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it is but bare justice to say that by it the ground which it traversed was for the first time effectually broken for English scholars, and the fact established that the myths of a nation are as legitimate a subject for scientific investigation as any other phenomena. The delight which this investigation has never ceased to impart is strictly the satisfaction which the astronomer or the geologist feels in the ascertainment of new facts : and I have written throughout under a constant sense of the paramount duty of simply and plainly speaking the truth.

Of one fact, the importance of which if it be well ascertained can scarcely be exaggerated, I venture to claim the discovery. I am not aware that the great. writers who have traced the wonderful parallelisms in the myths of the Aryan world have asserted that the epic poems of the Aryan nations are simply different versions of one and the same story, and that this story has its origin in the phenomena of the natural world, and the course of the day and the year. This prosition is, in my belief, established by an amount of eridence which not long hence will probably be regarded as excessive. At the least I have no fear that it will fail to carry conviction to all who will weigh the facts without prejudice or partiality, who will carefully survey the whole evidence produced before they form a definite judgment, and who will fairly estimate the cumulative proof of the fact that the mythology of the Vedic and Homeric poets contains the germs, and in most instances more than the germs, of almost all the stories of Teutonic, Scandinavian, and Celtic foll--lore. This common stock of materials, which supplements the
evidence of language for the ultimate affinity of all the Aryan nations, has been moulded into an infinite variety of shapes by the story-tellers of Grecks and Latins, of Persians and Englishmen, of the ancient and modern Hindus, of Germans and Norwegians, Icelanders, Danes, Frenchmen, and Spaniards. On this common foundation the epic poets of these scattered and long-separated children of one primitive family have raised their magnificent fabrics or their cumbrous structures. Nay, from this common source they have derived even the most subtle distinctions of feature and character for their portraits of the actors in the great drama which in some one or more of its many scenes is the theme of all Aryan national poetry.

Momentous as this conclusion must be, it is one which scems to me to be strictly involved in the fact.s registered by all comparative mythologists; and while I wish to claim for myself no more than the honesty which refuses to adopt the statements of others without testing their acemacy, I may feel a legitimate confidence in the assurance that in all important points I am supported by the authority of such writers as Grimm, Max Mialler, Bréal, Kuhn, Preller, Welcker, H. II. Wilson, Cornewall Lewis, Grote, and Thirlwall.

If in the task of establishing the physical origin of Aryan myths the same facts have been in some instances adduced more than once, I must plead not, merely the necessity of the case, but the reiterater assertions of writers who seem to regard the proclamation of their views as of itself conclusive. The broad statement, for example, that Mermes is primarily and strictly a god of commerce, and of the subtlety and
trickery which commerce is on this hypothesis supposed to require, makes it necessary at every step, and at the cost of repetitions which would otherwise be needless, to point out the true character of this divine harper.

In the wide field of inquiry on which I have entered in these volumes, I need scarcely say that I have very much more to learn, and that I shall receive with gratitude the suggestions of those who may wish to aid me in the task. Many portions of the subject are at present little more than sketched out: and of these I hope that I may be enabled to supply the details hereafter. The evidence thus far examined justifies the assurance that these details will not affect the main conclusions already arrived at.

Some of the pages in the First Book have appeared in articles contributed by me to the 'Edinburgh,' the 'Fortnightly,' and the 'Saturday' Reviews ; and I have to thank the editors for the permission to make use of them.

The Greek names in this work are given as nearly as possible in their Greek forms. On this point I need only say that Mr. Gladstone, who, standing even then almost alone, retained in his earlier work on 'Homer and the Homeric Age ' their Latin equivalents, has in his 'Juventus Mundi' adopted the method which may now be regarded as universally accepted.

I have retained the word Aryan as a name for the tribes or races akin to Greeks and Teutons in Errope and in Asia. Objections have been lately urged against its use, on the ground that only Hindus and Persians spoke of themselves as Aryas : and the tracing of this name to Ireland Mr. Peile regards as very un-
certain. To him the word appears also to mean not 'ploughmen,' but ' fitting, worthy, noble.' If it be so, the title becomes the more suitable as a designation for the peoples who certainly have never called themselves Indo-Germanic.

But however sure may be the foundations of the science of Comparative Mythology, and however sound its framework, the measure in which its conclusions are received must depend largely on the acceptance or rejection of its method in the philological works chiefly used in our schools and universities. Hence, in acknowledging thankfully the great improvement of the last over the previous editions of the Greek Lexicon of Dr. Liddell and Dr. Scott in the etymology of mythological names, I express a feeling shared doubtless by all who wish to see a wide and fertile fiekl thoroughly explored. The recognition of the principle that Greek names must be interpreted either by cognate forms in kindred languages, or by reference to the common source from which all these forms spring, is the one condition without which it is useless to look for any real progress in this branch of philology ; and this principle is here fully recognised. The student is now told that he must compare the Greek Charites with 'the Sanskrit Haritas, the coursers of the sum,' and that both received their name from a root glacer, to shine, or glisten. Keus is referred to the Sanskrit Dyaus, the brilliant being, Omanos to Varuna, and Erinys to Sarmynt. It is only to be regretted that the mothod has not been carried out more systematically. In all doubtful cases a Lexicographer is fully justified in keeping silence: but the aftinity of Arês and the Latin

Mars with the Sanskrit Maruts, the Greek Molîon, the Teutonic Miölnir, and of Athênê with the Sanskrit Ahanâ and Dahanâ and the Greek Daphnê, is as well established as that of Erinys and Saranyû, of Ouranos and Varuṇa. Yet under Arês we read that it is 'akin to $\ddot{\alpha}_{\rho p \gamma \eta \nu,} \ddot{\alpha}_{\rho \sigma \eta \nu}$, as Lat. Mars to mas, perhaps also to $\tilde{\eta}_{j} \rho \omega$, Lat. vir ;' under Athênê we are referred to $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta^{\prime} \leqslant \omega$, where it is said that ' $\alpha \gamma \theta$ is the root of $\dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \nu \theta o s$, perhaps also of 'A $\theta$ rivn and $\dot{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{y} \dot{\eta} v o t s . '$ But to the Comparative Mythologist the acceptance of his method will more than atone for the fer blemishes still remaining in a great work, which must determine the character of English scholarship.

I have said that the task of analysing and comparing the myths of the Aryan nations has opened to me a source of unqualified delight. I feel bound to avow the conviction that it has done more. It has removed not a few perplexities ; it has solved not a few difficulties which press hard on many thinkers. It has raised and strengthened my faith in the gooducss of God; it has justified the wisdom which has chosen to educate mankind through impressions produced by the phenomena of the outward world.

March 8, 1870.
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CHAPTER I.

POPULAR THEORIES ON THE ORIGIN AND GROWTH
OF MYTHOLOGY.
We cannot examine the words by which we express our thoughts and our wants, or compare the stories which English children hear in their nurseries with the folk-talk of Germany and Norway, without speedily becoming aware that

CHAP. I. Method of inquiry. the inquiry on which we have entered must canry us back to the very infancy of mankind. We have undertaken the investigation of fact, and we must follow the track into which the search for facts has brought us. If we have been accustomed to think that the race of men started in their great career with matured powers and with a speech capable of expressing high spiritual conceptions, we cannot deny the gravity of the issue, when a science which professes to resolve this language into its ultimate elements, asserts that for a period of indefinite length hman speech expressed mere bodily sensations, and that it was confined to such expressions, because no higher thoughts had yet been awakened in the mind. But unless we choose to take refuge in assumptions, we must regard the question as strictly and simply a matter of fact: and all that we have to do, is to examine VOL. 1.

Book impartially the conditions of the problem, with the determi-

The nature of the problem to be solved.

Condition of society in the Greek heroic age nation of evading no conclusion to which the evidence of fact may lead us.
This problem is sufficiently startling, on whatever portion of the subject we may first fix our minds. The earliest literature, whether of the Hindu or the Greek, points in the direction to which the analysis of language seems to guide us. In both alike we find a genuine belief in a living Power, to whom men stand in the relation of children to a father; but in both, this faith struggles to find utterance in names denoting purely sensuous objects, and thus furnishing the germ of a sensuous mythology. Hence the developement of religious faith and of a true theology would go on side by side with the growth of an indiscriminate anthropomorphism, until the contrast became so violent as to call forth the indignant protests of men like Sokrates and Pindar, Euripides and Plato. Yet this contrast, as throwing us back upon the analysis of words, has enabled us to unlock the doors before which the most earnest seekers of ancient times groped in vain, and to trace almost from their very source all the streams of human thought.

This antagonism reached its highest point among the Hellenic tribes. From this point therefore we may most reasonably work back to that indefinitely earlier condition of thought in which 'the first attempts only were being made at expressing the simplest conceptions by means of a language most simple, most sensuous, and most unwieldy.' ${ }^{1}$ The Iliad and Odyssey exhibit a state of society which has long since emerged from mere brutishness and barbarism. It has its fixed order and its recognised gradations, a system of law with judges to administer it, and a public opinion which sets itself against some faults and vices not amenable to legal penalties. It brings before us men who, if they retain, in their occasional ferocity, treachery, and malice, characteristics which belong to the savage, yet recognise the majesty of law and submit themselves to its governmentwho are obedient, yet not servile-who care for other than mere brate forces, who recognise the value of wise words and

[^0]prudent counsels, and in the right of uttering them give the earnest of a yet higher and more developed freedom. ${ }^{1}$ It

CHAP. I. shows to us men who, if they regard all as enemies until by an outward covenant they have been made their friends, yet own the sanctity of an oath and acknowledge the duty of executing true judgment between man and man; who, if they are fierce in fight, yet abhor mutilation, torture, and unseemly insult, and are willing to recognise merit in an enemy not less readily than in a friend. Above all, it tells us of men who in their home life are honest and truthful, who make no pretension of despising human sympatly and setting lightly by kindness, gentleness, and love. If here and there we get glimpses of a charity which seeks a wider range, ${ }^{2}$ yet the love of wife and children and brethren is the rule and not the exception ; and everywhere, in striking contrast with Athenian society in the days of Perikles and Aspasia, we see men and women mingling together in equal and pure companionship, free alike from the arrogance and servility of Oriental empires, and from the horrible vices which, if even then in germ, were not matured till the socalled heroic ages had long passed away. ${ }^{3}$

But these epic poems tell us also of gods, some of whom at least had all the vices and few of the virtues of their worshippers. They tell us of a supreme ruler and father
of the Ifomeric socioty both in disenssion and in the administration of justice (History of Greece, ii. 90-101). Mr. (iladstone prosents the picture in a moro favourable light (Homere and the Homeric Age, ii. 122, (ve.).
${ }^{2}$ It is the praise of the woulthy Axylos (who is slain by Diomedees) that;
$\phi i ́ \lambda o s$ クु้ $\dot{\alpha} \nu 0 \rho \omega \pi \pi o t \sigma \iota \nu$.
 vaímp. Il. vi. 14.
${ }^{3}$ To this, more than to any other cunse, wer owing even the politiond disusters of later Grook history. It may, perhaps, be said with truth that the ovil did not exist in the Homorie age, but the canker had eaten reay decply into the heart of society bofore the days of Thacydides and Sokrates. For its results see 'Thirwall's History of Greece, viii. ch. Ixvi.

Book of gods and men who had not always sat upon his throne,
I. of other gods deposed and smitten down to dark and desolate regions, of feuds and factions, of lying and perjury, of ferocious cruelty and unmeasured revenge. They tell us of gods who delight in sensual enjoyments and care for little more than the fat of rams and goats, of gods who own no check to their passions, and recognise no law against impurity and lust. And even those gods who rise to a far higher ideal exhibit characters the most variable and actions the most inconsistent. The same being is at different times, nay, almost at the same time, just and iniquitous, truthful and false, temperate and debauched.

Contrast between mythological and. religious belief.

As describing the origin and attributes of the gods, the whole series of Greek myths may be said to form a theology ; and with the character of the people, this theology stands out in marked contrast. It is impossible for us to determine precisely the extent to which this mythical theology was believed, because it is not in our power to throw ourselves back wholly into their condition of thought; but if the absence of all doubt or reflection constitute faith, then their faith was given to the whole cycle of fables which make up the chronicles of their gods. But if we look to its influence on their thoughts at times when the human heart is stirred to its depths, we can scarcely say that this huge fabric of mythology challenged any belief at all: and thus we must draw a sharp line of severance between their theology and their religion, if we use religion in the sense attached to the word by Locke or Newton, Milton or Butler. If the poet recounts the loves of Zeus, the jealousies of Hêrê, the feuds and the factions in Olympos, it is equally certain that Achilleus does not pray to a sensual and lying god who owns no law for himself and cannot be a law for man. The contrast is heightened if we turn to the poems known as the Hesiodic. If the poet narrates a theogony which incurred the detestation or disgust of Pindar and of Plato, he tells us also of a Divine King who is a perfectly upright judge, and loves those who are clear of hand and pure of heart. ${ }^{\text {. If }}$ he

[^1]tells of horrible banquets to which the more fastidious faith of the lyric poet refuses to give credence, ${ }^{1}$ he bids all to follow after justice, because the gods spend their time, not in feasting, but in watching the ways and works of men. ${ }^{2}$ If $\mathbb{\text { Wschylos }}$ in one drama depicts the arrogant tyranny of Zeus as a usurper and an upstart, if the reiterated conviction of the prophetic Titan is that the new god shall fall, yet in others he looks up to the same Zeus (if indeed it be the same), ${ }^{3}$ as the avenger of successful wrong, the vindicator of a righteous law whose power and goodness are alike eternal. If for Sophokles the old mythology had not lost its charm, if he too might tell of the lawless loves and the wild licence of Zeus and other gods, yet his heart is fixed on higher realities, on that purity of word and deed which has its birth, not on earth, but in heaven, and of which the imperishable law is realised and consummated in a God as holy and everlasting. ${ }^{4}$
sentiment of the same age, or of times separated by no long interval; and in the latter poem the action of Zeus in the legend of Pandora, (which is also rolated in the Theogony) is utterly unlike that of the Zeus who figures in all the didactic portions of the work.
रоע $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha ́ \rho \omega \nu \tau \nu \nu^{\top} \in i \pi \epsilon \hat{i} \nu$ - à $\phi i \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \alpha \iota$.
Pindar, Olymp. i. 82.

Pindar's objection is a moral one; but Herodotos proceeded to reject on physical grounds the legend which told of the founding of the Dodonaian oracle, (ii. 57), as well as some of the exploits of Meraklos (ii. 45.) It was, however, a moral reason which led him practically to disbelieve the whole story of Helen's sojourn at Troy, (ii. 120). See also Grote, History of Greece, part i. ch. xy.
${ }^{2}$ Works and Days, 247-253.
${ }^{3}$ Zè̀s $\delta \sigma \tau t s \pi o \tau "$ ' $\sigma \sigma \tau i \nu$. Agamemnon, 160.
${ }^{4}$ Oid. Tyr. 863-871. The objection that comparative mythology, whilo it explains the Greok myths, fails 'to explain the Greek religion, or to explain how the mythology and the religion got mixed up together,' turns on the meaning of words. In one senso, their mythology was at once thoir theology and their religion; but if we regard roligion as a
rule of life based on a conscious submission to Divine Will and Law as being absolutely righteous, and if we ask how far the Greek had such a rule, we enter on a question of the gravest moment, which it is too much the practice of the present day summarily to dismiss. The acknowledged dislike which some felt for at least part of their theology, can be explained only by their knowledge of a higher law. But if it be maintained that the sense or thos sentiment, which lay at the root of this dislike, is either some relic of marlier and purer knowledge-in other words, of an origimal common revelation - or else a wonderful exercise of man's own reflective power,' we may reply that this is not the only alternative left open io us. When St. Paul speaks of Gentiles as being by nature a law to themselvis, he uses the word nature in a sense which implicitly denies that they obtained a knowledge of this law ly a more exercise of their reflective powers, and which implies that God had in all countries and ages loft a witness of himself in the hoarts of men as well as in the outward world. Suroly wo who acknowledge that all holy desires, all good counsels, and all just works proceed directly from God, maywell believe that the religious sense which led Pindar to reject some mythical tales, and Sukrates

CHAP.
I.

## B0OK

 I. The lyric and tragic poets were conscious of this contrast.It would be difficult to discover a more marvellous combination of seemingly inexplicable contradictions, of belief in the history of gods utterly distinct from the faith which guided the practice of men, of an immoral and impure theology with a condition of society which it would be monstrous to regard as utterly and brutally depraved. Yet, in some way or other, this repulsive system, from which heathen poets and philosophers learnt gradually to shrink scarcely less than ourselves, had come into being, had been systematized into a scheme more or less coherent, and imposed upon the people as so much genuine history. What this origin and growth was, is (strange as it may appear) one of the most momentous questions which we can put to ourselves, for on its answer must depend our conclusions on the
to insist on a moral standard of which our common practice falls sadly short, was the direct work of the Spirit of God. Langnage is as much the gift of God, whether according to the popular notion man spoke articulately from the first, or, as the analysis of language seems to show, acquired the power of speech through a slow and painful discipline; nor would many venture to say that we learnt to walk or to judge by sight or touch through powers originally acquired by ourselves. If then, whatever of truth the Greck poets possessed came from God, that truth would continue to grow, even while they spoke of the Divine Being under a name which had originally signified the sky. If Comparative Mythology brings before us a time during which men appear at first to have little consciousness of a personal Maker of the Visible World, it may also show us how out of the darkness of their earlier thoughts they were led to feol that there was a Power-independent of all things, yet pervading all thingswith which they had to do, and that this Power was righteous and good. But the Greek who like Xenophanes (Max Müller, Chips from a German Workshop, i. 366), had this feeling and was conscious of it, would still speak of that Power as Zeus; nor has Christianity itself banished from its language names which come from the myth-making ages. The Romance and Teutonic names for God remain what they were before the growth of Christianity; they
have merely acquired another comnotation.
If, then, we wish to havo a truo idea of Greek religion in the highost sense of the word, we must patiently gather all the detached sentences bearing on the subject which are scattered throughout the wide field of their literature; but without gring over the ground traversed by M. Maury, (Les Religions de la Grèce antique), the inquiry may practically be brought into a narrow compass. We have abundant evidence that the religion of tho Greeks, like our own, was a trust 'in an all-wise, allpowerful, eternal Being, the Ruler of the world, whom we approach in prayer and meditation, to whom we commit all our cares, and whose presence we feel not only in the outward world, but also in the warning voice within our hearts.' It is in this sense that Augustino speaks of the Christian religion as existing among the ancients; but Professor Max Müller, who rightly lays great stress on this remark (Chips from a German Workshop, i. xi.), has also pointed out the little regard which Augustine paid to his own doctrine. "Through the whole of St. Augustine's work, and through all the works of earlier Christian divines, as far as I can judge, there runs the same spirit of hostility, blinding them to all that may be good and true and sacred, and magnifying all that is bad, false, and corrupt in the ancient religions of mankind.' (Lectures ons Lazgrage, second series. x. 421.)
conditions of human life during the infancy of mankind. If the fragmentary narratives, which were gradually arranged into one gigantic system, were the work of a single age or of several generations who devoted theunselves to their fabrication, then never has there been seen in the annals of mankind an impurity more loathsome, an appetite more thoroughly depraved, a moral sense more hopelessly blunted, than in those who framed the mythology of the Greek or the Hindu. Of the answers which have been given to this question, it can be no light matter to determine which furnishes the most adequate solution.
The method which Mr. Grote, in his 'History of Greece,' ${ }^{1}$ has adopted for the examination of Greek legend, appears rather to avoid the difficulty than to grapple with it. There is unquestionably much personification in their mythology;

## CHAP.

 I. Historical signification of Greek mythology. there is also undoubtedly a good deal of allegory; but neither allegory nor personification will furnish a real explanation of the whole. It may be true to say that Ouranos, Nyx, Hypnos, and Oneiros are persons in the Hesiodic Theogony, although it is probably erroneous to say that they are just as much persons as Zeus or Apollôn; and the supposition is certainly inadmissible ' that these legends could all be traced by means of allegory into a coherent body of physical doctrine. ${ }^{\prime 2}$ But there are beyond doubt many things even in the Hesiodic Theogony which have at least no human personality; ${ }^{3}$ nor does the assertion of personality, whether of Zeus or Herakles or Apollôn, in the least degree account for the shape which the narrative of their deeds assumes, or for the contradictory aspects in which they are brought before us. It does not in any way explain why Zeus and Herakles should have so many earthly loves, and why in every land there should be those who claim descent from. them, or why there should be so much of resemblance and of difference between Phoibos and Helios, Gaia and Dêmêtêr, Nereus and Poseidôn. But Mr. Grote was examining the mythology of Greece as an historian of outward facts, not as[^2]BOOK
I.

one who is tracing out the history of the human mind; and from this point of view he is justified in simply examining. the legends, and then dismissing them as the picture ' of a past which never was present.' To this expression Professor Max Müller takes great exception, and especially protests against Mr. Grote's assertion of 'the uselessness of digging for a supposed basis of truth' in the myths of the Greek world. ${ }^{1}$ But although it appears certain that the Greek mythology points to an actual and not an imaginary past, a past which must have for us a deep and abiding interest, it would yet seem that Professor Müller has misinterpreted the words of Mr. Grote, who by 'truth' means the verification of actual occurrences, and by a real past means a past of whose events we can give an authentic narrative. ${ }^{2}$ In this sense, to assert the truth of the lives and adventures of Zeus and Herakles, after stripping away from them the clothing of the supernatural, is to fall back on the system of Euêmeros, and to raise a building without foundation. But it is obvious that this method leaves the origin of this theology and the question of its contradictions, and still more of its impurity and grossness, just where it found them. It carries us no further back than the legends themselves, while it fails to remove the reproach which heathen apologists and Christian controversialists alike assumed or admitted to be true. ${ }^{3}$
Conflicting viems as to its origin.

Two theories only appear to attempt a philosophical analysis of this vast system. While one repudiates the imputation of a deliberate fabrication of impurities, the other asserts as strongly the wilful moral corruption exhibited in the theogonic narratives of the Greeks. In the inconsistent

[^3]that having thus swept away its historical character, he should not have seen that there must be some reason for that singular agreement between Teutonic and Greek mythology, which, at the least, he partially discerns, and that the 'remarkable analogy' presented by the: Völsunga Saga 'with many points of Grecian mythical narrative' is a fact to be accounted for.
${ }^{3}$ Grote, History of Grecce, part i. ch. xvii.
and repulsive adventures of Zeus or Herakles, it sees the perversion of high and mysterious doctrines originally imparted to man, and discerns in the gradations of the Olympian hierarchy vestiges of the most mysterious doctrines embraced in the whole compass of Christian teaching. By this theory all that is contradictory, immoral, or disgusting in Greek mythology is the direct result of human sinfulness and rebellion, and resolves itself into the distortion of a divine revelation imparted to Adam immediately after the Fall.

There are few subjects on which it would be more rash to give or withhold assent to any statement without the clearest definition of terms. We may admit the truth of Bishop Butler's assertion that the analogy of nature furnishes no presumption against a revelation when man was first placed upon the earth; ${ }^{1}$ but it is obvious that they who agree in asserting the fact of such a revelation may yet have widely different conceptions of its nature and extent. And although it is easy to see the place which Butler's statement holds in the general connection of his argument, it is not so easy to ascertain what on this point his own judgment may have been. Human feeling recoils instinctively from any notion that the Being who placed man in the world ever left him wholly to himself; but the repudiation of such an idea in no way determines the amount of knowledge imparted to him at the first. Nations have been found, and still exist, whose languages contain not a single word expressive of divinity, and into whose mind the idea of God or of any religion seems never to have entered. ${ }^{2}$ If it be hard to measure the depth of degradation to which the Abipones, the Bushman, and the Arstralian may have fallen, it is impossible to believe that the struggles of men like Sokrates and Plato after truth had no connection with a guiding and controlling power. If in the former we discern the cvidence

[^4][^5]Hypothesis of an original
CHAP. I. revelation.
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of wilful corruption, we must recognise in the latter the vigorous growth of a mind and spirit which seeks to obey the law of its constitution. ${ }^{1}$ In Bishop Butler's philosophy, the reason of man is the Divine Reason dwelling in him; the voice of his conscience is the word of God. That these gifts involved a revelation of divine truth, it is impossible to deny; but whether this is all that he meant by the assertion of an original revelation, the Analogy does not enable us to determine with precision. He does, however, assert that the question of the extent of that revelation is to be considered 'as a common question of fact;' and too great a stress cannot be laid on these words. ${ }^{2}$

Extent of original revelation.

No such charge of ambiguity can be brought against the view which Mr. Gladstone has maintained in his elaborate work on 'Homer and the Homeric Age.' In his judgment, all that is evil in Greek mythology is the result not of a natural and inevitable process, when words used originally in one sense came unconsciously to be employed in another, but of a systematic corruption of very sacred and very mysterious doctrines. These corruptions have, in his opinion, grown up not around what are generally called the first principles of natural religion, but around dogmas of which the images, so vouchsafed, were realised in a long subsequent dispensation. In the mythology of the Hellenic race he sees a vast fabric, wonderfully systematized, yet in some parts ill-cemented and incongruous, on the composition of which his theory seems to throw a full and unexpected light. In it he hears the key-note of a strain whose music had been long forgotten and misunderstood, but whose harmony would never of itself have entered into mortal mind. It could not be supplied by invention, for 'invention cannot absolutely create, it can only work on what it finds already provided to hand.' ${ }^{3}$ Rejecting altogether the position that 'the basis of the Greek mythology is laid in the deification of the powers of nature, ${ }^{\prime 4}$ he holds that under corrupted forms it presents the old Theistic and Messianic traditions, ${ }^{5}$

[^6]that ly a primitive tradition, if not by a direct command, it upheld the ordinance of sacrifice; ${ }^{1}$ that its course was from light to darkness, from purity to uncleanness. ${ }^{2}$ Its starting point was ' the idea of a Being infinite in power and intelligence, and though perfectly good, yet good by an unchangeable internal determination of character, and not by the constraint of an external law.' ${ }^{3}$ But the idea of goodness can be retained only by a sound moral sense ; the notion of power is substituted when that sense is corrupted by sin. ${ }^{4}$ But sin has no such immediate action on the intellect. Hence the power and wisdom of the Homeric Gods is great and lofty, while their moral standard is indefinitely low. ${ }^{5}$ But the knowledge of the Divine Existence roused the desire to know also where He dwelt; and, in the mighty agencies and sublime objects of creation in which they fancied that they saw Him, Mr. Gladstone discerns the germs of that natureworship which was ingrafted on the true religion originally imparted to mankind. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ This religion involved (i), the Unity and Supremacy of the Godhead; (ii), a combination with this Unity, of a Trinity in which the several persons are in some way of coequal honour; (iii), a Redeemer from the curse of death, invested with full humanity, who should finally establish the divine lkingdom; (iv), a Wisdom, personal and divine, which founded and sustains the world ; (v), the connection of the Redecmer with man by descent from the woman. With this was joined the revelation of the Evil One, as a tempting power among men, and the leader of rebellious angels who had for disobedience been hurled from their thrones in heaven. ${ }^{7}$

[^7]Gladstone has propounded it again in his parting address to the University of TAinburgh (1865), and more recently with certain modifications in his rolume entitled fuventus Mundi (1868). These modifications will be noticed in their sevcal places; hat as his last work is intended to cmborly the greater part of the results at, which hearrived in his Homeric studies, and as his theory of the origin of Greek mythology remains substantially what it was before, I have not thought it necessary to alter the text which was written long before the publication of Juventus Mundi. Indeod,

BOOK I. Its alleged perversion by the Greeks,
as shown in the attributes of their Gods.

Putting aside the question how far these ideas may reflect the thought of later ages, we must admit with Mr. Gladstone that from this shadowing forth of the great dogmas of the Trinity the next step might be into Polytheism, and from that of the Incarnation into anthropomorphism or the reflection of humanity upon the supernatural world. ${ }^{1}$ This true theology, in the hands of the Greeks, was perverted into a Trinity of the three sons of Kronos: Zeus, Hades, and Poseidôn. The tradition of the Redeemer is represented by Apollôn; the Divine Wisdom is embodied in Athênê ; ${ }^{2}$ and Lêtô, their mother, stands in the place of the woman from whom the Deliverer was to descend. The traditions of the Evil One were still further obscured. Evil, as acting by violence, was represented most conspicuously in the Titans and giants-as tempting by deceit, in the Atê of Homer, while lastly, the covenant of the rainbow reappears in Tris. ${ }^{3}$

For these primitive traditions, which are delivered to us 'either in the ancient or the more recent books of the Bible,' ${ }^{4}$ Mr. Gladstone alleges the corroborative evidence furnished by the Jewish illustrative writings during or after the captivity in Babylon. ${ }^{5}$ These writings bear witness to the extraordinary elevation of the Messiah, and to the introduction of the female principle into Deity, which the Greeks adopted not as a metaphysical conception, but with a view to the family order among immortals. ${ }^{6}$ Thus in the Greck Athênê and Apollôn respectively he distinguishes the attributes assigned by the Jews to the Messiah and to Wisdomthe attributes of sonship and primogeniture, of light, of mediation, of miraculous operation, of conquest over the Evil One, and of the liberation of the dead from the power of hell, together with ' an assemblage of the most winning and endearing moral qualities. ${ }^{7}$

System of secondaries.

This theory Mr. Gladstone has traced with great minuteness and ingenuity through the tangled skein of Greek mythology. The original idea he finds disintegrated, and a

[^8]${ }^{1}$ Homer and the Homeric Age, ii. 43.
${ }^{2}$ Thid. 44. ${ }^{3}$ Thid. 45. ${ }^{4}$ Ibid. 48.
${ }^{5}$ Ibid. 50. ${ }^{6}$ Ibid. 51. ${ }^{7}$ Ibid. 58.
system of secondaries is the necessary consequence. Far above all are exalted Apollôn and Athênê, in their personal purity ${ }^{1}$ yet more than in their power, in their immediate action, ${ }^{2}$ in their harmony with the will of the Supreme King, and in the fact that they alone, among the deities of a second generation, are admitted to equal honour with the Kronid brothers, if not even to higher. ${ }^{3}$ But some of their attributes are transferred to other beings, who are simply embodiments of the attribute so transferred and of no other. Thus Athênê is attended by Hermes, Ares, Themis, and Hephaistos; Apollôn by Paiêôn and the Muses; ${ }^{4}$ as, similarly, we have in Gaia a weaker impersonation of Dêmêtêr, and Nereus as representing simply the watery realm of Poseidôn. In Lêtô, their mother, is shadowed forth the woman whose seed was to bruise the head of the serpent; for Lêtô herself has scarcely any definite office in the Homeric theology, and she remains, from any view except this one, an anomaly in mythological belief. ${ }^{5}$ But the traditions which relate to the under-world, which is the realm of Hades, are not less full than those which tell us of the heavenly order of Olympos. Amidst some little confusion, Mr. Gladstone discerns a substantial correspondence with divine revelation, and finds in the Homeric poems the place of bliss destined finally for the good, the place of torment imhabited by the Evil One and his comrades, and the intermediate abode for departed spirits, whether of the good or the evil. ${ }^{6}$ But while the prevalence of sacrifice attests the strength of primitive tradition, of the Sabbatical institution there is no trace. ${ }^{7}$ It was an ordinance ' too highly spiritual to survive the rude shocks and necessities of earthly life.'

Of the other deities some owe their existence to invention, which has been busy in depraving and debasing the idea even of those which are traditive. ${ }^{8}$ Thus Hêrê was invented because Zeus must not live alone, and Rhea because he must have a mother; and a whole mass of human adventure and of human passion without human recognition of law is

[^9]CHAP.

BOOK stand out unsullied in their purity and goodness), not, however, without occasional protests from the poet who had not yet become familiar with the deification of vicious passion. ${ }^{1}$

Nature of the doctrines perverted in Greek mythology. is no distortion of primary truths which first dawn on the mind of a child or are imparted to it, and which, it might have been supposed, would form the substance of divine truth granted to man during the infancy of his race. It is the corruption of recondite and mysterious dogmas which were not to become facts for hundreds or thousands of years, of doctrines which the speculations of Jewish rabbis may have drawn into greater prominence, but which form the groundwork of Christian theology. Zeus, the licentious tyrant, the perjured deceiver, the fierce hater, the lover of revelry and banqueting, who boasts of his immunity from all restraint and law, is the representative of the Infinite and Eternal Father. He with Hades and Poseidôn represents the Christian Trinity; but Hades represents also the power of darkness, and Poseidôn shares the attributes of God with those of the devil, ${ }^{2}$ while all are children of the dethroned Kronos, in whom again the evil power finds an impersonation. ${ }^{3}$ When we survey the whole mass of mythological legend; when we spread out before us the lives of Zeus and his attendant gods (scarcely excepting even Athênê and Apollôn), we stand aghast at the boldness of an impiety which has perhaps never had its parallel. The antediluvian records of the Old Testament bring before us a horrible picture of brute violence, resulting possibly from a deification of human will, which, it would seem, left no room for any theology whatever; bat this is an astounding parody which would seem to be

[^10][^11]founded not on dim foreshadowings of a true revelation, but on the dogmatic statements of the Athanasian Creed. That

CHAP. I. a theology thus wilfully falsified should be found with a people not utterly demoralised, but exhibiting on the whole a social condition of great promise and a moral standard rising constantly higher, is a phenomenon, if possible, still more astonishing. On the supposition that Greek mythology was a corrupted religious system, it must, to whatever extent, have supplied a rule of faith and practice, and the actions and character of the gods must have furnished a justification for the excesses of human passion. That no such justification is alleged, and that the whole system seems to exercise no influence either on their standard of morality or their common practice, are signs which might appear to warrant the presumption that this mythology was not the object of a moral belief. The whole question, viewed in this light, is so utterly perplexing, and apparently so much at variance with the conditions of Homeric society, that we are driven to examine more strictly the evidence on which the hypothesis rests. We remember that we are dealing not with a theme for philosophical speculation, but with a common question of fact, ${ }^{1}$ and that Mr . Gladstone assumes not only that there was a primitive revelation, but that it set forth certain dogmas. With these assumptions the phenomena of mythology must be made to fit: a genuine historical method excludes all assumptions whatsoever.

If, however, hypothesis is to be admitted, then it must be granted that the attributes and functions of the Hellenic gods have seldom been analysed with greater force, clearness,

## Attributes

 of Atheno and Apollôn. and skill ; nor can it be denied that Mr. Gladstone's hypothesis, as in the case of Lueto, furnishes a plausible explanation of some things which appear anomalous. ${ }^{2}$ But it introduces the necessity of interpreting mythology so as to square with a preconceived system, and involves a temptation to lessen[^12][^13]BOOK I.
or to pass over difficulties which appear to militate against it. The Homeric legends are not so consistent as for such a purpose would seem desirable, and there are the gravest reasons for not inferring from the silence of the poet that he was ignorant of other versions than those which he has chosen to adopt. ${ }^{1}$ On the supposition that Athênê and Apollôn represent severally the Divine Redeemer and the Divine Wisdom, their relation of will to the Supreme Father becomes a point of cardinal interest and importance. But when Mr. Gladstone asserts that, 'although Athênê goes all lengths in thwarting Jupiter' in the Iliad, ' 'yet her aim is to give effect to a design so unequivocally approved in Olympus, that Jupiter himself has been constrained to give way to it,' he places too far in the background certain other Homeric incidents which imply a direct contrariety of will. No weaker term can rightly characterise that abortive conspiracy to bind Zeus, in which she is the accomplice of Hêrê and Poseidôn. In this plot, the deliverance comes not from Apollôn, whose office it is to be 'the defender and deliverer of heaven and the other immortals,' but from Thetis, the silver-footed nymph of the sea; ${ }^{3}$ and by her wise counsels Zeus wins the victory over one who is with himself a member of the traditive Trinity. The same legend qualifies another statement, that Athênê and Apollôn are never foiled, defeated,

[^14][^15]or outwitted by any other of the gods; ${ }^{1}$ for Athêne here is foiled by Thetis. Elsewhere we have A.pollôn, ${ }^{2}$ like Poseidôn, cheated by Laomedôn whom he had served, and finding a more congenial master, but yet a master, in Admêtos; ${ }^{3}$ while the parentage of the three Kronid brothers ${ }^{4}$ and the double character of Poseidôn ${ }^{5}$ stand forth as the most astounding contradictions of all.

There are other legends which represent Athênê in a light inconsistent with the personification of the Divine Wisdom. In the tale of Pandora, at the instigation of Zcus she takes part in the plot which results in the increased wickedness and misery of man ; ${ }^{6}$ in that of Prometheus, she aids in the theft of fire from heaven against the will of Zeas, while one version represents her as acting thus, not from feelings of friendship, but from the passion of love. These legends are not found in our Homer, but it is impossible to prove that the poet was unacquainted with them. He makes no reference to some myths, which are at once among the oldest and the most beautiful; and he certainly knew of the dethronement of Kronos, as well as of factions in the new dynasty of the gods. ${ }^{7}$

But if the theory of religious perversion, apart from its moral difficulties, involves some serious contradictions, it altogether fails to explain why the mythology of the Greeks assumed many of its peculiar and perhaps most striking features. It does not show us why some of the gods should
${ }^{1}$ Gladstono, Homer, fc., ii. 74.
${ }^{2}$ Ibid. $7 \overline{5}$.
${ }^{3}$ Ihid. 81. If these legends are strictly dorelopements from old mythical phrases, the meaning of which was only in part remembered, there remains no difficulty whatever in such statements. In theso there is reftoctod upon Apollon an idea derived from the toiling sun, which is brought out in its fulness in the adrentures of Herakles and Bollerophon.
Mr. Gladstono lays Mr. Gladstone lays stress on the rolation of Apollon and Artemis to Death (p. 103), and holds that hore wo are on very sacred ground (p. 1(4) the traces, namely. of One who, as an allconquering King, was to be terriblo and destructive to his onemies, but who
was also, on hehalf of mankind, to toke was also, ou behalf of mankind, to take away the sting from death, and to
change its iron band for a thread of silken slumber.' The question is further examined p. 123 ate: but the myths developed from phrases which spoke originally of the benoficent and destructive power of the sun's rays and heat porfectly explain every such attribute, whether in Apollon or Arremis.
${ }^{4}$ Gladstono, Homer, ii. 162 .
5 Ibid. 206.

- Hosiod, Theogon., 673; Works and Days, 63.

Similarly, the Iliad says nothing about the death of Achilleus: yet the poet is aware that his life is to be short.

is the frequent reproach of Achilleus to his mothor Thotis. VOL. I.

CHAP. I.

Relations of wil! hetween Zeus and
Athêne.

Peculiar forms of Grork my thology.

BOOK be represented pure, others as in part or altogether immoral:

Consequences involvedin the perversion of an original revelation. it does not tell us why Zeus and Herakles should be coarse and sensual, rather than Athênê and Apollôn; it does not explain why Apollôn is made to serve Admêtos, why Herakles bears the yoke of Eurystheus, and Bellerophôn that of the Kilikian king. It fails to show why Herakles should appear as the type of self-restraint and sensuality, of labour and sluggishness, why names so similar in meaning as Lykâôn, Helios and Phaethôn, should be attached to beings whose mythical history is so different. If for these and other anomalies there is a method of interpretation which gives a clear and simple explanation, which shows how such anomalies crept into being, and why their growth was inevitableif this method serves also as a key, not merely to the mythology of Greece, but to that of the whole Aryan race, nay, even to a wider system still, a presumption at least is furnished, that the simpler method may after all be the truest.

Yet more, the hypothesis of a corrupted revelation involves some further consequences, which have a material bearing on the question. That which is so perverted cannot become clearer and more definite in the very process of corrupt developement. Not only must the positive truths, imparted at the first, undergo distortion, but the ideas involved in them must become weaker and weaker. If the Unity of God formed one of those primitive truths, then the personality and the power of Zẹus would be more distinct and real in the earliest times than in the later. The ideas of the Trinity, of the Redeemer, and of the Divine Wisdom, would be more prominent in those first stages of belief in the case of a people who confessedly were not sustained by new or continued revelations. The personality of a Divine Wisdom is not a dogma which men in a thoroughly rude society could reason out for themselves; and if it formed part of an original revelation, the lapse of time would tend to weaken, not to strengthen it. If, again, this corrupting process had for its cause a moral corruption going on in the hearts and lives of men, then this corruption would be intensified in proportion to the degree in which the original revelation was overlaid. ${ }^{1}$

[^16]In the Hellenic mythology, this process is reversed. Even

CHAP. I. have undergone a developement of centuries; but if it is impossible to measure, by any reference to an older Greek literature, the personality and attributes of each god as compared with the conceptions of a previous age, it is obvious that the general tone of feeling and action, and the popular standard of morality had not been debased with the growth of their mythology. Whether the Hesiodic poems belong to a later period than our Miad and Odyssey is a question into which it is unnecessary here to enter: but it must be admitted that if their theology is more systematised, and their theogony more repulsive, their morality and philosophy is immeasurably higher and more true. The latter may not exhibit the same heroic strength, they may betray a querulous spirit not unlike that of the Jewish preacher; but they display a conviction of the perfect justice and equity of the Divine Being, and an appreciation of goodness, as being equally the duty and the interest of mankind, ${ }^{1}$ which we could scarcely desire to have strengthened. ${ }^{2}$ With the growth of a mythology and its more systematic arrangement the perception of moral truth has become more keen and intense; and the same age which listened to the book of the generations of Zeus, Kronos, and Aphrodite, learnt wisdom from the pensive precepts of the 'Works and Days.'

[^17]BOOK It is perhaps difficult to determine how far the characters of Phoibos and Athênê have been drawn out and systematised. by the genius and moral instinct of the poet himself. We have no evidence, in any extant literature, of the precise state in which he found the national mythology; but it seems unlikely that he had what may be termed a theological authority for every statement which he makes and every attribute which he assigus to the one or the other. It is certain that Athênê once conspired against the freedom of Zeus ; ${ }^{1}$ but we cannot tell how far the poet himself intensified the general harmony of her will to that of the King of gods and men, nor can we forget that Ushas is as dear to gods and men as Athênê herself, and that Ushas is undeniably nothing but the morning. But language has furnished evidence, which it is impossible to resist, of the gradual process which imparted to these mythical deities both their personality and their attributes. The literature of another branch of the same Aryan race exhibits a mythology whose substantial identity with that of the Greeks it is impossible to dispute; but in that mythology beings, whose personality in the Homeric poems is sharply drawn and whose attributes are strictly defined, are still dim and shadowy. Even the great Olympian king has not received the passions and appetites, and certainly not the form of man. Nay, in that older mythology their persons and their attributes are alike interchangeable. That which among the Greeks we find as a highly developed and complicated system, is elsewhere a mere mass of floating legend, nay, almost of mere mythical phrases, without plan or cohesion. This difference, at first sight so perplexing, may itself enable us to discover the great secret of the origin and growth of all mythology: but the fact remains indisputable that in the Veda, to use the words of Professor Max Müller, 'the whole nature of these so-called gods is still transparent, their first conception in many cases clearly perceptible. There are as yet no genealogies, no settled marriages between gods and goddesses. The father is sometimes the son, the brother is the husband, and she who in one hymn is the mother is in another the wife. As the

[^18]conceptions of the poet vary, so varies the nature of these gods. Nowhere is the wide distance which separates the

CIIIIP. [. ancient poems of India from the most ancient literature of Greece more clearly felt than when we compare the growing myths of the Veda with the full-grown and decayed myths on which the poetry of Homer is founded.' ${ }^{\text {: }}$ But the unformed mythology of the Veda followed in its own land a course analogous to that of the mythology of Greece. There was the same systematic developement, with this difference, that in India the process was urged on by a powerful sacerdotal order who found their interest in the expansion of the old belief. In the earlier Vedas there is no predominant priesthood, and only the faintest indications of caste; there are no temples, no public worship, and, as it would seem, no images of the gods; and (what is of immeasurably greater importance in reference to the mythological creed of the Homeric poets) there are, in the words of Horace Wilson, ' no indications of a triad, the creating, preserving, and destroying power. Brahma does not appear as a deity, and Vishnu, although named, has nothing in common with the Vishnu of the Puranas: no allusion occurs to his Avataras. . . . . These differences are palpable, and so far from the Vedas being the

[^19][^20]BOOK

Methods of determining the extent of primitire revelation.
basis of the existing system, they completely overturn it.' ${ }^{1}$ The comparison is scarcely less fatal to the mythological Trinity of the Greeks.

We come at length to the question of fact. What was the measure of divine truth imparted to man on his creation, or immediately after the fall, and under what forms was it conreyed? If, when stated thus, the question should be one which we cannot absolutely determine, we may yet ask, was it a revelation as explicit and extensive as Mr. Gladstone represents it to have been? To allege the rabbinical traditions and speculations of comparatively recent times ${ }^{2}$ as evidence for the latent meaning of Greek mythology, is to treat the subject in a way which would simply make any solution of the problem impossible. The force of a current, when its stream has been divided, will not tell us much about the course or depth of kindred streams which have branched off in other directions. Accordingly, although later traditions appear to be blended in his idea of the primitive belief, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{Mr}$. Gladstone rightly insists that the Homeric mythology must, if his hypothesis be correct, show the vestiges of a traditional knowledge 'derived from the epoch when the covenant of God with man, and the promise of a Messiah, had not yet fallen within the contracted forms of Judaism for shelter,' " and that these traditions must 'carry upon them the mark of belonging to the religion which the Book of Genesis represents as brought by our first parents from Paradise and as delivered by them to their immediate descendants in general. ${ }^{5}$ Thus the era of the division of races is the latest limit to which we can bring down a common tradition for all mankind; and for that tradition we are confined to the first eleven chapters of the book of Genesis.

Evidenco of the Book of Genesis.

From these chapters we must derive our proof that our first parents and their immediate descendants possessed the idea of an Infinite Being whose perfect goodness arose, not

[^21][^22]from external restraints, but from an unchangeable internal determination of character ${ }^{1}$-of a Trinity of Co-equal Per-

CHAP. I. $\underbrace{1 .}$ sons in the Divine Unity-of a Redeemer who should hereafter assume their nature and deliver from death and sin-of a Divine Wisdom which was with God from the beginning, and of an Evil One, who, having fallen from his throne in heaven, had now become an antagonistic power, tempting: men to their destruction. ${ }^{2}$

Whether these early chapters may contain this theological scheme by just and legitimate inference, whether the words there written may contain the earnest and the warrant of the full Christian revelation, are questions with which we are not here concerned. It is not a question of doctrine or belief or theological analysis. It is a simple question of fact which must determine whether various races of mankind were or were not guilty of wilful perversion of high and mysterious doctrines. Here, if anywhere, that purification of the intellect would seem to be needed, the lack of which tends to a substitution of traditional teaching or association for an impartial sifting of evidence. ${ }^{3}$ There was a time when these early records formed the whole literature of the people; and, to adopt Mr. Gladstone's expression, it would not be 'safe to make any large assumption respecting a traditional knowledge of any parts of early revelation' beyond what those records actually contain. ${ }^{4}$ Taken wholly by themselves, and not interpreted by the light thrown on them by the thought and belief of later ages, these records tell us of man as being (in some sense not explicitly defined) made in the Divine image and likeness-of one positive prohibition, the violation of which was to be followed by immediate death-of a subtle beast which tempts the woman to disobey the command, and of a sense of shame which follows the transgression. They tell us of flight and hiding when the man hears the voice of God walking in the garden in the cool of the day-of an attempt to transfer the blame from the man to the woman,

[^23][^24]BOOK from the woman to the serpent-of a sentence of hrmiliation
I. passed upon the latter, with the warning that its head should be bruised by the woman's seed-of a life of toil and labour for the former, ending with a return to the dust from which he had been made. Besides this, they tell us briefly that after some generations men began to call upon the name of the Lord; that in the course of time they sank (with but one exception) into brute lust and violence; and that on the renovation of the earth men were made answerable for each other's blood, and received the token of the rainbow as a warrant for the future permanence of the course of nature. But of any revelation before the fall, beyond a command to till the garden and to abstain from the fruit of a particular tree, these records give not the slightest indication.

If the doctrines which, in Mr. Gladstone's belief, made up the primitive revelation, are contained in these chapters, it is, he admits, by a dim and feeble foreshadowing.' They tell us nothing of God in the perfection of His nature, or of a Unity of Three Persons in the Godhead. They tell us of a subtle serpent, not of a fallen angel, of the seed of the woman as bruising that serpent's head, not of a Divine Redeemer delivering from sin and spiritual death. Still less do they tell us of a Divine Wisdom, of an institution of sacrifice, ${ }^{2}$ or of a spiritual communion in prayer as existing from the first between man and God. All these doctrines may be legitimate deductions; but if to us the record itself gives only mysterious glimpses of a future fuller revelation, if to us these inferences from its contents are the result of careful comparison with the later books of the Old Testament, if even to us their harmony with the belief of prophets and righteous men of later ages seems clear only because we have been taught to regard it as clear, then what evidence have we that in the time of which the third chapter of Genesis speaks to us, our parents had a full apprehension of what

[^25][^26]even to us apart from later associations would be faint and shadowy? For if on the revelation made to them the vast mass of Greek mythology grew up as a corrupt incrustation, they must have received these truths not in their germ. but in full dogmatic statement. It is difficult to understand how such a statement would have been to them anything more than a dead unmeaning formula, waiting to be quickened into life by the breath of a later revelation or by the evidence of later facts.

If, again, there is any one lesson which may be drawn before others from the character of the Old Testament records, it is that ideas, dim and feeble at first, acquire gradually strength and consistency, that the clearness of revelation is increased as the stream widens, and that all positive belief is the result of years and gencrations of discipline. But in some mysterious way, while the course of the Jewish people was from the lesser to the greater, they in whose hands the Homeric theology was moulded started with a fulness of doctrinal knowledge which was not attained by the former until a long series of centuries had passed away.

If, further, an acceptance of the records of the book of Greek corGenesis involves no assumption of the previous existence of traditions or doctrines not mentioned in those records, it frees us not less from the necessity of supposing that in all but the Jewish world a process was going on directly contrary to that under which the Israelites were being trained. But while we assent to Mr. Gladstone's remark on the ease with which these foreshadowings of the Trinity and of Redemption might pass into polytheism and anthropomorphism, it would scarcely argue a spirit of irreverence if we asked why doctrinal statements should have been given which the receivers could not understand, and which under these conditions rendered such a transition not merely likely but inevitable.

There is an instinctive reluctance to accept any theory which heightens human depravity and corruption, unless there are weighty reasons for doing so. ${ }^{1}$ And, unquestion-
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ably, on the hypothesis which has just been examined, the mythology of the Greeks exhibits an instance of wilful and profane perversion, to which perhaps we can find no parallel. But the character of that mythology still remains when we have rejected this supposition. We have still before us the chronicles or legends of gods who not merely eat and drink and sleep, but display the working of the vilest of human passions. Some process, therefore, either conscious or unconscious, must have brought about a result so perplexing ; and if even for conscious invention there must have been some groundwork, much more must this be the case if we take up an alternative which even less admits the exercise of a creative faculty.
Conditions If then, apart from the controversies which have gathered of the inquiry. round the documents which compose the book of Genesis, we gain from the earliest Jewish records no knowledge of the mode in which mythology was developed, it is clear that, if the question is ever to be answered, we must seek the evidence in the history of language and of ancient civilisation. If both alike seem to carry us back to a time in which the condition of man resembled most nearly that of an infant, we can but accept the evidence of facts, so far as those facts are ascertained and understood. The results of archæological researches may not be flattering to human vanity. They may reveal a coarse brutality from which during a long series of ages man rose in the struggle for existence to some notion of order and law. They may disclose a state of society in which a hard apathy and a stupid terror seemed to render all intellectual growth impossible, and in which a religion of fear found its universal expression in human sacrifices. ${ }^{1}$ Yet the

[^28]the same process of slow and painful developement from the first faint dawn of intelligence. The conclusion must, indeed, be proved: but its establishment no more calls into question the Divine Education of the world, than the slowness with which infants learn to walk proves that our powers of motion originate in ourselves; and certainly the evidence both of archrology and language, so far as it has gone, tends more and more to exhibit mankind in their primeval condition as passing
picture, if it be gloomy, introduces no new difficulties beside those with which philosophers or theologians have to contend already in their attempts to explain the phenomena of the material or moral world. The fact that there has been growth, the fact that out of such poor elements there has been developed a knowledge of the relations in which men stand to each other and of the consequences which flow from these relations, is of itself the evidence that at all times and in all places the Divine Spirit has been teaching and educating. the children of men, that always and everywhere God has been doing the work of which we now see darkly but a very small part, and of which hereafter we shall better understand the nature and purpose.

If then the mythology of the Aryan nations is to be studied to good purpose, the process applied to their legends must be strictly scientific. In every Aryan land we have a vast mass of stories, some preserved in great epic poems, some in the pages of mythographers or historians, some in tragic, lyric, or comic poetry, and some again only in the oral tradition or folklore of the people. All these, it is clear, nust be submitted to that method of comparison and differences by which inductive science has achieved its greatest triumphs. Not a step must be taken on mere coujecture: not a single result must be anticipated by ingenious hypothesis. For the reason of their existence we must search, not in our own moral convictions, or in those of ancient Greeks or Romans, but in the substance and materials of the myths themselves. We must deal with their incidents and their names. We must group the former according to their points of likeness and difference; we must seek to interpret the latter by the principles which have been established and accepted as the laws of philological analysis. It becomes therefore umecessary to notice at,
through forms and stages of thought in
which the adoption of human sacrifices
wiversally would inevitably mark an
important, stage. This subject, has been
treated ly Mr. E. B. Tylor in his History
of Early Civilisation, with a vigour and
impartiality which justify the hope that
he may hereafter fill up the outlines of
his mastorly sketch. The developement of the doctrine of sacrifieg has been traced with singular eleamess ind foree by Dr. Kalisch, Historical and Critatal Commentary on the Otd Testament, Leviticus, part i. See also the article 'Saerifiee' in the Dictionary of Scicnec, Literature and Art.

CHAP. I.

Book length any of those hypotheses or assumptions which resolve
I. the Aryan myths into allegories, or explain them as expressions of high truth in theology, morality, or art. It would scarcely be necessary to notice such theories at all, were it not that they are from time to time revived by writers who from their manifest earnestness and sincerity, and from the great good which they have done, may fairly claim to be heard. It may, however, be enough to take some of these theories, and to show that they are not true to the features of the myths which they profess to explain, and that interpretations which twist some of the incidents and names of a story and ignore others, while they treat each tale as standing by itself, cannot be regarded as trustworthy.

Lord Bacon's method.

In the opinion of Lord Bacon, the story of the Sphinx was ' an elegant and instructire fable,' 'invented to represent science, especially as joined with practice.' His reason for so thinking was that 'science may without absurdity be called a monster, being strangely gazed at and admired by the ignorant and unskilful.' The composite figure of the Sphinx indicates 'the vast variety of subjects that science considers'; the female countenance attributed to her denotes the 'gay appearance' of science and her 'volubility of speech.' Her wings show that 'the sciences and their inventions must fly about in a moment, for knowledge, like light communicated from one torch to another, is presently caught and copiously diffused.' Her sharp and hooked talons are 'the axioms and arguments of science,' which 'enter the mind, lay hold of it, fix it down, and keep it from moving and slipping away.' She is placed on a crag overlooking the Theban city, because ' all science seems placed on high, as it were on the tops of mountains that are hard to climb.' Like her, 'science is said to beset the highways, because, through all the journey and peregrination of human life, there is matter and occasion offered of contemplation.' If the riddles which the Sphinx receives from the Muses bring with them trouble and disaster, it is because 'practice urges and impels to action, choice, and determination,' and thus questions of science 'become torturing, severe, and trying, and unless solved and interpreted, strangely perplex
and harass the human mind, rend it every way, and perfectly tear it to pieces.' The fable, in Bacon's judgment, adds with the 'utmost elegance,' 'that, when Sphinx was conquered, her carcass was laid upon an ass; for there is nothing so subtle and abstruse but, after being once made plain, intelligible, and common, it may be received by the lowest capacity.' But he feels himself bound not to omit that ' Sphinx was conquered by a lame man and impotent in his feet, for men usually make too much haste to the solution of Sphinx's riddles; whence it happens that, she prevailing, their minds are rather racked and torn by disputes than invested with command by works and effects.'

A large number of the Greek myths are made by Lord Bacon to yield 'wisdom' of this kind, and it is quite possible that the same process might be applied with equal success to all Greek, or even all Aryan myths. Such interpretations certainly tend to show how great our debt of gratitude must be to a set of mysterious philosophers, prophets, or politicians, who, living before there were any constitutions, alliances, confederacies, and diplomacy, furnished in the form of amusing stories a complete code for the guidance of kings, members of parliament, cabinet ministers, and ambassadors. It would be unfair to grudge to these interpretations the praise of cleverness and ingenuity; but the happy turns which they sometimes exhibit are more than counterbalanced by misrepresentations of the myths themselves. The comparison of the claws and talons of the Sphinx to the axioms and arguments of science may be both amusing and instructive; but the ass which carries her carcass is seemingly a creature of his own imagining, and Oidipous was neither lame nor inpotent in his feet when he came to the final conflict. The reason, also, by which Bacon accounts for this fact, would be an argument for making Oidipous not the conqueror, but only another of the victims of the Sphinx.

But, ingenious as Bacon's interpretations may have been, Such interthey were emphatically unscientific. To hin these Greek pretations stories were isolated or detached fables, whose growth it was tific. superfluous to trace, and to each of which he might attach

Book any explanation which might seem best to fit or to give most
I. significance to its leading incidents. In short, they were things with regard to which he saw no need of following rules which in all the processes of science and in all matters of fact he would have held to be indispensable. Had he followed these rules, he might, even without a knowledge of the language or the myths of other cognate tribes, have seen that the Hellenic legend of Oidipous and the Sphinx could not be judged of rightly apart from a comparison with other tales. He would have seen that Oidipous was not the only child exposed on a mountain side, or rescued by a shepherd, or doomed to slay his father or grandsire, and to conquer a snake, dragon, or other monster. He would have seen that these beings, with features more or less resembling each other in all the stories, were yet each spoken of under a different name, that the Sphinx of the Theban myth became the Python or Echidna, the Gorgon or Minotaur or Chimaira or Hydra of another, and that these names must be accounted for not less than the incidents of the tale. He might have perceived that the names in some or many of these legends bore a certain analogy to each other, and that as the names could not be the result of accident, the explanation which would account for the myth must account also for them, and that short of this result no interpretation could be accepted as adequate. The discovery that Bacon's mode of extracting. from myths the 'wisdom of the ancients' is thoroughly unscientific, releases us from any further duty of examining in detail either his explanations or even others, urged by more recent writers, which may resemble them in theory or method.

