have their exact correspondences in visible facts, but rather in their totality, and with a dominant sense of their inner correspondences with moral and spiritual ideas. To translate them into picture is inevitably to run at some point into a grotesqueness which impairs and degrades their solemnity. This is shown in Albrecht Dürer's sixteen wood-cuts illustrative of the Apocalypse.* Professor Milligan goes too far in saying that these are only grotesque. One must be always impressed with Dürer's strong individuality, "lurking" as Lord Lindsay remarks, below a mind "like a lake, stirred by every breath of wind which descends on it through the circumjacent valleys;" with the fertility of his invention, the plenitude of his thought, his simplicity and fearlessness. But his very truthfulness to nature is his enemy in his dealing with such themes as the Apocalyptic visions; investing them as it does with a realism which is foreign to their spirit and intent. Take, for example, "the four riders" (Apoc. vi.). The power is at once felt of the onward movement of the three horsemen with bow, sword, and

^{* &}quot;Die Heimlich Offenbarung Johanis:" published in 1498.

balances; the intense, inexorable purpose with which they drive on over the prostrate forms at their feet; but the fourth rider, Death on the pale horse, followed by Hell, portrayed as the wide-opened jaws of a monster into which a crowned head is sinking, degenerates into a ghastly caricature of the most offensive German type—a harlequin, far surpassing in hideousness the traditional skeleton with scythe and hour-glass.

Similarly, the angel with his feet like pillars of fire, the one upon the sea and the other upon the earth. If we are solemuly impressed by the awful face of the angel breaking forth from the sun, the solemnity degenerates into something akin to amusement, at the feet like solid columns, ending in flame at the knees, and at the Evangelist "who kneels on a promontory with the corner of the great book presented by the angel in his mouth, apparently in danger of choking."

In short, such symbols as the Lamb with seven horns and seven eyes; the four living creatures, each with six wings, and full of eyes before and behind; the beast rising out of the sea, having ten horns and seven heads, and on the horns ten diadems,—do not lend themselves to the pencil. An illustration of the sadly grotesque effect of such an attempt may be seen in Mr. Elliott's "Horæ Apocalypticæ," where is a picture of the locust of ch. ix., with a gold crown on the head, hair like women's, a breastplate of iron, and a tail like a scorpion's.

Archbishop Trench very aptly draws the comparison between the modes in which the Greek and the Hebrew mind respectively dealt with symbolism. With the Greek, the æsthetic element is dominant, so that the first necessity of the symbol is that it shall satisfy the sense of beauty, form, and proportion. With the Hebrew, the first necessity is "that the symbol should set forth truly and fully the religious idea of which it is intended to be the vehicle. How it would appear when it clothed itself in an outward form and shape; whether it would find favor and allowance at the bar of taste, was quite a secondary consideration; may be confidently affirmed not to have been a consideration at all."

The imagery of the Apocalypse is Hebrew and not Greek. It is doubtful if there is any symbol taken from heathenism;

so that the symbols of the Apocalypse are to be read from the Jewish and not from the Heathen stand-point.

But to say that these symbols jar upon the æsthetic sense, is not to detract from their value as symbols, nor to decry them as violations of the fitness of things. It may be fairly asked if, with all their apparent incongruity, and even monstronsness, they may not, after all, be true to a higher canon of congruity. Certain it is that the great visible divine economy, both of nature and of man, distinctly includes the grotesque, the monstrons, the ridiculous (or what we style such). We recognize the fact in the phrase "freaks of Nature." But are they freaks? Are they incongruous? Until we shall have grasped in mind the whole kosmos, it will not be safe for us to answer that question too positively. The apparent incongruity, viewed from a higher plane, may merge into beautiful congruity. Tested by a more subtile sense; brought into connection and relation with the whole region of mental and spiritual phenomena; regarded as a factor of that larger realm which embraces ideas and spiritual verities along with external phenomena; the outwardly grotesque may resolve itself into the spiritually beautiful; the superficial incongruity into essential and profound harmony.

This possibility emerges into fact in certain utterances of our Lord, notably in His parables. Long since, the absurdity has been recognized of attempting to make a parable "go on all fours;" in other words, to insist on a hard and literal correspondence between the minutest details of the symbol and the thing symbolized. Sound exposition has advanced to a broader, freer, yet deeper and more spiritual treatment of these utterances, grasping below mere correspondences of detail to that deeper, "fundamental harmony and parallelism between the two grand spheres of cosmic being—that of Nature and that of Spirit; between the three kingdoms of Nature, History, and Revelation. The selection of symbols and parables in Scripture, therefore, is not arbitrary, but is based on an insight into the essence of things" (Milligan).

Thus then, in this picture of the Son of Man, the attempt to portray to the eye the girded figure, with snow-white hair, flaming eyes, and a sword proceeding out of His mouth,—with feet like shining brass, and holding seven stars in His hand, would result as satisfactorily as the attempt to picture the mysterions combination of eyes and wheels and wings in Ezekiel's vision. If, on the other hand, we frankly admit the impossibility of this, and relegate this symbolism to a higher region, as a delineation (imperfect through the imperfection of human speech and the inevitable power of the sensuous) of deep-lying spiritual facts, priestly and royal dignity, purity, divine insight, divine indignation at sin; if we thus bring the deeper suggestions of outward humanity and nature into relation with their true correspondents in the spiritual realm—we gain something more and deeper than a pictorial appeal to the imagination. We grasp what we cannot formulate; nevertheless we grasp it. Dropping the outward correspondence, we are the freer to penetrate to the depths of the symbolism, and reach an inner correspondence no less real and no less apprehensible.

17. I fell. Compare Exod. xxiii. 20; Ezek. i. 28; Dan. viii. 17 sqq.; x. 7 sqq.; Luke v. 8; Apoc. xix. 10. The condition of the seer, in the Spirit, does not supersede existence in the body. Compare Acts ix. 3-5.

The first and the last. This epithet is three times ascribed to Jehovah by Isaiah (xli. 4; xliv. 6; xlviii. 12); three times in this book (here, ii. 8; xxii. 13). Richard of St. Victor comments thus: "I am the first and the last. First through creation, last through retribution. First, because before me a God was not formed; last, because after me there shall not be another. First, because all things are from me; last, because all things are to me; from me the beginning, to me the end. First, because I am the cause of origin; last, because I am the judge and the end" (cit. by Trench).

18. I am He that liveth (κa) $\delta \zeta \hat{\omega} \nu$). Not a fresh sentence connected with the following words as in A. V., but connected with the first and the last by κa and. Rev., and the living One. Compare John i. 4; xiv. 6; v. 26.

And I was dead (καὶ ἐγενόμην νεκρὸς). Strictly, I became. So Rev., in margin. Compare Philip. ii. 8, "became obedient unto death"

For evermore. See on ver. 6.

Amen. Omit.

The keys of Hell and Death. Rev., correctly, of Death and of Hades. Conceived as a prison-house or a walled city. See on Matt. xvi. 18. The keys are the symbol of authority. See Matt. xvi. 19; Apoc. iii. 7; ix. 1; xx. 1. The Rabbinical proverb said: "There are four keys lodged in God's hand, which He committeth neither to angel nor to seraph: the key of the rain, the key of food, the key of the tombs, and the key of a barren woman."

19. Write. See on ver. 11. Add therefore.

The things which are (\tilde{a} elow). Some render, what they are; i.e., what they signify; but the reference of $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{a}$ $\tau a\hat{v}\tau a$ after these, hereafter to \tilde{a} elow which are, seems to be decisive in favor of the former rendering, which besides is the more natural.

Shall be (μέλλει γίνεσθαι). Not the future of the verb to be, but are about (μέλλει) to come to pass (γίνεσθαι). Compare ver. 1, "must come to pass." Here the thought is not the prophetic necessity, but the sequence of events.

20. Mystery (μυστήριον). See on Matt. xiii. 11. Depending in construction upon the verb write, and in apposition with the things which thou sawest.

Stars. Symbols of pre-eminence and authority. See Num. xxiv. 17; Dan. xii. 3. False teachers are wandering stars (Jude 13). Compare Isa. xiv. 12.

Angels (ἄγγελοι). The exact meaning of the term here is uncertain. The following are the principal interpretations:

Vol. II.-28

- 1. The officials known as angels or messengers of the synagogue, transferred to the Christian Church. These were mere clerks or readers; so that their position does not answer to that of the angels presiding over the churches. There is, besides, no trace of the transfer of that office to the Christian Church.
- 2. Angels proper. Heavenly guardians of the churches. This is urged on the ground that the word is constantly used in the Apocalypse of a heavenly being; by reference to the angels of the little ones (Matt. xviii. 10), and to Peter's angel (Acts xii. 15). It is urged that, if an individual may have a guardian angel, so may a Church. Reference is also made to the tutelar national angels of Dan. x. 21; xii. 1.

But why should the seer be instructed to write to heavenly messengers, with exhortations to repentance and fidelity, and describing them as "rich," "poor," "lukewarm," etc. (ch. ii. 4; iii. 1, 16)?

- 3. The angels are a personification of the churches themselves: the Church being spoken of as if concentrated in its angel or messenger. But in ver. 20, they are explicitly distinguished from the golden candlesticks, the churches.
- 4. The rulers and teachers of the congregation. These are compared by Daniel (xii. 3) to stars. See Mal. ii. 7, where the priest is called the messenger (angel) of the Lord; and iii. 1, where the same word is used of the prophet. See also Hag. i. 13. Under this interpretation two views are possible. (a) The angels are Bishops; the word ἄγγελος sometimes occurring in that sense (as in Jerome and Socrates). This raises the question of the existence of episcopacy towards the close of the first century.* (b) The word is used of the ministry collectively; the whole board of officers, including both presbyters and deacons, who represented and were responsible for the moral condition of the churches. See Acts xx. 17, 28; 1 Pet. v. 1-5.

Dr. Schaff says: "This phraseology of the Apocalypse already looks towards the *idea of episcopacy* in its primitive form, that is, to a monarchical concentration of governmental form in one

^{*} See Bishop Lightfoot's Essay on the Christian Ministry, in his "Commentary on Philippians."

person, bearing a patriarchal relation to the congregation, and responsible in an eminent sense for the spiritual condition of the whole. . . . But even in this case we must insist on an important distinction between the 'angels' of the Book of Revelation and the later diocesan Bishops. For aside from the very limited extent of their charges, as compared with the large territory of most Greek, Roman Catholic, and Anglican Bishops, these angels stood below the Apostles and their legates, and were not yet invested with the great power (particularly the right to confirm and ordain) which fell to the later Bishops after the death of the Apostles. . . . The angels, accordingly, if we are to understand by them single individuals, must be considered as forming the transition from the presbyters of the apostolic age to the Bishops of the second century" ("History of the Apostolic Church").

CHAPTER II.

EACH of the epistles to the seven churches contains: 1. A command to write to the angel of the particular Church. 2. A sublime title of our Lord, taken, for the most part, from the imagery of the preceding vision. 3. An address to the angel of the Church, always commencing with *I know*, introducing a statement of its present circumstances: continuing with an exhortation either to repentance or to constancy; and ending with a prophetic announcement, mostly respecting what shall be at the Lord's coming. 4. A promise to him that overcometh, generally accompanied with a solemn call to earnest attention: "He that hath an ear," etc. (Alford).

In two churches, Smyrna and Philadelphia, the Lord finds matter for praise only. In two, Sardis and Laodicea, with a very slight exception in the former, for rebuke only. In Ephesus, Pergamum, and Thyatira the condition is a mixed one, calling for mingled praise and rebuke.

1. Ephesus. Ephesus was built near the sea, in the valley of the Cayster, under the shadows of Coressus and Prion. In

the time of Paul it was the metropolis of the province of Asia. It was styled by Pliny the Light of Asia. Its harbor, though partly filled np, was crowded with vessels, and it lay at the junction of roads which gave it access to the whole interior continent. Its markets were the "Vanity Fair" of Asia. Herodotus says: "The Ionians of Asia have built their cities in a region where the air and climate are the most beautiful in the whole world; for no other region is equally blessed with Ionia. For in other countries, either the climate is over-cold and damp, or else the heat and drought are sorely oppressive" (i., 142).

In Paul's time it was the residence of the Roman proconsul; and the degenerate inhabitants descended to every species of flattery in order to maintain the favor of Rome. The civilization of the city was mingled Greek and Oriental. It was the head-quarters of the magical art, and various superstitions were represented by different priestly bodies. The great temple of Diana, the Oriental, not the Greek divinity, was ranked among the seven wonders of the world, and Ephesus called herself its sacristan (see on Acts xix. 27). To it attached the right of asylum. Legend related that when the temple was finished. Mithridates stood on its summit and declared that the right of asylum should extend in a circle round it, as far as he could shoot an arrow; and the arrow miraculously flew a furlong. This fact encouraged moral contagion. The temple is thus described by Canon Farrar: "It had been built with ungrudging magnificence out of contributions furnished by all Asia-the very women contributing to it their jewels, as the Jewish women had done of old for the Tabernacle of the Wilderness. To avoid the danger of earthquakes, its foundations were built at vast cost on artificial foundations of skin and charcoal laid over the It gleamed far off with a star-like radiance. Its peristyle consisted of one hundred and twenty pillars of the Ionic order, hewn out of Parian marble. Its doors of carved cypresswood were surmounted by transoms so vast and solid that the aid of miracles was invoked to account for their elevation. The staircase, which led to the roof, was said to have been cut out of a single vine of Cyprus. Some of the pillars were carved with designs of exquisite beauty. Within were the masterpieces of Praxiteles and Phidias and Scopas and Polycletus. Paintings by the greatest of Greek artists, of which one—the likeness of Alexander the Great by Apelles—had been bought for a sum equal in value to £5,000 of modern money, adorned the inner walls. The roof of the temple itself was of cedar-wood, supported by columns of jasper on bases of Parian marble. On these pillars hung gifts of priceless value, the votive offerings of grateful superstition. At the end of it stood the great altar adorned by the bas-relief of Praxiteles, behind which fell the vast folds of a purple curtain. Behind this curtain was the dark and awful shrine in which stood the most sacred idol of classic heathendom; and again, behind the shrine, was the room which, inviolable under divine protection, was regarded as the wealthiest and securest bank in the ancient world "("Life and Work of St. Panl," ii., 12).

Next to Rome, Ephesus was the principal seat of Paul's labors. He devoted three years to that city. The commonly received tradition represents John as closing his apostolic career there. Nothing in early Church history is better attested than his residence and work in Ephesůs, the centre of the circle of churches established by Paul in Ionia and Phrygia.

Who walketh ($\delta \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$). More than standeth. The word expresses Christ's activity on behalf of His Church.

2. Thy works $(\tau \hat{a} \ \tilde{\epsilon} \rho \gamma a \ \sigma o \hat{v})$. See on John iv. 47.

Labor (κόπου). Originally suffering, weariness; hence exhausting labor. The kindred verb κοπιάω is often used of apostolic and ministerial labor (Rom. xvi. 12; 1 Cor. xv. 10; Gal. iv. 11).

Patience (ὑπομονήν). See on 2 Pet. i. 6; Jas. v. 7. Compare Paul's exhortation to Timothy in Ephesus, 2 Tim. ii. 25, 26.

Bear (βαστάσαι). See on John x. 31; xii. 6. Compare Gal. vi. 2, where the word is used of Christians bearing each others' burdens.

Them which are evil (κακούς). Trench observes that "it is not a little remarkable that the grace or virtue here ascribed to the angel of the Ephesian Church (compare ver. 6) should have a name in classical Greek: μισοπονηρία hatred of evil; the person of whom the grace is predicated being μισοπόνηρος hater of evil; while neither of these words, nor yet any equivalent to them occurs in the New Testament. It is the stranger, as this hatred of evil, purely as evil, however little thought of or admired now, is eminently a Christian grace."

Hast tried (ἐπειράσω). Rev., didst try. See on tried, 1 Pet. i. 7; and compare 1 John iv. 1; 1 Cor. xii. 10.

- 3. The best texts omit οὐ κέκμηκας hast not grown weary, and read καὶ οὐ κεκοπίακες hast not grown weary. The transcribers supposed the verb κοπιάω to mean only to labor; whereas it includes the sense of weariness from labor.
- 4. Somewhat. Not in the text, and unnecessary. The following clause is the object of *I have*. "I have against thee that thou hast left," etc. "It is indeed a somewhat which the Lord has against the Ephesian Church; it threatens to grow to be an everything; for see the verse following" (Trench). For the phrase have against, see Matt. v. 23; Mark xi. 25; Col. iii. 13.

Hast left $(\dot{a}\phi\hat{\eta}\kappa as)$. Rev., more correctly, rendering the aorist, didst leave. The verb originally means to send away or dismiss. See on John iv. 3.

First love. Compare Jer. ii. 2. The first enthusiastic devotion of the Church to her Lord, under the figure of conjugal love.

5. Thou art fallen (ἐκπέπτωκας). Lit., hast fallen out.

Repent (μετανόησον). See on Matt. iii. 2; xxi. 29.

I will come (ἔρχομαι). Rev., correctly, I come.

Quickly. Omit.

Will remove thy candlestick. "Its candlestick has been for centuries removed out of his place; the squalid Mohammedan village which is nearest to its site does not count one Christian in its insignificant population; its temple is a mass of shapeless ruins; its harbor is a reedy pool; the bittern booms amid its pestilent and stagnant marshes; and malaria and oblivion reign supreme over the place where the wealth of ancient civilization gathered around the scenes of its grossest superstitions and its most degraded sins" (Farrar, "Life and Work of Paul," ii., 43, 44).

John employs the verb κινέω remove (Rev., move) only in the Apocalypse, and only once besides the present instance, in ch. vi. 14, where, as here, it signifies moving in judgment.

The Nicolaitans. From νικάν to conquer, and λαός the people. There are two principal explanations of the term. The first and better one historical. A sect springing, according to credible tradition, from Nicholas a proselyte of Antioch, one of the seven deacons of Jerusalem (Acts vi. 5), who apostatized from the truth, and became the founder of an Autinomian Gnostic sect. They appear to have been characterized by sensuality, seducing Christians to participate in the idolatrous feasts of pagans, and to unchastity. Hence they are denoted by the names of Balaam and Jezebel, two leading agents of moral contamination under the Old Testament dispensation. Balaam enticed the Israelites, through the daughters of Moab and Midian, to idolatry and fornication (Num. xxv.; xxxi. 16). Jezebel murdered the Lord's prophets, and set up idolatry in The Nicolaitans taught that, in order to master sensuality, one must know the whole range of it by experience; and that he should therefore abandon himself without reserve to the lusts of the body, since they concerned only the body and did not touch the spirit. These heretics were hated and expelled by the Church of Ephesus (Apoc. ii. 6), but were tolerated by the Church of Pergamum (Apoc. ii. 15). The other view regards the name as symbolic, and Nicholas as the Greek rendering of Balaam, whose name signifies destroyer or corrupter of the people. This view is adopted by Trench ("Seven

Churches"), who says: "The Nicolaitans are the Balaamites; no sect bearing the one name or the other; but those who, in the new dispensation, repeated the sin of Balaam in the old, and sought to overcome or destroy the people of God by the same temptations whereby Balaam had sought to overcome them before." The names, however, are by no means parallel: conqueror of the people not being the same as corrupter of the people. Besides, in ver. 14, the Balaamites are evidently distinguished from the Nicolaitans.

Alford remarks: "There is no sort of reason for interpreting the name otherwise than historically. It occurs in a passage indicating simple matters of historical fact, just as the name Antipas does in ver. 13."

7. He that hath an ear, etc. Compare Matt. xi. 15; Mark iv. 9. The phrase is not found in John's Gospel. It is used always of radical truths, great principles and promises.

To him that overcometh $(\tau \hat{\varphi} \nu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \iota)$. A formula common to all these Epistles. The verb is used absolutely without any object expressed. It is characteristic of John, occurring once in the Gospel, six times in the First Epistle, sixteen times in the Apocalypse, and elsewhere only Luke xi. 22; Rom. iii. 4; xii. 21.

Will I give. This phrase has a place in every one of these Epistles. The verb is John's habitual word for the privileges and functions of the Son, whether as bestowed upon Him by the Father, or dispensed by Him to His followers. See John iii. 35; v. 22, 27, 36; vi. 65; xiii. 3; xvii. 6. Compare Apoc. ii. 23; iii. 8; vi. 4; xi. 3.

Of the tree ($i\kappa \xi i \lambda o v$). The preposition $i\kappa$ out of occurs one hundred and twenty-seven times in the Apocalypse, and its proper signification is almost universally out of; but this rendering in many of the passages would be so strange and unidiomatic, that the New Testament Revisers have felt themselves able to adopt it only forty-one times out of all that number,

and employ of, from, by, with, on, at, because of, by reason of, from among. See, for instance, ch. ii. 7, 21, 22; vi. 4, 10; viii. 11; ix. 18; xiv. 13; xv. 2; xvi. 21. Compare John iii. 31; iv. 13, vi. 13, 39, 51; viii. 23, 44; ix. 6; xi. 1; xii. 3, 27, 32; xvii. 15.

Tree, lit., wood. See on Luke xxiii. 31; 1 Pet. iii. 24. Dean Plumptre notes the fact that, prominent as this symbol had been in the primeval history, it had remained unnoticed in the teaching where we should most have looked for its presence —in that of the Psalmist and Prophets of the Old Testament. Only in the Proverbs of Solomon had it been used, in a sense half allegorical and half mystical (Prov. iii. 18; xiii. 12; xi. 30; xv. 4). The revival of the symbol in the Apocalypse is in accordance with the theme of the restitution of all things. "The tree which disappeared with the disappearance of the earthly Paradise, reappears with the reappearance of the heavenly." To eat of the tree of life expresses participation in the life eternal. The figure of the tree of life appears in all mythologies from India to Scandinavia. The Rabbins and Mohammedans called the vine the probation tree. The Zend Avesta has its tree of life called the Death-Destroyer. It grows by the waters of life, and the drinking of its sap confers immortality. The Hindu tree of life is pictured as growing out of a great seed in the midst of an expanse of water. It has three branches, each crowned with a snn, denoting the three powers of creation, preservation, and renovation after destruction. In another representation Budha sits in meditation under a tree with three branches, each branch having three stems. One of the Babylonian cylinders discovered by Layard, represents three priestesses gathering the fruit of what seems to be a palm-tree with three branches on each side. Athor, the Venus of the Egyptians, appears half-concealed in the branches of the sacred peach-tree, giving to the departed soul the fruit, and the drink of heaven from a vial from which the streams of life descend upon the spirit, a figure at the foot of the tree, like a hawk, with a human head and with hands outstretched.

In the Norse mythology a prominent figure is Igdrasil, the Ash-tree of Existence; its roots in the kingdom of Hela or

Death, its trunk reaching to heaven, and its boughs spread over the whole universe. At its foot, in the kingdom of Death, sit three Nornas or Fates, the Past, the Present, and the Future, watering its roots from the sacred well. Compare ch. xxii. 2, 14, 19. Virgil, addressing Dante at the completion of the ascent of the Purgatorial Mount, says:

"That apple sweet, which through so many branches
The care of mortals goeth in pursuit of,
To-day shall put in peace thy hungerings."

"Purgatorio," xxvii., 115-117.

Paradise. See on Luke xxiii. 43. Omit in the midst of. Hapádeisos Paradise "passes through a series of meanings, each one higher than the last. From any garden of delight, which is its first meaning, it comes to be predominantly applied to the garden of Eden, then to the resting-place of separate souls in joy and felicity, and lastly to the very heaven itself; and we see eminently in it, what we see indeed in so many words, how revealed religion assumes them into her service, and makes them vehicles of far higher truth than any which they knew at first, transforming and transfiguring them, as in this case, from glory to glory" (Trench).

Lying a little north of Ephesns, on a gulf of 8. Smyrna. the same name. The original city was destroyed about B.C. 627, and was deserted and in ruins for four hundred years. ander the Great contemplated its restoration, and his design was carried out after his death. The new city was built a short distance south of the ancient one, and became the finest in Asia Minor, being known as the glory of Asia. It was one of the cities which claimed the honor of being Homer's birthplace. A splendid temple was erected by the Smyrnaeans to his memory, and a cave in the neighborhood of the city was shown where he was said to have composed his poems. Smyrna's fine harbor made it a commercial centre; but it was also distinguished for its schools of rhetoric and philosophy. Polycarp was the first bishop of its church, which suffered much from persecution, and he was said to have suffered martyrdom in the stadium of the city, A.D. 166. It is argued with some plausibility that Polycarp was bishop of Smyrna at the time of the composition of the Apocalypse, and was the person addressed here. This question, however, is bound up with that of the date of composition (see Trench, "Epistles to the Seven Churches"). The city was a seat of the worship of Cybele the Mother of the gods, and of Dionysus or Bacchus.

Was dead (ἐγένετο νεκρὸς). Lit., became dead.

Is alive (ἔζησεν). Lit., lived. Rev., properly, lived again; the word being used of restoration to life. See, for a similar usage, Matt. ix. 18; John v. 25.

9. Thy works and. Omit.

Tribulation $(9\lambda \hat{i}\psi i\nu)$. See on Matt. xiii. 21. Referring to the persecutions of Jewish and heathen oppressors. See on *Smyrna*, ver. 8.

Poverty $(\pi\tau\omega\chi\epsilon la\nu)$. Because, like all the other early Christian churches, the majority of its members were of the poorer classes, and also, perhaps, with reference to their robbery by persecutors. See on *poor*, Matt. v. 3.

Rich. In faith and grace. Compare Jas. ii. 5, 7; 1 Tim. vi. 17, 18; Luke xii. 21; Matt. xix. 21.

Blasphemy (βλασφημίαν). See on Mark vii. 22. Not primarily direct blasphemy against God, but reviling at believers.

Jews. Literally. Not Christians, as in Philip. iii. 3; Rom. ii. 28, 29. Actually Jews by birth, but not spiritually. The title is not given them by the Spirit, nor by the seer, but by themselves; and none would use that title except such as were Jews by birth and by religion. The enmity of the Jews against Christians is a familiar fact to all readers of the book of Acts; and it is a matter of history that their malignity was especially displayed toward the Church of Smyrna. In the circular letter

addressed by the Church of Smyrna to the churches in the Christian world, it is related that Jews joined with heathen in clamoring that Polycarp should be cast to the lions or burned alive, and were foremost is essentially (as was their wont) in bringing logs for the pile, and in the endeavor to prevent the remains of the martyr from being delivered to his Christian associates for burial.

Synagogue of Satan. For synagogue, see on assembly, Jas. ii. 2, the only passage in which the word is used for a Christian assembly. This fact goes to support the literal explanation of the term Jews. For Satan, see on Luke x. 18. For John's use of the expression the Jews, see on John i. 19. The use of the word here in an honorable sense, so different from John's custom, has been urged against his authorship of the Apocalypse. But John here only quotes the word, and, further, employs it without the article.

10. Fear not $(\mu\eta\delta\hat{\epsilon}\nu \phi_0\beta\hat{o}\hat{\nu})$. Lit., fear nothing. For the verb, see on Luke i. 50.

Behold ($i\delta o \dot{\nu} \delta \dot{\eta}$). The particle $\delta \dot{\eta}$ for certain, which is not rendered, gives a quality of assurance to the prediction.

The Devil ($\delta\iota\dot{\alpha}\beta\circ\lambda\circ$ s). See on Matt. iv. 1. The persecution of the Christians is thus traced to the direct agency of Satan, and not to the offended passions or prejudices of men. Trench observes: "There is nothing more remarkable in the records which have come down to us of the early persecutions, than the sense which the confessors and martyrs and those who afterwards narrate their sufferings and their triumphs entertain and utter, that these great fights of affliction through which they were called to pass, were the immediate work of the Devil."

Shall cast (μέλλει βαλεῖν). Rev., rightly, is about to cast.

Prison (φυλακὴν). See on Acts v. 21.

May be tried ($\pi \epsilon \iota \rho a \sigma \vartheta \acute{\eta} \tau \epsilon$). Tempted. See on 1 Pet. i. 7.

Tribulation ten days (θλιψιν ήμερῶν δέκα). Lit., a tribulation of ten days.

Be thou (γίνου). The exact force of the word cannot be given by a corresponding word in English. Lit., "become thou." There is to be a succession of trials demanding an increase in the power and a variety in the direction of faith. With reference to these trials, faithfulness is to be not only existent but becoming, developing with new strength and into new applications.

Unto death (ἄχρι θανάτου). Not faithful until the time of death, but faithful up to a measure which will endure death for Christ's sake. "It is an intensive, not an extensive term."

A crown (τὸν στέφανον). Rev., rightly, "the crown." See on 1 Pet. v. 4; Jas. i. 12. Crown is used with a variety of words: crown of righteousness (2 Tim. iv. 8); glory (1 Pet. v. 4); beauty (Isa. lxii. 3, Sept., A. V., glory); pride (Isa. xxviii. 1); rejoicing (1 Thess. ii. 19).

Of life $(\hat{\eta}\hat{\eta}\hat{s} \ \xi \omega \hat{\eta}\hat{s})$. The full phrase is the crown of the life: i.e., the crown which consists in life eternal. The image is not taken from the Greek games, although Smyrna contained a temple of Olympian Jupiter, and Olympian games were celebrated there. It is the diadem of royalty rather than the garland of victory, though more commonly used in the latter sense. It is not likely that John would use an image from the games, since there was the most violent prejudice against them on the part of Jewish Christians; a prejudice which, on occasions of their celebration, provoked the special ferocity of the pagans against what they regarded as the unpatriotic and unsocial character of Christ's disciples. It was at the demand of the people assembled in the stadium that Polycarp was given up to death. Moreover, it is doubtful whether any symbol in the Apocalypse is taken from heathenism. The imagery is Jewish.

11. Be hurt $(\partial \delta \iota \kappa \eta \vartheta \hat{\eta})$. Strictly, wronged.

Second death. An expression peculiar to the Apocalypse. See xx. 6, 14; xxi. 8. In those two passages it is defined as the lake of fire. The death awaiting the wicked after judgment.

12. Pergamos. The proper form of the name is Pergamum. It was situated in Teuthrania in Mysia, in a district watered by three rivers, by one of which it communicated with the sea. The original city was built on a lofty hill, which afterward became the citadel as houses sprang up around its base. The local legends attached a sacred character to the place, which, together with its natural strength, made it a place of deposit for royal treasure. The city was mainly indebted to Eumenes II. (B.C. 197-159) for its embellishment and extension. In addition to walks and public buildings, he founded the library, which contained two-hundred-thousand volumes, and was second only to that of Alexandria. The kingdom of Pergamum became a Roman province B.C. 130; but the city continued to flourish, so that Pliny styled it by far the most illustrious of Asia. All the main roads of Western Asia converged there. Pergamum was celebrated for the manufacture of ointments, pottery, tapestries, and parchment, which derives its name (charta Pergamena) from the city. It contained a celebrated and much-frequented temple of Æsculapius, who was worshipped in the form of a living serpent fed in the temple. Hence Æsculapius was called the god of Pergamum, and on the coins struck by the town he often appears with a rod encircled by a serpent. The great glory of the city was the Nicephorium, a grove of great beauty containing an assemblage of temples. The city has been described as a sort of union of a pagan cathedral-city, a university-town, and a royal residence, embellished during a succession of years by kings who all had a passion for expenditure and ample means of gratifying it. The streams which embraced the town irrigated the groves of Nicephorium and of Æsculapius, in which flourished the licentious rites of pagan antiquity. The sacred character of the city appears in coins and inscriptions which described the Pergamenes by the title claimed by

the worshippers of Diana at Ephesus, νεωκόροι temple-sweepers or sacristans.

The sharp sword with two edges. See on ch. i. 16.

13. Dwellest (κατοικείς). See on Luke xi. 26; Acts ii. 5.

Seat (9ρόνος). Rev., rightly, throne, which is a transcript of the Greek word. Better than seat, because it is intended to represent Satan as exercising dominion there. The word is used in the New Testament of a kingly throne (Luke i. 32, 52; Acts ii. 30): of the judicial tribunal or bench (Matt. xix. 28; Luke xxii. 30): of the seats of the elders (Apoc. iv. 4; xi. 16). Also, by metonymy, of one who exercises authority, so, in the plural, of angels (Col. i. 16), thrones belonging to the highest grade of angelic beings whose place is in the immediate presence of God.

Holdest fast (κρατείς). See on Matt. vii. 3; Acts iii. 11.

My name. See on 1 John i. 7.

My faith. See on Acts vi. 7.

Antipas. There is no other record of this martyr.

14. Doctrine $(\delta \iota \delta a \chi \dot{\eta} \nu)$. Rev., better, teaching.

Balaam. See Num. xxv. 1-9; xxxi. 15, 16. Compare 2 Pet. ii. 15; Jude 11.

A stumbling-block (σκάνδαλον). See on offend, Matt. v. 29, and offence, Matt. xvi. 23.

Before (ἐνώπιον). Lit., in the sight of. See on Luke xxiv. 11.

Things sacrificed to idols (είδωλόθυτα). In the A. V. the word is rendered in four different ways: meats offered to idols (Acts xv. 29): things offered to idols (Acts xxi. 25): things that

are offered in sacrifice unto idols (1 Cor. viii. 4); and as here. Rev., uniformly, things sacrificed to idols.

The eating of idol meats, which was no temptation to the Jewish Christian, was quite otherwise to the Gentile. The act of sacrifice, among all ancient nations, was a social no less than a religious act. Commonly only a part of the victim was consumed as an offering, and the rest became the portion of the priests, was given to the poor, or was sold again in the markets. Hence sacrifice and feast were identified. The word originally used for killing in sacrifice (θύειν) obtained the general sense of killing (Acts x. 13). Among the Greeks this identification was carried to the highest pitch. Thucydides enumerates sacrifices among popular entertainments. "We have not forgotten," he says, "to provide for our weary spirits many relaxations from toil. We have regular games and sacrifices throughout the year" (ii., 38). So Aristotle: "And some fellowships seem to be for the sake of pleasure; those of the followers of Love, and those of club-diners; for these are for the sake of sacrifice and social intercourse" ("Ethics," viii., 9, 5). Suetonius relates of Claudius, the Roman Emperor, that, on one occasion, while in the Forum of Augustus, smelling the odor of the banquet which was being prepared for the priests in the neighboring temple of Mars, he left the tribunal and placed himself at the table with the priests ("Claudius," 33). Also how Vitellius would snatch from the altar-fire the entrails of victims and the corn, and consume them ("Vitellius," 13). Thus, for the Gentile, "refusal to partake of the idol-meats involved absence from public and private festivity, a withdrawal, in great part, from the social life of his time." The subject is discussed by Paul in Rom. xiv. 2–21, and 1 Cor. viii. 1–xi. 1. The council of Jerusalem (Acts xv.) forbade the eating of meat offered to idols, not as esteeming it forbidden by the Mosaic law, but as becoming a possible occasion of sin to weak Christians. In his letter to the Corinthians, among whom the Jewish and more scrupulous party was the weaker, Paul, in arguing with the stronger and more independent party, never alludes to the decree of the Jerusalem council, but discusses the matter from the stand-point of the rights of conscience. While he admits the possibility of a blameless participation in a banquet, even in the idol-temple, he dissuades from it on the ground of its dangerous consequences to weak consciences, and as involving a formal recognition of the false worship which they had renounced at their baptism. "In the Epistle to the Romans we see the excess to which the scruples of the weaker brethren were carried, even to the pitch of abstaining altogether from animal food; as, in the Nicolaitans of the Apocalyptic churches, we see the excess of the indifferentist party, who plunged without restraint into all the pollutions, moral as well as ceremonial. with which the heathen rites were accompanied" (Stanley, "On Corinthians"). "It may be noted as accounting for the stronger and more vehement language of the Apocalypse, considered even as a simply human book, that the conditions of the case had altered. Christians and heathen were no longer dwelling together, as at Corinth, with comparatively slight interruption to their social intercourse, but were divided by a sharp line of demarcation. The eating of things sacrificed to idols was more and more a crucial test, involving a cowardly shrinking from the open confession of a Christian's faith. Disciples who sat at meat in the idol's temple were making merry with those whose hands were red with the blood of their fellow-worshippers, and whose lips had uttered blaspheming scoffs against the Holy Name" (Plumptre).

In times of persecution, tasting the wine of the libations or eating meat offered to idols, was understood to signify recantation of Christianity.

15. So. Even as Balak had Balaam for a false teacher, so hast thou the Nicolaitan teachers.

Nicolaitans. See on ver. 6.

Which thing I hate. Omit.

16. I will make war (πολεμήσω). The words war and make war occur oftener in the Apocalypse than in any other book of the New Testament. "An eternal roll of thunder from the throne" (Renan).

Vol. II.-29

17. To eat. Omit.

Of the hidden manna (τοῦ μάννα τοῦ κεκρυμμένου). The allusion may be partly to the pot of manna which was laid up in the ark in the sanctuary. See Exod. xvi. 32-34; compare Heb. ix. 4. That the imagery of the ark was familiar to John appears from ch. xi. 19. This allusion however is indirect, for the manna laid up in the ark was not for food, but was a memorial of food once enjoyed. Two ideas seem to be combined in the figure:

1. Christ as the bread from heaven, the nourishment of the life of believers, the true manna, of which those who eat shall never die (John vi. 31-33; 48-51); hidden, in that He is withdrawn from sight, and the Christian's life is hid with Him in God (Col. iii. 3). 2. The satisfaction of the believer's desire when Christ shall be revealed. The hidden manna shall not remain for ever hidden. We shall see Christ as He is, and be like Him (1 John iii. 2). Christ gives the manna in giving Himself. "The seeing of Christ as He is, and, through this beatific vision, being made like to Him, is identical with the eating of the hidden manna, which shall, as it were, be then brought forth from the sanctuary, the holy of holies of God's immediate presence where it was withdrawn from sight so long, that all may partake of it; the glory of Christ, now shrouded and concealed, being then revealed to His people" (Trench).

This is one of numerous illustrations of the dependence of the Apocalypse upon Old Testament history and prophecy. "To such an extent is this the case," says Professor Milligan, "that it may be doubted whether it contains a single figure not drawn from the Old Testament, or a single complete sentence not more or less built up of materials brought from the same source." See, for instance, Balaam (ii. 14); Jezebel (ii. 20); Michael (xii. 7, compare Dan. x. 13; xii. 1); Abaddon (ix. 11); Jerusalem, Mt. Zion, Babylon, the Euphrates, Sodom, Egypt (xxi. 2; xiv. 1; xvi. 19; ix. 14; xi. 8); Gog and Magog (xx. 8, compare Ezek. xxxviii., xxxix.). Similarly, the tree of life, the sceptre of iron, the potter's vessels, the morning-star (ii. 7, 17, 27, 28). Heaven is described under the figure of the

tabernacle in the wilderness (xi. 1, 19; vi. 9; viii. 3; xi. 19; iv. 6). The song of the redeemed is the song of Moses (xv. 3). The plagues of Egypt appear in the blood, fire, thunder, darkness and locusts (ch. viii.). "The great earthquake of ch. vi. is taken from Haggai; the sun becoming black as sackcloth of hair and the moon becoming blood (ch. viii) from Joel: the stars of heaven falling, the fig-tree casting her untimely figs, the heavens departing as a scroll (ch. viii.) from Isaiah: the scorpions of ch. ix. from Ezekiel; the gathering of the vine of the earth (ch. xiv.) from Joel, and the treading of the winepress in the same chapter from Isaiah." So too the details of a single vision are gathered out of different prophets or different parts of the same prophet. For instance, the vision of the glorified Redeemer (i. 12-20). The golden candlesticks are from Exodus and Zechariah; the garment down to the foot from Exodus and Daniel; the golden girdle and the hairs like wool from Isaiah and Daniel; the feet like burnished brass, and the voice like the sound of many waters, from Ezekiel; the two-edged sword from Isaiah and Psalms; the countenance like the sun from Exodus; the falling of the seer as dead from Exodus, Isaiah, Ezekiel, and Daniel; the laying of Jesus' right hand on the seer from Daniel.

"Not indeed that the writer binds himself to the Old Testament in a slavish spirit. He rather uses it with great freedom and independence, extending, intensifying, or transfiguring its descriptions at his pleasure. Yet the main source of his emblems cannot be mistaken. The sacred books of his people had been more than familiar to him. They had penetrated his whole being. They had lived within him as a germinating seed, capable of shooting up not only in the old forms, but in new forms of life and beauty. In the whole extent of sacred and religious literature there is to be found nowhere else such a perfect fusion of the revelation given to Israel with the mind of one who would either express Israel's ideas, or give utterance, by means of the symbols supplied by Israel's history, to the present and most elevated thoughts of the Christian faith" (this note is condensed from Professor Milligan's "Baird Lectures on the Revelation of St. John").

