

## DISCUSSION NOTES

Please write clearly so that others can read what you have written!

Use only this side of the paper. Use more paper if you need it.

**PLEASE USE BLACK INK - these notes may be photocopied.**

If you are using the digital version of this template, once completed, please send to [wmcon17@gmail.com](mailto:wmcon17@gmail.com)

Digital copy can be found in <http://goo.gl/BuPk45>

### DISCUSSION TOPIC:

Are we a social justice movement?

**BREAKOUT  
SPACE:** 24

**TIME:** 10:30

**PARTICIPANTS:** Stuart, Florence, Kaarel, Marc-André  
Grégory, Tanel, Emmanouil, Anez, Daria, Nicole

**CONVENOR:**  
Christophe

### KEY POINTS:

- > Our vision is a really strong political statement that carries social justice.
- > As we rely on secondary sources can we be a social justice movement as we don't reshape information?
- > This opens the question about political advocacy.
- > If we look at our current allies, like ACCU, we already are one.
- > Owning "we are a social justice movement" means a shift as open / tech / content is the core mean but not the end why.
- > Through survey we know a lot of editors relate strongly to the vision.
- > How does the movement make that decision?
- > It needs scoping in order to be feasible

### CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS/ACTIONS (IF ANY):

- Yes, but it's complicated
- We are, but owning it will need "movement" decision / governance