## CHAPTER II.

The analysis of language has fully justified the anticipation of Locke, that 'if we could trace them to their sources, we should find in all languages the names which stand for things that fall not under our senses to have had their first rise from sensible ideas.' So thoroughly, indeed, has this conjecture been verified, that the assertion is fast passing into the number of trite and hackneyed sayings; and though the interest and vast importance of the fact remains, few are now tempted to question the conclusion that every word employed to express the highest theological or metaphysical conceptions at first denoted mere sensuous perception. 'Spiritus,' says Professor Max Müller 'is certainly derived from a verb spirare, which means to draw breath. The same applies to animus. Animus, the mind, as Cicero says, is so called from anima, air. The root is an, which in Sanskrit means to blow, and which has given rise to the Sanskrit and Greek words for wind an-ila and án-emos. Thus the Greek thymos, the soul, comes from thyein, to rush, to move violently, the Sanskrit $d h u$, to shake. From $d / h u$, we have in Sanskrit, dhulli, dust, which comes from the same root, and 'dhûma,' smoke, the Latin fumus. In Greek the same root supplied thyella, storm-wind, and thymós, the soul, as the seat of the passions. Plato guesses correctly when he says (Crat. p.
 $\tau \hat{\eta} s \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s$.' It is the same with the word soul. 'Sonl is the Gothic saivala, and this is clearly related to another Gothic word, saivs, which means the sea. The sea was called saivs from a root si or siv, the Greek seif, to shake; it
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meant the tossed-about water in contradistinction to stagnant or running water. The soul being called saivala, we see that it was originally conceived by the Teutonic nations as a sea within, heaving up and down with every breath, and reflecting heaven and earth on the mirror of the deep.' ${ }^{1}$
If to these primæval sensuous words we are indebted for all the wealth of human language, these words must necessarily have possessed an almost boundless power of expansion. A single instance will amply suffice to prove this fact. The old root which expressed the idea of crushing, grinding, or pounding has given birth not only to its direct representatives the Greek $\mu \dot{\nu} \lambda \eta$, the Latin mola, the Irish meile, and the English mill and meal; but it may be traced through a vast number of words between the meaning of which there is no obvious connection. In the Greek $\mu \dot{\alpha} \rho v a \mu a \iota$, to fight, the root has acquired that metaphorical meaning which is brought out more clearly in its intransitive forms. In these it embodies naturally the ideas of decay, softening, or destruction; and so it furnished a name for man, as subject to disease and death, the morbus and mors of the Latins. If again man was $\beta_{\text {potò }}$ or mortal, the gods were ${ }_{\alpha} \mu \beta \rho o \tau o t$, and drank of the amrita cup of immortality. ${ }^{2}$ The grinding away of time was expressed in the Latin mora, and in the French demeurer, while the idea of dead water is perhaps seen in mare, mer, the sea. The root was fruitful in proper names. The Greeks had their gigantic Moliones, or Pounders, while the Norseman spoke of the hammer of Thor Miölnir. So, again, the huge Aloadai derived their name from $\dot{a}^{\lambda} \omega \omega \eta^{\prime}$, the threshing-floor, a word belonging to the same root, as ä $\lambda \varepsilon u \rho o v$, corn, existed in the form $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon v \rho o v$. From the same source came the Sanskrit Maruts, or Storms, the Latin Mars, the Slavonic Morana, and the Greek ${ }^{\alpha} \rho \eta s$ and $\alpha^{\prime} \rho \varepsilon \tau \eta^{\prime}$. But the root passes into other shades of meaning. Under the form marj or mraj, it gave birth to the Greek $\mu^{\prime} \lambda \gamma \omega \omega$, the Latin mulgeo and mulceo, the English milk (all meaning, originally, to stroke) ; and in these words, as well as in the Greek $\beta \lambda \dot{a} \xi$, $\mu a \lambda a \kappa o ́ s, \mu a \lambda \theta a \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \omega$, the Latin marcidus and mollis, the Greek

[^30]$\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \iota$, and Latin mel, it passed into the ideas of softness, sweetness, languor, and decay. From the notion of melting

CHAP. II. the transition was easy to that of desiring or yearning, and we find it, accordingly, in this sense, in the Greek $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \delta \omega \dot{\omega} \eta$ and $\vec{\varepsilon} \lambda \delta \delta o \mu a \iota$ (which may on good ground be traced to an older $\left.\mu^{\varepsilon} \lambda \delta o \mu a \iota\right)$, and finally, in $\dot{z} \lambda \pi i \prime s$, hope. Not less strange, yet not less evident, is the passage of the root jan from its original force of making or producing (as shown in the Sanskrit janas, the Greek yévos, yoveús, and rovós, the English Kin; in the Sanskrit janaka, the Teutonic könig, the English king, in $\gamma v v \eta^{\prime}$, and queen, and quean) to the abstract idea of knowing, as seen in the Sanskrit jnci, the Greek $\gamma \nu \omega \bar{\omega} a \iota$, the Latin gnosco, the English know. The close relationship of the two ideas is best seen in the Teutonic kann (can) and kenne (ken). ${ }^{1}$

The facts which the growth of these words bring before us are in the strictest sense historical. The later meanings presuppose the earlier significations, and the stages are reached in a chronological as well as a philosophical order, while the several developements mark an advance of human thought, and a change in the conditions of human society. From the highest conceptions of the profoundest thinkers, we are carried back step by step to the rudest notions of an intellect slowly and painfully awakening into consciousness; and we realise the several phases of primæval life, as vividly as if they had been recorded by contemporary chroniclers. But if the process invests the study of words with a significance which it is impossible to overrate, it completely strips the subject of its mystery. No room is left for theories which traced the origin of speech to a faculty no longer possessed by mankind, ${ }^{2}$ when the analysis of words exhibits from the beginning the working of the same unvarying laws. ${ }^{3}$ If the words denoting purely spiritual ideas are all evolved from roots expressing mere sensuous perceptions, if these
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BOOK
I.
words are thus confessedly accidental or arbitrary or conventional signs, without any essential or necessary relation to the notions signified, although they are a necessary growth from the original verbal stem, the real question at issue is set at rest. The sensations expressed in these primary words are felt by infants, by the deaf and dumb, by brute animals, as well as by speaking men; they might therefore, rather they must, have been felt by man before he made the first attempt to acquaint his comrade with the thoughts which were passing in his own mind. The word was needed not to enable him to realise the perception for himself; but to give him the power of awakening the same idea in another. It mattered not, therefore, what sound conveyed the thought, so long as the signal or message was understood; and thus, where at the outset all was arbitrary, there might be many signs for the same object or the same idea. The notions which, as we have seen, found expression in words derived from the roots MR or ML ; might have been denoted as easily by words derived from the stem GR. And in fact the latter has been scarcely less fertile than the former. To it we owe the words which denote the grating and grinding sound of things rubbed forcibly against each other, the grain which serves as grist for the mill, the gravel which the digger scrapes up as he delves his grave, the groan of pain, the grunt of indolence, the scribbling of the child and the delicate engraving of a Bewick or an Albert Durer. ${ }^{1}$ We see, further, that words drawn from imitations of natural sounds have furnished names for impressions made on other senses besides that of hearing, and that a presumption is thus furnished for the similar origin of all words whatsoever.

Immobility of savage races.

It may seem a poor foundation for a fabric so magnificent as the language of civilised mankind; ${ }^{2}$ but whatever belief may be entertained of the first beginnings of articulate

[^32][^33]speech, the gradual growth of language from its earliest elements is disputed by none; and the examination of our

CHap.
II. own language carries us back to a condition of thought not many degrees higher than that of tribes which we regard as sunk in hopeless barbarism. Yet that this difference of degree involved in this instance a difference of kind is proved by the very fact that the one class of men has risen indefinitely in the scale of being, while the other exhibits no power whether of self-culture or of imitation. These are facts which, like other physical facts, we cannot gainsay, althoùgh we may not be called on to determine the further question of the unity or plurality of the human race. ${ }^{1}$ The point with which we are more immediately concerned, is the light thrown by the history of words on the social and political history of the race, and on the consequences which followed the disruption or separation of tribes speaking dialects more or less closely akin.

[^34]much nobler and more exalted animal than the shivering and naked savage whose squalid and ghastly relics are exhumed from Danish kjökken-möddings, and glacial deposits, and the stalactite flooring of freshly opened caves,' p. 56. In other words, these primæval beings were not men with ordinary human sonls; and hence the Veddahs, the Banaks, Dokos and the rest, are likewise not men with ordinary human souls. There could not well be a more completo contradiction. Wo may the more regret this inaccurate language, because it tends to keep up mischievous distinctions on grounds which may turn out to be purely fictitious, while the real question whether these primeval races wore direct ancostors of the Aryan and Semitic nations, is really unaffected by such suppositions. Tho question of affinity, like that of an original revelation, is simply one of fact, and cannot be detormined by our belief. So far as the evidence carries him, Mr. Farrar is quite justifed in arowing his opinion that the men who have left their ghastly relies in kitehenmiddens were not our ancestors, but he is not justified in denying to them the title of men and the possession of ordinary human souls, unless he denies it to existing races of sarages, and to idiots.

BOOK

Historical results of the analysis of language.

It can never be too often repeated that the facts laid bare in the course of philological inquiry are as strictly historical as any which are recorded of the campaigns of Hannibal, Wellington, or Napoleon. The words possessed in common by different Aryan languages point to the fact that these now separated tribes once dwelt together as a single people, while a comparison of these common words with others peculiar to the several dialects furnishes evidence of the material condition of the yet undivided race. Thus, from the identity of words connected with peaceful occupations as contrasted with the varying terms for war and hunting, Professor Max Müller gathers 'that all the Aryan nations had led a long life of peace before they separated, and that their language acquired individuality and riationality as each colony started in search of new homes, new generations forming new terms connected with the warlike and adventurous life of their onward migrations.' ${ }^{1}$ But these new terms were evolved from the common stock of verbal stems, and the readiness with which these roots lent themselves to new shades of meaning would not only render it easier to express thoughts already needing utterance, but would itself be a fruitful source of new ideas and notions. This process would be, in fact, a multiplication of living images and objects, for all names in the earliest stages of language were either masculine or feminine, ' neuters being of later growth, and distinguishable chiefly in the nominative.' Thus the forms of language would tend to keep up a condition of thought analogous to that of infants; and the conscious life of all natural objects, inferred at first from the consciousness of personality in the speaker or thinker, would become an article of belief sanctioned by the paramount authority of names, and all descriptions of phenomena would bring before them the actions of conscious beings. Man would thus be

[^35][^36]living in a magic circle, in which words would strengthen an illusion inseparable from the intellectual condition of child-

CHAP, II. hood. Yet we can scarcely fail to see the necessity of his being left to ascertain the truth or falsehood of his impressions by the patient observation of facts, if he was ever to attain to a real knowledge and a true method for its attainment-if, in other words, he was to have an education, such as the wisest teacher would bestow upon a child. Ages may have been needed to carry him forward a single step in the upward course; but the question of time can throw no doubt on the source from which the impulse came. The advance made, whether quick or slow, would be as much the work of God as the existence of man in the class of mammalia. Until it can be shown that our powers of sensation and motion are self-originated, the developement of a higher idea from a sensuous conception must be ascribed to the Divine Spirit, as truly as the noblest thought which can be embraced by the human mind. Hence each stage in the growth of language marks the formation of new wants, new ideas, and new relations. 'It was an event in the history of man,' says Professor Max Müller, ' when the ideas of father, mother, brother, sister, husband, wife, were first conceived and first uttered. It was a new era when the numerals from one to ten had been framed, and when words like law, right, duty, generosity, love, had been added to the dictionary of man. It was a revelation, the greatest of all revelations, when the conception of a Creator, a Ruler, a Father of man, when the name of God was for the first time uttered in this world.' ${ }^{1}$
In that primæval time, therefore, after he had learnt to express his bodily feelings in articulate sounds, but before he

[^37][^38]Farliest conditions of thought.

Book had risen to any definite conception of a Divine Being, man
I. could interpret the world around him through the medium of his own sensations. It was thus impossible that he could fail to attribute sensations like his own to every object on which his eyes rested in thie material universe. His notions about things external to himself would be the direct result of his psychological condition; and for their utterance he would have in language an instrument of boundless power.

## CHAPTER III.

## THE SOURCE OF MYTHICAL SPEECH.

If the analysis of language and the researches of antiquarians bring before us, in the earliest annals of mankind, a state of society which bears to our own a resemblance not greater than that of infancy to mature manhood, we shall scarcely realise that primæval condition of thought except by studying closely the mind of children. Stubborn facts disclose as the prominent characteristics of that early time the selfishness and violence, the cruelty and slavishness of savages; yet the mode in which they regarded the external world became a source of inexhaustible beauty, a fountain of the most exquisite and touching poetry. So true to nature and so lovely are the forms into which their language passed, as they spoke of the manifold phases of the changing year; so deep is the tenderness with which they describe the death of the sunstricken dew, the brief career of the short-lived sun, and the agony of the earth-mother mourning for her summerchild, that we are tempted to reflect back upon the speakers the purity and truthfulness of their words. If the theory of a corrupted revelation as the origin of mythology imputes to whole nations a gross and wilful profanity which consciously travesties the holiest things, the simplicity of thought which belongs to the earliest myths presents, as some have urged, a picture of primæval humanity too fair and flattering.

No deep insight into the language and ways of children is needed to dispel such a fancy as this. The child who will speak of the dawn and the twilight as the Achaian spoke of Prokris and Eôs will also be cruel or false or cunning. There is no reason why man in his earliest state should not express his sorrow when the bright being who had gladdened

Earliest. condition of thought and its conscquences.

CHAP. III. The infancy of mankind.

Book him with his radiance dies in the evening, or feel a real joy when he rises again in the morning, and yet be selfish or oppressive or cruel in his dealings with his fellows. His mental condition determined the character of his language, and that condition exhibits in him, as in children now, the working of a feeling which endows all outward things with a life not unlike his own. Of the several objects which met his eye he had no positive knowledge, whether of their origin, their nature, or their properties. But he had life, and therefore all things else must have life also. He was under no necessity of personifying them, for he had for himself no distinctions between consciousness and personality. He knew nothing of the conditions of his own life or of any other, and therefore all things on the earth or in the heavens were invested with the same vague idea of existence. The sun, the moon, the stars, the ground on which he trod, the clouds, storms, and lightnings were all living beings; could he help thinking that, like himself, they were conscious beings also? ${ }^{1}$ His very words would, by an inevitable necessity, express this conviction. His language would admit no single expression from which the attribute of life was excluded, while it would vary the forms of that life with unerring instinct. Every object would be a living reality,

[^39]that exists around him, rather than as a separate being of a distinct and suporior order. His attention is arrested by the lifeless or breathing objects of his daily intorcourse; not meroly as they contribute to his numerous wants and pleasures, but as they exhibit any affinity or more remoto analogry with the mysterious proporties of his being. Subject to the same laws of lifo and death, of procreation and decay, or partially endowed with the same passions, sympathies, and propensities, thespeechless companion of his toil and amusement, the forest in which ho rusides, or the plant which flowishes beneath his care, are to him but varied types of his own intricate orgamisation. In tho exterior form of these, tho faithful record of his senses forbids any material change; but the intermal structuro, which is wholly romoved from tho viow, may bo fashioned and constituted at pleasure.'-26.
and every word a speaking picture. For him there would be no bare recurrence of days and seasons, but each morning

CHAP. III. the dawn would drive her bright flocks to the blue pastures of heaven before the birth of the lord of day from the toiling womb of night. Round the living progress of the new-born sun there would be grouped a lavish imagery, expressive of the most intense sympathy with what we term the operation of material forces, and not less expressive of the utter absence of even the faintest knowledge. Life would be an alternation of joy and sorrow, of terror and relief; for every evening the dawn would return leading her bright flocks, and the shortlived sun would die. Years might pass, or ages, before his rising again would establish even the weakest analogy ; but in the meanwhile man would mourn for his death, as for the loss of one who might never return. For every aspect of the material world he would have ready some life-giving expression; and those aspects would be scarcely less varied than his words. The same object would at different times, or under different conditions, awalken the most opposite or inconsistent conceptions. But these conceptions and the words which expressed them would exist side by side without producing the slightest consciousness of their incongruity; nor is it easy to determine the exact order in which they might arise. The sun would awaken both mournful and inspiriting ideas, ideas of victory and defeat, of toil and premature death. He would be the Titan, strangling the serpents of the night before he drove his chariot up the sky; and he would also be the being who, worn down by unwilling labour undergone for men, sinks wearied into the arms of the mother who bare him in the morning. Other images would not be wanting; the dawn and the dew and the violet clouds would be not less real and living than the sun. In his rising from the east he would quit the fair dawn, whom he should see no more till his labour drew towards its close. And not less would he love and be loved by the dew and by the morning herself, while to both his life would be fatal as his fiery car rose higher in the sky. So would man speak of all other things also; of the thunder and the earthquake and the storm, not less than of summer and winter. But it would be no per-
brilliance and bathing his pathway with blood. Sometimes, beneath mountains of clouds and vapours, he plunged into the leaden sea. Sometimes he looked benignly on the face of his mother or his bride who came to greet him at his journey's end. Sometimes he was the lord of heaven and of light, irresistible in his divine strength; sometimes he toiled for others, not for himself, in a hard, unwilling servitude. His light and heat might give life or destroy it. His chariot might scorch the regions over which it passed; his flaming fire might burn up all who dared to look with prying eyes into his dazzling treasure-house. He might be the child destined to slay his parents, or to be united at the last in an unspeakable peace to the bright dawn who for brief space had gladdened his path in the morning. He might be the friend of the children of men, and the remorseless foe of those powers of darkness who had stolen away his bride. He might be a warrior whose eye strikes terror into his enemies, or a wise chieftain skilled in deep and hidden knowledge. Sometimes he might appear as a glorious being doomed to an early death, which no power could avert or delay. Sometimes grievous hardships and desperate conflicts might be followed by a longer season of serene repose. Wherever he went, men might welcome him in love, or shrink from him in fear and anguish. He would have many brides in many lands, and his offspring would assume aspects beautiful, strange, or horrible. His course might be brilliant and beneficent, or gloomy, sullen, and capricious. As compelled to toil for others, he would be said to fight in quarrels not his own; or he might for a time withhold the aid of an arm which no enemy could withstand. He might be tho destroyer of all whom he loved, he might slay the dawn with his kindling rays, he might scorch the fruits who were his children; he might woo the deep blue sky, the bride of heaven itself, and an inevitable doom might bind his limbs on the blazing wheel for ever and ever. Nor in this crowd of phrases, all of which have borne their part in the formation of mythology, is there one which could not be used naturally by ourselves to describe the phenomena of the outward world, and there is scarcely one perhaps, which has
not thus been used by our own poets. There is a beauty in them, which can never grow old or lose its charm. Poets of all ages recur to them instinctively in times of the deepest grief or the greatest joy; but, in the words of Professor Max Müller, ' it is impossible to enter fully into the thoughts and feelings which passed through the minds of the early poets when they formed names for that far east from whence even the early dawn, the sun, the day, their own life seemed to spring. A new life flashed up every morning before their eyes, and the fresh breezes of the dawn reached them like greetings wafted across the golden threshold of the sky from the distant lands beyond the mountains, beyond the clouds, beyond the dawn, beyond the immortal sea which brought us hither! The dawn seemed to them to open golden gates for the sun to pass in triumph; and while those gates were open, their eyes and their minds strove, in their childish way, to pierce beyond the limits of this finite world. That silent aspect wakened in the human mind the conception of the Infinite, the Immortal, the Divine; and the names of dawn became naturally the names of higher powers. ${ }^{1}$

But in truth we need not go back to that early time for evidence of the fact that language such as this comes naturally to mankind. Abstract names are the result of long thought and effort, and they are never congenial to the

Use of abstract and concreto namos. mass of men. They belong to a dialect which can never be spoken by poets, for on such unsubstantial food poetry must starve and die. Some of us may know now that there is nothing in natural phenomena which has any positive relation with the impressions produced on our minds, that the difference between the temperatures of Bair and Nova Zembla is simply the difference of a few degrees more or less of solar heat, as indicated by Reaumur or Fahrenheit; that the beautiful tints of morning and evening are being produced every moment, and that they are mere results of the inclination which the earth at a particular moment may have to the sun. We may know that the whispering breeze and the roaring storm are merely air moving with different degrees of force, that there is no generic difference between ice

[^40]BOOK
and water, between fluids and solids, between heat and cold. What if this knowledge were extended to all? Would it be a gain if the language of men and women, boys and girls, were brought into strict agreement with scientific facts, and exhibit the exactness of technical definitions? The question is superfluous, for so long as mankind remain what they are, such things are impossible. In one sense, the glorious hues which spread over the heavens at sunrise and sundown, the breeze and the hurricane, are to us nothing. The phenomena of the outward world take no notice of us. Shall it then be said that there is not One who does take note of the impressions which the sights or the sounds of nature make upon our minds? Must we not recognise the feelings which those phenomena irresistibly evole in us as not less facts than the phenomena themselves? We cannot rid ourselves of these impressions. They are part of us; they grow with our growth, and it is best for us if they receive a wholesome culture. Modern science may show that our feelings are merely relative; but there is still that within us which answers to the mental condition from which the mythical language of our forefathers sprang. It is impossible for us to look on the changes of day and night, of light and darkness, of summer and winter, with the passionless equanimity which our philosophy requires ; and he who from a mountain summit looks down in solitude on the long shadows as they creep over the earth, while the sun sinks down into the purple mists which deaden and enshroud his splendours, cannot shake off the feeling that he is looking on the conscious struggle of departing life. He is wiser if he does not attempt to shake it off. The peasant who still thinks that he hears the soft music of the piper of Hameln, as the leaves of the wood rustle in the summer air, will be none the better if he parts with this feeling for some cold technical expression. The result of real sciense is to enable us to distinguish between our impressions and the facts or phenomena which produce them, whenever it may be necessary to do so ; but beyond this, science will never need to make any trespass on the domain of the poet and the condition of thought which
finds its natural expression in the phrases that once grew up into a mythology. ${ }^{1}$

To the primary myths which spring from phrases employed in their original meaning to express the phenomena of the outward world, and to the secondary myths which arose from a partial or complete forgetfulness of that meaning, must be added a third class, which came into existence from the use of equivocal words. If, as the tribes and families of men diverged from common centres, there was always a danger that words expressing sensuous ideas might be petrified into personal appellatives, there was also the more imminent danger that they might be confounded with other words most nearly resembling them in sound. The result would be, in grammatical phrase, false etymology: the practical consequence would be the growth of a mythology. Many of the tales belonging to the most complicated mythical systems arose simply from the misinterpretation of common words. From a root which meant to shine, the Seven Shiners received their name ; possibly or probably to the same roots belongs the name of the Golden Bear (äкртos and ursa), as the Germans gave to the lion the title of Goldfusz; and thus, when the epithet had, by some tribes, been confined to the Bear, the Seven Shiners were transformed first into seven bears, then into one with Arktouros (Arcturus) for their bearward. In India, too, the meaning of riksha was forgotten; but instead of referring the word to bears, they confounded it with rishi, and the Seven Stars became the abode of the Seven Poets or Sages, who enter the ark with Menu (Minos), and reappear as the Seven Wise Men of Hellas, and the Seven Champions of Christendom. The same lot, it would seem, befell another name for this constellation. They who spoke of the seven triones had long forgotten that their fathers spoke of the stars as taras (staras) or strewers of light, and converted the bearward into Boôtes, the ploughman, while the Teutonic nations, unconscious that they had retained the old root in their word stern or star, likewise embodied a false etymology

[^41]CHAP. III.

Myths arising from the use of equivocal words.

BOOK in wagons or wains. But when we turn to the Arkadian
tale, that Kallistô, the mother of the eponymous hero Arkas, was changed into a bear by the jealousy of Hêrê, and imprisoned in the constellation, we find ourselves in that boundless region of mythology, the scenes of which are sometimes so exquisitely fair, sometimes so gloomy, hideous, and repulsive. The root vah, to convey (the Latin veho), gave a name to the horse, to the flame of fire, and to the rays of the sun. The magic wand of metaphor, without which there can be no growth or expansion of language, soon changed the rays of the sun into horses. But these horses, vahni, had yet another epithet, Harit, which signified at first the brilliance produced by fat and ointment. Like the Greek words $\sigma \iota \gamma a \lambda o s \iota s$ and $\lambda \iota \pi a \rho o ́ s$, applied to things anointed with lard or oil, ghritâ-prishthâh (glittering with fat) furnished a title for the horses (or flames) of Agni, ignis, the fire. Thus the Harits became the immortal steeds who bear the chariot of Indra across the sky and the car of Achilleus over the plains of Mion. The Greek carried away the name at an earlier stage; and the Charites, retaining simply the qualities of grace and brightness, became the lovely beings who, with Himeros and the Muses, charm earth and heaven with their song. But before the Hesiodic theogony had defined their numbers and fixed their attributes, Charis remained a mere name of Aphroditê, the radiant dawn who springs from the sea before the rising of the sun. Still, though even at that early time Aphroditê was the goddess of sensuous beauty and love, she was yet, with a strange adherence to the old meaning of her name, known as Enalia and Pontia, the child of the sea foam. For yet another title which she bore they could but frame a tale that Argynnis, the beloved of Agamemnon, had died at Kephisos. Yet that title, identified with the Sanskrit arjunit, spoke simply of dazzling loveliness. By a similar process of metaphor, the rays of the sun were changed into golden hair, into spears and lances, and robes of light. From the shoulders of Phoibos Lykêgenês, the light-born, flow the sacred locks over which no razor might pass. On the head of Nisos, as on that of

[^42]Samson, ${ }^{1}$ they become a Palladion invested with a mysterious power. From Helios, the sun, who can scorch as well as warm, comes the robe of Medeia, which reappears in the poisoned garments of Deianeira. Under the form of spears and arrows the rays of the sun are seen in almost every page of all Aryan mythology. They are the invincible darts of Phoibos, Achilleus, and Meleagros, of Heraklês and Theseus, of Artemis, Perseus, and Bellerophôn, the poisoned arrows which Philoktêtês and Odysseus, the model, as some will have it, of Hellenic character, scruple not to use.

Thus the disintegration of the primary myths would be insured by the wealth of synonyms which the earliest form of human thought had brought into existence. If the Greek mythographers had been conscious that Kephalos and Prokris meant only the sun and the dew, the legend would have continued to belong to the same class with the myths of Indra and his cloud-enemy Vritra. As it is, it stands midway between these primary legends and the later tales which sprung up when the meaning of such names as Lykâôn, Korônis, and Sarpêdôn had been wholly forgotten. The form of thought which looked on all sensible phenomena as endowed with a conscious life, found utterance in a multiplicity of names for the same object, and each of these names became or might become the groundwork of a new myth, as in process of time they were confounded with words which most nearly resembled them in sound.

[^43]
## CHAPTER IV.

THE DEVELOPEMENT OF MYTHOLOGY.
BOOK When in the Vedic songs we read of Indra, the sun-god, as fighting with•Vritra, the dark power who imprisons the rain in the storm cloud, or with Ahi, the throttling snake, or as pursuing the beautiful Dahanâ, of the dawn as the mother or the bride of the sun, or of the sun as slaying the dark parent from whom he has sprung, we feel at once, that in such language we have an instrument of wonderful elasticity, that the form of thought which finds its natural utterance in such expressions must be capable of accommodating itself to every place and every climate, and that it would have as much room for its exercise among the frozen mountains of the North, as under the most smiling sky and genial sun. But the time during which this mythical speech was the common language of mankind, would be a period of transition, in which the idea of existence would be sooner or later expanded into that of personality. Probably before this change had taken place, the yet unbroken Aryan family would be scattered to seek new homes in distant lands; and the gradual change of language, which that dispersion rendered inevitable, would inrolve a more momentous change in their belief. They would carry away with them the old words and expressions ; but these would now be associated with new ideas, or else be imperfectly or wrongly understood. Henceforth, the words which had denoted the sun and moon would denote not merely living things but living persons. From personification to deification the steps would be but few; and the process of disintegration would at once furnish the materials for a vast fabric of mythology. All the expressions which had attached a living force to natural objects would remain as the description of personal and anthropo-
morphous gods. Every word would become an attribute, and all ideas once grouped round a single object would

CHAP. IV. branch off into distinct personifications. The sun had been the lord of light, the driver of the chariot of the day; he had toiled and laboured for the sons of men, and sunk down to rest, after a hard battle, in the evening. But now the lord of light would be Phoibos Apollôn, while Helios would remain enthroned in his fiery chariot, and his toils and labours and death-struggles would be transferred to Heraklês. The violet clouds which greet his rising and his setting would now be represented by herds of cows which feed in earthly pastures. There would be other expressions which would still remain as floating phrases, not attached to any definite deities. These would gradually be converted into incidents in the life of heroes, and be woven at length into systematic narratives. Finally, these gods or heroes, and the incidents of their mythical career, would receive each 'a local habitation and a name.' These would remain as geniuine history, when the origin and meaning of the words had been either wholly or in part forgotten.

But in such a process as this, it is manifest that the men amongst whom it sprang up would not be responsible for the form which it might assume. Words, applied at first simply to outward objects or phenomena, would become the mames of personal gods; and the phrases which described those objects would then be transferred to what were now deities to be adored. But it would not follow that a form of thought which might apply, not only without harm but with a marvellous beauty, to things if living yet not personal, would bear translation into the conditions of human life. If in the older speech, the heaven was wedded to the earth, which returned his love with a prodigal fertility, iu the later tim; the name of the heaven would be the name of a god, and that god would necessarily be earthly and sensual. But this developement of a mythology, much of which would inevitably be immoral and even repulsive, would not necessarily exercise a similar debasing influence on the morality and practice of the people. It had started with being a sentiment, not a religion,-a personal conviction, but not a moral belief;

BOOK of this developement furmashed by the RigVella.
and the real object of the heart's adoration would remain not less distinct from the creations of mythology than it had been before. Nay, it might be that, with ang given people, the tone of thought and the character of society might be more and more raised, even while the incongruous mythological fabric assumed more stupendous proportions. But the first condition of thought, which regarded every object in creation, would have in itself only two possible developements. It must issue either in an anthropomorphous polytheism, or in a degrading fetish worship. The character of the people would in each case determine whether the result for them should be an idolatrous terror of inanimate things, or the multiplication of deities with human forms and human passions, mingling with men, and sharing their partialities and their feuds.

For the proofs of these assertions, we shall look in vain to the earliest Hellenic literature. But the Vedic poems furnish indisputable evidence, that such as this was the origin and growth of Greek and Teutonic mythology. In these poems, the names of many, perhaps of most, of the Greek gods, indicate natural objects which, if endued with life, have not been reduced to human personality. In them Daphne is still simply the morning twilight ushering in the splendour of the new-born sun; the cattle of Hêlios there are still the light-coloured clouds which the dawn leads out into the fields of the sky. There the idea of Heraklês has not been separated from the image of the toiling and struggling sun, and the glory of the life-giving Hêlios has not been transferred to the god of Delos and Pytho. In the Vedas the myths of Endymion, of Kephalos and Prokris, Orpheus and Eurydikê, are exhibited in the form of detached mythical phrases, which furnished for each their germ. ${ }^{2}$ The analysis may be extended indefinitely: but the conclusion can only be, that in the Vedic language we have the foundation, not only of the glowing legends of Hellas, but of the dark and sombre

[^44][^45]mythology of the Scandinavian and the Teuton. Both alike have grown up chiefly from names which have been grouped around the sun; but the former has been grounded on those expressions which describe the recurrence of day and night, the latter on the great tragedy of nature in the alternation of summer and winter.

Of this vast mass of solar myths, some have emerged into independent legends, others have furnished the groundwork of whole epics, others have remained simply as floating tales whose intrinsic beauty no poet has wedded to his verse. Whether the whole may be classified in order of priority, may be doubtful ; but the strong presumption would be, that those which have not been systematised into coherent narratives are the oldest, as not having sufficiently lost their original meaning. At the least, they exhibit to us the substance of mythology in its earliest form. Thus the legends of Kephalos and Prokris, of Daphnê, Narkissos, and Endymiôn, have come down. to uss in a less artificial form than that of Heraklês, while the myth of Heraklês has been arrested at a less advanced stage than those of Zeus and Apollôn. But all alike can be translated back into mythical expressions, and most of these expressions are found in the Vedas with their strict mythical meaning. The marvellous exuberance of this early language, and the wealth of its synonyms, may well excite astonishment as we watch its divergence into such myths as those of Kephalos and Endymiôn, Heraklês, Daphnê̂, the Pythian and Delian Apollôn, Phaethôn and Meleagros, Memnôn and Bellerophôn.

That the form of thought which found utterance in mythical language would lead to the accumulation of a vast number of names for the same object, we have already seen; and so clearly does the mythology of the Aryan nations exhibit the working of this process, that the task of tracing it through the several legends of which it is composed becomes almost a superfluous work. It seems impossibie not to see that when the language of mythology was the ordinary speech of daily life, the night laboured and heaved with the birth of the coming day, and that his toil and labour is reproduced in the Homeric hymn, in which Lêtô, the power

Boor of forgetfulness and sleep, gives birth to the lord of light in Delos. His coming was preceded by the pale twilight, who, in mythical times, drove his cows to their pastures; but in the Odyssey his herds feed at Tainaron or in Thrinakia far away, where Phaethousa and Lampetiê, the bright and gleaming daughters of Neaira, the early morning, tend them at the rising and the setting of the sun. The old mythical feeling is strikingly manifest throughout the whole legend, not merely in the names and office of the wife and children of Helios, but in the delight with which he gazes on his cattle at the beginning and the close of his daily course, and in the indignation which prompts him, when they are slain, to hide his light in the regions of the dead. But the sun loves not only the clouds, but the dawn who is their leader; and so the dawn comes before us as followed by him, and flying from his love, or else as returning it. The former phrase ('the dawn flies from the sun") is embodied in the legend of Daphnê, who flies from her lover and vanishes away as he seeks to embrace her. In the tale of Orpheus she appears, under the name of Eurydikê, as the bride of the sun, loved by him and returning his love, yet falling a victim to it, for whether to Daphnê or Eurydikê the brightness of his glance is fatal as he rises higher in the heaven. The same feeling is manifest under a form, if possible, more intense, in the tale of Kephalos and Prokris. 'The sun loves the dew,' was the old mythical phrase; and it is reproduced in the love of Kephalos (the head of the sun) for Prokris, the glittering dewdrop. But ' the morning loves the sun.' Eôs seeks to win Kephalos for herself; and her jealousy of Prokris is at once explained. But again the dewdrops each reflect the sun, and Prokris becomes faithless to her lover, while she grants him her love under a new disguise; and finally, when her fault has been atoned, she dies by the spear of Artemis (the fiery ray), with which the sun unwittingly strikes her down. It is the old tale of Daphnê and Eurydikê: and Kephalos goes mourning on his solitary journey, labouring not for himself, but for men who need his help, ${ }^{1}$ until he sinks to sleep beneath the western sea.

[^46]But, as we have seen, the sun may be spoken of as either beneficent or destructive, as toiling for the good of men or as slaying them. Sometimes he may sink to rest in quietness and peace, while the moon comes to give him her greeting of love; or he may die after a battle with the struggling clouds, leaving a solitary line of blood-red light behind him. So in the Hellenic legend, Phoibos cannot rest in his birthplace of Lykia or Delos; he must wander far westwards over many lands, through the fair vale of Telphoussa, to his western home in Delphi. There the mighty power of his rays is shown in the death of the great dragon, whose body is left to rot at Pytho. ${ }^{1}$ Yet it was strange that the sun, whose influence was commonly for life and gladness, should sometimes vex and slay the sons of men; and so the tale went that plague and pestilence came when Phaethon had taken the place of Helios, and vainly sought to guide aright his fire-breathing horses. So again the legend of Meleagros exhibits only the capricious action of the sun, and the alternations of light and shade are expressed in the sudden exploits and moody sullenness of the hero; but his life is bound up with the torch of day, the burning brand, and when its last spark flickers out the life of the hero is ended. More commonly, however, he is the mighty one labouring on and finally worn out by an unselfish toil, struggling in his hard task for a being who is not worthy of the great and costly sacrifice. So Phoibos Apollôn, with his kinsman Heraklês, serves the Trojan Laomedôn; and so he dwells as a bondman in the house of Admêtos. So likewise, as Bellerophontes, he encounters fearful peril at the bidding of a treacherous host, and dies, like Sarpêdôn and Memnôn, in a quarrel which is not his own. But nowhere is his unutterable toil and scanty reward brought out so prominently as in the whole legend, or rather the mass of unconnected legend, which is gathered round the person of Heraklês. Doomed before his birth to be the slave of a weak and cruel master, he strangles, while yet in his cradle, the serpents of the night,

[^47][^48]CHAP. IV.

Changeful action of the sua.

POOK
which stung to death the fair Eurydikê. His toils begin. His limbs are endued with an irresistible power, and he has a soul which knows no fear. He may use this power for good or for evil, and his choice for good furnishes the groundwork for the apologue of Prodikos. Other legends there were which perverted this idea; and in these he is exhibited under gross, uncouth, or repulsive forms. But he goes upon his way, and is hurried on through many lands. In all he has mighty works to do, and he fails in none. The remembrance of Iolê may linger in his memory, but there are others who claim his love in the days of his strength and power, and it would seem as though he had forgotten the daughter of Eurytos. But his time draws towards its close: the beautiful maiden, whose face had gladdened him long ago, returns to cheer him in the evening of his life. With her comes the poisoned robe (the mantle of cloud), which he strives in vain to tear away from his bleeding limbs. In a deeper and redder stream flows the life-blood, till, after a convulsive struggle, the strife is closed in the dead silence of night.

Tepulsive developements of solar legends.

Origin of these developements.

But it is in the case of Heraklês that the perfect truth of the old mythical language gave rise more especially to that apparently strange and perplexing meaning which repelled and disgusted even the poets and philosophers of Greece. Pindar refuses to believe that any god could be a sensualist or a cannibal; he might in the same spirit have rejected the tales which impute something of meanness or cowardice to the brave and high-souled Heraklês. For Heraklês fights with poisoned arrows, and leaves them as his bequest to Philoktêtês. But the poisoned arrows are the piercing rays which burn in the tropical noon-day, and they reappear as well in the poisoned robe of Deianeira as in that which the Kolchian Medeia professes to have received from her kinsman Hêlios.

A deeper mythical meaning, however, underlies and accounts for the immorality and licence which was introduced into the transmuted legend of Heraklês. The sun looks down on the earth, and the earth answers to his loving glance by her teeming and inexhaustible fertility. In every
land she yields her special harvest of fruits and flowers, of corn and wine and oil. Her children are countless, but all

CHAP.
IV. spring up under the eye of the sun as he journeys through the wide heaven. It is easy to see what must be the result when the sun is transmuted into the human, yet god-like, Heraklês, and how repulsive that myth must become which, in its primitive form, only told how

The sunlight clasps the earth, And the moonbeams kiss the sea. ${ }^{1}$

The same explanation removes the mystery of the even greater degradation to which the Hellenic mythology reduces Zeus himself, the supreme father of gods and men. He who should be the very type of all purity and goodness becomes the very embodiment of headstrong lust and passion, while the holiness of the lord of life and light is transferred to Apollôn and his virgin sister, Athênê. The difficulty is but slight. Zeus, the Vedic Dyaus, is but another form of Ouranos, the veiling heaven or sky; and again, as in the words of our own poet, who sings how

> Nothing in the world is single, All things by a law divino In another's being mingle,
and how

> The mountains kiss high heaven,
so Ouranos looked down on Gaia, and brooded over her in his deep, unfailing, life-giving love. But these are phrases which will not bear translation into the conditions of human life, without degrading the spiritual god into a being who boasts of his unbounded and shameless licence.

The same process which insured this degradation insured at the same time the local boundaries which were assigned to mythical heroes or their mythical exploits. When the adventures of Zeus assumed something like consistency, the original meaning of his name was less and less remembered, until his birthplace was fixed in a Cretan cave, and his throne raised on a Thessalian hill. So Apollôn was born in Lykia or in Delos, and dwelt at Patara or Pytho. So Endymiôn had his tomb in Elis, or slept his long sleep on

[^49]the hill of Latmos. So Kephalos first met Prokris on the Hymettian heights, and fell from the Leukadian cape into the Western Sea. So, as she wandered westward in search of her lost child, Têlephassa (a name which, like those of Phaethousa, Lampetiê, and Brynhild, tells its own tale), sank to sleep on the Thessalian plain in the evening.
Vitality of the mythopœic faculty.

Constant demand for new mythical narratives.

Yet although much was forgotten, and much also, it may be, lost for ever, the form of thought which produced the old mythical language had not altogether died away. Showing itself sometimes in directly allegorical statement of historical fact, sometimes in similar descriptions of natural objects or of the incidents of common life, it still threw the halo of a living reality over everything of which it spoke. So the flight of Kaunos from Miletos to Lykia, and the sorrow of the sister whom he had left behind, figured the migration of colonists from the one land to the other. So in the Hesiodic Theogony, Nyx (night) is the mother of Hypnos, (sleep,) and Oneiros, (dream,) of Eris, (strife,) and Apatê, (deceit,) and Mômos, (blame,) where we speak merely of sleeping and dreaming, and of evil deeds wrought in secrecy and darkness. ${ }^{1}$

If again, the mythology of the Homeric poets, as handed down to us, points to an age long anterior to their own, yet the mythopœic faculty still exerted itself, if not in the invention of myths altogether new, yet in the embeilishment and expansion of the old. It was not easy to satisfy the appetite of an imaginative age which had no canon of historical criticism, and which constantly craved its fitting food. It was not easy to exhaust the vein opened in almost every mythical theme. The sun as toiling and suffering, the sky as brooding over and cherishing the earth, the light as gladdening and purifying all visible things, would suggest an infinity of details illustrating each original idea. The multiplication of miracles and marvels stimulated the desire for more ; and new labours were invented for Heraklês, new loves for Zeus, as easily as their forefathers uttered the words to which the myths of Zeus and Heraklês owed their existence. The mere fact of their human personification

[^50]insured the growth of innumerable fictions. If Zeus had the form and the passions of men, then the conditions of his life must be assimilated to theirs. He must have wife and children, he must have father and mother. The latter must be no less divine than himself; but as he is enthroned above them, they must belong to a dynasty which he has overthrown. Their defeat must have been preceded by a long and fierce struggle. Mighty beings of gigantic force must have fought on each side in that tremendous conflict; but the victory must belong to the side which, to brute force, added wise forethought and prudent counsel. ${ }^{1}$ Here there would be the foundation for that marrellous supernatural machinery of which we have some indications in the Iliad, and which is drawn out with such careful detail in the Hesiodic Theogony. But Zeus, to whom there were children born in every land, must have his queen; and the jealousy of Hêrê against lô, or Semelê, or Allkmênê would follow as a necessary consequence. The subject might be indefinitely expanded, and each subject would of itself suggest others; but there was no fear that the poet should weary the patience of his hearers, if only his additions, whether of incident or detail, did not violate the laws of mythological credibility. Nothing must be related of Heraklês which was repugnant to the fundamental idea of his toil and suffering for a master weaker than himself; nothing must be told of Athênê which would rather call up associations of the laughter-loving Aphroditê.

And, finally, there would be a constant and irresistible temptation to sever historical incidents and characters from the world of reality, and bear them into the cloudland of mythology. Round every hero who, after great promise, died Transmutation of names really. bistorical. in the spring-time of his life, or on whom the yoke of an unworthy tyrant lay heavy, would be grouped words and expressions which belonged to the myth of the brilliant yet quickly dying sun. The tale of Achilleus and Meleagros may be entirely mythical; but even if it be in part the story of men who really lived and suffered, that story has been so interwoven with images borrowed from the myths of a bygone age,

[^51]Book

Groundwork of the mythology of Nurthern Furope.
as to conceal for ever any fragments of history which may lie beneath them. Names apparently historical have been introduced into the Nibelungen Lied which are not to be found in the Edda. The great Theodoric at Verona is transmuted into Dietrich of Bern; while Siegbert, the Austrasian king, and the infamous Brunehault ${ }^{1}$ have taken the place of Sigurd and Brynhild.

But if the mythical phrases which gare birth to the legends of Heraklês, Endymion, and Orpheus, of Phaethôn, Meleagros, and Bellerophontes, spoke of the daily course of the sun, there were others which told of alternating seasons. For the character of mythical speech must necessarily be modified, and its very phrases suggested by the outward features and phenomena of the country. The speech of the tropics, and still more, of the happy zone which lies beyond its scorching heat, would tell rather of brilliance than of gloom, of life rather than decay, of constant renovation rather than prolonged lethargy. But in the frost-bound regions of the North, the speech of the people would, with a peculiar intensity of feeling, dwell on the tragedy of nature. It would speak not so much of the daily death of the sun (for the recurrence of day and night in other lands would bring no darkness to these), but of the deadly sleep of the earth, when the powers of frast and snow had vanquished the brilliant king. It would speak, not of Eôs rising from the Titan's couch, or of Hêlios sinking wearied into his golden cup behind the sea, but of treasures stolen from the earth and buried in her hidden depths beyond the sight and reach of man. It would tell of a fair maiden, wrapped in a dreamless slumber, from which the touch of one brave knight alone could rouse her; it would sing of her rescue, her betrothal,

[^52][^53]and her desertion, as the sun, who brought back the spring, forsook her for the gay and wanton summer. It would go

CHAP.
IV. on to frame tales of strife and jealousy, ending in the death of the bright hero; it would speak of the bride whom he has forsaken as going up to die upon his funeral pile. This woful tragedy, whose long sorrow called forth a deep and intense sympathy which we, perhaps, can scarcely realise, is faintly indicated in the beantiful hymn to Dêmêtêr; but winter, in the bright Hellenic land, assumed a form too fair to leave any deep impression of gloom and death on the popular mythology. The face of nature suggested there the simple tale which speaks of Persephonê as stolen away, but brought back to her mother by a covenant insuring to her a longer sojourn on the bright earth than in the shadowy kingdom of Hades. But how completely the tragedy, to which this hymn points, forms the groundwork of the Volsung myth and of the Edda into which it was expanded, to what an extent it has suggested the most minute details of the great epics of the North, Professor Max Müller has shown, with a force and clearness which leaves no room for doubt. ${ }^{1}$ Like Achilleus, Sifrit or Sigurd can be wounded only in one spot, as the bright sun of summer cannot grow dim till it is pierced by the thorn of winter. Like Phoibos, who smites the dragon at Pytho, the Northern hero slays the serpent

[^54]Mythology, vol. i. pp. 108, 113. Mr. Thorpe is aware of the resemblance of the Northern mythology to that of the Groeks, but he seems scarcely to have understood its extent. In his explanations he inclines (vol. i. p. 122, \&c.) to the opinion that roal historical events have given rise to myths, a conclusion which Mr . Grote refuses to admit. But his method throws no light on the cause of these resemblances between the mythological systems of nations utterly severed from one another; still less does it show why they shonld in each case assume their particular form, and why it is that they could have assumed no other. In this Teutonic story Sigurd and Ragnar are each unfaithful to their betrothed brides: in the Welsh legend the faithlessness of Guenovere to Arthur reproduces the desertion of Menelans by Helen, who finds her Lancelot in Paris.

Book Fafnir, and wins back the treasure of the Niflungar, while
I. he rouses Brynhild from her long slumber. ${ }^{1}$ This treasure is the power of regetation, which has been lulled to sleep by the mists and clouds of winter; the seeds which refuse to grow while Dêmêtêr sorrows for her child Persephonê. The desertion of Brynhild is the advance of spring into summer ; and from it follows of necessity the hatred of Brynhild for Gudrun, who has stolen away the love of Sigurd. ${ }^{2}$ A dark doom presses heavily on him, darker and more woful than that which weighed down the toiling Heraklês; for the labour of Heraklês issued always in victory, but Sigurd must win his own wife Brynhild only to hand her over to Gunnar. The sun must deliver the bright spring, whom he had wooed and won, to the gloomy powers of cold and darkness. Gudrun only remains ; but though outwardly she is fair and bright, she is of kin to the wintry beings, for the late summer is more closely allied to death than to life. Yet Gunnar, her brother, cannot rest; the wrath of the cold has been roused, and he resolves to slay the bright and beautiful Sigurd. The deed is done by Gunnar's brethren-the cloud, the wind, and the storm; and Brynhild, filled again with her early love, lies down to die with him who had forsaken her. ${ }^{3}$

[^55]scarcely in point. The manifestations of Moloch, Chemosh, an l Mileom, may originate in such a feeling; but wo cannot at once assign a momal and a mythological origin to those of $/ 2$ ens and Odin, Thor and Vishnu. If' Teus and Odin were once the heaven or the sky, then their human persomification must, as we have seen, be followed by tho developoment of their special mythima attributes and history, and could have been followed by no others. The idea of the mighty sun toiling for weak and worthless men would ineritably be doreloped into the strong Heraklís, briwo or coarse, grave or eren comic, virtmous or immonal. The arlventures of '/ens may be 'tinged with all the lust, amd guile which the wickedness of the natural man, planted on a hot-hed of iniquity, is capable of concriving; ' but. we shall scareely trace them to a 1 wligions perversion, if wo acepti the condmsions which a comparison of Greek mythologry with the carliest, Vedic: literature forces upon us. The main

Phrases similar to those which gave birth to the legends of the Volsungs and the Nibelungs lie at the root of the epics to which Greek genius has imparted such wonderful consistency and beauty. Yet it can scarcely be too often repeated, that these poets adopted as much of the popular mythology as suited their purpose, and no more. If casual expressions throughout these poems leave no room to doubt that they knew of wars among the heavenly beings, of the dethronement of Kronos, the good service and the hard recompense of Promêtheus, and the early death of Achilleus, it appears not less manifest, that the idea of Oinônê and of her relations to Paris could not have dawned for the first time on the mind of a later age. It was not part of the poet's design to furnish a complete mythology; and the Mliad exhibits only that process of disintegration which was per-
difference between the adrentures of Odin and Zeus is that, while those of the latter are chiofly erotic, the former involve the exhibition of gigantic physical strength,-a distinction at onco accounted for by differenees of soil and climate.-See Dasent, Popular Tales from the Norse, Introduction, p. lix.

Whether the Beast epic of the North had, or had not, its origin in a Natureworship, Mr. Ditsent appears to include in the various Beast epies of the Aryan races some instanees which seem not to belong to them. Thus, as illustrating the transformation of men into heasts, ho mentions Eurôpê and her bull, Leda and her swan-(Populder Tales, p. cxix). If it he an illustration, it accounts for all such trausformations: lur it does so in a way which is completely subrersive of any hypothesis of Nature-worship. Such myths may all be traced to mere forgetfulness of the original meaning of words. In tho Vedas the imago of a bull is wery commonly employed as expressing tift powor and speed of the sun, and this image reappears in the bull which bears Europê, the broad spreading light, to the Westorn land. Thus also, as we have seen, the seron shiners become seven bears, and the soven stars are converted into wains. A similar confusion between words so noarly alike in sound and origin as $\lambda \epsilon u \kappa$ ós, shining, and $\lambda$ ríkos, a wolf, so named from the glossiness of its coat, produced the myth that Lykaon and
his children were turned into wolver, and probably laid the foumdations of a superstition which has, from time to time, raged with disastrous fury. See Lecky, History of the Rise and Influtnce of Rationalism in Europe, vol. i. ch. i., and the article 'Witcheraft,' in the Dictionary of Scieme, Literature, and Art. But if the terrible delusion of Lykanthropy arose from the mere use of an equivocal word, we cannot cite such legends as evidence in favour of Dr. Dasent's hypothesis of a primaeval belief that 'men under certain comditions could take the shape of mimals.' That this belief prevailed in the time of Herodotos, cannot be denied; but in the Iliad and Odyssey the power of assuming different forms is reserved to tho gods only. A distinction may, however, Le fairly drawn between the involumtary transformations of men in Norse mythology, and the grenuine Beast epic. which accumatcly describes the relations of brute animals witlo ono another, and of which Dr. Dasent spaks as 'full of the liveliest traits of nature.' But this very fact seems to prove conclusively, that this Bast, epic never had arything to do with Nature-worship. The Emyptian who worshipped Apis was not likely to appreciate most keenly the character of the animal whom he reverened. Hat Norseman ever worshipped bulls, bears, and wolves, they wonld not have drawn their portraits with such nico discrimination.

CIIAP. IV.

## Ground-

 work of the ' $\mathrm{H} 0-$ moric' mpthology.petually multiplying new tales and new beings from the old
I. mythical language. In no instance, perhaps, is this process brought out with greater clearness than in that of Paris. This son of Priam, as leading away the beautiful Helen from the far west and hiding her through ten long years in his secret chambers, represents the dark power which steals the light from the western sky, and sustains a ten-hours' conflict before he will yield her up again. Paris thus is Paṇi, the dark thief of the Vedic songs, who hides the bright cattle of Indra in his dismal caves ; in other words, he is Vritra, the veiling enemy, and Ahi, the throttling serpent of night. Such is he in his relations to Menelaos and the children of the Sun, who come to reclaim the lost Helen. But among his own people Paris is the most prominent actor in the great drama which ends in the fall of Tlion. He is beautiful, he is brave, and he is fated to bring ruin on his parents. In these characteristics he resembled Perseus, Têlephos, Oidipous, and Theseus ; and at once the mass of floating phrases, which were always at hand to furnish germs for new myths, fastened on Paris until the idea which had called him into being is well nigh lost to sight. It is impossible to read the story of Pawis as given by Apollodoros without perceiving the double character thus assigned to the seducer of Helen. His name, Alexandros, may certainly have borne at first a meaning. quite opposite to that which it afterwards assumed; but the modification of his character had already been effected when Paris was described as the helper of men. ${ }^{1}$ Henceforth the story assigns to him attributes which cannot be explained by the idea of Night. Doomed to destroy his parents, the babe is exposed on the slopes of Ida, like Oidipous on the slopes of Kithairôn, as the rays of the newly risen sun rest level on the mountain side. The child is nourished by a Bear, but the bear carries us at once to the legend of the Seven Stars, and to the confusion of the name of the sun-god with that of the wolf. He grows up beautiful in form; and if his love is

[^56]sensual, so also in many myths is that of Herakles. ${ }^{1}$ If, again, after the seduction of Helen, his former bravery gives place to

CHAP. IV. sullen or effeminate inaction, this feature only marks more curiously the affinity between the later conception of Paris, and the original idea of Meleagros and Achilleus. If he is capricious, so are they; and each sits burnishing his golden armour in his tent or his secret chamber, making ready for the fight, yet doing nothing. If, again, it is by the weapon of Paris that Achilleus is to fall in the western gates, ${ }^{2}$ the arrow which slays Paris is drawn from the quiver of Herakles. But with the fatal wound comes back the love of Paris for the lost Oinônê; and not less forgiving than Prokris to the faithless Kephalos, Oinônê stands before him. With a soft and tender grief she gazes on the face which had once filled the whole earth for her with beauty. She sees his life-blood flowing away; but though she is of the bright race of the gods, and though she has the power of the soft evening time to soothe the woes of mortal men, she cannot heal the poisoned wound which is slaying Paris as the deadly mistletoe slew the bright and beautiful Baldur. But with the death of him who once was called Alexandros, the light of her life is gone. Paris rests in the sleep of death, and Oinônê lies down to die by his side. ${ }^{3}$

[^57]guage, second scries, ch. xi. But this passage of the Iliad would, if taken with this strictness, reduce Phoibos himself to the ranks of the dark powers; nor must it be forgotten that in the great conflict the lord of life and light takes part with Hektor and his followers against the bright and short-lived Achilleus. The original idea of Paris is certainly not solar: but as he eomes before us in the Miad, he exhibits many features which belong to purely solar heroes, and which went far to trinsmute his character in later mythology.
${ }^{3}$ The parallelism seems complete, while, if we berr in mind the flexible character of the earliest mythical gods, on which Mr. H. H. Wilson and Mr. Max Mäller havo both laid great stress, there is very little to perplex us in this modification in the character of the seducer. This process, which must inevitably follow the disintegration of myths, is seen in the germ in the double
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Com-
parison of Greek and Norse mythology.

The Iliad is, in short, the Volsung tale, as wrought out by the poets of a bright and fertile land. ${ }^{1}$ Yet, if the harsh climate of the north modified the Norse mythology, it also moulded indefinitely the national character, and the two acted and reacted on each other. Bred up to fight with nature in a constant battle for existence, the Northman became fearless, honest, and truthful, ready to smite and ready to forgive, shrinking not from pain himself and careless of inflicting it on others. Witnessing everywhere the struggle of conflicting forces, he was tempted to look on life as a field for warfare, and to own no law for those who were
meaning attached to the name of Orthros, who, as representing Viritra, would be the enemy of gods and men, while, as embodying the idea of daybreak, ho might readily assume the benignant aspect of solar heross. And, finally, the idea of treachery is as naturally suggested by the course of the sun, as the idea of his beneficence, his toil, his conflicts, and his early death. The sun forsakes the dawn for the glare of noonday, or the fair and blushing spring for the more brilliant and flaunting summer: and thus far the Trojan Paris is simply the counterpart of Sigurd or of Theseus.

1 The Hellenic myths can no longer be regarded as exponents of abstract physical truths or theories. There can be no doubt that (whatever appearanco of such a system may have been imparted to it ly the priests), the supposition does not apply with more force even to Egyptian mythology. In Egypt, as well as in Greece and Northern Europe, wo have again the solar legend. The spring was the time of festival, the autumn of fast and mourning. It would almost seem as though the Egyptian myths were in this respect more closely akin to those of Northern than of Southern Europe.--See Milman, History of Christianity, vol. i. p. 13. Compare also the Surtr of the Icelandic mythology, Dasent's 'Norsemen in Iceland,' Oxford Essays for 1858, p. 198.
The groundwork of the Volsunga Saga, of the tales of IIelen, Alkestis, Sarpedôn, and Memnon, reappears in the legends and the worship of Adonis. The origin of the myth is in this case self-evident, while the grossness of the forms which it has assumed, shows the
degree to which such legends may either influence or be modified by national characteristies or the physical conditions of a country. Even in their worst aspects, Zeus and Odin retain some majesty and manly power; but in the legend of Adonis, the idea of the sun as calling the earth back to life has been sensualised to a degree far beyond the sensuousness of Greek or Teutonic mylogy. In fact, the image of Dêmêtêr has passed by a very easy transmutation into that of Aphroditê : but there not only remains the early death of Adonis, lut it is assigned to the very cause which cuts short the life of Achillens, Sigurd, Baldur, and Meleagros. The boar's tusk, which reappears in the myth of Odysseus, is but the thorn of winter and the poisoned robo of Herakles; and accordingly thero wore versions which affirmed that it was Apollon who, in the form of a boar, killed the darling of Aphroditê. The division of time also varies. In some legends the corenant is the same as that which is made with Dêmêter for Persephonê. In others, he remains fuur months with Hades, fourwith Aphrodite, while the remaining four, being at his own disposal, he chooses to spend with the latter. But the myth had been mot merely corrupted: it was poisoned by the touch of oriental sensuality. In the Volsung tale, Sigurd dies as pure as lives the Hellenie Phoibos: in the eastern myth, from Adonis springs Priapos. The mourning of the women for Tammuz might woll rouse the righteons indignation of the Hebrew prophit. The hymn of Dèmetter would have called from him a rebuke less severe.
not bound with him in ties of blood and friendship. Hence Chap. there was impressed on him a stern and fierce character, ex- IV. aggerated not unfrequently into a gross and brutal cruelty; and his national songs reflected the repulsive not less than the fairer aspect of his disposition. In the Volsung tale, as in the later epics, there is much of feud, jealousy, and bloodshed, much which to the mind of a less tumultuous age must be simply distasteful or even horrible. To what extent this may be owing to their own character it may perhaps be difficult to determine with precision; yet it would seem rash to lay to their charge the special kinds of evil dealing of which we read in their great national legends. Mr. Dasent, who accounts for the immoral or repulsive details of Greek mythology entirely on moral and religious grounds, has consistently assigned a purpose not less didactic to the mythology of the North. In the Volsung tale he sees simply men and women, whose history had never grown out of conditions not belonging to human life. It speaks to him of love and hate, of ' all that can foster passion or beget revenge. Ill-assorted marriages . . . envyings, jealousies, hatreds, murders, all the works of the natural man, combine together to form that marvellous story which begins with a curse, the curse of ill-gotten gold; and ends with a curse, a widow's curse, which drags down all on whom it falls, and even her own flesh and blood, to a certain doom.' " This picture of mythology, the composition of which has been so strangely and fully laid bare by comparative mythologists, is no fair representation of the Northman. It is not easy to believe that the relations between Sigurd and Gunnar were (even rarely) realised in the actual life of the Norwegian or the Icelander. But, in his eagerness to defend their domestic morality, Mr. Dasent appears to be hurried into something like injustice to the society of the Greek heroic ages. These ages, to him, are polished and false, ${ }^{2}$ a period in which woman was a toy, whereas she was a helpmeet to the Teuton, a time in which men lacked in general the feelings of natural affection. If the words refer to a later age, the
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Special characteristics of Greek mythology.
comparison is scarcely relevant; and of the Homeric society the picture is scarcely true. The feelings of friendship are even'exaggerated in Achilleus; the pure freedom of domestic equality is brought out with winning lustre in Nausikâ̂ and Penelopê. But whether with the Greek or the Northman, all judgment is premature until we have decided whether we are or are not dealing with legends which, whether in whole or in part, have sprung from the mythical expressions of a forgotten language. We can draw no inference from the actions of Zeus or Herakles as to the character of the Greeks; we cannot take the fatal quarrels of Brynhild, Gunnar, and sigurd, as any evidence of the character of the Northman.