A white stone (ψηφον λευκην). See on counteth, Luke xiv. 28; and white, Luke ix. 29. The foundation of the figure is not to be sought in Gentile but in Jewish customs. "White is everywhere the color and livery of heaven" (Trench). See ch. i. 14; iii. 5; vii. 9; xiv. 14; xix. 8, 11, 14; xx. 11. It is the bright, glistering white. Compare Matt. xxviii. 3; Luke xxiv. 4; John xx. 12; Apoc. xx. 11; Dan. vii. 9.

It is impossible to fix the meaning of the symbol with any certainty. The following are some of the principal views: The Urim and Thummim concealed within the High-Priest's breast-plate of judgment. This is advocated by Trench, who supposes that the Urim was a peculiarly rare stone, possibly the diamond, and engraven with the ineffable name of God. The new name he regards as the new name of God or of Christ (ch. iii. 12); some revelation of the glory of God which can be communicated to His people only in the higher state of being, and which they only can understand who have actually received.

Professor Milligan supposes an allusion to the plate of gold worn on the High-Priest's forehead, and inscribed with the words "Holiness to the Lord," but, somewhat strangely, runs the figure into the stone or pebble used in voting, and regards the white stone as carrying the idea of the believer's acquittal at the hands of God.

Dean Plumptre sees in the stone the signet by which, in virtue of its form or of the characters inscribed on it, he who possessed it could claim from the friend who gave it, at any distance of time, a frank and hearty welcome; and adds to this an allusion to the custom of presenting such a token, with the guest's name upon it, of admission to the feast given to those who were invited to partake within the temple precincts—a feast which consisted wholly or in part of sacrificial meats.

Others, regarding the connection of the stone with the manna, refer to the use of the lot cast among the priests in order to determine which one should offer the sacrifice.

Others, to the writing of a candidate's name at an election by ballot upon a stone or bean.

In short, the commentators are utterly divided, and the true interpretation remains a matter of conjecture.

A new name. Some explain the new name of God or of Christ (compare ch. iii. 12); others, of the recipient's own name. "A new name however, a revelation of his everlasting title as a son of God to glory in Christ, but consisting of and revealed in those personal marks and signs of God's peculiar adoption of himself, which he and none other is acquainted with" (Alford). Bengel says: "Wouldst thou know what kind of a new name thou wilt obtain? Overcome. Before that thou wilt ask in vain, and after that thou wilt soon read it inscribed on the white stone."

18. Thyatira. Situated on the confines of Mysia and Ionia. According to Pliny it was known in earlier times as Pelopia and Euhippia. Its prosperity received a new impulse under the Roman Emperor Vespasian. The city contained a number of corporate guilds, as potters, tanners, weavers, robe-makers, and dyers. It was from Thyatira that Lydia the purple-seller of Philippi came, Paul's first European convert. The numerous streams of the adjacent country were full of leeches. The principal deity of the city was Apollo, worshipped as the Sungod under the surname Tyrimnas. A shrine outside the walls was dedicated to Sambatha, a sibyl. The place was never of paramount political importance.

Son of God. Compare Son of man, ch. i. 13; Ps. ii. 7; ch. xix. 13.

Who hath His eyes, etc. See on ch. i. 14, 15.

Thy works, and the last, etc. Omit and, and read, as Rev., and that thy last works are more than the first.

20. A few things. Omit.

Thou sufferest $(\hat{\epsilon}\hat{a}s)$. Used absolutely. Toleratest.

That woman. Rev., the woman. Some translate thy wife.

Jezebel. Used symbolically, but with reference to the notorious historic Jezebel. She was the daughter of Ethbaal, king

of Sidon (1 Kings xvi. 31), formerly a priest of Astarte, and who had made his way to the throne by the murder of his predecessor Pheles. Ahab's marriage with her was the first instance of a marriage with a heathen princess of a king of the northern kingdom of Israel. This alliance was a turning-point in the moral history of the kingdom. From the times of David and Solomon many treaties had been concluded between Phœnicia and Israel; but it was at the same time the special business of the kingdom of the ten tribes to restore the ancient rigidness of the nationality of Israel. Jezebel looked down with perverse pride upon a people whose religion she neither understood nor respected. Though the ten tribes had yielded to idolatry in the worship of the calves, the true God was still worshipped and the law of Moses acknowledged. From the time of Ahab's marriage the apostasy of Israel became more decided and deadly. She was "a woman in whom, with the reckless and licentious habits of an Oriental queen, were united the fiercest and sternest qualities inherent in the old Semitic race. Her husband, in whom generous and gentle feelings were not wanting, was yet of a weak and yielding character which soon made him a tool in her hands. . . . The wild license of her life and the magical fascination of her arts or her character became a proverb in the nation. Round her and from her, in different degrees of nearness, is evolved the awful drama of the most eventful crisis of this portion of the Israelite history" (Stanley, "Jewish Church"). She sought to exterminate the prophets of Jehovah (1 Kings xviii, 13), and inaugurated the worship of Baal the Sun-god on a magnificent scale. Two sanctuaries were established, one for each of the great Phœnician deities, at each of the two new capitals of the kingdom, Samaria and Jezreel. The sanctuary of Astarte or Ashtaroth (the Phoenician Venus) at Jezreel was under Jezebel's special sanction, and there is reason to suppose that she ministered as a priestess in that licentious worship. Four hundred priests or prophets were attached to this sanctuary and were supported at her table. The sanctuary to Baal at Samaria was large enough to contain all the worshippers of the northern kingdom. Its staff consisted of four hundred and fifty priests, and the interior contained representations of the Sun-god on small pillars, while a large statue of the same deity was set up in front. At these sanctuaries Ahab in person offered sacrifices.

Expositors are divided as to the symbolic import of the name in this passage, some referring it to a single person—"some single wicked woman in the Church of Thyatira inheriting this name of infamy in the Church of God," giving herself out as a prophetess, and seducing the servants of Christ to commit fornication and to eat things offered to idols. Others interpret the name as designating an influential heretical party in the Church: but, as Alford remarks, "the real solution must lie nidden until all that is hidden shall be known." It is clear, at any rate, that Thyatira, like the Church of old, had sinned by her alliance with a corrupt faith and practice.

To teach and to seduce (διδάσκειν καὶ πλανᾶσθαι). The best texts read καὶ διδάσκει καὶ πλανᾶ and she teacheth and seduceth. So Rev. For seduceth see on err, Mark xii. 24, and deceiver and error, Matt. xxviii. 63, 64. The word πλανᾶν to seduce is found oftener in the Apocalypse than elsewhere in the New Testament. It never means mere error as such, but fundamental departure from the truth.

To commit fornication and to eat things sacrificed to idols. Both sins of the historical Jezebel. See 2 Kings ix. 22, 30; Jer. iv. 30; Nahum iii. 4.

21. Space (χρόνον). Lit., time, as Rev.

Repent (μετανοήση). See on Matt. iii. 2; xxi. 29.

Of her fornication $(e\kappa)$. Lit., out of; i.e., so as to come out of and escape from her sin. See on ver. 7.

22. Into a bed. Of anguish. The scene of the sin is also the scene of the punishment.

Commit adultery (μοιχεύοντας). A wider term than πορνεῦσαι to commit fornication. Compare the metaphorical

meaning expressing the rebellion and idolatry of Israel (Jer. iii. 8; v. 7; Ezek. xvi. 32).

With her (μετ' αὐτῆς). Not with her as the conjux adulterii, but who share with her in her adulteries.

Of their deeds ($\epsilon \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \rho \gamma \omega \nu a \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$). Read $a \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s her$ (deeds). Repent out of ($\epsilon \kappa$) as in ver. 21.

23. Children (τέκνα). Emphatic. Distinguished from the participators of ver. 22, as her proper adherents, "who are begotten of her and go to constitute her." Others, however, deny any distinction (Milligan), and others (as Trench) explain as the less forward and prominent members of the wicked company, deceived where the others were the deceivers.

With death (ἐν θανάτφ). To kill with death is a very strong expression. Compare Lev. xx. 10, Sept., θανάτφ θανατούσθωσαν shall be put to death (A. V. and Rev.). Lit., let them be put to death with death. The reference can hardly be to the slaughter of Ahab's seventy sons (2 Kings x. 6, 7) who were not Jezebel's children.

All the churches. Not merely the seven churches, but the churches throughout the world.

Shall know (γνώσονται). See on John ii. 24.

Searcheth (ἐρευνῶν). See John v. 39; vii. 52; Rom. viii. 27. Compare Jer. xi. 20; xvii. 10; xx. 12; 1 Pet. i. 11. Denoting a careful search, a following up or tracking. See Gen. xxxi. 35; 1 Kings xx. 6; Prov. xx. 27; 1 Cor. ii. 10.

Reins ($\nu\epsilon\phi\rho\rho\dot{\nu}$ s). Only here in the New Testament. Strictly, kidneys. Used of the thoughts, feelings, and purposes of the soul. A similar use of the physical for the spiritual organ is $\sigma\pi\lambda\dot{\alpha}\gamma\chi\nu a$ bowels for heart. See on pitiful, 1 Pet. iii. 8.

24. And unto the rest. Omit and, and render, as Rev., to you I say, to the rest, etc.

And which (καὶ οἴτινες). Omit καὶ and. The compound relative, which, classifies; which are of those who know not, etc.

The depths of Satan (τὰ βάθη τοῦ Σατανᾶ). The reference is, most probably, to the Gnostic sect of the Ophites (öous a serpent), or, in Hebrew, Naasenes (naash a serpent), scrpent-worshippers, a sect the origin of which is unknown, but which existed as late as the sixth century; since, in 530, Justinian passed laws against it. "The veneration of the serpent was but the logical development of a theory, the germ of which is common to many of the Gnostic sects. Proceeding on the assumption that the creator of the world is to be regarded as an evil power, a thing in hostility to the supreme God, it follows as a natural consequence that the fall of man through disobedience to the command of his maker must be regarded, not as a transgression against the will of the supreme God, but as an emancipation from the authority of an evil being. The serpent, therefore, who tempted mankind to sin, is no longer their destroyer but their benefactor. He is the symbol of intellect, by whose means the first human pair were raised to the knowledge of the existence of higher beings than their creator. This conception, consistently carried out, would have resulted in a direct inversion of the whole teaching of scripture; in calling evil good and good evil; in converting Satan into God and God into Satan. The majority of the Ophite sects, however, seem to have shrunk from this portentous blasphenry. While acknowledging the fall of man as, in some manner, a deliverance from evil and an exaltation of human nature, they hesitated to carry out their principle by investing the evil spirit with the attributes of deity. A kind of compromise was made between scripture and philosophy. The serpent was, notwithstanding his service to mankind, represented as a being of evil nature and an enemy to man, though his work was overruled to man's good, and he himself was, beyond his intention, the instrument of a higher wisdom. But in one sect at least of the Ophites, the more logical and thoroughly blasphemous consequences of the first principles were exhibited openly and unblushingly" (Mansel, "Gnostic Heresies"). The characteristic boast of the

Gnostics was their knowledge of the depths of divine things. In this they were probably perverting and caricaturing the words of Paul (Rom. xi. 33; 1 Cor. ii. 10).

As they speak. Rev., as they say. The questions are, 1st. What is the phrase alluded to? Is it the familiar formula of these heretics, "the depths," or "the depths of God," the depths of Satan being added by the Lord himself in ironical contrast with the depths of divine knowledge,—or is it the depths of Satan? 2d. Does as they say refer to Christians, describing the depths of the Gnostics as depths of Satan, or does it refer to the heretics themselves, calling their own mysteries depths of Satan?

The majority of commentators regard as they say as referring to the heretics, and as applying only to the word depths; of Satan being added by the Lord in indignation. Alford says that no such formula as depths of Satan, or any resembling it, is found as used by the ancient Gnostic heretics.

Other burden (ἄλλο βάρος). The words for burden in the New Testament are σ'γκος (only in Heb. xii. 1), βάρος (Matt. xx. 12: Gal. vi. 2), and φορτίον (Matt. xi. 30; xxiii. 4; Gal. vi. 5). "Ογκος refers to bulk, βάρος to weight, φορτίον to a burden so far as it is borne (φέρω). Thus in Heb. xii. 1, "lay aside every weight (öykos)," the figure being that of runners in the racecourse, and the word appropriate as denoting the bulky robes and the accontrements of the ordinary dress which might impede the freedom of the limbs. In Matt. xx. 12, "the burden (βάρος) and heat of the day," the idea is that of heavy toil pressing like a weight. So Gal. vi. 2, "Bear ye one another's burdens." But in Gal. vi. 5, the emphasis is on the act of bearing; and therefore $\phi o \rho \tau lo \nu$ is used: "Every man shall bear his own burden;" i.e., every man shall carry that which it is appointed him to bear. The reference in that passage is probably to the prohibition enjoined by the apostolic council of Jerusalem, which concerned the very things which are rebuked here-fornication and abstinence from idol-meats. In the narrative of that council the phrase occurs "to lay upon you no greater

burden" (Acts xv. 28). The meaning accordingly will be, "I put upon you no other burden than abstinence from and protest against these abominations."

25. Hold fast (κρατήσατε). See on Mark vii. 3; Acts iii. 11.

Till I come ($\tilde{a}\chi\rho\iota s$ où $\tilde{a}\nu$ $\tilde{\eta}\xi\omega$). The conditional particle $\tilde{a}\nu$ marks the time of His coming as uncertain.

26. **Keepeth my works** (τηρῶν τὰ ἔργα μου). The phrase occurs only here in the New Testament. The works are those which Christ commands, which He does, and which are the fruits of His Spirit. See on John iv. 47.

Power (¿ξουσίαν). See on John i. 12. Rev., better, authority.

Nations (¿3νων). See on Matt. xxv. 32, and Gentiles, Luke ii. 32. Properly, here, the Gentiles, as opposed to the true Israel of God.

27. Shall rule (ποιμανεί). Lit., shall shepherd. A comparison with ch. vii. 17, brings out the terrible irony in this word. Compare Ps. ii. 9, Sept., where the same word is used. A. V., break. See on rule, Matt. ii. 6; feed, Acts xx. 28; 1 Pet. v. 2; Jude 12.

Rod ($\dot{\rho}\dot{\alpha}\beta\delta\varphi$). Commonly rendered staff, once sceptre, Heb. i. 8. This is its meaning here.

Vessels (σκεύη). See on goods, Matt. xii. 29; vessel, 1 Pet. iii. 7.

Of the potter (κεραμικά). From κέραμος potter's clay.

Shall they be broken to shivers. The A. V. follows the reading συντριβήσεται, the future tense of the verb. The correct reading is συντρίβεται, the present tense. Render therefore, as Rev., "as the vessels of the potter are broken." See

on Mark v. 4, and bruising, Luke ix. 39. The σύν together gives the picture of the fragments collapsing into a heap.

28. The morning-star (τὸν ἀστέρα τὸν πρωϊνόν). The star, that of the morning. One of John's characteristic constructions. See on 1 John iv. 9. The reference is, most probably, to Christ himself. See ch. xxii. 16. He will give Himself. This interpretation falls in with the promise of power over the nations in ver. 26. The star was the ancient emblem of sovereignty. See Num. xxiv. 17; Matt. ii. 2. "It was the symbol of sovereignty on its brighter and benignant side, and was therefore the fitting and necessary complement of the dread attributes that had gone before. The king came not only to judge and punish, but also to illumine and cheer" (Plumptre). Compare 2 Pet. i. 19.

CHAPTER III.

1. Sardis. The capital of the ancient kingdom of Lydia. It was situated in a plain watered by the river Pactolus. city was of very ancient origin. Herodotus (i., 84) gives the account of its siege and capture by Cyrus, and of its previous fortification by an old king, Meles. It was ruled by a series of able princes, the last of whom was Crossus, celebrated for his wealth and his misfortunes. In the earlier part of his reign he extended his dominion over the whole of Asia Minor, with the exception of Lycia and Cilicia. The Lydian rule was terminated by the conquest of Cyrus. From the Persians it passed into the hands of Alexander the Great, after which, for the next three hundred years, its fortunes are obscure. In B.C. 214 it was taken and sacked by Antiochus the Great after a siege of two years. The kings of Pergamns next succeeded to the dominion, and from them it passed into the hands of the Romans.

In the time of Tiberius it was desolated by an earthquake, together with eleven or twelve other important cities of Asia, and the calamity was increased by a pestilence.

Sardis was in very early times an important commercial city. Pliny says that the art of dyeing wool was invented there, and it was the entrepôt of the dyed woollen manufactures, carpets, etc., the raw material for which was furnished by the flocks of Phrygia. It was also the place where the metal electrum was procured. Gold was found in the bed of the Pactolus. Silver and gold coins are said to have been first minted there, and it was at one time known as a slave-mart. The impure worship of the goddess Cybele was celebrated there, and the massive ruins of her temple are still to be seen. The city is now a heap of ruins. In 1850 no human being found a dwelling there.

The seven Spirits of God. See on ch. i. 4.

2. Be watchful (γίνου γρηγορῶν). Lit., become awake and on the watch. See on Mark xiii. 35; 1 Pet. v. 8. Become what thou art not.

Strengthen (στήριξον). See on 1 Pet. v. 10, and compare Luke xxii. 32; Rom. i. 11; 2 Thess. iii. 3.

That are ready to die (â μέλλει ἀποθανεῖν). Read ἔμελλον were ready or about (to die).

I have not found thy works (où εὕρηκά σου τὰ ἔργα). Some texts omit the article before works, in which case we should render, I have found no works of thine. So Rev.

Perfect $(\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \acute{e} va)$. Lit., fulfilled. So Rev.

God. The best texts insert μov , "my God."

3. Thou hast received and heard (εἴληφας καὶ ἤκουσας). The former of these verbs is in the perfect tense: thou hast received the truth as a permanent deposit. It remains with thee whether thou regardest it or not. The latter verb is in the agrist tense, didst hear (so Rev.), denoting merely the act of hearing when it took place.

Watch. See on ver. 2.

On thee. Omit.

As a thief (ώς κλέπτης). Thief, as distinguished from ληστής robber, a plunderer on a larger scale, who secures his booty not by stealth, but by violence. Hence the word is appropriate here to mark the unexpected and stealthy coming of the Lord. Compare 1 Thess. v. 2, 4; 2 Pet. iii. 10.

Thou shalt not know what hour I will come upon thee. The Greek proverb says that the feet of the avenging deities are shod with wool. The sentiment is voiced in the two following fragments from Æschylus:

- "Whether one sleep or walk or sit at ease,
 Unseen and voiceless Justice dogs his steps,
 Striking athwart his path from right or left;
 Nor what is foully done will night conceal:
 Whate'er thou doest some god beholdeth thee."
- "And dost thou deem that thou shalt e'er o'ercome Wisdom divine? That retribution lies Somewhere remote from mortals? Close at hand, Unseen itself, it sees and knows full well Whom it befits to smite. But thou know'st not The hour when, swift and sudden, it shall come And sweep away the wicked from the earth."
- 4. Thou hast a few names. The best texts insert ἀλλὰ but between these words and the close of the preceding verse. So Rev. But, notwithstanding the general apathy of the Church, thou hast a few, etc. Compare ver. 1, thou hast a name, and see on ch. xi. 13. Names is equivalent to persons, a few who may be rightly named as exceptions to the general conception.

Even in Sardis. Omit kai even.

Defiled (ἐμόλυναν). See on 1 Pet. i. 4.

Garments. See the same figure, Jude 23. The meaning is, have not sullied the purity of their Christian life.

In white (ἐν λευκοῖς). With iματίοις garments understood. See on ch. ii. 17, and compare Zech. iii. 3, 5. "White colors are suitable to the gods" (Plato, "Laws," xii., 956). So Virgil, of the tenants of Elysium:

"Lo, priests of holy life and chaste while they in life had part;
Lo, god-loved poets, men who spake things worthy Phœbus' heart:
And they who bettered life on earth by new-found mastery;
And they whose good deeds left a tale for men to name them by:
And all they had their brows about with snowy fillets bound."

"Æneid," vi., 661-665.

The same shall be clothed (οὖτος περιβαλεῖται). For οὖτος this, or the same, read οὖτως thus: "shall thus be arrayed." So Rev. The verb denotes a solemn investiture, and means literally to throw or put around.

5. Book of life. Lit., the book of the life. For the figure, see Exod. xxxii. 32; Ps. lxix. 28; Dan. xii. 1; Philip. iv. 3. Compare Lnke x. 20; Heb. xii. 23.

I will confess (ἐξομολογήσομαι). Openly confess (ἐξ). See on Matt. xi. 25; Acts xix. 18; Jas. v. 16.

7. Philadelphia. Seventy-five miles southeast of Sardis. The second city in Lydia. The adjacent region was celebrated as a wine-growing district, and its coins bore the head of Bacchus and the figure of a Bacchante. The population included Jews, Jewish Christians, and converts from heathenism. It suffered from frequent earthquakes. Of all the seven churches it had the longest duration of prosperity as a Christian city. It still exists as a Turkish town under the name of Allah Shehr, City of God. The situation is picturesque, the town being built on four or five hills, and well supplied with trees, and the climate is healthful. One of the mosques is believed by the native Christians to have been the gathering-place of the church addressed in the Apocalypse. "One solitary pillar of high an-

tiquity has been often noticed as reminding beholders of the words in ch. iii. 12: 'Him that overcometh will I make a pillar in the temple of my God.'"

He that is holy (ὁ ἄγιος). See on Acts xxvi. 10. Christ is called holy, Acts ii. 27; xiii. 35; Heb. vii. 26; in all which passages the word, however, is ὅσιος, which is holy by sanction, applied to one who diligently observes all the sanctities of religion. It is appropriate to Christ, therefore, as being the one in whom these eternal sanctities are grounded and reside. "Aγιος, the word used here, refers rather to separation from evil.

He that is true (ὁ ἀληθινὸς). See on John i. 9. 'Αληθινὸς is not merely genuine as contrasted with the absolutely false, but as contrasted with that which is only subordinately or typically true. It expresses the perfect realization of an idea as contrasted with its partial realization. Thus, Moses gave bread, but the Father giveth the true bread (τὸν ἄρτον τὸν ἀληθινόν). Israel was a vine of God's planting (Ps. lxxx. 8), Christ is the true (ἡ ἀληθινὴ) vine (John xv. 1). The word is so characteristic of John that, while found only once in the Synoptic Gospels, once in a Pauline Epistle, and four times in the Epistle to the Hebrews, it occurs nine times in the fourth Gospel, four times in John's First Epistle, and ten times in the Apocalypse, and in every instance in these three latter books in its own distinctive signification.

The key of David. See on ch. i. 18, and compare Isa. xxii. 22. David is the type of Christ, the supreme ruler of the kingdom of heaven. See Jer. xxx. 9; Ezek. xxxiv. 23; xxxvii. 24. The house of David is the typical designation of the kingdom of Jesus Christ (Ps. cxxii. 5). The holding of the keys, the symbols of power, thus belongs to Christ as Lord of the kingdom and Church of God. See on Matt. xvi. 19: He admits and excludes at His pleasure.

No man shutteth (οὐδεὶς κλείει). Read κλείσει shall shut. So Rev.

8. I have set (δέδωκα). Lit., I have given. For a similar phrase see Luke xii. 51.

An open door (θύραν ἀνεφγμένην). Rev., more literally, a door opened. This is variously explained. Some refer it to the entrance into the joy of the Lord; others to the initiation into the meaning of scripture; others again to the opportunity for the mission-work of the Church. In this last sense the phrase is often used by Paul. See 1 Cor. xvi. 9; 2 Cor. ii. 12; Col. iv. 3. Compare Acts xiv. 27.* I have given is appropriate, since all opportunities of service are gifts of God. See on ch. ii. 7.

For thou hast (ὅτι ἔχεις). Some texts make behold—shut parenthetical, and render ὅτι that, defining thy works, etc. So Rev.

A little strength (μικρὰν δύναμιν). This would mean, thon hast some power, though small. Many, however, omit the indefinite article in translating, and render thou hast little strength; i.e., thou art poor in numbers and worldly resources. So Alford, Trench, and Düsterdieck.

And (kal). John's single copula instead of a particle of logical connection. See on John i. 10; vi. 46; 1 John i. 5; John viii. 20.

Hast kept my word (ἐτήρησάς μου τὸν λόγον). Rev., rendering the agrist more strictly, didst keep. For the phrase, see John xvii. 6, 8.

9. I will make $(\delta i \delta \omega \mu i)$. Rev., rightly, I give. See on ver. 8. The sense is broken off there and resumed here.

Of the synagogue (ἐκ τῆς συναγωγῆς). Certain ones of the synagogue. Most interpreters refer to the Jews. Others ex-

^{*} This is the explanation of Trench, Plumptre, Düsterdieck, and Alford, and seems, on the whole, to be the preferable one. Professor Milligan argues at length for the second explanation, which is Bengel's.

plain more generally, of the bowing down of the Church's enemies at her feet. Trench refers to a passage in the Epistle of Ignatius to this Philadelphian church, implying the actual presence in the midst of it of converts from Judaism, who preached the faith which they once persecuted.

Of Satan. See on ch. ii. 9.

I will make them to come (ποιήσω αὐτοὺς ἵνα ἥξωσιν). Lit., I will make them that they shall come.

Worship before thy feet. Compare Isa. lx. 14; xlix. 23.

10. The word of my patience (τὸν λόγον τῆς ὑπομονῆς μου). Not the words which Christ has spoken concerning patience, but the word of Christ which requires patience to keep it; the gospel which teaches the need of a patient waiting for Christ. On patience, see on 2 Pet. i. 6; Jas. v. 7.

From the hour $(\epsilon \kappa)$. The preposition implies, not a keeping from temptation, but a keeping in temptation, as the result of which they shall be delivered out of its power. Compare John xvii. 15.

Of temptation (τοῦ πειρασμοῦ). Lit., "of the trial." See on Matt. vi. 13; 1 Pet. i. 7. Rev., trial.

World (οἰκουμένης). See on Luke ii. 1.

11. Behold. Omit.

That no one take thy crown (ἴνα μηδεὶς λάβη τὸν στέφανον σου). Take it away. The idea is not that of one believer stepping into the place which was designed for another, but of an enemy taking away from another the reward which he himself has forfeited. The expression is explained by Col. ii. 18. It is related by Mahomet that, after having attempted, in vain, to convert one Abdallah to the faith, and having been told by him to go about his business and to preach only to those who should come to him—he went, downcast, to a friend's house.

His friend, perceiving that he was sad, asked him the reason; and on being told of Abdallah's insult, said, "Treat him gently; for I swear that when God sent thee to us, we had already strung pearls to crown him, and he seeth that thou hast snatched the kingdom out of his grasp." For crown, see on ch. ii. 10. Thy crown is not the crown which thou hast, but the crown which thou shalt have if thou shalt prove faithful.

12. Pillar (στύλον). The word occurs, Gal. ii. 9; 1 Tim. iii. 15; Apoc. x. 1. The reference here is not to any prominence in the earthly church, as Gal. ii. 9, but to blessedness in the future state. The exact meaning is doubtful. Some explain, he shall have a fixed and important place in the glorified church. Compare Matt. xix. 28. Others emphasize the idea of stability, and find a possible local reference to the frequent earthquakes from which Philadelphia had suffered, and which had shaken its temples. Strabo says: "And Philadelphia has not even its walls unimpaired, but daily they are shaken in some way, and gaps are made in them. But the inhabitants continue to occupy the land notwithstanding their sufferings, and to build new houses." Others again emphasize the idea of beauty. Compare 1 Pet. ii. 5, where the saints are described as living stones.

Temple $(\nu a\hat{\varphi})$. See on Matt. iv. 5.

Upon him. The conqueror, not the pillar. Compare ch. vii. 3; ix. 4; xiv. 1; xxii. 4. Probably with reference to the golden plate inscribed with the name of Jehovah, and worn by the High-Priest upon his forehead (Exod. xxviii. 36, 38). See on ch. ii. 17.

New Jerusalem. See Ezek. xlviii. 35. The believer whose brow is adorned with this name has the freedom of the heavenly city. Even on earth his commonwealth is in heaven (Phil. iii. 20). "Still, his citizenship was latent: he was one of God's hidden ones; but now he is openly avouched, and has a right to enter in by the gates to the city "(Trench). The city is called by John, the great and holy (ch. xxi. 10); by

Matthew, the holy city (iv. 5); by Paul, Jerusalem which is above (Gal. iv. 6); by the writer to the Hebrews, the city of the living God, the heavenly Jerusalem (Heb. xii. 22). Plato calls his ideal city Callipolis, the fair city ("Republic," vii., 527), and the name Ouranopolis, heavenly city, was applied to Rome and Byzantium. For new (καινῆs), see on Matt. xxvi. 29. The new Jerusalem is not a city freshly built (νέα), but is new (καινῆ) in contrast with the old, outworn, sinful city. In the Gospel John habitually uses the Greek and civil form of the name, Γεροσόλυμα; in the Apocalypse, the Hebrew and more holy appellation, Γερουσάλημ.*

14. Of the Laodiceans (Λαοδικέων). Read ἐν Λαοδικεία in Laodicea. Laodicea means justice of the people. As Laodice was a common name among the ladies of the royal honse of the Selencidæ, the name was given to several citics in Syria and Asia Minor. The one here addressed was on the confines of Phrygia and Lydia, about forty miles east of Ephesus, and was known as Laodicea on the Lycus. It had borne successively the names of Diospolis and Rhoas, and was named Laodicea when refounded by Antiochus Theos, B.C. 261–246. It was situated on a group of hills between two tributaries of the Lycus—the Asopus and the Caprus. Towards the end of the Roman Republic, and under the first emperors, it became one of the most important and flourishing cities of Asia Minor. One of its citizens, Hiero, bequeathed all his enormous property to the people, and adorned the city with costly gifts. It was the

^{*} The literature of hymnology is very rich in hymns depicting the glory of the heavenly city. In Latin there are Jerusalem luminosa, which reappears in Jerusalem my happy home, and O Mother dear Jerusalem: Urbs beata Jerusalem, which reappears in Blessed city, heavenly Salem: Urbs Sion Aurea, in Jerusalem the golden and Jerusalem the glorious. Of this O bona patria, translated in To thee, O dear, dear Country, is a portion. Also Bernard's Mereceptet Sion illa. In English may be noted, besides the translations just referred to, Sweet place, sweet place alone; Hear what God the Lord hath spoken; Jerusalem, my happy home, when shall I come to thee? In German, Meyfart's Jerusalem du hochgebaute Stadt, and Hiller's O Jerusalem du Schöne. Of Meyfart's hymn there are two English translations, one by Miss Winkworth, Jerusalem, thou city fair and high, and the other by Bishop Whittingham of Maryland, Jerusalem, high tower thy glorious walls.

seat of large money transactions and of an extensive trade in wood. The citizens developed a taste for Greek art, and were distinguished in science and literature. Laodicea was the seat of a great medical school. During the Roman period it was the chief city of a Roman conventus or political district, in which courts were held by the proconsul of the province, and where the taxes from the subordinate towns were collected. Cicero held his court there, and many of his letters were written thence. The conventus represented by Laodicea comprised not less than twenty-five towns, and inscriptions refer to the city as "the metropolis." The Greek word διοίκησις, corresponding to the Latin conventus, was subsequently applied to an ecclesiastical district, and appears in diocese. The tutelary deity of the city was Zeus (Jupiter). Hence its earlier name, Diospolis, or City of Zeus. Many of its inhabitants were Jews. It was subject to frequent earthquakes, which eventually resulted in its abandonment. It is now a deserted place, but its ruins indicate by their magnitude its former importance. Among these are a racecourse, and three theatres, one of which is four hundred and fifty feet in diameter. An important church council was held there in the fourth century.

The Amen. Used only here as a proper name. See Isa. lxv. 16, where the correct rendering is the God of the Amen, instead of A. V. God of truth. The term applied to the Lord signifies that He Himself is the fulfilment of all that God has spoken to the churches.

Faithful (πιστός). The word occurs in the New Testament in two senses: trusty, faithful (Matt. xxiv. 45; xxv. 21, 23; Luke xii. 42); and believing, confiding (John xx. 27; Gal. iii. 9; Acts xvi. 1). Of God, necessarily only in the former sense.

True (ἀληθινὸς). See on ver. 7. The veracity of Christ is thus asserted in the word faithful, true being not true as distinguished from false, but true to the normal idea of a witness.

The beginning $(\dot{\eta} \, \dot{a}\rho\chi\dot{\eta})$. The beginner, or author; not as Col. i. 15, the first and most excellent creature of God's hands.

"The stress laid in the Epistle to the Colossians on the inferiority of those to whom the self-same name of åρχαὶ beginnings, principalities was given . . . to the One who was the true beginning, or, if we might venture on an unfamiliar use of a familiar word, the true Principality of God's creation, may account for the prominence which the name had gained, and therefore for its use here in a message addressed to a church exposed, like that of Colossæ, to the risks of angelolatry, of the substitution of lower principalities and created mediators for Him who was the Head over all things to His Church" (Plumptre). Compare Heb. xii. 2, ἀρχηγὸν leader.

15. Cold (ψυχρός). Attached to the world and actively opposed to the Church. "This," as Alford remarks, "as well as the opposite state of spiritual fervor, would be an intelligible and plainly-marked condition; at all events free from the danger of mixed motive and disregarded principle which belongs to the lukewarm state: inasmuch as a man in earnest, be he right or wrong, is ever a better man than one professing what he does not feel."

Hot (ζεστός). From ζέω to boil or seethe. See on fervent, Acts xviii. 25.

16. Lukewarm (χλιαρός). Only here in the New Testament.

Foremost and most numerous among the lost, Dante places those who had been content to remain neutral in the great contest between good and evil.

"Master, what is this which now I hear?
What folk is this, which seems by pain so vanquished?"
And he to me: "This miserable mode
Maintain the melancholy souls of those
Who lived withouten infamy or praise.
Commingled are they with that caitiff choir
Of angels, who have not rebellious been,
Nor faithful were to God, but were for self.
The heavens expelled them, not to be less fair;
Nor them the nethermore abyss receives,
For glory none the damned would have from them."

"Inferno," iii., 33-42.

I will (μέλλω). I am about or have in mind. Not a declaration of immediate and inexorable doom, but implying a possibility of the determination being changed.

Spue (ἐμέσαι). Only here in the New Testament. Compare Lev. xviii. 28; xx. 22.

17. Because thou sayest. Connect, as A. V. and Rev., with what follows, not with what precedes. Some interpret I will spue thee out of my mouth because thou sayest, etc.

Increased with goods ($\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda o \acute{\nu} \tau \eta \kappa a$). Rev., have gotten riches. The reference is to imagined spiritual riches, not to worldly possessions.

Thou. Emphatic.

Wretched (ὁ ταλαίπωρος). Rev., better, giving the force of the article, the wretched one. From τλάω to endure, and πειρά a trial.

Miserable (ἐλεεινός). Only here and 1 Cor. xv. 19. An object of pity (ἔλεος).

Poor (πτωχός). See on Matt. v. 3.

18. I counsel (συμβουλεύω). With a certain irony. Though He might command, yet He advises those who are, in their own estimation, supplied with everything.

To buy. Compare Isa. lv. 1; Matt. xiii. 44, 46. Those who think themselves rich, and yet have just been called beggars by the Lord, are advised by Him to buy. The irony, however, covers a sincere and gracious invitation. The goods of Christ are freely given, yet they have their price—renunciation of self and of the world.

Gold (χρυσίου). Often of gold money or ornaments. So 1 Pet. i. 18; Acts iii. 6; 1 Pet. iii. 3. Also of native gold and gold which has been smelted and wrought (Heb. ix. 4). There

may very properly be a reference to the extensive money transactions of Laodicea.

Tried in the fire $(\pi \epsilon \pi \nu \rho \omega \mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu \sigma \nu \acute{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \nu \rho \grave{\delta} s)$. The verb means to burn, to be on fire: in the perfect passive, as here, kindled, made to glow; thence melted by fire, and so refined. Rev., refined by fire. By fire is, literally, out of the fire $(\acute{\epsilon} \kappa; see$ on ch. ii. 7).

White raiment. Rev., garments. See on ver. 4.

Mayest be clothed $(\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\beta\acute{a}\lambda\eta)$. Rev., more literally, mayest clothe thyself. See on ver. 5.

Do not appear (μη φανερωθη). Rev., more literally, be not made manifest. See on John xxi. 1. Stripping and exposure is a frequent method of putting to open shame. See 2 Sam. x. 4; Isa. xx. 4; xlvii. 23; Ezek. xvi. 37. Compare also Matt. xxii. 11–13; Col. iii. 10–14.

Anoint thine eyes with eye-salve (κολλούριον ἔγχρισον τους οφθαλμούς σου). The correct reading is έγχρισαι, the infinitive, to anoint, instead of the imperative. So Rev., eye-salve to anoint thine eyes. Κολλούριον, of which the Latin collyrium is a transcript, is a diminutive of κολλύρα a roll of coarse bread. See 1 Kings xiv. 3, Sept.; A. V., cracknels. Here applied to a roll or stick of ointment for the eyes. Horace, describing his Brundisian journey, relates how, at one point, he was troubled with inflamed eyes, and anointed them with black eye-salve (nigra collyria. Sat., i., v., 30). Juvenal, describing a superstitious woman, says: "If the corner of her eye itches when rubbed, she consults her horoscope before calling for salve" (collyria; vi., 577). The figure sets forth the spiritual anointing by which the spiritual vision is purged. Compare Augustine, "Confessions, vii., 7, 8. "Through my own swelling was I separated from Thee; yea, my pride-swollen face closed up mine eyes. . . . It was pleasing in Thy sight to reform my deformities; and by inward goads didst Thon rouse me, that I should be ill at ease until Thou wert manifested to my inward sight. Thus, by the secret hand of Thy medicining, was my swelling abated, and the troubled and bedimmed eyesight of my mind, by the smarting anointings of healthful sorrows, was from day to day healed." Compare 1 John ii. 20, 27.

19. As many as I love. In the Greek order I stands first as emphatic.

Rebuke (ἐλέγχω). See on John iii. 20. Rev., reprove.

Chasten (παιδεύω). See on Luke xxiii. 16.

Be zealous (ζήλευε). The verb is akin to ζεστός hot in ver. 16, on which see note.

Repent. See on Matt. iii. 2; xxi. 29.

20. I stand at the door and knock. Compare Cant. v. 2. Κρούω I knock was regarded as a less classical word than κόπτω. Κρούω is to knock with the knuckles, to rap; κόπτω, with a heavy blow: Ψοφείν of the knocking of some one within the door. warning one without to withdraw when the door is opened. Compare Jas. v. 9. "He at whose door we ought to stand (for He is the Door, who, as such, has bidden us to knock), is content that the whole relation between Him and us should be reversed, and, instead of our standing at His door, condescends Himself to stand at ours" (Trench). The Greeks had a word Supauλεîν for a lover waiting at the door of his beloved. Trench cites a passage from Nicolaus Cabasilas, a Greek divine of the fourteenth century: "Love for men emptied God (Philip. ii. 7). For He doth not abide in His place and summon to Himself the servant whom He loved; but goes Himself and seeks him; and He who is rich comes to the dwelling of the poor, and discloses His love, and seeks an equal return; nor does He withdraw from him who repels Him, nor is He disgusted at his insolence; but, pursuing him, remains sitting at his doors, and that He may show him the one who loves him, He does all things, and sorrowing, bears and dies."

My voice. Christ not only knocks but speaks. "The voice very often will interpret and make intelligible the purpose of the knock" (Trench).

Hear—open the door. No irresistible grace.

Will sup (δειπνήσω). See on Luke xiv. 12. For the image, compare Cant. v. 2-6; iv. 16; ii. 3. Christ is the Bread of Life, and invites to the great feast. See Matt. viii. 11; xxv. 1 sqq. The consummation will be at the marriage-supper of the Lamb (Mark xiv. 25; Apoc. xix. 7-9).

He with me. It is characteristic of John to note the sayings of Christ which express the *reciprocal* relations of Himself and His followers. See John vi. 56; x. 38; xiv. 20; xv. 4, 5; xvii. 21, 26. Compare John xiv. 23.

21. He that overcometh. See on ch. ii. 7.

CHAPTER IV.

THE Revelation proper now begins.

1. After this ($\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{a}$ $\tau a\hat{v}\tau a$). Rev., literally, after these things. Not indicating a break in the ecstatic state of the seer, but only a succession of separate visions.

I looked ($\epsilon i \delta o \nu$). Rev., better, I saw. Not of the directing of attention, but of the simple reception of the vision.

A door was opened ($9\nu\rho a a\nu \epsilon \omega \gamma \mu \epsilon \nu \eta$). Rev., rightly, omits was. A door set open. The A. V. implies that the seer witnessed the opening of the door.

In Heaven. Compare Ezek. i. 1; Matt. iii. 16; Acts vii. 56; x. 11. In all these heaven itself is opened.

Was. Omit. Render, as Rev., "a voice as of a trumpet."