Living in a land of icebound fjords and desolate fells, hearing the mournful wail of the waving pine-branches, looking on the stern strife of frost and fire, witnessing year by year the death of the short-lived summer, the Northman was inured to sombre if not gloomy thought, to the rugged independence of the country as opposed to the artificial society of a town. His own sternness was but the reflection of the land in which he lived; and it was reflected, in its turn, in the tales which he told, whether of the heroes or the gods. The Greek, dwelling in sumnier regions, where the interchange of summer and winter brought with it no feelings of overpowering gloom, exhibited in his words and songs the happiness which he experienced in himself. Caring less, perhaps, to hold communion with the silent mountains and the heaving sea, he was drawn to the life of cities, where he could share his joys and sorrows with his kinsmen. The earth was his mother: the gods who dwelt on Olympos had the likeness of men without their pains or their doom of death. There Zeus sat on his golden throne, and beside him was the glorious Apollon, not the deified man, ${ }^{1}$ but the sungod invested with a human personality. But (with whatever

[^59][^60]modifications caused by climate and circumstances) both were inheritors of a common mythology, which with much that was beautiful and good united also much that was repulsive and immoral. ${ }^{1}$ Both, from the ordinary speech of their common forefathers, had framed a number of legends which had their gross and impure aspects, but for the grossness of which they were not (as we have seen), and they could not be, responsible.

But if the mythology of the Greeks is in substance and in developement the same as that of the North, they differed widely in their later history. That of the Greeks passed through the stages of growth, maturity, and decay, without any violent external repression. ${ }^{2}$ The mythical language of the earliest age had supplied them with an inexhaustible fountain of legendary narrative; and the tales so framed had received an implicit belief, which, though intense and unquestioning, could scarcely be called religious, and in no sense could be regarded as moral. And just because the belief accorded to it was not moral, the time came gradually when thoughtful men rose through earnest effort (rather, we would say, through Divine guidance) to the conviction of higher and clearer truth. If even the Greek of the Heroic age found in his mythology neither a rule of life nor the ideal of that Deity whom in his heart he really

[^61]sequence of motives and incidents is such as might well perplex or even baffle the reader. It is impossible to know what is coming. Tho ordinary conditions of socicty wholly fail to explain the actions and purposes of the chief actors in the story, and we are left at a loss to know how such a tangled web of inscrutable adveritures could ever have been woven by the fancy of man. The key to the mythology of Greece also unlocks that of the North. The mystery is anbstantially explained; but the discovery involves the conclusion that the groundwork of the story is not peculiar to the Norse, and that its special forms of cause and effect do not therefore represent the ordinary motives and conditions of their social life.
${ }_{2}$ Grote, History of Greece, part 1. ch. xvii.

CHAP. IV.

Full de-velopement of Greek mythology.

BOOK growth of Northern mythology.
worshipped, still less would this be the case with the poets and philosophers of later times. To 尿schylos, Zeus was the mere name ${ }^{1}$ of a god whose actions were not those of the son of Kronos; to Sophokles it made no difference whether he were called Zeus or by any other name, as long as he might retain the conviction of His eternity and His righteousness. ${ }^{2}$ If from his own moral perception Pindar refused to credit charges of gluttony or unnatural crime against the gods, no violent shock was given to the popular belief; and even Sokrates might teach the strictest responsibility of man to a perfectly impartial judge, even while he spoke of the mythical tribunal of Minos, Rhadamanthys, and Aiakos. ${ }^{3}$ He was accused indeed of introducing new gods. This charge he denied, and with truth: but in no sense whatever was he a worshipper of the Olympian Zeus, or of the Phoibos who smote the Pythian dragon.

As compared with the Greek, the mythology of Northern Europe was arrested almost in its middle growth. After a fierce struggle, Christianity was forced upon the reluctant Northmen long before poets could rise among them to whom the sensuality or ferocity of their mythology would be repulsive or revolting, long before philosophers could have evolved a body of moral belief, by the side of which the popular mythology might continue peacefully to exist. By a sudden revolution, Odin and the .巴sir, the deities of the North, were horled from their ancient thrones, before the dread Twilight of the Gods ${ }^{4}$ had come. Henceforth they could only be regarded either as men or as devils. The former alternative made Odin a descendant of Noah; ${ }^{5}$ by the latter, the celestial hierarchy became malignant spirits riding on the stormcloud and the whirlwind. If these gods had sometimes been beneficent before, they were never beneficent now. All that was beautiful and good in the older belief had been

[^62][^63]transferred to the Christian ideas of chivalry and saintliness, which furnished a boundless field and inexhaustible nourishment for the most exuberant inventive faculty. ${ }^{1}$ The dernons of Hesiod were the spirits of the good who had died the painless death of the Golden Age ; but even in heathen times they were gradually invested with a malignant character. ${ }^{2}$ With Thor and Odin the transmutation was more rapid and complete; and Frigga and Freya became beings full of a wisdom and power which they used only for evil. The same character passed to those who were, or professed to be, their votaries; and the assumption of an unlawful knowledge paved the way for that persecution of a fictitious witchcraft which has stamped an indelible disgrace on medirval Christendom. ${ }^{3}$

So marvellous is that chronicle of heathen mythology, as it lies spread out before us in the light of the ancient speech, marvellous not only as showing how nations, utterly severed from each other, preserved their common inheritance, but as laying bare that early condition of thought without which mythology could never have had a being. Yet, if it has much to astonish us, it has nothing to bewilder or even to perplex, for the simultaneous developement of the same myths by countless tribes unknown to each other would be a marvel too vast even for the greediest credulity to swallowa standing miracle without purpose and without meaning. To the earliest records of Aryan literature is due the discovery that the vehement accusations of Christian controversialists and the timid explanations of heathen apolo-

[^64] Some valuable remarks on this subject may be found in Mr. Price's proface to Warton's History of English Pootry. (P. 57). It was this idea of a knowledge gained unlawfully from eril spirits which, far more perhaps than a habit of submission to church authority, impeded or repressed all researches in physical science. Gerbert of Ravenna (Sylrester II.) and Roger Bacon aliko aequired the reputation of dabbling in diabolical lore. In the time of Galileo, the accusers confined themselves to the simple charge of an unlawful use of human intellect.
gists were alike unfounded, ${ }^{1}$ that the impersonations of the old mythology had no substantial existence, and that the mythical narratives which grew up around them were not wrought out by a vile and corrupt imagination deliberately profaning the deposit of a revealed truth which it was hopeless that they should understand. To the language of the early Vedic hymns we owe our knowledge that the developement of such a mythology was inevitable, and that the phrases of that early speech, when their original meaning was once forgotten or misapprehended, would give rise to just those coarse, sensual, and immoral images, from which the purer feeling of later times would instinctively recoil.

Stages in the growth of my thical systems.

Step by step this analysis of mythology leads us back to what would seem to be the earliest condition of the human mind, and from that onwards through the mythopœic age to the philosophy of historical Greece. On the general character of its course there can be no doubt, nor is the question materially affected by the hypothesis that a period of pure monotheism intervened between the earliest time and that which multiplied the mythical inhabitants of Asgard or Olympos. ${ }^{2}$ In one sense the supposition may be true: in another it might be truer to say that the monotheism' so attained never died away. It was impossible that any real fetish worship could arise while man had not arranged his first conceptions with regard to the nature of all material things, or even to his own. If from the consciousness of his own existence he attributed the same existence to all outward objects, he did so, as we have seen, without drawing any distinctions between consciousness and personality. The idea of their divinity in any sense would be an inference, not a sensation; and the analysis of language, which shows that all predicative words are the expression of general idcas, does not show us that the human mind was immediately exercised by any train of connected reasoning. If, however, this earliest state was not followed by one which invested outward things with a personal life, if in some way men

[^65]could believe in a malignant yet unconscious and nonsentient power residing in stones and rocks, there would at once be developed a fetish worship, the most degrading and the most hopeless, which, if expanded at all, could issue only in a polytheism of devils. Yet even here some faint perceptions might remain of moral qualities, unless we believe that the Divine likeness might be wholly blotted out; but is it possible to account for the loathsome earthliness of some forms of heathenism, except by the hypothesis that on them the idea of Deity has never dawned? If, however, when gradually awakened, the consciousness of their own personality might lead others to attribute the same personal life to outward objects, the deification of these objects or powers would not follow as an immediate or even as a necessary consequence. For a long time they might scarcely be conscious of the degree to which they personified them; or they might continue to look upon them as beings condemned to the same life of toil and trouble with themselves. Such a thought, it is obvious, might lead at once to the idea of One (distinct from all that they saw or heard), who ordained this life of labour; and the conviction of a Supreme God, the Maker of all things, might take possession of the mind. ${ }^{1}$ But it is not less clear that such a conviction would not necessarily affect their ideas as to what they saw in the world around them. The Sun in all his various aspects, the Morning, the Evening, and the Night, might become more and more personal, even while the belief in a God exalted high above all might continue to gain strength. In other words, the foundation of their moral belief would at once be distinct from the foundation of their future mythology. Still, except to the thoughtful few, the personality of the great objects of the natural world would be more and more exalted, even while it assumed more and more a strictly human form. The result would be a polytheism of anthropomorphous gods, in which the chief divini-

[^66][^67]BOOK
ties would be the heaven and the sun. ${ }^{1}$ To the former, as covering and shielding all things, would be assigned those attributes which almost make us look on the Olympian Zeus and the Teutonic Alfadir as faint reflections of him who has made and loves mankind. ${ }^{2}$ But neither for the majesty of Zeus or Odin, nor for the unsullied purity of Phoibos Apollôn, of Athênê, or of Artemis, need we look further than to mythical phrases, which spoke once of Dyaus, Varuna, or Indra.

Mythology not strictly a religious belief.

So might a mythology the most intricate and a moral belief entirely independent of it, go on side by side. For the former had not sprung up from any religious conviction ; and the latter might advance beyond the stage of infancy, before the corruption of the true mythical speech led to the multiplication of mythological narratives. In the absence of any historical sense or any written literature, these tales would be eagerly welcomed and disseminated without a doubt of their truth. But the national character might exhibit many good and noble qualities, even while that of its greatest mythical heroes stood indefinitely lower. The moody sullenness, the implacable passion, and the ferocions cruelty of Achilleus; the capricious jealousy, idleness, and activity of Meleagros, are well-nigh incredible; nor is there any evidence either that those qualities were common amongst the Greeks in the heroic age, or that they attracted any great admiration or esteem. It can be no subject of regret to learn that they were as little responsible for the moral standard of Achilleus and Meleagros as for that of Zeus and Herakles, and that the idea of each originated as little with them as the conception of Odin and Baldur, of Sigurd and Gunnar, originated in the mind of the Teuton. So might the Spirit of God work in the human heart, even while a vast fabric of mythology was assuming proportions more and more colossal and systematic; so, in spite of sensual gods, the thought of whom made the poet shudder,

[^68][^69]might the real faith both of the poet and his hearers in an
CHAP. unseen Father continue substantially unshaken. So, while he cared not to avow any disbelief in mythical stories of Niobê or Promêtheus, Sokrates might tell of One who made men and watches over them for their good, and by the aid of that unseen God strive to keep his hands clean and his heart pure.

## CHAPTER $\nabla$.

GREEK CONCEPTIONS OF MYTHICAI TRADITION.
book The exuberant growth of myths from a few roots ex-

Gradual assignment of an historical character to mythical beings. pressing originally mere bodily sensations or wants is almost less astonishing than the inability of the several Aryan nations to see through the thin disguise which differences of name and local colouring had thrown over legends identical in all essential characteristics. In India, the old phrases retained in a high degree their primitive significance ; but then these phrases remained comparatively barren stocks. In the West, where their meaning was more or less forgotten, the several sayings gave birth to independent legends which were all regarded as genuine and veracious history. The names Theseus, Perseus, Oidipons, had all been mere epithets of one and the same boing; but when they ceased to be mere appellatives, these creations of mythical speech were regarded not only as different persons, but as beings in no way connected with each other. Political alliances were made, and nationals quarrels excited or appeased, by appeals to the exploits or the crimes of mythical heroes. The Persian King, before setting foot on European soil, secured, it is said, the neutrality of Argos by claiming. a national affinity with the son of Danaê. ${ }^{1}$ On the eve of the fight at Plataiai, the Tegeatans did not scruple to waste precious moments in support of a claim founded on the exploits of the fabulous Echemos, while the Athenians held that they rebutted this claim by bringing up their ancient kindness to the banished Herakleidai. ${ }^{2}$ The tale of Othryades was regarded by Sparta and Argos as a sufficient ground for

[^70]inserting a special article into a treaty made during the Peloponnesian war. ${ }^{1}$

But if they were thus convinced of their historical truth, they felt still more certain that the legends of one state or city were essentially distinct from those of another. The Athenian was sure that the tales which he had heard of Erechtheus or Theseus had nothing in common with the legends of Argos, Thebes, or Pherai, beyond those incidents of local intercourse which were acknowledged by the narrative. The Arkadian, when he told the tale of Zeus and Kallistô, never supposed that it was repeated by the Thessalian in the story of Phoibos and Korônis. Perseus, Kadmos, Iasôn, Achilleus, moved each in their own circle, and had left behind them a history seemingly as distinct as that of Athens and Sparta from the days of Pausanias and Themistocles.

This conviction was a dream. But it has its parallel in the scornful assurance with which the British soldier would even now repudiate all affinity with the Hindu whom he holds in subjection.' They who can see a little further know

This beliof was wholly without foundation. that this kindred is a fact too stubborn to be denied, while they perceive also that the national traditions of Hellênes, of Dorians and Ionians, with the political legends of Athenians, Thebans, Thessalians, Spartans, Argives, move in the same charmed circle, and revolve more or less closely round the same magic point. The great family legend of the Perseids is as magnificent a subject for an epic as that of the wrongs and woes of Helen. Its incidents are not less marvellous, its action is scarcely less complicated. Like the tale of Troy, it forms a coherent whole, and exhibits an equal freshuess of local life and colouring. It serves, therefore, the more completely to prove the extent to which the Hellenic local legends sprung up from a common source, and to furnish the means of detecting the common element in isolated traditions with which they may seem to be not even remotely connected.

To the citizen of the Peloponnesian Argos the mere name Conncction between

[^71]BOOK
I. the legends of Argos, Thebes, and Athens.
of Perseus sufficed as a conclusive mark, separating him from all who traced their origin to Theseus or to Kadmos. Yet his designation as a destroyer of noxious things linked the son of Danaê at once with other heroes of Greek mythology. If Perseus won or deserved his name because he slew the deadly Gorgon or the Libyan sea-monster, Phoibos Apollôn had also killed the mighty serpent Python, and Hipponoös received his title Bellerophontes as the slayer of the fearful Belleros. It was the arbitrary sentence of the cruel and cowardly Polydektes which sent Perseus on his weary errand to the caves of the Graiai and the Gorgons; but it was no less the relentless hatred of the mean and false Eurystheus which made the life of the high-souled Herakles a long series of unrequited labours. Nay, Apollôn himself was driven forth to serve as a bondman in the house of the kindly Admêtos, and, with Herakles, to look in vain for a recompense from the treacherous Laomedôn. If, in doing the bidding of the Seriphian king, Perseus encountered overwhelming dangers, Theseus surmounted perils not less appalling for the same reason and from the same motives, while his victory over the Minotauros only repeats the slaughter of the Libyan dragon by Perseus. Thus, then, as unwilling workers, as destroyers of unclean or hurtful things, Perseus, Theseus, Hipponoös and Herakles are expressions of the same idea. If, again, his name as the child of the golden shower points to the splendour of his birth, so also Phoibos springs to light, in Delos or in Lykia, while the gloomy prison-house or cave in which, like Zeus or Krishna, he is born, has its parallel in the sleep or death of Night, which is the parent of the Delphian god. If it is the hope and the boast of Perseus that before his life's labour is done he will bring back Danaê to the home which she had left when he was a babe, so also Herakles meets at the close of his toils the maiden whom he had wooed while his life was in its morning. From the island in the Eastern sea Persens journeys through many lands to the dark home of the Graiai in the far west; but Herakles also wanders from Argos to the distant gardens of the Hesperides, Hipponoös is driven from Lykia, the land of light, and dies on the shore of the
western sea, while Kephalos seeks in the Leukadian gulf the love which he had lost in Attica. In his attack on the Gorgon maiden, Perseus is armed with the sword which slays everything on which it falls; but Apollôn is also the invincible Chrysâor, and Artemis carries the unerring spear which is fatal to the guileless Prokris and the less innocent Korônis or Kallistô. On the golden sandals Perseus moves through the air quicker than a dream; but the golden chariot also bears Helios and Phaethon across the blue vault of heaven, and when Achilleus tries his armour, it bears him aloft like a bird upon the wing. ${ }^{1}$ After slaying the seadragon, Perseus wins Andromeda; after killing the Minotauros Theseus wins Ariadnê. In unselfishness of character; and in the determination to face rather than to shrink from danger, there is no difference between Perseus and Theseus, until the latter returns from Crete; or again between Perseus and Bellerophontês. Perseus is the strongest and the most active among the people in all manly exercises. So, too, none can vie with Apollôn in the use of the bow, and the children of Niobe fall not less surely than the Pythian dragon. If, again, Perseus is the child of a mother of whom we know little more than the name, gentle, patient, and long-enduring, the same neutral colouring is seen in Iokastê in the Theban legend of Oidipous; in Lêtô, who gives birth to Apollôn in Delos; and in Alkmênê, from whom is born the mightiest of heroes, Herakles. The life of Perseus closes in darkness. He has slain his grandfather, and he has not the heart to remain in his ancient home; but Kephalos also cannot abide at Athens after he has unwittingly slain Prokris, or Herakles in Kalydôn after slaying the boy Emomos, and each departs to die elsewhere.

Without going further, we have here no very insufficient evidence, if we sought to prove a close connection, or cren Ildontity of comple identity, between Persens, Bellerophôn, Theseus Kephalos, Herakles, and Apollôn. If we cease to confine ourselves to a single legend, the coincidences might be indefinitely multiplied, while any other legend may be submitted to the same treatment which has just been applied to that of

[^72]book Perseus. If Kephalos, having won the love of Prokris, is
I. obliged to leave her for a time, Apollôn in like manner is constrained to desert Korônis. If Prokris yields her affection to one whom she almost believes to be Kephalos, the guilt of Korônis is not many shades deeper; while both are alike smitten by the fatal spear of Artemis. In the legends of Thebes, Athens, Argos, and other cities, we find the strange yet common dread of parents who look on their children as their future destroyers. Thus Oidipous is cast forth to die on the slopes of Kithairôn, as Paris is abandoned on those of Ida or Arthur to the mysterious Merlin, while Perseus is entrusted to the mercy of the deep sea. Nay, the legends interchange the method by which the parents seek the death of their children; for there were tales which narrated that Oidipous was shut up in an ark which was washed ashore at Sikyôn. ${ }^{1}$ In every case the child grows up beautiful, brave, and strong. Like Apollôn, Bellerophôn, and Herakles, they are all slayers of monsters. The son of the gloomy Laios returns to destroy the dreaded Sphinx, as Perseus slays the Gorgon, and the Minotauros falls by the sword of Theseus. They have other features in common. The fears of their parents are in all cases realised. Akrisios and Laios are killed by Perseus and Oidipons, as Romulus and Cyrus bring ruin on Amulius and Astyages. ${ }^{2}$ All of them love fair maidens and are somewhat prone to forsake them; and after doing marvellous things, they return to the maiden whom they loved at the beginning of their career, or to the mother from whom they had been parted long ago. Herakles finds Iolê by his funeral pile on Oita, while in the myth which has invested his character with a solar colouring Oinônê cheers Paris in his last hour on Ida.

[^73][^74]Still more significantly, Oidipous marries Iokastê (the connection of the name with that of Iolê is manifest), ${ }^{1}$ and the

CHAP. V. unwitting sin thus committed becomes the starting-point of a more highly-complicated history.

Wonderful, again, as is the seeming variety of action and incident in these legends, the recurrence of the same imagery, freshened by ingenious modifications, is not less remarkable. If Heraklês begins his career of marvels by strangling the serpents who have twined round his limbs, the youthful Apollôn slays the huge snake Pytho, and Perseus smites the snaky haired Medousi. The serpents, in their turn, win the victory when Eurydike falls a victim on the banks of the Hebros, or assume a more kindly form in the legends of Iamos and Melampous. ${ }^{2}$ The former they shelter in the thickets, because, as with Perseus, Oidipous, Romulus, and Cyrus, his kinsfolk seck his death, while to Melampous,


#### Abstract

${ }^{1}$ The violet or purple colour can be traced through a large number of Greek mythical names. Iolaos is the son of Iphikles, the twin-brother of Marakles, (Scut. Her. 74). Through Epaphos and Danaos, the lime of Irerakles is traced back to Iô, in whose story is brought out the favourite image of the bull, as a figure of Indra or the sun. The names of Iasion, whom Dêmêtêr loved, and Teus slew, of Tasô, the daughter of Asklêpios, and Lason, wero referred to the idea of healing (yaros); but Eschylos derived Lykios, as an epithet of Apoilon, from the destruction of wolves,


and thus unconsciously explainol not only the transfomation of lykaon into a wolf, but the origin of the superstition of Lykanthropy. See note 3, p. 62. In short, the Greek poets wore far more frequently wrong than right in aceounting for mythical names; and thus the names Lason, Iolans, and the rest, may, so far as their belief is concerned, have had the same origin with that of Lamos, which is directly referred to the riolet beds under which he was hidden by the Drakontes, who, in the myth of Iason bear the chariot of Medeia. There remain some epithets, as Jeïos, and Iacehos, both of which are commonly referred to the cry ${ }^{i n}$, an explanation supported by
the known connection of words denoting sound and colour. About these it may bo rash to speak positively, although the opinion of Greek writers is not worth much, and lacchos may be another form of Berchus, which Inr, Latham connects with tho Slavonic bog, our bogy and Puck, tho Wolsh Pweea, \&e.--Johnson, Enylish Dictionary, s.v. Bogy.

2 In the Gaclic story of Fearachus Ieigh (Camplell, Tules of the West Highlands, ii. 362) the snake is boiled in a pot, round which paper is wrapped to provent the steam from eseaping. 'But ho had not made all straight when the water begam to boil, and the steam began to come out at one placo.
'Well, Farquhar saw this, and thought he would push the paper down round the thing ; so he put his finger to the bit, and then his finger into his mouth, for it was wet with the bree.
' So he knew evorything, and the eyes of his mind were opened.'

Farquhar now sets up for a doetor; but tho old myth of Asklepios must still be fulfilled in him. 'Farquhar the physician never came to be Farquhar the king, for ho had an ill-wisher that poisoned him, and he died.' The poison represents the thunder-bolt of Zeus in the Greek story, and the ill-wisher is Zeus himself.

BOOK

Significance of the names employed in Greek legends. may understand the voices and the song of birds. The spotless white bull bears Eurôpê across the waters of the sea: the glistening ram soars through the air with the children of Nephelê, or the mist. Phaethousa and Lampetie drive the cattle of Helios to their pastures, and Hermes steals the herds of Apollôn when he is scarce an hour old. The cattle in their turn assume an unkindly aspect. The Minotauros plagues the Cretans, the Marathonian bull ravages the fields of Attica. The former is killed by the child of the golden shower, the latter by the son of Aithra, the pure air.

The very names occurring in these tribal legends have a significance which the Greek language itself interprets, whenever they tell us of the great heroes whose lives run so strangely in the same magic groove. Oidipous loves Iokaste, as Heraklês loves Iole ; but he is also the husband of Furyganeia, who spreads the light over the broad sky. The names of Phaethon, of Phaethonsa and Lampetiê, the children of Neaira, tell their own talcs. In the obscure mythology of Tegea, when the name of Heraklês is introduced, the maiden whom he chooses is Augê, the brilliant. ${ }^{1}$ She too, like Danaê, is driven away by the terror of her father, and in the far eastern land becomes the mother of Têlephos, who, like Oidipous and Paris, is exposed on the rongh hill-side, and whose office as the bringer of light is seen again in the name of Têlephassa, the mother of Eurôpê. So, again, when the genealogy of Phthia is to be mingled with that of Elis, it is Protogeneia (the earliest dawn) who becomes the mother of Aethlios (the toiling and struggling sun), who is the father of Endymiôn, the tired sun at his setting, in whose child Eurydikê we see again the morrow's light restored to its former brightness. ${ }^{2}$

Thus in the marvellous tales which recounted the mighty

[^75][^76]deeds of Perseus and Heraklês the people of Argos saw a CHAP. coherent whole,-the chronicle of the great actions which
 distinguished the founders of their state from those of any writers, other. Yet the tale of Perseus, and still more that of and their Heraklês, is re-echoed in the Attic legends of Theseus; and even more significant is the fact of their utter unconsciousness that the life of Perseus is, in all its essential features, repeated in that of his great descendant Heraklês, through whose career the epos of Argos is twisted into a complicated chain with that of Attica. The conclusion is forced upon us that the Greeks knew no more about the historical facts possibly underlying these traditions than they knew about the names which occurred in them. We see at once that Athenians, Thebans, Argives, Spartans, regarded as independent narratives tales which are merely modified versions of the same story. Hence their convictions furnish not even the faintost presumption that the actors in the great dynastic legends ever had any historical existence, or that the myths themselves point to any historical facts.

## CHAPTER VI.

GREEK NOTIONS RESPECTING THE MORAL ASPECT OF MYTHOLOGY.

Coarse derelopement of certain mythical phrases.

The method, which has enabled us to compare the story of the Mliad with the Volsung Tale or the epic of Firdusi, tends to show that, in many instances at least, even the grossest, myths arose from phrases which were truthful and therefore beautiful descriptions of phenomena. But it has also shown us that these phrases, when translated into the conditions of human life and morality, would inevitably give rise to precisely those tales which, related boldly and nakedly, must, appear coarse, repulsive, or disgusting. Nor can it be denied, that if children or grown men are only to cram their memories with a thousand tales which speak of Oidipous as marrying his mother, of Tantalos as roasting his own son, of Lykaôn as placing a meal of human flesh before Zeus, of Hephaistos as defiling Athênê, of Heraklês as a creature of unbounded and indiscriminate lust, it must be in every way better to remain ignorant of such things in spite of all the allusions of poets and the suggestions of painters and sculptors. If we are to know only these incidents or details, (and the works which do not avowedly adopt the method of comparative mythology attempt nothing more,) the knowledge must be simply unwholesome.
Protests of It is no wonder that a mythology which still drives some Greck writers. critics to desperate shifts in their efforts to account for such strange developements, and which the Greek shared with barbarians, whose minds he despised and whose language he could not understand, should perplex and baffle the poets and philosophers of Hellas. Some little suspicion they had of the meaning of a few mythical names and phrases: how
the vast majority of them had come into being, they could have no idea. Still less, therefore, could they surmise that

CHAP. VI. these names themselves had given rise to the tales which charmed, bewildered, or horrified them. They knew that Zeus sometimes meant the sky; they knew that Selênê must be the moon; they half fancied that Endymion must be the sleeping sun : but they did not know why Zeus and Heraklês must have many loves in many lands, why Kronos should maim his father Ouranos and swallow his own children, why Tantalos should place the limbs of his son on the banquet table of the gods, why Oidipous should marry his mother and bring unimaginable woes on her, on himself, and on his children. From all these horrors their moral sense shrank with an instinctive aversion. The Zeus whom they worshipped was the all-seeing ruler and the all-righteous judge. In him there was no passion and no shadow of trurning. He was the fountain of all truth and goodness, from which could flow nothing impure or foul. How then should he be envious or jealous, capricious, lustful, and treacherous? The contradiction was glaring, and some among them had treuchant methods of dealing with it. Later philosophers condemned in a mass the glorious epics which bear the name of Homer : later poets contented themselves with rejecting every legend which was distasteful to their moral sense. Plato would give no place to Homer in his ideal commonwealth : Euripides, like Homeric heroes, could tell Zcus to his face, that he and his kinsfolk had done fearful things, or when he cast aside his mythological taith, could assert unequivocally,

> If the gods do aught unseomly, Thon they are not gods at all.'

The power of resting content without seeking to account linits of for this portentous growth of an immoral theology seems $\frac{\text { their }}{\text { knowledge. }}$

[^77][^78]to be reserved for modern minds. Examining this subject at greater length, Mr. Max Müller remarks that the Greeks ' would not have been Greeks, if they had not perceived that the whole of their mythology presented a problem that required a solution at the hand of a philosopher.' But, however great their efforts might be to explain its origin, the same causes which prevented them from discovering the affinity of their own language with that of Persians, Thrakians, or Italians, must have placed insuperable barriers in their way; and thus they were the more tempted to accept a compromise, which saved them from antagonism with ' some of the most venerable institutions' of their country. ${ }^{1}$
But if the examination of the most complicated epic poetry discloses precisely the frame-work which we find even in the most fragmentary legends, ${ }^{2}$ if Theseus and Sigurd,

[^79]${ }^{2}$ It is impossible to determine the aid which Comparative Mythology might have received from the lost poems of the so-called epic cycle. There can, however, be little doubt, that they would have made still more evident the truth of facts which, even without them, seem to be indisputably established. We might also, with their aid, have been better able to measure exactly the knowledge which the poets of the lliad and Odyssey had of legends which they have not mentioned or have treated only incidentally. Tho epic poom, which had for its subject simply the capture of Oichalia by Ferakles, the Danais, the Eurôpia, might haro added to our knowledge of the materials with which all theso poems were built up. The Iliad and Odyssey have assumed in our eyes more than their fair proportions, from the mero fact that thoy alone have survived unhurt the wear and tear of ages. Whether our Pliced and Odyssey are really the poems which were known under those titles to the Attic historians and tragedians is a gravor question, which these lost epic poems would have aided us in answering, and which must be examined by the aid of such materials and evidence as we have at our disposal. That the fact of their transmission to the present day is not to bo explained on the ground of their manifest supe-
riority to the lost pooms, is at onen cloar, when we remembor that the great Athenian poets deliberatoly drew the charactors and incidents of their dramis from poems which we capled cyelic, in preference to those which wo regmard as alono deserving to be callod Honeric.

The so-ealled Orphic hymus emsist. almost entirely of invocations to tho various beings with which tho ohd mythical language peoplet the visiblo world, followed by a string of all the epithets which were held to be applipable to them. Almost, every one of these epithets may be made the equm of' a mythical tale. Thus the hym to Protogonos (whose counterpart is Protogeneia) hails him ns born from tho egg (of night), and having the fire of a bull (Indra), as Phanes tho brilliant, and Antauges (Antigone), roffecting the light of the Sun (vi.). Helios (viii.) is Paian, tho healer, merging into tho idea of Asklêpios; ho is also heus, a relie of the interchangeable character of tho earlicer Vedic grods, the moon being alsio still malo and fomale (ix.). Moraklion (xii.) is the father of Time, benignant and everlasting, producing and devoming all things, yet helping all, woring the dawn and the night round his hand. Adonis (lvi.) dwells partly in Tartarom and partly on Olympos. The rays of the sun and moon cannot come without the Charites, tho Harits or plistening horses of Indra (lx.). Asklepion is Paian the healer as well as Mrelius, and he has

Phoibos and Achilleus, Odysseus, Oidipous, and Perseus are, though different, yet the same,-if their adventures or their times of inaction are simply the fruit of an inevitable process going on in all kindred languages, all charges of immorality founded on the character of these adventures fall completely to the ground. It is simply impossible to believe that the great Athenian poets were descended from a people who, some centuries earlier, had deliberately sat down to inveut loathsome or ridiculous fictions about the gods whom they worshipped and the heroes whom they revered. To the mind of 㞑schylos there was a depth of almost inexpiable guilt in the sacrifice of Iphigeneial. The imagination of Scphokles was oppressed by the unconscious incest of Oidipous and all its frightful consequences, while Pindar turned aside with contemptuous indignation from the stories which told of gods devouring their own offspring. But we, to whom the tale of Kronos points to the Time which consumes the years to which it has given birth,-we, for whom the early doom of the virgin Iphigeneia, caused by the wrath of Artemis, is a mere reffection of the lot which pressed alike on Dahanâ and Daphnê, on Iolê, and Brynhilid, and Oinônê,-we, who can read in the woeful tale of Iokaste the return of the lord of day, the slayer of the Sphinx and of the Python, to the mother who had borne him in the morning, must feel, that if Greeks or Northmen who told of such things are to be condemned, they must be condemned on other grounds and not because in Achilleus or Sigurd or Odysseus they have given us pictures of obstinate inaction or brutal revenge. Possibly, to some among those old poets, the real nature of the tales which they were telling was not so completely hidden as we may deem. It is not easy to think that the writer of the Hymn to Hermes knew nothing of the key which was to unlock all its secrets. The very form of their language would warrant us in saying much more. But the words of Kumârila prove, that among the Eastern Aryans the real character of their mythology had not been forgotten. He,

[^80]B00K

too, had to listen to complaints like those which Pindar and Plato bring against the follies or the vices of the gods. His answer is ready.

- It is fabled that Prajâpati, the Lord of Creation, dil violence to his daughter. But what does it. mean? Prajîpati, the Lord of Creation, is a name of the sun; and he is called so because he protects all creatures. His daughter Ushas is the dawn. And when it is said that he was in love with her, this only means that, at sumrise, the sun runs after the dawn, the dawn being at the same time called the daughter of the sun, becauses she rises when he approaches. In the same manner, if it is said that Indra was the seducer of Ahalyâ, this does not imply that the grod Indra committed such a crime; but Indra means the sum, and Ahaly $\hat{a}$ the night; and as the night is seduced and ruined by the sun of the morning, therefore is Indra called the paramour of Ahaly $\hat{\text { an }}{ }^{1}{ }^{1}$

The morality of Hesiod.

It is the legend of Oidipous and Iokaste, one of the most awful and, in some aspects, the most repulsive in the wide range of Greek mythology. ${ }^{2}$ If the real nature of this tale is laid bare before us, we may at once assure ourselves that these stories are not the fruit of depraved imaginations and brutal lives. There is no longer any mystery in the stramge combination of repulsive legends with a sensitive morality in the Hesiodic poems of the 'Worls and Days.' We cease to wonder, that the same poet who has recounted the tale of Pandora should tell us that the eye of God is in every place, watching the evil and the good; ${ }^{3}$ that the duty of man is to

[^81][^82]avoid the smooth road to evil, ${ }^{1}$ and to choose the strait path CHAP. of good, which, rough at the first, becomes easy to those who $\underbrace{\text { VI. }}$ wall in it. ${ }^{2}$
${ }_{2}^{1}$ Works and Days, 286.




## CHAPTER VII.

## THEORY OF GREEK MYTHOLOGY AS AN ECLECTIC SYSTEMC.

BOOK. Few who have considered the subject at all will be disposed

Reproduction of the same myth under different forms. to deny that the Argive legends which relate the exploits of Perseus might well be expanded into a longer poem than the Hliad. We have, therefore, the less reason to be surprised if the Iliad itself, on examination, is found to relate part only of a more extended legend, or to exhibit under a different colouring modified versions of a single story. If in the mythology of Argos alone we have the ideal of Perseus recurring in the tale of Herakles, there is the less rason for wonder if the Hellenic Achilleus is but the comiterpart, of the Lykian Sarpedôn and Memnôn, the son of Eîs,-nay, if the character of Achilleus recurs in that of other Achaian heroes. The Miad, or rather, as Mr. Grote would saly, the Achillêis, ${ }^{1}$ sings of the wrath of the Phthiotie chieftain, who is also the child of the sea-goddess Thetis, and this wrath is followed by a time of gloomy and sullen inaction. The glorious hero, the lightning of whose countenance struck terror into his enemies, hangs up his weapons and hides his face. The sun has passed behind the veil of the storm-clond. The expression is literally forced from us: we cannot withhold the metaphor. But so was it with the men of Kalydon while Meleagros lay sullen and angry in his secret chamber with his beautiful wife Kleopatra. So complete is the identity of the two characters, so thoroughly does it rebuke his moonly anger, that the episode of Mcleagros is recited at length by Phoinix, in the hope that it may appease the fury of Achilleus. ${ }^{2}$ But. the issue with both is the same. Meleagros comes forth at last

[^83]to the aid of his people, and Achilleus, after a long struggle, makes up his quarrel with Agamemnon to avenge the death of Patroklos. Both again are doomed, after their time of obstinate inaction, to an early and violent death, preceded by a brief outburst of their former splendour. That such was to be the lot of his great hero, the Homeric poet knew well ; but, ignorant though he may have been of the source of the materials of which he made such splendid use, he chose, with a poctical instinct rarely surpassed, to close his tale when Achilleus grants the prayer of Priam, and yields to him the body of his dead son, Hektor.

If, however, resemblances of detail are not wanting to show that Wastern and Western legends have in the Mliad been blended together, it would follow that such a blending of the mythology of different cities or countries must issue in a highly complicated story. But it is obvious, at the same time, that no historical inferences can be drawn from the mere fact of such a complication. Rightly convinced that the tale of Troy, with its marvellously vivid details and astonishing incidents, must have some foundation, Bishop Thirlwall is disposed to refer it to some great expedition in which the chieftains of Western Hellas were combined against an Asiatic power ruling in Mlion. ${ }^{1}$ The evidence of such a fact may possibly be found in isolated statements contained in the Iliad, but scarcely in the plot of the story. If it may be assumed, from the form of the prophecy of Poseidôn, that

[^84]They can do nothing, thercfore, in spite of their numbors, until at the fated hour Achillous comes forth to help them. Such, at least, is the burden of the Achillicis. The interpolated lliad was the result of a patriotic focling struggling against the laws of mythical speech. Ir. Thirlwall sees clearly that the abduetion of Helen may haro boen 'a theme for poetry originally independent of the Trojan war, and he rightly insists that the tale of the war, 'even if unfounded, must still haro had some adoquate occasion and motive.' This is indisputablo: but hypothoses connecting it with Greek colonies in Asia prove nothing; the comparison of Greek logends among themsolves and with tho systems of mythology explains all.

CHAP.
VII.

No historical conclusions can be drawn from the complications so caused.
princes claiming descent from Aineias ruled in the poet's time in the Troad, ${ }^{1}$ no light is thrown by it on the existence of that chief, or on the reality of the Trojan war. The ruins of Tiryns attest to a certain extent the truthfulness of Homeric description in the catalogue of the contending forces; ${ }^{2}$ the walls of Mykênai bear out the statement that it was once the seat of a powerful dynasty, but archeological evidence tells us nothing of Perseids or of Pelopids.

But if we can trace this recurrence of the same ideal in different heroes and of the same imagery in the recital of their adventures in Hellenic mythology alone, the marvel is intensified a thousandfold when we compare this mythology with the ancient legends of Northern Europe or of the fardistant East. There is scarcely an incident in the lives of the great Greek heroes which cannot be traced out in the wide field of Teutonic or Scandinavian tradition; and the complicated action of the Iliad, or rather of the whole legend of which the lliad forms a part, is reprontuced in the badda and the lays of the Volsungs and the Nibelungs. It may seem almost superfluous, and yet the persistency of traditiomal opinion makes it necessary, to repeat, that if the Greck tales tell us of serpent-slayers and of destroyers of noxions monstors, the legends of the ice-bound North also sing of heroes who slay the dragons that lie coiled round sleeping maidens. If the former recite the labours of Herakles and speak of the bondage of Apollôn, Sifrit and Sigurd are not less doomed to a life of labour for others, not for themselves. If Herakles alone can rescue Hesionê from a like doom with Andromeda, or bring back Alkêstis from the land of Hades, it is Sigurd only who can slay the serpent Fafnir, and Ragnar Lodbrogr alone who can deliver Thora from the Dragon's grasp. If, at the end of his course, Heraklês once more sees his early love ; if Oinônê comes again to Paris in his death hour, so

[^85]eloudland of mythology. The suphest camal of Xerxes, at the hase of mant Athos, has shared the same fate; and the suspicion of Jurenal, x. 7t, that the story was a myth has thas laron mespectedly veritiod. Offi's dylie and the canal of Xorxes are, in shart, met mors artificial than Fingal's Cave or the Giant's Canseway.

Brynhild lies down to die with Sigurd who had forsaken her. If Achilleus and Baldur can only be wounded in a single spot, Isfendiyar in the Persian epic can be killed only by the thorn thrown into his eye by Rustem. If the tale of Perseus is repeated in the career of Herakles, the legend of Ragnar Lodbrog is also a mere echo of the nobler story which told of the sun-bright sigurd. It is scarcely necessary to enter into more minute detail. The chief features of Hellenic mythology may be traced in the mythical system of all the Aryan nations.

But at this point we encounter a difficulty which, if not removed, must prove fatal to the method which Comparative Mythology applies to the legends of the East and West. If that science has guided us to any measure of the truth, it has taught us something not merely of the growth of tales which recount the actions of deified heroes, but of the conceptions from which sprang the highest deities of OlymposArtemis, Dêmêtêr, Apollôn, and Zeus himself. It has identified Phoibos with Helios, Herakles, Perseus, Theseus, Oidipous, and many others. It has traced the several aspects of his character through the phases presented in the legends of Theseus, Kephalos, Daphnê, Endymiôn, Bellerophontes, and Meleagros. It has tanght us that he is the child of Zeus and Lêtô, while the maiden Persephonê is sprung from Zeus and Dêmêtêr. It tells us of Ouranos looking down on Gaia, and of Gaia returning the love of Ouranos by her unbounded fertility. It speaks of the toiling sun, visiting all the regions of the earth as he ascends or goes down the slope of heaven, and of earth as yielding to him her fruits wherever his light may exercise its beneficent power. It speaks of Zeus as the son or the husband of Gaia, and of the tears which fcll in raindrops from the sky when he mourned for the death of his son Sarpêdôn. It seems to tell us, then, of a mythological or religious system which, simple at the first, became at the last excessively complicated, and further that this system was the result not of philosophical generalisations, but of the consciousness of an exuberant life which was extended from man to every object which he beheld in the visible creation. It seems to show that once upon a time, while the ancestors

CHAP. VII.

Conclusions drawn from : comparison of Greek with Norso legends.

BOOK of European nations and tribes were still comparatively I. united, man had uttered as the simple phrases of every day speech sayings which became afterwards the groundwork of elaborate religious systems; that once upon a time they spoke of the dawn coming from the chambers of the night, while the night herself was struggling with the birth of the brilliant sun; that the new-born sun saw and loved and pursued the dawn, which vanished at his touch. It seems to teach us that from such phrases, which, slightly varied, were expanded into the tales of Kephalos and Prokris, of Korônis and Apollôn, grew finally the more definite personalities of Zeus and Phoibos, of Lêtô and Daphnê, of Artemis and Heralles. Hence, whatever in the Greek religious systems there was of direct anthropomorphism or of a fetish nature-worship would be the result of later thought and of attempts to arrive at philosophical abstractions, and not; the maimed and distorted relics of a higher knowledge once possessed but now only not forgotten.

Theory of
Dr.
Döllinger on the origin of Greek mythology.

If the theory which makes the growth of Groek mythology from the first a philosophical process can be established, then the results of Comparative Mythology must be aboudoned as of no value, and we must be content to look on the peints of resemblance between Greek, Teutonic, Scandinavian, and Eastern legends as a problem utterly beyond our powers to solve or even to grapple with. In any case it is a question of evidence; and the objections, which seem to be conclusive against the lypothesis of an original dogmatic revelation, of such a kind at least as that of which Mr. (xladstone speaks, have been considered already. But Dr. Döllinger's position ${ }^{1}$ lies open to no charges of fanciful extravagance; it, needs, therefore, to be the more carcfully examined, as professing to be a legitimate deduction from the state of religion, or rather of religious cultus, among the Greeks in historical times. This state was, in the opinion of Dr. Dïllinger, the result of an attempt to reduce a variety of conflicting systems and notions into one harmonions whole. In it were mingled the mysticism of Egypt and the orgiastic ritualism of the East, with the rude nature-worship of the older and luss

[^86]civilised ages; and his purpose is to trace the several ideas so amalgamated to their original sources. With this view

CHAP. VII. he is obliged to assume that in his primæval innocence man was enabled ' to conceive of the Divinity as a pure, spiritual, supernatural, and infinite being, distinct from the world and exalted above it.' The loss of this conception, and the yearning for something in its place, led to the deification of material nature, which ' unfolded herself to man's nature as a boundless demesne, wherein was confined an unfathomable plenitude of powers, incommensurable and incalculable, and of energies not to be overcome.' With this was developed a. sympathy for naturalism, ' and thus man, deeper and deeper in the spells of his enchantress, and drawn downwards by their weight, had his moral consciousness overcast in proportion, and gave the fuller rein to impulses which were merely physical.' ${ }^{1}$ This deification of natural powers led, as Dr. Döllinger believes, first of all to the worship of the elements-of ether as the vault of heaven; of the earth as its opposite; of fire as the warming and nourishing, the consuming and destroying power; of water as the element of moisture separated from that of earth. To this succeeded astrolatry in the East, and geolatry in the West, where the idea of the earth as a susceptible and productive agent led to the distinction of male and female divinities. But the actual Greek religion of the heroic and later ages was a blending of the several notions derived from supplanted races-Lcleges and Karians, Thrakians and Pelasgianstogether with importations from Asia and Egypt. ${ }^{2}$ Thus Gaia and Helios, Zeus and Hêrê, belong to the Pelasgic stock, while Poseidon was introduced by Karian and Phonician visitors of the coasts of Hellas. ${ }^{3}$ Pallas Athênê was also Pelasgian, as a goddess of nature and the elements. Apollôn, likewise Pelasgian, ' has so many features in common with Athênê, that in many respects one might call him an Athênê of the male species.' Artemis was in continental Greece Pelasgian, while at Ephesos she exhibits an Asiatic character, and becomes ' a sort of Pantheistic deity.' From

[^87]Book the Pêlasgians also came Hestia, Hermes, and Aphroditê; I. but Arês was the god of the Thrakian race, 'which, having penetrated into Bootia and the Peloponnese, took his worship along with them.' Of the rest, Dêmêtêr was Pelasgic, Hephaistos came from the Thrakians of Lemnos, and Dionysos from the more distant East; while Hades was almost an afterthought, not much worshipped, and not greatly cared for by the people. ${ }^{1}$
This The picture drawn by Dr. Döllinger of the great Olympian sible or probable that ideas utterly foreign to the Greek mind may have been imported from Phrygia, Phœenicia, or Egypt, and that the worship so developed may have cmbodied philosophical conceptions of nature and of the powers at work in it. But the question which calls for an answer cannot be determined by the most masterly portraiture of the great gods of Olympos: and Dr. Düllinger's hypothesis does not enable us to answer it. It starts on an assumption for which we have no evidence; and all the evidence furnished by the book of Genesis and still more all that is furnished by the study of language, militates against the idea that man started originally with a conception of God, 'as a pure, spiritual, supernatural, and infinite being, distinct from the world, and exalted above it.' How soon he might have risen to this conception, had his lot been different from what it has been, it is impossible to say: but if we are to argue simply from statements before us, we may affirm that men were from the first conscious of the existence of a Being more powerful than themselves, whom they were bound to obey, but we can scarcely maintain more. This sense of duty, and still more the sense of shame following on the violation of it, would show that the groundwork of that relation was the goodness and justice of the Being with whom they had to do. But in this conviction there was nothing to determine their ideas in the objects and phenomena of the natural word. Feeling a conscious life in hinself, man would, until corrected by experience, attribute, the same conscious life to everything he saw or felt. The sun and moon, the cloud and

[^88]the wind, would be living beings not less than himself; but he could not embody them in anthropomorphic forms so long as the names by which he spoke of them retained their real meaning. Still less could he start with a primary worship of the elements until he had learnt to regard as abstractions the objects or powers which, it would seem, he looked upon only as living beings. Three ways lay before him. He might, like Abraham in the old Arabian legend,' be led by the rising and setting of the sun and stars to the conviction that they were simply passive instruments in the hands of au almighty and righteous God; or he might, as he forgot his old language, invest with an anthropomorphic life the deities with which he peopled the whole visible creation ; or, lastly, he might bow down crushed beneath the dead weight of nature, and yield himself a living slave to a loathsome and degrading fetishism. Of these three courses the first was chosen by the Hebrew people, and even by them feebly and fitfully; ${ }^{2}$ the second was followed by the tribes of the Hellenic stock; the third has been rejected by every portion of the great Aryan family of nations. These, as they journeyed from their ancient home, carried with them the old language and the old morality; but the measure in which they forgot the meaning of proper names would determine the extent to which new gods would be called into existence. This developement, as the result, primarily, of a corruption of langrage, would not be in the strictest, sense, a religion, and the moral sense of the worshipper would not be darkened in proportion to the number of the gods whom he venerated. Dr. Döllinger's hypothesis, not, less than the theory of Mr. Gladstone, would reguire a continually increasing degradation; but the history of language, apart from the growth of Aryan epic poetry, furnishes conclusive evidence against any such idea. There is no evidence that the Greeks of the seventh or sixth centuries before the Christian era had their 'moral

[^89]воок

Historical speculations of Dr . Döllinger.
consciousness more overcast' than the Greeks of the tenth or twelfth; there is much to lead us to the contrary conclusion.

But Dr. Döllinger's theory requires him to deal with Karians, Leleges, and Pelasgians; and the chain of his argument becomes weakest where it should have the greatest strength. His speculations may be masterly, and his conclusions forcible; but we lack the means of determining their truth. Mr. Grote, in his History of Greece, hesitates to speak of any events as historical facts before the first recorded Olympiad, i.e. 776 в.c. Sir Cornewall Lewis regards the researches of scholars respecting the primitive history of the Hellenic or Italian tribes as ' not less unreal than the speculations concerning judicial astrology, or the discovery of the philosopher's stone and the elixir of life. ${ }^{11}$ Dr. Döllinger must have evidence not accessible to either of these writers, to warrant the assertion that the chief seats of the Pelasgians were Arkadia, Argolis, and Perrhoibia, and that the immigration of the Doric and Aiolic races took place precisely in the year 1104 в.c. ${ }^{2}$
They leare His analysis thus leaves the Greek mythology, as he found the real difficulties of Greek mythology unexplained. it, a strange and perplexing riddle. It omits all notice of the marvellous likeness between Greek and Scandinavian legends; it does not even attempt to explain why each Greek god should have certain special attributes and not others. It does not tell us why Herakles, and Perseus, and Bellerophôn, and Apollôn should all be made to serve creatures meaner and weaker than themselves,-why Herakles and Zeus should have a thousand earthly loves, and Artemis and Athênê, according to some legends, have none. Still less does it explain why the character of Herakles and Hermes should sometimes assume a comic aspect, which is never allowed to weaken the serious majesty of Athênê, Dêmêtêr, or Apollôn.

[^90]
## CHAPTER VIII.

## DIFFUSION OF MYTHS.

If in the legends of any people we find a number of names which explain themselves, if further the exploits of the gods or heroes who bear these names are in strict accordance with those meanings, then at once we are warranted in conjecturing that other names in the same legends not yet interpreted may be of the same nature, while at the same time a basis is

CIIAP. VIII.

The eommon element in Aryan mythologry. furnished for classifying the several stories. If further we find that in the traditions of different Aryan tribes, or even of the same tribe, the same characters reappear with no other difference than that of title and local colouring, the inference is justified that a search into the mythical stores of all the Aryan tribes would disclose the same phenomenon. If here too our conjectures are verified, it will be impossible to withstand the conclusion that these tribes must have started from a common centre, and that from their ancient home they must have carried away, if not the developed myth, yet the quickened germ from which might spring leaves and fruits varying in form and hoe according to the soil to which it should be committed, and the climate under which the plan't might reach maturity. These variations in the names, it may be, of all the actors, as well as in the minor details of their career, would prove, in exact proportion to the fidelity with which the essential type was preserved, that this germ was furnished by the every day speech of the people, or, in other words, by their way of regarding the phenomena of the outward world. If these facts are established, two important consequences follow : I. The hypothesis of any conscious borrowing or adaptation of myths on a large scale by one tribe from another after their separation

BOOK from the common home becomes untenable, unless we assume
I. an amount of intercourse between them far in excess of any for which we have the evidence of history; and the clearest proof of direct importation in the case of any given story or fable which does not belong to the genuine mythology of a people fails to throw any suspicion on the latter. II. The process of analysis and comparison will have deprived these legends of all claim to the character of historical traditions; and even if it were maintained in the last resort that the myth as brought from the common home grew up from some historical fact or facts, still no such title can be made out for the same incidents when we find them repeated in the same order and with the same issue in different ages and different lands. If in the primæval home there was a war brought about by the carrying off of a beautiful woman, a strife between two chieftains, and a time of inaction for the hero of the story followed by his signal victory and his early death, then unquestionably these incidents, with a hundred others common to the background of these legends, did not repeat themselves at Ilion and Delphoi, in Ithaka and Norway, in Lykia and Iran.

The Greek mythology of itself explains the nature of this coramon element.

This is the goal to which we must be brought if the track be of this kind; and the matter may perhaps be soonest brought to an issue if we take the most complicated myths of the Hellenic tribes as our starting point. We can scarcely read the legends of Herakles and Dêmêtêr, of Theseus, Kadmos, Perseus, and a host of other mythical heroes, without feeling that a few simple phrases might well have supplied the germ for the most intricate of these traditions. Every incident in the myth of the Eleusinian Dêmêtêr may be accounted for, if only men once said (with the conviction that the things of which they spoke had a conscious life), 'The earth mourns for the dead summer. The summer lies shut up in the prison of Hades, the unseen'-or, as in the language of the Northman, 'She sleeps in the land of the Niflungs, the cold mists, guarded by the serpent Fafnir; and the dwarf Andvari keeps watch over her buricd treasures.' The tale of Endymion seems to speak for itself; 'The moon comes to gaze on her beloved, the sun, as he lies
down to sleep in the evening.' In the story of Niobê, we seem to see the sun in his scorching power, consuming those

CHAP. VIII. who dare to face his dazzling brightness; in that of Orpheus, we seem to hear his lamentation for the beautiful evening which has been stung by the serpent of the night, and which he brings back to life only to lose her at the gates of day. In the myth of Eurôpe we have the journey of the sun from the far East to the Western land, until Têlephassa, the farshining, sinks down wearied on the Thessalian plain. Still more transparent appear the tales of Kephalos and Daphnê. Prokris, even in the mouth of the Greek, is still the child of Hersê, the dew : Eôs is still the morning, Kephalos still the head of the bright sun. In Daphne we seem to behold the dawn flying froin her lover and shrinking before his splendour. In the Homeric Hymm, Letto, the night, dark and still as death, promises that Phoibos shall long abide in Delos, the bright land. Doubtless she maxte the same promise to Lykians, Argives, Arkadians, Athenians, and all others who called themselves the children of the light; but the sun cannot tarry, and in spite of her plighted word he hastens onward to slay the serpent of darkness. In Herakles we see the sun in other guise, loving and beloved wherever he goes, seeking to benefit the sons of men, yet sometimes harming them in the exuberance of his boisterons strength. In the tale of Althaia we read the sentence that the brighti sun must die when the torch of day is burnt out. In Phaethon we seem to see the plague of drought which made men say 'Surcly another, who cannot guide the horses, is driving the chariot of the sum.' The beautiful herds, which the bright and glistening danghters of early moming feed in the pastures of Thrinakia, seem to tell us of the violetcoloured clouds which the dawn spreads over the fields of the blue sky. In Bellerophon, as in Perseus, Thesens, Phoibos, and Herakles, we find agrain the burden laid on the sum, who must toil for others, although the forms of that toil may vary. Perseus goes to the dwelling of the Graiai, as men might have said, "The sun has departed to the land of the pale gloaming.' When Perseus slays Medousa, the sun has killed the night in its solemn and death-like beauty,
while the wild pursuit of the immortal Gorgons seems to be the chase of Darkness after the bright Sun who, with his golden sandals, just escapes their grasp as he soars into the peaceful morning sky, the Hyperborean gardens, which sorrow, strife, and death can never enter. In the death of Akrisios we have the old tale which comes up in many another legend, where Oidipous and Theseus mourn that they have unwittingly slain their fathers.
If the Greek legends by themselves thus exhibit, or seem to exhibit, their ancient framework, the Norse tradition points with at the least equal clearness in the same direction. If any now can be found to assert that the one set of legends were copied from the other, he not only maintains a theory which, in Dr. Dasent's words, 'hangs on a single thread,' ${ }^{1}$ but he displays a credulity which needs not to shrink from the avowal that the whole of the Arabian Nights' Entertainments is a genuine and veracious history. The wildest prejudice can scarcely shelter itself behind these treacherons and crumbling barriers, although it may urge that, whether in Teutonic or in Greek mythology, the dawn, the evening, and the night, the toiling and capricious sun, are already persons with human forms and a fixed local habitation. But even this position would be greatly strained. Mr. Grote himself allows that what he terms allegory is one of the constituent elements of Greek mythology. ${ }^{2}$ But even if we admit the objection in its full force, we lack but a single link to complete the chain of evidence and turn an overwhelming probability into fact. Have we any records of that old time in which men spoke as Greek and Norse myths seem to tell us that they spoke? Have we any actual relics of that speech in which men talked of Daphnê as chased by Phoibos, even while Daphnê was still a common name of the dawn, and Phoibos meant simply the sun?

The missing link is supplied in the older Vedic poems.

The Vedic hymns of the Mantra period stand forth to give us the answer, but they do so only to exhibit a fresh marvel. While they show to us the speech which was afterwards petrified into the forms of Greek and Norse mythology, they

[^91]point to a still earlier time, of which no record has come down, and of which we can have no further evidence than

CIIAP. VIII. that which is furnished by the laws which determine the growth of language. Even in the Mantra period, the earliest in all Sanskrit, and therefore (as exhibiting the earliest form of thought) the oldest in all human literature, ${ }^{1}$ the whole grammar is definitely fixed, and religious belief has assumed the character of a creed. And if in them man has not lived long enough to trace analogies and arrive at some idea of an order of nature, he has grown into the strongest conviction that behind all the forms which cone before his eyes there is a Being, unseen and all-powerful, whose bidding is done throughout the wide creation, and to whom men may draw nigh as children to a father.

When, therefore, in these hymns, Kephalos, Prokris, Hermes, Daphmê, Zeus, Ouranos, stand forth as simple names for the sun, the dew, the wind, the dawn, the heaven The key to all Aryan mythoand the sky, each recognised ass such, yet each endowed with the most perfect consciousness, we feel that the great riddle of mythology is solved, and that we no longer lack the key which shall disclose its most hidden treasures. When we hear the people saying, 'Our friend the sum is dead. Will he rise? Will the dawn come back again!' we see the death of Herakles, and the weary waiting while Lêtô struggles with the birth of Plooibos. When on the return of day we hear the cry-
'Rise ! our life, our spirit, is come back, the darkness is gone, the light draws near!’
-we are carried at once to the Homeric hymm, and we hear the joyous shout of all the gods when Phoibos springs to life and light on Delos. ${ }^{2}$ The tale of Trvasî and Purûravas ${ }^{3}$ (these are still the morning and the sum) is the tale of Orpheus and Eurydikê. Purûravas, in his dreary search,

[^92][^93]BOOK. hears the voive of Urvasî saying 'I am gone like the first of the dawns; I am hard to be caught, like the wind.' Yet she will come back to him at the close of the night, and a son, bright and beaming, shall be born to them. Varuna is still the wide heaven, the god 'who can be seen by all;' the lord of the whole earth: but in him we recognise at once the Greek Ouranos, who looks lovingly on Gaia from his throne in the sky. Yet more, we read the praises of Indra, and his great exploit is that
'He has struck the daughter of Dyaus (Zeus), a woman difficult to vanquish-
' Yes, even the daughter of Dyaus, the magnified, the Dawn, thou, O Indra, a great hero hast ground to pieces.
'The Dawn rushed off from her crushed car, fearing that Indra, the bull, might strike her.
' This her car lay there, well ground to pieces: she went far away.'