A trumpet ($\sigma \acute{a}\lambda \pi \iota \gamma \gamma o s$). See on Matt. xxiv. 31. Properly a war-trumpet, though the word was also used of a sacred trumpet, with the epithet $i\epsilon \rho \acute{a}$ sacred.

Speaking—saying (λαλούσης—λέγουσα). See on Matt. xxviii. 18. The former verb indicates the breaking of the silence, the latter the matter of the address.

Hereafter ($\mu\epsilon\tau\lambda$ $\tau a\hat{\nu}\tau a$). Some editors connect these words with the succeeding verse, substituting them for $\kappa a\lambda$ and at the beginning of that verse, and rendering, "I will show thee the things which must come to pass. After these things straightway I was," etc.

2. I was in the Spirit (ἐγενόμην ἐν πνεύματι). Strictly, I became: I found myself in. Appropriate to the sudden and unconscious transportation of the seer into the ecstatic state. Thus Dante describes his unconscious rapture into Paradise:

"And suddenly it seemed that day to day
Was added, as if He who had the power
Had with another sun the heaven adorned."

Beatrice, noting his amazement, says:

"Thou makest thyself so dull
With false imagining, that thou seest not
What thou wouldst see if thou hadst shaken it off.
Thou art not upon earth as thou believest;
But lightning, fleeing its appropriate site,
Ne'er ran as thou, who thitherward returnest."
"Paradiso," i., 60-93.

A throne. See Ezek. i. 26-28.

Was set (čκειτο). Denoting merely position, not that the seer saw the placing of the throne. Compare John ii. 6.

One sitting. He is called henceforward throughout the book *He that sitteth on the throne*, and is distinguished from the Son in ch. vi. 16; vii. 10, and from the Holy Spirit in ver. 5.

He is commonly understood to be God the Father; but some understand the triune God.*

3. Jasper stone. The last of the twelve stones in the High-Priest's breastplate (Exod. xxviii. 20; xxxix. 13), and the first of the twelve enumerated in the foundation of the New Jerusalem (Apoc. xxi. 19). Also the stone employed in the superstructure of the wall of the Heavenly City (ch. xxi. 18). The stone itself was of different colors, the best being purple. According to ch. xxi. 11, it represents a crystalline brightness.

Sardine. Rev., Sardius. The sixth foundation-stone of the Heavenly Jerusalem in ch. xxi. 20. A red stone, supposed to answer to our cornelian. Pliny derives its name from Sardis where it was discovered. Others from the Persian sered, yellowish red. The exact meaning of the symbolism must remain uncertain, owing to our ignorance of the precise meaning of "jasper," a name which seems to have covered a variety of stones now known under other classifications. Some interpreters, assuming the jasper to be sparkling white, find in it a representation of the holiness of God, and in the fiery sardius a representation of His wrath.

Rainbow (*lpis*). Only here and ch. x. 1. The word is identical, and seems to have had some original connection with Iris, the deity known as the messenger-goddess of Olympus. In Homer the word is used in both senses.

"And if thou wishest now to ask of me,
No dream I am, but lovely and divine:
Whereof let this be unto thee a sign,
That when thou wak'st, the many-colored bow
Across the world the morning sun shall throw.
But me indeed thine eyes shall not behold.
Then he, awaking in the morning cold,
A sprinkle of fine rain felt on his face,
And leaping to his feet, in that wild place,

^{*} So Professor Milligan, who thinks that the whole scene is founded on Isa. vi., which, he remarks, is always justly regarded as one of the greatest adumbrations of the Trinity contained in the Old Testament.

Looked round, and saw the morning sunlight throw
Across the world the many-colored bow;
And trembling knew that the high gods indeed
Had sent the messenger unto their need."

William Morris, "Jason," xi., 190-200.

In classical Greek the word is used of any bright halo surrounding another body; of the circle round the eyes of a peacock's tail, and of the iris of the eye.

"And I beheld the flamelets onward go,
Leaving behind themselves the air depicted,
And they of trailing pennons had the semblance,
So that it overhead remained distinct
With sevenfold lists, all of them of the colors
Whence the sun's bow is made, and Delia's girdle." *
Dante, "Purgatorio," xxix., 73-78.

"Within the deep and luminous subsistence
Of the High Light appeared to me three circles,
Of threefold color and of one dimension,
And by the second seemed the first reflected
As Iris is by Iris, and the third
Seemed fire that equally from both is breathed."

"Paradiso," xxxiii., 115-120.

On this passage, which belongs to the description of Dante's vision of the Eternal Trinity, Dean Plumptre remarks: "One notes, not without satisfaction, that Dante shrinks from the anthropomorphism of Byzantine and early Western art, in which the Ancient of Days was represented in the form of venerable age. For him, as for the more primitive artists, the rainbow reflecting rainbow is the only adequate symbol of the "God of God, Light of Light" of the Nicene Creed, while the fire of love that breathes from both is that of the Holy Spirit, "proceeding from the Father and the Son."

Round about the throne. Compare Ezek. i. 26, 28.

Emerald $(\sigma \mu a \rho a \gamma \delta i \nu \varphi)$. The stone is first mentioned by Herodotus, who describes a temple of Hercules which he visited

^{*} I.e., the halo round the moon.

at Tyre. He says: "I found it richly adorned with a number of offerings, among which were two pillars, one of pure gold, the other of emerald ($\sigma\mu\alpha\rho\dot{\alpha}\gamma\delta\sigma\nu$ $\lambda\iota\dot{\beta}\sigma\nu$), shining with great brilliancy at night" (ii., 44). Also in his story of Polycrates of Samos, the signet-ring which Polycrates cast into the sea, was an emerald set in gold (iii., 41). It is claimed, however, that the real emerald was unknown to the ancients. Rawlinson thinks that the pillar in the Tyrian temple was of glass. The bow was not wanting in the other colors, but the emerald was predominant.

4. Throne (Θρόνου). A seat or chair. In Homer, an armchair with high back and footstool. Cushions were laid upon the seat, and over both seat and back carpets were spread. A royal throne. Used of the oracular seat of the priestess of Apollo. Apollo, in the "Enmenides" of Æschylus, says: "Never, when I sat in the diviner's seat (μαντικοῖσιν ἐν Θρόνοις) did I speak aught else than Zeus the father of the Olympians bade me" (616–618). Plato uses it of a teacher's seat. "I saw Hippias the Elean sitting in the opposite portico in a chair (ἐν Θρόνω). Others were seated round him on benches (ἐπὶ βάθρων)," questioning him, "and he ex cathedrâ (ἐν Θρόνω καθήμενος, lit., sitting in the chair) was determining their several questions to them, and discoursing of them" ("Protagoras," 315). Also used of a judge's bench, and a bishop's seat.

Seats (θρόνοι). Rev., rightly, thrones. The word is the same as the last.

I saw. Omit.

Elders (πρεσβυτέρους). See on Acts xiv. 23. The twenty-four elders are usually taken to represent the one Church of Christ, as at once the Church of the old and of the new Covenant, figured by the twelve patriarchs and the twelve apostles.

[&]quot;Then saw I people, as behind their leaders, Coming behind them, garmented in white, And such a whiteness never was on earth.

Under so fair a heaven as I describe
The four and twenty-elders, two by two,
Came on incoronate with flower-de-luce."

Dante, "Purgatorio," xxix., 64-84.

Clothed (περιβεβλημένους). Rev., arrayed. Better, as indicating a more solemn investiture. See on ch. iii. 5.

They had. Omit.

Crowns ($\sigma\tau\epsilon\phi\acute{a}\nu\sigma\nu$ s). See on 1 Pet. v. 4; Jas. i. 12. $\Sigma\tau\dot{\epsilon}\phi a\nu\sigma$ s with the epithet golden is found only in the Apocalypse. Compare ch. ix. 7; xiv. 14. The natural inference from this epithet and from the fact that the symbolism of the Apocalypse is Hebrew, and that the Jews had the greatest detestation of the Greek games, would be that $\sigma\tau\dot{\epsilon}\phi a\nu\sigma$ s is here used of the royal crown, especially since the Church is here represented as triumphant—a kingdom and priests. On the other hand, in the three passages of the Apocalypse where John evidently refers to the kingly crown, he uses $\delta\iota\dot{a}\delta\eta\mu a$ (ch. xii. 3; xiii. 1; compare xvii. 9, 10; xix. 12). Trench ("Synonyms of the New Testament") claims that the crown in this passage is the crown, not of kinghood, but of glory and immortality. The golden crown ($\sigma\tau\dot{\epsilon}\phi a\nu\sigma$ s) of the Son of Man (ch. xiv. 14) is the conqueror's crown.

It must be frankly admitted, however, that the somewhat doubtful meaning here, and such passages of the Septuagint as 2 Sam. xii. 30; 1 Chron. xx. 2; Ps. xx. 3; Ezek. xxi. 26; Zech. vi. 11, 14, give some warrant for the remark of Professor Thayer ("New Testament Lexicon") that it is doubtful whether the distinction between στέφανος and διάδημα (the victor's wreath and the kingly crown) was strictly observed in Hellenistic Greek. The crown of thorns (στέφανος) placed on our Lord's head, was indeed woven, but it was the caricature of a royal crown.

5. Proceeded (ἐκπορεύονται). Rev., proceed. The tense is graphically changed to the present.

Lightnings and thunderings and voices. Compare Exod. xix. 16. Variously interpreted of God's might, His judgment,

His power over nature, and His indignation against the wicked.

Lamps ($\lambda a\mu\pi d\delta \epsilon s$). The origin of our lamp, but, properly, a torch; the word for lamp being $\lambda i\chi \nu o s$, a hand-lamp filled with oil (Matt. v. 15; Luke viii. 16; John v. 35). See on Matt. xxv. 1. Trench says: "The true Hindoo way of lighting up, is by torches, held by men who feed the flame with oil from a sort of bottle constructed for the purpose."

Seven Spirits of God. See on ch. i. 4.

6. Of glass (ὑαλίνη). Rev., glassy, which describes the appearance not the material. The adjective, and the kindred noun υάλος glass occur only in the Apocalypse. The etymology is uncertain; some maintaining an Egyptian origin, and others referring it to the Greek vw to rain, with the original signification of rain-drop. Originally, some kind of clear, transparent stone. Herodotus says that the Ethiopians place their dead bodies "in a crystal pillar which has been hollowed out to receive them, crystal being dug up in great abundance in their country, and of a kind very easy to work. You may see the corpse through the pillar within which it lies; and it neither gives out any unpleasant odor, nor is it in any respect unseemly: yet there is no part that is not as plainly visible as if the body were bare" (iii., 24). Glass is known to have been made in Egypt at least 3,800 years ago. The monuments show that the same glass bottles were used then as in later times; and glassblowing is represented in the paintings in the tombs. The Egyptians possessed the art of coloring it, and of introducing gold between two layers of glass. The ruins of glass-furnaces are still to be seen at the Natron Lakes. The glass of Egypt was long famous. It was much used at Rome for ornamental purposes, and a glass window has been discovered at Pompeii. Pliny speaks of glass being malleable.

Crystal. Compare Ezek.i. 22; Job xxxvii. 18; Exod. xxiv. 10. The word is used in classical Greek for *ice*. Thucydides, describing the attempt of the Platæans to break out from their

city when besieged by the Peloponnesians and Bœotians, relates their climbing over the wall and crossing the ditch, but only after a hard struggle; "for the ice (κρύσταλλος) in it was not frozen hard enough to bear" (iii., 23). Crystal, regarded as a mineral, was originally held to be only pure water congealed, by great length of time, into ice harder than common. Hence it was believed that it could be produced only in regions of perpetual ice.

In the midst of—round about. Commonly explained as one in the midst of each of the four sides of the throne. "At the extremities of two diameters passing through the centre of the round throne" (Milligan).

Beasts ($\zeta \hat{\omega} a$). Rev., living creatures. Alford aptly remarks that beasts is the most unfortunate word that could be imagined. Beast is $9\eta\rho lov$. Z $\hat{\omega}ov$ emphasizes the vital element, $9\eta\rho lov$ the bestial.

Full of eyes before and behind. The four living beings are mainly identical with the cherubim of Ezek. i. 5–10; x. 5–20; Isa. vi. 2, 3; though with some differences of detail. For instance, Ezekiel's cherubim have four wings, while the six described here belong to the seraphim of Isaiah. So also the *Trisagion* (thrice holy) is from Isaiah. In Ezekiel's vision each living being has all four faces, whereas here, each of the four has one.

"There came close after them four animals,
Incoronate each one with verdant leaf,
Plumed with six wings was every one of them,
The plumage full of eyes; the eyes of Argus
If they were living would be such as these.
Reader! to trace their forms no more I waste
My rhymes; for other spendings press me so,
That I in this cannot be prodigal.
But read Ezekiel who depicteth them
As he beheld them from the region cold
Coming with cloud, with whirlwind, and with fire;
And such as thou shalt find them in his pages,
Such were they here; saving that in their plumage
John is with me, and differeth from him."

Dante, "Purgatorio," xxix., 92-105.

7. Lion, calf, man, eagle. From this passage is derived the familiar symbolism of the four Evangelists; Mark seated on a lion, Luke on a steer, Matthew on a man, and John on an eagle. These are varied however. Irenæus attributes the lion to John, and the eagle to Mark. Augustine the lion to Matthew, the man to Mark.

Lion. See on 1 Pet. v. 8.

Calf $(\mu \delta \sigma \chi \varphi)$. Compare Luke xv. 23. In the Septuagint for an ox or steer. Exod. xxii. 1; Ezek. i. 10.

Eagle (ἀετῷ). See on Matt. xxiv. 28.

8. Had (εἶχον). The best texts read ἔχων having, the participle in the singular number agreeing with each one.

Each of them (ἕν καθ' ἑαυτὸ). Lit., one by himself. The best texts read εν καθ' εν one by one or every one. Compare Mark xiv. 19.

Six wings. Compare Isa. vi. 2. Dante pictures his Lucifer, who is the incarnation of demoniac animalism, with three heads and six wings.

"Underneath each came forth two mighty wings,
Such as befitting were so great a bird;
Sails of the sea I never saw so large.
No feathers had they, but as of a bat
Their fashion was; and he was waving them,
So that three winds proceeded forth therefrom.
Thereby Cocytus wholly was congealed."

"Inferno," xxxiv., 46-52.

Dean Plumptre remarks that the six wings seem the only survival of the higher than angelic state from which Lucifer had fallen.

About him (κυκλόθεν). The best texts place the comma after εξ six instead of after κυκλόθεν around, and connect κυκλόθεν with the succeeding clause, rendering, are full of eyes round about and within. So Rev.

They were full (γέμοντα). Read γέμουσιν are full.

Round about and within. Around and inside each wing, and on the part of the body beneath it.

They rest not (ἀνάπαυσιν οὐκ ἔχουσιν). Lit., they have no rest. So Rev. See on give rest, Matt. xi, 28; and resteth, 1 Pet. iv. 14.

Holy, etc. Compare Isa. vi. 3, which is the original of the formula known as the Trisagion (thrice holy), used in the ancient liturgies. In the Apostolic Constitutions it runs: "Holy, holy, holy Lord God of Hosts! Heaven and earth are full of Thy glory, who art blessed forever, Amen." Afterwards it was sung in the form "Holy God, holy Mighty, holy Immortal, have mercy upon us." So in the Alexandrian liturgy, or liturgy of St. Mark. Priest. "To Thee we send up glory and giving of thanks, and the hymn of the Trisagion, Father, Son, and Holy Ghost, now and ever and to ages of ages. People. Amen! Holy God, holy Mighty, Holy and Immortal, have mercy upon us." In the liturgy of Chrysostom the choir sing the Trisagion five times, and in the meantime the priest says secretly the prayer of the Trisagion. "God which art holy and restest in the holies, who art hymned with the voice of the Trisagion by the Seraphim, and glorified by the Cherubin, and adored by all the heavenly powers! Thou who didst from nothing call all things into being; who didst make man after Thine image and likeness, and didst adorn him with all Thy graces; who givest to him that seeketh wisdom and understanding, and passest not by the sinner, but dost give repentance unto salvation: who has vouchsafed that we. Thy humble and unworthy servants, should stand, even at this time, before the glory of Thy holy altar, and should pay to Thee the worship and praise that is meet :- receive, Lord, out of the mouth of sinners, the hymn of the Trisagion, and visit us in Thy good-Forgive us every offence, voluntary and involuntary. Sanctify our souls and bodies, and grant that we may serve Thee in holiness all the days of our life; through the intercession of the holy Mother of God, and all the saints who have pleased Thee since the beginning of the world. (Aloud.) For holy art Thou, one God and to Thee."

According to an unreliable tradition this formula was received during an earthquake at Constantinople, in the reign of Theodosius II., through a boy who was caught up into the sky and heard it from the angels. The earliest testimonies to the existence of the Trisagion date from the fifth century or the latter part of the fourth. Later, the words were added, "that was crucified for us," in order to oppose the heresy of the Theopaschites ($\Theta \epsilon \acute{o}s$ God, $\pi \acute{a}\sigma \chi \omega$ to suffer) who held that God had suffered and been crucified. To this was added later the words "Christ our king:" the whole reading, "Holy God, holy Mighty, holy Immortal, Christ our king that was crucified for us, have mercy on us." The formula thus entered into the controversy with the Monophysites, who claimed that Christ had but one composite nature. Dante introduces it into his "Paradiso."

"The One and Two and Three who ever liveth
And reigneth ever in Three and Two and One,
Not circumscribed and all things circumscribing,
Three several times was chanted by each one
Among those spirits, with such melody
That for all merit it were just reward."

"Paradiso," xiv.. 28-33.

"When I was silent, sweetest song did flow Through all the heaven, and my lady too With them cried holy, holy, holy!"

"Paradiso," xxvi., 67-69.

The interpretations of the symbols of the four living creatures are, of course, numerous and varied. Some of them are: the four Evangelists or Gospels; the four elements; the four cardinal virtues; the four faculties or powers of the human soul; the Lord in the fourfold great events of redemption; the four patriarchal churches; the four great apostles, the doctors of the Church; the four principal angels, etc. The best modern interpreters explain the four forms as representing animated nature—" man with his train of dependent beings brought near

to God, and made partakers of redemption, thus fulfilling the language of St. Paul, that 'the creation itself also shall be delivered from the bondage of corruption into the liberty of the glory of the children of God'" (Rom. viii. 21; Milligan). Düsterdieck says: "The essential idea which is symbolized in the figures of the four living creatures may be expressed in such words as those of Ps. ciii. 22." Full of eyes, they are ever on the alert to perceive the manifestations of divine glory. Covering their faces and feet with their wings (Isa. vi. 2), they manifest their reverence and lumility. Flying, they are prompt for ministry. "We thus have the throne of God surrounded by His Church and His animated world; the former represented by the twenty-four elders, the latter by the four living beings" (Alford).

Which is to come (δ $\epsilon \rho \chi \delta \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma s$). Lit., which cometh or is coming.

9. When (öτav). Whensoever, implying, with the future tense, the eternal repetition of the act of praise.

Give (δώσουσιν). Lit., as Rev., shall give.

- 10. Cast (βάλλουσιν). Read βαλοῦσιν shall cast. The casting of the crowns is an act of submission and homage. Cicero relates that when Tigranes the king of the Armenians was brought to Pompey's camp as a captive, prostrating himself abjectly, Pompey "raised him up, and replaced on his head the diadem which he had thrown down" (Oration "Pro Sestio," xxvii.). Tacitus gives an account of the public homage paid by the Parthian Tiridates to the statue of Nero. "A tribunal placed in the centre, supported a chair of state on which the statue of Nero rested. Tiridates approached, and having immolated the victims in due form, he lifted the diadem from his head and laid it at the feet of the statue, while every heart throbbed with intense emotion" ("Annals," xv., 29).
- 11. **O** Lord (κύριε). Read ὁ κύριος καὶ ὁ Θεὸς ἡμῶν our Lord and our God. So Rev. See on Matt. xxi. 3.

To receive $(\lambda a \beta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu)$. Or perhaps, better, to take, since the glory, honor, and power are the absolute possession of the Almighty. See on John iii. 32.

Power. Instead of the thanks in the ascription of the living creatures. In the excess of gratitude, self is forgotten. Their thanksgiving is a tribute to the creative power which called them into being. Note the articles, "the glory," etc. (so Rev.), expressing the absoluteness and universality of these attributes. See on ch. i. 6.

All things ($\tau \dot{a} \pi \dot{a} \nu \tau a$). With the article signifying the universe.

For thy pleasure (διὰ τὸ θέλημα σου). Lit., because of thy will. So Rev. Alford justly remarks: "For thy pleasure of the A. V. introduces an element entirely strange to the context, and, however true in fact, most inappropriate here, where the $\emph{δτι for}$ renders a reason for the worthiness to take honor and glory and power."

They are (ɛlolv). Read noav they were. One of the great MSS., B, reads οὐκ ἦσαν they were not; i.e., they were created out of nothing. The were is not came into being, but simply they existed. See on John i. 3; vii. 34; viii. 58. Some explain, they existed in contrast with their previous non-existence; in which case it would seem that the order of the two clauses should have been reversed; besides which it is not John's habit to apply this verb to temporary and passing objects. Professor Milligan refers it to the eternal type existing in the divine mind before anything was created, and in conformity with which it was made when the moment of creation arrived. Compare Heb. viii. 5. "Was the heaven then or the world, whether called by this or any other more acceptable name-assuming the name, I am asking a question which has to be asked at the beginning of every inquiry—was the world, I say, always in existence and without beginning, or created and having a beginning? Created, I reply, being visible and tangible and having a body, and therefore sensible; and all sensible things which are apprehended by opinion and sense are in a process of creation and created. Now that which is created must of necessity be created by a cause. But how can we find out the father and maker of all this universe? And when we have found him, to speak of his nature to all men is impossible. Yet one more question has to be asked about him, which of the patterns had the artificer in view when he made the world?—the pattern which is unchangeable, or that which is created? If the world be indeed fair and the artificer good, then, as is plain, he must have looked to that which is eternal. But if what cannot be said without blasphemy is true, then he looked to the created pattern. Every one will see that he must have looked to the eternal, for the world is the fairest of creations and he is the best of causes" (Plato, "Timæus," 28, 29).

CHAPTER V.

1. In $(\epsilon \pi l)$. Lit., on. The book or roll lay upon the open hand.

A Book (βιβλίον). See on Matt. xix. 7; Mark x. 4; Luke iv. 17. Compare Ezek. ii. 9; Jer. xxxvi. 2; Zech. v. 1, 2.

Within and on the back side (ἐσωθεν καὶ ὅπισθεν). Compare Ezek. ii. 10. Indicating the completeness of the divine counsels contained in the book. Rolls written on both sides were called opistographi. Pliny the younger says that his uncle, the elder Pliny, left him an hundred and sixty commentaries, most minutely written, and written on the back, by which this number is multiplied. Juvenal, inveighing against the poetasters who are declaiming their rubbish on all sides, says: "Shall that one then have recited to me his comedies, and this his elegies with impunity? Shall huge 'Telephus' with impunity have consumed a whole day; or—with the margin to the end of the book already filled—'Orestes,' written on the very back, and yet not concluded?" (i., 3-6).

Sealed (κατεσφραγισμένον). Only here in the New Testament. The preposition κατά denotes sealed down. close sealed. The roll is wound round a staff and fastened down to it with the seven seals. The unrolling of the parchment is nowhere indicated in the vision. Commentators have puzzled themselves to explain the arrangement of the seals, so as to admit of the unrolling of a portion with the opening of each seal. Düsterdieck remarks that, with an incomparably more beautiful and powerful representation, the contents of the roll are successively symbolized by the vision which follows upon the opening of each seal. "The contents of the book leap forth in plastic symbols from the loosened seal." Milligan explains the seven seals as one seal, comparing the seven churches and the seven spirits as signifying one church and one spirit, and doubts if the number seven has here any mystical meaning. Others, as Alford, claim that the completeness of the divine purposes is indicated by the perfect number seven.

2. Strong. Either as being of higher rank, or with reference to the great voice.

Worthy (äxios). As in John i. 27. Morally entitled.

3. Under the earth. In Hades.

To look (βλέπειν). See on John i. 29. To take a single look at the contents.

- 4. I wept (ἔκλαιον). Audible weeping. See on Luke vi. 21.
- 5. Of the elders (ἐκ τῶν πρεσβυτέρων). Strictly, from among the elders.

The Lion. See Gen. xlix. 9.

The Root of David. See on Nazarene, Matt. ii. 23.

Hath prevailed (ἐνίκησεν). Or overcame.

To loose. Omit.

6. And lo! Omit.

In the midst of. Not on the throne, but perhaps in the space in the centre of which is the throne, and which is surrounded by the twenty-four elders.

A Lamb (àpνίον). The diminutive, very frequent in the Apocalypse, and once in the Gospel of John (xxi. 15). Nowhere else in the New Testament. Compare Isa. liii. 7; John i. 29, 36. Christ had just been spoken of as a lion. He now appears as a lamb. Some interpreters emphasize the idea of gentleness, others that of sacrifice.

Slain (ἐσφαγμένον). The verb indicates violence, butchery. See on 1 John iii. 12. It is also the sacrificial word. Exod. xii. 6.

Stood (ἐστηκὸς). Rev., more correctly, standing. Though slaughtered the lamb stands. Christ, though slain, is risen and living.

Seven horns and seven eyes. See remarks on the Apocalyptic imagery, ch. i. 16. The horn is the emblem of might. See 1 Sam. ii. 10; 1 Kings xxii. 11; Ps. cxii. 9; Dan. vii. 7, 20 sqq.; Luke i. 69. Compare Matt. xxviii. 18. The eyes represent the discerning Spirit of God in its operation upon all created things.

Sent forth (ἀπεσταλμένα). See on Mark iii. 14.

- 7. **Took** ($\epsilon i \lambda \eta \phi \epsilon \nu$). Lit., hath taken. The perfect, alternating with the agrist, is graphic.
 - 8. Had taken ($\delta \lambda a \beta \epsilon v$). Lit., took. The agrist is resumed.

Every one of them harps (ἔκαστος κιθάρας). Rev., less clumsily, having each one a harp. Each one, that is, of the elders. Κιθάρα harp signifies an instrument unlike our harp as ordinarily constructed. Rather a lute or guitar, to which latter word kithara is etymologically related. Anciently of a

triangular shape, with seven strings, afterwards increased to eleven. Josephus says it had ten, and was played with a *plectrum* or small piece of ivory.

Vials (φιάλας). Only in the Apocalypse. The word vial, used commonly of a small bottle, gives a wrong picture here. The φιάλη was a broad, flat vessel, used for boiling liquids. sometimes as a cinerary urn, and for drinking, or pouring libations. Also of the shallow cup, usually without a foot, in which libations were drawn out of the mixer. Herodotus says that at Platæa the Spartan Helots were bidden by Pausanias to bring together the booty of the Persian camp, and that they found "many golden mixers and bowls (φιάλας), and other ἐκπώματα (drinking-vessels)" (ix., 30). From its broad, flat shape "Apeos φιάλη bowl of Mars was a comic metaphor for a shield. It was also used for sunken work in a ceiling. In the Septuagint the word is frequently used for bowls or basons. See Num. vii. 13. 19, 25, 31, 37, 43, etc.; 1 Kings vii. 50; Zech. ix. 15. Here, censers, though several different words of the Septuagint and New Testament are rendered censer; as θυΐσκη, 1 Kings vii. 50: θυμιατήριον, 2 Chron. xxvi. 19; Ezek. viii. 11; Heb. ix. 4; λιβανωτὸν, Apoc. viii. 3. Θυίσκη however is the golden incense-cup or spoon to receive the frankingense which was lighted with coals from the brazen altar, and offered on the golden altar before the veil. The imagery is from the tabernacle and temple service.

Incense (θυμιαμάτων). The directions for the composition of the incense for the tabernacle-worship, are given Exod. xxx. 37, 38.

Prayers. For incense as the symbol of prayer, see Lev. xvi. 12, 13; Ps. cxli. 2. See on Luke i. 9. Edersheim, describing the offering of incense in the temple, says: "As the President gave the word of command which marked that 'the time of incense had come,' the whole multitude of the people without withdrew from the inner court and fell down before the Lord, spreading their hands in silent prayer. It is this most solemn

period, when, throughout the vast temple-buildings, deep silence rested on the worshipping multitude, while within the sanctuary itself the priest laid the incense on the golden altar, and the cloud of odors rose up before the Lord, which serves as the image of heavenly things in the Apocalypse (viii. 1, 3, 4). The prayers offered by priests and people at this part of the service are recorded by tradition as follows: 'True it is that Thon art Jehovah, our God and the God of our fathers; our King and the King of our fathers; our Saviour and the Rock of our salvation; our Help and our Deliverer. Thy name is from everlasting, and there is no God beside Thee. A new song did they that were delivered sing to Thy name by the seashore. Together did all praise and own Thee as King, and say, 'Jehovah shall reign who saveth Israel.'" Compare "the Song of Moses," ch. xv. 3, and "a new song," ver. 9.

9. They sing. Present tense, denoting the continuous, unceasing worship of heaven, or possibly, as describing their "office generally rather than the mere one particular case of its exercise" (Alford).

Redeem (ἠγόρασας). Lit., purchase, as Rev. See John iv. 8; vi. 5.

Us. Omit us and supply men, as Rev.

With Thy blood ($i\nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$ a $i\mu a\tau i \sigma o\nu$). Lit., "in Thy blood." The preposition in is used Hebraistically of the price; the value of the thing purchased being contained in the price.

Kindred ($\phi \nu \lambda \hat{\eta}$ s). Rev., tribe. Often in the New Testament of the twelve tribes of Israel.

People, nation (λαοῦ, ἔθνους). See on 1 Pet. ii. 9.

10. Us (ἡμᾶς). Read αὐτοὺς them.

Kings (βασιλείε). Read βασιλείαν a kingdom. See on ch. i. 6.

We shall reign (βασιλεύσομεν). Read βασιλεύουσιν they reign. Their reigning is not future, but present.

11. Ten thousand times ten thousand (μυρίαδες μυρίαδων). Lit., ten thousands of ten thousands. Compare Ps. lxviii. 17; Dan. viii. 10. Μυριάς, whence the English myriad, means the number ten thousand. So, literally, Acts xix. 19, ἀργυρίου μυριάδας πέντε fifty-thousand pieces of silver; lit., five ten-thousands. In the plural used for an unlimited number. See Luke xii. 1; Acts xxi. 20; Heb. xii. 22; Jude 14.

Thousands (χιλιάδες). Χιλιάς, a collective term like μυριάς, meaning the number one thousand, is almost invariably used with men in the Apocalypse. See ch. vii. 4; xi. 13. Only once with a material object (ch. xxi. 16). With inferior objects χίλιοι a thousand is used. See ch. xi. 3; xii. 6. These words are the theme of Alford's noble hymn—

"Ten thousand times ten thousand In sparkling raiment bright, The armies of the ransomed saints Throng up the steeps of light: "Tis finished, all is finished, Their fight with death and sin; Fling open wide the golden gates, And let the victors in."

12. Power, etc. Rev., "the power." Compare the ascription in ch. iv. 11, on which see note, and notice that each separate particular there has the article, while here it is attached only to the first, the power, the one article including all the particulars, as if they formed but one word. On the doxologies, see on ch. i. 6.

Riches (πλοῦτον). Not limited to spiritual riches, but denoting the fulness of every gift of God. Jas. i. 17; Acts xvii. 25. Only here in a doxology.

Blessing (εὐλογίαν). See on the kindred word εὐλογητὸς blessed, 1 Pet. i. 3.

13. Creature (κτίσμα). See 1 Tim. iv. 4; Jas. i. 18. From κτίζω to found. A thing founded or created. Rev., created thing. See on John i. 3.

In the sea $(i\pi)$ $\tau \hat{\eta}s$ $\Im \lambda \acute{a}\sigma \eta s$). More accurately, "on the sea," as Rev. Not ships, but creatures of the sea which have come up from its depths to the surface.

Blessing ($\hat{\eta}$ edhoyla). Rev. rightly, "the blessing." All the particulars of the following ascription have the article.

Honor $(\tau \iota \mu \dot{\eta})$. Originally a valuing by which the price is fixed, hence the price itself, the thing prized, and so, generally, honor. See on Acts xxviii. 10.

Power (τὸ κράτος). Rev., the dominion. For the different words for power, see on 2 Pet. ii. 11.

14. Four and twenty. Omit.

Worshipped. In silent adoration.

Him that liveth forever and ever. Omit.

CHAPTER VI.

1. Of the seals. Add seven.

And see. Omit.

2. White horse. For white, see on Luke ix. 29. Horse, see Zech. i. 7-11; vi. 1-8. All the figures of this verse are those of victory. The horse in the Old Testament is the emblem of war. See Job xxxix. 25; Ps. lxxvi. 6; Prov. xxi. 31; Ezek. xxvi. 10. So Virgil:

[&]quot;But I beheld upon the grass four horses, snowy white,
Grazing the meadows far and wide, first omen of my sight.
Father Anchises seeth, and saith: "New land and bear'st thou war?
For war are horses dight; so these war-threatening herd-beasts are."

"Æneid," iii., 537.

So Turnus, going forth to battle:

"He spake, and to the roofed place now swiftly wending home, Called for his steeds, and merrily stood there before their foam, E'en those that Orithyia gave Pilumnus, gift most fair, Whose whiteness overpassed the snow, whose speed the winged air."

"Æneid," xii., 81-83.

Homer pictures the horses of Rhesus as whiter than snow, and swift as the winds ("Iliad," x., 436, 437); and Herodotus, describing the battle of Platæa says: "The fight went most against the Greeks where Mardonius, mounted on a white horse, and surrounded by the bravest of all the Persians, the thousand picked men, fought in person" (ix., 63). The horses of the Roman generals in their triumphs were white.

Bow (τόξου). See Ps. xlv. 4, 5; Hab. iii. 8, 9; Isa. xli. 2; Zech. ix. 13, 14, in which last passage the figure is that of a great bow which is drawn only by a great exertion of strength, and by placing the foot upon it. Compare Homer's picture of Telemachus' attempt to draw Ulysses' bow:

"And then he took his place
Upon the threshold, and essayed the bow;
And thrice he made the attempt and thrice gave o'er."
"Odyssey," xxi., 124-25.

The snitors propose to anoint the bow with fat in order to soften it.

"Bring us from within
An ample roll of fat, that we young men
By warming and anointing may make soft
The bow, and draw the cord and end the strife."
"Odyssey," xxi., 178-80.

A crown (στέφανος). See on ch. iv. 4.

3. And see. Omit.

Had opened (ἤνοιξεν). Rev., rendering the agrist more literally, opened.

Red (πυρρός). From πῦρ fire. Flame-colored. Compare
 Kings iii. 22; Zech. i. 8. Only here and ch. xii. 3.

To take peace from the earth. Compare Matt. x. 34; xxiv. 7.

Kill (σφάξωσιν). See on ch. v. 6.

Sword (μάχαιρα). Compare Matt. x. 34. In Homer, a large knife or dirk, worn next the sword-sheath, and used to slaughter animals for sacrifice. Thus, "The son of Atrens, having drawn with his hands the knife (μάχαιραν) which hung ever by the great sheath of his sword, cut the hair from the heads of the lambs. . . . He spake, and cut the lambs' throats with the pitiless brass" ("Iliad," iii., 271-292). It is used by the surgeon Machaon to cut out an arrow ("Iliad," xi., 844). Herodotus, Aristophanes, and Euripides use the word in the sense of a knife for cutting up meat. Plato, of a knife for pruning trees. As a weapon it appears first in Herodotus: "Here they (the Greeks) defended themselves to the last, such as still had swords, using them (vii., 225). Later of the sabre or bent sword, contrasted with the Eldos or straight sword. Aristophanes uses it with the adjective $\mu \iota \hat{a}$ single, for a razor, contrasted with μάχαιρα διπλη, the double knife or scissors. This and poupaia (see on Luke ii. 35) are the only words used in the New Testament for sword. Zipos (see above) does not occur. In Septuagint μάχαιρα of the knife of sacrifice used by Abraham (Gen. xxii. 6, 10).

5. Come and see. Omit and see.

Black. The color of mourning and famine. See Jer. iv. 28; viii. 21; Mal. iii. 14, where mournfully is, literally, in black.

Pair of balances (ζυγὸν). Rev., a balance. Properly, anything which joins two bodies; hence a yoke (Matt. xi. 29; Acts xv. 10). The cross-beam of the loom, to which the warp was fixed; the thwarts joining the opposite sides of a ship; the

beam of the balance, and hence the balance itself. The judgment of this seal is *scarcity*, of which the balance is a symbol, representing the time when food is doled out by weight. See Lev. xxvi. 26; Ezek. iv. 16.

6. Measure (χοῖνιξ). Chœnix. Only here in the New Testament. A dry measure, according to some, a quart; to others a pint and a half. Herodotus, speaking of the provisions for Xerxes' army, assigns a chœnix of corn for a man's daily supply, evidently meaning a minimum allowance (vii., 187); and Thucydides, speaking of the terms of truce between the Lacedæmonians and the Athenians, mentions the following as one of the provisions: "The Athenians shall permit the Lacedæmonians on the mainland to send to those on the island a fixed quantity of kneaded flour, viz., two Attic quarts (χοίνικας) of barleymeal for each man" (iv., 16). Jowett ("Thucydides") says that the chœnix was about two pints dry measure. So Arnold ("Thucydides"), who adds that the allowance of two chœnixes of barley-meal daily to a man was the ordinary allowance of a Spartan at the public table. See Herodotus, vi., 57.

For a penny (δηναρίου). See on Matt. xx. 2.

8. Pale (χλωρὸς). Only in the Apocalypse, except Mark vi. 39. Properly, greenish-yellow, like young grass or unripe wheat. Homer applies it to honey, and Sophocles to the sand. Generally, pale, pallid. Used of a mist, of sea-water, of a pale or bilious complexion. Thucydides uses it of the appearance of persons stricken with the plague (ii., 49). In Homer it is used of the paleness of the face from fear, and so as directly descriptive of fear ("Iliad," x., 376; xv., 4). Of olive wood ("Odyssey," ix., 320, 379) of which the bark is gray. Gladstone says that in Homer it indicates rather the absence than the presence of definite color. In the New Testament, always rendered green, except here. See Mark vi. 39; Apoc. viii. 7; ix. 14.

Hell. Properly, Hades. The realm of the dead personified. See on Matt. xvi. 18.

Power (ἐξουσία). See on Mark ii. 10; 2 Pet. ii. 11. Rev., better, authority.

With the sword (ἐν ῥομφαία). Another word for sword. Compare ver. 4, and see on Luke ii. 35.

With death (ἐν θανάτφ). Or pestilence. The Hebrew deber, pestilence, is rendered by the Greek word for death in the Septuagint. See Jer. xiv. 12; xxi. 7. Compare the term black-death applied to an Oriental plague which raged in the fourteenth century.

With the beasts ($\dot{\nu}\pi\dot{\sigma}$ $\dot{\tau}\hat{\omega}\nu$ $\Im\eta\rho\dot{\omega}\nu$). Rev., by. The preposition $\dot{\nu}\pi\dot{\sigma}$ by is used here instead of $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ in or with, indicating more definitely the actual agent of destruction; while $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ denotes the element in which the destruction takes place, and gives a general indication of the manner in which it was wrought. With these four judgments compare Ezek. xiv. 21.

9. Altar (Δυσιαστηρίου). See on Acts xvii. 23. The altar of sacrifice, as is indicated by slain; not the altar of incense. The imagery is from the tabernacle. Exod. xxxix. 39; xl. 29.

Souls $(\psi \nu \chi as)$. Or *lives*. See on 3 John 2. He saw only *blood*, but blood and life were equivalent terms to the Hebrew.

Slain ($\epsilon \sigma \phi \alpha \gamma \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$). See on ch. v. 6. The law commanded that the blood of sacrificed animals should be poured out at the bottom of the altar of burnt-offering (Lev. iv. 7).

They held $(\epsilon i \chi o \nu)$. Not held fast, but bore the testimony which was committed to them.

10. They cried (ἔκραζον). See on Mark v. 5.

How long ($\tilde{\epsilon}\omega s \pi \acute{o}\tau \epsilon$). Lit., until when. Compare Zech. i. 12.

O Lord (ὁ δεσπότης). See on 2 Pet. ii. 1. Only here in the Apocalypse. Addressed to God rather than to Christ, and Vol. II.—32

breathing, as Professor Milligan remarks, "the feeling of Old Testament rather than of New Testament relation." Compare Acts iv. 24; Jude 4.

True (ἀληθινὸς). See on John i. 9; Apoc. iii. 7.

Judge (κρίνεις). Originally the verb means to separate; thence the idea of selection: to pick out, and so to discriminate or judge.

Avenge (ἐκδικεῖς). Compare Luke xviii. 3; Rom. xii. 19.