The treatment is rude, but we have here not merely the whole story of Daphnê, but the germ of that of Eurôpê borne by the same bull across the sea. More commonly, however, the dawn is spoken of as bright, fair, and loving, the joy of all who behold her.
'She shines upon us like a young wife, rousing every living being to go to his work.
' She rose up, spreading far and wide (Euryganeia, Eurydikê), and moving towards every one. She grew in brightness, wearing her brilliant garment. The mother of the cows (the morning clouds, the Homeric herds of the sun), the leader of the days, she shone gold-coloured, lovely to behold.
'She, the fortunate, who brings the eye of the god (Kephalos, or the one-eyed Odin), who leads the white and lovely steed (of the sun), the Dawn was seen revealed by her rays; with brilliant treasures she follows every one.
' Shine for us with thy best rays, thou bright Dawn, thou who lengthenest our life, thou the love of all, who givest us food, who givest us wealth in cows, horses, and chariots.
' Thou, daughter of the sky (Dyaus, Zeus), thou high-born Dawn, give us riches high and wide.' ${ }^{1}$

[^94]Still more remarkable, as exhibiting the germs of the ideas which find their embodiment in the Hellenic Athênê

CHAP. VIII. and the Latin Minerva, is the following hymn.

* 'The wise priests celebrate with hymms the divine, brightcharioted expanded Dawn; worshipped with holy worship, purple-tinted, radiant, leading on the sum.
'The lovely Dawn, arousing man, goes before the Sun, preparing practicable paths, riding in a spacious chariot; expanding everywhere she diffuses light at the commencement of the days.
' Harnessing the purple oxen to her car, mowearied she renders riches perpetual; a goddess praised of many, and cherished by all, she shines manifesting the paths that lead to good.
'Lucidly white is she, occupying the two (regions, the upper and middle firmament), and manifesting her person from the East: she traverses the path of the sum, as if knowing (his course), and harms not the quarters of the horizon.
'Exhibiting her person like a well-attired female, she stands before our eyes (gracefully) inclining like (a woman who has been) bathing (Aphroditê Anadyomenê). Dispersing the hostile glooms, Ushas, the daughter of heaven, comes , with radiance.
' Ushas, the daughter of heaven, tending to the West, puts forth her beauty like a (well-dressed) woman; bestowing precious treasures on the offerer of adoration, she; ever youthful, brings back the light as of old. ${ }^{1}$

We can but wonder at the marvellous exuberance of Germs of language, almost every expression of which may manifestly mythical serve as the germ of a mythical tale. We say, 'The fire burns, the wood crackles and smokes.' They said,
' Neighing like a horse that is greedy for food, it steps out from the strong prison : then the wind blows after his blast: thy path, O Agni (Ignis), is dark at once.'

The Latin carried with him the name of the Hindu Fire- Truthfulgod to little purpose. In the hands of the Greek similar $\begin{gathered}\text { ness ofmy- } \\ \text { thical do- }\end{gathered}$ phrases on the searching breath of the wind grew up into scription.

[^95]BOOK

Groundwork of Aryan mythology.
the legend of Hermes. Nor can it be said that the instinct of the Greek was less true than that of the old Vedic poet to the sights of the natural world. If we recur with feelings of undiminished pleasure to the touching truthfulness of the language which tells of the Dawn as the bright being whom age cannot touch, although she makes men old, who thinks on the dwellings of men and shines on the small and great, we feel also that the 'Homeric' poet, even while he spoke of a god in human form born in Delos, was not less true to the original character of the being of whom he sang. Ho thought of the sun rising in a cloudless heaven, and he told how the nymphs bathed the lord of the golden sword in pure water, and wrapped him in a spotless robe. ${ }^{1}$ Still, although the stress of the hymn lies wholly on the promise of Leto that her child shall have his chief home in Delos, the poet feels that Delos alone can never be his home, and so he sang how Apollôn went from island to island, watching the ways and works of men; how he loved the tall sea-cliffs, and every jutting headland, and the rivers which hasten to the broad sea, even though he came back with ever fresh delight to his native Delos. ${ }^{2}$

Thus the great mystery of Greek as of other mythology is dispelled like mist from the mountain-side at the rising of the sun. All that is beautiful in it is invested with a purer radiance, while much, if not all, that is gross and coarse in it is refined, or else its grossness is traced to an origin which reflects no disgrace on those who framed or handed down the tale. Thus, with the keynote ringing in our ears, we can catch at once every strain that belongs to the ancient harmony, although it may be heard amid the din of many discordant voices. The groundwork of Greek mythology was
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the ordinary speech which told of the interchange of day and night, of summer and winter; but into the superstructure

CHAP. VIII. there may have been introduced any amount of local or personal detail, any number of ideas and notions imported from foreign philosophical or religious systems. The extent of such importations is probably far less than is generally imagined; but however this may be, the original matter may still be traced, even where it exists only in isolated fragments. The bull which bears Eurôpê away from Kadmos (Kedem, the East), ${ }^{1}$ is the same from which the dawn flies in the Vedic hymn. The robe with which Medeia poisons the daughter of Kreôn was a gift from Helios, the burning sun, and is secn again as the poisoned robe which Deianeira sends to the absent Herakles, as the deadly arrow by which Philoktetes mortally wounds the Trojan Paris, as the golden fleece taken from the rann which bears away the children of (Nephele) the mist, as the sword which Aigeus leaves under the stone for Theseus, the son of Aithra, the pure air; as the spear of Artemis which never misses its mark; as the sword of Perseus which slays all on whom it may fill; as the unerring weapons of Melengros; as the fatal lance which Achilleus alone can wield. The serpents of night or of winter oceur in almost every tale, uuder aspects friendly or unkind. The dragon sleeps coiled round Brynhild or Aslangra, as the suakes seek to strangle the infant Herakles or stimg the beautiful Eurydike. If the power of the sun's rays is set forth under such different forms, their beauty is signified by the golden locks of Phoibos, over which no razor has ever passed; " by the flowing hair which streams from the head of Kephalos, and falls over the shoulders of Perseus and Bellerophon. They serve also sometimes as a sort of Palladion, and the shearing of the single golden lock which grew on the top of his head leaves Nisos, the Megarian

[^97]the Syrian Melkarth and Moloch, can scarcely bo questioned.
 a simnificant epithet, which of itsolf would suffice to urive birth to such a legend as that of Nisos and Skylla. The shearing of the locks of the sum must be followed by darkness and ruin.

BOOK
king, powerless as the shorn Samson in the arms of the Philistines. In many of the legends these images are mingled together, or recur under modified forms. In the tale of Althaia there is not only the torch of day which measures the life of Meleagros, but the weapons of the chieftain which no enemy may withstand. In that of Bellerophôn there are the same invincible weapons, while the horrible Chimaira answers to the boar of Kalydon, or to that of Erymanthos which fell by the arm of Herakles.

If the greater number of Greek legends have thus been reduced to their primitive elements, the touch of the same wand will lay open others which may seem to have been fashioned on quite another model. Even the dynastic legends of Thebes will not resist the method which has disclosed so many secrets. For most other tales the work is done. There is absolutely nothing left for further analysis in the stories of Orpheus and Eurydikê, of Kephalos and Prokris, of Selênê and Endymion, Niobê and Lêtô, Dêmêtêr and Persephonê, Kadmos and Eurôpê, Daphnê and Apollôn. Not an incident remains unexplained in the legends of Herakles, of Althaia and the burning brand, of Phaethôn, Memnôn, and Bellerophôn. If there are bypaths in the stories of Ariadnê, Medeia, Semelê, Prometheus, or of the cows of the Sun in the Odyssey, they have been followed up to the point from which they all diverge.

If then in the vast mass of stories which make up the mythology of the Aryan nations there seems to be evidence showing that in some cases the legend has been brought by direct importation from the East to the West or from West to East, the presumption of conscious borrowing cannot with any fairness be extended to any tales for which such evidence is not forthcoming. The great epic poems of the Aryan race sprung into existence in the ages which followed the dispersion of the tribes, and during which all intercourse between them was an impossibility; yet these epic poems exlibit an identical framework, with resemblances in detail which even defy the influences of climate and scenery. But many of the actors in these great dramas reappear in the popular stories of the Aryan tribes, with subtle points of likeness and dif-
ference, which can be accounted for by conscious borrowing only on the supposition that the traditions of one country were as intimately known to the people of another country as the traditions of many, if not most, of the Aryan nations are now known to us through the long toil and vast researches of comparative mythologists, aided by the mighty machinery of the printing press. In truth, the more that we examine this hypothesis of importation as affecting the general stock of mythical tradition in any country, the more scanty and less conclusive will the evidence appear; and in the issue we shall find ourselves driven practically to reject it altogether, or to suppose that the impulse of borrowing amounted to a universal and irresistible mania. The dynastic legends of Thebes do but reproduce those of Argos; the legends of both alike do but repeat the career of Achilleus or of Sigurd; and the great heroes of these tales reappear as the Boots and the disguised beggar of Teutonic and Hindu folklore. The supposition of any delibcrate borrowing attributes to Greeks, Teutons, Scandinavians, and Hindus, a poverty of invention not less amazing than their skill in destroying the evidence of the theft, and wearing borrowed plumage as with an inborn grace. Unless we are prepared to say that the borrowing was wholesale, and to determine the source of this exhaustless store of wealth, it is more prudent and more philosophical to admit that in every country the myths which have their roots in phrases relating to physical phenomena have been kept alive by independent tradition from the times of the first dispersion.

But if the story of Achilleus, as told in the Miad, is only another form of the legend which relates the career of the Ithakan chief in the Odyssey; if this tale reappears in the Saga of the Volsungs and the Nibelungen Lied, in the epical cycles of Arthur and Charlemagne, in the lay of Beownlf and the Shahnameh of Firdusi, and if further all these streams of popular poetry can be traced back to a common source in phrases which described the sights and sounds of the outward world, the resemblunces thus traced are nevertheless by no means so astonishing as the likeness which rums through a vast number of the popular tales of Germany and Scandinavia,

## CHAP.

 VIII.book of Greece and Rome, of Persia and Hindustan. On the hypothesis of a form of thought which attributed conscious life to all physical objects, we must at once admit that the growth of a vast number of cognate legends was inevitable. Nor is there anything bewildering in the fact, that phrases which denoted at first the death of the dawn, or her desertion by the sun as he rose in the heavens, or the stealing away of the evening light by the powers of darkness, should give birth to the legends of Helen and Guenevere, of Brynhild and Gudrun, of Paris and of Lancelot, of Achilleus and Sigurd. All that this theory involves is that certain races of mankind, or certain tribes of the same race, were separated from each other while their language still invested all sensible things with a personal life, and that when the meaning of the old words was either wholly or in part forgotten, the phenomena of the earth and the heavens reappeared as beings human or divine, and the Pani, or Night, which sought to lure Saramâ, the Dawn, into his dismal cave, became the Paris who beguiled Helen to Troy, and the Lancelot who corrupted the faith of the wife of Arthur.

Legends not resolvable into phrases relating to physical phenomena.

The wonder becomes greater when from the necessary outgrowth of certain conditions of thought and speech we turn to popular stories which cannot be brought within this class of epical legends, and which yet exhibit, in spite of differences of detail and local colouring, a closeness of resemblance which establishes their substantial identity. If, among the stories which Hindu, Persian, Greek, or Teutonic mothers recounted to their children, we find tales which turn on the same incidents, and in their most delicate touches betray the influence of precisely the same feelings, we must conclude either that these legends were passed from the one tribe or clan to the other, or that before these tribes separated from their common home they not only possessed in mythical phrases relating to physical phenomena the germs of the future epics of Europe and Asia, but had framed a number of stories which cannot be traced back to such phrases, which seem to point rather to a storehouse of moral proverbs, and which cannot be accounted for on any hypothesis of conscious borrowing by one distinct people from another. It would,
indeed, be safer to affirm of any given story that it has not been thus borrowed than to say that it cannot be traced back to the one source from which have sprung the great epic poems of the world. The story of the Master Thief is a case in point. It looks at first sight as though it had nothing to do with the legends of the great Norse or Hellenic heroes, and the resemblance of some of its incidents to those of a story told in the Hitopadesa suggests the conclusion that it found its way into Europe through the Arabic translation known as the Kalila and Dimna. Professor Max Müller plainly avowing this belief, says that 'the story of the Master Thief is told in the Hitopadesa.' ${ }^{1}$ The Sanskrit tale is that of the Brahman who, on hearing from three thieves in succession that the goat which he carried on his back was a dog, throws the animal down and leaves it as a booty for the rogues who had hit upon this mode of cheating him. 'The gist of the story,' adds Professor Müller, 'is that a man will believe almost anything, if he is told the same by three different people.' But, while a far greater resemblance to the Egyptian tale is exhibited by the Hindu version of the Master Thief as told by Somadera Bhatta, presently to be noticed, it may fairly be asked whether this is either the story or the moral of the European 'Master Thief.' In the Teutonic version we find no incidents resembling those of the Sanskrit tale. The Norse story exhibits some points of likeness, together with differences which rather force us to think that it cannot have been suggested by the Fastern fable. In the latter the Brahman is directly deceived by the others; in the Norse legend the peasant deceives himself, Master and the moral seems to be, not that a man can be brought to Thiof. believe anything if he hears it asserted by several seemingly independent witnesses, but that experience is thrown away on one who will put his hand into the fire after he has been burnt. In the Norse tale, the farmer intends to drive one of his three oxen to market, and the youth, who is a postulant for the novitiate in the worshipful order of thieves, is told that his desire shall be granted if he can steal this ox on the road, without the owner's knowledge and without doing him

[^98]book any harm. The lad accordingly puts a silver-buckled shoe
I. in the way. The man admires it, but passes on without picking it up, as an odd shoe would be of little use. Presently he sees before him the same shoe, which the thief, having run by another way, has again cast on the road, and tying up his ox hastens back to pick up the fellow, while the lad goes away with the beast. Determined to test him further, the fraternity tell the boy that he shall be as good as any one of them if, under the same conditions, he can steal the second ox, which the man was now driving to market. As he goes along, the peasant sees a lad hung under the armpits to a tree, but passes on with little concern until he sees as he supposes another lad in the same position on another tree. Still not caring to give any help, he plods onwards until the thief hangs himself up for the third time on his road. The man, thinking that he is bewitched, resolves to go back and see whether the other two still hang where he saw them, and the ox which he leaves tied up is the second sacrifice. The thieves now tell the youth that if he can steal the third ox he shall be their master. So he places himself in a thicket, and as the man draws near with his last beast, imitates the bellowing of cattle; and the peasant, his wits even more flustered than before, hurries away to catch the lost oxen, leaving his third animal a prey to the thief. ${ }^{1}$ At this point the resemblance of the Norse to the Brahman story ceases; but the career of the Master Thief is as yet scarcely begun. He has yet to overreach the society over which he now presides. The thieves set out to see whether they cannot do something surpassing all that he had done; and the lad, taking advantage of their absence to drive the thrce oxen into the road to the great delight of their owner, who sees them return to the farm, carries off all the precious things which formed the common store of the robbers. Thus far the Norse story agrees in its main features with the Scottish tale of the Shifty Lad, ${ }^{2}$ although even here the points of difference are so great as to preclude the idea that the one was derived from the other. The sequel of the Norse tale is

[^99]substantially the same as the Teutonic story of the Master Thief. This story has, therefore, really nothing to do with the fable of the Brahman and the goat, and it may fairly be doubted whether, on the supposition that the idea was gained from the Hitopadesa, ' nothing was easier than to invent the three variations which we find in the Norse Master Thief, and the Shifty Lad of Highland tradition. Professor Max Müller adds that 'the case would be different if the same story occurred in Herodotos.'
' At the time of Herodotos,' he continues, 'the translations of the Hitopadesa had not yet reached Europe, and we should be obliged to include the Master Thief within the most primitive stock of Aryan lore. But there is nothing in the story of the two sons of the architect who robbed the treasury of Rhampsinitos which turns on the trick of the Master Thief. There were thieves, more or less clever, in Egypt as well as in India, and some of their stratagems were possibly the same at all times. But there is a keen and well-defined humour in the story of the Brahman and his deference to public opinion. Of this there is no trace in the anecdote told by Herodotos. That anecdote deals with mere matter of fact, whether imaginary or historical. The story of Rhampsinitos did enter into the popular literature of Europe, but through a different channel. We find it in the 'Gesta Romanorum,' where Octavianus has taken the place of Rhampsinitos, and we can hardly doubt that there it came originally from Herodotos.' ${ }^{1}$ But what are really the facts of the case? The evidence which proves that the Herodotean story was reproduced in the 'Gesta Romanorum' cannot be taken as of itself establishing the same origin for the Norse, the Teutonic, and the Irish legend. The incident of the Brahman and the goat may be left on one side, as only distantly resembling a very subordinate part of the Norse version; but the real story of the Master Thief's career is precisely the story of the architect's son in the legend of Rhampsinitos. The possible affinity of thievish stratagems in all countries can scarcely account for a series of extraor-

[^100]
## B0OK

I.
dinary incidents and astounding tricks following each other in the same order, although utterly different in their outward garb and colouring. Strangely enough, the Highland version, which agrees with the Norse tale in making the young thief cheat his master, agrees most closely with the Egyptian myth. ${ }^{1}$ In the latter, the younger of the two sons who have learnt from their father the secret of entering the treasure-house is caught in a trap placed there by the king, when he found his gold and jewels dwindling away. At his own request the elder brother cuts off his head, and the king, astounded at finding a headless body, bids his guards to impale it on a wall, with strict charge to bring befure him anyone whom they might hear mourning for the dead man. The mother, seeing her son's body thus exposed, threatens to tell the king everything unless the body is brought safely home to her. Loading some asses with skins full of wine, the elder son, as he approaches the guard, loosens the string of two or three wine skins, and the soldiers, rushing up at the sight of wine trickling on the ground, try to soothe the seemingly distracted owner, while they solace themselves by the liquor which they catch in their cups, until at length, overcoming the young man's reluctance, they sit down with him, and drink themselves to sleep. The dead body is then taken away by the brother, who, hearing of the new device by which the king proposed to catch him, crowns his exploits by cheating the king's daughter, and leaving a dead man's hand in hers. His marriage with the princess follows, and he is held in

[^101][^102]honour as the cleverest man of the cleverest people in the world. ${ }^{1}$

This story in some of its leading features agrees closely with the Adventure of the Mason, related by Washington Irving in his ' Tales of the Alhambra.' Probably Irving himself knew nothing of the story of Rhampsinitos, and certainly was unacquainted with the Tales of the Master Thief and his followers. Still a Spanish legend must be regarded with some suspicion. In this case it inust at least be admitted that the traces of direct borrowing have been as skilfully hidden as if the changes in the story had been the work of Hermes or the Master Thief himself. Here the king is turned into a priest, who is so far wiser than Rhampsinitos that he guards against the knowledge of the mason by keeping him blindfolded from the time of his leaving home to his return, except while he is actually at work preparing the treasurechamber. In this case, then, the mason knows the secret of the hidden wealth, but cannot tell in what house it is stored up. The priest dies: but not only have his riches vanished, but his ghost haunts the house, and no one will become its tenant till the landlord chances to betake limself to the poor mason, who declares that he is 'not to be friglatened by the devil himself, even though he chould come in the shape of a big bag of money.' When he is led to the house, he finds that it is the very one in which he had worked for the priest, and discrectly keeps the secret to himself, till, like the Egyptian architect, he reveals it on his deathbed to his son.

The Hindu version of the story of Rhampsinitos is less ingenious than this Spanish story, and is in every way inferior to the well-pointed legend of Herodotos. It is related by Somadeva Bhatta of Cashmir in his ' Ocean of the S.treams of Narrative,' a professed abridgement of the still older collection called the Vrihat Kathâ. In this tale the elder of the two thieves simply makes a hole through the wall (which would at once betray their mode of entrance) in order to reach the chamber in which the king has placed not only his treasures ,but his daughter. He remains with her too long, and being

[^103]воок

The story of Trophonios and Aga mêdês.

The Shifty Lad.
caught in the morning, is hanged, but not before he has by signs bidden his brother Gata to carry off and save the princess. Gata therefore on the next night enters the chamber of the princess, who readily agrees to fly with him. The body of Karpara is then exposed, in order to catch the surviving malefactor, who tricks them much after the fashion of the Egyptian story, the chief difference being that Gata burns the body of his brother Karpara, for whom he contrives to perform the necessary amount of mourning by dashing on the ground a karpara, or pot of rice, and then bewailing his loss by the words, 'Alas for my precious Karpara,'-words which the guards of course apply to the broken pipkin, and not to the dead thief. The story winds up with a proclamation from the king, promising half his realm to the magician who has done all this: but the princess bids him beware, and Gata groes away with her to another country. ${ }^{1}$

The mason's secret is much more closely reproduced in the story which Pausanias tells of Trophonios and Agamêdês, the builders of the temple of Phoibos, after he had slain the dragon at Delphoi. These two builders also raise the treasury of Hyrieus, placing one of the stones so that they could remove it from the outside. Hyrieus, astonished at the lessening of his wealth, sets a snare, in which Agamêdês is caught, and Trophonios cuts off his head to save him from torture and himself from discovery. The latter precaution seems unnecessary, since Pausanias adds that the earth opened and received Trophonios as in the myth of Amphiaraos.
In the Scottish story the Shifty Lad goees through his apprenticeship not among a company of thieves, but under the sole charge of the Black Rogue, of whom he rids himself by getting him to try the pleasant sensation of being hung by the neck. The trick answers to that of the Norse thief, but

[^104]the legends which tell of unsuccessful attempts to rescue the imprisoned maiden, who is finally won only by tho peerless knight or irresistible warrior who can leap the hedge of spears or cross the fiery barrier. See also book ii. ch. viii. sect. 2.
the mode of effecting it differs widely. Having disposed of CHAP. his master, he engages himself to a carpenter whom he persuades to break into the king's storehouse. The advice of the Seanagal whom the king consults is that a hogshead of soft pitch should be placed near the entrance. The wright, again making the venture, sinks into the pitch, and the Shifty Lad, stepping in on his shoulders, takes as much as he can carry, and then sweeping off his master's head, leaves the body in the hogshead. Again the Seanagal is consulted, and his answer is 'that they should set the trunk aloft on the points of the spears of the soldiers, to be carried from town to town, to see if they could find any one at all that would take sorrow for it.' As they pass by the wright's house, his wife screams, but the Shifty Lad cutting himself with an adze leads the captain of the guard to think that the cry was caused by sorrow at his own hurt. The body is then by the king's order hung on a tree, the guard being ordered to seize any one who should venture to take it down. The lad, driving before him a horse loaded with two kegs of whisky, approaches the soldiers as though he wished to pass them stealthily, and when they catch the horse's bridle, he runs off, leaving the men to drink themselves to sleep, and then returning takes away the wright's body. This exploit is followed by others which occur in no other version : but the final scene is a feast, at which, according to the S'anagal's prediction, the Shifty Lad asks the king's daughter to dance. The Seanagal upon this puts a black mark upon him, but the lad, like Morgiana, in the story of 'Ali Baba and the Forty Thieves,' discovering the mark, puts another on the Seanagal, and on twenty other men besides him. The king is then advised to say that the man who had done every trick that had been done must be exceedingly clever, and that if he would come forward and give himself up, he should have the princess for his wife. All the marked men accordingly claim the prize; and the craft of the Shifty Lad is once more called into practice, to secure the maiden for himself. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{Mr}$. Campbell, who relates

[^105][^106]this story, gives full weight to the suggestion that the incidents in which it resembles the version of Herodotos may 'have been spread amongst the people by those members of their families who study the classics at the Scotch Universities,' but he adds with good reason, that if the resemblances to other stories not classical are to be accounted for in the same way, it must be supposed 'that these books have all been read at some time so widely in Scotland as to have become known to the labouring population who speak Gaelic, and so long ago as to have been forgotten by the instructed who speak English and study foreign languages.' ${ }^{1}$

In the Norse and Teutonic versions it seems impossible not to see the most striking incident of the Egyptian tale in a connection and under forms which force on us the conclusion that they are not related to each other in any other way than by their growth from a common root. In these versions the king is represented by a goodhumoured squire who makes himself merry over the successful devices of the Master Thief, as he accomplishes the several tasks imposed upon him. These tasks taken separately are much the same in each, but the difference of order indicates that no one was regarded at the first as essentially more difficult than another. In none of them, however, does the humour of the story turn on the force of public opinion. The whole pcint lies in the utter inability of any one to guard against the thief, even when they know that they are going to be robbed and have themselves pointed out the object to be stolen. Here, as in the stories of Rhampsinitos and the Shifty Lad, the means for achieving one of the tasks is wine: but the thief has to take away not the dead body of a man, but a living horse, on which sits a groom, or, as in the Norse tale, twelve horses, each with a rider guarding them. The disguise assumed by the thief is the dress of a beggarwoman, and her wine, which in the German story is power-
of the Maruts, or Cruchers ; and the myth of the Molionids is re-enacted with singular exactuess. The young giant brings up from the water a luyge mill-stono which he places round his nock, aud so kerps watch all night. $\mathrm{He}_{\mathrm{e}}$ is assailed ly cril demons, but he returns every blow with interest-a
description which reminds us of the Hesiodic narrative of the toil of Hermes the whole night through. The only reward which he asks is the pleasure of kicking his master, who is sent spinning into the air and is never more seen.
${ }^{1}$ Tales of the Wrest Highlands, i. 352.
fully drugged, soon puts the guards to sleep as soundly as CHAP. the soldiers of the Egyptian king. In this version the thief swings the rider, saddle and all, in the air by ropes tied to the rafters of the stable; in the Norse tale, the twelve grooms find themselves astride the beams in the morning. The theft of the shect and ring from the persons of the squire and his wife is an incident not found in either the Egyptian or the Scottish stories; but the trick practised on the priest occurs again in the Hindu tale of the nautch-girl Champa Ranee, under a disguise which cannot hide thic common source from which the stories have come down to us, while it leaves no room for the notion that the one version has been suggested by the other.
But in truth the supposition is in this case wholly uncalled for. The story of the Master Thief was told in Furope, probably ages before the Homeric pooms were put together, Thisef certainly ages before Herodotos heard the story of the Egyptian treasure-house. In all the versions of the tale the thicf is a young and slender youth, despised sometimes for his seeming weakness, never credited with his full craft and strength. No power can withhold him from doing aught on which he has sct his mind: no human eye can trace the path by which he conveys away his booty. It is the story of the child Hermes, and even under the most, uncouth disgriso it has lost but little either of its truthfulness or its humour. Bolts and bars are no defence against him; yet the babe' whom Phoibos can shake in his arms is the mighty marauder who has driven off all his oxen fiom Pieria. When his work is done, he looks not inuch like one who needs to be dreaded; and the soft whistling sound which closes his defence wakes a smile on the face of Phoibos, ${ }^{1}$ as the Teutonic squire laughs on finding limself tricked in the northern story. In each case the robber is exalted to the same high dignity.
'Well, friend,' said Apollôn with a smile, 'thou wilt break into many a house, I see, and thy followers after thee;

[^107]and thy fancy for beef will set many a herdsman grieving. But come down from the cradle, or this sleep will be thy last. Only this honour can I promise thee, to be called the Master Thief for ever. ${ }^{1}$
The thief in the northern stories marries the squire's daughter, as the architect's son marries the daughter of Rhampsinitos. The marriage represents the compact made between Phoibos the all-seeing and Hermes the sweet singer. In this peaceful alliance with the squire the Teutonic tale leaves him; but there are other sides to the character of the Master Thief, and each of these describes with singular fidelity the action and power of air in motion. He is the child breathing softly in the cradle, he is the giant rooting up trees in his fury. No living thing can resist the witchery of his harping. As he draws nigh, life is wakened where before he came there had been stillness as of the dead. With him comes joy or sorrow, health or the pestilence. His lyre is the harp of Orpheus, and it discourses the music of the Vedic Ribhus, or of the Finnic Wäinämöinen, the son of Ilmatar, the daughter of the Air, ${ }^{2}$ whose singing draws the sun and moon from hearen. The beasts of the field come to hear him, like the clouds which gather in the sky when the wind blows; the trees move along his track when he comes in his sterner moods. Nothing can remain still when he pipes. The leaf must wave on the hill-side, the Jew must dance in the thorn-bush, while the music lasts. ${ }^{3}$ He is the
тous $\gamma$ ' $\rho a s$ é $\xi \in t s$,
$\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha .-H y m n$ to Hermes, 292.
This may, I think, be considered de-
monstrable evidence that the story of
the Master Thief belongs to the class
of myths which Professor Max Müllor
calls organic, as being legends 'which
were known to the primeval Aryan
race, before it broke up into Hindus,
Greeks, Romans, Germans, and Celts,'
all stories imported in later times from
one literature into another being se-
condary or inorganic. The number of
stories belonging to the latter class is
probably much smaller than is generally
supposed.
${ }^{2}$ As Hermes is one of the fire-

[^108]Erlking, whose mysterious harmony is heard by the child nestled in his father's arms. ${ }^{1}$ He is the piper of Hameln, ${ }^{2}$ who drives away the noisome rats, but who also draws the children of the town happy and joyous to the blue river, where they leave all griefs behind them, as gently as the Homeric Psychopompos guides the souls across the waters of Lethe. But in all his offices he retains his character of searching subtlety. The barred gates of the unseen land cannot stay the harping breeze, whether he comes as Orpheus or Wäinämöinen : and his curious searching into every nook and cranny, his mocking laugh at those who come to see the mischief wrought by him, are reproduced under a strange disguise in Paul Pry and peeping Tom of Coventry. Nay, the Hindu deity Rudra, the 'bountiful,' the 'gracious,' the god ' with braided hair' (the streaming vapours), the 'thousand quivered,' appears sometimes in an aspect scarcely more dignified. Like Hermes and the Shifty Lad, he too is 'the lord of thieves, the robber, the cheater, the deceiver, the lord of pilferers and robbers. ${ }^{3}$

Thus, then, in the story of the Master Thief, the idea of any lateral transmission becomes inadmissible. But as this tale in all its modifications can be traced back to phrases denoting physical phenomena, we have yet to see whether there are other tales which apparently cannot be resolved into such expressions, and for which the idea of any such borrowing is equally untenable or superfluous. If any such stories are forthcoming, we cannot avoid the conclusion that before the several branches of the Aryan race separated from their common home, they not only had in their language the germs of all future mythological systems, but carried with them as nursery tales a number of stories not evolved from


Alhambra,' where it is applicd with great humour to cure the mad freak of Philip V.--I rving's Allutmbra.
${ }^{3}$ Muir, Somskrit Texts, part iv. ch. iii. section vii. Slightly altored, the story of Godiva in Coventry is told again in the tale of Allah-ud-deen, who sees through a crevice the king's daughter on her way to the bath, when it is death for any one to be seen abroud or to bo found looking on her.

CHAP. VIII.

Limits to the hypothesis of conseious borrowing.

BOOK phrases descriptive of natural phenomena, the ideas of which were impressed on their minds not less firmly than the more strictly mythical words and phrases were impressed on their memories. These stories were, however, little more than outlines, for it cannot be too often repeated that even in the tales which exhibit the closest likeness in their most developed forms, the points of difference in detail and colouring are so striking as to leave no room for doubt that the Aryan tribes carried away with them for these stories no rigid types to which they were compelled to adhere with Egyptian slavishness, but living ideas which each tribe might from time to time clothe in a different garb: How these ideas were furnished is a question which it may be by no means as easy to answer as it is to resolve the life of Achilleus and Meleagros into the daily course of the sun through the heavens. It becomes therefore of the utmost importance in such an inquiry as this, to bring together and compare the popular traditions of nations whose geographical positions show that their parting when they left the common home was for them a final separation. No one could have the hardihood to maintain that the countrymen of Herman had access to the pages of Pausanias, or that the soldiers of Varus had in their childhood listened to stories borrowed from the epic of Wäinämöinen. Yet the children's tales gathered during the last half-century have established the general affinity of the folk-lore. of Greeks, Romans, Germans and Scandinavians, and a likeness not less astonishing runs through the popular tales of these races and those of the Hindu. ${ }^{1}$ In India, as in Germany, old women, who doubtless thought themselves fit for nothing, have preserved to us a series of exquisite legends which pour a flood of light on the early history of the human mind. The Hindu child is still roused and soothed by the stories of the sweet StarLady and the lovely Queen of the Five Flowers, just as the young German and Norseman used to listen to the tale of

[^109]finitely enhanced if, as the translator assures us, they are given precisely as they came from the lips of the narrator, without additions or embellishments.
the beautiful Briar-rose sleeping in death-like stillness until the kiss of the pure Knight rouses her from her slumber. We are clearly debtors to the old women for the preservation of thousands of lovely and touching legends which have never found their way into epic poetry. Had it not been for the grandmothers of Hellas, we should in all likelihood never have beard of the grief of Dêmêtêr, as she sank down by the fountain in Eleusis, or of the woe of Telephassa, which ended as she sank to rest on the Thessalian plain in the evening. Schools in Athens, Thebes, or Argos, doubtless did their inevitable destructive work; but we can as little doubt that many an Athenian mother pointed on the slopes of Hymettos to the spot where the glistening form of Prokris first met the eye of Kophalos as he stepped forth on the shore, and the young Delian learnt to be proud of the rugged island, where the nymphs bathed the infant Phoibos in pure water and swathed him in broad golden bands. Clearly we have to thank old crones for the story of Narkissos who died for love of his own fair face, and of Selenê gazing on Endymion as he slept on the hill of Latmos.

Among these Hindu tales we find a large class of stories which have little or nothing in cormon with the epic poems of the Aryan nations, but which exhibit a series of incidents in striking parallelism with those of the corresponding Teutonic versions. These incidents are in themselves so strange, and the result is brought about hy turns so unexpected, that the idea of their independent developement among separated tribes who had carried away with them nothing but some proverbial sayings as the groundwork of these stories becomes a wild extravagance. Whatever the consequences may be, the conclusion seems irresistible that these stories had been wrought out into some detail, while these tribes or mations still continued to form a single people; and if these tales can scarcely be resolved into phrases denoting physical phenomena, they are perhaps more wonderful even than the cpic poems, the growth of which from common germs would be inevitable if the theory of comparative mythologists be regarded as established. The resemblances between these stories may perhaps bring down the

CHAP.
VII. $\underbrace{\text { VII. }}$

Trame-
work of popular stories.

Book time of separation to a comparatively late period; but the

The story of the Dog and the Sparrow. geographical position of Hindu and German tribes must still throw that time back to an indefinitely distant past; and close as the parallelism may be, the differences of detail and colouring are such that we cannot suppose these Aryan emigrants to have carried away with them to their new abodes more than the leading incidents grafted on the leading idea. The fidelity with which the Hindu and the German tales adhere to this framework is indeed astonishing.

One of the most remarkable of these coincidences is furnished by the story of the ' Dog and the Sparrow,' in Grimm's collection, as compared with an episode in the 'Wanderings of Vicram Maharajah.' In both a bird vows to bring about the ruin of a human being; in both the bird is the helper and avenger of the innocent against wanton injury, and in both the destruction of the guilty is the result of their own voluntary acts. There are other points of likeness, the significance of which is heightened by points of singularly subtle difference. In the German story, the sparrow is offended because a carter, not heeding the warning which she had given him, drove his waggon over a dog which she had saved from starving.
'You have killed my brother, the dog,' she said, 'and that shall cost you your horses and your cart.'
'Horses and cart, indeed,' said the carrier. 'What harm can you do to me?' and he drove on.

But presently the sparrow contrived to force out the cork from the bunghole of one of the casks in the waggon, and all the wine ran out on the ground. 'Ah me! I am a poor man now,' cried the carter, when he saw it. 'Not poor enough yet,' said the sparrow, as she perched on the head of one of the horses, and picked out his eye. The carter in his rage took up his hatchet to kill the bird, but instead of it, he hit his horse, which fell down dead. So it fared with the second cask and the two remaining horses. Leaving his waggon on the road, the carter found his way home, and bemoaned to his wife the loss of his wine and his beasts.
'Ah my husband,' she replied, 'and what a wicked bird has come to this house; she has brought with her all
the birds in the world, and there they sit among our corn, and are eating every ear of it.'

CHAP. VIII.
'Ah me, I am poorer than ever,' said the man, as he beheld the havoc. 'Still not poor enough, carrier; it shall cost you your life,' said the bird as she flew away. By and by the sparrow appeared at the window-sill, and uttered the same words, and the carrier, hurling his axe at it, broke the window-frame in two. Every other piece of furniture in the house was demolished as he vainly attempted to hit the bird. At length he caught her, and his wife asked if she should kill her.
' No,' said he, ' that were too merciful; she shall die much more horribly, for I will eat her alive.' So saying, he swallowed her whole; but she began to flutter about in his stomach, and presently came again into his mouth, and cried out, 'Carrier, it shall cost you your life.'

Thereupon the man handed the axe to his wife, saying, 'Kill me the wretch dead in my mouth.' His wife took it, and aimed a blow, but missing her mark struck her husband on the head and killed him. Then the sparrow flew away and was never seen there again. ${ }^{1}$

In the Hindoo story the bird is a parrot, and the dog's place is taken by a poor woodcutter, from whom a dancinggirl attempts to extort a large sum of money by deliberate falsehood. The girl thus represents the carter, and at once the framework of the tale is provided; but the method by which the sparrow wreaks her vengeance on the man is thoroughly awkward and unartistic when compared with the simple scheme which brings about the ruin of the nautchwoman. She, like the carrier, is rich; but she cannot resist the temptation of making more money by charging the woodcutter with the dowry which she said that he had promised to pay on marrying her, the promise and the marriage being

The story of the Niutehgirl and the Parrot.
(Chips fe. ii. 232) identifies with the
fable in Phaxdrus, of the bald man who, trying- to kill a guat, gives himself a severe blow in the face, and he attri-
butes it, therefore, to some old Aryan severe blow in the face, and he attri-
butes it, therefore, to some old Aryan proverb. The German story of the
carter has certainly all the appearance proverb. The German story of the of a moro independent growth.

[^110]BOOK alike purely imaginary. The rajah, being called to give judgement in the case, determines to abide by the decision of a parrot famed for his wisdom, and belonging to a merchant in the town. When the woodcutter had given his version of the matter, the parrot bade Champa Ranee, the nautch-girl, tell her story. After hearing it, he asked where the house was to which her husband had taken her. 'Far away in the jungles,' was the reply. 'And how long ago?' The day was named, and twenty witnesses proved that Champa was at the time in the city. The parrot then gave judgement for the woodcutter against the nautch-girl, as the sparrow had befriended the dog against the carter. Great was the praise bestowed on the wise parrot, but the incensed nautch-girl said, 'Be assured I will get you in my power, and when I do, I will bite off your head.'

Then follows the vow of the parrot, answering to the oath of the sparrow; but he has no need to repeat it.
'Try your worst, madam,' said he, 'but in return I tell you this; I will live to make you a beggar. Your house shall be by your own orders laid even with the ground, and you for grief and rage shall kill yourself.'

Time goes on, and the nautch-girl, summoned to the merchant's house, dances so well that he asks her to name her own reward; and the price which she demands is the parrot. Taking the bird home, she ordered her servants to cook it for her supper, first cutting off its head and bringing it to be grilled, that she might eat it before tasting any other dish. The parrot is accordingly plucked, but while the servant goes to fetch water wherein to boil him, the bird, who had pretended to be dead and thus escaped having his neck wrung, slipped into a hole let into the wall for carrying off the kitchen sewage. In this dilemma the maid grilled a chicken's head, and placed it before Champa Ranee, who, as she eat it, said,
'Ah! pretty Polly, so here is the end of you. This is the brain that thought so cunningly and devised my overthrow; this the tongue that spoke against me; this is the throat through which came the threatening words. Ha! ha! who is right now, I wonder?'

With some little fear the parrot heard her words, for the loss of his wing feathers had left him unable to fly; but at

CHAP. VIII. length he contrived to find his way to a neighbouring temple, and to perch behind the idol. It was the favourite god of Champa Ranee, who, in her abject fear of death, had long besought him to translate her to heaven without the process of dying. So when she next came to offer her wonted supplication, the parrot spoke, and the nautch-girl at once took

- its words for the utterances of the god.
'Champa Ranee, nautch-girl, your prayer is heard, this is what you must do; sell all you possess, and give the money to the poor, and you must also give money to all your servants and dismiss them. Level also your house to the ground, that you may be wholly scparated from earth. Then you will be fit for heaven, and you may come, having done all I command you, on this day week to this place, and you shall be transported thither body and soul.' ${ }^{1}$

The infatuated woman does as she is bidden, and after destroying her house and giving away all her goods, she returns to the temple, attended by a vast train of men and

[^111]you in a sack; and all your gold and your silver and all that you have of this world's groods you most lay together in a heap in your dining-room.' Well, Father Lawrenee fell on his knees bofore the angel and thanked him; and the very next day he proached a farewoll sermon and gave it out how there had como down an angel unto the big maplo in his grarden, who had told him that he was to be taken up alive into heaven for his piety's sake, and ho preached and made such a touching discourse that all who wereat chureh wept, both young and old.'.... Dasent, Norst Tales, 'Master Chief.' Hore, as in tho Thindu story, the time is fixed, and the farewell sermon answers to the invitations sent out by Champa laneo to all her friends that they should come and witness herascension. Another priest is deceived in the admirable Gaclic story of the 'Son of the Scottish Yeoman who stolo the Bishop's Iforse and Daughter, and the Bishop Himself.' See also Mr. Campbell's excellent remarks on this story, L'ules of the West IIighlands, ii. 263.

Book women whom she had invited to be witnesses of her glori$\underbrace{\text { I. }}$ fication.

As they waited, a fluttering of little wings was heard, and a parrot flew over Champa Ranee's head, calling out, 'Nautch-girl, nautch-girl, what have you done?' Champa Ranee recognised the voice as Vicram's: he went on, 'Will you go body and soul to heaven? Have you forgotten Polly's words?'

Champa Ranee rushed into the temple, and falling on her* knees before the idol, cried out, 'Gracious Power, I have done all as you commanded; let your words come true; save me, take me to heaven.'

But the parrot above her cried, 'Good bye, Champa Ranee, good bye; you ate a chicken's head, not mine. Where is your house now? Where are your servants and all your possessions? Have my words come true, think you, or yours?

Then the woman saw all, and in her rage and despair, cursing her own folly, she fell violently down on the floor of the temple, and, dashing her head against the stone, killed herself. ${ }^{1}$

Origin andgrowth of these stories.

It is impossible to question the real identity of these two stories, and incredible that the one could have been invented apart from the other, or that the German and the Hindu tale are respectively developements merely from the same leading idea. This idea is that beings of no repute may be avengers of successful wrongdoers, or to put it in the language of St. Paul, that the weak things of the earth may be chosen to confound the strong, and foolish things to confound the wise. But it was impossible that this leading idea should of itself suggest to a Hindu and a Teuton that the avenger should be a bird, that the wrongdoer should punish himself, and should seal his doom by swallowing his persecutor or by at least thinking that he was devouring him. There is no room here for the argument which Professor Max Müller characteriseș as sneaking when applied even to fables which are common to all the members of the Aryan

[^112]family. ${ }^{1}$ A series of incidents such as these could never have been thought out by two brains working apart from each

CHAP. VIII. other; and we are driven to admit that at least the machinery by which the result was to be brought about had been devised before the separation, or to maintain that the story has in the one case or in the other been imported bodily. Probably no instance could be adduced in which a borrowed story differs so widely from the original. In all cases of adaptation the borrower either improves upon the idea or weakens it. Here both the stories exhibit equally clear tokens of vigorous and independent growth. ${ }^{2}$

But the story of the nautch-girl is only one incident in a larger drama. The bird of the German tale is a common sparrow ; the parrot which brings about the death of Champa Ranee is nothing less than the Maharajah Vicram, who has
${ }^{1}$ Chips from a German Workshop, ii. 233 .
${ }^{2}$ It is scarcely an exaggeration to say that there is scarcoly one important feature of the Hindu popular stories which are not to be found in those of Germany and Scandinavia, and which are not repoated in Coltic traditions. In each case the story is the same, yet not the same, and the main question becomes one rather of historical than of philological eridence. The sulstantial identity of the tales is indisputable; and if the fact be that these stories were in the possession of Germans and Norwegians, Irishmen and Scottish Highlanders, long before any systematic attempt was mado to commit to writing and publish the folklore of Europe, the further conclusion is also involred that these stories do not owe their diffusion to book-learning; and assuredly the commercial intercourse which would account for them implios an amount and a frequency of communication beyond that of the most stirring and enterprising nations of the present day. Mr. Campbell, in his invaluable collection of Popu'ar Tales of the West Highlands, dismisses the hypothesis as wholly untemable. Of the notion that these Highland traditions may have sprung up since the publiceation of Grimm's and Dasent's collections of German and Norse tales, he asserts. that a manuscript. lent to him by the translator proves that the stories wore
known in Scotland before these translations wore made public (vol. i. p. xlvi), and adds, reasonably enough, that 'when all the narrators agree in saying that they have known these stori"s all their livos, and when the rariation is so marked, the resemblance is rather to be attributed to common origin than to books' (ib. xlyiii). More definitely he asserts, 'After working for a year and weighing all the evidonce that has come in my wav, I have como to agree with those who hold that popular tales are generally pure traditions' (ib. 227). The care with which he has examined tho large body of (Geltic traditions, gives his judgement the greatest weirht, and fully justifies his conclusion that ' popular tales aro woven tonether in a network which seems to pervade the worlt, and to bo fastoned to evorything in it. Tradition, books, history, and mythology hang together ; wo sooner hat the net licen fred from one smag, and a mosh ganed, than another mesh is discovered; mod to, unless many hands combine, the net and the contents will never be broupht, to shore' (ii). 229). It is not a littlo staptling to find that the so-called classical mytholosy of the Greeks, in which tho myth of Psyche was supposed to bo almost the only popular tale aceidentally presorved to us, contains tho germs, and more than the gorms, of nearly every story in the popular traditions of Germany, Norway, India, and Scotland.
received from the god of wisdom the power of transporting his soul into any other body, while by an antidote he keeps his own body from corruption. And here we are brought to a parallelism which cannot be accounted for on any theory of mediæval importation. The story of Vicram is essentially the story of Hermotimos of Klazomenai, whose soul wanders at will through space, while his body remains undecayed at home, until his wife, tired out by his repeated desertions, burns his body while he is away, and thus effectually prevents his resuming his proper form. A popular Deccan tale, which is also told by Pliny and Lucian, must have existed, if only in a rudimentary state, while Greeks and Hindus still lived as a single people. But a genuine humour, of which we have little more than a faint germ in the Greek legend, runs through the Hindu story. In both the wife is vexed by the frequent absence of her husband: but the real fun of the Deccan tale rises from the complications produced by the carpenter's son, who overhears the god Gumputti as he teaches Vicram the mystic words which enable him to pass from his own body into another ; but as he could not see the antidote which Vicram received to keep his tenantless body from decay, the carpenter's son was but half enlightened. No sooner, however, had Vicram transferred his soul to the parrot's body, than the carpenter's son entered the body of Vicram, and the work of corruption began in his own. The pseudo-rajah is at once detected by the Wuzeer Butti, who stands to Vicram in the relation of Patroklos to Achilleus, or of Thesens to Peirithoös, and who recommends the whole court to show a cold shoulder to the impostor, and make his sojourn in Vicram's body as unpleasant as possible. Worn out at last with waiting, Butti sets off to search for his friend, and by good luck is one of the throng assembled to witness the ascension of Champa Ranee. Butti recognises his friend, and at once puts him into safe keeping in a cage. On reaching home it became necessary to get the carpenter's, son out of Vicram's body, and the Wuzeer, foreseeing that this would be no easy task, proposes a butting match between two rams, the one belonging to himself, the other to the pseudo-rajah. Butti accordingly submits his own ram to a
training, which greatly hardens his horns; and so when the fight began, the pretended rajah, seeing to his vexation that his favourite was getting the worst in the battle, transported his soul into the ram's body, to add to its strength and resolution. No sooner was this done, than Vicram left the parrot's body and re-entered his own, and Butti, slaying the defeated ram, put an end to the life of the carpenter's son, by leaving him no body in which to take up his aboode. But fresh troubles were in store for Batti; and these troubles take us back to the legends of Brynhild and Persephone, of Tammuz, Adonis, and Osiris. Not yet cured of his waudering. propensities, Vicram goes to sleep in a jungle with his mouth open, into which creeps a cobra who refuses to be dislodged -the deadly snake of winter and darkness, which stings the beautiful Eurydike, and lies coiled around the maiden on the glistening heath. The rajah, in his intolerable misery, leaves his home, just as Persophonê is talken away from Dêmeteer, and Butti seeks him in vain for twelve years (the ten years of the struggle at Ilion), as he roms in the disguise of a fakeer. Meanwhile, the beautiful Buccoulee, who had recognised her destined husband under his squalid rags as Eurykleia recognises Odysseus, had succeeded in freeing Viermu from his tormentor, and thas all three returned to the long forsaken Anar Ranee. But before we examine incidents which take us into the more strictly mythical regrions of Aryan folk-lore, it is necessary to show how large is that class of stories to which the tale of the Dancing (iirl and the Woodcutter belongs. There are some which are even more remarkable for their agreement in the gencral scheme with thorough divergence in detail.

In the story entitled, 'The Table, the Ass, and the Stick' in Grimm's collection, a goat, whose appetite cannot be satisfied, brings a tailor into grievous trouble by leading him to drive his three sons away from their home on groundless charges. At last, finding that he had been cheated, he scourges the goat, which makes the best of its way from his dwelling. Meanwhile, the three sons had each been learning a trade, and each received his reward. To the eldest son was given a table, which at the words 'Cover thyself,' at once

Book presented a magnificent banquet; the second received a donkey, which on hearing the word 'Bricklebrit' rained down gold pieces, ${ }^{1}$ and both were deprived of their gifts by a thievish innkeeper, to whom they had in succession revealed their secret. On reaching home, the eldest son, boasting to his father of his inexhaustible table, was discomfited by finding that some common table had been put in its place; and the second in like manner, in making trial of his ass, found himself in possession of a very ordinary donkey. But the youngest son had not yet returned, and to him they sent word of the scurvy behaviour of the innkeeper. When the time of the third son's departure came, his master gave him a sack, adding 'In it there lies a stick.' The young man took the sack as a thing that might do him good service, but asked why he should take the stick, as it only made the sack heavier to carry. The stick, however, was endowed with the power of jumping out of the sack and belabouring any one against whom its owner had a grudge. Thus armed, the youth went cheerfully to the house of the innkeeper, who, thinking that the sack must certainly contain treasure, tried to take it from the young man's pillow while he slept. But he had reckoned without his host. The stick hears the fatal word, and at once falls without mercy on the thief, who roars out that he will surrender the table and the ass. Thus

[^113]the power of becoming more beautiful every day, and that a piece of gold shall fall out of her mouth erery word that, she speaks. But she has a stepsister, the winter, who, not having her kindly feelings, refuses to share her bread with the dwarfs, who decree that she shall grow more ugly every day, and that toads shall spring from her mouth whenever she speaks. This is the story of 'Bushy Bride' in Dasent's Norse Tales. The dawn-children reappear in the story of Hansel and Grethel, who, wandering into the forest (of night or winter), come upon a house with windows made of clear sugar (ice), where they fall into the power of a witch (Harles), who, like the dwarfs, guards the hoard of treasure. The old witch is destroyed by Grethel after the fashion of the cannibial in the Zulu tale. (Max Müller, Chips, ii. 214.)
the three gifts reach the tailor's house. ${ }^{1}$ As for the goat, whose head the tailor had shaven, it ran into a fox's house, where a bee stung its bald pate, and it rushed out, never to be heard of again.
In the Deccan tale we have a jackal and a barber in the place of the goat and the tailor: and the mischief is done, not by leading the barber to expel his children, but by cheating him of the fruits of his garden. The parallel, however, is not confined to the fact of the false pretences; the burber retaliates, like the tailor, and inflicts a sovere wound on the jackal. As before, in the German story, the goat is a goat; but the jackal is a transformed rajah, none other in short than the Beast who is wedded to Beauty and the monster who becomes the husband of Psyche, and thus even this story lies within the magic circle of strictly mythical tradition. But before he wins his bride, the jackal-rajah is reduced to sore straits, and his adventures give occasion for some sharp satire on Hindu popular theology. Coming across a bullock's carcass, the jackal cats his way into it, while the sun so contracts the hide that he finds himself unable to get out. Fearing to be killed if discovered, or to be buried alive if he escaped notice, the jackal, on the approach of the scavengers, cries out, 'Take care, good people, how you touch me, for I am a great saint.' The mahars in terror ask him who he is, and what he wants. 'I,' answered the jackal, 'an a very holy saint. I am also the god of your village, and I am very angry with you, because you never worship me nor bring me offerings.' ' $O$ my lord,' they cried, 'what offerings will please you? Tell us only, and we will bring you whatever you like.' ' (xood,' said the jackal; 'then you must fetch hither plenty of rice, plenty of flowers, and a nice fat chicken: place them as an offering beside me, and pour a great deal of water over them, as you do at your most solemn feasts, and then I will forgive you your sins.' The wetting, of course, splits the dry bullock's skin, and the jackal, jumping out, runs with the ehicken in his mouth to the jungle. When again he was

[^114]BOOK
nearly starved, he heard a Brahman bewailing his poverty, and declaring that if a dog or a jackal were to offer to marry one of his daughters, he should have her-an eagerness in complete contrast with the reluctance of the merchant who is obliged to survender his child to the beast. The jackal takes him at his word, and leads his wife away to a splendid subterranean palace, where she finds that each night the jackal lays aside his skin, and becomes a beautiful young man. Soon the Brahman comes to the jackal's cave to see how his child gets on ; but just as he is about to enter, the fackal stops him, and, learning his wants, gives him a melon,

- the seeds of which will bring him some money. A neighbour, admiring the fruit produced from these seeds, buys some from the Brahman's wife, and finding that they are full of diamonds, pearls and rubies, purchases the whole stock, until the Brahman himself opens a small withered melon, and learns how he has been overreached. In vain he asks restitution from the woman who has bought them; she knows nothing of any miraculous melons, and a jeweller to whom he takes the jewels from the withered melon, accuses him of having stolen the gems from his shop, and impounds them all. Again the Brahman betakes himself to the jackal, who, seeing the uselessness of giving him gold or jewels, brings him out a jar which is always full of good things. ${ }^{1}$ The Brahman now lived in luxury; but another Brahman informed the rajah of the royal style in which his once poorer neighbour feasted, and the rajah appropriated the jar for his own special use. When once again he carried this story of his wrongs to his son-in-law, the jackal gave him another jar, within which was a rope and a stick, which would perform their work of chastisement as soon as the jar was opened. Uncovering the jar while he was alone, the Brahman had cause to repent his rashness, for every bone in his body was left aching. With this personal experience of the powers of the stick, the Brahman generously invited the rajah and his brother

[^115]serves as the source of life and wealth will be more fitly examined when we come to analyse the myth of the divine ship Argo. See the section on the Vivifying Sun. Book II. ch. ii.

Brahman to come and test the virtues of his new gift; and a belabouring as hearty as that which the wicked innkeeper received in the German tale made them yield up the dinnermaking jar. The same wholesome measure led to the recovery of the precious stones from the jeweller, and the melons from the woman who had bought them. It only remained now, by burning the enchanted rajah's jackal-skin, the lion-skin of Herakles, to transform him permanently into the most splendid prince ever seen on earth. ${ }^{1}$

The independent growth of these tales from a common framework is still more conclusively proved by the fact that the agreement of the Norse with the Hindu legend is far more close and striking than the likeness which it bears to North the German story. In the Norse version we have not tinree brothers, but one lad, who represents the Brahman; and in the Norse and Hindu stories alike, the being who does the wrong is the one who bestows the three mysterions gifts. The goat in the German version is simply mischievous: in the Norse tale, the North Wind, which hlows away the poor woman's meal, bestows on her son the banquet-making cloth, the money-coining ram, and the magic stick. ${ }^{2}$ The jackal and the cloth are thas alike endowed with the mysterious power of the Teutonic Wish. This power is exhibited under a thousand forms, among which cups, horns, jars, and basins hold the most conspicuous place, and point to the earliest symbol used for the expression of the idea.
The points of likeness and difference between the Hindu story of Punchkin and the Norse tale of the 'Giant who had no Heart in his Body' are perhaps still more striking. In the former a rajah has seven daughters, whose mother dies while they are still children, and a stepmother so persecutes them

[^116][^117]CHAP. VIII.

The Iad who went on the Wiud.
$\underbrace{\begin{array}{c}\text { B00K } \\ \text { I. }\end{array}}$
that they make their escape. In the jungle they are found by the seven sons of a neighbouring king, who are hunting; and each takes one of the princesses as a wife, the handsomest of course marrying the youngest. After a brief time of happiness, the eldest prince sets off on a journey, and does not return. His six brothers follow him, and are seen no more. After this, as Balna, the youngest princess, rocks her babe in his cradle, a fakeer makes his appearance, and having vainly asked her to marry him, transforms her into a dog, and leads her away. As he grows older, Balna's son learns how his parents and uncles have disuppeared, and resolves to go in search of them. His aunts beseech him not to do so; but the youth feels sure that he will bring them all back, and at length he finds his way to the house of a gardener, whose wife, on hearing his story, tells him that his father and uncles have all been turned into stone by the great magician Punchkin, who keeps Balna herself imprisoned in a high tower because she will not marry him. To aid him in his task, the gardener's wife disguises him in her daughter's dress, and gives him a basket of flowers as a present for the captive princess. Thus arrayed, the youth is admitted to her presence, and while none are looking, he makes himself known to his mother by means of a ring which she had left on his finger before the sorcerer stole her away. But the resccue of the seven princes seemed to be as far off as ever, and the young man suggests that Balna should now change her tactics, and by playing the part of Delilah to Samson, find out where his power lies, and whether he is subject to death. The device is successful, and the sorcerer botrays the secret.
' Far away, far away, hundreds of thousands of miles away from this, there lies a desolate country covered with thick jungle. In the midst of the jungle grows a circle of palm trees, and in the centre of the jungle stand six jars full of water, piled one above another; below the sixth jar is a small cage which contains a little green parrot; on the life of the parrot depends my life, and if the parrot is killed I must dic.' ${ }^{\prime}$

[^118]But this keep is guarded by myriads of evil demons, and Balna tries hard to dissuade her son from the venture. He is resolute, and he finds true helpers in some eagles whose young he saves by killing a large serpent which was making its way to their nest. The parent birds give him their young to be his servants, and the eaglets, crossing their wings, bear him through the air to the spot where the six water jars are standing. In an instant he upsets the jar, and snatching the parrot from his cage, rolls him up in his cloak. The magician in his dismay at seeing the parrot in the youth's hands yields to every demand made by him, and not only the seven princes but all his other victims are restored to life-a magnificent array of lings, courtiers, oficers, and servants. ${ }^{1}$ Still the magicion prayed to have his parrot given to him.
'Then the boy took hold of the parrot, and tore off one of his wings, and when he did so, the magician's right arm fell off.
'Punchkin then stretched out his left arm, crying, " Give me my parrot." The prince pulled off the parrot's second wing and the magician's left arm tumbled off.
' "Give me my parrot," cried he, and fell on his knees. The prince pulled off the parrot's right leg, the mugician's right leg fell off; the prince pulled off the purrot's left leg, down fell the magicion's left.
'Nothing remained of him save the limbless body and the head; but still he rolled his eyes, and cried, " (Xive me my" parrot!" "Take your parrot then," cried the boy; and with that he wrung the bird's neck, and threw it atio the magician, and as he did so, Punchkin twisted round, and with a fearful groan he died.'
threshold. There is a wother under the flag. There is a duck in tho wother's belly, and an eqer in the belly of the duck, and it is in the egrg that my sonl is.'
${ }_{1}$ 'This portion of the story is found in the Arabian Nights' tale of 'tho two Sisters who were jealous of their Younger Sister.' Here also the enchantmonts are overcome by gaining possession of a burd, and the malignant demons who
guard it are represented by dismal cries and jexring volues which assatil all who attempt tho task. The bied, as in the Ilinciu tale, is won hy the youngest ot the family, lut it in the frinecss Parizate disguised as a man who porforms the exploit, having, like Odyssens, as her approached the Seiren's land, filled her ears with cloth. Nor is the bird less mighty than tho magician, although ho is not killed off in the same way.

CHAP. VIII.

## BOOK

I.

The Giant who had no Heart in his Body.

In its key-note and its leading incidents this story is precisely parallel to the Norse tale of the 'Giant who had no Heart in his Body.' Here, as in the Deccan legend, there is a king who has seven sons, but instead of all seven being sent to hunt or woo, the youngest is left at home; and the rajah whose children they marry has six daughters, not seven. This younger brother who stays at home is the Boots of European folk-lore, a being of infinitely varied character, and a subject of the highest interest for all who wish to know whence the Aryan nations obtained the materials for their epic poems. Seemingly weak and often despised, he has keener wit and more resolute will than all who are opposed to him. Slander and obloquy are to him as nothing, for he knows that in the end his truth shall be made clear in the sight of all men. In Dr. Dasent's words, 'There he sits idle whilst all work; there he lies with that deep irony of conscious power which knows its time must one day come, and meantime can afford to wait. When that time comes, he girds himself to the feat amidst the scoff and scorn of his flesh and blood; but even then, after he has done some great deed, he conceals it, returns to his ashes, and again sits idly by the leitchen fire, dirty, lazy, despised, until the time for final recognition comes, and then his dirt and rags fall off-he stands out in all the majesty of his royal robes, and is acknowledged once for all a king.' ${ }^{\prime}$ We see him in a thousand forms. He is the Herakles on whom the mean

[^119]who tames the pride of the princess as Indra subdues Dahana; or he is the countryman who cheats the Jew in the story of the 'Good Bargain.' Me is the young king of Easaidh Ruadh in the Scottish story, who gets for the giant the Glaive of Light (Excalibur, or the spear of Achilleus), and who ridos a don filly, gifted like the horse Xanthos with the power of speech. He is the 'bald rough-skinned gillie' of the smithy in the Highland tale of 'The Brown ibear of the Green Glen,' on whose head the mysterious bird alights to point him out as the father of the dawn-child. In the story of the 'Three Soldiers' in the same collection, he is the poor soldier who is wheedled of his threo wish-gifts, but recovering them in the end is seen in his native majesty.

Eurystheus delights to pour contempt; he is Cinderella sitting in the dust, while her sisters flaunt their finery abroad; he is the Oidipous who knows nothing, ${ }^{1}$ yet reads the mysterious riddle of the Splinx; he is the Phoibos who serves in the house of Admêtos and the palace of Lamomedon; he is the Psyche who seeks her lost love almost in despair, and yet with the hope still living in her that her search shall not be unsuccessful; above all, he is the Ithakan chief, clothed in beggar's rags, flouted by the suitors, putting forth his strength for one moment to crush the insolent Arnaios, then sitting down humbly on the threshold, ${ }^{2}$ recognised only by an old nurse and his dog, waiting patiently till the time comes that he shall bend the unerring bow and having slain his enemies appear once more in glorious garb by the side of a wife as radiant in beauty as when he left her years ago for a long and a hard warfare far away. Nay, he even becomes an idiot, bat even in this his greatest humiliation the memory of his true greatness is never forgotten. Thus the Gaelic 'Lay of the Great Fool ' relates the

> Tale of wonder, that, was heard without lie, of the idiot to whom hosts yichl, A haughty son who yiclds not to arms, Whose name was the mighty fool.
> Tho might of the word he had seized In his hands, and it was no rude deed; It was not the strenght of his haud or shield, But that the mightiest was in his grasp.

He becomes, of course, the husband of Helen,
The mighty fool is his name, And his wife is the young Fairfine; The men of the world are at his beek. And the yiolding to him was mine;
and the Helen of the story has, of course, her Paris. The fool goes to sleep, and as he slumbers a Gruagach gives her a kiss, and like Helen ' the lady was not ill-pleased that be came.' But his coming is for evil luck, and the deceiver shall be well repaid when the fool comes to take vengeance.

> Still will I give my vows,
> Though thou thinkest munh of thy speech;
> When comes the Gruagach of the tissue cloak He will repay thee for his wife's kiss.

[^120]BOOK
I.

Mythical repetitions and combinations.

## Agency of beasts in

 these storics.Boots then acts the part of Balna's son in the Hindu story, while the sorcerer reappears in the Norse tale as a giant, who turns the six princes and their wives into stone. The incident is by no means peculiar to this tale, and the examples already adduced would alone warrant the, assertion that the whole mass of folk-lore in every country may be resolved into an endless series of repetitions, combinations, and adaptations of a few leading ideas or of their developements, all sufficiently resembling each other to leave no doubts of their fundamental identity, yet so unlike in outward garb and colouring, so thoroughly natural and vigorous under all their changes, as to leave no room for any other supposition than that of a perfectly independent growth from one common stem. If speaking of the marvels wrought by musical genius, Dr. Newman could say, 'There are seven notes in the scale; make them thirteen, yet how slender an outfit for so vast an enterprise,' ${ }^{1}$ we may well feel the same astonishment as we see the mighty harvest of mythical lore which a few seeds have yielded, and begin to understand how it is that ideas so repeated, disguised, or travestied never lost their charm, but find us as ready to listen when they are brought before us for the hundredth time in a new dress, as when we first made acquaintance with them.
In the modified machinery of the Norse tale, the remonstrances addressed to Balna's son in the Hindu story are here addressed to Boots, whose kindness to the brute creatures who become his friends is drawn out in the more full detail characteristic of Western legends. The Hindu hero helps eagles only; Boots succours a raven, a salmon, and a wolf, and the latter having devoured his horse bears him on

> Quiversity Sermons, p. 348 . In these two stryies the Magician Punchkin and the Meat thess Giant are manifesily only other forms of the dark beings, the Panis, who stral away the bright treasures, whether cows, maidens, or youths, from the gleaning west. In ach case there is a long seareh for them; and as Troy camot fall withont Achilleus, so here there is only one who can achiere the expluit of reseuing the beings who hare been tarned into stone, as Niobe is hardened into rock. The

[^121]its back with the speed of light to the house of the giant who has turned his brothers into stone. ${ }^{1}$ Then he finds, not

CHAP. VIII his mother, like Balna's son, but the beautiful princess who is to be his bride, and who promises to find out, if she can, where the giant keeps his heart, for, wherever it be, it is not in his body. The colloquies which lead at length to the true answer exhibit the giant in the more kindly and rollicking character frequently bestowed on trolls, dwarfs, elves, and demons, in the mythology of the Western Aryans. The final answer corresponds precisely to that of Punchkin. 'Far, far away in a lake lies an island; on that island stands a church; in that church is a well; in that well swims a duck; in that duck there is an egg; and in that egg there lies my heart, you darling.' His darling takes a tender farewell of Boots, who sets off on the wolf's back, to solve, as in the Eastern tale, the mystery of the water and the bird. The wolf takes him to the island; but the church keys hang high on the steeple, and the raven is now brought in to perform an office analogous to that of the young eaglets in the Deccan legend. At last, by the salmon's help, the egg is brought from the bottom of the well where the duck had dropped it.
'Then the wolf told him to squeeze the egg, and as soon as ever he squeezed it, the giant screamed out.
" "Squeeze it again," said the wolf; and when the prince did so, the giant screamed still more piteously, and begged and prayed so prettily to be spared, saying he would do all

[^122]brother returns home is put on by himself. He has exchanged clothes with a beggar ; the fox is of course enchanted, and can only be freed by destroying the body in which he is imprisonod. But this idea of enchantment would inevitably be suggested by the magic power of Athênê in seaming tho face of Odysseus with the wrinkles of a squalid old age, while the Christianised Northman would convert Athenê herself into a witch. In this story the mere presence of the disgrised youth, who was supposed to be murdered, just as the suitors supposed Odysseus to be dead, makes the golden bird begin to sing, the golden horse bogin to eat, and the beautiful maiden to cease weeping. The meaning is obvious.

Influence of written literature on Folklore.
that the prince wished if he would only not squeeze his heart in two.
' "Tell him if he will restore to life again your six brothers and their lorides, you will spare his life," said the wolf. Yes, the giant was ready to do that, and he turned the six brothers into king's sons again, and their brides into king's daughters.
" "Now squeeze the egg in two," said the wolf. So Boots squeezed the egg to pieces, and the giant burst at once.'

If the morality of myths be a fair matter for comparison, the Eastern story has here the advantage. Balua's son makes no definite promise to the magician; but a parallel to Punchkin, almost closer than that of the giant, is furnished in Grimm's story of the Two Brothers, where a witch is forced to restore all her victims to life. 'The old witch took a twig ${ }^{1}$ and changed the stones back to what they were, and immediately his brother and the beasts stood before the huntsman, as well as many merchants, workpeople and shepherds, who, delighted with their freedom, returned home; but the twin brothers, ${ }^{2}$ when they saw each other again, kissed and embraced and were very glad.' ${ }^{3}$

The supposition that these stories have been transmitted laterally is tenable only on the further hypothesis, that in every Aryan land, from Eastern India to the Highlands of Scotland, the folk-lore of the country has had its character determined by the literature of written books, that in every land men have handled the stories introduced from other countries with the deliberate purpose of modifying and adapting them, and that they have done their work in such a way as sometimes to leave scarcely a resemblance, at other times scarcely to effect the smallest change. In no other range of literature has any such result ever been achieved. In these stories we have narratives which have confessedly been received in the cruilest form, if the fable of the Brahman and the goat is to be taken as the original of the Master Thief, and which have been worked up with marvellous vigour and

[^123]under indefinitely varied forms, not by the scholars who imported the volumes of the Kalila and Dimna, or the Exploits

CHAP. VIII. of the Romans, but by unknown men anong the people. The tales have been circulated for the most part only among: those who have no books, and many if not wost of them have been made known only of late years for the first time to the antiquarians and philologists who have devoted their lives to hunting them out. How then do we find in Teatonic or Hindu stories not merely incidents which specially characterise the story of Odysseus, but almost the very words in which they are related in the Odyssey? The task of analysing and comparing these legends is not a light one even for those who have all the appliances of books and the aid of a body of meu working with them for the same end. Yet old men and old womeu reproduce in India and Germany, in Norway, in Scotland, and in Ireland, the most subtle turns of thought and expression, and an cudless series of complicated narratives, in which the order of incidents and the words of the speakers are preserved with a fidelity nowhere paralleled in the oral tradition of historical events. It may safely be said that no series of stories introduced in the form of translations from other languages could ever thas have filtered down into the lowest stratio of society, and thence have sprung up again, like Antaios, with greater energy and heightened beauty, and ' nursery tales are gencrally the last things to be adopted by one nation from another.' ${ }^{\prime}$. But it is not safe to assume on the part of Highland peassunts or Hindu nurses a familiarity with the epical literature of the Homeric or Vedic poets; and hence the production of actual evidence in any given race for the independent growth of popular stories may be received as throwing fresh light on questions already practically solved, but can scarcely be regarded as indispensable. It can scarcely be necessary to prove that the tale of the Three Snake Leaves was not derived by the old German storytellers from the pages of Pausanias, or that Beauty and the Beast was not suggested by Appuleius. There is nothing therefore which needs to surprise us in the fact that stories already familiar to the

[^124]Book I.

The stories of King Putraka, and the Three Princesses of Whiteland.
western Aryans have been brought to us in their eastern versions only as yesterday.

Among such tales is the story, cited by Dr. Dasent, of King Putraka, who wandering among the Vindhya mountains finds two men quarreling for the possession of a bowl, a staff, and a pair of shoes, and induces them to determine by running a race whose these things shall be. No sooner have they started than Putraka puts on the shoes, which give the power of flight, and vanishes with the staff and bowl also. The story, which in this form has only recently been made known in Europe through the translation of the tales of Somadeva, is merely another version of the old Norse legend of the Three Princesses of. Whiteland, in which three brothers fight for a hundred years about a hat, a cloak, and a pair of boots, until a king, passing by, prevails on them to let him try the things, and putting them on, wishes himself away. The incident, Dr. Dasent adds, is found in Wallachian and Tartar stories, ${ }^{1}$ while the three gifts come again in the stories already cited, of the Table, the Ass, and the Stick, the Lad that went to the North Wind, and the Hindu tale of the Brahman, the Jackal, and the Barber. But the gifts themselves are found everywhere. The shoes are the sandals of Hermes, the seven-leagued boots of Jack the Giant Killer; ${ }^{2}$ the hat is the helmet of Hades, the TarnKappe of the Nibelungen Lied; ${ }^{3}$ in the staff we have the rod of Kirkê, and in the bowl that emblem of fertility and life which meets us at every turn, from the holy vessel

[^125]which only the pure knight or the innocent maiden may touch, to the horseshoe which is nailed for grood luck's sake to the wall. These things hare not been imported into Western mythology by any translations of the folk-lore of the East, for they were as well known in the days of Perikles as they are in our own; and thus in cases where there appears to be evidence of conscious adaptation the borrowing must be regarded rather as an exceptional fact than as furnishing any presumption against stories for which no such evidence is forthcoming. It will never be supposed that the imagery and even the language of the old Greek epics could be as familiarly known to the Hindu peasantry as to the countrymen of Herodotos: and hence the greater the resemblance between the popular stories of Greeks, Germans, and Hindus, the less room is there for any hypothesis of direct borrowing or adaptation. Such theories do but create and multiply difficulties; the real evidence points only to that fountain of mythical language from which have flowed all the streams of Aryan epic poetry, streams so varied in their character, yet agreeing so closely in their elements. The substantial identity of stories told in Italy, Norway, and India can but prove that the treasure-house of mythology was more abundantly filled before the dispersion of the Aryan tribes than we had taken it to be.

Probably no two stories furnish more convincing evidence of the extent to which the folk-lore of the Aryan tribes was developed, while they still lived as a single people, than that which we find in the German legend of Faithful John and the Deccan story of Rama and Luxman, who reflect the Rama and Laxmana of Purana legends. A comparison of these legends clearly shows that at least the following framework must have been devised before Hindus and Germans started on the long migration which was to lead the one to the regions of the Ganges and the Indus, and the other to the countries watered by the Rhine and the Elbe. Even in those early days the story must have run that a king had seen the likeness of a maiden whose beauty made him faint with love; that he could not be withheld from seeking her; that his faithful friend went with him and

BOOK helped him to win his bride; that certain wise birds predicted that the trusty friend should save his master from three great dangers, but that his mode of rescuing him should seem to show that he loved his master's wife; that for his self-sacrifice he should be turned into a stone, and should be restored to life only by the agency of an innocent child. That two men in two distant countries knowing nothing of each other could hit upon such a series of incidents as these, none probably will have the hardihood to maintain. Still less can any dream of urging that Hindus and Germans agreed together to adopt each the specific differences of their respective versions. In the German story the prince's passion for the beautiful maiden is caused by the sight of her portrait in a gallery of his father's palace, into which the trusty Joln had been strictly charged not to let the young man enter. ${ }^{1}$ Having once seen it, he cannot be withheld from going to seek her, and with his friend he embarks as a merchant in a ship laden with all manner of costly goods which may tempt the maiden's taste or curiosity. The scheme succeeds; but while the princess is making her purchases the Faithfül John orders all sail to be set, and the ship is far at sea when the maiden turns to go home. At once we recognise the form in which Herodotos at the outset of his history has recorded the story of Io, and are tempted to think that Herodotos did not in this instance invent his own rationalistic explanation of a miraculous story, but has adopted a version of the myth current in his own day. The comparative freedom from supernatural in-

[^126][^127]cidents would of course determine his choice. The next scene in the drama is a colloquy between three crows, whose
cmap. viII. language Faithful John understands, and who foretell three great dangers impending over the prince, who can be saved only at the cost of his preserver. On his reaching shore a fox-coloured horse would spring towards him, which, on his mounting it, would carry him off for ever from his bride. No one can save him except by shooting the horse, but if any one does it and tells the king, he will be turned into storie from the toe to the knee. If the horse be killed, the prince will none the more keep his bride, for a bridal shirt will lie on a dish, woven seemingly of gold and silver, but composed really of sulphur and pitch, and if he puts it on it will burn him to his bones and marrow. Whoever takes the shirt with his gloved hand and casts it into the fire may save the prince; but if he knows and tells lim, he will be turned to stone from his knee to his heart. Nor is the prince more safe even if the shirt be burnt, for during the dance which follows the wedding the queen will suddenly tum pale and fall as if dead, and unless some one takes three drops of blood from her right breast she will die. But whoever knows and tells it shall be turned to stone from the crown of his head to the toes of his feet. The friend resolves to be faithful at all hazards, and all things trurn out as the crows had foretold; but the ling, misconstruing the act of lis friend in taking hlood from his wife, orders him to be led to prison. At the scaffold he explains his motives, "hut the act of revelation seals his doom; and while the king intreats for forgiveness the trusty servant is tumed into stone. In an agony of gricf the king has the figure placed near his bed, and vainly prays for the power of restoring him to life. Years pass on; twin sons are born to him, and one day, as he gives utterance to the longing of his heart, the statue says that it can be brought back to life if the king will cut off the heads of the twins and sprinkle the statne with their blood. The servant is restored to life, and when he places the children's heads on their bodies they spring up and play as merrily as ever.

B0OK


Rama
and Luxman.

In truth and tenderness of feeling this story falls far short of the Deccan tale, in which the prince. Rama sees the image of his future bride, not in a picture, but in a dream. Having won her by the aid of Luxman, he is soon after attacked by the home-sickness which is common to the heroes of most of these tales, and which finds its highest expression in the history of Odysseus. During the journey, which answers to the voyage of the king with Faithful John, Luxman, who, like John, understands the speech of birds, hears two owls talking in a tree overhead, and learns from them that three great perils await his master and his bride. The first will be from a rotten branch of a banyan-tree, from the fall of which Luxman will just save them by dragging them forcibly away; the next will be from an insecure arch, and the third from a cobra. This serpent, they said, Luxman would kill with his sword.
' But a drop of the cobra's blood shall fall on her forehead. The wuzeer will not care to wipe off the blood with his hands, but shall instead cover his face with a cloth, that he may lick it off with his tongue ; but for this the rajah will be angry with him, and his reproaches will turn this poor wuzeer into stone.
""Will he always remain stone?" asked the lady owl. "Not for ever," answered the husband, " but for eight long years he will remain so." "And what then?" demanded she. "Then," answered the other, " when the young rajah and ranee have a baby, it shall come to pass that one day the child shall be playing on the floor, and, to help itself along, shall clasp hold of the stony figure, and at that baby's touch the wuzeer will come to life again." '

As in the German tale, everything turns out in accordance with the predictions of the birds. When, therefore, Luxman saw the cobra creep towards the queen, he knew that his life must be forfeited for his devotion, and so he took from the folds of his dress the record of the owl's talk and of his former life, and, having laid it beside the sleeping king, killed the cobra. The rajah, of course, starts up just as his friend is licking the blood from his wife's forehead, and, drawing
the same inference with the German prince, overwhelms him with reproaches.
'The rajah had buried his face in his hands: he looked up, he turned to the wuzeer; but from him came neither answer nor reply. He had become a senseless stone. Then Rama for the first time perceived the roll of paper which Luxman had laid beside him; and when he read in it of what Luxman had been to him from boyhood, and of the end, his bitter grief broke through all bounds, and falling at the feet of the statue, he clasped its stony knees and wept aloud.'

Eight years passed on, and at length the child was borm. A few months more, and in trying to walk, it 'stretched ont its tiny hands and caught hold of the foot of the statue. The wazeer instantly came back to life, and stooping down seized the little baby, who had rescued him, in his arms and kissed it.' ${ }^{1}$

There is something more quiet and touching in the silent record of Luxman which stands in the place of Faithful John's confession at the scaffold, as well as in the doom which is made to depend on the reproaches of his friend rather than on the mere mechanical act of giving utterance to certain words. But the Hindu legend and the German story alike possess a higher interest in the links which connect them, like most of the popular stories already nuticed, with the magnificent epic to which we give the name of Homer, with the songs of the Volsungs and Nibelungs, with the mythical cycle of Arthur and Charlemagne, and the Persian Rustem. The bridal shirt of sulphur and pitch, which outwardly seemed a tissue of gold and silver, carries us at once from the story of Faithful John to the myth of

[^128]Menry is so grieved at the loss that ho binds three iron bands round his hourt for fear it should hroak with grief and sorrow. When the Froge Prince sets ont with his bride in the morning, the iron bauds break and Trusty Henry is set free. This is the stony sleep of laxman, brought on by grief, and broken only by the light touch of early morning, there represented by tho innocont child of Rama. stories.

BOOK Deianeira and the poisoned coat which put an end to the career of Herakles. We enter again the charmed circle, where one and the same idea assumes a thousand different forms, where we can trace clearly the process by which one change led to another, but where any one disregarding the points of connection must fail to discern their sequence, origin, and meaning. In the legend of Deianeira, as in that of Iasôn and Glaukê, the coat or shirt is laden with destruction even for Herakles. It represents, in fact, ' the clouds which rise from the waters and surround the sun like a dark raiment.' This robe Herakles tries to tear off, but the 'fiery. mists embrace him, and are mingled with the parting rays of the sun, and the dying hero ị seen through the scattered clouds of the sky, tearing his own body to pieces, till at last his bright form is consumed in a general conflagration.' ${ }^{1}$ In the story of Medeia this robe is the gift of Helios, which imparts a marvellous wisdom to the daughter of the Kolchian ling. It is the gleaming dress which reappears in story after story of Hindu folk-lore. 'That young rajah's wife,' people said, 'has the most beautiful saree we ever saw : it shines like the sun, and dazzles our eyes. We have no saree half so beautiful.' It is the golden fleece of the ram which bears away the children of the Mist (Nephelê) to the Eastern land. In other words, it is the light of Phoibos, the splendowr of Helios, the rays or spears of the gleaming Sun. As such, it is identified with the sword of Apollo the Chrysâôr, with the sword which Aigeus leaves to be discovered by Theseus under the broad stone, with the good sword Gram which Odin left in the tree trunk for Volsung to draw out and wield, with the lion's skin of Herakles, with the jackal's skin worn by the enchanted rajahs of Hindu story, with the spear of Achilleus and the deadly arrows of Philoktêtês, with the invincible sword of Perseus and the sandals which bear him through the air like a dream, with the magic shoes in the story of King Patraka and of the Lad who went to the North Wind, with the spear of Artemis and the unerring darts of Meleagros. Whether under the guise of spears or fleece or arrows, it is the golden hair on the head of Phoibos

[^129]Akersekomês, which no razor has ever touched. It is the wonderful carpet of Solomon, which figures in the Arabian

CLIAP. VII. Nights as the vehicle for relieving distressed lovers from their difficulties, ${ }^{1}$ and bears away the Princess Aldegonda by the side of the Pilgrim of Love in the exquisite legend of the Alhambra.
This story is, indeed, only a more beautiful form of the German and Hindu tales. Here, as in the other legends, special care is taken to guard the young prince against the dangers of love, and the lad grows up contentedly under the care of the sage Eben Bonabben, until he discovers that he wants something which speaks more to the heart than algebra. Like Balna in the tower or Helen in Hion, he is prisoned in a palace from which he cannot get forth; but the sage Bonabben has taught him the language of birds, and when the joyous time of spring comes round, he learns from a dove that love is ' the torment of one, the felicity of two, the strife and enmity of three.' The dove does more. She tells him of a beautiful maiden, far away in a delightful garden by the banks of the stream from which Arethousa, Daphnê, Athênê, Aphroditê, all are born; but the garden is surrounded by high walls, within which none were permitted to enter. Here the dove's story, which answers to the picture seen in the German tale and the dream of Rama, connects the legends with the myths of Brynhild, Surya Bai, and other. imprisoned damsels, whom one brave knight alone is destiued to rescue. Once again the dove returns, but it is only to die at the feet of Ahmed, who finds under her wing the picture seen by the prince in the German story. Where to seek the maiden he knows not; but the arrow of love is within his heart, and he cannot tarry. The princess too, to whom the dove had carried the message of Ahmed, is yearning to see him; but she is surrounded by a troop of suitors as numeroas as those which. gather round Penclopê, and she must appear at a great tournament (the fight at Ilion) which is to decide who shall be her husband. But Ahmed, like Achilleus after the death of Patroklos, is unarmed ; how then can he think of encountering the valiant warriors who are hastening to the ${ }^{1}$ The story of Prince Almed and Pari Banou.
contest? In this dilemma he is aided by an owl (the white bird of Athênê), who tells him of a cavern (the cave in which Zeus, Mithra, and Krishna alike are born) 'in one of the wildest recesses of those rocky cliffs which rise around Toledo; none but the mousing eye of an owl or an antiquary could have discovered the entrance to it. A sepulchral lamp of everlasting oil shed a solemn light through the place. On an iron table in the centre of the cavern lay the magic armour; against it leaned the lance, and beside it stood an Arabian steed, caparisoned for the field, but motionless as a statue. The armour was bright and unsullied as it had gleamed in days of old; the steed in as good condition as if just from the pasture, and when Ahmed laid his hand upon his neck, he pawed the ground and gave a loud neigh of joy that shook the walls of the cavern.' Here we have not only the magic armour and weapons of Achilleus, but the steed which never grows old, and against which no human power can stand. Probably Washington Irving, as he told the story with infinite zest, thought little of the stories of Boots or of Odysseus: but although Ahmed appears in splendid panoply and mounted on a magnificent war-horse, yet he is as insolently scouted by the suitors of Aldegonda as the Ithakan chieftain in his beggar's dress was reviled by the suitors of Penelopê. But the same retribution is in store for both. Ahmed bears the irresistible weapons of Odysseus. No sooner is the first blow struck against the Pilgrim of Love (for Ahmed again like Odysseus and Herakles must be a wanderer) than the marvellous powers of the steed are seen. ' At the first touch of the magic lance the brawny scoffer was tilted from his saddle. Here the prince would have paused; but, alas! he had to deal with a demoniac horse and armour-once in action nothing could control them. The Arabian steed charged into the thickest of the throng; the lance overturned everything that presented; the gentle prince was carried pell-mell about the field, strewing it with high and low, gentle and simple, and grieving at his own involuntary exploits. The king stormed and raged at this outrage on his subjects and his guests. He ordered out all his guards-they were unhorsed as fast as they came up.

The king threw off his robes, grasped buckler and lance, and rode forth to awe the stranger with the presence of majesty itself. Alas! majesty fared no better than the vulgar-the steed and lance were no respecters of persons: to the dismay of Ahmed, he was borne full tilt against the king, and in a moment the royal heels were in the air, and the crown was rolling in the dust.' It could not be otherwise. The suitors must all fall when once the arrow has sped from the bow of Odysseus; but although the Ithakan chief was earnest in his revenge, the involuntary exploits of Ahmed are matched by many an involuntary deed of Herakles or Oidipous or Perseus. That the horse of Almed belongs to the same stock with the steeds of Indra it is impossible to doubt as we read the words of the Vedic poet:-
'These thy horses, excellent Vayu, strong of limb, youthful and fall of vigour, bear thee through the space between heaven and earth, growing in bulk, strong as oxen; they are not lost in the firmament, but hold on their speed, unretarded by reviling; difficult are they to be arrested as the beams of the Sun. ${ }^{1}$

The incidents which follow present the same astonishing accordance with old Greek or Hindu traditions. No sooner has the sun reached the meridian than 'the magic spell resumed its power; the Arabian steed scoured across the plain, leaped the barrier, plunged into the Tagus, swam its raging current, bore the prince breathless and amazed to the cavern, and resumed his station like a statue beside the iron table.' The spell is, in fact, none other than that which sends the stone of Sisyphos rolling down the hill as soon as it has reached the summit; the Tagus is the old ocean strean into which Helios sinks at eventide, and the cave is the dark abode from which the wandering Sun had started in the morning, and to which he must come back at night. But further, Ahmed appears in the sequel as Paiêôn, the hoaler. Aldegonda is sick with love for the beautiful prince who has gladdened her eyes but for a few brief moments. In vain do hosts of physicians seek to cure her. The power to do so rests with Ahmed only, and the owl, coming to his aid as
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zealously as Athênê Glaukopis (the owl-eyed or brightfaced) to that of Odysseus, advises him to ask as his reward the carpet of Solomon, on which he soars away with Aldegonda, like the children of Nephelê on the Golden Fleece. The force of these astonishing parallelisms is certainly not weakened by any suggestion that some of these incidents may be found in legends of the Arabian Nights. The enchanted horse reappears in the Dapplegrim of Grimm's German stories, in the steed which carries the Widow's Son in the Norse tales, and the marvellous horse of Highland tradition. ${ }^{1}$ In a burlesque aspect, it is the astonishing horse in the Spanish story of Governor Manco, ${ }^{2}$ who is outwitted by the old soldier precisely as the Sultan of Cashmere is outwitted by the possessor of the Enchanted Horse in the Arabian Nights story.

The sleep or death or Summer.

In the Hindu story, as in the Spanish tale, the bride of Rama is won after an exploit which in its turn carries us away to the deeds of Hellenic or Teutonic heroes. When the prince tells Luxman of the peerless beauty whom he has seen in his dream, his friend tells him that the princess lives far away in a glass palace. ${ }^{3}$ 'Round this palace runs a large river, and round the river is a garden of flowers. Round the garden are four thick groves of trees. The princess is twenty-four years old, but she is not married, for she has determined only to marry whoever can jump across the river and greet her in her crystal palace; and though many thousand kings have assayed to do so, they have all perished miserably in the attempt, having either been drowned in the river or broken their necks by falling.' The frequent recurrence of this idea in these Hindu tales might of itself lead any one who knew nothing of the subject previously to doult whether such images could refer to any actual facts in the history of any given man or woman. In the story of Rama it has lost much of its old significance. The death-like cold of a northern winter gives place to the mere notion of solitude and seclusion. Running streams and luxuriant gardens show

[^131][^132]that the myth has been long transferred to a more genial climate; but it is scarcely necessary to say that the changes in the story indefinitely enhance its value, so long as the idea remains the same. In some form or other this idea may be said to run through almost all these legends. In the story of 'Brave Seventee Bai' it assumes a form more closely akin to the imagery of Teutonic mythology; and there we find a princess who declares that she will marry no one who has not leaped over her bath, which ' has high marble walls all round, with a hedge of spikes at the top of the walls.' In the story of Vicram Maharajalı the parents of Anar Ranee 'had caused. her garden to be hedged round with seven hedges made of bayonets, so that none could go in nor out; and they had published a decree that none should marry her but he who could enter the garden and gather the three pomegranates on which she and her maids slept.' So, too, Panch Phul Ranee, the lovely Queen of the Five Flowers, 'dwelt in a little house, round which were seven wide ditches, and seven great hedges made of spears.' The seven hedges are, however, nothing more than the sevenfold coils of the dragon of the Glistening Heath, who lies twined round the beautiful Brynhild. But the maiden of the Teutonic tale is sunk in sleep which rather resembles death than life, just as Dêmêtêr mourned as if for the death of Persephone while her child sojourned in the dark kingdom of Hades. This idea is reproduced with wonderful fidelity in the story of Little Surya Bai, and the cause of her death is modified in a hundred legends both of the East and the West. The little maiden is high up, in the eagle's nest fast asleep, when an evil demon or Rakshas sceks to gain admission to her, and while vainly striving to force an entrance leaves one of his finger-nails sticking in the crack of the door. When on the following morning the maiden opened the doors of her dwelling to look down on the world below, the sharp claw ran into her hand, and immediately she fell dead. The powers of winter, which had thus far sought in vain to wound her, have at length won the victory ; and at once we pass to other versions of the same myth, which tell us of Eurydike stung to death by the hidden serpent, of Sifrit

CHAP. VIII.
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Origin of all myths relating to charmed sleep of beautiful maidens.
smitten by Hagene (the Thorn), of Isfendiyar slain by the thorn or arrow of Rustem, of Achilleus vulnerable only in his heel, of Brynhild enfolded within the dragon's coils, of Meleagros dying as the torch of doom is burnt out, of Buldur the brave and pure smitten by the fatal mistletoe, of the sweet Briar Rose plunged in her slumber of a hundred years.

The idea that all these myths have been deliberately transferred from Hindus or Persians to Greeks, Germans, and Norsemen may be dismissed as a wild dream. ${ }^{1}$ Yet of their substantial identity in spite of all points of difference and under all the disguises thrown over them by individual fancies and local influences, there can be no question. The keynote of any one of the Deccan stories is the keynote of almost all ; and this keynote runs practically through the great body of tales gathered from Germany, Scandinavia, Ireland, and Scotland. It is found again everywhere in the mythology of the Greeks, whether in the legends which have furnished the materials for their magnificent epics, or have been immortalised in the dramas of their great tragedians, or have remained buried in the pages of mythographers like Pausanias or Diodoros. If then all these tales have some historical foundation, they must relate to events which took place before the dispersion of the Aryan tribes from their original home. If the war at Troy took place at all, as the Homeric poets have narrated it, it is, to say the least, strange that precisely the same struggle, for precisely the same reasons, and with the same results, should have been waged in Norway and Germany, in Wales and Persia. The question must be more fully examined presently; but unless we are to adopt the hypothesis of conscious borrowing in its most exaggerated form, the dream of a historical Ilion and a historical Carduel must fade away before the astonishing multitude of legends which comparative mythologists have

[^133][^134]traced to phrases descriptive of physical phenomena. At the least it must be admitted that the evidence seems to point in this direction. To take these stories after any system, and arrange their materials methodically, is almost an impossible task. The expressions or incidents worked into these legends are like the few notes of the scale from which great musicians have created each his own world, or like the few roots of language which denoted at first only the most prominent objects and processes of nature and the merest bodily wants, but out of which has grown the wealth of words which feed the countless streams of human thought. In one story we may find a series of incidents briefly touched, which elsewhere have been expanded into a hundred tales, while the incidents themselves are presented in the countless combinations suggested by an exuberant fancy. The outlines of the tales, when these have been carefully analysed, are simple enough; but they are certainly not outlines which could have been suggested by incidents in the common life of mankind. Maidens do not fall for months or years into deathlike trances, from which the touch of one brave man alone can rouse them. Dragons are not coiled round golden treasures or beautiful women on glistening heaths. Princes do not everywhere abandon their wives as soon as they have married them, to return at length in squalid disguise and smite their foes with invincible weapons. Steeds which speak and which cannot die do not draw the chariots of mortal chiefs, nor do the lives of human kings exhibit everywhere the same incidents in the same sequence. Yet every fresh addition made to our stores of popular tradition does but bring before us new phases of those old forms of which mankind, we may boldly say, will never grow weary. The golden slipper of Cinderella is the slipper of Rhodopis, which an eagle carries off and drops into the lap of the Egyptian king as he sits on his seat of judgement at Memphis. ${ }^{1}$ This slipper reappears in the beautiful Deccan story of Sodewa Bai, and leads of course to the same issue as in the legends of Cinderella and Rhodôpis. The dragon of the Glistening Heath represents the seven-headed cobra of the Hindu story,
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and in the legend of Brave Seventee Bai the beautiful Brynhild becomes his daughter, just as the bright Phoibos is the child of the sombre Leto. In the Greek myth dragons of another kind draw the chariot of Medeia, the child of the sun, or impart mysterious wisdom to Iamos and Melampous, as the cobras do to Muchie LaJ. That the heroes of Greek and Teutonic legends in almost every case are separated from, or abandon, the women whom they have wooed or loved is well known; and the rajahs and princes of these Hindu stories are subjected to the same lot with Herakles and Odysseus, Oidipous and Sigurd, Kephalos and Prokris, Paris and Oinônê. Generally the newly-married prince feels a yearning to see his father and his mother once more, and, like Odysseus, pines until he can set his face homewards. Sometimes he takes his wife, sometimes he goes alone; but in one way or another he is kept away from her for years, and reappears like Odysseus in the squalid garb of a beggar.

Chams or spells in the Odyssey and in Hindu stories.

Curiously enough, in these Hindu stories the detention of the wandering prince or king is caused by one of those charms or spells which Odysseus in his wanderings discreetly avoids. The Lotos-eaters and their magic fruit reappear in the nautch-people or conjurors, whom the rajah who has married Panch Phul Ranee, the Lady of the Five Flowers, asks for rice and fire. The woman whom he addresses immediately brings them. 'But before she gave them to him, she and her companions threw on them a certain powder, containing a very potent charm; and no sooner did the rajah receive them than he forgot about his wife and little child, his journey and all that had cver happened to him in his life before: such was the peculiar property of the powder. And when the conjuror said to him, "Why should you go away? Stay with us and be one of us," he willingly consented." Unless the translator has designedly modified the language of the Deccan tale-teller (and in the absence of any admission to this effect we cannot

[^136]the Gaelic story of the Battle of the Birds neither man nor other ereature is to kiss him, and the mischief is done by his greyhound, who recognises lim as Argos knows Odysseus. Campleell, i. 34.
suppose this), we may fairly quote the words as almost a CHAP. paraphrase from the Odyssey :-

The nautch-woman here has also the character of Kirkê, and the charm represents the фа́риака $\lambda v \gamma \rho a$ which turn the companions of Eurylochos into swine, while Kirkê's wand is wielded by the sorcerers who are compelled to restore to life the victims whom they had turncd into stone, and by the Rakshas from whom Ramchundra, in the story of Truth's Triumph, seeks to learn its uses. 'The rod,' she replies, 'has many supernatural powers; for instance, by simply uttering your wish, and waving it in the air, you can conjure up a mountain, a river, or a forest, in a moment of time.' At length the wanderer is found; but Panch Phul Ranee and Seventee Bai have the insight of Eurykleia, and discern his true majesty beneath the fakeer's garb. ${ }^{2}$ 'The rajah came


#### Abstract

${ }^{1}$ Od. ix. 97. ${ }^{2}$ This garmont of humiliation appears in almost inuumerable legends. In the German story of the Golden Bird the prince puts it on when, on approaching his father's linuse, he is told that his hrothers are plotting his death. In the tale of the Knapsack, the Hat, and tho Horn, the wanderer who comes in with a coat torn to rags has a knapsack from which he can produce any number of men, and a horn (the horn of the Maruts) at whone blast, the strongest walls fill down. Ife thus takes on his enemies a vengeance precisely like that of Odysseus, and for the same reason. In the story of the Golden Goose, Ihummling, the hero who never fails in any exploit, is despised for his wretched appearance. In that of the Gold Child the brilliant hero comes before the king in the guise of a humble bear-hunter. The tale of the King of the Golden Mountain repeats the story of King Putraka, and shows the Gold Child in a shepherd's ragged frock. Elsewhere he is seen as the poor miller's son (the Miller's Son and the Cat), and he becomes a discharged soldier in the story of "The Biots made of Buffalo Leather.' The beggar reappears in the Norse tale of


#### Abstract

Hacon Grizzlebeard, the Thrushbeard of Grimm's collection, whilo Boots, who grovels in the ashes, is the royal youth who rides up the mountain of ice in the story of the Prineess on the Glass IIII. In another, Shortshanks, who by himself destroys all the Trolls opposed to him, is a reflection of Odyssens, not only in his vengeance, but in his bodily form. Odyssens is Shortshanks when compared with Memolion (Iliad iii. 210-11). In the tale of the Best Wish (Dasent), Boots carries with him in the magic tap the horn of Amaltheia, and is soen main as a tattered begrgur in the story of the Widow's Son. In the legend of Big Bird Dan ho is the wandering sailor, who, like Odysscus, is tossed, worn and naked, on the Phaiskian shore; in that of Soria Moria Castle (a tale in which the Sun seeks for the Dawn, the reverso of the Pysche story) he is Malvor who grubs among tho ashos- -the connection with fire and light being never forgotien in these stories, for these ashes are always living embers. The adventure of Halvor is for tho recovery of a Helen, who has been stolen away by a Troll; but no sooner is the llion or stronghold of the robber demolished than, like Odysscus, he begins to feel an irresistible


book towards them so changed that not even his own mother
I. knew him; no one recognised him but his wife. For eighteen years he had been among the nautch-people; his hair was rough, his beard untrimmed, his face thin and worn, sunburnt, and wrinkled, and his dress was a rough common blanket.' Can we possibly help thinking of the wanderer, who in his beggar's dress reveals himself to the swineherd-
or of his disguise, when Athênê
and lastly of his recognition by his old nurse when she saw the wound made by the bite of the boar who slew Adonis? So in the Vengeance of Chandra we see the punishment of the suitors by Odysseus, an incident still further travestied in Grimm's legend of the King of the Golden Mountain. So too as we read of the body of Chundun Rajah, which remained undecayed though he had been dead many months, or of Sodewa Bai, who a month after her death looked as lovely as on the night on which she died, we are reminded of the bodies of Patroklos ${ }^{3}$ and of Hektor ${ }^{4}$ which Aphroditê or Apollôn anointed with ambrosial oil, and guarded day and night from all unseemly things.
The Snake But though the doom of which Achilleus mournfully comLeaves. plained to Thetis lies on all or almost all of these bright beings, they cannot be held in the grasp of the dark power which has laid them low. Briar-Rose and Surya Bai start from their slumbers at the magic touch of the lover's hand, and even when all hope seemed to be lost, wise beasts provide an antidote which will bring back life to the dead. In the story of Panch Phul Ranee these beneficent physicians are jackals, who converse together like the owls of Luxman or
longing to see his father and his home once more.
The story of Shortshanks is told in the Gaelic tale of the Sea-Maiden,

Campbell, i. 101.
1 Od. xvi. 207, xxi. 208.
${ }^{2}$ Inid. xiii. 435 , xvi. 175.
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the crows in the tale of Faithful John. 'Do you see this CHAP. tree?' says the jackal to his wife. 'Well, if its leaves were VIII. crushed, and a little of the juice put into the rajah's two ears and upon his upper lip, and some upon his temples also, and some upon the spear-wound in his side, he would come to life again, and be as well as ever.' These leaves reappear in Grimm's story of the Three Snake Leaves, in which the snakes play the part of the jackals. In this tale a prince is buried alive with his dead wife, and seeing a snake approaching her body, he cuts it in three pieces. Presently another snake, crawling from the corner, saw the other lying dead, and going away soon returned with three green leaves in its mouth; then, laying the parts of the body together, so as to join, it put one leaf on each wound, and the dead snake was alive again. The prince applying the leaves to his wife's body restores her also to life. The following are the words of Apollodoros in relating the story, also told by Allian, of Glaukos and Polyidos:-' When Minos said that he must bring Glaukos to life, Polyidos was shut up with the dead body; and, being sorely perplexed, he saw a dragon approach the corpse. This he killed with a stone, and another dragon came, and, seeing the first one dead, went away, and brought, some grass, which it placed on the body of the other, which immediately rose up. Polyidos, having beheld this with astonishment, put the same grass on the body of Glaukos, and restored him to life.' ${ }^{1}$

These magic leaves become a root in the German story of the Two Brothers, a tale in which a vast number of solarmyths have been rolled together. The two brothers, 'as like one another as two drops of water,' are the Dioskouroi and the Asvins, or the other twin deities which run through so large a portion of the Aryan mythology. They are also the
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I.

Babes in the Wood, although it is their father himself who, at the bidding of his rich brother, thrusts them forth from their home, because a piece of gold falls from the mouth of each every morning. They are saved by a hurtsman, who makes them marksmen as expert as Kastor and Polydeukes. When at length they set out on their adventures, the huntsman gives them a knife, telling them that if, in case of separation, they would stick it into a tree by the wayside, he who came back to it might learn from the brightness or the rusting of the blade whether the other is alive and well. If the tale thus leads us to the innumerable stories which turn on sympathetic trees, gems, and stones, it is not less noteworthy as bringing before us almost all the brute animals, whose names were once used as names of the sun. The two brothers lift their weapons to shoot a hare, which, begging for life, promises to give up two leverets. Thie hare is suffered to go free, and the huntsmen also spare the leverets, which follow them. The same thing happens with a fox, wolf, bear, and lion, and thus the youths journey, attended each by five beasts, until they part, having fixed the knife into the trunk of a tree. The younger, like Perseus, comes to a town where all is grief and sorrow because the king's daughter is to be given up on the morrow to be devoured by a dragon on the summit of the dragon's mountain. Like Theseus and Sigurd, the young man becomes possessed of a sword buried beneath a great stone, and, like Perseus, he delivers the maiden by slaying the dragon. Then on the mountain-top the youth rests with the princess, having charged his beasts to keep watch, lest any one should surprise them. But the victory of the sun is followed by the sleep of winter, and the lion, overcome with drowsiness, hands over his charge to the bear, the bear to the wolf, the wolf to the fox, the fox to the hare, until all are still. The Marshal of the kingdom, who here plays the part of Paris, now ascends the mountain, and, cutting off the young man's head, leads away the princess, whom, as the dragon-slayer, he claims as his bride. At length the sleep of the lion is broken by the sting of a bee, and the beast rousing the bear asks the reason of his failing to keep watch. The clarge is passed from one beast to the
other, until the hare, unable to utter a word in its defence, begs for mercy, as knowing where to find a root which, like the snake leaves, shall restore their master to life. A year has passed away, and the young man, again approaching the town. where the princess lived, finds it full of merriment, because she is going on the morrow to be married to the Marshal. But the time of his humiliation is now past. The huntsman in his humble hostel declares to the landlord that he will this day eat of the king's bread, meat, vegetables, and sweetmeats, and drink of his finest wine. These are severally brought to him by the five beasts, and the princess, thus learning that her lover is not dead, advises the king to send for the master of these animals. The youth refuses to come unless the ling sends for him a royal equipage, and then, arrayed in royal robes, he goes to the palace, where he convicts the Marshal of his treachery by exhibiting the dragon's tongues which he had cut off and preserved in a handkerchief bestowed on him by the princess, and by showing the necklace, of which she had given a portion to each of his beasts, and which is, in fact, the necklace of Freya and the Kestos or cestus of Aphrodite. But the tale is not told out yet, and it enters on another cycle of the sun's career. The youth is no. sooner married to the princess than, like Odysseus or Sigurd, he is separated from her. Following a white doe into a forest, he is there deceived by a witch, at whose bidding he touches his beasts with a twig, and turns them into stones, and is then changed into a stone himself. Just at this time the younger brother returns to the place where the knife, now partially covered with rust, remained fixed in the tree. He becomes, of course, as in the myth of Baldur, the avenger of his brother, and the witch undergoes the doom of Punchkin or of the Giant who had no heart in his body; but when he tells the younger brother that even his wife had taken him to be her husband, and admitted him into her chamber, the latter cuts off the elder's head. The magic root is again brought into use, and he learns how faithful his brother had been when his wife asks him why, on the two previous nights, he had placed a sword in the bed between them. The story thus, in.

Myths of the Night, the Moon, and the Stars.

## BOOK <br> 1. <br> its last incident, runs into the tales of Sigurd and the Arabian Allah-rxd-deen. ${ }^{1}$

If we sought to prove the absolute identity of the great mass of Hindu, Greek, Norse, and German legends, we surely need go no further. Yet there are other points of likeness, at least as striking as any that have been already noticed, between the stories which in the East and West alike relate to the phenomena of night. In the Hindu tale the disguised wife of Logedas Rajah finds Tara Bai on a gold and ivory throne. 'She was tall and of a commanding aspect. Her black hair was bound by long strings of pearls, her dress was of fine-spun gold, and round her waist was clasped a zone of restless, throbbing, light-giving diamonds. Her neck and her arms were covered with a profusion of costly jewels, but brighter than all shone her bright eyes, which looked full of gentle majesty.' But Tara Bai is the star (boy) child, or maiden, the Asteropaios of the Miad, of whom the Greek myth said only that he was the tallest of all their men, and that he was slain after fierce fight by Achilleus, whom he had wounded. ${ }^{2}$ Elsewhere she reappears as Polydeukes, the glittering twin brother of Kastor, and more particularly as the fairy Melusina, who is married to Raymond of Toulouse. This beautiful being, who has a fish's tail, as representing the moon which rises and sets in the sea, vanishes away when her full form is seen by her husband. ${ }^{3}$ In another phase she is Kalypsô, the beautiful night which veils the sun from mortal eyes in her chamber flashing with a thousand stars, and lulls to sleep the man of many griefs and wanderings. ${ }^{4}$ Lastly, she is St. Ursula, with her eleven thousand virgins (the myriad stars), whom Cardinal Wiseman, in a spirit worthy of Herodotos, transforms into a company, or

[^139][^140]rather two companies, of English ladies, martyred by the Huns at Cologne, but whose mythical home is on Horselberg, where the faithful Eckhart is doomed to keep his weary watch. Labouring on in his painful rationalism, Cardinal Wiseman tells us of one form of the legend which mentions a marriage-contract, made with the father of St. Ursula, a very powerful king, how it was arranged that she should have eleven companions, and each of these a thousand followers.' ${ }^{1}$ There are thus twelve, in addition to the eleven thousand attendants, and these twelve reappear in the Hindu tales, sometimes in dark, sometimes in lustrous forms, as the twelve hours of the day or night, or the twelve moons of the lunar year. Thus in the story of Trutl's Triumph a rajah has twelve wives, but no children. At length he marries Guzra Bai, the flower girl, who bears him a hundred sons and one daughter; and the sequel of the tale relates the result of their jealousy against these children and their mother. Their treacherous dealing is at last exposed, and they suffer the fate of all like personages in the German and Norse tales.

There is, in fact, no end to the many phases assumed by the struggle of these fairy beings, which is the warfare between light and darkness. But the bright beings always conquer in the end, and return like Persephone from the abode of Hades to gladden the heart of the Mater Dolorosa. ${ }^{2}$ The child in the Deccan stories appears not only as Guzra Bai, but as Panch Phul Ranee, as Surya Bai, as the wife of Muchie Lal, the fish or frog-sun. ${ }^{3}$ All these women are the

[^141][^142]The batitlo of light and darknems.
daughters of a gardener or a milkwoman, in whom we see the image of Dêmêtêr, the bountiful earth, who lavishes on her children her treasures of fruits, milk, and flowers. In her hand she holds her mystic cup, into which falls the ripe mango, which is her child transformed, as the ripe fruit falls on the earth. This cup, again, is the horn of Amaltheia, the table of the Ethiopians, of which Herodotos speaks as laden continually with all good things, the cup into which Helios sinks each night when his course is run, the modios of Serapis, the ivory ewer containing the book of Solomon's occult knowledge, which Rehoboam placed in his father's tomb, the magic oil-bowl or lamp of Allah-ud-deen, and finally the San-Greal which furnishes to the knights of Arthur's round table as splendid a banquet as their hearts can desire.

It is scarcely necessary to go further. If we do, we shall only be confronted by the same astonishing parallelism which is exhibited by the several versions of the stories already cited. The hypothesis of conscious borrowing is either superfluous or dangerous. It is unnecessary, if adduced to
the legend of Melusina, who also dies if seen in the water. The sun and moon must alike sink when they reach the western sea. 'This story,' says Professor Max Müller, 'was known at the time when Kapila wrote his philosophical aphorisms in India, for it is there quoted as an illustration. But long before Kapila, the story of Bhekî must hare grown up gradually, beginning with a short saying about the sun--such as that Bhekî, the sun, will die at the sight of water, as we should say that the sun will set when it approaches the water from which it rose in the morning.'Chups from a German Workshop, ii. 248. In the Teutonic version, the change of the sun into the form of a frog is the result of enchantment; but the story of the Frog Prince has more than one point of interest. The frog is compelled to jump into tho fountain, out of which only the youngest daughter of the king has the power of drawing him. These daughters again are the companions of Ursula; the daughters of the rajah who are jealous of their youngest sister; the hours of the night, sombre in their beauty, and envious of the youngost and
the fairest of all the hours, the hour of the dawn, which alone can bring the frog prince out of the pond. In the German story the enchantment can be ended only by the death of the frog; but this answers to the burning of the enchanted rajah's jackal skin in the Hindu tale. The sun leaping fully armed into the heaven as Chrysâor might well be another being from the infant whom the nymphs swathe with golden bands in his gleaming cradlo. The warrior comes to life on the death of the child, and the frog on being dashed against the wall becomes a beautiful prince. Of course he takes away his bride, 'early in the morning as soon as the sun rose, in a carriage drawn by cight white horses with ostrich feathers on their heads, and golden bridles,' the Harits who draw the car of Indra, the glistening steeds of Helios, the undying horses who are yoked to tho chariot of Achilleus. But with Achilleus comes Patroklos; and as Luxman attends on Rama, so 'Trusty Henry' who comes with the carriage of the Frog Prince, ropresents the Faithful Juhn of the Teutonic legend. (See note ${ }^{1}$, p. 149.)
explain the distant or vague resemblances in one story, while they who so apply it admit that it cannot account for the far more striking points of likeness seen in many others. It is dangerous because it may lead us to infer an amount of intercourse between the separated Aryan tribes for which we shall vainly seek any actual evidence. It is inadequate, because in a vast number of instances the point to be explained is not a similarity of ideas, but a substantial identity in the method of working them out, extending to the most unexpected devices and the subtlest turns of thonght and expression. That the great mass of popular tradition has been thus imported from the East into the West, or from the West into the East, has never been maintained; and any such theory would rest on the assumption that the folklore of a country may be created by a few scholars sitting over their books, and deliberately determining the form in which their stories shall be presented to the people. It would be safer to affirm, and easier to prove, that no popular stories have thus found their way from learned men to the common people. The ear of the people has in all ages been dead to the charming of the scholars, charm they never so wisely. Bookmen may, if they please, take up and adapt the stories of the people; but the legend of ' the Carter, the Dog, and the Sparrow' would never have found its way into the nurseries of German peasants if written by Grimm himself in imitation of some other Aryan tale. The importation of one or two stories by means of written books is therefore a matter of very slight moment, so long as it is admitted that legends, displaying the most astonishing parallelism in the most distant countries of Europe and Asia, cannot be traced to any intercourse of the tribes subsequent to their dispersion from a common home. We thus have before us a vast mass of myths, fables, legends, stories, or by whatever name they are to be called, some in a form not muich advanced beyond the proverbial saying which was their kernel, others existing apparently only as nursery tales, others containing the germs of the great epics of the Eastern and the Western world. All these may be placed together in one class, as springing from phrases which at first denoted
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and Surya Bai, are the story also of Achilleus and Oidipous, of Perseus and Theseus, of Helen and Odysseus, of Baldur and Rustem and Sigurd. Everywhere there is the search for the bright maiden who has been stolen away, everywhere

CHap VIII.
$\qquad$ Aryan popular traditions, the long struggle to recover her. The war of Ilion has been fought out in every Aryan land. Either, then, the historical facts which lie at the root of the narrative of the Tliad tock place before the dispersion of the Aryan tribes from their common home, or they are facts which belong to the beautiful cloudland, where the misty Hion ' rises into towers' at early dawn. In either case the attempts recently made to exhibit the war in the plains of Troy to the south of the ITellespont as an historical reality are rendered plausible only by ignoring the real point at issue.

## CHAPTER IX.

## MODERN EUEMERISM.

The Whatever may have been the sins of Euêmeros against
Method of
Euêmeros. truth and honesty, his method aimed simply at the extraction of historical facts from the legends of his country by stripping them altogether of their supernatural character, and rejecting: all the impossible or improbable incidents related in them. Making no pretence of access to documents more trustworthy than the sources from which the poets had drawn their inspiration, he claimed to be regarded as a historian, merely because, after depriving him of all divine powers, he left Zeus a mortal man, who, for benefits done to his fellows, was worshipped as a god. ${ }^{1}$
Its results.
Although in more recent times this system has been eagerly adopted and obstinately maintained, Euêmeros was not popular among his countrymen. To them the process which reduced the gods to the level of mankind seemed to resolve itself into mere atheism. Still, except as applying his method to the stories of the gods as well as to the legends

[^143][^144]of the epical heroes, he gave no cause of offence which had not already been given by Herodotos and Thucydides. To the historian of the Persian war the'legends of Io and Eurôpê, of Medeia and Helen, were valuable simply as. supplying links in the chain of human causes which led to that great struggle. For this purpose he either availed himself of the least improbable versions of these myths current in his own day, or he placed the myths, full as they were of dragons and speaking heifers, into the crucible of probabilities, and was rewarded with a residuum of plausible fiction which would have gladdened the heart of De Foe. This method, as applied by Thucydides to the story of the Trojan war, produces results which make it difficult to believe that his knowledge of that strife was obtained only from the poems which told of the wrongs and woes of Helen. Yet so it is. Although in these poems their career was inwoven into the whole fabric of the narrative, Helen is gone, and Paris and Achilleus; Hektôr and Sarpêdôn have vanished. with Memnôn and Athênê and Aphroditê ; and there remains only a chieftain who undertakes the expedition not at all to rescue a woman who may never have existed, and a war which lasted ten years, not because Zeus so willed it, ${ }^{1}$ but because want of men made it necessary that part of the forces should betake themselves to tilling the ground and raising crops on the Thrakian Chersonesos, while the rest carried on the siege. ${ }^{2}$

That such a method should find favour at the present day with writers who have made themselves in any degree acquainted with the results of comparative grammar is indeed astonishing. Argymnis and Phoroneus, Brisêis and Achilleus, Paris and Helen, names of persons in Hellenic legend, are in the earliest songs of the Aryan family found still in their original application as names of the morning, of the sun, or of darkness; and as it is with these, so is it also with Kerberos and the Charites, with Orthros, with Varma, and Zeus himself. That these names and these tales could have overrun the world from chance, or that the incidents which they relate could have a distinct historical foundation in a series

[^145]BOOK of incidents occurring in the same sequence and with the same results in every Aryan land, are positions which few would now venture to maintain; yet such were the theories which attempted, with some show of reason, to account for their origin and diffusion before the sciences of comparative grammar and mythology came into being. There can scarcely be a greater extravagance of credulity than that which frames an infinite series of the most astounding miracles in the vain effort to solve mysteries which must all be opened by one and the same key, or by none. No absurdity needs to startle us if we are ready to believe that four or five independent writers could describe a series of events in exactly the same words; ${ }^{1}$ it is, if possible, even more absurd to suppose that tribes, savage and civilised, many of them utterly unknown to each other, should hit upon the same stories, should disfigure them by the same indecencies, should atone for these blots by the same images of touching pathos and grace and beauty. Yet some such demand is made on our powers of belief by a writer who holds that 'they who literally accept Scripture cannot afford to ridicule mythology,' and who, looking about for traces of an historical character in Greek mythical tradition, concludes that 'there are the fairest reasons for supposing that Hercules was not an allegorical hero, typical of ideal prowess, enduxance, and physical strength; but a real man, who, living in very remote times, and in some part of the world where the land was infested with savage beasts and perhaps the sea with pirates, earned the gratitude of a defenceless people by clearing earth and sea of monsters, as a remarkably uniform tradition ascribes to him. The Cyclopes were probably a race of pastoral and metal-working people from the East, characterised by their rounder faces, whence

[^146]his own original observation, an English jury would sooner beliove the whole party perjured than persuade themselves that so extraordinary a coincidence could have occurred.'-Short Stuclies on Great Subjects, i. 246.