On the earth (∂n) $\tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma \hat{\eta} s$). Earth, in the Apocalypse, is generally to be understood of the ungodly earth.

11. White robes were given unto every one of them (ἐδόθησαν ἐκάστοις στολαὶ λευκαὶ). The best texts read ἐδόθη αὐτοῖς ἐκάστῳ στολὴ λευκή there was given them to each one a white robe. So Rev. Στολὴ is properly a long, flowing robe; a festive garment. Compare Mark xvi. 5; Luke xv. 22; xx. 46.

Should rest (ἀναπαύσωνται). See on Matt. xi. 28; 1 Pet. v. 14; compare ch. xiv. 13; Dan. xii. 13. Not merely rest from their crying for vengeance, but rest in peace.

Fellow-servants. See Master in ver. 10.

Should be fulfilled (πληρώσονται). Completed in number. See Col. ii. 10. Some texts read πληρώσωσιν shall have fulfilled their course.

12. The sixth seal. "The Apocalypse is moulded by the great discourse of our Lord upon 'the last things' which has been preserved for us in the first three Gospels (Matt. xxiv. 4-xxv.; Mark xiii. 5-37; Luke xxi. 8-36; compare xvii. 20-37). The parallelism between the two is, to a certain extent, acknowledged by all inquirers, and is indeed, in many respects, so obvious, that it can hardly escape the notice of even the ordinary reader. Let any one compare, for example, the account

of the opening of the sixth seal with the description of the end (Matt. xxiv. 29, 30), and he will see that the one is almost a transcript of the other. It is remarkable that we find no account of this discourse in the Gospel of St. John; nor does it seem as sufficient explanation of the omission that the later Evangelist was satisfied with the records of the discourse already given by his predecessors" (Milligan).

Earthquake (σεισμὸς). Lit., shaking. Used also of a tempest. See on Matt. viii. 24, and compare Matt. xxiv. 7. The word here is not necessarily confined to shaking the earth. In Matt. xxiv. 29, it is predicted that the powers of the heavens shall be shaken (σαλευθήσονται, see on Lnke xxi. 26). Here also the heaven is removed (ver. 14). Compare Heb. xii. 26, where the verb σείω to shake (kindred with σεισμὸς) is nsed.

Black as sackcloth of hair (μέλας ὡς σάκκος τρίχινος). Compare Matt. xxiv. 29; Isa. l. 3; xiii. 10; Jer. iv. 23; Ezek. xxxii. 7, 8; Joel ii. 31; iii. 15; Amos viii. 9, 10; Mic. iii. 6. For sackcloth, see on Lnke x. 13.

The moon ($\dot{\eta}$ σελήνη). Add ὅλη whole. Rev., the whole moon.

- 13. Untimely figs (ὀλύνθους). Better, as Rev., unripe. Compare Matt. xxiv. 32; Isa. xxxiv. 4. Only here in the New Testament.
- 14. Departed $(\partial \pi \epsilon \chi \omega \rho / \sigma \Im \eta)$. The verb means to separate, sever. Rev., was removed.

Scroll (βιβλίον). See on Luke iv. 17. Compare Isa. xxxiv. 4.

Mountain and island. Compare Matt. xxiv. 35; Nahum i. 5.

15. Of the earth. See on ver. 10.

Great men (μεγιστάνες). Rev., princes. See on high captains, Mark vi. 21.

Chief captains (χιλίαρχοι). See on Mark vi. 21, and on centurion, Luke vii. 2.

The mighty (οἱ δυνατοὶ). The best texts read οἱ ἰσχυροὶ. Rev., the strong. For the difference in meaning, see on the kindred words δύναμις and ἰσχύς might and power, 2 Pet. ii. 11.

Every free man. Omit every, and read as Rev., every bond-man and free man.

In the dens (εἰς τὰ σπήλαια). Rev., caves. The preposition εἰς into implies running for shelter into.

Rocks (πέτρας). See on Matt. xvi. 18.

16. Said (λέγουσιν). Lit., say. So Rev.

Fall on us. Compare Hosea x. 8; Luke xxiii. 30.

Wrath (ὀργῆς). Denoting a deep-seated wrath. See on John iii, 36.

17. The great day ($\dot{\eta}$ $\dot{\eta}\mu\dot{\epsilon}\rho a$ $\dot{\eta}$ $\mu\epsilon\gamma\dot{a}\lambda\eta$). Lit., the day, the great (day). For the construction, see on 1 John iv. 9.

Is come ($\mathring{\eta}\lambda \vartheta \in \nu$). Lit., came.

Shall be able to stand (δύναται σταθήναι). Rev., rightly, is able. Compare Nahum i. 6; Mal. iii. 2.

CHAPTER VII.

These things (ταῦτα). Read τοῦτο this.

Holding (κρατοῦντας). Holding fast or firmly. See on Mark vii. 3; Acts iii. 11.

2. East (ἀνατολῆς ἡλίου). Rev., more literally, the sunrising. See on Matt. ii. 2; Luke i. 78. Compare Ezek. xliii. 2.

The four angels. Compare Matt. xxiv. 31.

- 3. In their foreheads. Compare Exod. xxviii. 36-38; Ezek ix. 4.
- 4. An hundred and forty and four thousand. Not literally, but the number symbolical of fixedness and full completion (12×12). The interpretations, as usual, vary greatly, dividing generally into two great classes: one holding that only Jews are meant, the other including the whole number of the elect both Jew and Gentile. Of the former class some regard the sealed as representing Jewish believers chosen out of the literal Israel. Others add to this the idea of these as forming the nucleus of glorified humanity to which the Gentiles are joined. Others again regard them as Jews reserved by God until Antichrist comes, to maintain in the bosom of their nation a true belief in Jehovah and His law, like the seven thousand in the days of Elijah.

The interpretation of the latter class seems entitled to the greater weight. According to the Apocalyptic usage, Jewish terms are "christianized and heightened in their meaning, and the word "Israel" is to be understood of all Christians. the blessed company of all faithful people, the true Israel of God." See Rom. ii. 28, 29; ix. 6, 7; Gal. vi. 16; Philip. iii. 3. The city of God, which includes all believers, is designated by the Jewish name, New Jerusalem. In ver. 3, the sealed are designated generally as the servants of God. In ch. xiv. the one hundred and forty-four thousand sealed are mentioned after the description of the enemies of Christ, who have reference to the whole Church of Christ: and the mention of the sealed is followed by the world-wide harvest and vintage of the earth. The one hundred and forty-four thousand in ch. xiv., have the Father's name written in their foreheads; and in ch. xxii. 4, all the inhabitants of the New Jerusalem are so marked. In ch. xxi. 12, the twelve tribes include all believers. The mark of Satan

which is in the forehead, is set upon all his servants without distinction of race. See ch. xiii. 16, 17; xiv. 9; xvi. 2; xix. 20; xx. 4. The plagues threaten both Jews and Gentiles, as the sealing protects all.

9. I saw. This vision belongs to heaven, while the sealing took place on earth.

Arrayed (περιβεβλημένοι). See on ch. iii. 5.

Robes. See on ch. vi. 11.

"The ancient scriptures and the new
The mark establish, and this shows it me,
Of all the souls whom God hath made His friends.
Isaiah saith that each one garmented
In His own land shall be with twofold garments,*
And his own land is this delightful life.
Thy brother, too,† far more explicitly,
There where he treateth of the robes of white,
This revelation manifests to us."

Dante, "Paradiso," xxv., 88-96.

Palms (φοίνικες). Properly, palm-trees, but used here of palm-branches. Not a heathen but a Jewish image drawn probably from the Feast of Tabernacles. See on John vii. 2.

10. Cried. The correct reading is κράζουσιν they cry. So Rev.

Salvation. The praise of salvation, ascribing salvation to God.

- 11. Stood (ἐστήκεσαν). Rev., more correctly, were standing. The tense is the pluperfect, used in this verb as an imperfect.
 - 12. Blessing, etc. On the doxologies, see on ch. i. 6.

^{*} Dante's reference is to Isa. lxi. 7, where, however, there is no reference to garments, but merely to a double compensation.

† John.

13. Answered. In the sense of taking up speech in connection with some given occasion, as Matt. xi. 25. See also on John ii. 18.

What are these, etc. The Rev., properly, follows the Greek order, which places first "These which are arrayed in the white robes, who are they?" emphatic and indicating the natural order of the thought as it presents itself to the inquirer. For what, render who, as Rev.

14. I said (εἴρηκα). Lit., I have said. Rev., renders by the present, I say. See on cried. John i. 15.

Sir (κύριε). Add μου my, and render, as Rev., my Lord. An address of reverence as to a heavenly being. See on Matt. xxi. 3.

Which came (οἱ ἐρχόμενοι). The present participle. Hence, as Rev., which come.

Out of great tribulation (ἐκ τῆς Φλίψεως τῆς μεγάλης). Lit., out of the tribulation, the great (tribulation). Rev., properly, gives the force of the article, "the great." See on Matt. xiii. 21.

Have washed (ἔπλυναν). The agrist tense. Rev., correctly, they washed. Only here and Luke v. 2, on which see note. For the New Testament words for washing, see on Acts xvi. 33.

Made them white. Compare Isa. i. 18; Ps. li. 7; Mark ix. 3. Milligan remarks that *robes* are the expression of *character*, and compares the word *habit* used of dress.

15. Therefore. Because of this washing.

Before the throne. Compare Eph. v. 27.

Serve (λατρεύουσιν). See on Luke i. 74. In scripture the verb never expresses any other service but that of the true God, or of the gods of heathenism.

Temple $(\nu a \hat{\varphi})$. Or sanctuary. See on Matt. iv. 5.

Dwell (σκηνώσει). From σκηνή a tent or tabernacle. Hence better, as Rev., shall spread His tabernacle. See on John i. 14, and compare Lev. xxvi. 11; Isa. iv. 5, 6; Ezek. xxxvii. 27.

16. They shall hunger no more, etc. Compare Isa. xlix. 10.

Heat (καῦμα). In Isa. xlix. 10, the word καύσων the scorching wind or sirocco is used. See on Matt. xx. 12; Jas. i. 11.

17. In the midst $(\dot{a}\nu\dot{a}\ \mu\epsilon\sigma\sigma\nu)$. See on ch. v. 6.

Shall feed (ποιμανεί). See on shall be shepherd of, Matt. ii. 6; Acts xx. 28; 1 Pet. v. 2. Compare Ps. xxiii. 1.

Shall lead (δδηγήσει). See on Luke vi. 39.

Living fountains of waters (ζώσας πηγὰς ὑδάτων). For the participle living, read ζωῆς of life, and render as Rev., fountains of waters of life. Compare Ps. xxiii. 2. In the Greek order, of life stands first as emphatic.

All tears (πâν δάκρυον). Rev., correctly, every tear. Compare Isa. xxv. 8.

CHAPTER VIII.

1. When ($\emph{o}\tau \emph{e}$). Read $\emph{o}\tau \emph{a} \emph{v}$, the indefinite particle with the indicative mood. For a similar construction, see Mark xi. 19 (correct reading). Alford observes that it occurs in the opening of this seal only, giving it an indefiniteness which does not belong to any of the rest.

There was (ἐγένετο). More literally, came to pass. Rev., there followed.

About (&s). A usual form of expression with John. See John i. 39; vi. 19; xi. 18.

2. Stood (ἐστήκασιν). Rev., correctly, stand.

Trumpets (σάλ $\pi \nu \gamma \gamma \epsilon \varsigma$). See on ch. i. 10.

3. At the altar (ἐπὶ τὸ θυσιαστήριου). The best texts read θυσιαστηρίου, which justifies the Rev., "over the altar." For altar, see on ch. vi. 9. Interpreters differ as to whether the altar meant is the brazen altar, as in ch. vi. 9, or the altar of incense, as in ch. ix. 13. There seems to be no reason for changing the reference from the brazen altar (see on ch. vi. 9), especially as both altars are mentioned in this verse. The officiating priest in the tabernacle or temple took the fire for his censer from the brazen altar, and then offered the incense upon the golden altar.

Censer. See on ch. v. 8.

Incense. See on cli. v. 8.

Offer it with the prayers ($\delta \omega \sigma \eta$ $\tau a is$ $\pi \rho o \sigma e v \chi a is$). Lit., should give it unto the prayers. Rev., add it unto the prayers. So that the prayers and the incense might ascend together. $\Pi \rho o \sigma e v \chi \dot{\eta}$ is always used of prayer to God.

Golden altar. The altar of incense. Exod. xxx. 1-6; xxxix. 38.

4. Which came with the prayers. An awkward rendering, followed by Rev., though with the omission of the italicized which came. The construction is $\grave{a}v\acute{e}\beta\eta$ went up, with the dative case, to the prayers. "The ascending smoke had reference to the prayers, was designed to accompany them and render them more acceptable" (Winer).

Of the saints $(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ \dot{\alpha} \gamma l \omega \nu)$. See on Acts xxvi. 10.

5. Took ($\epsilon l \lambda \eta \phi \epsilon \nu$). Lit., hath taken. So Rev., in margin.

With the fire $(i\kappa \tau o\hat{v} \pi v \rho \delta s)$. Lit., "from or out of the fire," i.e., the coals or hot ashes. For $i\kappa$ out of, see on ch. ii. 7.

Cast it into the earth. See Ezek. x. 2; Luke xii. 49.

- 6. To sound (ἴνα σαλπίσωσιν). Lit., that they should blow the trumpets. Raised their trumpets to their mouths in act to blow.
 - 7. The first angel. Omit angel.

Hail and fire mingled with blood (χάλαζα καὶ πῦρ μεμιγμένα αἴματι). Insert ἐν in before αἴματι blood. Instead of "with blood" as A. V., and Rev., we should render "in blood." The hailstones and fire-balls fell in a shower of blood. Compare the account of the plague of fire and hail in Egypt (Exod. ix. 24) to which the reference is here, where the Septuagint reads and there was hail and the fire flaming in the hail. Compare Joel ii. 30.

And the third part of the earth was burnt up. This is added by the best texts.

Green ($\chi\lambda\omega\rho\delta s$). See on pale, ch. vi. 8.

8. As it were (\(\overline{\psi}_s\)). Not a mountain, but a fiery mass so large as to resemble one.

Blood. Reminding of the first plague in Egypt (Exod. vii. 20, 21).

9. Life (ψυχάς). See on 3 John 2.

Ships $(\pi \lambda o l \omega \nu)$. See on Luke v. 2.

- 10. Lamp $(\lambda a \mu \pi \dot{a}_s)$. Rev., torch. See on ch. iv. 5.
- 11. Wormwood (ἄψινθος). Used metaphorically in the Old Testament of the idolatry of Israel (Deut. xxix. 18); of calamity and sorrow (Jer. ix. 15; xxiii. 15; Lam. iii. 15, 19); of false judgment (Amos v. 7).

13. An angel (ἐνὸς ἀγγέλου). For angel read ἀετοῦ eagle. Lit., one eagle. The eagle is a symbol of vengeance in Deut. xxviii. 49; Hos. viii. 1; Hab. i. 8.

Mid heaven (μεσουρανήματι). Only in the Apocalypse, here, xiv. 6; xix. 17. It means, properly, the meridian, the highest point in the heavens which the sun occupies at noon: not the space between heaven and earth.

CHAPTER IX.

- 1. Fall (πεπτωκότα). Lit., fallen. The star had fallen before and is seen as fallen. Rev., properly, construes star with from heaven instead of with fallen. Compare Isa. xiv. 12; Luke x. 18.
- Of the bottomless pit (τοῦ φρέατος τῆς ἀβύσσου). Rev., of the pit of the abyss. See on John iv. 6, and compare Luke xiv. 5. It is not however a pit that is locked, but the long shaft leading to the abyss, like a well-shaft, which, in the East, is oftener covered and locked.
- 2. Smoke of a great furnace. Compare Gen. xix. 28; Exod. xix. 18; Matt. xiii. 42, 50.
- 3. Locusts (àκρίδες). The idea of this plague is from the eighth plague in Egypt (Exod. x. 14, 15). Compare the description of a visitation of locusts in Joel ii. There are three Hebrew words in the Old Testament which appear to mean locust, probably signifying different species. Only this word is employed in the New Testament. Compare Matt. iii. 4; Mark i. 6.

Scorpions. See Ezek. ii. 6; Luke x. 19; xi. 12. Shaped like a lobster, living in damp places, under stones, in clefts of walls, cellars, etc. The sting is in the extremity of the tail. The sting of the Syrian scorpion is not fatal, though very pain-

fnl. The same is true of the West Indian scorpion. Thomson says that those of North Africa are said to be larger, and that their poison frequently causes death. The wilderness of Sinai is especially alluded to as being inhabited by scorpions at the time of the Exodus (Dent. viii. 15); and to this very day they are common in the same district. A part of the mountains bordering on Palestine in the south was named from these Akrabbim, Akrâb being the Hebrew for scorpion.

4. Green. See on ch. vi. 8.

Men which (ἀνθρώπους οἵτινες). The double relative denotes the class. Rev., such men as have, etc.

5. They should be tormented (βασανισθώσιν). See on torments, Matt. iv. 24.

Striketh ($\pi a i \sigma \eta$). Dr. Thomson says that the scorpion cannot strike sideways. All accounts agree as to the fearful pain from its sting.

6. Men. Rather, the men: those tormented.

Shall desire ($\epsilon \pi \iota \Im \nu \mu \eta \sigma \sigma \nu \sigma \iota \nu$). E $\pi \ell$ has the force of vehemently, earnestly.

Shall flee (φεύξεται). Read φεύγει fleeth. Æschylus says: "Not justly do mortals hate death, since it is the greatest deliverance from their many woes" ("Fragment"). Herodotus relates the address of Artabanus to Xerxes, when the latter wept on beholding his vast armament. "There is no man, whether it be here among this multitude or elsewhere, who is so happy as not to have felt the wish—I will not say once, but full many a time—that he were dead rather than alive. Calamities fall upon us, sicknesses vex and harass ns, and make life, short though it be, to appear long. So death, through the wretchedness of our life, is a most sweet refuge to our race" (vii., 46).

7. Shapes (ὁμοιώματα). Lit., likenesses.

Horses. Compare Joel ii. 4. The likeness of a locust to a horse, especially to a horse equipped with armor, is so striking that the insect is named in German Heupferd hay-horse, and in Italian cavaletta little horse.

Crowns. Not actual crowns, but as crowns. Milligan remarks that any yellow brilliancy about the head of the insect is a sufficient foundation for the figure.

As the faces of men. There is a distant resemblance to the human countenance in the face of the locust. Men ($\partial \nu \partial \rho \omega - \pi \omega \nu$) is to be taken not as distinguishing sex, but in the generic sense: human faces.

8. Hair of women. The antennæ of the locust. There is said to be an Arabic proverb in which the antennæ of locusts are compared to girls' hair.

Teeth of lions. Compare Joel. i. 6.

9. Breastplates. The breast of the locust resembles the plates of a horse's armor.

Sound of their wings. Olivier, a French writer, says: "It in difficult to express the effect produced on us by the sight of the whole atmosphere filled on all sides and to a great height by an innumerable quantity of these insects, whose flight was slow and uniform, and whose noise resembled that of rain." For a graphic description of their numbers and ravages, see Thomson, "Land and Book, Central Palestine and Phænicia," 295–302.

Of chariots of many horses. That is, of many-horsed chariots. The Rev., by the insertion of a comma, apparently takes the two clauses as parallel: the sound of chariots, (the sound) of many horses.

Tails like unto scorpions. The comparison with the insect as it exists in nature fails here, though Smith's "Bible Dictionary" gives a picture of a species of locust, the *Acridium Lineola*, a species commonly sold for food in the markets of Bagdad, which has a sting in the tail.

Stings (κέντρα). Originally any sharp point. A goad. See on pricks, Acts xxvi. 14. Plato uses it of the peg of a top ("Republic," 436). Herodotus of an instrument of torture. Democedes, the Crotoniat physician, having denied his knowledge of medicine to Darius, Darius bade his attendants "bring the scourges and pricking-irons κέντρα," (iii., 30). Sophocles of the buckle-tongues with which Œdipus put out his eyes.

"Woe, woe, and woe again! How through me darts the throb these clasps (κέντρων) have caused." "Œdipus Tyrannus," 1318.

Of the spur of a cock, the quill of a porcupine, and the stings of insects.

For the A. V., there were stings in their tails, read as Rev., and stings; and in their tails is their power to hurt.

11. They had a king over them (ἔχουσιν ἐφ' αὐτῶν βασιλέα). Render, as Rev., they have over them as king. Compare Prov. xxx. 27. Hence distinguished from the natural locusts.

In Hebrew (' $E\beta\rho\alpha\bar{i}\sigma\tau$ i). Used only by John. Compare John v. 2; xix. 13, 17, 20; Apoc. xvi. 16.

Abaddon. Meaning destruction. Compare Job xxvi. 6; xxviii. 22; Prov. xv. 11. Here the Destroyer, as is evident from the Greek equivalent 'Απολλύων Apollyon destroyer. Perdition is personified. It is after John's manner to give the Hebrew with the Greek equivalent. Compare John i. 38, 42; iv. 25; ix. 7; xi. 16, etc.

- 12. The first woe (ή οὐαὶ ή μία). Lit., the one woe.
- 13. A voice (φωνην μίαν). Lit., one voice.

Altar. See on ch. viii. 3.

14. In the great river $(\epsilon \pi i)$. Rev., more correctly, at.

Euphrates. The Euphrates was known as the great River, the River, the Flood. It rises in the mountains of Armenia, breaks through the Taurus range, and runs south and southeast until it joins the Tigris in lower Babylonia. Its total length is from 1,600 to 1,800 miles, and it is navigable for small craft twelve hundred miles from its month. It was the boundaryline of Israel on the northeast (Gen. xv. 18; Deut. i. 7; Josh. i. 4. Compare 2 Sain. viii. 3-8; 1 Kings iv. 21). It thus formed the natural defence of the chosen people against the armies of Assyria. The melting of the mountain snows causes an annual flood, beginning in March and increasing until May. These floods became an emblem of the judgments inflicted by God upon Israel by means of Babylon and Assyria. The brook of Shiloah which flowed past Zion and Moriah was a type of the temple and of its mighty and gracious Lord; and the refusal of allegiance to God by the chosen people is represented as their rejection of the waters of Shiloah which flow softly, and their punishment therefor by the bringing in of the waters of the mighty and great river (Isa. viii. 5-8; compare Jer. xvii. 13). To the prophets the Euphrates was the symbol of all that was disastrous in the divine judgments.

- 15. For an hour and a day and a month and a year. This rendering is wrong, since it conveys the idea that the four periods mentioned are to be combined as representing the length of the preparation or of the continuance of the plague. But it is to be noted that neither the article nor the preposition are repeated before day and month and year. The meaning is that the angels are prepared unto the hour appointed by God, and that this hour shall fall in its appointed day and month and year.
- 16. Of the horsemen (τοῦ ἱππικοῦ). Singular number, like the English the horse or the cavalry.

Two hundred thousand thousand (δύο μυριάδες μυριάδων). Lit., two ten-thousands of ten-thousands. See on ch. v. 11. Rev., twice ten-thousand times ten-thousand. Compare Ps. lxviii. 17; Dan. vii. 10; Heb. xii. 22; Jude 14.

17. Thus (οὕτως). After this manner.

In the vision ($\partial \tau \hat{\eta} \hat{\rho} \hat{\rho} \hat{\sigma} \hat{\sigma} \hat{\omega}$). Or "in my vision." See on Acts ii. 17. The reference to sight may be inserted because of I heard in ver. 16.

Of fire ($\pi \nu \rho i \nu o \nu s$). Rev., "as of fire." Fiery red.

Of jacinth (ὑακωθίνους). Υάκωθος hyacinth is the name of a flower and also of a precious stone. The noun occurs only Apoc. xxi. 20, and the adjective only here. According to classical mythology, the flower sprang up from the blood of Hyacinthus, a beautiful Spartan youth, who was accidentally killed during a game of quoits. It was thought by some that the letters AI, AI, the exclamation of woe, could be traced on the petals, while others discovered the letter T, the initial letter of Υάκωθος. The story of the slaying of Hyacinthus is told by Ovid.

"Lo, the blood
Which, on the ground outpoured, had stained the sod,
Is blood no more. Brighter than Tyrian dye,
Like to the lily's shape a flower appears,
Purple in hue as that is silvery white.
Nor yet does such memorial content
Phæbus Apollo at whose word it rose.
Upon its leaves he writes his own laments,
And on the flower forever stands inscribed
AI, AI."

"Metamorphoses," x., 175 sqq.

As a stone, it is identified by some with the sapphire. As to color, the hyacinth of the Greeks seems to have comprehended the iris, gladiolus, and larkspur. Hence the different accounts of its color in classical writings, varying from red to black. A dull, dark blue seems to be meant here.

Of brimstone (θειώδεις). Perhaps light yellow, such a color as would be produced by the settling fumes of brimstone.

Of the horses. In the Bible the horse is always referred to in connection with war, except Isa. xxviii. 28, where it is mentioned as employed in threshing, the horses being turned loose in the grain as in the Italian triglia. The magnificent description in Job xxxix. 19-25 applies to the war-horse. He is distinguished, not so much for his speed and utility as for his strength (see Ps. xxxiii. 17; cxlvii. 10), and the word abbir strong is used as an equivalent for a horse (Jer. viii. 16; xlvii. 3). The Hebrews, as a pastoral race, did not need the horse: and, for a long time after their settlement in Canaan, dispensed with it, partly because of the hilly nature of the country, which allowed the use of chariots only in certain places (Judges i. 19), and partly because of the prohibition in Dent. xvii. 16. Accordingly they hamstrung the horses of the Canaanites (Josh. xi. 6, 9). The great supply of horses was effected by Solomon through his connection with Egypt. See 1 Kings iv. 26.

Proceedeth fire and smoke. Compare Virgil.

"Then, if the sound of arms he hear from far,
Quiet he cannot stand, but pricks his ears,
Trembles in every limb, and snorting, rolls
The gathered fire beneath his nostrils wide."

"Georgies," iii., 83-85.

Also Job xxxix. 20: "the glory of his nostrils is terrible."

- 18. These three. Add πληγῶν plagues, on which see on Mark iii. 10; Luke x. 30.
- 19. Their power (εξουσίαι αὐτῶν). Read εξουσία τῶν ἵππων the power of the horses.

Like unto serpents. "Long, smooth, subtle, clasping their victim in an embrace from which he cannot escape" (Milligan). As one of the innumerable fantasies of Apocalyptic exposition may be cited that of Elliott ("Horæ Apocalypticæ") who finds

a reference to the horse tails, the symbols of authority of the Turkish pashas.

20. Repented not of the works (οὔτε μετενόησαν ἐκ τῶν ἔργων). Lit., "out of the works." The preposition ἐκ out of with repent, denotes a moral change involving an abandonment of evil works. See on Matt. iii. 2; xxi. 29.

Works of their hands. Not their course of life, but the idols which their hands had made. Compare Deut. iv. 28; Ps. exxxiv. 15; Acts vii. 4.

Devils (δαιμόνια). More properly, demons. See on Mark i. 34. Compare 1 Cor. x. 20; 1 Tim. iv. 1.

See, hear, walk. Compare Dan. v. 23.

21. Sorceries (φαρμακειῶν). Only here, ch. xviii. 23; and Gal. v. 20, where φαρμακεία sorceries, A. V., witchcraft is enumerated among the "works of the flesh." Used in the Septuagint of the Egyptian sorceries (Exod. vii. 22. Of Babylon, Isa. xlvii. 9, 12). From φάρμακον a drug, and thence a poison, an enchantment. Plato says: "There are two kinds of poisons used among men which cannot clearly be distinguished. There is one kind of poison which injures bodies by the use of other bodies according to a natural law . . . but there is another kind which injures by sorceries and incantations and magic bonds, as they are termed, and induces one class of men to injure another as far as they can, and persuades others that they, above all persons, are liable to be injured by the powers of the magicians. Now it is not easy to know the nature of all these things; nor if a man do know can he readily persuade others of his belief. And when men are disturbed at the sight of waxen images, fixed either at the doors, or in a place where three ways meet, or in the sepulchres of parents, there is no use of trying to persuade them that they should despise all such things, because they have no certain knowledge about them. But we must have a law in two parts concerning poisoning, in whichever of the two ways the attempt is made; and we must entreat and exhort and advise men not to have recourse to such practices, by which they scare the multitude out of their wits, as if they were children, compelling the legislator and the judge to heal the fears which the sorcerer arouses, and to tell them, in the first place, that he who attempts to poison or enchant others knows not what he is doing, either as regards the body (unless he have a knowledge of medicine) or as regards his enchantments, unless he happens to be a prophet or diviner" ("Laws," xi., 933).

CHAPTER X.

1. A cloud. The expression occurs seven times in the Apocalypse, and in all of them is connected with the Son of Man.

Rainbow. See on ch. iv. 3.

Pillars of fire. Compare ch. i. 15.

- 2. In his hand $(\epsilon \nu)$. The roll of Ch. v. was on the hand $(\epsilon \pi l)$, see ch. v. 1), being too large to be grasped within it. The roll was on the right hand; the little book is in the left. See on ver. 5.
 - 3. Cried (ἔκραξεν). See on Mark v. 5.

As when. The when of A. V. is unnecessary.

Roareth (μυκᾶται). Only here in the New Testament. Peter uses ἀρύσμαι for the voice of the lion. See on 1 Pet. v. 8. The verb here is originally applied to the lowing of cattle, expressing the sound, moo-ka-omai. Both Aristophanes and Theocritus use it of the roar of the lion, and the former of thunder. Homer, of the ring of the shield and the hissing of meat on the spit.

Seven thunders. The Jews were accustomed to speak of thunder as "the seven voices." Compare the sevenfold "voice of the Lord," Ps. xxix.

As usual, interpretation has run wild as to the seven thunders. As a few illustrations may be cited Vitringa, the seven crusades; Daubuz, the seven kingdoms which received the Reformation; Elliott, the bull fulminated against Luther from the seven-hilled city, etc.

- 4. To write. According to the injunction in ch. i. 11.
- 5. His hand. Add την δεξιὰν the right, and see on ver. 2. On lifting the hand in swearing, see Gen. xiv. 22; Exod. vi. 8 (margin); Deut. xxxii. 40.
- 6. Sware by $(\mathring{\omega}\mu \circ \sigma \in \nu \in \nu)$. Lit., "sware in," a Hebrew idiom.

Should be time no longer (χρόνος οὐκ ἔσται ἔτι). Rev., correctly, shall be, etc. The meaning is not, as popularly understood, that time shall cease to exist, but that there shall be no more delay (so Rev., in margin) before the fulfilment of the divine purposes respecting the Church on earth. Possibly with allusion to the cry how long (ch. vi. 10).

7. Shall begin to sound ($\mu \acute{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma a \lambda \pi l \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$). Wrong. Rev., correctly, when he is about to sound.

The mystery (τὸ μυστήριον). See on Matt. xiii. 11.

Declared (εὐηγγέλισεν). The word used of declaring the good news of salvation. Here of declaring the mystery of the kingdom.

- 8. Spake unto me. Render, as Rev., "I heard it again speaking."
- 9. I went $(a\pi \hat{\eta}\lambda \Im v)$. The preposition $a\pi \hat{\sigma}$ has the force of away. I went away from the place where I was standing.

Eat it up. Compare Ezek. iii. 1-3; Jer. xv. 16.

CHAPTER XI.

1. A rod. See on ch. ii. 27.

And the angel stood. Omit. The insertion of these words furnishes a subject for the agreement of the participle $\lambda \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \nu$, which is irregular in construction. Literally the correct text reads, "there was given me a reed, saying." Accordingly Wordsworth refers the speech to the reed as an inspired medium of speech. Rev., better, and one said.

The temple $(\tau \partial \nu \nu a \partial \nu)$. See on Matt. iv. 5.

The altar. Of incense, as that alone stood in the sacred place.

Them that worship. Note the peculiar expression, measuring the worshippers with a reed.

2. The court which is without the temple. Not merely the outer court, or Court of the Gentiles, but including all that is not within the $\nu\alpha\delta$ s, the Holy and Most Holy places.

Leave out $(\tilde{\epsilon}\kappa\beta\alpha\lambda\epsilon\ \tilde{\epsilon}\xi\omega)$. Lit., throw out, i.e., of the measurement.

Unto the Gentiles (τοῖς ἔθνεσιν). See on Luke ii. 32. Rev., nations.

Forty and two months. A period which appears in three forms in the Apocalypse: forty-two months (ch. xiii. 5); twelve-hundred and sixty days (ver. 3, ch. xii. 6); a time, times and half a time, or three years and a half (xii., 14, compare Dan. vii. 25; xii. 7).

3. Power. Omit.

Two witnesses. The reader may profitably consult on this point the lectures of Professor Milligan on the Revelation of St. John. He maintains that the conception of the Apocalypse is powerfully moulded by John's recollections of the life of Jesus; that there is a close parallelism between the Apocalypse and the delineation of the life of Christ contained in the fourth Gospel; and that the Apocalypse is, in the deeper conceptions which pervade it, a repetition of the Gospel. See pp. 59-69.

They shall prophesy (προφητεύσουσιν). See on prophet, Luke vii. 26. Commonly explained of preaching repentance, though some take it in the later sense of foretelling future events.

Clothed in sackcloth. The garb of preachers of repentance. Compare Isa. xxii. 12; Jer. iv. 8; Jonah iii. 5; Matt. iii. 4. For sackcloth see on Luke x. 13.

4. Two olive trees. See Zech. iv.

Candlesticks. See Zech. iv., and note on Matt. v. 15.

The God. Read kuplov the Lord. Compare Zech. iv. 14.

- 5. Fire proceedeth. Compare 2 Kings i. 10; Jer. v. 14.
- 6. To shut up the heaven. As Elijah, 1 Kings xvii. 1; Luke iv. 25; Jas. v. 17.

That it rain not (খ νa $\mu \dot{\eta}$ $\beta \rho \dot{\epsilon} \chi \eta$ $\dot{\nu} \dot{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\delta} \dot{\epsilon}$). Lit., that the rain may not wet.

To turn them into blood. Compare Exod. vii. 19.

To smite (πατάξαι). Used by John only in the Apocalypse, here and xix. 15. Compare Matt. xxvi. 31; Mark xiv. 27; Luke xxii. 49, 50; Acts xii. 7, 23.

With all plagues (πάση πληγή). Singular number. Rev., correctly, with every plague. See on Mark iii. 10. Not merely with the plagues with which Moses smote Egypt.

7. The beast (9ηρίον). Wild beast. See on ch. iv. 6. A different word from that wrongly translated beast, ch. iv. 6, 7; v. 6, etc. Compare ch. xiii. 1; xvii. 8, and see Dan. vii.

Bottomless pit $(\dot{a}\beta\dot{v}\sigma\sigma\sigma v)$. See on ch. ix. 1.

8. Dead bodies (πτώματα). Read πτῶμα carcase. See on Matt. xxiv. 28; Mark xv. 45.

In the street (ἐπὶ τῆς πλατείας). Lit., "upon the street." See on Luke xiv. 21.

The great city. Jerusalem is never called by this name. Different expositors refer it to Rome or Babylon. Milligan to Jerusalem.

Spiritually (πνευματικώς). Typically or allegorically. Compare 1 Cor. x. 3, 4.

Our Lord. Read αὐτῶν their for ἡμῶν our.

9. Shall see (βλέψουσω). Read βλέπουσω do men look (Rev.), and see on John i. 29.

Shall not suffer (οὐκ ἀφήσουσιν). Read ἀφίουσιν do not suffer.

To be put in graves (τεθήναι εἰς μνήματα). Read μνήμα a tomb, as Rev. Compare Gen. xxiii. 4; Isa. xiv. 19, 20.

10. Shall rejoice (χαροῦσιν). Read χαίρουσιν, present tense, rejoice.

Shall make merry (εὐφρανθήσονται). Read εὐφραίνονται, present tense, make merry; and for the word see note on fared sumptuously, Luke xvi. 19.

Shall send gifts. As on a day of festival. See Neh. viii. 10, 12.

Tormented (ἐβασάνισαν). See on vexed, 2 Pet. ii. 8, and on Matt. iv. 23, 24.

11. Spirit of life (πνεῦμα ζῶης). Rev., breath. See on John iii. 8.

Entered into them. Compare Ezek. xxxvii. 1-10.

Saw (Θεωροῦντας). See on John i. 18.

13. Earthquake. See on ch. vi. 12.

Of men (ὀνόματα ἀνθρώπων). Lit., names of men. See on ch. iii. 4.

Gave glory to the God of heaven. The phrase signifies not conversion, nor repentance, nor thanksgiving, but *recognition*, which is its usual sense in scripture. Compare Josh. vii. 19 (Sept.). John ix. 24; Acts xii. 23; Rom. iv. 20.

15. The kingdoms—are become (ἐγένοντο ai βασιλείαι). Read ἐγένετο ἡ βασιλεία, the kingdom—is become.

Of our Lord, etc. Compare Ps. ii. 2-9.

17. O Lord God, etc. See on ch. iv. 8.

And art to come. Omit.

Hast taken to Thee. Omit to Thee.

18. Were angry (δργίσθησαν). See on wrath, John iii. 36. Compare Ps. ii. 1.

The time (ὁ καιρὸς). See on Matt. xii. 1.

Reward (μισθον). See on 2 Pet. ii. 13.

Destroy (διαφθείραι). Also to corrupt.

Which destroy (τους διαφθείροντας). Or, the destroyers.

19. The temple (ὁ ναὸς). The sanctuary. Compare ver. 1, and see on Matt. iv. 5.

In heaven. Join with temple of God, as Rev., instead of with opened, as A. V.

The ark of His covenant ($\dot{\eta}$ $\kappa \iota \beta \omega \tau \delta \varsigma \tau \dot{\eta} \varsigma \delta \iota a \vartheta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta \varsigma a \dot{\upsilon} \tau o \dot{\upsilon}$). Kiβωτòs ark, meaning generally any wooden box or chest. Used of the ark in the tabernacle only here and Heb. ix. 4. Elsewhere of Noah's ark. See Matt. xxiv. 38; Luke xvii. 27; Heb. xi. 7; 1 Pet. iii. 20. For covenant, see note on testament. Matt. xxvi. 28. This is the last mention in scripture of the ark of the covenant. It was lost when the temple was destroyed by the Chaldeans (2 Kings xxv. 10), and was wanting in the second temple. Tacitus says that Pompey "by right of conquest entered the temple. Thenceforward it became generally known that the habitation was empty and the sanctuary unoccupied, no representation of the deity being found within it" ("History," v., 9). According to Jewish tradition Jeremiah had taken the ark and all that the Most Holy Place contained, and concealed them, before the destruction of the temple, in a cave at Mount Sinai, whence they are to be restored to the temple in the days of Messiah.

Lightnings and voices, etc. "The solemn salvos, so to speak, of the artillery of heaven, with which each series of visions is concluded."

CHAPTER XII.

1. Wonder (σημείου). Better, as Rev., sign. See on Matt. xxiv. 24.

Clothed (π εριβεβλημένη). Rev., better, arrayed. See on ch. iii. 5.

The moon under her feet. See Cant. vi. 10. The symbol is usually taken to represent the Church.

2. Travailing in birth (ἀδίνουσα). See on sorrows, Mark xiii. 9, and pains, Acts ii. 24.

In pain (βασανιζομένη). Lit., being tormented. See on cli. xi. 10, and references. For the imagery compare Isa. lxvi. 7, 8; John xvi. 21.

3. Red (πυρρός). See on ch. vi. 4.

Dragon (δράκων). Satan. See ver. 9. The word is found only in the Apocalypse. In the Septuagint, of the serpent into which Moses' rod was changed. In Isa. xxvii. 1; Ezek. xxix. 3, of the crocodile or leviathan of Job xli. 1. In Jer. li. 34, of a dragon.

Crowns (διαδήματα). The kingly crown, not the chaplet (στέφανος). See on ch. ii. 10.

4. Of the stars of heaven. Some expositors find an allusion to the fallen angels (Jude 6).

Did cast them to the earth. Compare Dan. viii. 10.

To devour her child as soon as it was born (ἴνα ὅταν τέκη τὸ τέκνον αὐτῆς καταφάγη). Rev., more literally, that when she was delivered he might devour her child. Professor Milligan says: "In these words we have the dragon doing what Pharaoh did to Israel (Exod. i. 15–22), and again and again, in the Psalms and the Prophets, Pharaoh is spoken of as the dragon (Ps. lxxiv. 13; Isa. xxvii. 1; li. 9; Ezek. xxix. 3). Nor is it without interest to remember that Pharaoh's crown was wreathed with a dragon (the asp or serpent of Egypt), and that just as the eagle was the ensign of Rome, so the dragon was that of Egypt. Hence the significance of Moses' rod being turned into a serpent."