It is enough to say that the application of any such hypothesis of independont origination to the mythology of the Aryan nations involves difficulties, if possible, still more stupendous.
arose the story of their one eye.' In the myth of Atlas, the same writer thinks it 'impossible to doubt that we have a

CHAP.' IX. tradition of the Garden of Eden.' If it be said that these traditions are common to many nations, he is ready with the reply that the real Herakles or the real Theseus lived very long ago, and that the other nations got these, as they got most of their mythical heroes, from the Etruscans. We find 'Adrastus, Tydeus, Odysseus, Meleagros, Polydeuces, written Atresthe, Tute, Utwye, Melacre, Pultuke; and similarly Agamemnon, Thetis, Perseus, Polynices, Telephis, represented by Achmien, Thethis, Pharse, Phulnike, Thelaphe. So Apollo is Apulu, Hercules is Ercule, Alexander is Elchentre.' It might as well be said that English names are French in their origin because London and Dover are written Londres and Dourres, and Sir Humphry Davy has been designated 'Sromfredévé.' It can scarcely be maintained with seriousness that that which is only in part obscure, and elsewhere is wonderfully luminous, can be illustrated by what is utterly dark. These names in their Etruscan dress have absolutely no meaning; in their Greek form most of them are transparent. But when Achilleus is found in Greek and Aharyu in Sanskrit tradition, when Brisêis reappears as the child of Brisaya, Helen as Saramâ, Ouranos as Varuṇa, Orthros as Vritra, and when the meaning of these names is perfectly plain, we are forced to the conclusion that no explanation can be received which does not apply to Greek, Sanskrit, and Teutonic names alike. It would be more reasonable, failing this, to fall back upon the ingenious theory by which Lord Bacon, in his 'Wisdom of the Ancients,' converted the whole cycle of Greek legend into wholesome advice for princes, cabinet ministers, and heads of families. ${ }^{1}$

[^147]than Mr. Paley. If ho has examined the question since the time when his article appoared in the Home and Foreign Teview, ho will probably have soen, with Professor Max Müller (Lectures on the Science of Language, socond sories, ix.), that we cannot accept any etymology for a Greek name which is not equally applicable to the corresponding terms in Sanskrit and Latin.

Book But the science which traces both the names of Greek I. mythical heroes and the incidents in their career to the

The
science of language in its bearing on history. earlier forms in which their original signification becomes apparent, completely strips of all historical character the localised wars of Troy or Thebes, and the traditions which speak of Kolchis as the scene of the exploits of Iasôn. It is possible that there may have been a war undertaken to avenge the wrongs of an earthly Helen; that this war lasted ten years, and that ten years more were spent by the chiefs in their return homewards; that the chief incident in this war was the quarrel of the greatest of all the heroes with a meanspirited king, a quarrel in which a truce of gloomy inaction is followed by the magnificent victory and early death of the hero. But if such a war took place, it must be carried back to a time preceding the dispersion of the Aryan tribes from their original home, and its scene can be placed neither in the land of the Five Streams, nor on the plains of the Asiatic Troy, not in Germany or Norway or Wales. The comparison of the Aryan languages sufficiently establishes these conclusions; but the denial of any historical character to the general narrative of the Trojan war, as given whether by the Attic tragedians or by our so-called Homeric poems (be these
 makes it a matter of justice to examine patiently and impartially the arguments and alleged facts adduced by those who still maintain the positions of Euĉmeros with regard to the story told in all its supernatural detail in the Iliad, and pared down to the plausible prosiness of Robinson Crusoe by Thucydides.

The Wolfian Theory.

At the outset it may be safely affirmed that undue stress has been laid on the Wolfian theory respecting the origin and structure of the Iliad as affecting the attitude of historical critics at the present day towards the momentous topic of Homeric credibility. There is really no ground for the notion that doubts as to the historical credibility of the poems to which we give the name of Homer can be entertained only by those who accept the position of Wolf and his followers. The Wolfian theory, to speak briefly, maintains that the Iliad is made up of a number of songs which existed at first
as detached poems, and which were handed down from generation to generation by a school of rhapsodists or professional minstrels. It was not, therefore, the work of any one man, and possibly not even of any one age. This conclusion is grounded partly on the absence of writing at and long after the time when these poems first came into existence, and in part on the incoherence and contradictions which an examination of the poems brings prominently into view. It follows that there was no one author of the Iliad, or in other words, that Homer is a name, not a person. This hypothesis has found its extreme expression in the 'Klein-lieder Theorie' of Köchly.

But if this notion were exploded utterly, ${ }^{1}$ the real question at issue would probably be in no degree affected. Thus, although Mr. Grote may have affirmed that 'Homer is no individual man, but the divine or heroic father of the gentle Homerids, ${ }^{2}$ he nowhere argues from this statement as a premiss, while he is careful to add that the Odyssey is indubitably one poem written by one man, and that the lliad in its present form, although it contains an Ilias and an Achillêis combined, is probably the work of one and the same poet, who pieced together two compositions which he had wrought out for two different purposes. If we further take his conclusion, that the Odyssey in all likelihood was not composed by the author of the Iliad, even then we have only two, or at the utmost only three poets, to whom we are indebted for the great Greek epics which have been handed down to us. Whether these conclusions are hasty or extravagant, whether

[^148][^149]CHAP. IX.

Tho real question at issuo.

Book they run counter to the evidence of facts or are opposed to. common sense, it is clear that the poems are not invested with more of a historical character because we hold that they are the work of three or two authors, or of one. Such a result is impossible, unless we can prove that the poet (or poets) lived in or near to the time of which the history is professedly narrated, and if his (or their) statements are borne out by other contemporary writers. If the story which the poet relates had come down from a period remote even in his day-if its general character, both in the causes and the sequence of incidents, exhibits a close resemblance to the traditions of distant countries with which the Hellenic tribes could not possibly have had any intercourse-if the very names of the actors and the deeds attributed to them are. found in the legends of other lands or other ages-we are obviously just where we were before, so far as the attainment of historical fact is concerned, even when we have succeeded in proving that there was only one Homer, and that he was born at Smyrna. Whether we believe in twenty Homers or in one is, in one sense, a matter of supreme indifference in comparison with the inquiry which is to determine whether the events recorded in the poems are to be considered historical.

Residuum of historical fact in the Iliad.

On such a subject as this all reference to consequences is out of place, and of itself suffices to show that we are not actuated wholly and solely by a disinterested and unswerving. resolution to reach, so far as may be in our power, the truth of facts. The question must be treated altogether as one or evidence only, and no pain which we may feel at the possible necessity of parting with old associations should have the slightest weight with us. Even if we had to abandon a rich inheritance of poetical beauty, the sacrifice ought to be cheerfully made. The fear that any such sacrifice will be demanded of us is idle and groundless; but for those who deny the historical credibility of the Iliad or Odyssey it is necessary to know how much of real history their opponents suppose these poems to contain. Happily, this question is answered with most satisfactory clearness by the latest and the most strenuous of the champions of the traditional theory.

In the belief of Mr . Blackie, the residuum of fact is, it seems, this: 'That there was a kingdom of Priam, wealthy and powerful, on the coast of the Dardanelles; that there was a great naval expedition undertaken against this Asiatic dynasty by the combined forces of the European Greeks and some of the Asiatic islanders, under the leadership of Agamemnon, king of Mycenæ; that there was a real Achilles, chief of a warlike clan in the Thessalian Phthiotis, and a real quarrel betwixt him and the general-in-chief of the Hellenic armament; that this quarrel brought about the most disastrous results to the Greek host, in the first place, and had nearly caused the failure of the expedition; but that afterwards, a reconciliation having been effected, a series of brilliant achievements followed, which issued soon after in the capture of the great Asiatic capital.' ${ }^{1}$

If this outline of Homeric history were placed before one who had never heard of Homer, and if he were further told that the outline is the picture, what would be his reply? Must he not say, ' You do not ask me to believe much, and indeed you have only sketched out some incidents of not uncommon. occurrence; I suppose, however, that you have obtained them from some narrative which gives no ground for calling its trustworthiness into question, and which is corroborated by the testimony of competent witnesses. In other words, you have doubtless gained this knowledge precisely in the same way as I have learnt that some eight hundred years ago there was a great struggle which ended in the death of the English king at Hastings, and the forced election of William the Norman in his place?

The admission that he must look for nothing of the kind, and that the process by which these historical results are obtained is of a wholly different nature, would probably cause him some perplexity, and might possibly waken in him a vague feeling of distrust. If he were possessed of the critical faculty, and still more, if he had any acquaintance with the applications of the laws of evidence to alleged facts

[^150][^151]BOOK I.
of the present day, he would naturally begin to examine with some care the statements brought before him, and the grounds on which they rest. The examination would be followed by unfeigned astonishment, for he would find himself asked to believe in political struggles between conflicting empires on the authority of a narrative in which from first to last there is not a semblance of political motive, and where, instead of a chain of causation like that which obtains in ordinary life, there is throughout a thaumaturgic plot in which gods and men are inextricably mingled together. He is introduced to a struggle which lasts ten years, because so it had been ordained of Zeus according to the sign of the snake and the sparrows, and which is brought about and turns solely on the theft of the Spartan Helen by Paris, once or otherwise called Alexandros. Apart from this, there is absolutely no motive for the war, nor without it is there anything left of the story. It is of the very essence of the narrative that Paris, who has deserted Oinônê, the child of the stream Kebrên, and before whom Hêrê, Athênê, and Aphroditê had appeared as claimants for the golden apple, steals from Sparta the beautiful sister of the Dioskouroi; that the chiefs are summoned together for no other purpose than to avenge her woes and wrongs ; that Achilleus, the son of the sea-nymph Thetis, the wielder of invincible weapons and the lord of undying: horses, goes to fight in a quarrel which is not his own ; that his wrath is roused because he is robbed of the mailen Brisêis, and that thenceforth he takes no part in the strife until his friend Patroklos has been slain; that then he puts on the new armour which Thetis brings to him from the anvil of Hephaistos, and goes forth to win the victory. The details are throughout of the same nature; Achilleus sees and converses with Athênê ; Aphroditê is wounded by Diomêdês, and Sleep and Death bear away the lifeless Sarpêdôn on their noiseless wings to the far-off land of light. ${ }^{1}$
Taws of evidence.

By what standard, then, or by what tests is this story to 1 : The contents of the two great
poems of Homer are . . of an entirely
mythic character. They treat divers
series of legends which stand in close
uninterrupted concatenation, and only
here and there take notice of vthers lying apart from this connection: these series are, moreover, so handled as to form each a rounded-off and completo whole.'-K. O. Müllor, Introduction to a Scientific Mytholoyy, p. 24.
be judged, and how are we to measure its historical value? Mr. Blackie pauses in some vehement denunciations of modern sceptical tendencies, to refer us to Sir Cornewall Lewis's volumes on the Credibility of Early Roman History, 'a work distinguished by all that comprehensiveness of plan, massive architecture, and substantial workmanship, so characteristic of its author.' The reader who is unacquainted with the book might well suppose, from the absence of all other reference to it, that on the whole it bears out Mr . Blackie's method of dealing with the Homeric poems. He would again be perplexed at finding there a merciless demolition of all his theories and all his conclusions. But at the least he would be under no doubt as to Sir Cornewall Lewis's meaning, and he would find principles laid down which claim to be of universal application, and which must be false if exceptions are to be admitted. 'It seems to be often believed,' says Sir G. C. Lewis, 'and at all events it is perpetually assumed in practice, that historical evidence is different in its nature from other sorts of evidence. Until this error is effectually extirpated, all historical researches must lead to uncertain results. Historical evidence, like judicial evidence, is founded on the testimony of credible witnesses. Unless, therefore, a historical account can be traced by probable proof to the testimony of contemporaries, the first condition of historical credibility fails.'

How then would a British jury deal with a charge brought against the chief of one Scottish clan of murdering the chief of another clan, in feuds which, if now unknown, were familiar enough not many generations ago? What if the witnesses came forward to say that even before his birth the slain chief had been marked as the future destroyer of his kinsfolk; that deserting his own wife, he had requited the hospitality of the accused by carrying off his young bride; that thence had sprung a feud between their clans, which the seanagals or soothsayers had said should last for ten years; that before the final conflict, in which the aggressor was slain, strange sights were seen in the heavens, and strange sounds were heard in the air; that in the battle itself the progenitors of the clans had been seen fighting

Their application in English courts of justice.

Application to Homeric history.
with the warriors of flesh and blood, and that by the death of the betrayer, according to the ancient tokens, the wrong had been at length atoned? According to the theory which finds a real historical residuum in the Iliad, the verdict ought to be one of guilty; for, although certain parts, nay, indeed all parts, of the story were in one sense incredible, yet 'the materials, so far as they assume the human and narrative form, are in their root and scope historical materials; ${ }^{1}$ and therefore as there must be some foundation for the tale, it may be fairly concluded that the one chief had killed the other, although there was strong reason for thinking that the cause and duration of the quarrel were not at all what they had been represented to be. This, however, could make no difference, for so long as the existence of a feud had been shown, it really mattered very little how it had been brought about, or whether either chief had a wife at all.

This process, which we laugh at as midsummer madness when applied to recent incidents, becomes, it seems, not only legitimate but indispensable, when we have to deal with legends which have flowed down the sea of tradition through centuries or even millenniums. No injustice is done to Mr. Blackie in thus putting the matter." It is his own
${ }^{1}$ Blackie, Homer and the Iliad.
${ }^{2}$ It can scarcely be necessary to say that the arguments of the Edinburgh Professor of Greek are hero cited, only because they are the most recent, and probably the most able, exposition of the traditional theories.

The Euemeristic mothod, for so it may be most conveniently tormed, has been applied to the unwieldy Hindu epic, the Mahâbhârata, by Professor Lassen and Mr. Wheeler. The results obtained are sufficiently contradictory. The poen, or collection of poems, is as full of supernatural or impossible incidents as the Iliad or the Odyssiy, or any other Aryan epic; but the main story turns on a strugglo between the Kauravas and the Pândavas, as fierco and protracted as the warfare between the Trojans and Achaians, while the return of the Pandavas to the inheritance of which they had been deprived presents in many of its incidents a tolerably close
parallol to the return of the Frerakleids. Many of the marvels in the poem are met with also in Greek and Toutonic tradition. Arjuna, the child of the sum, is wedded to a serpent princess, as in the story of Horakles and Echidna, or of Taymond of Toulouse and Molusina. To get at the caput mortuum of history supposed to be contained in tho poem, all these wonders are of course to be rejected. Thus far both crities are agreed: but for all practical purposes here the agreement ends. Professor Lassen looks on the chief actors in the drama not as real persous, bat only as symbolical representations of conditions and events in the early history of India. Thus the polyandric marriage of Draupadi would point to the five tribes of the poople of Panchâlia, and the whole poem would exhibit the subjugation of the aboriginal inhabitants by the Aryan invaders. Accepting this view of the purport of the poem, Mr. Wheeler, in
assertion that ' whether the treacherous abduction of the fair Helen was the real cause of the Trojan War or not, is a matter of the smallest moment. That there were such abductions in those times, and in those parts of the world, is only too certain.' It might well be thought that the writer of these words had before him some irrefragable evidence of this fact; but the reader who is here referred 'particularly (to) what Herodotus says in the well-known Introduction to his History,' is doomed to a fresh surprise. If he is approaching the subject for the first time, he will read a perfectly probable, although somewhat dull, story of a young' lady of Argos who went down to buy wares from a Phenician merchant-ship, and either with or against her own will was carried off by the captain. The refusal of the Phenicians to make reparation leads to retaliation, and the Argive chiefs steal away Eurôpê, the daughter of the Tyrian king. Thus far the game was equal, for neither side would make amends; but some time afterwards the quarrel was renewed by the Greeks who took Medeia from Kolchis, and thus led to the seduction of Helen by Paris. Thus was brought about the expedition of Troy, in requital of which Xerxes invaded Europe, leaving it to Alexander the Great to clear off old scores at Issos and Arbela. On turning to what are called the original authorities for these events, the much-suffering reader would find that the young Argive lady was one of the many loves of Zeus, who changed her into a heifer; that in this form, chased by the gad-fly of Hêre, she wandered over mountains and deserts, until she came to the desolate crags where Prometheus was paying the penalty for his love of man; that the Phenician maiden is the sister of Kadmos the dragon-slayer, and is borne on the back of the white bull across the western waters; that the daughter of the Kolchian
his History of India, looks on the five husbands of Draupalî as contemporary princes, and regards her polyandric marriage as a historical incident in the lives of these five men. It is obvious that both these conclusions cannot bo accepted, and as no valid reasons can ke given for preferring either, we are bound to reject both. It is enough to
say that the gleanings of Professor Lassen and Mr. Wheeler aro no more the story of the Mahabharata than the Trojan war of tho Euemerists is the Trojan war of our Miad and Odyssey, or of the great lyric and tragic poets of Grece. See the Westminster Review, April, 1868, p. 406, \&c.; Max Müller, Sanskrit Literature, p. 46, \&c.

CHAP.
IX.

BOOK
king is the wise woman, who enables lasôn to overcome the fire-breathing bulls after destroying the offspring of the dragon's teeth, who is carried through the air in her dragon chariot, and who possesses the death-dealing robe of Helios. If on being thus brought into the regions of cloudland, the reader asks whether Herodotos had not before him some evidence different in kind from that which has come down to us, or from that which is given in his Introduction, the answer is that he obtained his very prosaic and likely story from precisely those legends and those legends only, with which we are scarcely less familiar than he was.

The result then is briefly this, that Mr. Blackic has before him a singularly circumstantial and complicated narrative, in which the motives of the actors and their exploits are detailed with the most minute care, and in which no dism tinction whatever is drawn between one kind of causation and another. This narrative he reduces to the merest capnt mortuum. The causes of the war, the general character of the struggle, the plans and objects of the combatants, all vanish. Nothing remains but the bare fact that there was a quarrel of some kind or other; and the conclusion forced upon us is' this,-that in all traditional narratives which involve thaumaturgic action, or which exhibit a causation different from that which we see at work in the world around us, the historical residuum, according to Mr . Blackie, must be sought by rejecting all motives and incidents which transcend the course of ordinary experience. All sach things are the mere husk or shell, of no consequence whatever, as long as we admit the naked fact which is supposed to lie beneath. This is, in truth, to lay down a canon in comparison with which the Wolfian hypothesis becomes weak and almost pointless; and they who commit themselves to this position must take the consequences which cannot fail to follow the application of these principles to all records whatsoever.
I)ifficulties inrolved in the traditional view.

At once, then, two questions may be asked: (1) Why, if we are thus to pick and choose, should we accept precisely the fure which Mr. Blackie puts before us, neither more nor less? (2) Why should we affirm the historical reality of the
residuum, merely because we decline formally to deny its chat . existence? If the story of Jack the Giant-Killer be clipped and pared as the traditionalists have pared down the 'tale of Troy divine,' the beanstalk-ladder to heaven, the giant, and the giant's wife, all go into thin air together, and there remains only some valiant John who overcomes and punishes some tyrant or oppressor. Giants do not exist, and bean-stalk-ladders to the moon conflict with the theory of gravitation. Yet it is not easy to see why out of such wealth of materials we should retain so little, or why, in the latter case, we should not say boldly and candidly that we do not believe any part of the story. This was the straightforward and manly course adopted by the poet when he said emphatically,
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That whole nations should fight year after year for the sake of one woman, and that the Trojaus should allow their city to be beleaguered when her surrender would have set everything straight at once, was to Herodotos simply incredible, and therefore he caught eagerly at the version which said that. Helen, instead of being at Troy, was detained at the court of Proteus, King of Egypt. ${ }^{1}$ The same disbelief of the Homeric legend led Thucydides quietly to ignore Helen, to substitute a political for a personal motive in the case of Agamemnon, and to account for the length of the war by the alleged fact that from lack of numbers the Achaians were driven to divide their forces, some betaking themselves to agriculture in the Chersonesos, and others to piracy, while the rost maintained the siege of Ilion. All that can be said on this point is, that the scepticism of Thucydides is far less than that of the modern Euemerists. 'If the great historian,' says Mr. Grote, 'could permit himself thus to amend the legend in so many points, we might have ima-

[^152]Lewis remarks that ' much of what is called Egyptian history has evidently, been horrowed from Greek mythnlogy, -Astronomy of the Ancients, ch. vi. sect. viii.

Book gined that a simpler course would have been to include the duration of the siege among the list of poetical exaggerations, and to affirm that the real siege had lasted only one year instead of ten. But it seems that the ten years' duration was so capital a feature in the ancient tale, that no critic ventured to meddle with it.' ${ }^{1}$ If with Mr. Blackie we set aside even the abduction of Helen as a matter of not the slightest importance, we may very reasonably set aside the period assigned to the war ; but having gone so far, why should we not at once adopt the version of Dion Chrysostom, which gives an account of the war diametrically opposed to that of the Mliad, representing Paris as the lawful husband of Helen, and Achilleus as slain by Hektôr, while the Greeks retire, disgraced and baffled, without taking Troy? It is hard to see why the residuum of modern Euemerists should be preferred to that of a Greek writer certainly much nearer to the time when the events took place, if they ever took place at all. The ruins of Mykênai and Tiryns, even if they attest the fidelity of Fiomeric epithets, and the existence of an ancient and powerful state in the Peloponnesos, ${ }^{2}$ cannot of themselves prove that the lings or chiefs of those cities were successful in all their expeditions, and

[^153]of Mr. Clark, who, in his Peloponnesus, p. 206, asserts that ' Sometimes the story and the language aro in strict, accordance with the observed facts of goography and topography; sometimes in striking contradiction.' Mr. Clark adds the explanation of this fact. ' Each city has its own heroes and legends, and its own bards to colebrate them. A multitude of smaller epies have been ahsorbed in tho Iliad and tho Odyssicy, and the opithets attached imalienably to this city and that, are among the rolies of those porished songs: and the audionco roquired no more.' This is as far alvanced as the secpticism of Kiohly, while the reviewer's conclusion (that the author of the Ilial was well arquainted with the regrion reund Iroy and with parts of the Egean coast, and that the author of the Odiyssey was personally familiar with the western side) implicitly denies the common authorship of the two pooms which seems to bo conceded by Mr. Grote.
therefore that they did not fail at Ilion. The reality of chap. the struggle is unaffected by the victory or the defeat of IX. Agamemnon. If it be urged that the West ultimately achieved a supremacy, it does not follow that the fall of llion was the beginning, any more than that it was the consummation of their triumphs.

But not only does Mr. Blackie (and here it must be repeated that his arguments are cited only as the latest and perhaps the most able defence of the conservative theory) misrepresent or keep out of sight the real position. taken upon such subjects by the most rigorous historical critics in this country: he also uses ambiguous words in defining his own conclusions, or substitutes in later passages expressions which alter or take away the force of statements previously made. In one page we are told that the Homeric narrative sets forth some historical facts, as in the passage already quoted, ${ }^{1}$ one of these facts being that Achilleus had. a real quarrel with the general-in-chief of the Hellenic armaments. ${ }^{2}$ In another, the facts resolve themselves into impressions which the facts may have left on the minds of the poets, but which, far from being in accordance with the incidents as they actually occurred, may, he admits, be altogether at variance with them. ${ }^{3}$ In another, the quarrel itself of Achillens fades away like every other feature of the story, for ' whether we suppose Agamemnon and Achilles, the representatives of southern and northern Greece, to have actually set out together in the same expedition, or to be the distinct captains of two separate armaments confornded in the popular imagination, so far as the essentials of history are concerned, both the men and the facts remain.' ${ }^{4}$

Can anything be more amazing? We are told first that Their irrothe quarrel and the sulsequent reconciliation of the two chiefs form an essential part of the history of the Mliad, and next, that it really makes no difference if we suppose that the king and the hero never met at all. This is, in truth, to blow hot and to blow cold from the same mouth; and all that we can do is to oppose a determined front to such

[^154]BOOK arbitrary demands on our credulity, or to surrender ourselves
I. bound hand and foot to a despot who is to dictate to us from moment to moment the essentials, as he is pleased to term them, of historical tradition. We have a right to ask not only what we are to receive as facts of history, but also by what method these facts are ascertained. If the method be worth anything, its working must be regular, and its application ought to yield the same results in every hand; but we have already seen that the system (if it can be called such) followed by the Euemerists has produced one version of the Trojan war by Thucydides, another by Stesichoros and Herodotos, another by Dion Chrysostom, and two more by Mr. Blackie ; every one of these being irreconcilable with the rest. If we choose anyone of these summaries of historical residues at the expense of the others, what is this but to cheat ourselves with the conceit of knowledge without the reality?

Value of traditional impressions.

But although it is impossible to grapple with canons of interpretation so supple and elastic, it is possible to show that they cannot be applied except on the basis of pure assumption. The broad statement that the Miad gives us an account of certain incidents which really took place, resolves itself in other passages into the asscrtion that the oral tradition of a people may, after hundreds or even thousands of years, 'be more true to the real character of the fact than the written testimony of this or that contemporary witness.' But a fact is one thing, and the impression produced by a fact is another; and if the impression leads to the ascription of an historical character to incidents which confessenly never took place, then it is certain that we camot from this impression derive any historical knowledge. We can only suppose that the impression was caused by something we know not what, and cannot say when or how, unless we have authentic contemporary narratives to explain it; buteven in this our knowledge is derived (and too great a stress camoti be laid on this fact) wholly from the historical document, and not from the floating popular tradition. That this is so will be made clear by examining those very instances which Mr. Blackie brings forward in support of a different cou-
clusion. The first is the tradition which points out the cras? summit of a flat-topped conical hill near Scarborough as the spot where Cromwell encamped during the siege of the castle in the great rebellion. 'This,' he tells us, 'is the tradition of the place. But on looking at the topographical authorities, we learn from Parliamentary papers that Cromwell was not there at the period implied, and in fact never could have been there, as at that time he was conducting military operations in another part of the country. Here is a plain case of local and oral tradition at issue with authentic written evidence; but what points does the issue touch? Only this, that at the siege of a particular castle, at a certain date in the great civil war, the future head of the great English commonweadth and virtual king of the British empire was not bodily present. This, however, is a comparatively small matter; the triple fact remains, that there was a great civil war in England between the Crown and the Commons at the time specified; that in this war the castle of Scarborough was an object of contention between the parties; and that in the same war a man called Oliver Cromwell was one of the principal gencrals of the popular party.' ${ }^{1}$ Here the tradition relates to a time for which we have confessedly the most ample and minute contemporary information in written documents: but we must suppose that our whole knowledge of the great struggle in the time of Charles I. is derived from the Scarborough tradition, before we can have the slightest warrant for comparing it with the Homerio story. How would the case stand then? It would simply assert an incident to be a fact which, as it so happens, we lonow to be not a fact, and we should have a vague idea of some contest without knowing anything about its causes, its character, or its issue. All that can be said is that, as it so happens, the known history of the time enables us to account for the impression, but that from the impression itself we derive no historical knowledge whatever. It is the same with the next alleged instance of the two women, McLachlan and Wilson, who are said to have been exposed on the beach, and drowned at the mouth of the Bladnoch water. ${ }^{2}$ In this

[^155]case Mr. Blackie thinks it more likely that certain legral documents may have been lost than that the sentence was not carried out; but even admitting that the women were reprieved, he thinks that nothing more is disproved than the fact that they were drowned, ' not that there was no intention to drown them, not that the act of drowning them, if carried out, was not in harmony with the whole character of the government by whose minions they had been condemned.' Here again we are thoroughly acquainted with the character of the government from other sources; but if we were confined to the tradition or to others like it, we should have before us only a string of incidents, none of which took place, while we should be left to guess the causes which led to such false impressions. But history is not ${ }_{\text {\# }}$ field for conjecture, however ingenious.

The legend of Roland, and the Nibelungren Lied.

Nor is it more reasonable to dismiss the Carolingian epic cycle as worth little, because in its ultimate form we see 'that wanton play of fancy, and that intentional defiance of all probability' which makes Ariosto useful to the student of Homer only 'as presenting the greatest possible contrast.' ${ }^{1}$ The reality of this contrast is a mere assumption which, as we have seen, was denied by Stesichoros, Herodotos, and Dion Chrysostom. The very pith and marrow of the Iliad lies in the detention of Helen at Troy while the ten years' siege went on; and so great, and so thoroughly intentional in their eyes was this defiance of probability, that they altogether denied the fact. But the Carolingian legends, like the Scarborough tradition, relate to a time for which we have contemporary historical information; and in support of the story of Roland, who fell at Roncesvalles, the statement; of Eginhard has been adduced that in a battle with the Basques there was slain, along with others, 'Hruodlandus Britannici limitis preffectus.' It is therefore argued that we may expect to find in the Trojan legend about the same amount of truth which the Carolingian myth is supposed to contain. The answer to this is, that apart from the worls of Eginhard we could not affirm the death of Roland as a fact, although we could not in terms deny it. But the slender

[^156]trust to be reposed even in the names preserved by popular tradition is brought out prominently by the remarkable song

CHAP. IX. of Attabiscar. ${ }^{1}$ In this song (which seems to relate to the fight at Roncesvalles, although the place is named Ibañeta), the Frank ling mentioned in it is called Carloman.

> Fly, ye who have the strongth: fly, ye who have horses;
> Fly, King Carluman, with thy sable plumes and searlet mantle.

This, as Grimm and Michelet have supposed, was the real name of Charles during his lifetime, Carolus Magnus being merely the Latinised form of Carloman. But as Charles had a brother named Carloman, who survived his father Pippin three years, it is possible that the Basque poet may have confused the names of the two brothers, although Carloman died seven years before the fight of Roncesvalles. But for the crucial instance of the fallacious nature of popular tradition we are forced back upon the Nibelungen Lied, which tells us of Gunther, the Burgundian ling, conquered by the Huns of Attila, and relates the murder of Siegbert, king of Austrasia, who defeated the Huns. From independent contemporary historians we know that these persons actually lived, and these deeds were actually done. The conclusion, that here we may really separate the historical element from the fictitious, seems at first sight irresistible. Yet every one of these incidents and almost all the names are found, as we have already seen, in the older Saga of the Volsungs. We can measure, therefore, the value to be assigned to the statement that 'the general character of this Teutonic epic is distinctly historical. ${ }^{3}$ The true facts are these. We have in the later poem the names which are supposed to denote Siegbert, Brunhilt, Attila, Gunther, Swanhild, while in the older lay we have Sigurd, Brynhild, Atli, Gumnar, Swanhild; the incidents recorded of each being in both cases the same. It is unnecessary here to urge that the Volsung story of Sigurd, Brynhild, and Gudrun is precisely the same as the story of Paris, Helen, and Oinônê, and many others in the Greek cycle, for even without this parallelism, close as it seems to be, we see beyond all possibility of doubt that our

[^157]Book knowledge of the supposed Austrasian actors in the Nibelung
I. song is in no way derived from that poem, and that in attempting to separate the historical from the mythical elements we are only following a will of the wisp. Far, therefore, from furnishing any warrant for the conclusion that there was a real Agamemnon and a real Achilleus, the great .German epic justifies a strong suspicion even of the names which are embodied in the oral traditions of a people. Far from being able to extract any history from the Nibelung tale, we should even be wrong in thinking that the legend reflected the history of the age of Attila, Theodoric, and Gundicar. The names and incidents alike recede into the beautiful cloudland, where they mingle with the parallel legends of Agamemnon and Odysseus, of Isfendiyar and Feridun; and the distinction which some have sought to establish between quasi-historical myths, as those of the Trojan and Carolingian cycle, and those which, like those of Herakles and Perseus, are termed quasi-theological, falls to the ground, or at the least, becomes for all practical purposes worthless. ${ }^{1}$ If we know that Hruodland died at Roncesvalles, it is only because we happen to have for that fact the testimony of the contemporary Eginhard; and the same contemporary evidence shows that the popular tradition is wrong in the very substance of the story which takes the great Karl himself as a crusader to the Holy Land. But the more ancient epics of the Aryan natious camnot be checked by any such contemporary history; and the results as applied to the Carolingian myths is not sufficiently encouraging to justify our regretting that the process is in the case of the Trojan legends impossible. All the stories stand, in short, on the same level." The myth of Heralkles enters into the so-called history of Laomedontian Troy as much as that of Agamemnon into the annals of the Troy of Priam; and there is no reason why the capture of the city by Herakles should not be as historical as its overthrow by the confederated A.chaians. The quasi-theologicul myth of Herukles is

[^158]thus also quasi-historical; and from both alike it is impossible to reap any harvest of historical facts.

## CHAP.

IX.

In reality, we have to go back to first principles. Sir Principles Curnewall Lewis has laid down certain canons of credibility which are at the least intelligible, and which are exclusively acted on in English courts. ${ }^{1}$ The modern Euemerist lays down none: and even in cases where he abandons existing incidents as given in the popular tradition, even where he armits that the legends contradict each other or themselves, even where he puts aside, as matters of no importance, the cardinal fincts on which they turn, he yet insists on retaining what he calls the central fact, and on maintaining the general truth of popular impressions, while he imparts to that fact and to those impressions the particular form which may best suit his present purpose. All that can be said is, that the application of such principles to alleged facts of the present day would issue in the total collapse of justice, and set up a reign of universal terror. Where narratives or chronological schemes, of whatever kind, or of whatever age, contradict themselves or each other, we are bound, according to Sir Cornewall Lewis, ${ }^{2}$ to reject them all, unless we have good grounds for adopting one to the exclusion of the rest. A mere isolated name, and a bare fact, can be of no use to us. If the Homeric poems (and to this, after all, even Mr. Blackie finds hinself reduced) tell us no more than that there was a ling named Agamemnon, and a chief called Achilleus, who may never lave been at Troy, (for Cromwell was not at Scarborough), and that there was also a struggle of some sort, although we know not what, at Ilion, we have before us a barren statement of which we can make nothing. Such a . war may be matched with that Arabian invasion which, according to Assyrian tradition, cut short the so-called Chaldean empire. Of this invasion Mr. Rawlinson admits that he can say bat little. 'Indeed, we do not possess any distinct statement that it was by force of arms. the Arabians mposed their yuke on the Chaldean people. The brief

[^159]book summary of Berosus's narrative preserved to us in Eusebius does but say that after the Chaldean dynasty which held the throne for 458 years, there followed a dynasty of nine Arab kings, who ruled for 245 years. Still, as we can scarcely suppose that the proud and high-spirited Chaldeans would have submitted to a yoke so entirely foreign as that of Arabs must have been, without a struggle, it seems necessary to presume a contest wherein the native Hamitic race was attacked by a foreign Semitic stock, and overpowered. so as to be forced to accept a change of rulers. Thus, then, the Chaldean kingdom perished.' Certainly, if ever there was such a kingdom, it may have so fallen; but to say that it did so, is the purest guess-work; and it may be enough to quote the words of the 'Edinburgh Review,' ${ }^{2}$ on a like reconstruction of English history, after the narrative has been lost. 'The dynasty of the Stuarts,' it may then be said, 'seems to have given four kings to England, and many more to Scotland, when it was expelled by Dutch invaders. (ff this invasion we have no details. Indeed, it is not distinctly stated that the Dutch yoke was imposed by force of arms upon the English people. Still, we can scarcely think that proud and high-spirited Englishmen would submit to so foreign a nation as the Dutch without a struggle, especially when we have reason for thinking that a rebellion, headed by one who called himself Duke of Monmouth, was vigorously put down not long before. It seems necessary, then, to presume a contest in which the native English population was attacked and overpowered by the men of Holland. Thus, then, the Stuart dynasty perished.' The coujecture would in this instance be utterly false, although no objection on the score of improbability could be urged against it. In such a case, a genuine historian would simply suspend his judgment. He would not deny that there was a Strart dynasty, or that it was expelled: he would only decline to lay down any conclusions on the subject, adding that the alleged facts, thus standing bare and isolated, could have for him no use. This is all that the most sceptical of critics have affirmed in the

[^160]case of the Homeric and early Roman traditions; and it is a mere misrepresentation to speak of them as denying the

CHAP. IX. possible occurrence of some contest on the plains of the Hellespontian Troy. Like them, the modern Euemerists reject all the marvellous and supernatural incidents, and the mingling of gods and men. The cause and character of the war, its duration, and the mode in which it was carried on, they regard as points of veryslight consequence; and having thus destroyed the whole story, they come forward with surprising assurance to demand our acceptance of a residue of fact which by some divining process they have discovered to be historical. When Sir Cornewall Lewis dismisses the accounts of the Decemviral legislation at Rome as involved in inextricable confusion, he does not deny the existence of Decemvirs; he merely says that the narratives which have come down to us are self-contradictory from beginning to end, and untrustworthy in all their particulars. In like manner, of such a Trojan war as that in which the Euemerists would have us believe, 'without Helen, without Amazons, without Ethiopians under the beautiful son of Eos, without the wooden horse,' nay, as they admit, perhaps without a quarrel between Agamernuon and Achilleus, and possibly without even their presence in the Argive camp, Mr. Grote only says that 'as the possibility of it cannot be denied, so neither can the reality of it be affirmed.' One step further we may, however, take. Whatever struggle may have taken place within fifty miles of the southern shores of the Sea of Marmora, that struggle is not the subject of the Homeric poems. History does not repeat itself with monotonous uniformity in every country; and the story of Helen and Achilleus is the subject of the popular traditions in every Aryan land. If then the conflict, a few scenes of which are described in our Iliad, belongs to the history of men and women of like passions with ourselves, this conflict arose out of events which happened before the separation of the Aryan nations from their common home. To convert a bare possibility of this sort into an historical fact is indeed to build a house on sand; and while we are wasting time on this worthless task, the early language of the Aryan peoples points to that real conflict in the daily and
book yearly drama of the outward world which must strike the to be what they are. domain of Hellenic tradition which is supposed to be the border land between mythology and history, and to exhibit a larger amount of fact than of fiction. The inquiry may not be wholly new : but if, in spite of all that has been said by those who maintain the unity of the Iliad and the Odyssey and attribute to their narratives a historical character, their opponents are not satisfied, it is clear that the question cannot be regarded as settled, unless dogmatic assertion on the one side is to overbear the patient statement of facts on the other. If the conclusions of the modern Euemerists are to be received, then, on the faith of a supposed general consent of critics through a long series of centuries, we are bound to believe that the events of which the Homeric poets sang were historical incidents which materially affected the later history of the Greeks, in spite of all contradictions in the narrative, and in spite of all other difficulties which the literature whether of the Greeks or of any other people may force on our attention. If we are not as yet told that, the historical foundation of the legends being established, we are bound to receive all the marvellous details of the picture with a ready acquiescence, still the method by which the upholders of the so-called Homeric history seek to sustain these conclusions may well appal the sober seekers after truth, who see the havoc thus made in those canons of evidence which should guide the statesman and the judge not less than the scholar. When we have before us narrafives full of extrilordinary incidents and exhibiting throughout a supernatural machinery, when we see further that these narr:tives contradict themselves on rital points, it is our duty, it seems, not to reject those narratives, but to pare away all that is miraculous or hard to believe, and then to regard the naked outline as fact. It cannot be too often or too earmestly repeated, that by any such method the ascertaimment of the truth of facts becomes impossible. The Euemerists charge their opponents with robbing us of large treasures of in-
herited belief; ${ }^{1}$ but it is not too much to say that their own criticism"is far more unsettling and destructive, and that it

CHAP. IX. tends to blunt that instinct of truthfulness, and that impartial determination to seek truth only, without which all criticism is worse than worthless. If we are to hold with Mr. Blackie in one place that 'there was a real kingdom of Priam on the coast of the Dardanelles, and a real expedition of the western Greeks against this kingdom,' with a real Achilleus, and ' a real quarrelbetween him and the general-in-chief of the Hellenic armament,' and in another, that the impressions left by the facts on the minds of the poets might be 'altogether at variance with the incidents as they occurred,' while in a third we are to admit that the historical character of the legend is not affected, even though Agamemnon and Achilleus may never have met at all, and no Helen may have existed to give cause to the war, then it is clear that all freedom of judgment is gone. But no one can submit to be thus bound, who believes that his powers of thought are given to him as a sacred trust, and that, unless he seeks to know facts as they are, he is chargeable with the guilt of wilfully blinding himself. It matters not how great may be the array of anthorities on the other side; he dares not to give his assent to these conclusions, if facts in his judgment contradict or appear to contradict them. To profess a belief in the proposition that the Iliad and Odyssey moulded the intellectual life of the Greeks from a time long preceding that of Herodotos and Thucydides, would be to him an act of sheer dishonesty, if he is not convinced that the proposition is true; and if, after a careful survey of the field, he still retains his doubts, he is bound to state his reasons, and thus to do what he cun towards solving the problem. The attitude of all critics towards this subject ought to be that of patient seekers after truth, who are quite prepared to receive any conclusions to which the evidence may lead them. If we wish only to ascertain facts, we shall be ready to believe indifferently that the Iliad and Odyssey were composed by one poet or by twenty; that they were written within a few years or many centuries after the incidents which they profess to record;

[^161]Book that their narratives are partly historical or wholly mythical, I. if only the propositions are irrefragably .established. But whatever be the result, the statement of the grounds of doubt calls for gratitude rather than blame, and the tone adopted by some who have lately taken part in these discussions is a matter, to say the least, of very grave regret. Thus in the book which he is pleased to call the 'Life of Homer,' Mr, Valetta has ridiculed those who range themselves on the side of Lachman, Köchly, or Mr. Grote, as overshooting their mark, one condemning one third, another another, and a third the remaining third of the twenty-four books of the Mliad. Such assertions can gain at best but a temporary advantage. None who go beneath the surface of the question can fail to see that the critics thus censured do not reject each a different portion of the Iliad; that the attribution of the first book of the Iliad to one poet, and of the second book to another, is really no condemnation whatever, and that Mr. Grote at all events regards the Mliad as made up of only two poems, both of which he believes to be the work of the same poet. In fact, the points on which these critics fasten are not in each case different. The same difficulties have forced themselves on the attention of all, and some of the most strenuous asserters of Homeric unity are not slow in acknowledging their force. Hven Mr. Blackie admits that Homer composed the lliad 'in piecemeal,' and strung his songs together ' with a distinct knowledge that they would be used only in separate parts,' and that ' not only the separate materials, but the general scheme' of the Iliad existed in the Hellenic mind before Homer.' ${ }^{1}$ It, is hard to see how this position differs materially from that of the writer in the Edinburgh Reriow, who, while maintaining the unitarian hypothesis, asserts that 'the text was handed down in fragments from remote antiquity, that those fragments were cast and recast, stitched together, unstitched again, handled by uncritical and unscrupulous compilers in every possible way.' ${ }^{2}$. Like Mr. Blackie, Colonel Mure allows

[^162]Odyssey. As Mr. Grote insists, it, is impossible to shat our eyes to the unity of plan which porvades th.s poem. In the lliad we look in vain tor any such
that 'the circumstances under which the texts were transmitted, render it next to impossible but that their original purity must have suffered, ${ }^{1}$ and that. Homer was probably 'indebted to previous traditions for the original sketckes of his principal heroes. ${ }^{2}$ Bishop Thirlwall, while he refused to commit himself to any positive conclusion on the subject, saw long ago, with his usual sagacity, that the unity of Homer, even if universally conceded, would add little or nothing to the value of the Mliad or Odyssey as historical records. In his words, ' the kind of history for which Homer invoked the aid of the Muses to strengthen his memory was not chiefly valued as a recital of real events,' and 'if in detached passages the poet sometimes appears to be relating with the naked simplicity of trath, we cannot ascribe any higher authority to these episodes than to the rest of the poem.' With a singular anticipation of the course into which the discussion has now drifted, he adds that 'the campaigns of Nestor, the wars of Calydon, the expeditions of Achilles, probably appear less poetical than the battles before Troy, only because they stand in the background of the picture, and were perhaps transferred to it from other legends in which, occupying a different place, they were exhibited in a more marvellous and poetical shape.'3 Thus, in Bishop Thirlwall's judgment, every incident nakedly recorded in the Mliad received its full clothing of the supernatural in other epic poems now lost; and since to incidents so clothed no credit is to be given, the tissue of wonders in which all are involved puts completely out of sight any possibly historical incidents on which they may have been founded, and makes them for us as though they had never been. This emphatic verdict scatters to the wind all inferences respecting the age of Homer drawn from the silence of the Homeric poems as to the return of the Herakleids. These inferences involve the
unity, and are forced to strange shifts in order to establish a continuous unity of any kind. But on the other hamd it is impossible to prove that no parts of the Odyssey ever existed in the form of: separate lays. The tale of the death of Achillens points to adiftereut conclusion, and this may also be saith of the longer
lay of Demodokns and of the episode of the solar hords in Thrinakia, as well as of many other incidents of the poom.
${ }^{1}$ Critical History of Greek Litera-
ture, book ii. ch. iv. § 4.
${ }^{2}$ Ibid. book ii. ch. iv. $\$ 5$.
${ }^{3}$ History of Grecte, vol. i. ch v.

## CHAP.

IN.

Book assumption that the return of the Herakleids was a cause of such thorough change in the Greek dynasties as well as in Asia Minor, that if the poet had written after that event, his language must have exhibited some knowledge of its results. The argument is commonly urged by writers who further assume that Homer wrote within a generation or two of the Trojan war, ${ }^{1}$ and that Thucydides has assigned the right date for the conquest of Peloponnesos by the Herakleids. Thus the whole Miad, as we have it now, was composed within eighty years at furthest from the fall of Ilion, and perhaps much earlier. Here then we are enabled to measure at once the value of that ancient tradition which, it is said, no Greek author of note has disputed or doubted, when it is submitted to the fast and loose method of critics who maintain the unity of Homer. The whole character of the tradition is essentially changed, if in oue statement the poct is a contemporary writer, and in another is separated by a vast interval of time from the events which he professes to describe. In the one view, the composition of the Iliad within eighty years after the recovery of Helen is indispensable to the historical authority of Homer. According to the other, which is adopted by Thucydides, Homer lived 'a very long time' after the Trojou war; ${ }^{2}$ while the poet, who may surely be allowed to tell his own tale, clearly speaks of the actors in his great drama as belonging to an order of men no longer seen upon the earth. ${ }^{3}$ The special pleading of Mr. Gladstone limits the meaning of the phrase to a period of at most forty or fifty years. Few, probably, will attach much weight to the argument. All that Nestor says in the passage on which Mr. Gladstone ${ }^{4}$ relies for the truth of lis interpretation, is that none then living could fight as Perithoös and other heroes had fought in the days of his youth. ${ }^{5}$ In all

[^163]To Thucydides the absener of all roferenee to the It makleid romurests in our lhated and Odyssey (il he were saw those pooms), involved mo sont of necessity for supposing that the pret. lived bofore the so-called Dorian sotllement of tho Peloponnosos.
${ }^{3}$ Il. v. $3(1) 4$.
${ }^{4}$ Homer and the Homerie Ayr, i, 37.
${ }^{5}$ Il. i. 272.
the other passages where a like phrase occurs, the poet in his own person ascribes to Aias, or Hektor, or Aineias the power of hurling boulders, scarcely to be lifted by two men, as easily as a child might throw a pebble. ${ }^{1}$ The change of which Nestor speaks is only one of degree. The poet, had he lived in times so close to the events which he relates, would rather have gloried in the exploits of his own kinsmen, and allowed their fame to shed some portion of its lustre on his living countrymen.

But if the alleged event which is called the return of the Herakleids led, as we are told, to such thorough changes in the (historical) dynasties of Eastern and Western Greece, and if this event, the belief of Thucydides to the contrary notwithstanding, occurred within a century after the fall of Troy, and if the Mliad and Odyssey, as we now have them, were composed in the interval between these two events, the upholders of Homeric unity have fairly established their position. What then is the value of the traditions which relate this so-called historical event? In plain speech, they are narratives which exhibit a singular parallelism with other incidents in the mythical history of Hellas, and from which the residuum of historical fact, if it can be extracted at all, must be extracted by the same method which Thucydides, Herodotos, Dion Chrysostom and themodern Euemerists apply to the story of Troy, namely, by stripping off the whole clothing of the supernatural which has been thrown 'around them, and by ingenious conjectural arrangement of the little that then remains. In truth, argument here becomes really superfluous. It may be fairly said, and it should be said at once, that the most vehement defenders of the historical character of the Iliad have themselves acknowledged that we can get nothing out of it which deserves the name of history. The whole thaumaturgy of the poom they shatter at a blow: and although we are told in one breath that there was a real expedition from Mykênai to Troy, with a real Achilleus and a real Agamemnon, whose quarrel was an actual fact, we are told in another that Agamemnon and Achilleus may have been leaders of succossive expeditions

[^164]Book and may never have met at all, and that there may, thereI. fore, have been no quarrel and no Helen to give cause for the war. This, according to their own admissions, is no caricature, and hence it may be said that the critics who are represented by Mr. Blackie have torn to shreds the historical character of the Cliad. Bishop Thirlwall, while he accepts the fact of some war as the basis of the story, has dealt not less cruelly with the narrative. He has swept away all belief in the detailed narratives of the Iliad and the Odyssey, while his statements that the incidents cursorily noticed in these poems were exhibited in full mythical garb in other epics destroy all belief in the remainder. It must therefore be emphatically repeated that on the historical character of the Trojan war, the unitarians are in substantial agreement with their antagonists. There may have been a war at Ilion on the Propontis: but as we camnot deny, so we cannot say that there was, and about it we know nothing.

Do we know anything more about the return of the Herakleids? Mr. Grote, it is true; asserts that at this point we pass, as if touched by the wand of a magicion, from mythical to historical Greece. ${ }^{1}$ But he comects the myth with the subsequent historical distribution of the Greek states, only because it happens to come latest in order of sequence, and the story itself he at once banishes to the region of myths. The traditions again are contradictory, and Bishop Thirlwall especially notes that; while one version represents Pamphylos and Dymas as falling in the expedition by which their countrymen made themselves masters of the Peloponnesos, another speaks of Pamphylos as still living in the second generation after the conquest. If then we say that in Greece, when it becomes historical, we find a certain arrangement of Dorian, Ionian, and other tribes, but that we know nothing of the events which led to it, our conclusion is simply that of Dr. Thirlwall, and Dr. Thirlwall is commonly regarded as free from the scepticism of Mr. Grote. 'It is mauch less probable,' in his judgment, ' that the origin of the Dorian tribes, as of all similar political forms which a nation has assumed in the earliest period of existence, should have

[^165]been distinctly remembered, than that it should have been forgotten, and have then been attributed to imaginary

CHAP. IK. persons.' ${ }^{1}$ Have we then any adequate grounds for believing that there was any historical reconquest of the Peloponnesos by the Herakleids? Mr. Grote, who accepts the fact, although he rejects the legends which profess to account for it, urges that no doubt is expressed about it even by the best historians of antiquity, and that 'Thucydides accepts it as a single and literal event, having its assignable date, and carrying at one blow the acquisition of Peloponnesos.' ${ }^{2}$ But no one has shown more forcibly than Mr . Grote himself the utter worthlessness of the method of Thucydides when applied to the Trojan war, which also has its assignable date, for Thucydides marks it as preceding the return of the Herakleids by eighty years. When, again, Thucydides sets down the expulsion of the Boiotians from the Thessalian Arné as an event occurring sixty years after the war at Troy, Mr. Grote rejects his statement summarily, on the ground that he 'only followed one amongst a variety of discrepant legends, none of which there were any means of verifying.' ${ }^{3}$ But this remark applies witly equal force to the traditions of the return of the Herakleids, and it has been well said that 'the tendency of the Greeks in the historic age to assign deiinite dates to uncertain events was very likely to lead them into statements not chronologically correct,' ${ }^{4}$ and that the dates assigned by Thucydides, for example, to the various immigrations into Sicily ' must surely be received with great caution.' They are, at the least, as trustworthy as the tabulated results of Chaldrean and Assyrian chronology by M. Gutschmid and Mr. Rawlinson; and they all rest alike on the shifting sands of ingenious conjecture. ${ }^{5}$ The last argument of Mr. Grote for the historical return of the Herakleids has been refuted by Six Cornewall Lewis. This event, if it be an event, does not lead us at once from mythical to historical Greece. The whole history of Athens for many centuries later either is a blank,

[^166]book or exhibits a series of fables; and the conclusion is that 'it
I. seems quite impossible to fix any one period for the commencement of authentic history in all the different Greek states.' Of the string. of dates assigned to the various alleged immigrations from Western Hellas, some may possibly be correct; but 'how far these dates are authentic, we have little means of judging, but the colonial legends connected with the early foundations are for the most part fabulous.' It follows that ' a connected account of the affairs of the principal Greek States begins about a century before the birth of Herodotus, and that a continuous narrative of the principal transactions is carried on from the time of Croesus and Cyrus, when the Ionic Greeks first became subject to the Lydian and Persian kings. As soon as we ascend beyond the memory of the generation which preceded Herodotus and his contemporaries, we find the chronology uncertain, the order of events confused, and the narrative interspersed with legend and fable. As we mount higher the uncertainty increases, until at last the light of history is almost quenched, and we find ourselves in nearly total darkness. ${ }^{1}$ To this region of the Graiai and the Gorgons we must, therefore, assign the return of the Herakleids, with all the incidents which are said to have preceded it, and not a few which are said to have followed it. If any real facts underlie the tradition-if any names of real Achaian or Hellenic chieftains have been preserved in it, we camnot separate them from the fictions beneath which they are buried. To us they are lost beyond recall: and for us, therefore, the tales of Troy and of the return of the Herakleids are not history, and cannot possess any historical value. ${ }^{2}$

[^167]Here, then, the inquiry ends so far as it belongs to the province of historical credibility; and it must never be

CHAP. IX. The origin forgotten that the negative conclusions thus reached are the result of more historical criticism, and that they can in no way be affected by the failure of any theories which may

We have no more means for aserertaing this point tham we have for writing the history of the inhabitants of Jupiter; and to ask with the writer in the Querterly Reniew (October 1868, p. 445), how the same borly of porms cem bo Aiolic in its original materials, the theatre of its action, and the iuterests to which it was first addressed, and lonic in its ultimate form and language, is to entangle ourselves in difficulties which exist only in our own imaginations.

It may bo remaked that the Quarterly reviewer, who professes to take the eomserrative position, is quite as destructive in his criticism as Mr. Blackie. With him the uame Homeros can hardly mean anything bot 'fitted together, harmonions,' and Homer, like Eamolpos or Daidalos, is 'the personification of an aut, and the eponymons ancestor of an hereditary guild.' The roviewer, having thus destroyed the persomality of Homer, assumes that the Miad and Odyserey are the first of a long series of oneo fimiliar proms (ibid. p. 450), the latter being the so-ealled cyclic poems, which aro extensions from the Iliced, and took that, poem as their basis and model. This priority of the lliad and Odyssey in point of time to the other poems of the opic cyclo is saserted repeatedly. In. is enough to say that there is no sort of proof that these porms are later than our Homer, or that they were in any sense "xtonsions of it. The fact, admithed by the reviewer, that the tragie poets followed the cyclie storics, is proof of their wide difference from the legends of the Ilizul or the Odyssey. Butaceording to Colonel Mure all these pooms ware immensumbly inferior to the Odyssey; why then did Asschylos and Sophokles always follow them? The roviower alopts the answer of Aristotle, that, the Iliud and Odyssey possessed too mueh unity and completeness in themselves, that they wort, in shomt, already too dramatic to be made a quarry of subjeets for the stage (ibid. p. 468). Whatevor authority the judgment of A ristotle may carry with it, on facts which he had himself ascertained, it would bo a plain
hreach of truthfulness to refrain from salying that these assertions are both false and absurd. The lliad and the Odyssey are very minos of tragic subjeets, and we might as reasonably accopt his monstrous dictum dividing all
 סoûnoc as justifying the perpectuation of slavery. To Mr. Paley's eonclusion that the trigedians followed the more sarage old epies which had none of the virtue, the chastity, the gentle humanity that. have made our Ilicd and Odyssey the almired of all subscquent ages,' the reviewer replies by saying that 'scholars have usually attributed this difference to the exigencies of the stage.' It is well, perhaps, to know that a certain amonut of savagery, brutality, and impurity are necessary requirements of the stage: but unfortimately this theory, while it might explain the popularity of the drama of Charles the Second's time, fails to aceomat for the greater purity of the drama of Shakspeare. But in fact it is impossible to naintain that trugediams in any age conld be driven to choose anything lower than the highest models, or that poets like Ascliylos and Sophokles would of their own will select the conser-grained and ruder material. The very thought is a slander on onr common hamanity ; and we cam but wonder at the shifts to which crities are driven who will not put aside ohd pregudiees and assomiations, and confine themselves resolntely to the examination of facts. With the Quarterly reviower the wonder becomes the greater, breause he maintains that 'tho Iliud represents not the begiming, but, the culmination of a great school of pretry' (ibid. 1). 471). It was, therefore, in his power to say that, the tragie poets drew thoir materials from these corlier puoms; but it is uufortunato that, no such oarlier pooms are anywhere spoken of or roforred to, and the so-called eyelies cannot be both older and later than tho Ilied and the Odyssey. If this is all that can be said, the battlo of the Euemorists and traditionists is lost.
of the traditions of the Herakloid conquests.

Book profess to account for the origin and growth of these tradi-
I. tions, although the fact that their historical character has been disproved already must tend to strengthen any theory which gives a consistent explanation of the whole, and which rests on a comparison of these traditions with the myths of other countries. 'The siege of Troy is,' in Professor Max Müller's words, ' a repetition of the daily siege of the East by the solar powers that every evening are robbed of their brightest treasures in the West. ${ }^{1}$ Few-probably nonewill venture to deny that the stealing of the bright clouds of evening by the dark powers, the weary search for them through the long night, the battle with the robbers as the darkness is driven away by the advancing chariot of the lord of light, are favourite subjects with the poets to whom we owe the earliest Vedic songs. How far the names occurring in this most ancient Hindu literature are found in Hellenic legends, how far the incidents connected with these names are reproduced in the so-called Homeric poems, may be gathered in some measure from what has been said already. But whether the old Vedic hymns contain the germ of the Miad and Odyssey, or whether they do not, it seems impossible to shat our eyes to the fact that the whole mythical history of Hellas exhibits an alternation of movements from the West to the East, and from the East back to the West again, as regular as the swayings of a pendulum. In each case either something bright is taken away, and the heroes who have been robbed, return with the prize which, after a long struggle, they have regained; or the heroes themselves are driven from their home eastward, and thence return to claim their rightful heritage. The first loss is that of the Golden Fleece; and the chieftains led by Lason set forth in the speaking ship on their perilous voyage to the shores of Kolchis. Before the fleece can be regained there are fearful tasks to be done: but the aid of the wise Medeia enables lasôn to tame the fire-breathing bulls, and to turn against each other the children of the dragon's teeth. Then follows the journey homeward, in which Medeia again satves them from the vengeance of Aiêtês, and Iasôn reigus gloriously in

[^168]Iolkos after his long wanderings are ended. This tale is repeated again in the story of the wrongs and woes of Helen. She, too, is stolen, like the Golden Fleece, from the western land, and carried far away towards the gates of the morning, and a sccond time the Achaian heroes are gathered together to avenge the disgrace, and to bring back the peerless queen whom they lave lost. Here again is the weary voyage, lengthened by the wrath of the gods, and the perilous warfare which must precede the ruin of Ilion. But the aid of Athêne, answering to that of Medeia, wins the victory at last for Achilleus, and then follow again the wanderings of the heroes as they return each to his home in the far west. Here, too, the help of Athênê, when her first anger has passed away, supports Odysseus on his toilsome pilgrimage, and beats down his enemies beneath his feet. With the scene in which Odysseus and Penelope appear in all the splendour of their youthful beauty after the fall of the suitors, the second westward movement comes to an end. But the emmity which darkened the life of Herakles continued to cast its shadow over his children; and if we follow the mythographers, we have before us, in a series many times repeated, the expolsion of the Herakleids and their attempts to return and take possession of their inheritance. ${ }^{1}$

[^169][^170]book The so-called Dorian migration is the last in the long series
I. of movements from east to west. The legends which profess to relate its history have doubtless lost in great degree the freshness and charm of the myths which had gathered round the fair-haired Helen and the wise Medeia. This poverty may arise from their comparative nearness to an historical age, and from the intermixture of real incidents on which the floating myths of earlier times had fastened themselves. That this may have occurred again and again is a matter not of mere conjecture, but of certainty, although the fact of the intermixture furnishes, as we have seen, no ground of hope for those who seek for history in mythology. Unless they are known to us from contemporary writers, the real events, whatever they may have been, are disguised, distorted, and blotted out as effectually as the stoutest trees in American forests are killed by the parasitical plants which clamber up their sides. But, meagre as these later myths may be, the ideas and incidents of the older legends not unfrequently reappear in them. The disasters which befall the Herakleid leaders before they gain a footing in the Peloponnesos answer to the troubles and losses which Odysseus undergoes on his homeward voyage. The story of the soothsayer Karnos, whose death draws down on them the wrath of Apollon, carries us to the legend of Chryses in the tale of Troy: and the three sons of Hyllos answer to the three sons of Arkas in the Arkadinn stories, and to the three sons of Mannus in the mythology of the Teutonic tribes. Whether the eastward migrations, which are described as the consequences of the return of the Herakleids, represent
condly, the incidont of a wrathful inactivity must have been felt to be peculiarly fit to be the turning-point of a long poem. This fitness appears to consist in the facility which it offered for the addition of episodes, celehrating the other national heroes of the differont Greek statos' (ib. p. 454). But to the first of these points the reviower has himself renlied that Achillous in the earlier tradition is. Mcleagros, that the wrath of the one is the wrath of the other. The second assertion assumes that one and the same poct sate down and composed the Iliud as we have it,
from one end to the other. If the books to which Mr. Grote pives the distinguishing name of liias were inserted into an older or an independent Achillêis, this prior fitness is convorted into it more accident. In any case we camnot. assume in such an mquiry as this that the poom was composed at the first in its present form, i.e. with a look recounting the causes of the wrath of Achilleus, followed by others rolating the exploits of various heroes who stand forth in his place, and hy a sequel which marrates tho reconciliation and vietory of the Phthiotic chieftain.
any real events, we cannot tell, although we cannot in terms deny it; but the fact remains that they are movements eastward, corresponding in many of their features to other movements which are said to have preceded them. All that can be said further about these legends as a whole is that ' matter of fact (if any there be), is so intimately combined with its accompaniments of fiction as to be undistinguishable without the aid of extrinsic evidence; ${ }^{1}$ and no such evidence is forthcoming. The pendulum which had marked the lapse of the mythopocic ages is here arrested in its even beat. The mighty stream, which had brought down on its waters the great epical inheritance of mankind, is lost in the sands of the barren centuries which intervene between the legendmaking age and the period of genuine contemporary history.