5. A man-child (νίὸν ἄρρενα). Lit., a son, a male. The correct reading is ἄρσεν, the neuter, not agreeing with the masculine individual (νίὸν son) but with the neuter of the genus.

The object is to emphasize, not the sex, but the peculiar qualities of masculinity—power and vigor. Rev., a son, a manchild. Compare John xvi. 21; Jer. xx. 15.

To rule (ποιμαίνειν). Lit., to shepherd or tend. See on Matt. ii. 6.

A rod of iron. Compare Ps. ii. 9, and see on ch. ii. 27.

Was caught up $(\eta \rho \pi \dot{a} \sigma \Im \eta)$. See on Matt. xii. 12. Compare Acts xxiii. 10; Jude 23.

- 6. Of God (am) $\tau o v$ $\Theta e o v$). Lit., from God, the preposition marking the source from which the preparation came. For a similar use, see Jas. i. 13, "tempted of God."
 - 7. There was (ἐγένετο). Lit., there arose.

War in heaven. Compare 1 Kings xxii.; Job i., ii.; Zech. iii.; Luke x. 18.

Michael. See Dan. x. 13, 21; xii. 1; and on Jude 9.

Fought (ἐπολέμησαν). The correct reading is τοῦ πολεμῆσαι to fight. So Rev., "going forth to war against the dragon (κατὰ τοῦ δράκοντος). The correct reading is μετά with.

- 8. Prevailed ($l\sigma\chi v\sigma av$). See on Luke xiv. 30; xvi. 3; Jas. v. 16.
- 9. The great dragon (ὁ δράκων ὁ μέγας). Lit., the dragon, the great (dragon).

That old serpent (ὁ ὄφις ὁ ἀρχαῖος). Lit., the serpent, the old (serpent). For this habitual construction in John, see on 1 John iv. 9. For ἀρχαῖος old, see on 1 John ii. 7, and compare "he was a murderer ἀπ' ἀρχῆς from the beginning," John viii. 44; ἀρχή beginning being etymologically akin to ἀρχαῖος old.

The Devil. See on Matt. iv. 1.

Satan. See on Luke x. 18.

The deceiver (ὁ πλανῶν). Lit., he that deceiveth. See on 1 John i. 8.

World (οἰκουμένην). See on Luke ii. 1. The world with all its inhabitants.

Down to ($\epsilon i s$). Lit., into.

10. Saying in heaven ($\lambda \acute{\epsilon} \gamma o u \sigma a v \acute{\epsilon} v \tau \acute{\varphi} o \dot{v} \rho a v \acute{\varphi}$). The correct reading joins in heaven with great voice. So Rev. I heard a great voice in heaven.

Now $(\ddot{a}\rho\tau\iota)$. See on John xiii. 33.

Is come (ἐγένετο). Lit., came to pass. Alford says: "It is impossible in English to join to a particle of present time, such as ἄρτι now, a verb in a oristic time. We are driven to the perfect in such cases.

Salvation, power, the kingdom. All have the article: the salvation, etc. So Rev. The phrase, now is come the salvation, etc., means that these are realized and established. Some, less correctly, render, now is the salvation, etc., become our God's. Compare Luke iii. 6.

Power (¿ξουσία). See on Mark ii. 10. Rev., authority.

The accuser of our brethren (ὁ κατήγορος τῶν ἀδελφῶν ἡμῶν). The correct form of the Greek for accuser is a transcript of the Rabbinical Hebrew, κατήγωρ. The Rabbins had a corresponding term συνήγωρ for Michael, as the advocate of God's people. The phrase is applied to Satan nowhere else in the New Testament.

Is cast down (κατεβλήθη). The agrist tense. Once and for all. Compare John xii. 31; xvi. 8, 11.

Which accuse th ($\delta \kappa a \tau \eta \gamma o \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$). Lit., the one accusing. The article with the present participle expresses what is habitual.

11. Overcame (ἐνίκησαν). See on 1 John ii. 13.

By the blood of the Lamb ($\delta\iota\dot{\alpha}$ $\tau\dot{\delta}$ $a\bar{\iota}\mu a$ $\tau o\hat{\upsilon}$ $\dot{\alpha}\rho\nu (o\upsilon)$). The preposition $\delta\iota\dot{\alpha}$ with the accusative signifies on account of. Hence Rev., correctly, because of: in virtue of the shedding of that blood. Similarly in the succeeding clause, "because of the word of their testimony." For lamb, see on ch. v. 6.

Testimony (μαρτυρίας). See on John i. 7.

They loved not their life even unto death. Alford, correctly, "they carried their not-love of their life even unto death."

12. **Dwell** (σκηνοῦντες). See on John i. 14. Compare ch. vii. 15; xiii. 6; xxi. 3.

To the inhabiters (τοῖς κατοικοῦσω). Omit. Read, as Rev., woe for the earth and for the sea.

Wrath $(\vartheta \nu \mu \delta \nu)$. See on John iii. 36.

Time (καιρὸν). See on Matt. xii. 1; Mark i. 15; Acts i. 7.

14. Two wings. The definite article ai the should be added: "the two wings." Compare Exod. xix. 4; Deut. xxxii. 11; Ps. xxxvi. 7.

The great eagle. The article does not point to the eagle of ch. viii. 13, but is generic.

A time and times and half a time. Three years and a half. See on ch. xi. 2.

15. Cause her to be carried away of the flood (ταύτην ποταμοφόρητον ποιήση). Lit., might make her one carried away

by the stream: a river-borne one. The word occurs only here in the New Testament.

17. Jesus Christ. Omit Christ.

The best texts add to this chapter the opening words of ch. xiii. (A. V.), "And I stood upon the sand of the sea." Some, however, change ἐστάθην I stood, to ἐστάθη he stood, referring to the dragon. So Rev.

CHAPTER XIII.

1. Beast $(\Im \eta \rho lov)$. Properly rendered. See remarks on $\zeta \hat{\omega} a$ living creatures, ch. iv. 6.

Rise up (àvaβaîvov). Rev., better, coming up, thus giving the force of the participle.

Ten horns. Compare Dan. vii. 7.

Crowns (διαδήματα). Compare ch. xii. 3. See on ch. ii. 10.

The name (ὄνομα). Read ὀνόματα names. On each head a name.

2. A leopard (παρδάλει). The ancients do not seem to have distinguished between the leopard, the panther, and the ounce. The word stands for either. Leopard is leo-pard, the lion-pard, which was supposed to be a mongrel between a panther and a lioness. Compare Dan. vii. 6.

Bear. Compare Dan. vii. 5.

Lion. Compare Dan. vii. 4.

3. I saw (είδον). Omitted in the best texts.

Wounded (ἐσφαγμένην). Lit., slain. See on ch. v. 6. The Rev. smitten is questionable. The word occurs eight times in

the Apocalypse, and in seven of these it must be rendered slain or slaughtered. Professor Milligan rightly observes that the statement is the counterpart of that in ver. 6, where we read of the Lamb as though it had been slaughtered. In both cases there had been actual death, and in both revival. The one is a mocking counterpart of the other.

Deadly wound (πληγή τοῦ θανάτου). Lit., stroke of death. Rev., death-stroke.

After the beast ($\partial \pi l \sigma \omega \tau o \hat{v} \vartheta \eta \rho l o v$). A pregnant construction for wondered at and followed after.

4. Which gave (ὁς ἔδωκεν). The correct reading is ὅτι "because he gave."

Who is like unto the beast? A parody on a similar ascription to God. See Isa. xl. 18, 25; xlvi. 5; Ps. cxiii. 5; Micah vii. 18; Jer. xlix. 19. Compare ch. xviii. 18.

- 5. To continue forty and two months (ποιήσαι μήνας τεσσεράκοντα δύο). Lit., to make forty and two months. Similarly, Acts xv. 33, ποιήσαντες χρόνον having tarried a space; lit., having made a time. See on continue there a year, Jas. iv. 13. The best expositors, however, render ποιήσαι absolutely, to work, and the following accusative as the accusative of duration, "during forty and two months." Rev., margin, to do his works during, etc. See Dan. xi. 28.
- 6. In blasphemy (εἰς βλασφημίαν). Read βλασφημίας blasphemies. Rev., giving the force of εἰς more correctly, "for blasphemies."

And them that dwell in heaven (καὶ τοὺς ἐν τῷ οὐρανῷ σκηνοῦντας). The best texts omit καὶ and, making the following words in apposition with ὄνομα and σκηνὴν name and tabernacle. Thus the literal sense would be to blaspheme the name and tabernacle which dwell in heaven. "The meaning is to enhance the enormity of the blasphemy by bringing out the lofty

nature of God's holy name and dwelling-place" (Alford). The word dwell is, literally, tabernacle. See on ch. xii. 12.

7. The saints (τῶν ἀγίων). See on Acts xxvi. 10.

All kindreds (πᾶσαν φυλὴν). Rev., more literally and correctly, every tribe. See on ch. i. 7; v. 9. After tribe insert καὶ λαὸν and people. See on 1 Pet. ii. 9.

Nation (ĕ9vos). See on 1 Pet. ii. 9.

- 8. From the foundation of the world. These words may be construed with slain or with written. In favor of the latter is ch. xviii. 8; of the former, 1 Pet. i. 19, 20. Alford, pertinently as I think, urges the position of the words in favor of the connection with slain, and says that had it not been for the apparent difficulty of the sense thus conveyed, no one would have thought of going so far back as to hath been written for a connection. Render, as Rev., the Lamb that hath been slain from the foundation of the world. Καταβολή foundation is literally a throwing or laying down, from καταβάλλω to throw down; hence a laying down of a foundation.
- 10. He that leadeth into captivity shall go into captivity (εἴ τις αἰχμαλωσίαν συνάγει, εἰς αἰχμαλωσίαν ὑπάγει). Lit., if any one assemble captivity (i.e., bring together captives) into captivity he goeth away. The best texts insert εἰς into before the first captivity, and omit assemble, thus reading if any man is for captivity into captivity he goeth. So Rev. See on dispersion, John vii. 35. Compare Jer. xv. 2; xliii. 11. The persecutors of the Church shall suffer that which they inflict on the saints.

Sword ($\mu a \chi a i \rho \eta$). See on ch. vi. 4.

Here. In the thought that God judgeth in the earth.

14. An image to the beast ($\epsilon i \kappa \delta \nu a \tau \hat{\varphi} \Im \rho l \varphi$). Ei $\kappa \delta \nu \nu is a$ figure or likeness. Thus Matt. xxii. 20, of the likeness of Cæsar

on the coin. Rom. i. 24, an image of men, birds, beasts, etc. Col. iii. 10, "the image of Him that created him;" i.e., the moral likeness of renewed men to God. Christ is called the image of God (Col. i. 15; 2 Cor. iv. 4). Besides the idea of likeness, the word involves the idea of representation, though not of perfect representation. Thus, man is said to be the image of God (1 Cor. xi. 7). In this it resembles χαρακτήρ image in Heb. i. 3. Cæsar's image on the coin, the reflection of the sun in the water (Plato, "Phædo," 99); and the statue or image of the beast in this passage, are εἰκών.

The word also involves the idea of manifestation. Thus, Col. i. 15, where, in the *image* there is an implied contrast with the invisible God. Hence Philo applied the term to the Logos. See on John i. 1.

The word played an important part in the Arian controversy, in which the distinction was sharply emphasized between εἰκών image as assuming a prototype, and therefore as properly representing the relation of the Son to the Father, and ὁμοίωμα likeness, as implying mere similitude, and not embodying the essential verity of the prototype. The image involves the likeness, but the likeness does not involve the image. The latter may imply only an accidental resemblance, while the former is a veritable representation. Christ is therefore the εἰκών of God.

The image of the beast occurs ten times in the Apocalypse; four times in this chapter, and in xiv. 9, 11; xv. 2; xvi. 2; xix. 20; xx. 4.

- 15. Speak. This is supposed by some to refer to the tricks of pagan priests in making pictures and statues appear to speak.
- 16. A mark (χάραγμα). The word occurs frequently in the Apocalypse, and only once elsewhere (Acts xvii. 29) on which see note. Commentators find illustrations in the brand set upon slaves by their masters, or upon soldiers by their monarchs, and in the branding of slaves attached to certain temples. Herodotus describes a temple to Hercules at the Canopic mouth of the Nile, and says: "If a slave runs away from his master, and taking sanctuary at this shrine gives himself up to the god,

and receives certain sacred marks upon his person, whosoever his master may be, he cannot lay hand on him "(ii., 113). In the treatise "concerning the Syrian goddess" falsely attributed to Lucian, it is said of the slaves of the temple, "all are branded, some upon the wrist and some upon the neck." Paul, in Gal. vi. 17, applies the word for these brands, στίγματα, to the marks of Christ's service which he bears in his body. In 3 Maccabees ii. 29, we read that Ptolemy Philopator required all the Jews of Alexandria to be registered among the common people; and that those who were thus registered were to be marked (χαράσσεσθαι) on their persons by the ivy-leaf symbol of Dionysus (Bacchus). In Lev. xix. 28, the Israelites are forbidden to make cuttings in their flesh for the dead and to print marks (γράμματα στικτὰ) upon themselves.

17. The number. The method of mystic numbering obtained alike among pagan Greeks, Gnostics, Christian Fathers, and Jewish Cabbalists. Jupiter was invoked under the number 717 contained in the letters 'H 'APXH the beginning. Gnostics affixed to their gems and amulets the mystic word άβρασαξ or άβραξας, under the idea of some virtue attaching to its number, 365, as being that of the days of the solar cycle. Barnabas and Clement of Alexandria speak of the virtue of the number 318 as being that of IHT, the common abbreviation for In the pseudo-Sybilline verses, written by Jesus crucified. Christians, about the end probably of the second century, are found versified enigmas giving the number and requiring the The translation of one of these on the word Jesus is as follows: "He will come upon earth clothed with flesh like His name contains four vowels and two consomortal men. nants: two of the former being sounded together. And I will declare the entire number. For the name will exhibit to incredulous men eight units, eight tens, and eight hundreds."

18. Here is wisdom. Directing attention to the challenge which follows.

Count (ψηφισάτω). See on Luke xiv. 28.

The number of a man. It is counted as men usually count. Compare ch. xxi. 17, and a man's pen, Isa. viii. 1. Some explain, a symbolical number denoting a person.

Six hundred threescore and six $(\chi. \xi. s')$. Each letter represents a component of the whole number: $\chi = 600$; $\xi = 60$; s' = 6. In the earlier MSS, it is written in full, $\xi \xi \alpha \kappa \delta \sigma \iota \omega \iota \xi \eta \kappa \sigma \tau \alpha \iota \delta \xi$. The method of reading generally adopted is that known as the *Ghematria* of the Rabbins, or in Greek, $\iota \sigma \sigma \psi \eta \psi \iota \alpha$ numerical equality, which assigns each letter of a name its usual numerical value, and gives the sum of such numbers as the equivalent of the name. Thus, in the Epistle of Barnabas, we are told that the name $\iota \iota \eta \sigma \sigma \iota \omega s \iota \omega s$ is expressed by the number 888. $\iota \iota s \iota \omega s \iota \omega s \iota \omega s$ is expressed by the number 888. $\iota s \iota s \iota \omega s \iota \omega s \iota \omega s \iota \omega s$ is expressed by the number 888. $\iota s \iota s \iota \omega s \iota \omega s \iota \omega s \iota \omega s$ is expressed by the number 888. $\iota s \iota s \iota \omega s \iota \omega s \iota \omega s \iota \omega s$ is expressed by the number 888. $\iota s \iota s \iota \omega s \iota \omega s \iota \omega s \iota \omega s$ is expressed by the number 888. $\iota s \iota s \iota \omega s \iota \omega s \iota \omega s \iota \omega s$ is expressed by the number 888. $\iota s \iota s \iota \omega s \iota \omega s \iota \omega s \iota \omega s$ is expressed by the number 888. $\iota s \iota s \iota \omega s \iota \omega s \iota \omega s \iota \omega s$ is expressed by the number 888. $\iota s \iota s \iota \omega s \iota \omega s \iota \omega s \iota \omega s$ is expressed by the number 888. $\iota s \iota s \iota \omega s \iota \omega s \iota \omega s \iota \omega s$ is expressed by the number 888. $\iota s \iota s \iota \omega s \iota \omega s \iota \omega s$ is expressed by the number 888. $\iota s \iota \omega s \iota \omega s \iota \omega s \iota \omega s$ is expressed by the number 888. $\iota s \iota \omega s \iota \omega s \iota \omega s \iota \omega s$ is expressed by the number 888. $\iota s \iota \omega s$ is expressed by the number 888. $\iota s \iota \omega s \iota \omega$

The interpretations of this number form a jungle from which escape is apparently hopeless. Renss says: "This famous number has been made to yield almost all the historical names of the past eighteen centuries: Titus, Vespasian, and Simon Gioras; Julian the Apostate and Genseric; Mahomet and Luther; Benedict IX. and Louis XV.; Napoleon I. and the Duke of Reichstadt; and it would not be difficult, on the same principles, to read in it one another's names." Some of the favorite names are $\Lambda a\tau \epsilon i\nu os$, Latinus, describing the common character of the rulers of the former pagan Roman Empire: Nero Cæsar; Diocletian; $\chi s'$ the name of Christ abridged, and ξ the emblem of the serpent, so that the sublimated sense is the Messiah of Satan.

CHAPTER XIV.

1. A lamb. Read "the lamb." See ch. v. 6.

Stood (ἐστηκὸς). The participle, standing, as Rev.

His Father's name. Add αὐτοῦ καὶ τὸ ὄνομα His and the name, and render as Rev., His name and the name of His Father.

The Adoration of the Lamb is the subject of the great altar. piece in the church of St. Bavon at Ghent, by John and Hubert Van Eyck. The scene is laid in a landscape. background is formed by a Flemish city, probably intended to represent Jernsalem, and by churches and monasteries in the early Netherland style. The middle ground is occupied by trees, meadows, and green slopes. In the very centre of the picture a square altar is hung with red damask and covered with a white cloth. Here stands a lamb, from whose breast a stream of blood issues into a crystal glass. Angels kneel round the altar with parti-colored wings and variegated dresses, many of them praying with joined hands, others holding aloft the emblems of the passion, two in front waving censers. From the right, behind the altar, issues a numerous band of female saints, all in rich and varied costumes, fair hair floating over their shoulders, and palms in their hands. Foremost may be noticed Sta. Barbara and Sta. Agnes. From the left advance popes, cardinals, bishops, monks, and minor clergy, with crosiers, crosses, and palms. In the centre, near the base, a small octagonal fountain of stone projects a stream into a clear rill. Two groups are in adoration on each side of the fountain,-on the right, the twelve apostles kneeling barefoot, and an array of popes, cardinals, and bishops, with a miscellaneous crowd of church-people; on the left, kings and princes in various cos-They are surrounded by a wilderness of flowering shrubs, lilies, and other plants. On the wings of the picture numerous worshippers move toward the place of worship,crusaders, knights, kings, and princes, including the figures of the two artists on horseback. "Here, approaching from all sides, are seen that 'great multitude of all nations and kindreds and people and tongues'-the holy warriors and the holy pilgrims, coming in solemn processions from afar-with other throngs already arrived in the celestial plain, clothed in white robes, and holding palms in their hands. Their forms are like unto ours; the landscape around them is a mere transcript of the sweet face of our outer nature; the graceful wrought-iron fountain in the midst is such an one as still sends forth its streams in an ancient Flemish city; yet we feel these creatures to be

beings from whose eyes God has wiped away all tears—who will hunger and thirst no more; our imagination invests these flowery meads with the peace and radiance of celestial precincts, while the streams of the fountain are converted into living waters, to which the Lamb Himself will lead His redeemed. Here, in short, where all is human and natural in form, the spiritual depths of our nature are stirred" (Mrs. Jameson, "History of Our Lord," ii., 339).

- 2. And I heard the voice of harpers (καὶ φωνὴν ἤκουσα κιθαρφδῶν). The correct reading is, καὶ ἡ φωνὴ ῆν ἤκουσα ὡς κιθαρφδῶν and the voice which I heard (was) as (the voice) of harpers. Κιθαρφδὸς is from κιθάρα a harp (see on ch. v. 8) and ψδὸς a singer. Properly, one who sings, accompanying himself on the harp.
 - 3. Beasts ($\zeta \omega \omega \nu$). Rev., living creatures. See on ch. iv. 6.

Redeemed (ἦγορασμένοι). Rev., correctly, purchased.

4. Were not defiled (οὐκ ἐμολύνθησαν). The verb means properly to besmear or besmirch, and is never used in a good sense, as μιαίνειν (John xviii. 28; Jude 8), which in classical Greek is sometimes applied to staining with color. See on 1 Pet. i. 4.

Virgins (παρθένοι). Either celibate or living in chastity whether in married or single life. See 1 Cor. vii. 1-7, 29; 2 Cor. xi. 2.

First-fruits $(\dot{a}\pi a\rho\chi\dot{\eta})$. See on Jas. i. 18.

5. Guile (δόλος). Read ψεῦδος lie.

Without fault (ἄμωμοι). Rev., blemish. See on 1 Pet. i. 19.

Before the throne of God. Omit.

6. In the midst of heaven (ἐν μεσουρανήματι). Rev., in mid-heaven. See on ch. viii. 13.

The everlasting Gospel (εὐαγγέλιον αἰώνιον). No article. Hence Rev., an eternal Gospel. Milligan thinks this is to be understood in the same sense as prophesying (ch. x. 11). Αἰώνων includes more than mere duration in time. It is applied to that of which time is not a measure. As applied to the Gospel it marks its likeness to Him whose being is not bounded by time.

To preach unto (εὐαγγελίσαι ἐπὶ). Rev., proclaim, which is better, because more general and wider in meaning. $E\pi i$ which is omitted from the Rec. Tex. is over, throughout the extent of. Compare Matt. xxiv. 14.

That dwell (κατοικοῦντας). Read καθημένους that sit. So Rev., in margin. Compare Matt. iv. 16; Luke i. 79.

8. Another. Add δεύτερος a second.

Is fallen ($e\pi\epsilon\sigma\epsilon\nu$). Lit., fell. The prophetic agrist expressing the certainty of the fall. Compare Isa. xxi. 9; Jer. li. 7, 8.

- 10. Poured out without mixture (κεκερασμένου ἀκράτου). Lit., which is mingled unmixed. From the universal custom of mixing wine with water for drinking, the word mingle came to be used in the general sense of prepare by putting into the cup. Hence, to pour out.

Cup of His anger. Compare Ps. lxxv. 8.

Brimstone ($\Im \epsilon i \omega$). Commonly taken as the neuter of $\Im \epsilon i \omega$ s divine; that is, divine incense, since burning brimstone was regarded as having power to purify and to avert contagion. By others it is referred to $\Im \iota \omega$ to burn, and hence to sacrifice.

11. Torment (βασανισμού). See on Matt. iv. 23, 24; see vexed, 2 Pet. ii. 8.

Goeth up. See Isa. xxxiv. 9, 10; Gen. xix. 28.

Rest (ἀνάπαυσιν). See on give rest, Matt. xi. 28, and resteth, 1 Pet. iv. 14.

12. Here are they. Omit here are, and read, as Rev., the patience of the saints, they that keep.

The faith of Jesus. Which has Jesus for its object.

13. Blessed (μακάριοι). See on Matt. v. 3.

From henceforth (ἀπ' ἄρτι). See on John xiii. 33. To be joined as in A. V. and Rev., with die in the preceding clause, and not with blessed, nor with the following clause. Not from henceforth saith the Spirit. The meaning is variously explained. Some, from the beginning of the Christian age and onward to the end; others, from the moment of death, connecting henceforth with blessed; others from the time when the harvest of the earth is about to be reaped. Sophocles says: "Show all religious reverence to the gods, for all other things Father Zeus counts secondary; for the reward of piety follows men in death. Whether they live or die it passeth not away" ("Philoctetes," 1441–1444).

That they may rest (ἵνα ἀναπαύσωνται). See on Matt. xi. 28. The ἵνα that gives the ground of the blessed.

Labors ($\kappa \acute{o}\pi\omega\nu$). From $\kappa \acute{o}\pi\tau\omega$ to strike. Hence to beat the breast in grief. $K\acute{o}\pi\sigma\varsigma$ is, therefore, primarily, a smiting as a sign of sorrow, and then sorrow itself. As labor, it is labor which involves weariness and sorrow.

Follow them (ἀκολουθεῖ μετ' αὐτῶν). Rather, accompany. Rev., follow with them. Compare Matt. iv. 25 · Mark iii. 7, etc. See on John i. 43.

15. Thrust in (πέμψον). Lit., send. Rev., send forth.

Harvest (Θερισμός). See on Luke x. 2.

Is ripe (ἐξηράνθη). Lit., was dried. Compare Mark xi. 20; John xv. 6. Rev., is over-ripe.

- 16. Thrust in $(\epsilon \beta a \lambda \epsilon \nu)$. Lit., cast.
- 17. Temple (vaoû). Properly, sanctuary. See on Matt. iv. 5.
 - 18. Altar (θυσιαστηρίου). See on Acts xvii. 23.

Which has power (ἔχων ἐξουσίαν). Lit., having power. Some texts add the article δ. So Rev., "he that hath power."

Fire. In the Greek with the article, the fire.

Cry (κραυγŷ). See on Luke i. 42.

Thy sharp sickle. Lit., thy sickle, the sharp.

Gather (τρύγησον). From τρύγη dryness, included in the notion of ripeness, and hence the vintage, harvest. The verb means therefore to gather ripe fruit. It occurs only in this chapter and in Luke vi. 44.

Grapes ($\sigma \tau a \phi v \lambda a \lambda$). The noun in the singular means also a bunch of grapes.

Are fully ripe (ἤκμασαν). Only here in the New Testament. From ἀκμή, transcribed in acme, the highest point. Hence the verb means to reach the height of growth, to be ripe.

- 19. The great wine-press (τὴν ληνὸν τὸν μέγαν). The Greek student will note the masculine adjective with the feminine noun, possibly because the gender of the noun is doubtful. The Rev., in rendering more literally, is more forcible: the wine-press, the great wine-press. See on Matt. xxi. 33.
- 20. Furlongs ($\sigma \tau a \delta i \omega \nu$). The furlong or stadium was $606\frac{3}{4}$ English feet.

CHAPTER XV.

1. The seven last plagues (πληγὰς ἐπτὰ τὰς ἐσχάτας). Lit., seven plagues the last. Rev., "which are the last." See on Mark iii. 10; Luke x. 30.

Is filled up ($\dot{\epsilon}\tau\epsilon\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\theta\eta$). More correctly, brought to an end ($\tau\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\sigma\theta$). Rev., finished. Lit., was finished, the prophetic aorist, which speaks of a thing foreseen and decided as if already done.

2. A sea of glass (βάλασσαν ὑαλίνην). Rev., better, a glassy sea. See on ch. iv. 6.

Had gotten the victory over the beast ($\nu\iota\kappa\hat{\omega}\nu\tau\alpha$ s $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$ $\tau\hat{\nu}\hat{\nu}$). The expression is peculiar. Lit., conquered out of. The construction is unique in the New Testament. The phrase signifies, not as A. V., victory over, but coming triumphant out of $(\dot{\epsilon}\kappa)$. So Rev., that come victorious from the beast.

Over his mark. Omit.

Standing on $(\partial \pi l)$. Better, as Rev., by: on the shore of, as did the Israelites when they sang the song alluded to in ver. 3.

The harps of God. Omit the. Instruments devoted wholly to His praise. Compare ch. v. 8; xiv. 2.

3. The song of Moses. See Exod. xv. Compare Deut. xxxii.; to which some refer this allusion.

The servant of God. See Exod. xiv. 31; Num. xii. 7; Ps. cv. 26; Heb. iii. 5.

The song of the Lamb. There are not two distinct songs. The song of Moses is the song of the Lamb. The Old and the New Testament churches are one.

Great and marvellous are Thy works. Ps. cxi. 2; cxxxix. 14; 1 Chron. xvi. 9.

Just and true are Thy ways. Rev., righteous for just. See Dout. xxxii. 4.

King of saints (βασιλεύς τῶν ἀγίων). The readings differ. Some read for saints, ἐθνῶν of the nations; others αἰώνων of the ages. So Rev. Compare Jer. x. 7.

4. Who shall not fear Thee? See Jer. x. 7. Omit thee.

Holy (ὅσιος). See on Luke i. 75. The term is applied to Christ in Acts ii. 27, 35; Heb. vii. 26. To God only here and ch. xvi. 5, where the correct reading is ὁ ὅσιος thou holy one, instead of ὁ ἐσόμενος which shalt be.

All nations shall come. Compare Ps. lxxxvi. 9; Isa. ii. 2-4; lxvi. 23; Mic. iv. 2.

Judgments (δικαιώματα). Not merely divine decisions, but righteous acts generally. So Rev. Primarily, the word signifies that which has been deemed right so as to have the force of law. Hence an ordinance (Luke i. 6; Heb. ix. 1; Rom. i. 32). A judicial decision for or against (Rom. v. 16). A righteous deed. See ch. xix. 8.

5. Behold. Omit.

The temple of the tabernacle (δ vads $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\sigma \kappa \eta v \hat{\eta} s$). The sanctuary of the tabernacle. See on Matt. iv. 5.

Of the testimony. See Acts vii. 44. The tabernacle was called "the Tabernacle of the Testimony" because it contained the ark with the law of God which testifies against sin. See Exod. xxv. 16, 21; xxx. 36; xxxiv. 29; xxxviii. 21. Compare ch. xi. 19.

6. Linen (λίνον). The Rev. follows the reading λίθον sione, after the analogy of Ezek. xxviii. 13, "Every precious stone

was thy covering." The idea is that of raiment studded with precious stones. See on ch. ii. 17.

White $(\lambda a\mu\pi\rho \delta v)$. Mostly applied in the New Testament to clothing, as Luke xxiii. 11; Acts x. 30; Jas. ii. 2. Also to the water of life (ch. xxii. 1), and the morning-star (ch. xxii. 16). Rev., bright.

Girt round their breasts. As the Lord in the vision of i. 13; where, however, μαστοῦς paps is used instead of στήθη breasts.

- 7. Vials (φιάλας). Rev., bowls. See on ch. v. 8.
- 8. Smoke. Compare Exod. xl. 34; 1 Kings viii. 10; Ps. xviii. 8; Isa. vi. 4; Ezek. x. 2-4.

None was able to enter. "God cannot be approached at the moment when He is revealing Himself in all the terrors of His indignation" (Milligan). See Exod. xix. 21.

CHAPTER XVI.

- 1. The vials. Add seven.
- 2. And the first went. Each angel, as his turn comes, withdraws (ὑπάγετε, see on John vi. 21; viii. 21) from the heavenly scene.

There fell (eyéveto). Lit., there came to pass. Rev., it became. Elliott, very aptly, there broke out.

Noisome and grievous (κακὸν καὶ πονηρὸν). Similarly the two cognate nouns κακία and πονηρία malice and wickedness occur together in 1 Cor. v. 8. Πονηρός emphasizes the activity of evil. See on Luke iii, 19.

Sore (ξλκος). See on Luke xvi. 20. Compare the sixth Egyptian plague, Exod. ix. 8-12, where the Septuagint uses

this word ἔλκος boil. Also of the boil or scab of leprosy, Levit. xiii. 18; king Hezekiah's boil, 2 Kings xx. 7; the botch of Egypt, Deut. xxviii. 27, 35. In Job ii. 7 (Sept.) the boils are described as here by πονηρός sore.

3. It became (ἐγένετο). Or there came.

Blood. Compare Exod. vii. 19.

As of a dead man. Thick, corrupt, and noisome.

Living soul $(\psi \nu \chi \dot{\eta} \zeta \hat{\omega} \sigma a)$. The best texts read $\psi \nu \chi \dot{\eta} \zeta \omega \hat{\eta} s$ soul of life.

4. The third angel. Omit angel.

They became (ἐγένετο). There is no necessity for rendering the singular verb in the plural. We may say either it became or there came.

5. The angel of the waters. Set over the waters as other angels over the winds (ch. vii. 1) and over the fire (ch. xiv. 18).

O Lord. Omit.

And shalt be. Following the reading δ ἐσόμενος. Read δ ὅσιος Thou Holy One.

Thou didst thus judge (ταῦτα ἔκρινας). Lit., Thou didst judge these things.

- 6. For they are worthy. Omit for.
- 7. Another out of the altar. Omit another out of, and read, as Rev., I heard the altar. The altar personified. Compare ch. vi. 9, where the souls of the martyrs are seen under the altar and cry how long.

Almighty. Add the article: the Almighty.

8. The fourth angel. Omit angel.

Power was given ($\epsilon \delta \delta \vartheta \eta$). Rev., it was given.

With fire $(\dot{\epsilon}\nu \pi \nu \rho i)$. Lit., "in fire." The element in which the scorching takes place.

9. Repent to give Him glory. Glorify Him by repentance.

His kingdom was darkened. Compare Exod. x. 21, 22.

They gnawed $(\dot{\epsilon}\mu a\sigma\sigma\hat{\omega}\nu\tau o)$. Only here in the New Testament.

For pain ($\epsilon \kappa \tau o \hat{v} \pi \acute{o} \nu o v$). Strictly, from their pain. Their, the force of the article $\tau o \hat{v}$.

12. Euphrates. See on ch. ix. 14.

Of the east (ἀπὸ τῶν ἀνατολῶν ἡλίου). Lit., as Rev., from the sunrising. See on Matt. ii. 2; and dayspring, Luke i. 78.

13. Frogs. Possibly with reference to Exod. viii. 1-14.

14. Of the earth and of the whole world. Omit of the earth and.

World (οἰκουμένης). See on Luke ii. 1.

The battle (πόλεμον). Rev., more literally, war. Battle is μ άχη.

That great day (ἐκείνης). Omit. Read, as Rev., "the great day."

15. Behold—shame. These words are parenthetical.

As a thief. Compare Matt. xxiv. 43; Luke xii. 39; 1 Thess. v. 2, 4; 2 Pet. iii. 10.

Watcheth (γρηγορῶν). See on Mark xiii. 35; 1 Pet. v. 8.

Keepeth his garments. "During the night the captain of the Temple made his rounds. On his approach the guards

had to rise and salute him in a particular manner. Any guard found asleep when on duty was beaten, or his garments were set on fire. The confession of one of the Rabbins is on record that, on a certain occasion, his own maternal uncle had actually undergone the punishment of having his clothes set on fire by the captain of the Temple" (Edersheim, "The Temple," etc.).

Shame (ἀσχημοσύνην). Only here and Rom. i. 27. From à not and σχημα fashion. Deformity, unseemliness; nearly answering to the phrase not in good form.

16. Armageddon. The proper Greek form is Αρ Μαγεδών. The word is compounded of the Hebrew Har mountain, and Megiddon or Megiddo: the mountain of Megiddo. On Megiddo standing alone, see Judges i. 27; 1 Kings iv. 12; ix. 15; 2 Kings ix. 27. See also Judges v. 19; Zech. xii. 11; 2 Chron. xxxv. 22; 2 Kings xxiii. 30. "Bounded as it is by the hills of Palestine on both north and south, it would naturally become the arena of war between the lowlanders who trusted in their chariots, and the Israelite highlanders of the neighboring heights. To this cause mainly it owes its celebrity, as the battle-field of the world, which has, through its adoption into the language of the Apocalypse, passed into an universal proverb. If that mysterious book proceeded from the hand of a Galilean fisherman, it is the more easy to understand why, with the scene of those many battles constantly before him, he should have drawn the figurative name of the final conflict between the hosts of good and evil, from the 'place which is called in the Hebrew tongue Harmagedon'" (Stanley, "Sinai and Palestine").

Megiddo was in the plain of Esdraëlon, "which has been a chosen place for encampment in every contest carried on in Palestine from the days of Nabuchodonozor king of Assyria, unto the disastrous march of Napoleon Buonaparte from Egypt into Syria. Jews, Gentiles, Saracens, Christian crusaders, and anti-Christian Frenchmen; Egyptians, Persians, Drnses, Turks, and Arabs, warriors of every nation that is under heaven, have pitched their tents on the plain of Esdraëlon, and have beheld

the banners of their nation wet with the dews of Tabor and Hermon" ("Clarke's Travels," cit. by Lee). See Thomson's "Land and Book" (Central Palestine and Phoenicia), p. 208 sqq.; and Stanley, "Sinai and Palestine," ch. ix.

Two great slaughters at Megiddo are mentioned in the Old Testament; the first celebrated in the Song of Deborah (Judges v. 19), and the second, that in which king Josiah fell (2 Kings xxiii. 29). Both these may have been present to the seer's mind; but the allusion is not to any particular place or event. "The word, like *Euphrates*, is the expression of an idea; the idea that swift and overwhelming destruction shall overtake all who gather themselves together against the Lord" (Milligan).

- 17. Temple of heaven. Omit of heaven.
- 21. Hail. See Exod. ix. 18.

Every stone about the weight of a talent (is ταλαντίαια). The adjective, meaning of a talent's weight, agrees with hail; hail of a talent's weight; i.e., having each stone of that weight. Every stone is therefore explanatory, and not in the text. Hailstones are a symbol of divine wrath. See Isa. xxx. 30; Ezek. xiii. 11. Compare Josh. x. 11.

CHAPTER XVII.

1. Sitteth upon many waters. Said of Babylon, Jer. li. 13; the wealth of Babylon being caused both by the Euphrates and by a vast system of canals. The symbol is interpreted by some commentators as signifying Babylon, by others pagan Ron Papal Rome, Jerusalem. Dante alludes to this passage in address to the shade of Pope Nicholas III., in the Bolgia of Simonists.

[&]quot;The Evangelist you pastors had in mind, When she who sitteth upon many waters To fornicate with kings by him was seen.

The same who with the seven heads was born,
And power and strength from the ten horns received,
So long as virtue to her spouse was pleasing."
"Inferno," xix., 106-110.

- 2. Have committed fornication. The figure of a harlot committing fornication with kings and peoples occurs frequently in the prophets, representing the defection of God's Church and its attachment to others. See Isa. i. 21; Jer. ii. 20; iii. 1, 6, 8; Ezek. xvi. 15, 16, 28, 31, 35, 41; xxiii. 5, 19, 44; Hos. ii. 5; iii. 3; iv. 14. The word is applied to heathen cities in three places only: to Tyre, Isa. xxiii. 15, 16, 17; to Nineveh, Nahum iii. 4; and here.
 - 3. Sitting. To manage and guide the beast.

A scarlet.colored beast. The same as in ch. xiii. 1. This beast is ever after mentioned as $\tau \delta$ $\Im \eta \rho lov$ the beast. For scarlet, see on Matt. xxvii. 6.

4. Purple (πορφύρουν). See on Luke xvi. 19.

Decked (κεχρυσωμένη). Lit., gilded.

Precious stones (λίθφ τιμίφ). Lit., precious stone.

Golden cup. Compare Jer. li. 7.

Abominations (βδελυγμάτων). See on Matt. xxiv. 15.

5. Upon her forehead a name. As was customary with harlots, who had their names inscribed on a ticket. Seneca, addressing a wanton priestess, "Nomen tuum pependit a fronte," thy name hung from thy forehead. See Juvenal, Satire vi., 123 sqq., of the profligate Messalina, "having falsely assumed the ticket of Lycisca."

Mystery. Some understand this as a part of the name, others as implying that the name is to be interpreted symbolically.

Babylon. See on 1 Pet. v. 13. Tertullian, Irenæus, and Jerome use Babylon as representing the Roman Empire. In the Middle Ages Rome is frequently styled the Western Babylon. The sect of the Fraticelli, an eremitical organization from the Franciscans in the fourteenth century, who carried the vow of poverty to the extreme and taught that they were possessed of the Holy Spirit and exempt from sin-first familiarized the common mind with the notion that Rome was the Babylon, the great harlot of the Apocalypse (see Milman, "Latin Christianity," Book xii., ch. vi.). On the passage cited from Dante (ver. i.), Dean Plumptre remarks: "The words have the interest of being a mediæval interpretation of Revelation xvii. 1-15, . in which, however, the harlot and the beast seem somewhat strangely blended. The harlot is the corrupted Church of Rome; the seven heads are the seven hills on which the city is built; or perhaps, with an entirely different exegesis, the seven gifts of the Spirit, or the seven sacraments with which that Church had, in its outset, been endowed: the ten horns are the ten commandments. As long as the Church was faithful to her spouse, she had the moral strength which came from those gifts, and the divine law which she represented. When that failed, she became as a harlot, and her whoredom with kings was the symbol of her alliance with secular powers for the oppression of the nations" (On "Inferno," xix., 110).

- 6. Saints—martyrs. The saints include the martyrs or witnesses, but the latter word emphasizes the *testimony* of the saints which has been the cause of their death. For *martyr*, see on 1 Pet. v. 1.
- 8. To go into perdition (ὑπάγειν). Some good texts read ὑπάγει goeth. For the verb, see on John vi. 21; viii. 21.

In the book $(\ell \pi l)$. Lit., upon.

From the foundation of the world. In ordinary New Testament Greek these words would belong to are written. Construe with the words immediately preceding. Compare ch. xiii. 8, and Matt. xxv. 34.

And yet is (καίπερ ἐστίν). Read καὶ πάρεσται, and shall come. Lit., shall be present.

9. Here is (ἀδε). Bespeaking attention and spiritual discernment for that which follows. See on ch. xiii. 18.

The mind (o vovs). I. Novs is the organ of mental perception and apprehension—of conscious life, the mind, comprising the faculties of perceiving and understanding, of feeling, judging, determining.

(a) The intellective faculty or understanding (Luke xxiv. 45).

So here, according to some.

(b) The reason, regarded as the faculty of perceiving divine things: of recognizing goodness and hating evil (Rom. i. 28; vii. 23; Eph. iv. 17).

(c) The power of calm and impartial judgment (2 Thess.

ii. 2).

IÍ. Noûs is a particular mode of thinking and judging: moral consciousness as a habit of mind or opinion. Hence thoughts, feelings, purposes (Rom. xiv. 5; 1 Cor. i. 10). Some render here meaning.

Seven mountains. Many interpreters regard this as conclusively defining the reference of the woman to Rome, which was built upon seven hills. Others deny the local reference, and understand the principle of worldly greatness and ambition. Others again claim that many cities besides Rome can boast of their seven hills, as Constantinople, Brussels, and especially Jerusalem.

Upon them. Redundant, the idea being already expressed by where. A Hebraism.

10. Are fallen (ἔπεσαν). Lit., fell. Constantly used in the Septuagint of the violent fall or overthrow of kings or kingdoms. See Ezek. xxix. 5; xxx. 6; Isa. xxi. 9; Jer. l. 15; li. 8.

- 12. Kings which (olives). The compound relative classifying: "of the kind which."
- 13. Mind (γνώμην). Meaning primarily the faculty of knowing, mind, reason; then that which is thought or known; opinion, purpose. See Acts xx. 3; 1 Cor. vii. 25; Philem. 14.

Shall give (διαδιδώσουσιν). Read διδόασιν, the present tense, give. The force of διά is over; give over.

Power and authority (δύναμιν καὶ ἐξουσίαν). For the distinction, see on 2 Pet. ii. 11.

15. The waters. The explanation of the symbol given here is in accordance with Isa. viii. 7; Ps. xviii. 4, 16; exxiv. 14.

Peoples and multitudes, etc. See on 1 Pet. ii. 9; Mark xii. 37.

16. Upon the beast (ἐπί). Read καὶ and: "the ten horns—and the beast."

Desolate (ἦρημωμένην). Lit., desolated, the verb being in the perfect participle.

Shall eat her flesh. A token of extreme hostility. See Ps. xxvii. 2; Mic. iii. 3. Xenophon, speaking of the hatred between the pure Spartans and the Helots, says that no one of the pure Spartans could conceal his readiness to eat the Helot raw. Notice the plural σάρκας flesh, and see on Jas. v. 3.

Burn (κατακαύσουσιν). Rev., giving the force of κατά down, burn utterly. According to some interpreters the figure is changed from the woman to a city; but this is unnecessary, as the language is probably taken from the punishment of fornication on the part of a priest's daughter (Lev. xxi. 9; compare Lev. xx. 14).

17. Hath put (ἔδωκεν). Rev., with stricter rendering of the aorist, did put. Lit., did give.

To fulfil His will (ποιῆσαι τὴν γνώμην αὐτοῦ). See on ver. 13. Rev., more literally, to do his mind.

To agree (ποιήσαι μίαν γνώμην). Lit., to make one mind. Rev., come to one mind.

The words (τὰ ῥήματα). But read οἱ λόγοι the prophetic words. For the distinction, see on Luke i. 37.

18. Reigneth (ἔχουσα βασιλείαν). Lit., hath a kingdom.

CHAPTER XVIII.

- 1. Was lightened. Compare Ezek. xliii. 2.
- 2. Mightily with a strong voice (ἐν ἰσχύϊ φωνῆ μεγάλη). Lit., in strength with a great voice. Omit μεγάλη great, and read ἰσχυρῆ φωνῆ with a mighty voice. So Rev.

Babylon—is fallen. The Rev. improves on the A. V. by placing fallen in the emphatic position of the Greek: "Fallen, fallen is Babylon." Compare Isa. xxi. 9.

Is become (ἐγένετο). Lit., became.

Devils (δαιμόνων). Properly, demons, which Rev., strangely commits to the margin. See on Mark i. 34. See Isa. xiii. 20–22; xxxiv. 13–15. Also on Luke xi. 24.

Hold (φυλακή). See on 1 Pet. iii. 19, and Acts v. 21. Rev., in margin, prison.

Cage $(\phi \nu \lambda a \kappa \dot{\eta})$. The word rendered above *hold*. Rev., *hold*. Some, however, explain it, not as a *cage* where they are kept, but as a place of *safety* to which they resort.

Bird (ὀρνέου). Only in the Apocalypse, here, xix. 17, 21. Compare Jer. i. 39.

3. Have drunk (πέπωκεν or πέπωκαν). Some, however, read πέπτωκαν have fallen. So Rev.

Of the wine (ἐκ τοῦ οἴνον). Thus if we read have drunk. If we adopt have fallen, ἐκ is instrumental, by. So Rev.

Of the wrath. The wine of fornication has turned to wrath against herself.

Merchants (ἔμποροι). The word originally means one on a journey by sea or land, especially for traffic. Hence a merchant as distinguished from κάπηλος a retailer or huckster.

The abundance of her delicacies (τῆς δυνάμεως τοῦ στρήνους αὐτῆς). Lit., as Rev., the power of her luxury. Στρῆνος is akin to στερεός firm, hard, stubborn (see on steadfast, 1 Pet. v. 9). Hence over-strength, luxury, wantonness. Only here in the New Testament. The kindred verb στρηνιάω to live deliciously occurs ch. xviii. 7, 9.

4. Come out of her. Compare Jer. li. 6, 45; Isa. xlviii. 20; lii. 11; Nnm. xvi. 26.

Have fellowship with (συγκοινωνήσητε). This compound verb is not of frequent occurrence in the New Testament. It is found only in Eph. v. 11, Philip. iv. 14, and here. On the kindred noun συγκοινωνὸς companion, see on ch. i. 9.

5. Have reached (ἠκολούθησαν). Lit., followed. But the best texts read ἐκολλήθησαν clave. Compare Jer. li. 9. For different applications of the verb see on Matt. xix. 5; Luke xv. 15; Acts v. 13. Compare the classical phrase for following up closely a fleeing foe, hærere in terga hostium, to cleave to the backs of the enemy. See also Zech. xiv. 5 (Sept.), "The valley of the mountains shall reach (ἐγκολληθήσεται) unto Azal." The radical idea of the metaphor is that of following or reaching after so as to be joined to.

- 6. Double (διπλώσατε). Only here in the New Testament. Compare Isa. xl. 2; Jer. xvi. 18; Zech. ix. 12. The Levitical law insisted on the double recompense. See Exod. xxii. 4, 7, 9.
 - 7. Lived deliciously (ἐστρηνίασεν). See on ver. 3.

Torment (βασανισμὸν). Only in the Apocalypse. On the kindred word βάσανος torment, see on Matt. iv. 23, 24.

I sit a queen and am no widow. See Isa. xlvii. 8; Zeph. ii. 15.

8. Therefore shall her plagues come, etc. See Isa. xlvii. 8, 9.

Who judgeth (ὁ κρίνων). Read κρίνας judged.

11. Merchandise ($\gamma \delta \mu \sigma \nu$). Only here, ver. 12, and Acts xxi. 3. From $\gamma \delta \mu \omega$ to be full. Hence, literally, lading or cargo. So Rev., in margin.

The main features of the following description are taken from that of the destruction of Tyre, Ezek. xxvi., xxvii.

12. Fine Linen (βύσσου). See on Luke xvi. 19.

Purple (πορφύρας). See on Luke xvi. 19.

Silk (σηρικού). Properly an adjective, meaning pertaining to the Seres. From Σήρες Seres, a people of India, perhaps of modern China.

Before the time of Justinian, when silkworms were first brought to Constantinople, it was thought that the Seres gathered or combed the downy substance woven by the worms from the leaves of certain trees. Hence Virgil speaks of the Seres, how they comb (depectant) the fine fleeces from the leaves ("Georgics," ii., 121).

Silk was a costly article of luxury among the Romans, so that Tacitus relates that in the reign of Tiberius a law was passed against "men disgracing themselves with silken garments"

("Annals," ii., 33). "Two hundred years after the age of Pliny," says Gibbon, "the use of pure or even of mixed silks was confined to the female sex, till the opulent citizens of Rome and the provinces were insensibly familiarized with the example of Elagabalus, the first who, by this effeminate habit, had sullied the dignity of an emperor and a man. Aurelian complained that a pound of silk was sold at Rome for twelve ounces of gold" ("Decline and Fall," ch. xl.). At the time of Justinian the Persians held a monopoly of this trade. Two missionary monks residing in China imparted to Justinian the project of introducing the eggs of the silkworm into Europe, and returning to China concealed the eggs in a hollow cane and so transported them.

Scarlet. See on Matt. xxvii. 6.

Thyine wood (ξύλον θύϊνον). Only here in the New Testament. From θυία or θύα the citrus, a North-African tree, a native of Barbary, used as incense and for inlaying. Pliny speaks of a mania among the Romans for tables made of this wood. The most expensive of these were called orbes, circles, because they were massive plates of wood cut from the stem in its whole diameter. Pliny mentions plates four feet in diameter, and nearly six inches thick. The most costly were those taken from near the root, both because the tree was broadest there, and because the wood was dappled and speckled. Hence they were described by different epithets according as the markings resembled those of the tiger, the panther, or the peacock.

Vessel (σκεῦος). See on 1 Pet. iii. 7, and Acts ix. 15. Also on goods, Matt. xii. 29; Mark iii. 27; and strake sail, Acts xxvii. 17.

Of ivory (ελεφάντινον). Only here in the New Testament. References to ivory are frequent in the Old Testament. The navy of Tarshish brought ivory to Solomon with apes and peacocks (1 Kings x. 22). His great throne was made of it (1 Kings x. 18). Ahab's ivory palace (1 Kings xxii. 39) was

probably a house with ivory panels. "Ivory palaces" are mentioned in Ps. xlv. 8, and "houses of ivory" in Amos iii. 15. The Assyrians carried on a great trade in this article. On the obelisk in the British Museum the captives or tribute-bearers are represented as carrying tusks. The Egyptians early made use of it in decoration, bringing it mostly from Ethiopia, where, according to Pliny, ivory was so plentiful that the natives made of it door-posts and fences, and stalls for their cattle. In the early ages of Greece ivory was frequently employed for ornamental purposes, for the trappings of horses, the handles of kegs, and the bosses of shields. Homer represents an Asiatic woman staining ivory with purple to form trappings for horses, and describes the reins of chariot-horses as adorned with ivory. The statue of Jupiter by Phidias was of ivory and gold. In the "Odyssey" of Homer, Telemachus thus addresses his companion, the son of Nestor, as they contemplate the splendor of Menelaus' palace:

"See, son of Nestor, my beloved friend,
In all these echoing rooms the sheen of brass,
Of gold, of amber and of ivory;
Such is the palace of Olympian Jove."

"Odyssey," iv., 71-74.

"Odyssey," 1v., 71-74.

Marble (μαρμάρου). From μαρμαίρω to sparkle or glisten.

13. Cinnamon (κινάμωμον). Mentioned as one of the ingredients of the holy oil for anointing (Exod. xxx. 23), and as a perfume for the bed (Prov. vii. 17).

And spice (καὶ ἄμωμον). These words are added by the best texts. A fragrant Indian plant, with seed in grape-like clusters, from which ointment was made. Preparations for the hair were made from it. Virgil, describing the coming golden age, says: "The Assyrian amomum shall spring up as a common plant" ("Eclogue" iv., 25; compare "Eclogue" iii., 89). Forbiger, (Virgil) says that the best was raised in Armenia, a poorer quality in Media and Pontus.

Fine flour $(\sigma \epsilon \mu \ell \delta a \lambda \iota \nu)$. Only here in the New Testament.

Cattle (κτήνη). See on Luke x. 34.

Merchandise of horses. Merchandise is not in the text. It resumes the construction of γόμον merchandise with the genitive in ver. 12.

Chariots (ῥεδῶν). A Latin word though of Gallic origin, rheda. It had four wheels.

14. The fruits (ἡ ὀπώρα). Originally, the late summer or early autumn; then, generally, used of the ripe fruits of trees. Only here in the New Testament. Compare the compound φθινοπωρινὰ autumn (trees). See on whose fruit withereth, Jude 12, and compare summer-fruits, Jer. xl. 10.

That thy soul lusted after $(\tau \hat{\eta}_s \in \pi \iota \Im \nu \mu las \tau \hat{\eta}_s \psi \nu \chi \hat{\eta}_s \sigma_0 \hat{\nu})$. Lit., of the desire of thy soul.

Dainty (λιπαρά). From λίπος grease. Hence, literally, fat. Only here in the New Testament. Homer uses it once in the sense of oily or shiny with oil, as the skin anointed after a bath. "Their heads and their fair faces shining" ("Odyssey," xv., 332). So Aristophanes ("Plutus," 616), and of oily, unctuous dishes ("Frogs," 163). Of the oily smoothness of a calm sea, as by Theocritus. The phrase λιπαροὶ πόδες shining feet, i.e., smooth, without wrinkle, is frequent in Homer. Thus, of Agamemnon rising from his bed: "Beneath his shining feet he bound the fair sandals" ("Iliad," ii., 44). Also of the condition of life; rich, comfortable: so Homer, of a prosperous old age, "Odyssey," xi., 136. Of things, bright, fresh. Of soil, fruitful. The city of Athens was called λιπαραὶ, a favorite epithet. Aristophanes plays upon the two senses bright and greasy, saying that if any one flatteringly calls Athens bright, he attaches to it the honor of sardines—oiliness ("Acharnians," 638, 9).

Goodly ($\lambda a\mu\pi\rho\lambda$). A too indefinite rendering. Better, Rev., sumptuous. See on Luke xxiii. 11; Jas. ii. 2. Mostly in the New Testament of clothing. See on ch. xv. 6.

- 16. Decked (κεχρυσωμένη). See on ch. xvii. 4.
- 17. Shipmaster (κυβερνήτης). From κυβερνάω to govern. Strictly, steersman. Only here and Acts xxvii. 11.

All the company in ships $(\pi \hat{a}_s \in \pi \hat{l} + \tau \hat{\omega} \nu + \pi \lambda o \hat{l} \omega \nu + \delta \delta \hat{l} \mu i \lambda o s)$. The best texts substitute $\delta \in \pi \hat{l} + \tau \delta \pi o \nu + \tau \lambda \hat{l} \omega \nu$, that saileth anywhere, lit., saileth to a place. So Rev.

Trade by sea (τὴν θάλασσαν ἐργάζονται). Lit., work the sea, like the Latin mare exercent, live by seafaring. Rev., gain their living by sea.

- 19. Cast dust on their heads. Compare Ezek. xxvii. 30. See on Luke x. 13.
- 20. Hath avenged you on her (ἔκρινεν τὸ κρίμα ὑμῶν ἐξ αὐτῆς). Rev., more literally, hath judged your judgment on her, or from her. The idea is that of exacting judgment from (ἐξ). Compare the compound verb ἐκδικεῖς avenge, or exact vengeance from (ch. vi. 10). The meaning is either, that judgment which is your due, or what she hath judged concerning you.
- 21. A mighty angel (εἶs ἄγγελος ἰσχυρὸς). Lit., "one strong angel."

A great millstone. See on Matt. xviii. 6.

With violence (ὁρμήματι). Lit., with an impulse or rush. Only here in the New Testament.

22. Harpers. See on ch. xiv. 2.

Musicians (μουσικῶν). Only here in the New Testament. There seems to be no special reason for changing the rendering to minstrels, as Rev. The term music had a much wider signification among the Greeks than that which we attach to it. "The primitive education at Athens consisted of two branches: gymnastics for the body, music for the mind. Music comprehended from the beginning everything appertaining to

the province of the nine Muses; not merely learning the use of the lyre or how to bear part in a chorus, but also the hearing, learning, and repeating of poetical compositions, as well as the practice of exact and elegant pronunciation—which latter accomplishment, in a language like the Greek, with long words, measured syllables, and great diversity of accentuation between one word and another, must have been far more difficult to acquire than it is in any modern European language. the range of ideas enlarged, so the words music and musical teachers acquired an expanded meaning, so as to comprehend matter of instruction at once ampler and more diversified. During the middle of the fifth century B.c. at Athens, there came thus to be found among the musical teachers men of the most distinguished abilities and eminence, masters of all the learning and accomplishments of the age, teaching what was known of Astronomy, Geography, and Physics, and capable of holding dialectical discussions with their pupils upon all the various problems then afloat among intellectual men" (Grote, "History of Greece," vi., ch. lxvii.).

Pipers (αὐλητῶν). Rev., flute-players. Only here and Matt. ix. 23. The female flute-players, usually dissolute characters, were indispensable attendants at the Greek banquets. Plato makes Eryximachus in "the Symposium," say: "I move that the finte-girl who has just made her appearance, be told to go away and play to herself, or, if she likes, to the women who are within. To-day let us have conversation instead" ("Symposium," 176). Again, Socrates says: "The talk about the poets seems to me like a commonplace entertainment to which a vulgar company have recourse; who, because they are not able to converse and amuse one another, while they are drinking, with the sound of their own voices and conversation, by reason of their stupidity, raise the price of flute-girls in the market, hiring for a great sum the voice of a flute instead of their own breath, to be the medium of intercourse among them" ("Protagoras," 347). Compare Isa. xxiv. 8; Ezek. xxvi. 13.

Millstone. Compare Jer. xxv. 10; Matt. xxiv. 41.

23. Bridegroom-bride. Compare Jer. xxv. 10.

Great men (μεγιστάνες). Rev., princes. See on ch. vi. 15.

By thy sorceries (ἐν τἢ φαρμακεία σου). See on ch. ix. 21. Rev., more literally, with thy sorcery.

Were deceived (ἐπλανήθησαν). Or led astray. See on Mark xii. 24.

CHAPTER XIX.

1. Hallelujah (ἀλληλούῖα). Hebrew. Praise ye the Lord. Only in the Apocalypse and in this chapter. Fifteen of the Psalms either begin or end with this word. The Jewish anthem of praise (Ps. civ.-cix.), sung chiefly at the feasts of the Passover and of Tabernacles, derived its title of the Great Hallel from the frequent use of that phrase.

Honor. Omit. On the doxologies in the Apocalypse, see on ch. i. 6.

2. True (ἀληθιναί). See on John i. 9.

Did corrupt $(\tilde{\epsilon}\phi \Im \epsilon \iota \rho \epsilon \nu)$. The imperfect tense denoting habit.

Avenged (ἐξεδίκησεν). Exacted vengeance from (ἐξ).

At her hand $(\tilde{\epsilon}\kappa)$. Lit., "from her hand." See on ch. ii. 7; xviii. 20.

- 3. Her smoke, etc. Compare Isa. xxxiv. 10.
- 5. All ye His servants—small and great. Compare Ps. exiv. 13; exxxiv. 1.
- 7. The marriage of the Lamb. For the figure, compare Isa. liv. 1-8; Ezek. xvi. 7-14; Hos. ii. 19; Matt. ix. 15; John iii. 29; Eph. v. 25.

8. Fine linen ($\beta\dot{\nu}\sigma\sigma\iota\nu\nu\nu$). See on Luke xvi. 19. The four vestments of the ordinary Jewish priest were made of linen or byssus. Their symbolic meaning depended in part on the whiteness and lustre of their substance ($\kappa\alpha\beta\alpha\rho\dot{\nu}\nu$) $\kappa\alpha\lambda$) $\lambda\alpha\mu\pi\rho\dot{\nu}\nu$ pure and bright).

Righteousness (δικαιώματα). More strictly, as Rev., righteous acts.

10. See thou do it not ($\delta \rho a \mu \dot{\eta}$). See not (to do it).

The testimony of Jesus ($\hat{\eta}$ $\mu a \rho \tau \nu \rho i a \tau \sigma \hat{v}$ ' $I \eta \sigma \sigma \hat{v}$). Some explain as the testimony which proceeds from Jesus. Jesus, by imparting this testimony to believers imparts to them the spirit of prophecy. Others, the witness which is borne to Jesus. The way of bearing this witness, the substance and essence of this testimony is the Spirit of prophecy.

- 11. A white horse. Compare ch. vi. 2.
- 12. Crowns (διαδήματα). See on 1 Pet. v. 4; Jas. i. 12.
- 13. Dipped (βεβαμμένον). The readings differ; some giving ρεραντισμένον sprinkled, others περιρεραμμένον sprinkled round. Rev., sprinkled. Compare Isa. lxiii. 2, 3.

The Word of God (δ $\Lambda \delta \gamma o_5 \tau o_b \Theta \epsilon o_b \delta$). This name for our Lord is found in the New Testament only in the writings of John. It is one of the links which connects the Apocalypse with John's other writings. Compare John i. 1–14; 1 John i. 1. Some object to this on the ground that, in the Gospel of John, the term is used absolutely, the Word, whereas here it is qualified, the Word of God, which the Evangelist nowhere employs, and in 1 John i. 1, the Word of life. But, as Alford observes: "It may be left to any fair-judging reader to decide whether it be not a far greater argument for identity that the remarkable designation δ $\Lambda \delta \gamma o_5 the Word$ is used, than for diversity, that, on the solemn occasion described in the Apocalypse, the hitherto unheard adjunct of God is added." The idea of

God which is represented here, underlies the absolute term the Word in John i. 1. It is further urged that in the Gospel of Abyos is applied to the prehistoric Christ, while in this passage it is applied to the historic Christ. But the name of the historic Christ is that referred to in ver. 12, not in ver. 13. It is the name "which no one knoweth but He Himself," expressing the character of His whole redeeming work. The name in ver. 13 is that which belongs originally and essentially to Him.

14. Followed (ἠκολούθει). Note the imperfect tense denoting progression, and thus describing the advancing movement of the host.

15. Sword. See on ch. i. 16.

Smite $(\pi a \tau \acute{a} \sigma \sigma \eta)$. See on ch. xi. 6.

Shall rule ($\pi o \iota \mu a \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath}$). See on ch. ii. 27.

Wine-press. See on ch. xiv. 19.

Of the fierceness and wrath (τοῦ θυμοῦ καὶ τῆς ὀργῆς). Omit and, and render, as Rev., the fierceness of the wrath. See on John iii. 36.

Of Almighty God (τοῦ Θεοῦ τοῦ παντοκράτορος). Lit., of God the all-ruler. See on ch. i. 8.

16. On His thigh. Some explain, on the garment where it covers the thigh to which the sword is bound. Compare Ps. xlv. 3. Others, partly on the vesture, partly on the thigh itself, where, in an equestrian figure, the robe drops from the thigh. According to the former explanation kai and is to be taken as explanatory or definitive of the words on His vesture. Others again suppose a sword on the hilt of which the name is inscribed. Expositors refer to the custom of engraving the artist's name on the thigh of a statue. Thus Cicero says: "A most beautiful statue of Apollo, on the thigh of which the

name of Myron had been graven in tiny letters of silver" ("Against Verres," iv., 43). Herodotus describes a figure of Sesostris, bearing across the breast from shoulder to shoulder the inscription written in the sacred character of Egypt: "With my own shoulders I conquered this land" (ii., 106). Rawlinson says that Assyrian figures are found with arrow-headed inscriptions engraved across them, and over the drapery as well as the body.

17. An angel (ἔνα ἄγγελον). Lit., "one angel."

Fowls (δρνέοις). See on ch. xviii. 2. Rev., birds.

Midst of heaven. See on ch. viii. 13.

Gather yourselves together (συνάγεσ $\Im \epsilon$). The best texts read συνάχ $\Im \eta \tau \epsilon$ be gathered together, as Rev. Compare Ezek. xxxix. 17 sqq.

The supper of the great God (το δεῦπνον τοῦ μεγάλου Θεοῦ). Read το μέγα τοῦ for τοῦ μεγάλου, and render the great supper of God.

18. Captains (χιλιάρχων). See on Mark vi. 21; Luke vii. 2.

20. Was taken (ἐπιάσθη). See on Acts iii. 7.

Mark. See on ch. xiii. 16.

Lake (λίμνην). See on Luke v. 1.

Brimstone. See on ch. xiv. 10.

21. Were filled (ἐχορτάσθησαν). See on Matt. v. 6.

CHAPTER XX.

1. Of the bottomless pit. See on ch. ix. 1. This is to be distinguished from the lake of fire. Compare ver. 10.

Chain (ἄλυσιν). See on Mark v. 4. Only here in John's writings.

In his hand $(\partial \pi l)$. Lit., upon: resting on or hanging upon.

2. He laid hold (ἐκράτησεν). See on Mark viii. 3; Acts iii. 11.

The dragon (τον δράκοντα). See on ch. xii. 3. The word is commonly derived from ἔδρακον, the second agrist tense of δέρκομαι to see clearly, in allusion to the sharp sight of the fabled dragon.

Old (ἀρχαῖον). See on 1 John ii. 7.

The Devil. Note the three epithets: the Old Serpent, the Devil, Satan. See on Matt. iv. 1; Luke x. 18.

3. Sealed. See on John iii. 33.

Must $(\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath})$. According to God's purpose. See on Matt. xvi. 21; Luke ii. 49; xxiv. 26.

4. Thrones. See on ch. ii. 13.

They sat. All the faithful members of Christ's Church. Compare they reigned with Christ.

Beheaded (πεπελεκισμένων). From πέλεκυς an axe. Only here in the New Testament.

They lived. Equivalent to lived again. Compare ver. 5.

Lived—again (ἀνέζησαν). Read ἔζησαν lived, as in ver. 4.

6. Hath part (ἔχων μέρος). A phrase peculiar to John as referring to a person. Compare John xiii. 8.

Second death. See on ch. ii. 11.

- 8. Gog and Magog. See Ezek. xxxviii., xxxix. Compare Gen. x. 2, where Magog appears as a son of Japhet. Magog is a general name for the northern nations, and, according to Ezekiel, Gog is their prince. Josephus says that the descendants of Magog were the Scythians.
- 9. On the breadth ($\epsilon \pi \lambda \tau \delta \pi \lambda \Delta \tau \sigma s$). Lit., over ($\epsilon \pi \ell$). As distinguished from the "four corners" of ver. 8. They overspread the earth.

The camp $(\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \pi a \rho \epsilon \mu \beta o \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu)$. See on *castle*, Acts xxi. 34. Encompassing and defending the city. Compare Ps. xxxiv. 7.

The beloved city. Compare Ps. lxxviii. 68.

From God. Omit.

12. **Before God.** Read Spóvou throne for $\Theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ God. So Rev., before the throne.

The books (βιβλία). No article. Read books. Compare Dan. vii. 10.

Book of life. See on ch. iii. 5.

13. The sea. As commonly understood, the sea means the literal sea, and the passage signifies that the dead contained in it shall rise. So Alford. Other interpreters, however, say that it cannot mean the literal sea. Thus Milligan argues that the symbols of the Apocalypse must always be interpreted in the same way. "Symbols," he says, "are a form of speech, and therefore subject to the rules that regulate the interpretation of all speech. . . . The power of that convention which links a certain sense to a certain sound in ordinary terms, is not less binding in the presence than in the absence of metaphor of any

kind whatever. Thus when we read in the Apocalypse of 'the sea' as an emblem of the troubled and sinful nations of the earth, we are bound, unless forbidden by the context, to carry that interpretation through, and to understand the sea of the troubled and sinful world."

Hell (ὁ ἄδης). Rev., Hades. See on Matt. xvi. 18.

- 14. This is the second death. Add even the lake of fire.
- 15. And whosoever ($\epsilon l \tau \iota s$). Lit., if any. So Rev.

CHAPTER XXI.

1. New (καινὸν). See on Matt. xxvi. 29. Compare Isa.

There was no more sea (ή θάλασσα οὐκ ἔστιν ἔτι). Lit., as Rev., the sea is no more. Here as in xx. 13. Some explain the sea as the ungodly world. I cannot help thinking this interpretation forced. According to this explanation, the passage is in the highest degree tautological. The first earth was passed away, and the ungodly world was no more.

2. I John. Omit John.

New Jerusalem. Others join new with coming down, and render coming down new out of heaven.

A bride. Compare Isa. lxi. 10; lxii. 5.

3. With men. Men at large. No longer with an isolated people like Israel.

He shall dwell (σκηνώσει). Lit., tabernacle. Only in the Apocalypse and John i. 14. The word "denotes much more than the mere general notion of dwelling. There lies in it one of the particulars of that identification of Christ and His people

which is fundamental to the seer." See on John i. 14. Compare Ezek. xxxvii. 27, 28.

People (λαοί). Notice the plural, *peoples* (so Rev.), because many nations shall partake of the fulfilment of the promise. Compare ver. 24.

And God Himself shall be with them and be their God. And be is inserted. The Greek is shall be with them their God.

4. And God shall wipe away. Omit God. Read, as Rev., and He shall wipe away.

All tears ($π \hat{a}ν δ \hat{a}κρυον$). Lit., every tear. Compare Isa. xxv. 8.

There shall be no more death (ὁ θάνατος οὐκ ἔσται ἔτι). Render, as Rev., death shall be no more.

Sorrow ($\pi \acute{e}\nu 9os$). Better, as Rev., mourning, since the word signifies manifested grief. See on Matt. v. 4; Jas. iv. 9. Compare Isa. lxv. 19. "That soul I say," observes Socrates, "herself invisible, departs to the invisible world—to the divine and immortal and rational: thither arriving, she is secure of bliss, and is released from the error and folly of men, their fears and wild passions, and all other human ills, and forever dwells, as they say of the initiated, in company with the gods" (Plato, "Phædo," 81). So Sophocles:

"Sorrow touches not the dead."
"Œdipus Coloneus," 955.

"How thrice happy those of mortals, who, having had these ends in view, depart to Hades; for to them alone is it given there to live; but to others, all things there are evil" ("Fragment"). And Euripides:

"The dead, tearless, forgets his pains."
"Troades." 606.

- 5. True and faithful (ἀληθινοί και πιστοί). The proper order of the Greek is the reverse, as Rev., faithful and true.
- 6. It is done (γέγονεν). The correct reading is γέγοναν they are come to pass; i.e., these words.

Alpha and Omega. Both have the article, "the alpha," etc. See on ch. i. 8.

Unto him that is athirst. Compare Isa. lv. 1.

Fountain $(\pi\eta\gamma\hat{\eta}\varsigma)$. See on John iv. 6.

Of the water of life. See John iv. 10, 14. Compare Isa. xii. 3.

7. All things $(\pi \acute{a}\nu \tau a)$. The correct reading is $\tau a \mathring{v}\tau a$ these things. So Rev.

His God $(a\dot{v}\tau\hat{\varphi}\ \Theta\epsilon\dot{o}\varsigma)$. Lit., God unto him.

My Son (μοι ὁ υίός). Lit., the Son to me. See on John i. 12. This is the only place in John's writings where υίός son is used of the relation of man to God.

8. The fearful (δειλοῖς). The dative case. Hence, as Rev., for the fearful. Only here, Matt. viii. 26, and Mark iv. 40.

Abominable (ἐβδελυγμένοις). See on abomination, Matt. xxiv. 15. Properly, defiled with abominations.

Whoremongers ($\pi \acute{o}\rho \nu o \iota s$). Much better, as Rev., fornicators.

Sorcerers. See on sorceries, ch. ix. 21.

Shall have their part $(\tau \delta \mu \acute{\epsilon} \rho o s a \mathring{\upsilon} \tau \acute{\omega} \nu)$. Lit., the whole passage reads: to the fearful, etc., their part. Shall be is supplied.

9. Unto me. Omit.

Vials. Properly bowls. See on ch. v. 8.

10. In the Spirit. See on ch. i. 10.

Mountain. Compare Ezek. xl. 2.

That great city, the holy Jerusalem. Omit great. Render the article as usual, and not as a demonstrative pronoun, and construe holy with city. So Rev., the holy city Jerusalem.

11. Glory of God. Not merely divine brightness, but the presence of the God of glory Himself. Compare Exod. xl. 34.

Light (φωστήρ). Strictly, *luminary*; that with which the city is illumined, the heavenly Lamb. See ver. 23. The word occurs only here and Philip. ii. 15.

Jasper. See on ch. iv. 3.

Clear as crystal (κρυσταλλίζοντι). Lit., shining like crystal.

12. And had ($\epsilon \chi o v \sigma \acute{a} v \tau \epsilon$). Rev., more simply and literally, having.

Gates (πυλῶνας). Properly large gates. See on Lnke xvi. 20; Acts xii. 13. Compare Ezek. xlviii. 30 sqq.

13. East (ἀνατολῆs). See on Matt. ii. 2, and on day-spring, Luke i. 78. See the tribes arranged by gates in Ezek. xlviii. 31–34.

West (δυσμῶν). Lit., the goings down or settings.

14. Foundations (Θεμελίους). See on the kindred verb Θεμελιώσει shall settle, 1 Pet. v. 10.

In them the names (ἐν αὐτοῖς ὀνόματα). The correct reading is ἐπ' αὐτῶν δώδεκα ὀνόματα, on them twelve names.

- 15. A golden reed. Add μέτρον as a measure. See ch. xi. 1. Compare Ezek. xl. 5.
- 16. Four square (τετράγωνος). From τέτρα four and γωνία an angle. Only here in the New Testament. Compare Ezek. xlviii. 16, 20.

Twelve-thousand furlongs (ἐπὶ σταδίων δώδεκα χιλιάδων). Strictly, to the length of (¿mi) twelve, etc. For the collective term γιλιάδες thousands, see on ch. v. 11. For furlongs see on ch. xv. 20. The twelve-thousand furlongs would be 1378.97 English miles. Interpretations vary hopelessly. The description seems to be that of a vast cube, which may have been suggested by the Holy of Holies of the tabernacle, which was of that shape.* But opinions differ as to whether the twelvethousand furlongs are the measure of the four sides of the city taken together, in which case each side will measure threethousand furlongs; or whether the twelve-thousand furlongs are intended to represent the length of each side. The former explanation is prompted by the desire to reduce the vast dimensions of the city. Another difficulty is raised about the height. Düsterdieck, for example, maintains that the houses were three-thousand stadia in height. The question arises whether the vertical surface of the cube includes the hill or rock on which the city was placed, a view to which Alford inclines. These are enough to show how utterly futile are attempts to reduce these symbolic visions to mathematical statement. Professor Milligan aptly remarks: "Nor is it of the smallest moment to reduce the enormous dimensions spoken of. No re-

^{*} This cubical plan, applied not only to the Tabernacle, but to the Ark of the Flood, the Temple of Solomon and the "King's House," is minutely worked out in "The Holy Houses" by Dr. Timothy Otis Paine; a book full of curious erudition, in which the Tabernacle, the Ark of Noah, the Temple, and the Capitol or King's House, are treated as developments from a common type; but which proceeds on the utterly untenable hypothesis that the temple of Ezekiel's vision was Solomon's; and that, accordingly, from the two books of Kings and the prophecies of Jeremiah and Ezekiel all the data are furnished for a complete restoration of the Temple; the prophetic vision of Ezekiel supplying the details omitted in the historic record of Kings.

duction brings them within the bounds of verisimilitude; and no effort in that direction is required. The idea is alone to be thought of."

17. Cubits (πηχῶν). The word originally means that part of the arm between the hand and the elbow-joint, the forearm. Hence a cubit or ell, a measure of the distance from the joint of the elbow to the tip of the middle finger, i.e., about a foot and a half. The precise length, however, is disputed. Cubit is from the Latin cubitus the elbow, on which one reclines (cubat). Some take the one hundred and forty-four cubits as representing the height of the wall; others the thickness. If the height, then they must be interpreted as equal to the twelve-thousand furlongs, since the length and the breadth and the height of the city are equal (ver. 16). It is to be noted, however, that there is a distinction between the measure of the city and the measure of the wall. "The most inconsiderable wall" remarks Düsterdieck, "is sufficient to exclude all that is impure."

The measure of a man, that is, of the angel. "It is to be the dwelling-place of men; and even, therefore, when an angel measures it, he measures it according to the measure of a man" (Milligan).

18. The building (ἐνδόμησις). Only here in the New Testament. From ἐν in and δωμάω to build. Lit., that which is built in. Hence the building of the wall is the material built into the wall; of which the wall was composed.

Glass (ὑάλφ). Only here and ver. 21. For the kindred adjective ὑάλινος of glass, see on ch. iv. 6.

19. All manner of precious stones. Compare Isa. liv. 11, 12; 1 Chron. xxix. 2.

Sapphire (σάπφειρος). Compare Isa. liv. 11; Ezek. i. 26. Probably *lapis lazuli*. Our sapphire is supposed to be represented by the *jacinth* in ver. 20. Pliny describes the σάπφειρος

as opaque and sprinkled with specks of gold, and states that it came from Media (i.e., Persia and Bokhara) whence the supply is brought to this day. King ("Precious Stones and Gems," cited by Lee), says: "Before the true precions stones were introduced from India, the lapis lazuli held the highest place in the estimation of the primitive nations of Asia and Greece; in fact it was almost the only stone known to them having beauty of color to recommend it."

Chalcedony (χαλκηδών). From Chalcedon, where the stone was found in the neighboring copper mines. It was probably an inferior species of emerald, as crystal of carbonate of copper, which is still popularly called "the copper emerald." Pliny describes it as small and brittle, changing its color when moved about, like the green feathers in the necks of peacocks and pigeons.

Emerald. See on ch. iv. 3.

20. Sardonyx (σαρδόνυξ). The most beautiful and rarest variety of onyx. Pliny defines it as originally signifying a white mark in a sard, like the human nail (ὄνυξ) placed upon flesh, and both of them transparent. Onyx is called from the resemblance of its white and yellow veins to the shades in the human finger-nail. The early Greeks make no distinction between the onyx and the sardonyx.

Sardius. See on ch. iv. 3.

Chrysolite (χρυσόλι 9ος). From χρυσός gold and λίθος stone. Lit., gold-stone. Identified by some with our topaz, by others with amber. Pliny describes it as "translucent with golden lustre."

Beryl (βήρυλλος). Pliny says that it resembled the greenness of the pure sea. It has been supposed to be of the same or similar nature with the emerald.

Topaz (τοπάζιον). Compare Job xxviii. 19. The name was derived from an island in the Red Sea where the gem was first

discovered. The stone is our *peridot*. The Roman lapidaries distinguished the two varieties, the chrysopteron, our chrysolite, and the prasoides, our peridot. The former is much harder, and the yellow color predominates over the green. The modern topaz was entirely unknown to the ancients.

Chrysoprasus. Rev., chrysoprase. From χρυσός gold and πράσον a leek; the color being a translucent, golden green, like that of a leek. According to Pliny it was a variety of the beryl.

Jacinth (ὑάκινθος). See on cli. ix. 17.

Amethyst (ἀμέθυστος). From à not and μεθύω to be drunken with wine, the stone being supposed to avert intoxication. Pliny distinguishes it from the jacinth, in that, in the latter, the violet hue of the amethyst is diluted. The stone is the amethystine quartz, or rock-crystal, colored purple by manganese of iron.

21. Pearls (μαργαρίται). The pearl seems to have been known from the earliest times to the Asiatic Greeks, in consequence of their intercourse with the Persians. Among the motives which impelled Cæsar to attempt the conquest of Britain, was the fame of its pearl-fisheries. Pearls held the highest rank among precious stones. The Latin term unio (unity) was applied to the pearl because no two were found exactly alike; but the word became in time restricted to the fine, spherical pearls, while the generic name was margarita. Shakespeare uses union for pearl in Hamlet, Act v., Sc. 2.

"The king shall drink to Hamlet's better health:
And in the cup an union shall he throw
Richer than that which four successive kings
In Denmark's crown have worn."

And again:

"Drink of this potion: is thy union here?"

Every several gate (ἀνὰ εἶς ἕκαστος τῶν πυλώνων). Rev., each one of the several gates, thus bringing out the force of the

genitive πυλώνων of gates. The idea several is conveyed by ἀνά, as Luke ix. 3, ἀνὰ δύο χιτῶνας "two coats apiece:" John ii. 6, ἀνὰ μετρητὰς δύο ἡ τρεῖς "two or three firkins apiece."

Street ($\pi\lambda\alpha\tau\epsilon\hat{i}a$). See on Luke xiv. 21. From $\pi\lambda\alpha\tau\dot{v}$ \$ broad. Hence the broadway.