Thus, then, we have before us a cycle of legends many times recurring, with differences of local colouring, but with

CHAP.
IX. —.-

## Materials

 of epical tradition. a general agreement in essential features. The search for a stolen treasure, and the homeward return either of the conquerors who have smitten the robbers, or of the heroes who come to claim their rightful kingdom, form the burden of all. In other words, we are brought back to the favourite theme of the Vedic poets-to the hymns which tell us of the Sungod robbed of his cows in the west, of the mission of Saramâ to discover the fastnesses where the thieves have hidden them, of their resistance until Indra draws nigh with his irresistible spear, of his great vengeance and his beneficent victory. Carrying us back yet one step further, these legends, it must be repeated, resolve themselves into phrases which once described, with a force and vividness never surpassed, the several phenomena of the earth and the heavens. The stream is thus traced to its fountain-head, and at once we are enabled to account for the beauty and majesty, the grossness and unseemliness, of the great body of legends which make up the genuine mythology of the world. The charge of monotony which has by some been adduced in summary condemnation of the method and results of comparative my thology, may be urged with as much and as little reason against the life of man. If there is monotony in the thought[^171]Book of the daily toil of the sun for beings weaker than himself-
I. of his wrath as he hides his face behind the dark cloud, of his vengeance as he tramples on the vapours which crowd around him at his setting, of the doom which severs him from the dawn at the beginning of his journey to restore her at its close-there is monotony also in the bare record of birth and love and toil and death, to which all human life may be pared down. But where there are eyes to see and hearts to feel, there is equally life in both; and we are driven to admit that the real marvel would be, not the multiplication of magnificent epics, but the absence of these epics from a soil on which the seeds had been so lavishly scattered; not the production of characters differing from and resembling each other-as those of Meleagros and Achilleus, of Hektor and Paris, of Herakles and Theseus, of Perseus and Apollôn, of Athênê and Danaê, of Helen and Iô and Medeia-but the absence of such beings from the common - stories of the people.

Materials of the poems commonly called Homeric.

The historical character of the Argonautic, the Trojan, and the Herakleid legends has been swept away; and Comparative Mythology steps in to account for the nature, growth, and extent of the materials which the Homeric or other poets found ready to their hands. That they worked on some materials provided by ancient tradition, is allowed by all ; ${ }^{1}$ and the admission involves momentous consequences. The earliest mythical phrases tell us of a hero whose chariot is drawn by undying horses, and who is armed with an unerring spear; who is doomed to toil for beings meaner than himself, or to die an early death after fighting in a quarrel which is not his own; who must be parted from the woman to whom he has given his heart, to be united to her again only when his days are drawing to an end; in whom may be seen strange alternations of energy and inaction, of vindictiveness and generosity; who, after a long struggle, and just when he seemed to be finally conquered, scatters his enemies on every side, and sinks, when the battle is ended, into a serene and deep repose. The outline is but vague, but it involves all the essential features in the careers of Achilleus and Odysseus,

[^172]of Meleagros and Herakles, of Perseus, Theseus, and Bellerophôn; and not only of these, but of the great heroes of the

CHAP. IX. lays of the Volsungs and the Nibelungs, of the romance of Arthur, and the epic of Firdusi. In some cases the very names are the same, as well as the incidents; in others they translate each other. There is thus the closest parallelism between the great epics of the Hellenic and Teutonic tribes, of the Persians and the Hindus; and thus also the narrative. of the Trojan war is not only divested of all local historical character, but finds its place as one among the many versions of the tale which relates the career of the great mythical heroes of all lands. At once, then, we are brought round to the conclusion (which Dr. Thirlwall had reached by another path) that a source so rich in mythical elements must yield an abuudant harvest of great epic poems, and that our Iliad and Odyssey must be but a very small part of the inheritance left by the mythopœic ages, even if this conclusion were not supported by the general testimony of ancient writers and the phenomena of Greek literature. These great epics, at whatever time they may have been brought into their present shape, are but two epics which were not the most popular (even if they were known) during the most flourishing period of Greek literature. They are but varying forms of the widespread tradition which has come down from a source common to all the tribes of the Aryan race. A purely historical inquiry stripped them of all historical character; a philosophical analysis has resolved their materials into the earliest utterances of human thought, when man first became capable of putting into words the impressions made on his mind by the phenomena of the outward world.

The method by which these results have beer obtained must be either wholly rejected, or carefully followed without the slightest regard to consequences, unless it can be shown in special instances and by tangible evidence to be unsound. The expression of vague fears either is thrown away or does mischief, by encouraging an unscientific fashion of looking at a subject which must be handled systematically or not at all. Nothing can be clearer than that if the name Zeus is confessedly another form of Dyaus, Ouranos of Varuṇa, AziVOL. I.
dahaka of Zohâk, the method which has yielded these results
I. must be applied to all names, nay, to all words; and that, in all instances where the laws which govern the method are not violated, the result must be admitted as established. The child who can swim may dread to plunge into a stream, because he thinks that the water may be beyond his depth; the dogmatist may hesitate to admit conclusions which he cannot refute, because he fears that they may lead to other conclusions subversive of his traditional belief; but such evasions are unworthy of men who seek only to know the truth of facts. It is no longer possible for any who allow that Dyaus must be Zeus and Ouranos must be Varuna, to ask with any consistency why the Greek Charites should be the Vedic Harits, or Eros the Sanskrit Arvat. In either case it is a mere question of fact, and the answer is that the words are etymologically identical, and that Charis and Erinys can no more be expained by any Greek word than can Zeus or Ouranos. No room is left for captious questions which ask why, though Apollôn be certainly the sun, all other mythical heroes should represent the sun also. It has probably never been thought, and certainly never been said, that all the actors in the great epic dramas of the Hellenic and Teutonic tribes, of the Persians and Hindoos, are solar heroes. Such a statement would strike at the very root of Comparative Mythology, which teaches that the mythical treasures of the Aryan race have been derived from phrases expressing the genuine feelings of mankind about all that they saw, felt, or heard in the world around them. Assuredly neither Odysseus, Herakles, Oidipous, nor any other can be the sun, unless their names, their general character, and their special features, carry us to this conclusion. Whether they do so or not cam be determined only by the analysis and comparison of the legends. To those who hold that the Greek $\gamma^{\prime} \nu o s$ and the old English syn are the same, the identity of Aeshma-Daêva and Asmodeus, of Ormuzd and Ahura-mazdâo, of Arbhu and Orpheus, of Orthros and Vritra, cannot possibly be a matter of faith. The identification must stand or fall, as it fulfils or violates the canons which determine that the Greek $\theta u \gamma \alpha{ }^{\prime} \eta \eta \rho$ and the German tochter represent the English daughter, the Sanskrit
duhitar, and the Persian docht. It is absurd to make exceptions unless some philological law has been broken. It is not less unreasonable to draw distinctions between the sciences of Comparative Philology and Comparative Mythology on the ground that in the one case the phenomena of language are made to explain themselves, in the other case they are made to explain something quite different. The meaning of this objection is that Comparative Mythology brings before us a struggle between Phoibos and Python, Indra and Vritra, Sigurd and Fafnir, Achilleus and Paris, Oidipous and the Sphinx, Ormuzd and Ahriman, and from the character of the struggle between Indra and Vritra, and again between Ormuzd and Ahriman, infers that a myth, purely physical in the land of the Five Streams, assumed a moral and spiritual meaning in Persia, and, as indicating the fight between the co-ordinate powers of good and evil, gave birth to the dualism which from that time to the present has exercised so mighty an influence throughout the East and the West. Language has thus been made to explain a very difficult problem in moral philosophy, which is something quite different from language; and such an office as this is never, it is urged, discharged by Comparative Philology. The former, therefore, must be regarded with greater suspicion than the latter. Here again we are dealing with a mere matter of fact, and we find at once that the objection brought against the one science applies with equal force to the other. Words cognate to our ear are found in the Greek, Teutonic, and Indian dialects, the inference being that the plough was known to the ancestors of Hindus, Greeks, and Teutons, while they still lived together as a single people. Here, then, language is made to throw light on the history of agriculture ; and we must therefore infer either that agriculture is the same thing as language, or that the distinction is wholly baseless. In the Aryan names for father, brother, sister, daughter, we have the proof that the words existed for an indefinite length of time before they assumed the meanings which we now assign to them, and we are forced to conclude that the recognition of family relations was not the first step in the history of mankind. Here, then, lan-
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guage is made to throw light on the growth of morality; and unless we say that morality and language are the same thing, it follows that in both these sciences language is made to explain something different from itself, and that no distinction can on this ground be drawn between them. ${ }^{1}$

There is more of plausibility than of truth in the words of Mr . Gladstone, that ' he who seems to impeach the knowledge and judgment of all former ages, himself runs but an evil chance, and is likely to be found guilty of ignorance and folly. ${ }^{2}$ Verdicts unanimously given and obstinately upheld are not always just; and in the great battle for the ascertainment of fact, and more especially in the struggle against false methods, one man is not unfrequently called upon to face the world, unsupported by any of his own age. For hundreds if not for thousands of years, the world was convinced of the reality of witchcraft; the belief is now denounced on all sides as a gross and deadly delusion. Aristarchos of Samos opposed his heliocentric theory of the universe to all others; ${ }^{3}$ but many a century had yet to pass before that theory superseded the Ptolemaic. In truth, nothing is gained by appeals to majorities or to parties, or by hyperbolical laudation of poems ancient or modern. Whatever may be the beauty or the magnificence of Homeric or any


#### Abstract

1 The remark is, in fact, less applicable to the science of mythology than to that of language. The former throws a wonderful light on the first thoughts awakened by all sensible objects in the human mind; but this is only one fact, however astonishing may be the results obtained from it. The mere analysis of language yields a rast residuum of historical facts known with as much eertainty as if they had come down to us on the clearest contrmporaneous testimony. No small portion of Professor Max Müller's great essay on Comparative Mythology is occupied with such illustrations; and the facts so discovered are of the greatest moment. Thus the comparison of the Lithuanian wiêszpatis, a lord, with the Sanskrit vis-patis, shows that before the dispersion of the Aryan tribes there was 'not only a nicely-organised family life, but the family began to be absorbed by the state, and here again conventional titles


[^173]other poetry, this beauty and magnificence will still remain, whether it be the work of one man or of a hundred men, of one age or of many. Exaggerated theories, springing from exaggerated praises, have wrapped the whole field of Homeric inquiry in mists, out of which we cannot easily find our way; and statements are boldly made, and unhesitatingly accepted, without the faintest misgiving that, after all, facts may point in quite another direction. In Colonel Mure's opinion, the poems known to us as the Iliad and Odyssey were ' the acknowledged standard or digest, as it were, of early national history, geography, and mythology.' ${ }^{\text {1 }}$ With a generalisation still more sweeping, Baron Bunsen assures us that 'the Mliad and Odyssey, especially the former, are the canon regulating the Hellenic mental developement in all things spiritual, in faith and custom, worship and religion, civil and domestic life, poetry, art, science. Homer is not only the earliest poet, but the father of all succeeding poets. The Iliad is the sacred groundwork of lyrical poetry no less than of the drama.' ${ }^{2}$

These are either very important facts or very great delusions; and to accept them without rigid scrutiny must lead to widespread and fatal mischief. Our business is simply with the evidence on which these conclusions are said to be based, and all impartial and unprejudiced thinkers owe a debt of gratitude to Mr. Paley for his masterly analysis of this eridence, and for the single-minded honesty which has led him to discuss this question in a book intended especially for young scholars. ${ }^{3}$ The cry raised against the unsettlement
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## CHAP.

 IX.The evidence of the case.

Book of their minds is both disingenuous and irrelevant. If the Mliad and Odyssey really moulded the mental life of Greek lyric and dramatic poets, the fact must be as clear as the sun at noonday; and counter-statements can but serve to establish that fact more firmly. But, in the first place, the composers of our Iliad and Odyssey do not speak of themselves as the first poets. Not only do they tell us of bards who had won their fame at an earlier time, but the Odyssey ${ }^{1}$ shows that an account of the wrath of Achilleus, wholly different from that which we have in the Miad, was both current and popular. 'If it doés not show this,' adds Mr. Paley, 'it at least shows that there were other ballads on Trojan affairs in existence before the Odyssey was composed or compiled.'2 Colonel Mure naturally lays great stress on the alleged familiarity of later poets with our Iliad and Odyssey; ${ }^{3}$ and if Baron Bunsen's statement has any measure of truth, the Attic drama must be steeped in the sentiment, if not in the language, of our Iliad and Odyssey. But in fact, 'although two Greek plays, and two only, are taken directly, -the one from our Iliad, the other from our Odyssey -the allusions to these poems are singularly few, and those few often uncertain, in the writers previous to the time of Plato.' ${ }^{4}$ Nay, although these earlier writers speak not unfrequently of Homeric poems and Homeric subjects, we find in far the larger number of instances, that the epithet is applied to poems which no longer exist, or to subjects which are not treated in our Iliad or Odyssey. ${ }^{5}$ 'Out of at least
invented by any compiler of these poems after the close of the Peloponnesian war, than they were invented by our Homer four hundred years before Herodotos. The only sacrifice to be made is that of the ingenious reasoning which pretends to account for the alleged degradation of Homeric characters as shown by the contrast between the Helen and Odysseus of our Iliad and Odyssey and the same personages as painted by Æschylos and Sophokles. (Gladstone, Homer and the Homeric Age, iii. 555). But no great regret needs to be felt at giving up an anomaly which would be strange and perplexing in any literature, and which is rendered doubly perplexing by
the history of Athenian thought and feeling.
${ }^{1}$ Odyss. viii. 72, \&c.
${ }^{2}$ Puley, Iliud of Homer, introduction, xxx.
${ }^{3}$. History of Greek Literature, book ii. ch. ii. §4.
${ }^{4}$ Paley, Iliad of Homer, introd. xxvi.
${ }^{5}$ I avail myself without scruple of the results of Mr. Paley's labours on a field which is wholly his own-the evidence, namely, that our Homer was not the Homer of the great Attic tragedians. In this portion of his task he has demolished the verbal fallacy which lies at the root of all the arguments of Mure and Bunsen; and the whole burden of proof lies on those who maintain them. 'As
thirty-five such references in Pindar, only about seven have a distinct reference to our present Mliad or Odyssey:' ${ }^{1}$ and

CHAP. even in some of these the reference is very vague, while the lyric poet speaks of the madness of Aias, his midnight attack on the herds, and his suicide, as Homeric subjects. A line, perhaps two or three lines, in the Hesiodic Theogony and the Works and Days may point to our Homer; but of the Trojan legend generally 'very scant mention is made in the poets preceding Pindar and the Tragic writers.' ${ }^{\prime 2}$ One of the three passages in Theognis cannot be referred to our Iliad, and a fragment of Sinonides speaks of Homer ' as describing Meleager in terms not now occurring in the Iliad. ${ }^{3}$ Of the Homeric or Trojan subjects carved on the chest of Kypselos, ${ }^{4}$ five are not in the Iliad, and some refer to versions wholly different from our Homeric story. Colonel Mure will have it that Tyrtaios was familiar with our Homer. ${ }^{5}$ In the only passage which can be cited in proof of this assertion it is most difficult to discover even a distant allusion. Twice only does Herodotos name the
it is certain' says Mr. Paley 'that by the epics of Homer the ancients mean a great, deal more than we undorstand by them-and in fact that they attributed to that vague and misty personage all the mass of ballad literature on the sieges of Troy and Thebes which, we can hardly bo wrong in saying, was the accumulated product of the genias of whapsodists extending over some four centuries at least-it is incumbent on those who rely on the use of the name Homer in such writers as Pindar and Herodotos, to show that they meant by it the samo as we do. If this camnot bo shown, still more, if the contrary can be shown, the case of these apologists entirely fails.' The same verral fallacy has led these apologists to urge that, our Fomer conld not have succeeded the old Homer 'silently, almost fraudulently, and without protest.' To this difficulty Mr. Paley attributes very little weight, 'first, because Homer was a vague term that included all epic literature; secondly, because the remodelling and reducing any important. part of that vast mass of Homeric literature would necessarily leave the stamp of the old authorship upon it, and so would remain "Homer," still: thirdly, because it
must hare been open to individual rhapsodists to take any definite portion of the Trojan story, and to treat it in their own way. Yet every such part, howerer raried or combined, would still have been "Homer." The above olljection, therefore, is based on a misconception as to what "Homer" meant in ancient times.' The discussion as to the matcrials on which our Homer, whoever he may have been, worked, is not affected by Mr. Paley's conclusions. Ho admits unreservedly that these materials 'wore certainly existing ready for any poet who chose to give them a new treatment, or exhibit them in a more popular and dramatic form at a comparativoly late date.'-On the late Date and composite Character of our Mliad und Odyssey, p. 8, \&ce.
${ }^{1}$ Paley, lliad of Homer, introduction, xxvii.
${ }^{2}$ Ib. xxx.
${ }^{3}$ Il. xxxi.
${ }^{4}$ Pausanias, v. 18, 19.
${ }^{5}$ ITistory of Greek Literature, book ii. ch. ii. \& 3. That this series of facts should bo assumed on such seanty evidence or in the teeth of evidence to the contrary, is indeed astonishing.

Book Iliad; and although the former of these two passages
I. may be set aside as ambiguous, in the second he distinctly rejects the Homeric authorship of the Kyprian verses on the ground that the latter speak of Paris as reaching Troy on the third day after leaving Sparta, while the Iliad describes his long wanderings to Sidon, Egypt and other places, for which in our lliad we look in vain. The reason given by Kleisthenes for the stopping of the rhapsodists at Sikyôn Mr. Blakesley regards as 'quite inapplicable to the Iliad or the Odyssey.' Equally inconclusive are the few references in Thucydides for any evidence in favour of the identity of our Mliad and Odyssey with the Mliad and Odyssey of the age of Perikles. The references of Aristophanes are of the same kind, sometimes tending to prove that passages in our Hiad have been altered since his time, sometimes ascribing to Homer passages which we do not find in our texts.

The
Homer of the Greek tragic poets.

The case, then, may be stated thus:-A vast number of incidents belonging to тà T $\rho \omega \iota \kappa \alpha$, , not mentioned or barely noticed in our Miad and Odyssey, were treated of in epic poems current in the days of the -great Attic tragedians. All these epic poems, Colonel Mure emphatically asserts, were-rastly inferior, both in-design and execution, to their two prototypes.' ${ }^{1}$ Nevertheless from this vastly inferior literature Aschylos, Sophokles, and Euripides 'drew so largely, that at least sixty of their known plays are taken directly from it, while only two are taken from the Iliad and Odyssey.' ${ }^{2}$ We are left to wonder with Mr. Paley 'how it came to pass that the Greeks, in the best ages of their poetic genius, preferred to take their themes from the inferior and secondary, to the neglect of the superior and primary,' and ' that the authors of the Cypria, the Little Miad, the Nosti, should have won all the credit, and left little or none for their great master and predecessor, Homer.' Our Iliad and Odyssey then (even if they were in existence), had not in the days of 丑schylos and Sophokles the popularity which they have since attained; and the theories of Baron Bunsen,

[^176]Colonel Mure, and Mr. Gladstone fall to the ground. These poems did not 'regulate the mental developement of the Greeks,' nor were they ' the acknowledged standard of early national history, geography, and mythology.' The historical character of these poems being, therefore, definitely disproved, the time of their composition and the method of their transmission, although they remain subjects of great interest, become points of secondary importance. The knowledge of writing (if the fact be proved) even from an age earlier than that which has been assigned to the Homeric poets, will explain but very few of the difficulties which surround the question. A few words scratched on stone and wood furnish slender grounds indeed for assuming the existence of voluminous manuscripts during centuries preceding the dawn of contemporary history. ${ }^{1}$

The conclusion, put briefly and nakedly, is this-that if any real facts underlie the narrative of the Iliad and Odyssey, they are so completely buried beneath the mythical overgrowth as to make the task of separation impossible; that the legend of the Trojan war is unhistorical; that we have no grounds for asserting that Agamemnon, Achilleus, or any other of the actors in the tale were real persons; that the story of the return of the Herakleids is as mythical as that of the war of Troy; that the sequence of these myths throws no light on the time of the composition of our Iliad and Odyssey; that no historical knowledge can be gained from the legends of Hellenic colouisation in Asia Minor ; that the mythical history of Greece exhibits a succession of movements from west to east, and from the east back to the west again; that these movements are for the purpose of recovering a stolen treasure or a rightful inheritance; that this heritage is the bright land where the sun sinks to rest after his journey through the heaven; that the stolen treasure is the light of day carried off by the powers of darkness, and brought back again, after a hard battle, in the morning; that the materials of the Mliad and Odyssey are taken from the vast stores of mythical tradition common to all the Aryan nations; that these traditions can be traced

[^177]Book back to phrases indicating physical phenomena of whatever
I. kind; that these phrases furnish an inexhaustible supply of themes for epic poetry; that the growth of a vast epical literature was as inevitable as the multiplication of myths, when the original meaning of the phrases which gave birth to them was either in part or wholly forgotten; that the substance of the Mliad and the Odyssey existed from an indefinitely early time; that these poems were not composed at once, and as a coherent whole; that they exercised little influence on the mental developement of the Greek lyric and tragic poets; and that their present form cannot be traced to any age much earlier than that of Plato. ${ }^{1}$ But these conclusions give us far more than they take away. If the fabric of the so-called Homeric history has been shattered, its ruin must be laid to the charge of the Euemerists not less than to the assaults of Comparative Mythologists. The former have left us nothing but the barest outline of possible incidents, for which no evidence can be adduced, and which can have for us no interest. The latter, if they have dealt rudely with some arbitrary assumptions and scattered some dearly cherished but unreasonable fancies, have at least made ample compensation. No charm which might have attached to the human characters of Helen and Hektor, Paris and Achilleus (had the Euemerists spared us the old story of their lives), -no pathos which lay in the tale of Sarpêdôn's early death or of the heart-piercing grief of Priam can equal that infinitely higher charm which takes its place, when we see in these legends the hidden thoughts of our forefathers during those distant ages when they knew nothing of an order of nature, and the fading twilight of every evening marked the death of the toiling and shortlived sun. We can well afford to part with the poor residuum of historical tradition which possibly underlies the story of the expedition from Mylkênai to Ilion, when we find that the myth reveals to us a momentous chapter in the history of the human mind, and tells us what in that olden time men thought of God, of the world, and of themselves. ${ }^{2}$

[^178]
## CHAPTER X.

THE CHARACTER OF GREEK DYNASTIC AND POPULAR LEGENDS IN RELATION TO THEIR TRIBAL AND NATIONAL NAMES.

If the Homeric pocts wrote as historians, they might well have prayed to be saved from their friends, had they foreseen the way in which their poems were to be treated by the modern Euemerists. After the hard blows dealt by these professed champions of Homeric unity and credibility, it is clear that nothing can restore to the stories of the Argonautic voyage, of the sieges of Ilion and Thebes, and the return of the Herakleids, any measure of their supposed historical character, unless some contemporary evidence can be forthcoming, apart from the poems which contain the mythical narratives of those events. But for such evidence we must look in vain; and the inquiry which has proved that if any real strife between mortal men lay at the root of the legends which relate the story of Achilleus or Sigurd, it must be a strife preceding the first separation of the Aryan tribes, would of itself suffice to take away all interest from the search. But the process of analysing and comparing the stories common to all the Aryan nations has exhibited to us a form of thought resulting in phrases which could not fail to become an inexhaustible source of mythical narratives. We have seen that from this fountain-head might flow a thousand streams, while they who drank of their waters might be quite unconscious of the spring from which they came. We have seen that the simple elements of which these stories were composed were, like the few notes of the musical scale, ${ }^{1}$ capable of endless combination; that the polyonymy resulting from this early phase of language yielded a vast number

[^179]BOOK of names for the same object, and that each of these names might become, and in most cases actually became, the names of anthropomorphosed gods or heroic men. Round each of these beings a number of mythical legends would, as we have seen, group themselves, and impart to each actor a marvellous individuality. Yet all these beings, at first glance so different, would be really the same; and the keenest political rivalry and even animosity might be fed on the merest fancy.

Legends of rival Greek cities.

The Argive story.

If then the several Hellenic cities, like other Aryan folk, took pride in their own peculiar legends, and were obstinately convinced that these legends had an existence wholly independent of the traditions of other cities or states, this is only what we might expect. If, again, these stories, when resolved into their simplest forms, impress us with a feeling of something like monotonous repetition, we have here no cause for perplexity or surprise. The form of thought which attributed a conscious life to all sensible objects would find expression in phrases denoting all kinds of phenomena. It would exhibit no narrowness and no partiality; but regarded as a whole, the phenomena of day and night and of the changing seasons would assume the form of great struggles, in which a bright hero strove for the mastery of the dark beings opposed to him, and achieved in the end a dearly bought victory. This hero would naturally be the favourite subject of national song in every country, and in this beneficent conqueror we have, it needs scarcely to be said, the sun. The dynastic legends of the Greek cities precisely answer to this expectation. All without exception exhibit under forms the most varied that daily and yearly struggle between Indra and Vritra which assumed a moral aspect in the Iranian land.

The national story of the Argives is made up, we may now fairly say, of a solar myth, which is recounted at length in the adventures of Perseus, and repeated in the career of Herakles without the slightest consciousness that the two stories are but versions of one and the same myth. Perseus is the child of the Golden Shower, and of Danaê, Daphnê, Dahanâ, the dawn, and he is doomed, like other solar children, to be the slayer of the sire to whom he owes his life. His weapons are those of Apollôn and Hermes. The sword
of Chrysâôr is in his hand, the golden sandals of the Nymphs are on his feet. His journey to the land of the Graiai, the

CHAP. X. dim twilight, is only another form of the journey of Herakles to the garden of the Hesperides. When from the home of the Graiai he went to the cave of the Gorgons, the story sprang from the mythical phrase, 'The Sun has gone from the twilight land to fight with the powers of darkness.' But night has a twofold meaning or aspect. There is the darkness which must yield to the morning light and die, and there is the absolute darkness which the sun can never penetrate. The former is the mortal Medousa, the latter her deathless sisters. The story ran that Medousa compared her own beauty with that of Athênê, but the starlit night in its solemn grandeur could be no rival for the radiant goddess on whom rested the full glory of Zeus and Phoibos. When from the Gorgon land Perseus wandered to the shores of Libya, the story introduced an adventure which recurs in a hundred forms. Andromeda, Ariadnê, Brynhild, Aslauga, Hesionê, Dêianeira, Philonoê, Medeia, Augê, Iokastê, were all won after the slaughter of monsters or serpents, while the triumphant return of Danaê with her son to Argos, after his toil is ended, is but the meeting of Herakles with Iolê, the return of the sun in the evening to the mother that bore him in the morning.

In the Theban legend the solar character of the hero is none Tho Thethe less apparent for the ethical tone which, as in the story of Croesus and in the great trilogy of Жschylos, has converted the myth into something like a philosophy of life. When once the results of old mythical phrases were submitted to a moral criticism, the new turn so given to the tale could not fail to give birth to an entirely new narrative ; but the earlier part of the legend exhibits the framework of many another tale of Aryan mythology. Oidipous is the son of Iokastê, whose name suggests those of Iolê, Iamos, or Iobates. Laios, like Akrisios, Priam, and Aleos, dreads his own child, exposes him on the rough hill side, ${ }^{1}$ while his gloomy and

[^180]Book negative character is in complete accord with that of Hekabê
I. or Lêtô. But the prophecy of Apollôn must be fulfilled. Oidipous, like Têlephos or Perseus, Romulus or Cyrus, grows up far away from his home, and like them, remarkable for strength, beauty, and vigour. The suspicion that he is not the child of his supposed mother, Meropê, sends him forth to Delphoi, and the homicide of Laios is the death of the parent of the sun, as the latter starts in his career. Then, like Perseus, Theseus, and Bellerophôn, Oidipous in his turn must destroy a monster which vexes the land of Kadmos; but with the strength of Herakles he unites the wisdom of Medeia and Asklepios, and the Sphinx, baffled by the solution of her riddle, leaps from the rock, and dies. This monster belongs, beyond doubt, to the class of which Python, Typhon, Vritra, Zohak, Fafnir, Cacus are examples. Few of these, however, represent precisely the same impressions. Fafnir is the dragon of winter, who guards the treasures of the earth within his pitiless folds. The Sphinx is the dark and lowering cloud, striking terror into the hearts of men and heightening the agonies of a time of drought, until Oidipous, who knows her mysterious speech-as the sun was said, in a still earlier age, to understand the mutterings of the grumbling thunder-unfolds her dark sayings, and drives her from her throne, just as the cloud, smitten by the sum, breaks into rain, and then vanishes away. His victory is won. The bright being has reached his goal, and the fair Iokastê becomes his bride. ${ }^{1}$ This point marks the close of the original myth; but Iokastê, his wife, is also his mother, and the morality of Greeks could not recognise a form of speech in which the same person might at once be the son and the husband of another. The relations of anthropomorphous gods were no longer interchangeable, as they appear in Vedic Hymns. From the union of a mother with her son,

[^181][^182]the moral sense of the Greek would turn with horror, ${ }^{1}$ and unconscious of the real nature of the incident so related, he would look at once for an awful recompense from the sleepless Erinys of the murdered Laios. Iokastê dies in her marriage-chamber, and in something of the spirit of the old tale, Oidipous must tear out his own eyes, as the light of the setting sun is blotted out by the dark storm-cloud. Henceforth the story is the expression of Greek ethics, until in the last scene (in the company of Theseus, the solar hero of Attica) he goes forth to die amid the blaze of the lightning: in the sacred grove of the Eumenides. The blinded Oidipous dies unseen ; but in his last hours he has been cheered by the presence of Antigone, the fair and tender light which sheds its soft hue over the Eastern heaven as the sun sinks in death beneath the western waters. Throughout the tale, whether in the slaughter of his father, or in his marriage with Iokastê, Oidipous was but fulfilling his doom. These things must be so. Herakles must see Iolê in the evening, Odysseus must journey homeward, Bellerophôn must wander westward to the Aleian plain, Kephalos must meet his doom at the Leukadian cape, as surely as the sun, once risen, must go across the sky, and then sink down into his bed beneath the earth or sea. It was an iron fate from which there was no escaping, and this teaching of the outer world evoked the awful 'A $\nu$ a' $\gamma \kappa \eta$, the invincible necessity, which urges on the wretched Oidipous, and explains the origin of that theological belief which finds its mightiest expression in the dramas which tell us of the sin of Agamemnon and the vengeance of Klytaimnêstra.

The Megarian stories, like those of Attica, form a tangled
${ }^{1}$ The morality of Assyrians was not so easily shocked. The marriage of mothers with sons is one of the institutions ascribed to Semiramis; but in the myth of Scmiramis wo have precisely the same elements which wo find in the stories of Cyrus, Romulus, Oidipous, Telephos, and others. Like them she is exposed in her infancy, saved by doves, and brouglat up by a shepherd. Like Inkaste, she is the wife of two husbands; and there is no reason why the legend should not have made her
the mother as well as the wife of Ninos. Semiramis is simply the dawn goddoss, the daughter of the fish-god Derketo, the lover of Tammuz, the counterpart of Aphroditê with the boy Adonis. We may therefore safely say that the Assyrian tradition of the marriage institutions of Semiramis is only another form of the myth which made Iokastê the wife and the mother of Oidipons, and with it we may compare tho origin of the Hindu rite of Sutter. See Dictionary of Literature, Science and Art, s.v.

CHAP. X.
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Book skein in which several threads of solar legend have been

The Athenian story. mingled. Minos is himself a son of Zeus, and the husband of Pasiphaê, whose name speaks of her at once as the daughter of Helios. The daughter of Nisos is smitten with the glorious beauty of his countenance, as is Echidna with that of Herakles; but the golden lock of her father, while it remains unshorn, is an invincible safeguard to the city against the assaults of the Cretan king. The love of Skylla is not thus to be disappointed. The lock is shorn ; and the name of the maiden (as the rending monster) shows how well she has served the enemy of her father and her kinsfolk.
In the Athenian story the same elements of solar legend are conspicuous. The myth of Kephalos is reproduced in that of Theseus, as the career of the Argive Herakles repeats that of his ancestor Perseus. Like these, Theseus is a slayer of monsters, and more especially of robbers and evil-doers, while, like Herakles, he forsakes those whom he loves, and has many loves in many lands. ${ }^{1}$ Armed with a sword (Sigurd's good sword Gram) welded of the same metal with the sword of Apollôn and the spear of Achilleus, he, like Skythes, in the tale of Echidna, ${ }^{2}$ wins the inheritance of his fathers, and becomes a companion of Meleagros, whose life is bound up with the burning brand. His descent to Hades is indeed disastrous; but the mishap is repaired by another solar legend. It is Herakles who delivers the wooer of Persephonê.

The story of the Pelopids.

A still more transparent solar tale is brought before us in the myth of the hero who gave his name to the Peloponnesos; but it tells us not so much of the might, and exploits of the being who represents the sun-god, as of his trealth and his wisdom and the fearful doom inflicted for his sin. The palace of the Phrygian king is but the golden house of Helios, from which Phaethôn went forth on his ill-starred journey. His wisdom is that keen insight into the counsels of Zeus which Phoibos cannot impart even to Hermes, the

[^183]messenger of the gods. His frequent converse with the king of gods and men is an image of the daily visit of Helios to the dizzy heights of heaven. The theft of nectar and ambrosia ${ }^{1}$ finds its parallel in the stealing of the fire by Prometheus; and the gift thus bestowed on his people is but the wealth which the sun brings from the sky, and bestows lavishly on the children of men. The slaughter of Pelops, and the serving up of his limbs to Zeus at the banquet, is as horrible as the tale which relates the birth of Erichthonios, ${ }^{2}$ but its meaning is as clear and as innocent. The genial warmth of the sun brings to light and life the fruits of the earth, which is his bride; his raging heat kills the very offspring in which he had delighted, and offers it up a scorched and withered sacrifice in the eyes of Zeus, the sky. The sentence passed upon him is in still closer accordance with the old mythical language. When Hermes first kindled a fire by rubbing together the dried branches of the forest, and slew one of the oxen of Phoibos in solemn sacrifice, he appeased not his hunger, ${ }^{3}$ for the wind may kindle the fire, but it cannot eat of that which the fire devours. So, too, Tantalos may gaze on sparkling waters and golden fruits; but if he stoops to drink, or puts forth his hand to the laden branches, the water is dried up as by the scorching wind of the desert, and in the words of the poet, ${ }^{4}$ only black mud and gaping clay remain in place of flowing streams, and the leaves wither away beneath the fierce glare of tropical noonday. In the rock which threatens to crush him, we see again only the Sphinx brooding over the devoted city, or the misshapen Polyphêmos hurling down huge crags on the ships of Odysseus-the unsightly offspring of the stormy sea, the huge cumulus cloud whose awful blackness oppresses both eye and heart as an omen of impending doom.

The Attic legend of Theseus is connected with the story of Ixîôn through his son Peirithoös, but this myth brings

The story of Ixion. before us only the action of the sum under another phase. It belongs perhaps to the least attractive class of Hellenic stories ; but its origin is as simple as that of the repulsive
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book legend of Erichthonios. The wheel of the sun is mentioned in many of the Vedic hymns, which speak of the battle waged by Dyaus, the heaven, to snatch it from the grasp of night. So Ixiôn loves Hêrê, the queen of the ether, the pure heaven, because Indra loves the Dawn and Phoibos longs for Daphnê. But he is also wedded to the mist, and becomes the father of the Kentaurs, perhaps the Sanskrit Gandharvas, the bright clouds in whose arms the sun reposes as he journeys through the sky. And so the tale went that in the clouds he saw the image of the lady Hêrê, and paid the penalty of his unlawful love. The idea of toil unwillingly borne, as by Herakles or Apollôn, again comes in; for the wheel of Ixiôn can never rest, any more than the sun can pause in his daily career. The legend is almost transparent throughout. As the wealth of Tantalos was the fruit which the genial sunshine calls up from the earth, the treasure-house of Ixiôn is the blazing orb of Helios, the abyss of consuming fire which devours the body of Hesioneus. ${ }^{1}$ The darkness and gloom which follow the treacherous deed of Ixiôn represent a time of plague and drought, during which the hidden sun was thought to bow himself before the throne of Zeus. But even yet the doom pursues him. He has scarcely sought pardon for one offence before he suffers himself to be hurried into another. Hêrê, the queen whose placid majesty reflects the solemn stillness of the blue heaven, fills with a new love the heart which had once beaten only for Dia. Each day his love grows warmer, as the summer sun gains a greater power. But the time of vengeance is at hand. As he goes on his way, he sees a form as of Hêrê, reposing in the arms of the clouds; but when he draws nigh to embrace her she vanishes, like Daphnê from the gaze of Phoibos, or Eurydikê from that of Orpheus; and he shares the doom of the Phrygian Tantalos and his counterpart, the crafty Sisyphos, in the traditional stories of Corinth.

Connection of these stories with the tribal or national names.

In all these legends and tales of cities and states whose rivalry was not always friendly, we trace precisely the same elements. The Argives and Athenians each regarded their own traditions as of independent growth; we have seen that

[^184]their belief was groundless. Differences of names and of local colouring prevented their eyes from discerning the sub-

CHAP. x . stantial identity of stories in which the very names, when they do not translate each other, have received their explanation from languages not known to Greeks or Romans. At once then we are driven to ask whether the same process which has explained the legends may not also throw light on the names of the cities or states themselves. If the former have been divested of all historical character, is it not possible that the latter may be really destitute of either historical or geographical value? The Ionians looked on the Dorians as on men of an antagonistic race; the Argives who fought with Agamemnon were arrayed against the Lykians who were ranged on the side of Hektor. But did their names in themselves betoken or warrant the feuds which had sprung up among them? The question is one in which it is impossible to do more than argue from the known to the unknown; and something is gained if facts which all would allow to be fairly ascertained justify the suspicion that the tribal or national names of the Aryan peoples have, in very few instances, any geographical significance, or furnish any grounds for drawing distinctions of blood between one tribe or clan and another. The few names belonging to the former class refer to the most prominent physical features of the country, or to the position of one part as distinguished from another. The Thrakians are plainly the inhabitants of a rough and rugged country. They are the Highlanders of the Greek regions, and may be ranged with the Albanians or dwellers among the Alps and hills; and their name falls into the same class with the names of certain Attic divisions, as of the Mesogaian and Diakrian tribes ascribed to the times of King Kranaos, and of the Pediaioi, Paraloi, and Hyperakrioi, the men of the plains, the sea-coast, and the hills.

But if some of the local tribes had names generally describing the nature of their position, the name of the whole Athenians. Athenian people had no such geographical meaning. Their title can be explained only through the meaning of that of Athênê ; and the process which has stripped of all historical value the traditions of the Argonautic expedition, of the tale
book of Troy, the Aiolic migrations, the Herakleid conquests,
I. leaves the origin of this name, and of all similar titles, if there should be any such, a purely philological question. Few probably will be unwilling to allow that this question may be regarded as settled. The name Athênê, in its Doric form Athânâ, is the name also of the Sanskrit Ahanâ and Dahanâ, the morning, and of the Greek Daphnê, who flies from the pursuit of the sun-god Phoibos. ${ }^{1}$ With scarcely an exception, all the names by which the virgin goddess of the Akropolis was known point to this mythology of the Dawn. The morning flashes up in full splendour from the eastern sky; and the phrase not only grew up into the story that Athênê sprang fully armed from the head of Zeus (Dyaus, the sky), but was represented by many epithets of which her worshippers had forgotten the meaning. Her name Tritogeneia was referred by grammarians to an Aiolic word, trito, denoting a head; but the myth is in no way affected if the name is to be referred to a Vedic god of the waters and the air. ${ }^{2}$ Athênê thus is but Aphroditê Anadyomenê, the dawn springing from the sky and the sea; and we see her at once as the Argive Akria, the Messenian Koryphasia, the Roman Capta, the goddess of the heights of heaven. The same idea is embodied in the name Kranaai, and in the eponymos Kranaos, who was invented to explain it. But the strength of the mythical tradition is shown most clearly in the feelings which centred in another epithet throughout probably the whole history of the Athenian people. It was hard for Athenians to turn a deaf ear to any one who spoke of them as the men of the bright and glistering city. ${ }^{3}$ When the comic poet twitted his countrymen with taking pleasure in a

[^185][^186]name fit enough for marking the shining of fish preserved in oil, he was unconsciously going back to the root which has supplied a name for the most beautiful beings of his own mythology. According to the old Vedic phrase, the horses of the Sun and the fire alike glisten with oil or are covered with fat; and the root har, modified from ghar, is seen in the Sanskrit Harits, the Greek Charites, and the Latin Gratiæ. ${ }^{1}$ The common origin of these names would be enough to show how Athens came to be so called, and why the epithet took so strong a hold on Athenian sentiment.

But if the Athenian name thus proclaimed them simply the children of the morning or the dawn, another epithet to which they clung with a jealous tenacity carries us to the title which, as they supposed, marked a generic distinction between themselves and the Spartans.

> Heretofore wherr the States' commissioners Came with an eye to bamboozle the town, Did they ever fail to address you As the men 'of the violet crown'? Straight at the word you were up in your seats. ${ }^{2}$

But to call the Athenians Iostephanoi was but to call them Ionians; and we cannot refuse to connect this name with the names of Iô, Iolê, Iolâos, Iobates, Iokastê, Iasôn, Iamos, and others-names which without exception belong to legends which are more or less transparent. In Io, the mother of the sacred bull, the mother also of Perseus and of Herakles; in Iole, the last comforter of the greatest of all the solar heroes; in Iokastê, the mother and the bride of Oidipous, we see the violet-tinted morning from which the sun is born, and who may be said either to fly from, or to be slain by, or wedded to her child. In Iolâos we have only a faint image of his kinsman Heralkles ; in Iobates we see the king of the far-off eastern land, at whose bidding Hipponoös goes forth to do battle with the monsters of darkness. Iasôn again is the husband of Medeia, the daughter of the Sun, endowed with the sun's mysterious wisdom and magic robe. ${ }^{3}$ In the

[^187][^188]CHAP. X.

The Ionians and Phenicians.
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Argives and Arkadians.
story of Iamos, the violet crown of Athens has become a bed of violet flowers; but Iamos is himself the child of the sungod Phoibos, and he receives his wisdom from the keensighted beings who draw the chariot of Medeia. ${ }^{1}$ In the name Ionians we have, then, a word strictly denoting colour and nothing more; and the colour is the tint which over-. spreads the sky before the rising of the sun. The word is thus identical in meaning with the name Phoinîkê (Phenicia), and Phenicia is accordingly the purple or blood-red land, where Eurôpê is born, and whence she is borne on the back of the snow-white bull westwards to Delphoi. The mame is purely Greek, and exhibits its full meaning when taken along with that of Telephassa, the mother of the broad day, who dies in the far west weary with searching for her child. The same purple colour is embodied in Phoinix, the early teacher of Achilleus, who recites to the wayward chief the story of the short-lived hero whose life is bound up with the torch of day. No distinction of race is therefore denoted by the names whether of Ionians or Phenicians.

In historical Hellas the Argives were a people inhabiting about a fifth part of the Peloponnesos. If the Iliad is to be regarded as throwing any light on the conditions of an earlier time, the name Argos had a far more extensive application. It was a territory rather than a city or a state, and with the exception of the islands over which he ruled, it formed the whole of the dominion of Agamemnon. But the name itself was not confined to the Pelopomesos; and whatever be the explanation to be given of it, it must be applicable to every extra-Peloponnesian Argos, and to the ship which carried the Achaian chieftains on the quest of the Golden Fleece. The word reappears in the title of Aphrodite Argynnis, and in Argennos the supposed favourite of
names as Odysseus and Oidipous. A similar confusion between ios an arrow, and ids poison, fastened on Herakles and Philoktetes the practice of using poisoned arrows (Max Müller, Lectures, second series, 292). It is, therefore, quite likely that the worship of Iaso, as tho daughter of Asklopios, may be the result of the same forgetfulness of the meaning

[^189]Agamemnon, and this epithet has been identified with the Sanskrit Arjunin, the brilliant, a name for the morning. ${ }^{1}$

CHAP. X. Here again, then, we have a name denoting brilliancy, and we see at once that Argos Panoptes, the guardian of Io, is with his thousand eyes only another image of St. Ursula and her eleven thousand maidens, or of Tara Bai in the folk-lore of southern India, ${ }^{2}$ while the word itself carries us to the shining metal, the Greek argyros and the Latin argentum, silver. Whatever then the Argo may be, it is clearly the bright vessel in which the children of the sun go to seek the lost light of day, and in which they return possessed of the golden robe in the morning. It is in short, the Sanskrit archî, light, and arkâh, the sun himself; ${ }^{3}$ and thus the process which has explained the name of the Argive people explains also that of the Arkadians, whose mythology runs riot in the equivocal uses of words all originally denoting brilliancy. The eponym Arkas, is like Argos, a son of Zeus, Kallistô being the mother of the former, Niobê of the latter. But in the story of Kallistô we have precisely that same confusion of thought which in India converted the seven shiners, or strewers of light, into seven sages, and in the West changed them intwbears or waggons. The root, in short, furnished a name for stars, bears, and poets alike; and when its first meaning faded from the mind, the myth took the forms with which we are now familiar. ${ }^{4}$ In the west, the old word arksha as a name for star became confused with the Greek arktos, the Latin Ursa, the name for the golden bear, (the names Argos and Ursula being thus etymologically the same), and the story went that Kallistô, the most brilliant of all the daughters of Zeus, was changed into a bear by Hêrê, as she changed Iô into a heifer. The version given by Hyginus brings before us another transformation; in it Arkas is the son of Lykaon, and Lykaon is

[^190][^191]BOOK changed into a wolf for his impiety in offering human flesh as food to Zeus. The story is simply another version of the myth of Tantalos and Pelops, and the solar character of the one must be extended to the other. The confusion between Leukos, brilliant, and Lukos, a wolf was as natural and inevitable as between arksha and arktos, and the readiness with which the one name would suggest the other is shown in the passage where 巴Æschylos makes the Theban maidens pray that the Lykian or bright god might become a very Lykeian or wolf to their enemies. ${ }^{1}$

Delians and Iykians.

We come to a class of names, the original significance of which is even less disguised. Unless we are prepared to maintain that Phoibos received his name Delios because he was born in Delos, we are compelled to account for the name of the island from the myth of the god for whom it is said to have furnished a birth-place. But this myth is so indisputably solar that all further discussion on the character of the legend becomes superfluous. The word itself denotes the kindling of the heaven which goes before the sumrise; and although it is possible that the coincidence between the local name and the myth may in any one given case be accidental, such a supposition becomes desperate when we find the same coincidence running through many myths in many countries. If the lord of light is born in Delos, he is born also in the Lykian land. Phoibos is Lykêgenês, lightborn, not less than Delian: and through that far off-eastern land flows the golden stream of Xanthos, watering the realm in which Sarpêdôn and Glaukos bare rule. But Sarpêdôn is a name which has been traced to the same root with the names of Hermes and Helen, of Saramâ, Saranyû and Erinys, and it expresses the flushing of the heaven after dawn, as the name of his friend Glaukos also denotes the brightening light of Athênê Glaukôpis. ${ }^{2}$. Another chieftain of this morn-

[^192][^193]ing-land is Iobates, whose name, as we have seen, has the same meaning as that of Phoinix; and Phenicians and

CHAP. X. Lykians alike existed only in the terminology of the Greeks. If we are to follow Herodotos, ${ }^{1}$ the people of the country to which he gave the name of Lykia, called themselves Termilai, and the name of the Athenian Lykos the son of Pandion was drawn in to explain the origin of the new title, as the name of the Arkadian Lykâon might have been used, had the Attic mythical genealogy failed to supply one. Thus Delians and Lykians are also, like the Athenians and the Lucanians of southern Italy, the people of the dawn land; but the versions of the myth are countless, and they all carry us back to mythical phrases of the like kind. According to one story, Artemis, like Phoibos, is born in Delos; according to another, in Asteria the starland; in others Asteria and Ortygia are other names for Delos itself. But the name Ortygia points in Greek to the worl Ortyx, a quail, and there was no lack of myths to be localised, whether in the Egean island or in the islet off the eastern shore of Sicily near to Syracuse. In one Zeus changes Lêtô into a quail, from the same motive which led him to transform Iô into a heifer : in another he himself becomes a quail in order to approach the goddess, as for the same reason he assumes the form of a golden shower in the story of Danaê. In yet another, the children are born in Asteria, and Lêtô takes them thence into Lykia, where she vainly tries to bathe them in the fountain of Melitê : but by the same confusion which produces the myth of Lykâon and possibly all the modern superstitions of Lykanthropy, wolves come to the aid of the goddess, and carry her to the stream of Xanthos. ${ }^{2}$ In all these legends the only name which calls for any comment is that of Ortygia, and Ortygia itself is only the dawn-land. 'The quail in Sanskrit is called Vartikî, i.e. the returning bird, one of the first birds that return with the return of spring.' ${ }^{3}$ The name, it is obvious, might be applied to the dawn, as naturally as the setting sun might become

[^194][^195] 506.
book in the Sanskrit Bhekî and the frog-princess of the German
I.

Ethiopians.

Danaans and Achaians. tale. ${ }^{1}$

The myth of the Lykian Sarpêdôn has a close affinity with that of the Ethiopian Memnôn; and in the Ethiopians who fight at Troy we have another people for whom it becomes impossible to find a local earthly habitation. The story explains itself. The tears which Eôs sheds on the death of her child are morning dew. The men who follow him are, according to the Herodotean story, exempt from the ills of humanity; and their tables are always loaded with banquets which no labour of theirs has provided. It may amuse historians to regard this mysterious people as the invaders and conquerors of the so-called Chaldæan empire; but no historical inference can be drawn from any mention of them in the Iliad or Odyssey. The name itself is as purely Greek as are the names of the Phenicians and the Lykians, and any explanation given of it must also explain the names Aithon, Aithylla, Aithra, Aithrios, Aithousa. But when we have done this, we shall find the Ethiopians dwelling, not as Mr. Rawlinson believes, on the south coast both of Asia and Africa, and as divided by the Arabian Gulf into Eastern and Western, Asiatic and African, ${ }^{2}$ but in the bright Aithêr, the ethereal home of Zeus himself, far above the - murky air of our lower world.

There remain yet two names, which, according to Thucy-

[^196][^197]dides, ${ }^{1}$ were applied in the time of the Homeric poets to the tribes afterwards known collectively as Hellenic. In Mr.

CHAP. X. Gladstone's judgment, the followers of Agamemnon were called Danaans in their military capacity, the name Argive being used as a geographical designation, while that of Achaians was confined to the ruling tribe. ${ }^{2}$ How vague the name Argive is as a local term, we have already seen; and even if the other two names are used in these senses, we are obviously no nearer to their original meaning. The quantity of the first syllable is urged as a reason for not identifying the term Danaans with Ahanâ, Dahanâ, and Daphnê, n'ames of the dawn. At the least, it must be admitted that the word must be taken along with the stories of Danaê the mother of Perseus, and of Danaos with his fifty daughters. Of these the former is throughout strictly solar. ${ }^{3}$ If, however, Niebuhr be right, the one reason for not holding Danaê and Daphnê to be different forms of the same name loses its force, for in his judgment the word reappears in Italy under a form more closely allied to Daphnê than to Danaê, and the Latins who regarded themselves as if coming of the pure Trojan stock, bore precisely the same name with the enemies of Priam and of Hektor. ${ }^{4}$ So unsubstantial, in all that relates to names, are the bases on which distinctions of race and political attractions and animosities have been made to depend, that we might well look with patience on the arguments by which Mr. Gladstone ${ }^{5}$ seeks to connect the names of the Western Achaians and the Persian Achaimenidai, if the names were not adduced as the evidence of ethmo-
possilly have moant what he is thus supposed to moan. 'Whether the explanations of comparative mythologists be right or wrong, it is certain that the poet camnot mean a people who were neither toward the rising nor the setting sun relatively to himself.'- Hdinburgh $R e$ view, January 1807, p. 117.
1 I. 3.
${ }_{2}$ Homer and the Homeric Age, vol. i. p. 346 , \&c.
${ }^{3}$ See page 227, \&ce.
4 'Danai and Daunii are unquestionably the same, and the Daunii are clearly allied to the Tyrrhenian race. Danaê is said to have founded the Pelasgico-

Tyrrhenian Ardea, and on tho other hand the father of Tyrrhonus ( $=$ Turnus) was, according to some, called Daunus ancl his mother Danaê. Daunus and Launus again are the same, $d$ and $l$ in Latin and in the so-called Folic dialect being always exchanged for one another, as in sáкpoov and lacryma, Ducetizs and Leucetius. Launa, Lavinia, and Lavinium are the same as the different names of the Latins, Lavini, Lakini, Latini, and all these names are identical with Danai.'-Niebuhr, Lectures on Ancient History, xxii.
${ }^{5}$ Homer and the Homeric Age, i. 559, \&c.

B0OK I.

The Hellênes and Aiolians.
logical affinity. Certainly it is not much more surprising that Persian and Hellenic tribes should bear the same name, than that the Hindu of the Vedic age and the Persian of our own day should both speak of themselves as Aryans. ${ }^{1}$ But the Achaian name is too manifestly linked with that of Achilleus to allow any explanation to pass unchallenged which does not apply alike to both. It is enough to say that, so far as we may form a judgment, it must be placed in the class of tribal names which had originally the same meaning with those of Phenicians, Lykians, Delians, Arkadians, Athenians, and Ionians. ${ }^{2}$

We reach at last the great name which imparted something like a national character to the centrifugal tribes known to us as Greek: and at once it may be said that the name Hellênes was no more distinctive than that of Ionians or Dorians, Delians and Ortygians, Arkadians and Lykians. Under another form it expresses only the same idea of ${ }^{\text { }}$ brightness, with a reservation which limits it to the brightness of the sun. Whether there be, as Mr. Gladstone supposes, ${ }^{3}$ the same ethnical connection between the Hellenes of the West and the Eelliats of Persia, which, following the popular Argive tradition, ${ }^{4}$ he assumes between the men of Argos as descended from Perseus and the people of the EteoPersian province of Fars, is a question with which we need not concern ourselves. Although the possibility of such a connection cannot be denied, the reality of it cannot be inferred from names which carry us into the regions of cloudland. But of the philological identity of the names Hellên, Hellas, Hellê, Helloi and Selloi,5 Sellêeis, and

[^198][^199]Hellôtis as a name of Athênê, or again of these names with Helios, Eëlios, and the Latin Sol, there can be no question. Here then we have another group of names, every one of which resolves itself into the idea of solar brightness, for the root sur, to glitter, furnished the special Sanskrit name for the Sun whether male or female. ${ }^{1}$ Hence, as we might expect, the mythical genealogy of the Hellênes plays throughout on the ideas of light and darkness. For Hellên himself is in one form of the legend the son of Deukalion and Pyrrha, names which connect themselves with such words as Polydeukes, Phoinix, and Ion ; in another, he is the child of Zeus, the gleaming heaven, and Dorippê. Of his children one is the dusky Xouthos, another the flashing Aiolos, a name which must be traced seemingly to the same root with the Aithêr of Zeus and the Aithiopians (Ethiopians) of the Odyssey. The two sons of the dark Xouthos are the eponyms of the Ionian and Achaian clans; but Iôn shares his name with other violet-coloured mythical creations, and Achaios with Achilleus must, it would seem, be referred to the Vedic solar hero Aharyu. Thus, with the Delians, Lykians, and Ortygians, the Hellênes are, like the people of Khorassan, simply the children of the light and the sun, and the Hellespont marks their pathway. ${ }^{2}$

By this name all the tribes and clans who traced their descent from Hellên and Deukalion acknowledged the bonds of affinity which, as they supposed, connected them with each other. A strictly local or geographical name it never became. Wherever the Hellênes went, they carried Hellas with them. It might be scattered among the islands of the Egean ; it might be fixed on the mainland between that sea and the Hadriatic ; it might be transferred to the soil of Italy; but the dwelling-place of the children of the Sun retained everywhere the same name. ${ }^{3}$ As Hellênes they

[^200][^201]CHAP. X. Greeks and Hesperians.

BOOK
had a bond of union, which was the nearest Greek approach to the modern idea of nationality, and which in greater or less degree counteracted or softened the animosities of Dorians and Ionians, of Athenians and Spartans, of Argives and Achaians. And yet the name which thus served to link them together with some sort of friendly or even national feeling was a word of precisely the same meaning with the tribal or local names which were supposed to denote some real distinctions of blood. But this was not the title by which they were known in Western Europe. It never came into common use among the Latins, who spoke of them as Graii, and Græci or Greeks. Of any such people to the east of the Hadriatic the earliest notice is in the statement of Aristotle, that the people dwelling round the Thesprotian Dodona were called Graikoi before they were called Hellênes. ${ }^{1}$ Following the path in which the names thus far examined assuredly lead us, we should expect to find in Western Hellas some names denoting the gloaming or doubtful light of eventide. As Perseus journeyed westward, he came to the land of the Graiai, or gray beings, before he reached the gloomy dwelling of the Gorgons. To the inhabitants of Thessaly, Epeiros was the gray land of the setting sun, and here accordingly we find the Graioi. But this name, it would seem, must have been accepted as a local name for the country to the west of mount Pindos, before the Latin tribes had any knowledge of their Eastern neighbaurs. The name Hesperia, which the Hellênes applied to Italy, the Latins never acknowledged for themselves; and with Virgil the use of it is due merely to the poet's fancy. Graians and Hesperians are thus alike the people of the dusky land, the Epeirot tribes acknowledging the name because it was applied to them by their immediate kinsfolk, the Italians ignoring it, or possibly not knowing it as a word belonging to another language. ${ }^{2}$

Italian and Teutonic tribal names.