- 22. No temple. The entire city is now one holy temple of God. See on ch. i. 6.
- 23. The glory of God did lighten it. Compare Isa. lx. 19, 20.

The light (ὁ λύχνος). Rev., better, lamp. See on John v. 35.

24. Of them which are saved. Omit.

In the light ($\vec{\epsilon}\nu$ $\tau\hat{\phi}$ $\phi\omega\tau$). Read $\delta\iota\hat{a}$ $\tau\hat{ov}$ $\phi\omega\tau\hat{os}$ "amidst the light" or "by the light."

Do bring (φέρουσιν). The present tense, denoting habit.

Glory and honor. Omit and honor. Compare Isa. lx. 3.

27. That defileth (κοινοῦν). The participle. But the correct reading is the adjective κοινὸν common, hence unhallowed. Rev., unclean.

Worketh (ποιοῦν). Lit., maketh or doeth.

"In this present life, I reckon that we make the nearest approach to knowledge when we have the least possible communion or fellowship with the body, and are not infected with the bodily nature, but remain pure until the hour when God himself is pleased to release ns. And then the foolishness of the body will be cleared away, and we shall be pure and hold converse with other pure souls, and know of ourselves the clear light everywhere, which is no other than the light of truth. For no impure thing is allowed to approach the pure" (Plato, "Phædo," 67).

CHAPTER XXII.

1. Pure. Omit.

Clear (λαμπρον). See on Luke xxiii. 11. Rev., bright.

2. In the midst of the street thereof. Some connect these words with the preceding. So Rev.

On either side (ἐντεῦθεν καὶ ἐντεῦθεν). For the latter ἐντεῦθεν read ἐκεῦθεν, and render, as Rev., on this side and on that.

Tree (ξύλον). See on Luke xxiii. 31, and Apoc. ii. 7.

Twelve manner of fruits (καρποὺς δώδεκα). Lit., twelve fruits. Some render crops or harvests of fruit. On these two verses compare Ezek. xlvii. 1–12; Joel iii. 18; Zech. xiv. 8.

- 3. Shall serve (λατρεύσουσω). See on Luke i. 74. Rev., do Him service. The word originally means to serve for hire. In the New Testament, of the worship or service of God in the use of the rites intended for His worship. It came to be used by the Jews in a very special sense, to denote the service rendered to Jehovah by the Israelites as His peculiar people. See Rom. ix. 4; Acts xxvi. 7; Heb. ix. 1, 6. Hence the significant application of the term to Christian service by Paul in Philip. iii. 3.
- 4. See His face. Compare 1 John iii. 2; Matt. v. 8; Exod. xxxiii. 20; Ps. xvii. 15.
- 5. No night there (ἐκεῖ). Substitute ἔτι any more. Rev., there shall be night no more.
- 6. The Lord God (Κύριος ὁ Θεὸς). Rather, as Rev., the Lord, the God.

Of the holy prophets $(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ \dot{\alpha} \gamma l \omega \nu \ \pi \rho o \phi \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu)$. For $\dot{\alpha} \gamma l \omega \nu$ holy substitute $\pi \nu \epsilon \nu \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ spirits, and render, as Rev., the God of the spirits of the prophets.

Be done (γενέσθαι). Better, as Rev., come to pass.

7. Keepeth $(\tau \eta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu)$. A favorite word with John, occurring in his writings more frequently than in all the rest of the New Testament together. See on reserved 1 Pet. i. 4.

Book (βιβλίου). Diminutive, properly a little book or scroll. See on writing, Matt. xix. 7; bill, Mark x. 2; book, Luke iv. 17.

8. I John saw ($\partial \omega$ 'Iwávvys o $\beta \lambda \delta \pi \omega \nu$). The A. V. overlooks the article with the participle—the one seeing. Hence Rev., correctly, I John am he that heard and saw.

Had heard and seen (ἤκουσα καὶ ἔβλεψα). Acrist tense. There is no need of rendering it as a pluperfect. Rev., rightly, I heard and saw. The appeal to hearing and seeing is common to all John's writings. See John i. 14; xix. 35; xxi. 14; 1 John i. 1, 2; iv. 14.

9. See thou do it not ($\delta \rho a \mu \eta$). Lit., see not.

Thy brethren the prophets. The spiritual brotherhood of John with the prophets is exhibited in the Apocalypse.

10. Seal (σφραγίσης). Rev., seal up. This word occurs eighteen times in the Apocalypse and twice in the Gospel, and only five times elsewhere in the New Testament. It means to confirm or attest (John iii. 33); to close up for security (Matt. xxvii. 66; Apoc. xx. 3); to hide or keep secret (Apoc. x. 4; xxii. 10); to mark a person or thing (Apoc. vii. 3; Eph. i. 13; iv. 30).

Time (καιρὸς). See on Matt. xii. 1.

11. Unjust (ἀδικῶν). Rev., better, unrighteous.

Let him be unjust (ἀδικησάτω). The verb means to do wickedly. Hence Rev., correctly, let him do unrighteousness.

He which is filthy (δ $\dot{\rho}\nu\pi\hat{\omega}\nu$). Only here in the New Testament. On the kindred noun $\dot{\rho}\dot{\nu}\pi\sigma$ ς filth, see on 1 Pet. iii. 21. 'Puπαρία filthiness occurs only in Jas. i. 21; and the adjective $\dot{\rho}\nu\pi\alpha\rho\dot{\omega}$ ς filthy, only in Jas. ii. 2.

Let him be filthy $(\dot{\rho}\nu\pi\omega\sigma\dot{\alpha}\tau\omega)$. The best texts read $\dot{\rho}\nu\pi\alpha\nu$ - $9\dot{\eta}\tau\omega$ let him be made filthy. So Rev.

Let him be righteous (δικαιωθήτω). Read δικαιοσύνην ποιησάτω let him do righteousness. So Rev.

Let him be holy $(\dot{\delta}\gamma\iota a\sigma 9\dot{\gamma}\tau\omega)$. Rev., giving literally the force of the passive voice, let him be made holy.

12. My reward is with me (ὁ μισθός μου μετ' ἐμοῦ). Μισθός reward is strictly wages. Compare Isa. xl. 10; lxii. 11. See on 2 Pet. ii. 13.

To give (ἀποδοῦναι). Lit., to give back or in return for, thus appropriate to μισθός reward. Hence Rev., better, render. See on give an account, Luke xvi. 2; and gave, Acts iv. 33.

Shall be (ἐσται). Read ἐστιν is.

14. That do His commandments (οἱ ποιοῦντες τὰς ἐντολὰς αὐτοῦ). Read οἱ πλύνοντες τὰς στολὰς αὐτῶν they that wash their robes. Compare ch. vii. 14.

That they may have right to the tree of life (ĩva ἔσται ἡ ἐξουσία αὐτῶν ἐπὶ τὸ ξύλον τῆς ζωῆς). Lit., in order that theirs shall be authority over the tree of life. For ἐξουσία right, authority, see on John i. 12. Ἐπί may be the preposition of direction: "may have right to come to" (so Rev.) or may be rendered over.

15. Dogs (οἱ κύνες). The A.V. omits the article "the dogs." Compare Philip. iii. 2. This was the term of reproach with

which the Judaizers stigmatized the Gentiles as impure. In the Mosaic law the word is used to denounce the moral profligacies of heathen worship (Deut. xxiii. 18). Compare Matt. xv. 26. Here the word is used of those whose moral impurity excludes them from the New Jerusalem. "As a term of reproach, the word on the lips of a Jew, signified chiefly impurity; of a Greek, impudence. The herds of dogs which prowl about Eastern cities, without a home and without an owner, feeding on the refuse and filth of the streets, quarrelling among themselves, and attacking the passer-by, explain both applications of the image" (Lightfoot, on Philip. iii. 2).

Sorcerers. See on ch. ix. 21, and compare ch. xxi. 8.

Whoremongers ($\pi \delta \rho \nu \omega i$). Rev., better, fornicators.

Maketh (ποιῶν). Or doeth. Compare doeth the truth, John iii. 21; 1 John i. 6. See on John iii. 21.

16. The root. Compare Isa. xi. 1, 10. See on Nazarene, Matt. ii. 23.

The morning-star. See on ch. ii. 28.

17. The Spirit. In the Church.

The Bride. The Church.

Heareth. The voice of the Spirit and the Bride.

- 19. The Book of Life. Read τοῦ ξύλου the tree. So Rev.
- 20. Even so (νa) . Omit.
- 21. Our Lord $(\hat{\eta}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu)$. Omit.

With you all (μετὰ πάντων ὑμῶν). The readings differ. Some read μετὰ πάντων with all, omitting you. Others, μετὰ τῶν ἀγίων with the saints.

LIST OF GREEK WORDS AND PHRASES USED BY JOHN ONLY.

Words peculiar to the Gospel, the Epistles, or the Apocalypse are marked G., 1 Ep., 2 Ep., 3 Ep., Apoc. Also words common to the Gospel with either of the other writings.

	Alpha,	Apoc.	§ Apoc. i., 8, 11;
τὸ Α καὶ το Ω, ∫	Alpha and Omega,	Apoc.	xxi., 6; xxii., 13
'Αβαδδών,	Abaddon,	Apoc.	Apoc. ix., 11
ἀγγελία,	message,	1 Ep.	1 Ep. iii., 11
ἀγγέλλω,	to announce,	G.	xx., 18
αἰχμαλωσία,	captivity,	Apoc.	Apoc. xiii., 10
ἀκμάζω,	to be fully ripe,	Apoc.	Apoc. xiv., 18
άλιεύω,	to go a fishing,	G.	xxi., 3
ἀλλαχό3εν,	some other way,	G.	x., 1
άλληλούϊα,	hallelujah,	Apoc.	Apoc. xix., 1, 3, 4, 6
ἀλόη,	aloe,	G.	xix., 39
άμαρτίαν έχειν,	to have sin,	G., 1 Ep.	ix., 41; xv., 22, 24; xix., 11. 1 Ep. i., 8
ἀμέβυστος,	amethyst,	Apoc.	Apoc. xxi., 20
ό `Αμὴν,	the Amen,	Apoc.	Apoc. iii., 14
<u>ἀμὴν, ἀμὴν,</u>	verily, verily,	G.	i., 51; iii., 3, 5; v., 19, etc.
ἄν (contracted) from ἐάν),	· if,	G.	{ xiii., 20; xvi., 23; xx., 23
ἀνὰ εἶς ἔκαστος,	every several one,	Apoc.	Apoc. xxi., 21
ἀναμάρτητος,	without sin,	G.	viii., 7 (passage re- jected)
ἀνάστασις ζωῆς,	resurrection of life, resurrection of judg-	G.	v., 29
εως,	ment,	G.	v., 29
ἀνθρακιά,	heap of burning coals,	G.	xviii., 18; xxi., 9
ἀν≋ρωποκτόνος,	manslayer, murderer,	G., 1 Ep.	viii., 44. 1 Ep. iii. 15
ἀντιχρίστος,	antichrist,	1 Ep., 2 Ep.	1 Ep. ii., 18, 22; iv., 3. 2 Ep. 7
ἀντλέω,	to draw (water or wine),	G.	ii., 8, 9; iv., 7, 15

* \		~	
ἄντλημα,	a thing to draw with,	G.	iv., 11
άπεκρί3η καὶ ε ἶπε,	he answered and said,	G.	{ ii., 19; iii., 3; iv., 10, etc.
ἀπέρχομαι εἰς			
τὰ ὀπίσω,	to go or follow after,	G.	xii., 19
'Απολλύων,	Apollyon,	Apoc.	Apoc. ix., 11
άποσυνάγωγος,	out of the synagogue,	G.	ix., 22; xii., 42; xvi., 2
ἄραφο ς,	without seam,	G.	xix., 23
ἄρκος,	a bear,	Apoc.	Apoc. xiii., 2
'Αρμαγεδών,	•	Apoc.	Apoc. xvi., 16
ἀρχιτρίκλινος,	ruler of the feast,	G.	ii., 8, 9
δ <i>ἄρχων</i> τοῦ		a.	11., 0, 0
	prince of this world,	G.	\(\text{xii., 31; xiv., 30; } \) \(\text{xvi., 11} \)
ãψιν30s,	wormwood,	Apoc.	Apoc. viii., 11
βάλλειν σκάν-	to cast a stumbling-)	
δαλον ἐνώπ-	to cast a stumbling-	Apoc.	Apoc. ii., 14
ιον,	, ,	,	
βαΐον,	branch,	G.	xii., 13
βασανισμός,	torment,	Apoc.	Apoc. ix., 5; xiv., 11; xviii., 7, 10, 15
βάτραχος,	frog,	Apoc.	Apoc. xvi., 13
βήρυλλος,	beryl,	Apoc.	Apoc. xxi., 20
βιβλαρίδιον,	little book,	Apoc.	Apoc. x., 2, 8, 9, 10
βιβρώσκω,	eat,	G.	vi., 13
βότρυς,	cluster (of grapes),	Apoc.	Apoc. xiv., 18
βροντή,	thunder,	G., Apoc.	xii., 29. Apoc. iv.,
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		с., прос	5; vi., 1, etc. In Mark iii., 17, as a translation
βύσσινος,	fine linen,	Apoc.	Apoc. xviii., 16; xix., 8, 14
$\Gamma aetaeta$ იშ \hat{a} ,	Gabbathâ,	G.	xix., 13
γενετή,	birth,	G.	ix., 1
γεννηβηναι ἄνω-	to be born again, or		, -
$\Im \epsilon \nu$.	from abore	G.	iii., 3
γεννηβηναι έκ	40 30 30 40 7		
(τοῦ) Θεοῦ, 👌	to be born of God,	G., 1 Ep.	<pre> { i., 13. 1 Ep. iii., 9; iv., 7; v., 1, 4, 18</pre>
γ εννηθηναι $\vec{\epsilon}$ κ)			t 47., 1, V., I, 4, 18
(τοῦ) πνεύ- \	to be born of the Spirit,	G.	iii., 5, 6, 8
ματος,	[111., 0, 0, 0
γέρων,	old man,	G.	iii., 4

γλωσσόκομον,	bag,	G.	xii., 6; xiii., 29
δακρύω,	to weep,	G.	xi., 35
δειλιάω,	to be afraid,	G.	xiv., 27
δέκατος,	tenth,	G., Apoc.	i., 39. Apoc. xxi., 20
τὸ δέκατον,	the tenth part,	Apoc.	Apoc. xi., 13
διάδημα,	crown,	Apoc.	Apoc. xii., 3; xiii., 1; xix., 12
διαζωννύω,	to gird,	G.	xiii., 4, 5; xxi., 7
διαυγής,	transparent,	Apoc.	Apoc. xxi., 21
Δ ίδυ μ ος,	Didymus (twin),	G.	xi., 16; xx., 24; xxi., 2
διπλόω,	to double,	Apoc.	Apoc. xviii., 6
δράκων,	dragon,	Apoc.	Apoc. xii., 3, 4, 7; xiii., 2, etc.
δωδέκατος,	twelfth,	Apoc.	Apoc. xxi., 20
έγκαίνια,	feast of the dedication,	G.	x. 22
έγχρίω,	anoint,	Apoc.	Apoc. iii., 18
εἶναι ἐκ τοῦ κόσμου,	to be of the world,	1 Ep., G.	viii., 23; xv., 19; xvii., 14, 16; xviii., 36. 1 Ep. ii., 16; iv., 5
είναι έκτῶν ἄνω, είναι έκ τῶν	to be from above,	G.	viii., 23
κάτω,	to be from beneath,	G.	viii., 23
έκκεντέω,	to pierce,	G., Apoc.	xix., 37. Apoc. i., 7
έκνεύω,	to withdraw,	G.	v., 13
έκ τοῦ αἰῶνος,	since the world began,	G.	ix., 32
ἐλεφάντινος ,	of ivory,	Apoc.	Apoc. xviii., 12
Έλληνικός,	Greek,	Apoc.	Apoc. ix., 11
έμέω,	to spue,	Apoc.	Apoc. iii., 16
έμπόριον,	merchandise,	G.	ii., 16
ἐμφυσάω,	to breathe upon,	G.	xx., 22
ένδώμησις,	building,	Apoc.	Apoc. xxi., 18
έξακόσιοι,	six hundred,	Apoc.	Apoc. xiii., 18; xiv., 20
έξ έρ χ ε σ α α ι ἀπὸ, παρὰ τοῦ Θεοῦ,	to come forth from God,	G.	viii., 42; xiii., 3; xvi., 27, 30
έξυπνίζω,	to awake one out of sleep,	G.	xi., 11
έπάρατος.	accursed.	G.	V11., 49
ἐπάρατος, ἐπενδύτης.	accursed,	G. G.	vii., 49 xxi., 7
έπενδύτης,	upper garment,		xxi., 7
		G.	

τὰ ἐονόμενα.	things that are coming,	G.	xvi., 13
	a, the last day,	G.	vi., 39, 40, 44, 54;
			vii., 37 ; xi., 24 ; xii., 48
ζηλεύω,	to be zealous,	Apoc.	Apoc. iii., 19
ζεστός,	hot,	Apoc.	Apoc. iii., 15, 16
ĥλas,	nail,	G.	xx., 25
ἡμίωρον,	half an hour,	Apoc.	Apoc. viii., 1
δ ην,	which was (epithet of God),	Apoc.	Apoc. i., 4, 8; iv., 8
ἦπ€ρ,	than at all,	G.	xii., 43
δ Βάνατος δ δεύ-)		(Apoc. ii., 11; xx.,
τερος,	the second death,	Apoc.	14; xxi., 8
	to wonder with great	Apoc.	Apoc. xvii., 6
Βαυμάζειν,	•		
Βειώδης,	brimstone,	Apoc.	Apoc. ix., 17
Βεοσεβής,	worshipper of God,	G.	ix., 31
Βεωρείν Βάνατον,		G.	viii., 51
Βήκη,	sheath,	G.	xviii., 11
≌ρέμμα,	cattle,	G.	iv., 12
Βύϊνος,	thyine (wood),	Apoc.	Apoc. xviii., 12
ΐασπις,	jasper,	Apoc.	Apoc. iv., 3; xxi., 11, 18, 19
ίλασμός,	propitiation,	1 Ep.	1 Ep. ii., 2; iv. 10
τὸ ἱππικόν,	cavalry,	Apoc.	Apoc. ix., 16
ipis,	rainbow,	Apoc.	Apoc. iv., 3; x., 1
καβαίρω,	to purge,	G.	xv., 2
κατάθεμα,	curse,	Apoc.	Apoc. xxii., 3
κατασφραγίζω,	to seal,	Apoc.	Apoc. v., 1
κατήγωρ,	accuser,	Apoc.	Apoc. xii., 10
καθμα,	heat,	Apoc.	Apoc. vii., 16; xvi.,
	•	_	9
κέδρας,	cedar,	G.	xviii., 1
κειρία,	swathing for a corpse: band,	G.	xi., 44
κεραννυμι,	to mix, mingle,	Apoc.	A poc. xiv., 10; xviii., 6
κέρμα,	small coin,	G.	ii., 15
κερματιστής,	money-changer,	G.	ii., 14
κηπουρός,	gardener,	G.	xx., 15
κιβαρφδός,	harper,	Apoc.	Apoc. xiv., 2; xviii.,
,		*	22
κιννάμωμον,	cinnamon,	Apoc.	Apoc. xviii., 13
κλέμμα,	theft,	Apoc.	Apoc. ix., 21
	•	•	•

κλημα,	branch,	G.	xv., 2, 4, 5, 6
κοίμησις,	taking rest,	G.	xi., 13
κολλούριον,	eye-salve,	Apoc.	Apoc. iii., 18
κολυμβή3ρα,	pool,	G.	v., 2, 4, 7; ix., 7, 11
κομψότερον			, ,
έχειν,	to amend,	G.	iv., 52
κριβή,	barley,	Apoc.	Apoc. vi., 6
κρίβινος,	made or consisting of	G.	vi., 9, 13
	barley,		
κρυσταλλίζω,	to be as crystal,	Apoc.	Apoc. xxi., 11
κρύσ τα λλος,	crystal,	Apoc.	Apoc. iv., 6; xxii., 1
κυκλεύω,	to encircle,	Apoc.	Apoc. xx., 9
κυκλόθεν,	round about,	Apoc.	Apoc. iv., 3, 4, 8;
		_	v., 11
ή κυρ ι ακ ὴ ἡμ έρα,	the Lord's day,	Apoc.	Apoc. i., 10
λέντιον,	towel,	G.	xiii., 4, 5
λιβανωτός,	censer,	Apoc.	Apoc. viii., 3, 5
λιβόστρωτος,	pavement,	G.	xix., 13
λιπαρός,	dainty,	Apoc.	Apoc. xviii., 14
λίτρα,	pound,	G.	xii., 3; xix., 39
λόγχη,	spear,	G.	xix., 34
μόρμαρος,	marble,	Apoc.	Apoc. xviii., 12
μασσάομαι,	to gnaw,	Apoc.	Apoc. xvi., 10
μεσουράνημα,	mid-heaven,	Apoc.	Apoc. viii., 13; xiv.,
			6; xix., 17
μεσόω,	to be midway,	G.	vii., 14
Μεσσίας,	Messiah,	G.	i., 41; iv., 25
$\mu \epsilon au ho \eta au \dot{\eta} s_{f t}$	firkin,	G.	ii., 6
μέτωπον,	forehead,	Apoc.	Apoc. vii., 3; ix.,
			4; xiii., 16, etc.
μηρός,	thigh,	Apoc.	Apoc. xix., 16
μίγμα,	mixture,	G.	xix., 39
μονή,	mansion, abode,	G.	xiv., 2, 23
μουσικός,	musician,	Apoc.	Apoc. xviii., 22
μυκάομαι,	to roar,	Apoc.	Apoc. x., 3
μύλινος,	made of millstones,	Apoc.	Apoc. xviii., 21
νίκη,	victory,	1 Ep.	1 Ep. v., 4
Νικολαΐτης,	Nicolaitan,	Apoc.	Apoc. ii., 6, 15
νιπτήρ,	basin,	G.	xiii., 5
νύσσω,	to pierce,	G.	xix., 34
ὄζω,	to stink,	G.	xi., 39
రేవిర్గులు,	linen bandage,	G.	xix., 40; xx., 5, 6, 7
ὄλυν3ος,	untimely fig,	Apoc.	Apoc. vi., 13
^Δ νάρ ι ον,	young ass,	G.	xii., 14

οπου ἐκεῖ,	where there,	Apoc.	Apoc. xii., 6, 14
οπώρα ,	fruits,	Apoc.	Apoc. xviii., 14
δρασις,	vision, sight,	Apoc.	Apoc. iv., 3; ix., 17
δρμημα,	violence,	Apoc.	Apoc., xviii., 21
δρνεον,	bird,	Apoc.	Apoc. xviii., 2;
· ,	~ a,	poo-	xix., 17, 21
ή οὐαί,	the woe,	Apoc.	Apoc. ix., 12; xi.,
			14
οὐαὶ (with ac-)			Anno miii 19. mii
cus. of per-	- woe,	Apoc.	Apoc. viii., 13; xii.,
son),		1	12
οὐκοῦν,	not then (interrogative).	, G .	xviii., 37
οὐρά,	tail,	Apoc.	Apoc. ix., 10, 19;
			xii., 4
ὀψάριον,	fish,	G.	vi., 9, 11; xxi., 9,
			10, 13
őψις,	appearance,	G., Apoc.	vii., 24; xi., 44.
		•	Apoc. i., 16
παιδάριον,	lad,	G.	vi., 9
παράκλητος,	Comforter, Advocate,	G., 1 Ep.	xiv., 16, 26; xv.,
	•		26; xvi., 7. 1 Ep.
			ii., 1
πάρδαλις,	leopard,	Apoc.	Apoc. xiii., 2
πελεκίζω,	kill with an axe, behead,	Apoc.	Apoc. xx., 4
πέμπτος,	fifth.	Apoc.	Apoc. vi., 9; ix., 1;
		•	xvi., 10; xxi., 20
πεν3ερός,	father-in-law,	G.	xviii., 13
περιδέω,	to bind about,	G.	xi., 44
περιπατείν έν)			•
ἀληβεία, ∫	to walk in the truth,	2 Ep., 3 Ep.	2 Ep. 4. 3 Ep. 3, 4
περιπατείν έν)		(viii., 12; xii., 35.
τῆ σκοτία or }	to walk in darkness,	G., 1 Ep.	1 Ep. i., 6; ii.,
σκότει,	ŕ	(11.
περιπατείν έν)	4 37 2 22 24 2	///	
τῷ φωτί,	to walk in the light,	1 Ep.	1 Ep. i., 7
πέτομαι,	to fly,	Apoc.	Apoc. xii., 14
πλήσσω,	to smite,	Apoc.	Apoc. viii., 12
πνεῦμα τῆς [• • •	_	
πλάνης, ∫	spirit of error,	1 Ep.	1 Ep. iv., 6
ποδήρης,	reaching to the feet,	Apoc.	Apoc. i., 13
$\pi_0 = \hat{v} + \hat{\eta} + \hat{u} + \hat$	to do the truth,	G., 1 Ep.	iii., 21. 1 Ep. i., 6
	purple,	_	
(F-F)	L Lao;	G., Apoc.	xix., 2, 5. Apoc. xviii., 16

ποταμοφόρητος,	carried away of the flood,	Apoc.	Apoc. xii., 15
πότερος,	whether,	G.	vii., 17
προβατική,	pertaining to sheep,	Ğ.	v., 2
προβάτιον,	little sheep,	G.	
προσαιτέω,	to beg,	G.	xxi., 17
προσκυνητής,	worshipper,	G.	ix., 8
προσφάγιον,	food,	G.	iv., 23
πρωϊνός,	pertaining to morning,		xxi., 5
	early,	Apoc.	Apoc. ii., 28
ό πρώτος καὶ)			
ό έσχατος,	the first and the last,	Apoc.	Apoc. i., 11, 17; xxii., 13
πτέρνα,	heel,	G.	xiii., 18
πτύσμα,	spittle,	G.	ix., 6
πύρινος,	of fire,	Apoc.	Apoc. ix., 17
πυὂρός,	red,	Apoc.	Apoc. vi., 4; xii., 3
ρέδη (ρέδα),	chariot,	Apoc.	Apoc. xviii., 13
ρέω,	to flow,	G.	vii., 38
ρυπαίνω,	to defile,	Apoc.	Apoc. xxii., 11
ρυπόω,	to be filthy,	Apoc.	Apoc. xxii., 11
Ρωμαϊστί,	in Latin,	G.	xix., 20
σαλπιστής,	trumpeter,	Apoc.	Apoc. xviii., 22
σάπφειρος,	sapphire,	Apoc.	
σάρδιον,	sardius (stone),	Apoc.	Apoc. xxi., 19
σαρδόνυξ,	sardonyx,	Apoc.	Apoc. xxi., 20
σεμίδαλις,	fine flour,	Apoc.	Apoc. xxi., 20
σηρικός (σιρι-)	,	11poo.	Apoc. xviii., 13
κόs),	silk,	Apoc.	Apoc. xviii., 12
σίδηρος,	iron,	Apoc.	Apoc. xviii., 12
σκέλος,	leg,	G.	xix., 31, 32, 33
σκηνοπηγία,	feast of tabernacles,	G.	vii., 2
σκηνόω,	to dwell,	G., Apoc.	i., 14. Apoc. vii.,
,	,	,	15; xii., 12; xiii.,
			6; xxi., 3
σμαράγδινος,	of emerald,	Apoc.	Apoc. iv. 3
σμάραγδος,	emerald,	Apoc.	Apoc. xxi., 19
στρηνιάω,	to live deliciously,	Apoc.	Apoc. xviii., 7, 9
στρήνος,	revelry, voluptuousness,	Apoc.	Apoc. xviii., 3
συμμαβητής,	fellow-disciple,	G.	xi., 16
συνεισέρχομαι,	to accompany into,	G.	vi., 22; xviii., 15
	slaves (lit. bodies),	Apoc.	Apoc. xviii., 13
ταλαντιαίος,	of a talent's weight,	Apoc.	Apoc. xvi., 21
τεκνίον,	little child,	G., 1 Ep.	xiii., 33. 1 Ep. ii.,
			12, 28; iii., 18;
			iv., 4; v. 21

τεταρταΐος,	of the fourth day,	G.	xi., 39
τετράγωνος,	four-square,	Apoc.	Apoc. xxi., 16
τετράμηνος,	of four months,	G.	iv., 35
τιβέναι ψυχήν,	to lay down the life,	G., 1 Ep.	x., 11, 15, 17, 18;
			xiii., 37, 38; xv.,
			13. 1 Ep. iii., 16
τιμιότης,	costliness,	Apoc.	Apoc. xviii., 19
τίτλος,	title,	G.	xix., 19, 20
τόξον,	bow,	Apoc.	Apoc. vi., 2
τοπάζιον,	topaz,	Apoc.	Apoc. xxi., 20
τρίχινος,	of hair,	Apoc.	Apoc. vi., 12
ύακίν3ινος,	of jacinth,	Apoc.	Apoc. ix., 17
ὑάκιν3ος,	jacinth,	Apoc.	Apoc. xxi., 20
ύ άλινος,	of glass,	Apoc.	Apoc. iv., 6; xv., 2
ũαλας,	glass,	Apoc.	Apoc. xxi., 18, 21
ύδρία,	water-pot,	G.	ii., 6, 7; iv., 28
ύφαντός,	woven,	G.	xix., 23
φανός,	lantern,	G.	xviii., 3
φάρμακον,	drug, enchantment,	Apoc.	Apoc. ix., 21
φαρμακός,	sorcerer,	Apoc.	Apoc. xxi., 8; xxii., 15
φιάλη,	bowl or saucer,	Apoc.	Apoc. v., 8; xv., 7; xvi., 1, 2, etc.
φιλοπρωτεύω,	to love pre-eminence,	3 Ep.	3 Ep. 9
φλυαρέω,	to prate,	3 Ep.	3 Ep. 10
φοῖνιξ,	palm-tree,	G., Apoc.	xii., 13. Apoc. vii., 9
φραγέλλιον,	scourge,	G.	ii., 15
χάλοζα,	hail,	Apoc.	Apoc. viii., 7; xi.,
χ σ,	,	L	19; xvi., 21
χάλκεος,	of brass,	Apoc.	Apoc. ix., 20
χαλκηδών,	chalcedony,	Apoc.	Apoc. xxi., 19
χαλκολίβανον,	fine brass,	Apoc.	Apoc. i., 15; ii., 18
χαμαί,	on the ground,	G.	ix., 6; xviii., 6
χάρτης,	paper,	2 Ep.	2 Ep. 12
χείμαὂδος,	brook,	G.	xviii., 1
χλιαρός,	lukewarm,	Apoc.	Apoc. iii., 16
χοινιξ,	measure, a chœnix,	Apoc.	Apoc. vi., 6
χιλάω,	to be angry,	G.	vii., 23
χρίσμα,	anointing, unction,	1 Ep.	1 Ep. ii., 20, 27
χρυσόλι3ος,	chrysolite,	Apoc.	Apoc. xxi., 20
χρυσόπρασος,	chrysoprasus,	Apoc.	Apoc. xxi., 20
χρυσόω,	to make golden,	Apoc.	
		_	xviii., 16
ψωμίον,	sop,	G.	xiii., 26, 27, 30

INDEX OF ENGLISH WORDS.

(Figures refer to the pages.)

ABADDON, 510 Abide, 340 Abideth, 110, 174, 329, 347 Abominable, 564 Above, 170 Accomplished, 286 Accusation, 274 Accuse, 141 Accuser, 524 Adultery, 455 Advocate, 324 Aenon, 104 Afraid, 247 Agree, 367, 548 Alive, 443 All, 36, 335 Alleluia, 556 Almighty, 420 Alone, 146, 219 Alpha, 419 Altar, 497, 505 Altogether, 187 Amen. 418, 469 Amend, 130 Amethyst, 569 Andrew, 72, 217 Angel, 408 Angels, 76, 292, 433 Angry, 159 Anguish, 259 Annas, 269 Anointed, 182, 199

Answered, 503 Antichrist, 337, 395 Antipas, 447 Appear, 341, 344, 472 Appearance, 160 Appearing, 406 Ark of the covenant, 521 Armageddon, 542 Arose, 146 Ascend, 154, 294 Ascended, 98 Ashamed, 342 Asia, 412 Ask, 202, 250, 259, 260, 299, 370 Asked, 114 Askest, 113 Ass, 217 Assure, 352, 377 Avenged, 554, 556 Awake (verb), 200

Babylon, 545
Backside, 487
Bag, 212
Balaam, 447
Balances, 495
Band, 268
Bare (verb), 213
Barley, 144
Baskets, 145
Bason, 227
Battle, 541

Bear (verb), 256, 437 Bear record, 366, 408 Bear witness, 54, 366 Beast, 519

Beasts, 481 Bed, 132, 455 Before, 55

Beginning (noun), 24, 35, 170, 304, 469

Begotten, 53, 59, 357, 372

Beheaded, 560 Beheld, 52, 73 Behind, 425

Behold, 66, 105, 285, 343

Believe, 49, 148, 157, 187, 198, 266 Believed, 83, 86, 87, 173, 222, 292

Believeth, 109, 223

Believing, 295

Belly, 163 Beloved, 353, 354

Beneath, 170 Beryl, 568 Besought, 289

Bestowed, 343 Bethany, 65

Bethesda, 132 Bethsaida, 74

Betray, 154, 228

Betrayed, 268 Bird, 549

Birth, 181 Black, 495

Blasphemy, 443

Blessed, 216 Blessing, 492

Blew, 147

Blinded, 221, 333 Blood, 50, 287, 364, 506

Boat, -s, 148 Bondage, 173 Book, 572

Book of life, 463

Born, 50, 93, 275, 342, 343, 349

Born again, 90 Bosom, 60, 231 Bottomless, 507 Bow (noun), 494 Bowels, 352 Brake, 286

Branch, 249 Brass, 428

Bread, 144, 149, 229, 298

Breadth, 561 Breast, 231, 232 Breasts, 539

Breastplates, 509 Breathed, 294

Brethren, 294, 350

Bride, 105
Bridegroom, 105
Brimstone, 513, 534
Bring, 193, 396

Bring, 193, 396 Bring forward, 402 Broken, 134, 198, 459

Brook, 267 Brother, 332, 362 Building, 567 Burden, 458 Burial, 213

Burn, 547 Burning, 139 Bury, 290

Buy, 234, 471

Cæsar, 281 Cage, 548 Caiaphas, 210

Calf, 482 Called, 343

Came, 58, 124, 125, 275

Camp, 561 Cana, 79

Candlestick, 439 Candlesticks, 426

Cannot, 157, 176 Captain, 269 Careth, 192 Carry, 133

Cast (actively), 485 Cast forth, 249

Cast out, 221, 361, 403

Catcheth, 192
Caught, 296, 298
Cause (noun), 254
Chain, 560
Chalcedony, 568
Changers, 83
Chariots, 553

Cheer (noun), 261 Chief captains, 500

Child, 130

Children, 115, 235, 297, 391, 456

Chosen, 229 Christ, 155 Chrysolite, 568 Chrysoprasus, 569 Churches, 456 Cinnamon, 552 City, 111

Clean (adjective), 249

Cleanseth, 317 Cloke, 254 Clothed, 463, 479 Cloud, 515 Clouds, 418 Coals, 270, 298 Coat, 285 Cock, 272

Come, 239, 265, 395 Come down, 149 Come upon, 221

Cometh, 43, 103, 337, 396

Comforter, 243 Comfortless, 245

Cold, 470

Coming, 342 Command (verb), 252

Commandment, 235

Commit, 87

Committed, 137 Committeth, 346

Companion, 420 Comprehended, 40

Condemn, 100, 101, 352

Condemnation, 137 Confess, 222, 320, 394, 463

Confidence, 341, 354, 369 Containing, 81 Continue, 173, 527 Converted, 222 Conveyed, 133 Convinceth, 178 Cords, 84

Corn, 217 Council, 210 Counsel (verb), 471 Countenance, 429

Country, 128 Court, 517

Creature, 493 Cried, 276

Crown, 277, 445, 466 Crowns, 479, 509 Cried, 55, 161, 223 Crystal, 480, 565 Cubits, 297, 567

Cup, 269 Cured, 133 Cursed, 165 Custom, 276

Dainty, 553 Damsel, 270 Dark, 146, 291

Darkness, 40, 101, 314, 331

Dealings, 113

Death, 179, 180, 350, 370, 445, 456,

Deceive, 319, 348 Deceived, 165 Deceiveth, 158 Decked, 544

Declare, 312 Declared, 61, 516 Dedication, 195 Defiled, 273, 533 Delicacies, 549 Delivered, 282 Denieth, 339 Depart, 225, 255 Departed, 499 Depths, 457 Destroy, 85, 348

Devil, 155, 159, 176, 179, 348, 349, 444, 560

Devour, 522 Didymus, 201 Dine, -d, 299 Diotrephes, 403 Dipped, 557 Dispersed, 162 Division, 195 Do, 101, 103, 135 Do the truth, 315 Doctrine, 158, 395, 396

Doest, 401 Dogs, 573 Door, 190, 465 Double (verb), 550 Doubting, 230 Dragon, 522, 560 Draw, 114, 151, 221, 297

Draw out, 81 Drinketh, 115 Drunk (verb), 82 Dwell, 504, 562 Dwellest, 70 Dwelleth, 153, 392

Dwelt, 51, 71

EAGLE, 482, 507 Ear, 269, 440 Early, 273 Earth (the), 498 Earthly, 96, 106 Earthquake, 499

East, 501 Eaten, 85, 146

Eateth, 153, 229 Ebal, 118 Elder, 391

Elders, 478 Elect, 391

Emerald, 477 End, 225

Ended, 226 Enter, 93

Ephesus, 426

Ephraim, 211 Error. 357

Eternal life, 308, 351, 368, 369

Even (noun), 146 Everlasting, 534

Evil, 101, 138, 265, 438

Evil one, 334 Euphrates, 511 Example, 228 Expedient, 255 Eyesalve, 472

FACE TO FACE, 397, 404

Faith, 363

Eyes, 336

Faithful, 321, 414, 469

Faithfully, 401 Faithless, 295 Fallen, 438, 546 False prophets, 355

Father, 119, 153, 177, 219, 241, 242,

293, 308, 310, 324, 339 Father-in-law, 270

Fathers, 118, 333 Fault, 276

Fear (noun), 361 Feast, 234

Feast day, 87

Feast of tabernacles, 156

Feed, 300, 504

Fell, 432

Fellow-disciples, 201

Fellow-helpers, 402

Fellowship, 309, 310, 549

Fever, 130

Fig-tree, 75, 76

Fill (verb), 81

Filled, 148, 537

Filthy, 573

Findeth, 72, 73

Fine flour, 552

Finish, 125, 139

Fire, 428

Firkins, 81

First, 432

First begotten, 415

Fish (noun), 298

Fishes, 144, 298

Fisher's coat, 297

Flesh, 50, 93, 263, 336, 547

Flow, 163

Fold (noun), 192, 193

Follow, 74, 189, 403, 535

Followed, 558

For (in exchange), 57

For (because), 58

Force, 146

Forehead, 544

Forgive, 322

Fornication, 175, 455, 544

Found, 73

Foundation, 528

Foursquare, 566

Fragments, 145

Friend, 281 Frogs, 541

Fruits, 553

Full, 251, 260, 311, 397

Fulness, 56

Furlongs, 536, 566

Gabbatha, 282

Gaius, 399

Garden, 268, 290

Garment, 427

Garments, 227, 463

Gates, 565

Gather, 250, 536

Gave, 99

Gave up, 286

Gentiles, 162, 402

Gerizim, 118

| Gift, 114

Girded, or Girt, 227, 427

Girdedst, 301

Girdle, 427

Give, 440, 547

Given, 58, 226

Giveth, 191

Glass, 480, 537

Glorified, 164, 235, 250

Glory, 52, 417, 520

Glory of God, 565

Gnawed, 541

Go, 161, 239, 256

Go about, 159

Go away, 169, 255

Gog, 561

Godly, 402 Godspeed, 396

Gold, 471

Good (noun), 352

Good (adjective), 138, 190

Goodly, 553

Grace, 54, 392, 412

Grapes, 536

Grass, 145

Graves, 138

Grave-clothes, 207

Great men, 499

Greater, 353, 380

Greatly, 106, 393

Greek, 284

Greeks, 217

Grievous, 363, 539

Groaned, 204

Guide (verb), 256 Guile, 75

HAIL (noun), 506

Hair, 509

Hall, 272

Hand, 516

Handled, 305

Нарру, 229

Hard, 153

Hardened, 222

Harps, 489, 537

Harpers, 533

Harvest, 126

Hate, 253, 350

Hated, 253

Hateth, 332

Hath, 359

Have, 167

Heal, 129

Healed, 133

Health, 399

Hear, 153, 166

Heard, 461

Heareth, 369

Heart, 226, 237

Heat (substantive), 504

Heavenly, 97

Hebrew, 284, 510

Heel, 229

Held, 497

Hell, 496

Hereby, 326, 356

Herein, 126, 250, 359

Hireling, 191

Hold (noun), 548

Holy, 265, 464, 483, 538

Holy Ghost, 164, 294

Holy one, 338

Honor, 140, 493

Hope, 345

Horns, 489

Horse, 493

Horses, 509, 513

Horsemen, 511

Hosanna, 216

Hot, 470

Hour, 80, 217, 225, 337, 511

House, 237

Hurt (passive), 446

Husband, 117

Husbandman, 249

Hyssop, 286

IDOLS, 375, 447

Image, 528

Impotent, 132

Incense, 490

Increased, 471

Ink, 397

Iscariot, 156, 233, 246

Israelite, 75

Ivory, 551

JACINTH, 512

Jacob, 114

Jasper, 476

Jerusalem, 467

Jezebel, 453

Jesus, 58, 315

Jew, -s, 62, 83, 104, 120, 184, 443

John, 42, 408, 410

Jona, 73

Joy, 251, 400

Judæa, 103

Judge (verb), 498

Judgment, 188, 360

Judgment-seat, 282

Judgments, 538

Just. 321

Keep, 179, 219, 243, 326, 327, 354,

363, 375, 409

Keepeth, 159, 459, 572

Kept, 213, 265, 465

Key, -s, 433, 464

INDEX OF ENGLISH WORDS.

Kidron, 267 Kill, 169 Kindred, 491 Kindreds, 419, 528 King, 510 King of the Jews, 275 Kings, 416 Kingdom, 420 Knew, 46, 87, 88, 293 Kneck, 473

Know, 89, 96, 125, 140, 160, 167, 227, 228, 240, 263, 326 Knowest, 95, 227 Knoweth, 252, 356

Known, 241

Labor (noun), 437 Labors, 535 Labored, 127 Lad, 144 Lady, 391 Laid hands, 168 Lamb, 66, 489, 532 Lambs, 300 Lament, 258 Lamps, 480 Lanterns, 268 Laodicea, 468 Last, 432 Last day, 150, 163, 224

Latin, 284 Law, 198, 221 Leadeth, 528 Leaned, 301 Leaning, 230 Leave (noun), 289 Leave (verb), 517 Left (verb), 111, 130, 438

Leopard, 526 Letters, 158 Levites, 63 Liar, 177, 339 Lieth, 373

Lie (to speak falsely), 315

Lie (noun), 177

Life, 38, 137, 203, 219, 241, 306, 307, 368, 445

Lifted, 98, 172, 221

Light (noun), 39, 139, 166, 312, 315,

565 Lighteth, 44

Lightnings, 479

Likewise, 135

Linen, 289, 538, 557

Lion, 482 Listeth, 94

Little children, 323, 333, 334

Little ship, 297 Live (verb), 245

Living (participle), 114

Locusts, 507 Looked, 230, 305 Looking, 70 Lord, 497 Lord's day, 425 Lose, 395

Lost (passively), 265

Love (noun), 250, 357, 358, 360, 438

Love of God, 327

Love (verb), 253, 300, 362 Loved, 200, 205, 225, 291

Lovest, 300

Loveth, 135, 261 Lukewarm, 470

Lust, 335 Lusts, 176 Lying, 231

Made, 36, 38 Magdalene, 285 Make, 323, 465 Make war, 449 Malchus, 269 Malefactor, 274

Man, 67, 89, 175 Man child, 522

Manifest (verb), 246 Manifested, 307, 346 Manifestation, 407 Manna, 149, 450 Manner, 343 Mansions, 238 Marble, 552 Mark, 529 Marriage, 79 Martyrs, 545 Marvel (verb), 136 Master, 95, 203, 228 Measure (noun), 107, 496, 567 Meat, 125, 148, 297 Merchandise, 85, 550 Merchants, 549 Mercy, 392 Message, 311 Messias, 73, 122 Met, 202 Mid Heaven, 507 Midst, 158, 283 Mind (noun), 546, 547 Miracles, 83, 148 Miserable, 471 Mixture, 289 Month, 511 Moon, 521 Morning star, 460 Mountains, 546 Murderer, 176, 351 Murmured, 151 Murmuring, 158 Musicians, 554 Must, 98, 111, 292, 407 Mystery, 544

Name, 297 Name, 50, 87, 243, 254, 402, 453, 462, 544 Napkin, 207, 292 Nathanael, 74 Nation, 210, 211 Nations, 459
Nazareth, 74, 268
Net, 297
New, 290, 330, 453
Nicodemus, 89
Nicolaitans, 439
Nobleman, 129
Noisome, 539
Number (noun), 530, 531

Offend, 154
Offended, 254
Officers, 161, 268
Old, 91, 330
Omega, 419
Only, 141
Open (adjective), 76, 465
Opened, 474
Openly, 157, 158
Ordained, 252
Overcome, 261, 334
Overcometh, 363, 364, 440
Ought, 119, 228, 329
Outrun, 291
Own, 47, 261

PALACE, 270 Pale, 496 Palms, 216, 502 Paper, 396 Paps, 427 Parable, 189 Paradise, 442 Parcel, 112 Part (noun), 561 Partaker, 396 Passed, 350 Passover, 224 Past, 331 Patience, 437, 466 Patmos, 421 Pavement, 282

Peace, 247

Pearls, 569 Pen, 404 Pence, 212 Pennyworth, 144 People, 165, 206, 211, 215, 563 Perceive, 118 Perdition, 265 Perfect, 361, 362, 461 Perfected, 327 Pergamos, 446 Persecute, 134 Persecuted, 253 Peter, 72 Pharisees, 110 Philadelphia, 463 Philip, 73, 217 Pierced, 287, 419 Pilate, 274 Pillar, 467 Pipers, 555 Place (noun), 174, 210, 239 Please, 172 Pleasing, 354 Pleasure, 486 Point (noun), 129 Pool, 131 Poor, 212 Porch, 196 Porches, 132 Porter, 189 Potter, 459 Poverty, 443 Pound, -s, 212, 289 Poured, 534 Power, 48, 459, 486 Praise (noun), 185, 222 Prating, 403 Pray, 264, 372 Prayers, 490, 505 Preach, 534

Precious, 212

Preferred, 55

Pre-eminence, 403

Prepare, 239 Pride, 336 Priests, 63, 416 Prince, 221 Print, 294 Prison, 104 Proceeded, 175 Prophesy (verb), 518 Propitiation, 325 Prosper, 399 Proverb, -s, 260 Pure, 345 Purgeth, 249 Purifieth, 345 Purifying, 80, 105 Purple, 279 Put, 132, 226, 548 Put on, 279, 295 Putteth, 189

QUESTION (noun), 104 Quickeneth, 136 Quickly, 234

Rabbi, 70, 76, 89
Rain (verb), 518
Rainbow, 476
Reached, 549
Readeth, 409
Ready, 157
Receive, 138, 141, 240, 257, 367, 395
Received, 47, 48, 129, 461
Receiveth, 106
Record (noun), 167

Red, 495 Redeem, 491 Rejecteth, 223 Reins, 456 Rejoice, 139 Rejoiced, 180, 393 Remain, 145 Remit, 294 Repented, 514 Reprove, 255 Reproved, 101 Resorted, 268 Rest (verb), 498 Resurrection, 138, 202

Revelation, 405 Reviled, 186 Reward, 395, 573

Rich, 443 Riches, 492

Ripe, 536

Righteous, 160, 324, 342 Righteousness, 256, 348, 349

Rivers, 164 Roareth, 515 Robber, 189, 276 Robe, 280 Robes, 498 Rod, 459

Root (noun), 488 Rowed, 147

Rule (verb), 459, 523

Ruler, 415

Ruler of the feast, 81

SACKCLOTH, 499, 518

Sacrificed, 447 Said, 125, 127 Saints, 545 Salvation, 120

Samaria, 113 Same, 224 Sanctify, 266

Sanctified, 198 Sapphire, 567 Sardis, 460

Sardine, 476 Sardonyx, 568

Satan, 233, 444, 457

Save, 220 Saviour, 127, 358

Saw (verb), 68, 69, 70, 143, 293, 409 | Sheep-market, 131

Say, 125, 224

Saying, -s, 126, 127, 162, 179, 246

Scarlet, 544

Scorpions, 507, 510 Scourge (noun), 84 Scourged, 277 Scripture, 198, 292

Scriptures, 140 Scroll, 499

Sea. 143, 561, 562

Seal, 107

Seal (verb), 572 Sealed, 148, 488

Seam, 285

Seats, 478

Search (verb), 140, 166

Searcheth, 456 Seat, 447

Second death, 446 Second time, 91 Seduce, 340, 455

See, 109, 147, 256, 257, 418

Seed, 349 Seek, 70

Seen, 58, 96, 152, 358

Seeth, 66, 151, 191, 223, 292, 352 Sent, 41, 100, 127, 137, 183, 229,

266, 272, 294, 357 Serpent, 523 Serpents, 513

Servant, 174, 408 Servants, 80, 275

Serve, 219, 503, 571

Service, 255

Set (actively), 465 Set (passively), 475

Set down, 228

Seven, 410, 411 Shame, 542

Shape, 140 Sheath, 269

Sheep, 300

Shew (verb), 257, 260, 307, 407 Shewed, 295 Shineth, 40, 332 Shipmaster, 554 Shivers, 459 Shore, 296 Shortly, 407 Sick, 129 Sight, 354 Signs, 129 Signified, 408 Silk, 550 Siloam, 183 Simon, 156, 299 Simon Peter, 155, 269 Sin (noun), 169, 174, 178, 317, 347, 370 Sin (verb), 370 Sinned, 323 Sinneth, 348 Sins, 321, 322, 347 Sir, 503 Sister, 206 Sit, 145 Six hundred threescore and six, 531 Skull, 283 Slain (passively), 489, 497 Sleepeth, 200 Slew, 350 Smite, 518 Smote, 280 Smyrna, 442 Somewhat, 438 Son, 130 Son of man, 77, 427 Son of God, 69, 198, 348, 368 Sons, 49, 343 Song, 537 Sop, 232, 233 Sorceries, 514 Sore, 539 Sorrow, 259, 563

Soul, 220, 400 Souls, 497 Sound (noun), 94 Sound (verb), 506 Space, 455 Speak, 96, 458 Speakest, 261 Speaking, 475 Spear, 286 Speech, 176 Spice, 552 Spices, 290 Spikenard, 212 Spirit, 121, 122, 164, 354, 422 Spirit (of God), 91, 93 Spirits, 413 Spiritually, 519 Spue, 471 Standeth, 65 Stars, 433 Stings, 510 Stinketh, 206 Stone, 73, 80, 452, 543 Stood, 69, 163, 177, 489 Stooping, 292 Straight, 64 Street, 570 Strength, 429, 465 Strengthen, 461 Stretch, 301 Strong, 335 Struck, 271 Stumbling, 333 Stumblingblock, 447 Sufferest, 453 Sup, 474 Supper, 226, 227 Sure, 155 Sware, 516 Sword, 428, 495, 497 Sychar, 111 Synagogue, 153, 185, 222, 271, 444, 465

Sorrowful, 258

TAKE, 161 Take away, 66, 194 Taken away, 291 Talent, 543 Tarried, 104 Taste (verb), 180 Taught, 152 Tell, 95, 122 Temple, 83 Temptation, 466 Ten thousand, 492, 512 Tenth, 71 Testify, 358 Testimony, 138, 408, 538, 557 Thanks, 145 Thief, 189, 190, 462 Thigh, 558 Thirst (verb), 115 Thorns, 277 Thousands, 492 Throne, 478 Thrust, 295, 535 Thunders, 515 Thunderings, 479 Thyatira, 453 Thyine, 551 Tiberias, 296 Time, 157, 516 Title, 283 Together, 126 Told, 134 Took, 269 Took up, 197 Topaz, 568 Torment, 361, 550 Touch, 293 Toucheth, 373 Towel, 227 Trade, 554 Transgresseth, 346, 395 Transgression, 346 Travail, 259

Treasury, 168

Tree, 440
Tribulation, 420, 443
Tried, 445
Tried in the fire, 472
Troubled, 204, 220, 230
True, 44, 121, 126, 138, 161, 168, 249, 264, 288, 331, 374, 464, 469
Trumpet, 425, 475
Trust (verb), 141
Truth, 54, 121, 179, 241, 245, 256, 275, 319
Try, 354
Turned back, 293
Twelve, 154

Unction, 338 Understand, 222 Understanding, 374 Understood, 172 Unloose, 65 Unrighteousness, 159, 323, 372 Untimely, 499

Verily, 135, 188, 329 Vessel, 551 Vessels, 459 Vesture, 285 Vials, 490 Victory, 363, 537 Vine, 249 Vinegar, 286 Violence, 554 Virgins, 533 Vision, 406 Voice, 140 Voices, 479

Wages, 126 Wail, 419 Walk (verb), 167, 200, 314, 315 Walked, 70, 154 Walkedst, 301 Walkest, 400

Walketh, 437 Wanted, 79 Wash, 227 Washed, 227, 416, 503 Watchful, 461 Water, 91, 104, 364, 365 Waters, 543, 547 Waterpot, -s, 80, 124 Way, 240 Wearing, 280 Weary, 438 Wearied, 112 Weep, 204, 258 Well (noun), 112, 117 Well (adverb), 117 Went, 129, 147, 226 Went back, 154 Went out, 125, 338 Went up. 298 Wept, 204, 488 West, 565 White, 126, 452, 463, 539 Whole, 132, 159 Whoremongers, 564 Wicked One, 373 Wickedness, 373 Wilderness, 64 Will (verb), 132, 140, 158 Willingly, 147 Wind, 93 Winepress, 536 Wings, 482 Wish (verb), 399

Withered, 132, 250 Witness, 42, 168, 254, 368, 414 Witnesses, 518 Woman, 80, 113, 124, 259 Wonders, 129 Wool, 428 Word, 25, 34, 173, 224, 246, 306, 323, 327 Word of God, 408, 557 Words, 107, 155, 264 Work, -s, 242 Work (verb), 134 Worketh, 134 World, 44, 170, 325, 356, 361 Wormwood, 506 Worse, 82 Worship (verb), 119, 120, 122 Worshipper, -s, 121, 186 Woven, 285 Wound, 527 Wounded, 526 Wrapped, 292 Wrath, 110, 500 Wretched, 471 Write, 310, 324, 425 Writings, 141 Written, 85, 168, 334, 369

Young, 301

Zeal, 85 Zealous, 473

INDEX OF GREEK WORDS.

(Figures refer to the pages.)

A, 419 ἄβυσσος, 507 αναλλιάω, 139, 180 $dya\pi \dot{a}\omega$, 135, 200, 225, 300 $d\gamma d\pi \eta$, 250, 327, 335, 357 άγγελος, 408 άγιάζω, 198, 266, 573 ayıos, 155, 265, 464 άγνίζω, 345 άγνός, 345 αγοράζω, 234, 491, 533 άγράμματος, 142 ãγω, 193 άγωνίζομαι, 275 άδελφός, 332, 350, 351 άδικέω, 446, 573 **ἀδικία, 159, 323, 372** *ἀθετέω*, 223 αίγιαλός, 296 αίμα, 50, 364 $al_{\rho\omega}$, 66, 133, 194, 196 αίσχύνομαι, 342 αἰτέω, 113, 114, 202, 260, 369, 370 αίτία, 276 αίχμαλωσία, 162 alών, 45, 187, 337, 418 αζώνιος, 308, 368, 534 ἀκμάζω, 536 ἀκαλαυθέω, 74, 143, 535, 549 ἀκούω, 153, 256, 369, 461 ἄκρατον, 534 ἀκρίς, 507

άλαζονεία, 336 **ἀλείφω**, 199 άλήθεια, 54, 121, 179 $d\lambda_{\eta}\theta_{\eta s}$, 44, 138, 288 άληθινός, 44, 121, 126, 149, 161, 168, 249, 331, 374, 469 $d\lambda_{\eta}\theta \tilde{\omega}_{s}$, 75, 160, 173 άλλαχάθεν, 188 άλληλούια, 556 άλλήλων, 315 άλλομαι, 117 άλυσις, 560 άμαρτάνω, 323, 370 άμαρτία, 169, 174, 178, 188, 317, 322, 347, 370 άμέθυστος, 569 $d\mu\eta\nu$, 76, 188, 418 aμνόs, 66 αμπελος, 249 άμπελουργός, 249 ἄμωμον, 552 άναβαίνω, 154, 294 ἀναβλέπω, 184 αναγγέλλω, 122, 134, 257, 260, 312 ἀναγινώσκω, 409 ἀνάκειμαι, 230 άναπίπτω, 145, 228, 301 ἀνάστασις, 138 άνατολή, 501 $d\nu \eta \rho$, 67, 117 άνθρακία, 270 άνθρωποκτόνος, 176, 351

 $\tilde{a}\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi$ ος, 67, 89, 175 ἀνοίγω, 76, 465, 474 ἀνομία, 346 άντί, 57 άντλέω, 81 ἄντλημα, 114 ãνω, 170 \tilde{a} νω θ εν, 90 å£ίως, 402 ἀπαγγέλλω, 307 $d\pi'$ $\tilde{a}\rho\tau\iota$, 76, 230, 535 ἀπειθέω, 109 άπέρχαμαι, 129, 154, 169, 255 **ἄπιστος**, 295 $d\pi \delta$, 150, 158, 172, 175, 224, 304. 311 ἀποβαίνω, 298 ἀποδίδωμι, 573 ἀποθνήσκω, 152 άποκάλυψις, 405 απολαμβάνω, 395 απόλλυμι, 265 άπορέομαι, 230 dποστέλλω, 41, 64, 100, 127, 266, 272, 294, 357 άποσυνάγωγος, 185 ἀποχωρίζομαι, 499 άπτυμαι, 293, 373 dπώλεια, 265 άρεστός, 354 άριστάω, 299 άρνέσμαι, 339 άρνίον, 300, 489 άρπάζω, 192, 196 άρδαφος, 285 άρρην, 67, 522 *ἄρτι*, 235, 236, 256, 261, 333 ãρτος, 144 άρχαίος, 330, 523 $d\rho\chi\dot{\eta}$, 23, 170, 304, 469 άρχιτρίκλινος, 81 \tilde{a} ρχων, 221, 415 ασθενέω, 129, 132

ἀστήρ, 460 ἀσχημοσύνη, 542 αὐλή, 188, 192, 270 αὐλητής, 555 αὐτός, 87, 88 άφίημι, 111, 130, 213, 294, 322, 438 ãχρι, 445 äψινθος, 506 βάθος, 457 Batov, 216 βάλλω, 132, 226, 249, 295, 361, 485 βάρος, 458 βαρύς, 363 Βασανίζω, 522 βασανισμός, 550 βασιλεία, 420 Βασιλεύς, 416 βασιλικός, 129 βαστάζω, 197, 213, 437 βδελύσσομαι, 564 βέβρωκα, 146 BnaaBapa, 65 Βηθανία, 65 Βηθεςδά, 132 βημα, 282 βήρυλλος, 568 βίa, 48 βιβλίον, 143 Blos, 38, 352 βλασφημία, 443 βλέπω, 66, 292, 488 βόσκω, 300 βρέχω, 518 βρῶμα, 125 βρῶσις, 125, 148 βύσσινος, 557 γαζοφυλάκιον, 168 γάμος, 79 γεμίζω, 81, 146

γενετή, 181

γεννάω, 90, 93

γέρων, 91 γεωργός, 249 $\gamma \hat{\eta}$, 45, 106 γίνομαι, 36, 38, 41, 49, 50, 55, 58, 117, 133, 181, 193, 226, 246, 250, 296, 349, 397, 421, 445, 475 γινώσκω, 46, 87, 95, 155, 160, 172, 227, 241, 263, 326, 356 γλωσσόκομον, 212 γνώμη, 547 γογγύζω, 151 γόμος, 550 γράμμα, 141 $\gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta}$, 86, 140, 198 γράφω, 85, 168, 310, 334, 425 γρηγορέω, 461 γυνή, 259 δαιμονίζομαι, 195 δαιμόνιον, 159 δακρύω, 204 $\delta \epsilon \hat{\iota}$, 98, 119, 407, 560 δεικνύμι, 407 δειλιάω, 247 δείπνον, 226, 227 δεσπότης, 497 δέχομαι, 129 $\delta \dot{\eta}$, 444 δηλόω, 246 δηνάριον, 144, 212 διά, 37, 422, 525 διαβάλλομαι, 141 διάβολος, 155, 349, 444 διαδίδωμι, 547 διαζώννυμι, 227 διάνοια, 374 διασπορό, 162 διατρίβω, 104 διδακτός, 152 διδάσκαλος, 95, 203, 228 $\delta i \delta a \chi \dot{\eta}$, 158, 271, 395, 396 Δίδυμος, 201

δίδωμι, 58, 137, 343, 465, 548 διεγείρω, 146 διέρχομαι, 117 δίκαιος, 321 δικαιοσύνη, 256 δικαίωμα, 538, 557 δίκτυον, 297 Διοτρέφης, 403 διπλόω, 550 διψάω, 115 διώκω, 134 δοκιμάζω, 354 δόλος, 75 δόξα, 52, 185, 222, 417 δοξάζω, 164, 235, 250 δουλεύω, 173 δούλος. 130 δράκων, 522, 560 δύναμις, 48 δυνατός, 500 δυσμαί, 565 δωρεάν, 114, 254 έάω, 453 έβραϊστί, 510 έγκαίνιο, 195 έγκαλέω, 141 $\dot{\epsilon}\theta\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\omega$, 73, 147 *ἐθνικός*, 402 έθνος, 210, 211, 459 εἴδω, οἶδα, 88, 89, 95, 119, 160, 167, 227, 409, 474 είδωλόθυτον, 447 εἴδωλον, 375 εἰκών, 528 elui, 162, 170, 181 elvai ek, 74, 179 ειρήνη, 392 els, 60, 172, 367, 425 els. ev. 197 έκ, έξ, 104, 137, 158, 170, 224, 336, 355, 356, 373, 466, 514, 537 *ἐκβά*λλω, 84, 189, 221

έξυπνίζω, 200

έκδικέω. 556 **ἔ**ξω, 361 έκείνος, 43, 61, 68, 72, 86, 122, 133, έορτή, 87, 234 157, 184, 186, 224, 234, 246, έπαγγελία, 311 288, 329 έπενδύτης, 297 $\epsilon_{\pi}i$, 345, 487, 493, 508, 537 έκκεντέω, 419 έπίγειος, 96 έκλέγομαι, 229 έκλεκτός, 391 έπιθυμία, 176, 335 έκνεύω, 133 έπίκειμαι, 206 έπιλέγομαι, 131 έκπίπτω, 438 έπιπίπτω, 231 έλάσσων, 82 έλαύνω, 147 έπιστρέφω, 222 έλέγχω, 101, 178 έπιφάνεια, 406 έλεεινός, 471 έπιχρίω, 182 έλεος, 392 έπουράνιος, 97 έλεφάντινος, 551 έράω, 136 έλκος, 539 έργάζομαι, 134, 401, 554 έλκύω, 151, 297 *ξργον*, 129, 242 ⁷Ελλην-ες, 162, 217 έρευνάω, 140, 456 έλπίζω, 141 έρημόω, 547 έμβλέπω, 70 έρχομαι, 47, 119, 239, 257, 265, 395, έμβριμάσμαι, 204 $\epsilon \mu \epsilon \omega$, 471 έρωτάω, 259, 289, 372 έμός, 173 έστί, 311 έμπορίον, 85 έσχατος, 150 έμπορος, 549 ἔσωθεν, 487 $\tilde{\epsilon}\mu\pi\rho\sigma\theta\epsilon\nu$, 55, 352 εὐαγγελίζω, 516, 534 έμφανίζω, 246 εὐθέως, 147 έμφυσάω, 294 εὐθύνω, 64 èv, 52, 68, 245, 491, 506 εὐλογέω, 216 ένδόμησις, 567 εὐλογία, 492 ένέρνεια. 48 εὐοδούμαι, 399 ένταφιάζω, 290 εύρίσκω, 73 ένταφιασμός, 213 εὔχομαι, 399 έντέλλομαι, 252 $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\chi}\omega$, 167, 259, 359, 497 έντολή, 194, 235 έντυλίσσω, 292 ζάω, 114, 153, 443 ένώπιον, 354 ζεστός, 470 *ἐξέρχομαι*, 175, 338 ζηλεύω, 473 έξετάζω, 299 ζηλος, 85 έξηγέομαι, 61 ζητέω, 159 έξομολογέομαι, 463 ζήτησις, 104 έξουσία, 48, 194 ζόφας, 40

(vyós, 495

ζωή, 38, 137, 155, 306, 307, 308, 445 | 7να, 125, 236, 251, 253, 255, 261, 362, ζωννίω, 301 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 |

η̃κω, 150, 175η̂ν, 24, 41, 55, 304η̈περ, 222

βάνατος, 350, 456, 497 Βαρσέω, 261 Βεάομαι, 52, 59, 68, 70, 305 ສεῖον, 534 Βέλημα, 486 3έλω, 94, 132, 140, 158, 165, 176 Θεός, 59 Βεοσεβής, 186 βεραπεύω, 133 Βερισμός, 126 Βεωρέω, 59, 118, 147, 151, 179, 191, 223, 352 ອກແກ, 269 Βηρίον, 519 αλίψις, 259, 420, 443 Βρέμμα, 115 Βρηνέω, 258 3ρόνος, 447, 478 ສ_ິບເນວຣ, 551 _{3υμός}, 110 3ύρα, 465 αυρωρός, 189, 270

ἰάομαι, 129, 133 ἴδε, ἴδετε, 66, 105, 343 ἴδιος, 47, 73, 128, 134, 159, 177, 261 ἱερεύς, 416 ἱερόν, 83 Ἡεροσολυμῖται, 160 Ἡπσοῦς, 58 ἱλασμός, 325 ἱμάτιον, 227, 280

Βυσιαστήριον, 497, 505

ΐνα, 125, 236, 251, 253, 255, 261, 362
395
Ίουδαῖος, 62, 103
ἱππικόν, 511
ἰρις, 476
Ἰσκαριώτης, 156, 233
Ἰσραελίτης, 75
ἴστημι, 65, 177
ἰσχυρός, 335
ἰσχύς, 48
ἰχθύς, 298
Ἰωάνης, 42, 73

καθαίρω, 249 καθαρίζω, 317 καθαρός, 249 καθώς, 236 καί, 46, 50 καινός, 235, 290, 330 καιρός, 157 καίτοιγε, 111 καίω, 139 κακοποιός, 274 κακὸς, 438, 539 κάλαμος, 404 καλέω, 343 καλός, 190, 197 καλῶς, 117, 179, 402 κάμνω, 438 καρδία, 237 κατά, 394 καταβαίνω, 83, 149 καταβολή, 528 καταγινώσκω, 352 κατακαίω, 547 καταλαμβάνω, f 40, 221κατασφραγίζομαι, 488 κατεσθίω, 85, 522 κατηγορέω, 141, 525 κατηγαρία, 141 κατήγορος, 524 κάτω, 170 Κέδρων, 267

κείμαι, 373, 475 κειρίαι, 207 κέντρον, 510 κεραμικός, 459 κεράννυμι, 534 κερματιστής, 83 κιβωτός, 521 κιθάρα, 489 κιθαρφδός, 533 κινάμωμον, 552 κλάδος, 249 κλαίω, 204, 258, 488 κλάσμα, 145 κλέπτης, 189, 190, 462 κλήμα, 249 κοιλία, 163 κοιμάομαι, 200 κοίμησις, 200 κοινωνέω, 396 κοινωνία, 309, 310 κόκκος, 217 κύλασις, 361 κολλούριον, 472 κολλυβιστής, 84 κόλπος, 60, 230, 231 κολυμβήθρα, 131 κομψότερου, 130 κοπιάω, 127 κόπος, 437, 535 κόπτω, 419 κόσμος, 36, 45, 170 κόφινος, 145 κράββατος, 132 κράζω, 55, 161, 223 κρατέω, 500 κράτος, 48 κραυγάζω, 276 κρίθινος, 144 κρίμα, 188 κρίνω, 100, 498 κρίσις, 137, 360 κρούω, 473 κρύπτω, 450

κρυσταλλίζω, 565 κτίζω, 36 κτίσμα, 493 κυβερνήτης, 554 κυρία, 391 κυριακός, 425 κύσιας, 503 κύων, 573 λαλέω, 172, 186, 224, 258, 261, 475 λαλιά, 127, 176 $\lambda a \mu \beta \dot{a} \nu \omega$, 48, 106, 141, 257, 461, 466, λαμπάς, 480 λαμπρός, 539, 553 Λαοδικεύς, 468 λαός, 211, 563 λατρεία, 255 λατρεύω, 503, 571 $\lambda \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \omega$, 172, 258, 261, 475 λέντιον, 227 λευκός, 126, 452, 463 ληνός, 536 ληστής, 189, 276 λίαν, 393 Λιβόστρωτος, 282 λίνου, 538 λιπαρός, 553 λίτρα, 212 λόγος, 25, 34, 127, 176, 224, 246, 306, 323, 327, 557 $\lambda \delta \gamma \chi \eta$, 286 λοιδορέω, 186 λούω, 227, 416 λυπέω, 258 λύπη, 259 λυχνία, 426 λύχνος, 139 λύω, 65, 85, 134, 198, 348 μακάριος, 229

μάννα, 450

μαργαρίτης, 569

μάρμαρον, 552 νάρδος, 212 μαρτυρέω, 54, 365, 404, 408 νεφέλη, 418 μαρτυρία, 42, 138, 408 νεφρός, 456 μάρτυς, 414 νεώτερος, 301 μασσάομαι, 541 νικάω, 261, 334, 363, 364, 440, 537 μαστιγύω, 277 νίκη, 363 μαστός, 427 νιπτήρ, 227 μάγοιρα, 495 νίπτω, 227 μεγιστάνες, 499 νοέω, 222 μεθύω, 82νόμος, 198 μείζων, 353, 380 voûs, 546 μέλαν, 397 νύσσω, 287 μέλει, 192 μέλλω, 129, 471 ξηραίνω, 250, 536 $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu \omega$, 70, 110, 153, 329 ξηρός, 132 μέσος, 65 ξύλον, 440 μεσουράνημα, 507 μεσόω, 158 όνκος, 458 μετά, 244, 310, 338, 360, 426 όδηγέω, 256 μεταβαίνω, 225, 350 δδός, 64 őζω, 206 μετοικεσία, 162 $\delta\theta\epsilon\nu$, 338 μετρητής, 81 μήτι, 124δθόνιον, 289 μιαίνω, 273 οἰκία, 237 μίγμα, 289 οἰκοδομέω, 86 μικρόν, 258 οἰκουμένη, 45 δλος, 159, 187 μιμέομαι, 403 μισέω, 253, 332δλυνθος, 499 $\mu \iota \sigma \theta \delta s$, 126, 395 όμοίως, 135 μισθωτός, 191 όμολογέω, 185, 222, 320, 355, 394 μνημείον, 138 όμοῦ, 126 δνάριον, 217 μοιχεύω, 455 μολύνω, 533 όνομα, 50, 254, 402 μονή, 238 οντως, 174 μονογενής, 27, 53, 59, 357 ὄπισθεν, 487 όπίσω, 55 μόνος, 141 μόσχος, 482 όπτασία, 406 μουσικός, 554 οπώρα, 553 δραμα, 406 μυκάομαι, 515 δρασις, 406 μυριάς, 492 μυρίζω, 199 όράω, 58, 66, 109, 143, 257, 418 δργή, 110, 500

δρμημα, 554

vaós, 85

δρνεον, 549 δοφανός, 245 őσιος, 464, 538 őσος, 48 ботья, 180, 308, 419, 426 όταν, 182, 341, 363, 485, 504 οὐδείς, 314 οὐκέτι, 252 οὐ μή, 129, 149, 227 οὖν, 130, 151, 157, 161, 169, 258 οδτος, 62, 159, 162 δφείλω, 228, 329 őχλος, 62, 165, 206, 215, 216 οψάριον, 144, 298 οψία, 146 ővis, 160, 429

παιδάριον, 144 παιδίον, 130, 297, 334 παιδίσκη, 270 παλαιός, 330 παντοκράτωρ, 420 πάντοτε, 172 παρά, 42, 152, 166, 244, 311, 393 παράγω, 331 παράδεισος, 442 παραδίδωμι, 154, 228, 268, 286παράκλητος, 243, 324 παρακύπτω, 292 παραλαμβάνω, 47, 240 πάρδαλις, 526 παρεμβολή, 561 παρθένος, 533 παροιμία, 189, 260 παρουσία, 342 παδόησία, 157, 158, 341 $\pi \hat{a}s$, 36, 150, 255, 335, 345 πάσχα, 276 πατάσσω, 518 $\pi a \tau \dot{\eta} \rho$, 53, 177, 308 Πάτμος, 421 πατρίς, 128 $\pi \epsilon i \theta \omega$, 109, 352, 377

πειράζω, 144 πελεκίζομαι, 560 πενθερός, 270 πένθος, 563 $\pi \epsilon \rho i$, 151, 255, 304 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta \acute{a} \lambda \lambda \omega$, 279, 463, 479 περιζώννυμι, 427 $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\pi\alpha\tau\epsilon\omega$, 70, 154, 200, 301, 315, 401, 437 περισσεύω, 145 περισσός, 190 πέτρος, 73 $\pi\eta\gamma\dot{\eta}$, 112, 117 πηχυς, 567 $\pi \iota \acute{a} \acute{\zeta}_{\omega}$, 161, 168, 296, 298 π ίνω, 115 πίπτω, 546πιστεύω, 49, 83, 86, 87, 157, 173, 187, 198, 222, 223, 237, 368 πιστικός, 212 πίστις, 363 πιστός, 295, 321, 401, 414, 469 πλανάω, 158, 165, 319, 348, 455 πλάνη, 357 πλάνος, 394 πλατεία, 570 πλήρης, 54 $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \delta \omega$, 157, 251, 260, 311, 461 πλήρωμα, 56 πλοιάριον, 148, 297 πλοίον, 146 πλουτέω, 471 $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a$, 93, 121, 122, 164, 413, 422 πνευματικώς, 519 $\pi\nu\epsilon\omega$, 147 ποδήρης, 427 ποιέω, 36, 103, 135, 159, 323, 346, 363, 527, 548 π οιμαίνω, 300, 459, 504 ποίμνη, 193 ποίος, 197, 274, 301 πολεμέω, 449 πόλεμος, 541

πολύς, 127 πολύτιμος, 212 πονηρός, 101, 265, 334, 539 πορεύομαι, 130, 239, 255 πορνεία, 175 πόρνος, 564 πορφύρεος, 279 ποταμοφόρητος, 525 ποταπός, 343 πραιτώριον, 272 πράσσω, 101, 103 πρεσβύτερος, 391 προάγω, 395 προαιτιάομαι, 141 προβατικός, 131 πρόβατον, 300 προπέμπω, 402 $\pi \rho \delta s$, 33, 308, 324, 370 προσευχή, 505 προσκυνέω, 119, 120, 122 προσκυνητής, 121 προσφάγιον, 297 προσφέρω, 255 προτρέχω, 291 πρόφασις, 254 προφητεύω, 518 πρωΐ, 273 πρωϊνός, 460 πρώτον, 253 πρώτος, 55 πρωτότοκος, 415 πτέρνα, 229 πτωχεία, 443 πυλών, 565 πυρετός, 130 πυρόσμαι, 472 πυβρός, 495 πωρόω, 222

ράβδος, 459 ράπισμα, 271 ρέδα, 553 ρέω (to flow), 163 όῆμα, 107, 143, 264 ὁομφαία, 428, 497 ὁυπόω, 573

σάββατον, σάββατα, 291 σάλπιγξ, 425, 475 Σαμαρειτίς, 113 σάπφειρος, 567 σαρδόνυξ, 568 $\sigma \acute{a} \rho \xi$, 50, 93 σεισμός, 499 σημαίνω, 408 σημείον, 83, 129, 148 σηρικόν, 550 Σίμων, 156 σκανδαλίζω, 154, 254 σκάνδαλον, 333 σκεύος, 459, 551 σκηνόω, 51, 504, 562 σκληρός, 153 σκοτία, 40, 167, 314, 331 σκότος, 40, 101, 314 σμαράγδινος, 477 σουδάριον, 207, 292 σπείρα, 268 σπλάγχνα, 352 στάδιον, 536 σταφυλή, 536 στέφανος, 445, 466, 479 $\sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \theta_{0s}$, 231, 232 στήκω, 65, 177 στόα, 132 στόμα, 397 στρήνος, 549 στύλος, 467 συγκοινωνέω, 549 συγκοινωνός, 420 συγχράομαι, 113 συκή, 75, 76 συλλαμβάνω, 269 συμβουλεύω, 471 συμμαθητής, 201 συμφέρω, 255

συνάγω, 268, 528 συναγωγή, 153, 271 συνέδριον, 210 συνέργός, 402 συνέρχομαι, 271 συνήθεια, 276 συντρίβω, 459 σύρω, 151 σφάζω, 350, 489, 497, 526 σφραγίζω, 107, 148, 572 σχίσμα, 195 σχοινίον, 84 σώζω, 200 σωτήρ, 127, 358 σωτηρία, 120

ταλαίπωρος, 471 ταλαντιαίος, 543 ταράσσω, 220 τάφος, 138 τάχιον, 234 τάχος (ἐν τάχει), 407 τεκνίου, 235, 323 τέκνον, 49, 391 τέλειος, 361 τελειόω, 125, 139, 327 τελέω, 286, 537 τέλος, 225 τεταρταίος, 206 τετράγωνος, 566 τετράμηνον, 126 $\tau \eta \rho \epsilon \omega$, 243, 326, 327, 409, 459, 465 $\tau i\theta \eta \mu i$, 191, 252, 351 τιμή, 493 τίτλος, 283 τοιούτος, 122 τόξον, 494 τοπάζιον, 568 τόπος, 210, 239 τότε, 233 τρυγάω, 536 τρώγω, 153, 229 τύπος, 294

τυφλόω, 333

ύψόω, 98, 221

ὑακίνθινος, 512 ύάλινος, 480, 537 ύνιαίνω, 399 ύγιής, 132, 159 ύδρία, 80, 114 ύδωρ, 104, 364, 365 viós, 49, 115, 130 ύπάγω, 147, 155, 161, 169, 200, 204, 216, 240, 252, 256, 539 ύπαντάω, 202, 217 ύπάντησις, 216 ύπέρ, 200 ύπηρέτης, 161, 268, 275 ύπό, 75, 76 ύπόδειγμα, 228 ύπολαμβάνω, 402 ύπομονή, 466 ύστερέω, 79 ύφαντός, 285

φαίνω, 40, 332 φανερόω, 295, 307, 344, 472 φανέρωσις, 407 φανός, 268 Φαρμακεία, 514 φαῦλος, 101, 138 $\phi \epsilon \rho \omega$, 396 φιάλη, 490 φιλέω, 135, 205, 253, 261, 291, 300 φιλοπρωτεύω, 403 φλυαρέω, 403 φόβος, 361 φοίνιξ, 216, 502 φορέω, 280 φορτίον, 458 φραγέλλιον, 84 $\phi \rho \epsilon a \rho$, 112, 114, 507 φυλακή, 104, 548 φυλάσσω, 265, 375

 $\phi \nu \lambda \dot{\eta}$, 419, 491, 528

φωνή, 64, 94 φῶς, 39, 139, 166, 312, 315 φωστήρ, 167, 565 φωτίζω, 40

χαίρω, 106, 396 χαλκηδών, 568 χαλκολίβανον, 428 χαμαί, 182 χαρά, 106, 259, 400 χάραγμα, 529 χαρίζομαι, 343 χάριν, 350 χάρις, 54, 392 χάμτης, 396 χείμαδόος, 267 χιλιάδες, 492 χιλίαρχος, 269 χλιαρός, 470 χλωρός, 496 χοινιέ, 496 χολάω, 159 χορτάζω, 148 χόρτος, 145 χρίω, 199 χρίσμα, 338

χρόνος, 516 χρυσίον, 471 χρυσόλιθος, 568 χρυσόω, 544 χωρέω, 81, 174 χωρίον, 112 χωρίς, 37

ψευδοπροφήτης, 355 ψεῦδος, 177 ψεύστης, 177, 339 ψηλαφάω, 305 ψῆφος, 452 ψυχή, 219, 220, 400, 497 ψυχρός, 470 ψωμίζω, 232 ψωμίου, 232

Ω, 419 δδε, 546 ἄν, 60 ὁ ἀν, καὶ ὁ ἦν, 412 ὥρα, 217, 337 ὡς, 53 ἀτάριον, 269