But if the Latin name has any connection with that of the Danaoi, it becomes at the least possible that other tribal

[^202]names of the countries east of the Hadriatic may be found on the great Italian peninsula : and thus, following the law
 which modifies the Sanskrit apa, water, into the Greek Achelôos, Acheron, and Axios, and the Latin aqua, we should expect that the name of the Achaians, if it reappeared at all, would undergo a similar change. Apulians and $\mathbb{E}$ quians we do find ; and it remains to be proved whether the coincidence be or be not accidental. That the same names should be used in common by tribes whose dialects are so closely akin as those of the Greeks and Latins, is assuredly not antecedently improbable : and thus some colour is furnished for the inferences of Niebuhr, who traces to these two forms a very large proportion of the tribal names of the Italian peninsula. ${ }^{1}$ Such a name as that of the Rutulians forces on us a comparison with that of Argives, Arkadians, and Phenicians : and the mythology of Virgil points in the same direction. ${ }^{2}$ Whether this identity be established or not, the instances already adduced suffice to show that, with scarcely an exception, the Greek tribal names are merely words denoting colour, and all pointing in the same direction of mythopœic or radical metaphor. That the same process should go on among all peoples speaking kindred dialects, is no more than we should expect; and the expectation will be fully justified. The English Baldringas are children of the Sun not less than the Hellenes, the Athenians, and the Lykians, and they still have their home at Baldringham. Another Teutonic Sun-god, the Eddic Tyr, the English Tiw, had his dwelling and his children at Tewing and Tewesley. The sons of Thunder and grinding War gave their names to

[^203][^204]BOOK Thorington and Eormington. Nay, the very names of Yng: and Isco, the two sons of Man the son of Tiw, are merely words denoting the ash tree, from which, according to both German and Hellenic notions, some of the human races had sprung. ${ }^{1}$

From all these names no further ethnological conclusion can be drawn than that all the nations and tribes speaking Aryan dialects are sprung from ancestors who once dwelt somewhere as a single people. As evidence for narrower distinctions they are worthless. Argives and Athenians, Ionians and Arkadians, may have regarded each other as aliens, but their names have all the same meaning; and all their legends of prehistoric migrations and conquests resolve themselves into the great journey and the mighty battle which is repeated every morning and evening through all the seasons of the rolling year. We can no longer look to movements of Aiolians, Argives, or Herakleids as throwing light on the distribution of the Hellenic tribes in historical times. ${ }^{2}$ The facts of that distribution must be received as they are given to us by the most trustworthy contemporary historians : to reason back from history into the regions of myth is an occupation not more profitable than the attempt to fill a sieve with water.

[^205][^206]
## CHAPTER XI. <br> Mythical phrases furnisming the matarials of the homeric poems.

If the history of Greek literature to the close of the Chap. Peloponnesian War shows that the poems to which we give the name of Homer did not constitute the Homer of the lyric, tragic, or comic poets, and that our Iliad and Odyssey were, in the precise form transmitted to us, either unknown to them, or (what is altogether improbable), unpopular if known, these conclusions, it must not be forgotten, are only negative. The most zealous Euemerists of the present day admit that ' the general scheme of the lliad existed before the days of Homer;' the most advanced sceptics have never supposed that the later poets of the Iliad and the Odyssey invented the materials of which they have made use. It is even likely that large portions of our Iliad and Odyssey may have existed substantially in their present shape long before the days of 础schylos and Sophokles; and even if we say that these two poems were thrown into their final form not long before the time of Plato, we do but say that from the vast mass of Homeric literature the poets chose those portions which from their general tone of thought and feeling were most congenial to the sentiment of the age in which they lived, that from the stories so chosen they removed unpleasant roughnesses and archaisms, and kept as much in the background as they could the ruder and more savage features of the traditions followed by the great tragic poets. ${ }^{1}$

[^207]literature wonld nocessarily leave tho starnp of the old authorship upon it, and so it would remain "Homer" still.' Not only, therefore, are the objections groundless which urge that no historical

Book In short, as soon as we have dismissed all speculations on
I. possible historical quarrels fought out on the shores of the Propontis, as soon as we allow that if the Homeric poems turn on any historical quarrel at all, that quarrel must be carried back to an age indefinitely preceding the first dispersion of the Aryan tribes, we are at once left free to account for the origin and the growth of Homeric materials; and the shutting up of all other pathways shows, that if the question is ever to he answered, it can be answered only by following the track of Comparative Mythology.

But the evidence which disproves the assertion of Bunsen, that our Miad is 'the sacred ground-work of lyrical poetry no less than of the drama, ${ }^{1}$ invalidates at the same time all those arguments from the silence of our Homeric poems, on which some recent writers have been disposed to lay much stress. These arguments at best cannot reach very far. The epithet which speaks of Zeus as a son of Kronos implies a knowledge of dynasties among the gods; and the weight of proof lies therefore with those who maintain that the framer of the Iliad had never heard the story of Prometheus. Another epithet implies the knowledge that Achilleus was to die young, even if we put aside the passage which speaks of his death in the Odyssey. The poet of our Mliad knew that Paris was called Alexandros; and it is impossible to show that he was unacquainted with the myths which professed to explain the origin of this name. He also knew that the whole expedition of the Achaians against Troy was but an incident in the epical history of Paris, for the very cause of the war is that Paris came and stole Helen from the house of Menelaos. He knew further, for he tells us plainly, that the inaction of Achilleus had its counterpart in the inaction of Paris; and if he tells us how, after his long fit of sullen. anger, Achilleus came forth in all his old energy, he also knew that Paris was not to be always idle, and that from him Achilleus himself was to receive his death-wound. ${ }^{2}$ Nothing
eridence exists of any new Homer having superseded the old Homer,' but it follows that the poets would 'retain the general archaic type of the heroic manners and dialogue.'
${ }^{1}$ God in History, book iv. ch. viii.
 ' $A \pi \delta \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$
 $\pi \dot{\nu} \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu$.
ll. xxii. 359.
less than the clearest proof that our Homer was the Homer of Pindar, Æschylos, and Sophokles, can weaken the conclusion that our poems are compilations, made for a purpose, from the rast existing mass of Homeric literature. If this purpose was to supply, 'in a convenient and symmetrical form, the most celebrated and most engrossing incidents of the war, ${ }^{1}$ it is unreasonable to look to the Miad for notices of myths which lay beyond the region of the poet's immediate subject, and it clearly did not concern him to go through the genealogies of the Hesiodic theogony even if he knew them. His task was to exhibit a few incidents in the special career of Paris on the one side and of Achilleus on the other; and if he knew that these incidents were linked with others of which he does not speak, it only remains to point out resemblances which probably escaped his notice, and to account for their occurrence. It is of the very essence of mythology that the original signification of the names which serve as the groundwork of its narratives should be only in part remembered. The author of the hymn to Hermes had at best only an intermittent consciousness that he was simply relating the rivalry of the wind and the sun; but he knew enough of the attributes of Hermeias to write a poem, almost every line of which points to the mythical speech of which the tale is a petrifaction. The author of the Iliad may not have felt that Achilleus was but a reffection of Tantalos and Txion, Sisyphos and Lykaôn : but his language throughout the poem harmonises strangely with the mythical phrases which speak of the lord of day when he hides away his face behind the clouds. He could not know that the Northman, even then wandering in regions which for the Achaian had no existence, was framing the tale which grew up into the epic of the Volsungs and the Nibelungs, and that in that tale Achilleus and his mother Thetis were represented by Sigurd and his mother Hjordis. With the cause of the expedition to Troy he had no immediate concern. He tells us, in passing, the cause of the war; but his theme is the wrath of the great chieftain from Phthia, and he has kept to that theme with wonderful fidelity, if not to the

[^208]BOOK
I.

Greek nature, yet to the old mythical speech. For after the admission of critics opposed to the hypothesis of Wolf, that the fragments in which the Homeric text was handed down from remote antiquity 'were cast and recast, stitched together, unstitched again, handled by uncritical and unscrupulous compilers in every possible way, ${ }^{1}$ it is impossible to dispute the conclusion that those portions of the poem which relate exclusively to the independent exploits of the other chiefs were at some later time embodied into a poem which may conveniently be termed an Achillêis. ${ }^{2}$ Nor, if it be necessary to account for this insertion, have we far to go for a reason. The theme chosen by the author of the Achillêis confined him to a period of comparative inaction. The valour of the Achaians could only be asserted by an independent poem which showed that they were not helpless ${ }^{3}$ even without the aid of the great son of Peleus. It is not surprising that the two poems should, with others which fitted in with the general plan, have been gradually blended together. ${ }^{4}$

[^209]theory on the ground of a supposed moral consistency in the character of Achilleus, while it also shows that the writer of the Achillêis knew nothing of the first effort for reconciliation. See Muir, Sanskrit Texts, part iv. ch. ii. sect. 3, where the like reasons are urged for regarding certain passages in the MahâWhârata as interpolations.
${ }^{3}$ Culonel Mure, strangely enough, soes in Il. ii.-rii. nothing but a catalogue of disasters, bringing misery and disgrace on tho Argive hosts. Crit. Hist. Gr. Lit. vol. i. p. 256. Mr. Grote, far more truly, says that the great chiefs are 'in full force at the begimning of the eleventh book.' - History of Greece, part i. ch. xxi.

* It would seem that the chief error of Wolf and his followers was the attempt to fix the date of this combination, which they attributed to Peisistratos. The acknowledged antiquity of the materials led them naturally to throw back, as far as possible, their work of bringing them into their present shape. The question loses much of the factitious importance givon to it by anti-Wolfian crities, when the unhistorical character of the mhole narrative of the Iliad has

Thus was produced an epic as magnificent as it is complicated; but through all its intricacy may be traced the thread of the original myth : and the fact that it may be so traced becomes the more remarkable as we realise the extent to which the process of disintegration has been carried on. If the poem does not exhibit the systematised theogony of Hesiod, still Phoibos is in it a person distinct from Helios, Artemis, or Athênê. Hekabê is no longer identified with Selênê or Lêtô: Zeus is no longer one with Ouranos. Only a few signs remain of that interchangeable character which is so prominent in the gods of the earlier Vedic poems. And further, the Miad, by the admission alike of those who uphold and of those who reject the Wolfian theory, necessarily exhibits the later elements which must spring up with the growth of a definite religion, and the developement of something like civil government. Still, on the Trojan shore, facing the island of Tenedos, the old tale is repeated, which assumes a gloomier form in the mythology of the North. The mighty Achilleus, over whose childhood had watched Phoinix (the purple cloud), is there to fight, but, like Bellerophon, as he insists emphatically, in no quarrel of his own. ${ }^{1}$ A hard toil is before him, but, as with Herakles, the honour which he wins is not to be his own. ${ }^{2}$ Like Herakles, again, and Perseus and Theseus, his limbs are strong, and his heart knows no fear. In place of the sword of Apollôn, the Chrysâôr, or the Teutonic Sigurd, he has the unerring spear which no mortal can wield but himself. ${ }^{3}$ Still, like Herakles and Apollôn and Perseus and Bellerophôn, he is practically the servant of one on whom he looks down with a deserved contempt. ${ }^{4}$ On him falls all the labour of war, but the spoil which. he wins with his bow and spear must pass into the
been clearly shown on grounds quito unconnected with the timo of their composition. The real facts of Greek literary history lead Mr. Puley to the conclusion 'that there is not one shadow or tittle of proof that the Homer which we have was the Homer that Peisistratos is said, whether truly or not, to have collected and introduced into Athens.' The late Date of our Iliad and Odyssey, 7.
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4 This contempt is fully expressed Il. i. 225-231.

CEAP. XI.

The tale of the Achillêis.
${ }^{\text {BOOK }}$ T. hands of Agamemnon, ${ }^{1}$ as those of Herakles fall to the lot of
r. Eurystheus. Still he has his consolation. He is cheered by the love of Hippodameia ${ }^{2}$ (the tamer of the horses of the Sun). But even Brisêis he must now give up, as Herakles was compelled to part from Iolê. At the very thought of losing her, his passion overleaps all barriers; but his rage is subdued by the touch of Athênê, the daughter of Zeus, the sky. ${ }^{3}$ He must yield, but with Brisêis vanishes the light of his life, and he vows a solemn vow that henceforth in the war the Achaians shall look in vain for his aid. ${ }^{4}$ He hangs up his sword and spear in his tent, takes off his glittering armour, and the Argive warriors see the face of the bright hero no more. Yet even the fierceness of his wrath cannot avail to keep entirely in the background another feature in which he resembles Herakles, Sigurd, Theseus, and Iasôn. Brisêis is gone, but Diomêdê, the daughter of Phorbas, supplies her place, as Oinônê gives way to Helen, and the wise Medeia to the daughter of the Argive Kreôn. But the mind of Achilleus remains unchanged. His wrath is terrible as the wrath of the angry sun, and he bids Thetis, his mother, go to the throne of Zeus, who dwells in the bright ether, and pray him to send such a storm as may well make the Achaians rate their ling at his true value. ${ }^{5}$ The darkness thickens, but at first the Achaians care not. Zeus alone knows and proclaims that the fortunes of the Argives themselves must remain under the cloud until Achilleus again goes forth to battle. ${ }^{6}$ His words are soon accomplished. The knowledge that the great champion of the Argives no longer takes part in the war inspires the Trojans with fresh strength. The storm-clouds rise with greater volume when

[^210][^211]the light of the sun is blotted out of the sky. Still the great chiefs of the Argives stand forth in unabated confidence; ${ }^{1}$ but Agamemnon, Odysseus, and Diomêdes are soon wounded in the fight, and the Achaians begin to realise their grievous loss. Their misery excites the compassion of Patroklos, in whom the character of Achilleus is reflected, as is that of Helios in Phaëthôn, or that of Odysseus in Telemachos. ${ }^{2}$ .Melted by the tears of his friend, Achilleus gives him his own armour, and bids him go forth to aid the Argives. But, with this charge he joins a caution. Phaëthôn must not touch. with his whip the horses of Helios. ${ }^{3}$ Patroklos must not drive the chariot of Achilleus on any other path than that which has been pointed out to him. ${ }^{4}$ But although Patroklos can wear the armour of Achilleus, he cannot wield his spear." The sword and lance of Apollôn and Perseus, of Theseus and Artemis, may be touched by no other hands than their own. Patroklos is ready for the fight, and yoked to the car of Achilleus stand the immortal horses Xanthos and Balios (golden and speckled as a summer sky), which Podarge, the glistening-footed, bare to Zephyros, the strong west wind, near the shore of the Ocean strearn. ${ }^{6}$ The sun is breaking. out for a moment through the mist. Like hungry wolves, the Myrmidons (the streaming rays) stand forth to arm themselves at the bidding of their chieftain. ${ }^{7}$ For a time the strength of Achilleus nerves the arm of Patroklos, so that

[^212][^213]CHAP. XI.

BOOK he can smite Sarpêdôn, the great chief of the Lykians, in whose veins runs the blood of Bellerophôn, and for whom the bitter tears of Zeus fall in big drops of rain from the sky. ${ }^{1}$ But the transient splendour is soon dimmed. It was but the semblance of the sun looking out from the dark cloud; and Patroklos, therefore, meets his doom. But the poet recurs unconsciously to the old myth, and it is Apollôn who disarms Patroklos, ${ }^{2}$ although it is Hektor who slays him. The immortal horses weep for his death and the fall of their charioteer Automedon, while Zeus mourns that ever he bestowed them as a gift on so mean and wretched a thing as man. ${ }^{3}$ In the feariul struggle which follows for the body of Patroklos, the clouds are seen fighting a fierce battle over the sun, whose splendour they have for a time extinguished. The ragged and streaming vapours which rush across the sky have their counterpart in the throng of Trojans who fling themselves like hounds on the wounded boar. ${ }^{4}$ But a fiercer storm is raging behind the dark veil. Beneath the 'black cloud of his sorrow' the anguish of Achilleus is preparing an awful vengeance. ${ }^{5}$ The beauty of his countenance is marred, but the nymphs rise from the sea to comfort him, ${ }^{6}$ as foll still say, 'the sun drinks,' when the long rays stream slantwise from the clouds to the waters beneath. One desire alone fills his heart, the burning thirst for vengeance; but when Thetis warns him that the death of Heltor must soon be followed by his own, ${ }^{7}$ his answer is that the destruction of his great enemy will be ample recompense for his own early doom. Even Herakles, the dearest of the sons of Zeus,
єॅр $\varsigma \zeta$. Il. xvi. 459.
${ }^{2}$ Il. xvi. 790, к.т. $\lambda$. This was a
strict mythical necessity; yet Colonel
Mure lays groat stress on it as showing
the cowardice and brutality of Hektor.
Crit. Hist. vol. i. p. 281. The result of
his method is, that he finds himself com-
pelled on every occasion to vilify the
Trojans for the exaltation of their
enemies. In a less degree, Mr. Glad-
stone's criticism lies open to the same
remark.
${ }^{3}$ Il. xvii. $444 . \quad{ }^{4}$ Ib. xvii. 725.
$\lambda \downarrow \psi \in \mu_{\epsilon} \lambda^{\prime} \alpha \iota \nu \alpha$. Ib. xvii1, 22.

[^214]had submitted to the same hard lot. ${ }^{1}$ His mind is made up. He retains still the unerring spear. It remains only that he
cmar.
X. should wait for the glistening armour wrought on the anvil of the fire-god Hephaistos. But, although the hour of his vengeance is not yet come, his countenance still has its terrors, and the very sight of his form ${ }^{2}$ fills the Trojans with dismay, as they hear his well-known war-cry. His work is in part done. The body of Patroklos is recovered as the sun goes down unwillingly into the stream of ocean. ${ }^{3}$ Then follows the awful vow of Achilleus. There shall be a goodly mourning for Patroklos. The life-blood of twelve Trojans shall gush in twelve streams on the altar of sacrifice, ${ }^{4}$ like the torn and crimsoned clouds which stream up into the purple heaven when the angry sun has sunk bencath the sea. But the old phrases, which spoke of Helios or Herakles as subject to death, still spoke of both as coming forth conquerors of the power which had seemed to subdue them; and, true to the ancient speech, the poet makes Thetis assure her son that no hurtful thing shall touch the body of Patroklos, and that, though it should lie untended the whole year round, his face should wear at its close a more glorious and touching beauty." The end draws nigh. The very helmsmen leave the ships as they hear the cry of Achilleus calling them once again to battle. ${ }^{5}$ His wrongs
${ }^{1}$ Il. xviii. 117.
${ }^{2} 16$. xviii. 205 . Here the sun is not,
unclouded. So Achilleus has about; his
head a golden clowd ( $\chi$ pú $\sigma \in o \nu \nu \dot{\text { éqos }}$ ), aud
the glory streams from him like smoke
going up to hoaven. The rays of the
sun are burstine from the cloud.
${ }^{3}$ Il. xviii. 210.
4 17. xviii. 336.
èvtavrì,
ג $\rho \in i \omega \nu$. Il. xix. 33.

This incorruptibility of the borlies of solar heroes is strikingly brought out in modern Hindu legonds, which are, as we might expoct, even more transparent than those of the Teutonic nations. Thus, when the destined husband of Panch Phal Ranee dies on the seventh hedge of spears, her father asks, "How is it that lhe thas dazzles our eyes?' and the glory shines

[^215]BOOK shall be redressed. Agamemnon, the king, will yield to him the maiden whom he had taken away, and with her shall come other maidens not less fair, and gifts of priceless beauty. ${ }^{1}$ But, with a persistency which, except by a reference to the sources of the myth, is at best a dark riddle, Agamemnon asserts his own innocence. 'I am not guilty,' he said. 'The blame rests with Zeus and Moira (who fixes the lot of man), and Erinys, who wanders in the air.' So the old wrong is atoned. The gifts are placed before him. The fair maidens come forth from the tent, but, with a singular fidelity to the old legend, Brisêis comes last of all, ${ }^{2}$ beautiful and pure as in the hour when he parted from her, ${ }^{3}$ even as Oinônê in her unsullied loveliness appears by the side of the dying Paris, or Iolê by the pyre of Herakles. Then it is that Achilleus forgives the wrong done to him, but repeats the riddle which lurked in the words of Agamemnon. It was not anything in the son of Atreus that could really call forth his wrath. 'He could never, in his utter helplessness, have taken the maiden from me against my will ; but so Zeus would have it, that the doom of many Achaians might be accomplished.'4 So he bids them go and eat, and make ready for the fight; but when Agamemnon would have Achilleus himself feast with them, the answer is that the time for the banquet is not yet come. His friend lies unavenged, and of neither meat nor drink will he taste till his last fight is fought and won. ${ }^{5}$ The same truthfulness to the old idea runs through the magnificent passage which tells of the arming of Achilleus. The helmets of the humbler warriors are like the cold snow-flakes which gather in the north. ${ }^{6}$ But when Achilleus dons his armour, a glorious light flashes up to heaven, and the earth laughs at its dazzling radiance. ${ }^{7}$

[^216][^217]His shield gleams like the blood-red moon, as it rises from the sea. ${ }^{1}$ His helmet glitters like a star, and each hair in

CIIAP.
 the plume glistens like burnished gold. When he tries the armour to see whether it fits his limbs, it bears him like a bird upon the wing. ${ }^{2}$ Last of all, he takes down his spear, which none but himself can handle, while Alkimos and Automedon (the strong and the mighty) harness his immortal horses. As he mounts the chariot, he bids them bear him safe through the battle, and not leave him to die as they had left Patroklos. Then the horse Xanthos bows his head, and warns him of the coming doom. Their force is not abated. They can still run swifter than the swiftest wind, and their will is only to save the lord whom they serve and love. But the will of Zeus is stronger still, and Achilleus too must die. ${ }^{3}$ It is a kindly warning, and the hero takes it in good part. 'I know,' he says, 'that I shall see my father and my mother again no more ; but the work of vengeance must be accomplished.' Then, before the great strife begins, Zeus bids all the gods (the powers of heaven) take each his side. He alone will look down serenely on the struggle as it rages beneath him. ${ }^{4}$ Many a Trojan warrior falls by the spear of Achilleus, and the battle waxes fiercer, until all the powers of heaven and earth seem mingled in one wild turmoil. The river Skamandros is indignant that the dead body of Lykâôn, the (bright) son of Priam, should be cast into its waters, and complains to Achilleus that his course to the sea is clogged by the blood which is poured into it. ${ }^{5}$ But Achilleus leaps fearlessly into the stream, and skamandros calls for aid to Simoeis. The two rivers swell, and Achilleus is almost overborne. ${ }^{6}$ It is a war of elements. The sun is almost conquered by the raging rain. But another power comes upon the scene, and the flood yields to Hephaistos, the might of the fiery lightning. ${ }^{7}$ Fiercer yet grows the

[^218][^219]BOOK
strife. The gods themselves struggle wildly in the fray, while Zeus laughs at the sight. ${ }^{1}$ Artemis falls, smitten by Hêrê, and her arrows (the sun's rays) are gathered up by Lêtô and carried to the throne of Zeus. ${ }^{2}$ But through all the wild confusion of the strife Achilleus hastens surely to his victory. Before him stands his enemy; but the spell which guarded the life of Hektor is broken, for Phoibos has forsaken him. ${ }^{3}$ In vain he hurls his spear at Achilleus, in vain he draws his sword. Still Achilleus cannot reach him through the armour of Patroklos, ${ }^{4}$ and the death wound is given where an opening in the plates left his neck bare. The prayer of Hektor for mercy is dismissed with contempt, and, in his boundless rage, Achilleus tramples on the body, ${ }^{5}$ as the blazing sun seems to trample on the darkness into which it is sinking.

The close of the Achillêis.

At this point, in the belief of Mr. Grote, the original Achillêis ended. 'The death of Hektor satisfies the exigencies of a coherent scheme, and we are not entitled to extend the oldest poem beyond the limit which such necessity prescribes. ${ }^{6}$ The force of the objection depends on the idea by which the poet, either consciously or unconsciously, was guided in his design. The sudden plunge of the sun into the darkness which he has for a moment dispelled would be well represented by an abrupt ending with the death of Hektor. The 'more merciful temper' which Achilleas displays in the last book would not only be necessary ' to create proper sympathy with his triumph,' but it would be strictly in accordance with the idea of the sun setting in a broad blaze of generous splendour after his victory over the black mists, even though these are again to close in fierce strife

[^220]when he is dead. ${ }^{1}$ It is this transient gleam of more serene splendour which is signified by the games over which Achil-

CHAP.
XI. leus presides genially after the slaughter of the Trojan captives, whose blood reddens the ground, just as the torn streamers rush in crimsoned bands across the sky after a storm. Yet it is not easy to suppose with Mr. Grote that the Achillêis ended with the twenty-second book as it now stands, for that book closes with the mourning of Andromachê for Hektor, which, even in the eyes of a Greek, would hardly heighten the glory of the conqueror; and the author of it certainly knew of the visit of Priam which is related in the last book, for he makes the old man express his intention of going to Achilleus when he first learns that his son is dead. ${ }^{2}$ But the feeling of the old solar myth is once more brought out prominently in the case of Hektor. With the aid of Apollôn he had been the great champion of his country. The desertion of the sun-god left him at the mercy of his enemy. But his body, like the body of Patroklos, must be preserved from all corruption. The ravenous dogs and birds are chased away by Aphroditê, ${ }^{3}$ and Apollôn himself wraps it in mist and covers it with a golden shield. ${ }^{4}$ From the Odyssey we learn that the idea underlying the story of the death of Achilleus was that of an expiring blaze of splendour, followed by the darkness of the storm. Over his body the Achaians and Trojans struggle in mortal conffict, like the clouds fighting over the dead sun; and only the might of Zeus puts an end to the strife, for the winds alone can drive away the clouds. Then the sea-nymphs rise, finir as the skies of tranquil night, and wrap the form of the dead hero in a spotless shroud.

Thus the whole Achillêis is a magnificent solar epic, telling us of a sun rising in radiant majesty, soon hidden by the clouds, yet abiding his time of vengeance, when from the dark veil he breaks forth at last in more than his early The wholo Achillès is a magnifi. cent solar. epic. strength, scattering the mists and kindling the ragged clouds which form his funeral pyre, nor caring whether his brief splendour shall be succeeded by a darker battle as the vapours

[^221]BOOK I. $\underbrace{1 .}$ The Trojan war is simply one scene of a long drama.

The Ilias as contrasted with the Achillêis.
close again over his dying glory. The feeling of the old tale is scarcely weakened when the poet tells us of the great cairn which the mariner shall see from afar on the shore of the broad Hellespontos. ${ }^{1}$

If this then be the common groundwork of the Achillêis and the epics of Northern Europe, the arguments of Mr. Grote against the original continuity of the Iliad in its present form are indefinitely strengthened. The Trojan war itself becomes simply a scene in a long drama, ${ }^{2}$ of the other acts of which the poet incidentally betrays his knowledge. The life of Achilleus runs in the same groove with that of Odysseus and Bellerophon; the personality of Patroklos dimly reflects that of Achilleus, while the tale of Meleagros is simply an echo of the legend which, in its more expanded form and with heightened colours, relates the exploits of the son of Peleus.

With this groundwork, the original Achillêis may have ended with the twenty-second book of our Miad, or have been extended to the twenty-fourth. Apart from considerations of style, there is nothing in the story to militate against either supposition. If it ended with the earlier book, the poet closed his narrative with the triumphant outburst of the sun from the clouds which had hidden his glory. The poet who added the last two books was inspired by the old phrases which spoke of a time of serene though short-lived splendour after the sun's great victory. But with this tale of the Achillêis, whatever may be its close, the books which relate the independent exploits of Agamemnon and his attendant chiefs cannot possibly be made to fit. They are the expression of an almost unconscious feeling that a son of Peleus and Thetis was a being not sufficiently akin to Achaians to satisfy the instincts of national pride and patriotism. ${ }^{3}$ It is of

[^222]sequence is one of phenomena, not of facts in human history.
${ }^{3}$ Both Colonel Mure and Mr. Gladstone search vigorously for every vestige of patriotism in the character of Achilleus. It is very hard to find any, and harder still to see any in the passages which they adduce. It does exist in Hektor, and the reason why it should exist in him is manifest.
course possible-in the opinion of Mr. Grote, it may be even probable-that the same poet who sang the wrath of Achil-

CHAP. XI. leus afterwards recounted the exploits of Odyssens, Aias, and Diomêdes. The question is, after all, not material. If Mr . Grote is right in thinking that the last two books are an addition, ${ }^{1}$ then the closing scene, which exhibits Achilleus in his more genial aspect, existed as a distinct poem, and the final complement of this lay is found far apart in the closing book of the Odyssey. The perfect harmony of that picture of the hero's death with the spirit and language of the Achillêis may possibly be adduced as an argument for ascribing both Iliad and Odyssey to the same author; but it furnishes a much stronger warrant for asserting that more than one poet derived his inspiration from the mythical speech, which, even in the Greek heroic age, still retained more than half its life. Nay, in the Ilias itself, the legend of Meleagros, recited (it must be remembered) by the same Phoinix who guarded Achilleus in his earlier years, exhibits still more forcibly the method in which phrases but partially understood, and incidents which had each received a local colouring and name, were wrought into the tales, whether of the Kalydonian chieftain, or Perseus, or Achilleus. In times which even then were old, such phrases formed the common speech of the people, such incidents expressed the phenomena of their daily life; and this language was strictly the language of poetry, literally revelling in its boundless powers of creation and developement. In almost every word lay the germ of an epic poem or a romance. ${ }^{2}$ It is the less wonderful, therefore, if each incident was embodied in a separate legend, or even reproduced in the independent tales of separate tribes. A

[^223][^224]Book hundred Homers may well have lit their torch from this

Groundwork of the Odyssey. living fire.

Nor can we well shut our eyes to the fact that in the main story of the Odyssey the poet has set the same solar strain in another key. When Odysseus goes to Troy, he is simply a chieftain in the great host which went to recover the treasure taken from the West, like the Argonauts in their search for the Golden Fleece. But all these eastward expeditions are successful. The robber or seducer is despoiled of his prey, and the victors must journey back to their distant home. Thus, round the chieftain of each tribe would gather again all the ideas suggested by the ancient myths; and the light reflected from the glory of the great Phthiotic hero might well rest on the head of Odysseus as he turns to go from Ilion. Thus would begin a new career, not unlike that of Herakles or Perseus in all its essential features. Throughout the whole poem the one absorbing desire which fills the heart of Odysseus is to reach his home once more and see the wife whom, like most other mythical heroes, he had been obliged to leave in the spring-time of his career. There are grievous toils and many hindrances on his way, but nothing can turn him from his course. He has to fight, like Herakles and Perseus, Theseus and Bellerophôn, with more than mortal beings and more than earthly powers, but he has the strength which they had to overcome or to evade them. It is true that he conquers chiefly by strength of will and sagacity of mind; but this again is the phase which the idea of Helios, the great eye of day, as surveying and scanning everything, assumes in Medeia, Prometheus, Asklêpios, Oidipous, Iamos, and Melampous. The other phase, however, is not wanting. He, too, has a bow which none but he can wield, ${ }^{1}$ and he wields it to terrible purpose, when, like Achilleus, after his time of disguise, he bursts on the astonished suitors, as the

[^225][^226]sun breaks from the stormcloud before he sinks to rest. So, CIIAP. again, in his westward wanderings (for this is the common path of the children of Zeus or Helios), he must encounter fearful dangers. It is no unclouded sky which looks down on him as he journeys towards rocky Ithaka. He has to fight with Kyklôpes and Laistrygonians; he has to shun the snares of the Seirens and the jaws of Skylla and Charybdis, as Perseus had to overcome the Gorgons, and Theseus to do battle with the Minotauros. Yet there are times of rest for him, as for Herakles and Bellerophôn. He yearns for the love of Penelopê, but his grief can be soothed for awhile by the affection of Kirkê and Kalypso, as Achilleus found solace in that of Diomêdê, and Herakles awhile in that of Dêianeira. Nay, wherever he goes, mortal kings and chiefs and undying goddesses seek to make him tarry by their side, as Menelaos sought to retain Paris in his home by the side of the Spartan Helen, and as Gunnar strove to win Sigurd to be the husband of his sister. So is it with Alkinoös; but, in spite of the loveliness and purity of Nausikaâ, Odysseus may not tarry in the happy land of the Phaiakians, even as he might not tarry in the palace of the wise Kirkê or the sparkling cave of the gentle Kalypso. At last he approaches his home ; but he returns to it unknown and friendless. The sky is as dark as when Achilleus lay nursing his great wrath behind the veil of his sorrow. Still he too, like Achilleus, knows how to take vengeance on his enemies; and in stillness and silence he makes ready for the mortal conflict in which he knows that in the end he must be victorious. His foes are many and strong; and, like Patroklos against Hektor, Telemachos ${ }^{1}$ can do but little against the suitors, in whom are reffected the Trojan enemies of the Achaians. But for him also, as for Achilleus, there is aid from the gods. Athênê, the daughter of the sky, cheers him on, and restores him to the glorious beauty of his youth, as Thetis clothed her child in the armour of Hephaistos, and Apollôn directed his spear against Hektor. Still in his ragged beggar's dress, like the sun behind the rent and tattered clouds, he appears in his own hall on the day of doom. The old bow is taken down

[^227]Book from the wall, and none but he can be found to stretch it. His enemies begin to fear that the chief has indeed returned to his home, and they crouch in terror before the stranger, as the Trojans quailed at the mere sight and warcry of Achilleus. But their cry for mercy falls as vain as that of Lykâôn or of Hektor, who must die to avenge the dead Patroklos; for the doom of the suitors is come for the wrongs which they had done to Penelopê. The fatal bow is stretched. The arrows fly deadly and unerring as the spear of Artemis, and the hall is bathed in blood. There is nothing to stay his arm till all are dead. The sun-god is taking vengeance on the clouds, and trampling them down in his fury. The work is done; and Penelopê sees in Odysseus the husband who had left her long ago to face his toils, like Herakles and Perseus. But she will try him still. If indeed he be the same, he will know his bridal chamber and the cunningly carved couch which his own hands had wrought. Iolê will try whether Herakles remembers the beautiful network of violet clouds which he spread as her couch in the morning. The sun is setting in peace. Penelopê, fair as Oinônê and as pure (for no touch of defilement must pass on her, or on Iolê or Daphnê or Brisêis), is once again by his side. The darkness is utterly scattered; the corpses of the suitors and of the handmaidens who ministered to them cumber the hall no more. A few flying vapours rush at random across the sky, as the men of Ithaka raise a feeble clamour in behalf of the slain chieftains. Soon these, too, are gone. Penelopê and Odysseus are within their bridal chamber. Oinônê has gone to rest with Paris by her side; but there is no gloom in the house of Odysseus, and the hero lives still, strong and beautiful as in the early days. The battle is over. The one yearning of his heart has been fulfilled. The sun has laid him down to rest

> In one unclouded blaze of living light.

How much of the Iliad

But unless the marvellous resemblance (may it not be said, the identity?) of the Greek, the Trojan ${ }^{1}$ and the Teutonic

[^228][^229]epics can be explained away, it follows that in Achilleus and in Paris, in Meleagros and Sigurd, in Ragnar Lodbrog and Theseus, in Telephos, Perseus, Kephalos, Herakles, Bellerophôn and Odysseus, we have pictures drawn from the same ideal as regarded under its several aspects. It mattered not which of these aspects the poet might choose for his theme. In each case he had much more than the framework of his story made ready to his hand. The departure of Achilleus from his own land to fight in a quarrel which was not his own-the transfer of the spoils won by him to a chief of meaner spirit than his own-his unerring spear and immortal horses-the robbery of Brisêis or Hippodameiathe fierce wrath of Achilleus which yet could leave room for the love of another in her place-the sullen inaction from which he refuses to be roused-the dismay of the Achaians and the exultation of the Trojans at his absence from the fight-the partial glory spread over the scene by the appearance of Patroklos, only to close in the deeper gloom which followed his overthrow-the fury of Achilleus behind the dark cloud of his sorrow-the sudden outburst of the hero, armed with his irresistible spear and clad in armour more dazzling than that which he had lost-the invincible might which deals death to Hektor and his comrades-the blood which streams from the human victims on his altar of sacrifice-his forgiveness of Agamemnon for that which Agamemnon of himself would have been powerless to do-
of Helen is indubitably the dark robber who steals away the treasure of light from the sky; but it is difficult to deny that Paris, as fighting for his country, or in the beneficence of his early career, has all the foatures of Perseus, Oidipous and Telêphos. The same blending of two different, ideas runs through all the Aryan mythology, and is a necessary result when the myths of two or more different countries are brought together in the same narrative. In the great struggle between the Achaians and Trojans, Agamemnon and Achilleus. are ranged on the side of Helen, or Sarama, the dawn; and all the Trojan champions, from this point of view, are in league with the dark powers of night. But among these champions are Sarpêdôn, the great chiof
of the Lykians, and Glaukos, his friond, who also comes from the golden stream of Xanthos, and Momnon the son of Eos, who leads the glittering bund of the Aithiopians (Ethiopians). The names of these heroes are as transparent, as the stories which have gathered round them. Sarpêdôn more particularly is a counterpart of Achilleus, destined to exhibit the same magnificent qualities, and doomed to the same oarly death, but more equable and beneficent and therefore also happier. It is the same with the Argives. As fighting against Paris, Agamemnon is the adversary of the dark powers: but to Achilleus he stands precisely in the relation of Eurystheus; to Herakles, or of Laios to Oidipous, or of Akrisios to Perseus.

CHAP.
XI.
or the Odyssey belongs to the invention of the poet.
book the warning of his own early death which he receives from the horse Xanthos-the battle of the gods, as they take part in the storm which rages in the heavens and on the earththe swelling of the waters, their brief mastery over the hero, their conquest by fire-the generous splendour which follows the accomplishment of his vengeance-the sudden close of his brilliant but brief career-the fierce battle fought over his dead body-the beauty which cannot be marred or dimmed by death-are incidents which the poet might introduce or omit at will, but the spirit of which he was not free to alter. The character of Achilleus was no more his own creation than were the shifting scenes in the great drama of his life. The idea of his picture no more originated in himself than the idea of Sigurd in the mind of the more rugged poet of the north. The materials were not of his own making ; and the words of Mr . Gladstone acquire a stronger meaning, though not the meaning which he designed to convey, when, insisting that there must be a foundation for the Homeric theology and for the chief incidents in the war of Troy, he said that poets may embellish, but cannot invent. ${ }^{1}$ Their course was marked out for them, but the swiftness with

[^230]Korônis. So, again, the war with Turnus for the possession of Lavinia reflects the war at Troy for Helen and the contest in the Odyssey with the enemies who strive to win the rightful bride of Odysseus. In this war Anoas, like other solar heroes, is successful, and, like them, after his victory, which is followed by a time of tranquil happiness, he plunges into the Numician stream and is seen no more, as Kephalos and Bellerophôn sink to sleep in the western waters of the Leukudian gulf.

The same type reappears in Romulus, whose story Niebuhr supposed that Livy obtained from a grat epic now lost (Cornewall Lewis, Credibility of Early Romtan History, vol. i. ch. vi. sect. 5) ; and the koy is found to this legendary narrative as well as to that of Cyrus, of Chandragupta, and of the progenitor of the Turks. All these tales repeat the exposure of the infant Oidipous, or Telephos, or Iamos, or Alexandros. The same myth is seen under another aspect in the legend of Servius Tullius.
which each ran his race depended on his own power. The genius of the Homeric poets was shown, not in the

CHAP. XI. creation of their materiais, but in the truthful and magnificent colouring which they threw over a legend which in weaker hands might exhibit but a tinsel glitter.

But if there is this affinity between the character of the Achaian and the Teutonic heroes, it follows that the character is neither strictly Achaian nor strictly Teutonic. It cannot be regarded as expressing the real morality either of the one or of the other. Any attempt to criticise these as genuine pictures of national character ${ }^{1}$ must be followed by
${ }^{1}$ The wish to baso his criticism on this foundation has led Mr. Gladstone to assume without evidence, that the cause of Achilleus was substantially that of right and justice, and that the apology made by Agamemnon in Il. xix. 67 , is essentially different from the apology made in ix. 120. But, in the first place, it is difficult to see that 'justice is' more 'outraged in the person of Achilleus, (Homer, ge. vol. iii. p. 370), than it is in the person of Agamemnon. If the former is compelled to part with Brisêis, the latter has also been obliged to give up the daughter of Chryses, for whom, with a plainness of speech not used either by Achilleus or even by Paris in deserting Oinônê, he avows his preference orer his wedded wife Klytaimnêstra (Il. i. 110). Moreover, the taking away of Brisêis is the sole act of Agamemnon, in which his counsellors and the people take no part. Yet Mr. Gladstone holds it to be a 'deadly wrong,' justifying Achilleus in visiting his wrath on au army which had nothing whatever to do with it. The truth is, that by an analysis of this kind we may prove that Achilleus was mad, hut wo can nover show that his character was cither common, or even known amnag the Achaians. We have no right to say that the sufferings of Agamemnon wore not at the least equal to those of Achillous, and wo are surely treating him most unfairly if we say that his apology "comes first in his faltering speech' given in $2 l$. xix. 67. If there he says-

he had said precisely the same thing in $I l$. ix. 120, \&e. and there also confesses that he had been infatuated. In
fact Mr . Gladstoue is furnishing confact, Mr. Gladstone is fanding conclusive evidence in proof of the assertion that the writer of the nineteenth book knew nothing of the ninth. But it is hard to yiold a self-chosen position ; and Mr. Gladstono therefore holds that the apology of the nineteenth book is a valid atonement, although it is, word for word, the same as that which is contained in the ninth. The very fact that Achilleus is so ready, and even eager, to visit on the wholo arny the sin of the individual Agamemmon, shows how utterly destitute his character is of real patriotism. If anything more were needed to exhibit the falsity of such critical methods, it would be furnished by Colonel Mure's remarks that the aim of Homer is not to show, with Mr . Gladstone, the justice of the canse of Achilleus, but to prove that both he and Agmemmon were utterly in the wrong (Crit. Hist. Gr. Lit. vol. i. p. 277). Both sides in his judgment aro equally desorving of blame: the ono must be punished, the other eonvinced of his folly. This is the rosult of taking Homer to be a moral philosopher or teacher who, to adopt Mr . Gladstone's farourite Horatian motto, tells us all about human life and duty much better than Chrysippos and Krantor. Indeed, there seems to bo no limit to riolent interpretations of the text of Homer, if any such hypothesis is to be entertained. It is Mr. Cladstonts be lief that the last book of the Iliad was added to show that Achilleus 'must surrender the durling object of his desire, the wreaking of his vengeance on an inanimate corpse' (Homer, ge., iii. 395). His ambition might, perhaps, have been more dignified; but such ns it was, it had surely been gratified

The portraits of the greator chieftains and herves are not, true to national character.
tiat feeling of repulsion which Mr. Dasent openly avows for. the Greek mythology, and which he also feels in part for the Teutonic. ${ }^{1}$ In either case, this moral indignation is thrown away. There was doubtless quite enough evil in the character of the Northman and the Greek; but it never would have assumed that aspect which is common to the heroes of their epic poetry. We look in vain in the pages of acknowledged contemporary writers for an instance of the same unbounded wrath arising from a cause which the Achaian would be rather disposed to treat too lightly, of an inaction which cares not though all around him die, of a bloody vengeance on meaner enemies when his great foe has been vanquished, of the awful sacrifice of human victims,-a sacrifice completely alien to the general character of the Achaians, so far as they are known to us historically. But every one of these characteristics is at once exhaustively explained, when they are compared with those of all the other great legendary heroes. The grave attempt to judge them by a reference to the ordinary standard of Greek, or rather of Christian and modern morality, has imparted to the criticism of Colonel Mure an air almost of burlesque. In his analysis of the Miad, the motives which sway Achilleus are taken to pieces as seriously as if he were examining the conduct of Themistokles or Archidamos. It might be well to speak of the ' defective principles of heroic morality,' ${ }^{2}$ of the sarcasms of Achilleus against Agamemnon in the first book as 'unwarranted at this stage of the discussion,' ${ }^{3}$ of the 'respectful deference to the sovereige will of Agamemnon' as a duty 'inculcated by the poet' and 'scrupulously fulfilled by the other chiefs, ${ }^{\prime}$ if the poet were telling us of a
already. If he was not contented with tying the body to his chariot wheels and dragging it about till every feature was disfigured, what more did he want? The whole of this moral criticism of epical characters is altogether out of place; and such criticism can be applied least of all as a means of determining national character to the hero who (in order to beat Hektor, in every respect, as Mr. Gladstone asserts, his inferior) is made invulnerable like Baldur and Rustem in all parts but the heel, and, clad in armour wrought by He-
phaistos, wields a spear (guraranteed nevor to miss its mark) against an onemy who, acknowledging his inferiority, yet facos him from the high motive of patriutism and duty, and whom he is unable to ovorcome except by the aid of Athênê and after he has been deserted by Apollôn. Such a condition of things lies altogether beyond the range of Ethics.
${ }^{1}$ See page 62.
${ }^{2}$ Crit. Hist. Gr. Lit. vol. i. p. 275.
= Ib. p. 277.
4.Ib. p. 275.
struggle not with gods and heroes, Amazons and Aithiopians, but carried on after the sober and prosy fashion of the Trojan

CEAP. XI. war of Thucydides. Colonel Mure lays great stress on the 'ethic unity' with which the incidental references to the early death of Achilleus invest the whole poem, and he finds a deep 'knowledge of human nature' 'in the adaptation to each other of the characters of the hero and his friend,' where Mr. Grote sees little more than a reflection. ${ }^{1}$ But his anxiety to exalt the character of Achilleus has led him, in one instance of no slight moment, to vilify unduly that of his antagonist. 'The proudest exploit of Hektor, his slaughter and spoliation of Patroklos, is so described as to be conspicuous only for its ferocity. The Greek hero, after being disabled by Apollôn, is mortally wounded by another Trojan, when Hektor steps in with the finishing blow, as his butcher rather than conqueror.' ${ }^{2}$ The remark is simply disingenuous. The incidents of the slaughter of Patroklos by Hektor are essentially identical with incidents attending the death of Hektor by the hands of Achilleus, and where there is any difference, it lies in the additional ferocity and brntality of the latter. If it be to the disparagement of Hektor that he should have the aid of a god, the poet is not less careful in saying that Achilleus could not slay Hektor until Phoibos Apollôn had deserted him. But if Colonel Mure anxiously seeks out apologies for the wrath, ${ }^{3}$ the inaction, and the furious revenge of the hero, his criticism utterly fails to explain the very incidents which seem most deeply to have impressed him. It does not explain why he should choose inaction as the particular mode of avenging himself against, Agamemnon. ${ }^{4}$ It does not show why during his absence ' the gods had, at his own request, decreed victory to Hektor, rout and slaughter to the Greeks,' ${ }^{5}$ why in him 'no affection

[^231][^232]amiable or the reverse' should 'exist but in overpowering excess, ${ }^{1}$-why he should be ' soothed by the fulfilment of his duties as mourner,' why the games should 'usher in an agreeable change,' or why 'we should part with Achilleus at the moment best calculated to exalt and purify our impression of his character.' ${ }^{\prime 2}$ Still less does it explain why, before the final struggle, the gods should be let loose to take whichever side they might prefer. Colonel Mure seems to imply that they were all sent to take the part of the Trojans. ${ }^{3}$ Mr. Grote, with a far keener discernment of the character of this part of the poem, insists that 'that which chiefly distinguishes these books is the direct, incessant, and manual intervention of the gods and goddesses, formally permitted by Zeus, and the repetition of vast and fantastic conceptions to which each superhuman agency gives occasion, not omitting the battle of Achilles against Skamander and Simois, and the burning up of these rivers by Hephæstus.' In his judgment this interference mars the poem and 'somewhat vulgarises' the gods. ${ }^{4}$ But while he thinks that the poet has failed in a task where success was impossible, he has not explained why the poet should feel himself compelled to undertake it.

But if Mr. Gladstone strains every nerve to save the character of Achilleus, Colonel Mure is not less zealous in behalf of the chieftain of Ithaka. If Achilleus 'represents the grandeur of the heroic character as reflected in the very excess of its noblest attributes,' Odysseus, in his belief, represents its virtue, possessing as he does, in greater number and in higher degree than any other chief, the qualities which in that age constitutcd the accomplished king and citizen.' ${ }^{5}$ The matter is brought to a plain issue. The Odyssey is 'a rich picture-gallery of human life as it existed in that age and country, ${ }^{6}$ and we are to see in Odysseus a favourable specimen of the manners and habits of his people. It is quite possible, by Colonel Mure's method, so to represent him. But if we speak of him as one whose 'habitual

[^233]prudence was modified, or even at times overcome, by his thirst for glory, and by an eager pursuit of the marvellous,' ${ }^{1}$

CHAP. XI. -if we say that he never uttered an untruth or practised a manœuvre for a base object, ${ }^{2}$-if we speak of him as inculcating in his adventures ' the duty incumbent on the most vigorous minds not only to resist but to avoid temptation, ${ }^{3}$ are we really speaking of the Odysseus of the Homeric poet? If such a method may account for some features in his character, will it in the least explain his character as bound up with the whole structure of the poem? Will it not leave the groundwork of the tale and its issue a greater mystery than ever? Will it explain why Odysseus, like Herakles and Philoktetês, should use poisoned arrows ${ }^{4}$-why, without scruple, he should tell lies while he desires to remain unrecognised, why he should never depart from the truth when speaking in his own character-why he hesitates not to lurk in ambush for an unarmed man ${ }^{5}$ and stab him behind his back and speak of the deed without shame-why he should wish to pry into everything in heaven or on earth, or in the dark land beneath the earth-why nothing less than the slaughter of all his enemies will satiate a wrath not much more reasonable than that of Achilleus? Still more will it explain why Penelope weaves and unweaves her web, ${ }^{6}$

[^234]glassy caso (of ice), and whoso touch at once calls her back to life, as the princo's kiss awakens Dormrosehon. This ghass coffin answers to the hammer of Thor, liko which, when placed on tho magis stone, it. rises thr ugh the floors to the upper air ; and the easo, when openod, expmads into a magnificent castio. In the story of the Spindle, the Shutle, and tho Nordle, these instruments of the craft, of Penelope bring a woour home for the orphan maiden, who, like Cinderella, becomes the wife of the king. It is amost unnecessary to say that in a vast number of stories in which the princesses are contessedly Dawn-maidens, they aro known especially as the weavers, and weavers, like Ponelopê, of sarks for their fathers or their brothers. Thus Snow White and Rosy Red, in tho story of the 'Twelvo Wild Jucks (Dasent), is always sewing at the shirls for her twelve brothors (the months), who havo been thus trans-

BOOK -why, when Odysseus returns, she is restored by Athênê

How far was the character of Odysseus a creation of the Homeric poet. (the daughter of the Sky, the Dawn who makes the world young), to all her early loveliness, ${ }^{1}$ while on him rests once more all the splendour of his ancient majesty,-why the nurse who recognises him should be Eurykleia, ${ }^{2}$ and the maiden who reviles him should be Melantho, ${ }^{3}$-why his dog Argos, although forsaken and untended, still retains something of his noble qualities and at once recognises his old master ${ }^{4}$-why, when Penelopê wishes to speak with him on his return, she is charged to wait until the evening ${ }^{5}$-why, in his wanderings he should fight not so much with human enemies as with mighty beings and monsters of the earth and sea-why his long voyage and the time of gloomy disguise should be followed by a triumph so full of blood, ending with a picture of such serene repose?

In truth, the character of Odysseus was not, in any greater degree than that of Achilleus, an original creation of the Homeric poet. In all its main features it came down ready to his hand. His wisdom is the wisdom of Athênê, and Prometheus, and Medeia, of Iamos and Asklêpios and Melampous : his craft is the craft of Hermes, his keen sagacity is the piercing eye of Helios or of Odin, and from Hermes comes the strange inquisitiveness which must pry into everything that he comes across in his path. ${ }^{6}$ If he uses poisoned arrows, it is not because Achaian chieftains were in the habit of using them, but because the weapons

> formed. The princess rescued by Shortshauks also sits and sows. In the story of the Best Wish, the instruments for performing her work are supplied by Boots, whose scissors, plied in the air, bring to light all kinds of beautiful shapes, as the clouds and the earth are lit up by the rising sun. Nor is the Doll in the Grass (Dasent) less expert, though the sark which she weaves and sews is 'so tiny tiny little.' Most or all of these stories have their counterpart in the Gernan and Coltic folklore. The exploits of the Valiant Tailor of the German stories are repeated in the Gaelic story of Mac-a-Rusgaich (Campbell, ii. 307) which reproduces the Norse tale of Boots who ate a match with the Troll. (Dasent.)
${ }^{1}$ Od. xviii. 192.
${ }^{2}$ Ih. xvii. 31. In the name of her fathor Autolykos we have again the same word which gave rise to the story of Lykâon, and to tho meaning which Aschylos attached to the name of Phoibos Lykeios, or Lykêgenês, the child of light.
${ }^{3}$ Ih. xviii. 321. We seo the process by which the force of the old mythical langrage was weakened and lost, when the poet speaks of Melantho as $\kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota$ тápyos.
${ }^{4}$ Ib. xvii. 300.
${ }^{5}$ Ib. xvii. 582.
${ }^{6}$ This inquisitivenoss is specially seen in the episodes of the Kyklops and the Seirens.
of Herakles were steeped in venom and the robe of Medeia scorched the body of Glaukê : if he submits to be the lover of Kirkê and Kalypso, it is because Achilleus solaced himself with Diomêdê for the loss of Brisêis, and Herakles awhile forgot his sorrows in the house of Dêianeira. If he can be a secret stabber, it is not because the heroic ideal could stoop to such baseness, but because Phoibos can smite secretly as well as slay openly, and because it matters not whether the victim be but one man or the fifty who fall by the spear of Bellerophôn. If at the end he smites all his enemies, it is not because they have committed an offence which, according to the standard of the age, would deserve such punishment, but because the wrath of Achilleus could be appeased only by the blood of his enemies, as the blazing sun tramples on the dark clouds beneath his feet. We may be well assured that such as these were not the habits of the men who dwelt at Tiryns or in Ithaka-that such as these were not the characteristics of the chieftains who dwelt in Mykênai. But if the character of Odysseus is not strictly Achaian, so, like that of Achilleus, it is not, in strictness of speech, human. Mr. Grote has truly said that the aim of the poet is not ethical. or didactic either in the Miad or in the Odyssey; ${ }^{1}$ and an examination of the latter poem scatters to the winds all fancies which see in Odysseus an image of the Christian warrior fighting the good fight of faith, yet yearning for his rest in heaven. ${ }^{2}$ The ideal is indeed magnificent, and it has never been more magnificently realised, but it is not the ideal either of Christianity or ceven of humanity; it is the life of the sun. At the outset of his return from the east, Odysseus has to encounter superhmman foes; and the discomfiture of the Kyklops rouses the wrath of the sea-god Poseidôn, as the clouds rise from the waters and curl round the rising sun. Still Zeus is on his side, and

[^235]thology. The wrath of beings like Achilleus and Odysseus must be widespreading and indiseriminate. The clouds and winds take no heed of man.
${ }^{2}$ For a minute working out of this view soe Istac Williams, Christiur Scholur, p. 115.
chap.
XI. though all his comrades should fall by the way, as the morning clouds may be scattered before the noonday. But while he moves steadily towards his home, that home is dark and gloomy. From it the sun is still far distant, and only from time to time a faint reflected light is shed upon it as Telemachos strives to maintain the honour of his father's house. ${ }^{2}$ So Penelopê remains quiet in her home. Forbidding forms crowd around her, but her purity remains unsullied. The web begun is never ended ; the fairy tracery of cirri clouds is blotted out from the sky every night, and must be wrought again during the coming day. There are others too who have not forgotten the hero, and Eurykleia strives to retain Telemachos, when he would go forth to seek his father. ${ }^{3}$ But he cannot stay. The slant rays vanish from the sky, and the house of Laertes is shadowed with deeper gloom. Meanwhile Odysseus is hastening on. For awhile he tarries with Kirkê and Kalypsô, and makes a longer sojourn in the house of Alkinoös, even as Sigurd abode long time in the house of Gunnar. The Phaiakian chieftain would have him stay for ever. His land is as fair

[^236]events which he recounts is nut the sequence of any human life, but the caroer of Phoibos and Daphnê, Perseus and Andromeda. In short, the Kykiops is the son of Poseidôn, originally a god of the air-in other words, the exhalations which form themselves into the hideous storm-clouds, through which the sun sometimes glares down like a huge eye in the midst of the black forohead of the giant. Mr. Kelly, therefore, mistakes the eye which really belongs to the sun for the Kyklops himself, when he says, 'The Greek mythology shows us a whole people of suns in the Cyclops, giants with one eye round as a wheel in thoir foreheads.' He is right in adding that 'they were akin to the heavenly giants, and dwelt with the Phæacians, the navigators of the cloud sea in the broad Hyperia, the upper land, i. e. heavon, until the legend transplanted them Loth to the Western horizon.' - Indo-European Folk-lore, p. 32.
${ }^{2}$ The merely secondary character of Telemachos has been already noticed, p. 247.
${ }^{3}$ Od. ii. 365.
as summer : but the sun may not tarry, and Odysseus cannot abide there, even with Nausikaâ. So he hastens home,

CHAP.
XI. sometimes showing his might, as the sun breaks for a moment through a rift in the clouds; but the darkness is greatest when he lands on his own shores. He is surrounded by enemies and spies, and he takes refuge in craft and falsehood. ${ }^{1}$ The darkness itself must aid him to win the victory, and Athênê takes all beauty from his face, and all brightness from his golden hair. ${ }^{2}$ These ideas, with all the others which had come down to him as a fruitful heritage from the language of his remote forefathers, the Homeric poet might recombine or develope; but if he brought him to Ithaka under a cloud, he could not but say that Athênê took away his glory, while yet his dog Argos, the same hound who couches at the feet of Artemis or drives the herds of the sun to their pastures, knows his old master in all his squalid raiment, and dies for joy at seeing him. ${ }^{3}$ When on his return Telemachos asks whether the bridal couch of Odysseus is covered with spiders' webs, he could not but say in reply that Penelopê still remained faithful to her early love; ${ }^{4}$ and when Telemachos is once more to see his father, he could not but make Athênê restore him to more than his ancient beauty. ${ }^{5}$ So the man of many toils and wanderings returns to his home, ${ }^{6}$ only to find that his son is unable to rule his house, ${ }^{7}$ as Phaëthôn and Patroklos were alike unable to guide the horses of Helios. Still Penelopê is fair as Artemis or Aphroditê, ${ }^{8}$ although Melantho and Melanthios, ${ }^{9}$ the black children of the crafty (Dolios) Night, strive to dash her life with gloom, and Odysseus stands a squalid beggar in his own hall. ${ }^{10}$ Thenceforth the poet's path was still more distinctly marked. He must make the arm of Odysseus irresistible, ${ }^{11}$ he must make Athênê aid him in storing up weapons for the conflict, ${ }^{12}$ as

[^237]clouds.
${ }^{3}$ Odyss. xrii. 327. (Ibid. xvi. 35.
${ }^{5}$ Illid. xvi. 175.
${ }^{6}$ Ibid. i. 2; xvi. 205.
${ }^{7}$ Ibid. xvi. 206. ${ }^{2}$ Ilid. xvii. 37.
${ }^{9}$ Ibid. xvii. 212 ; xviii. 320.
${ }^{10}$ Ibid. xvii. 363. 11 lbid. xviii. 95.
12 Ibid. xix. 33.

Book Thetis brought the armour of Hephaistos to Achilleus, and
I. Hjordis that of Regin to Sigurd. He must make Penelopê tell how often she had woven and undone her web while he tarried so long away. ${ }^{1}$ When Penelope asks tidings of Odysseus, the poet could not but give an answer in which the flash of gold and blaze of purple carries us directly to the arming of Achilleus. ${ }^{2}$ As Eurykleia, the old nurse, tends him at the bath, he must make her recognise the wound made by the wild boar, ${ }^{3}$ who wrought the death of the fair Adonis, and tell how her foster-child came to be called Odysseus. ${ }^{4}$ Then, as the day of doom is ushered in, he must relate how as the lightning flashed from the sky ${ }^{5}$ the rumour went abroad that the chieftain was come again to his home. So Penelopê takes down the bow which Iphitos, the mighty, had given to Odysseus, ${ }^{6}$ and bids the suitors stretch it; but they cannot, and there is no need that Telemachos should waste his strength now that his father has come home. ${ }^{7}$ Then follows the awful tragedy. Zeus must thunder as the beggar seizes the bow. 8 The suitors begin to fall beneath the unerring arrows; but the victory is not to be won without a struggle. Telemachos has left the chamber door ajar and the enemy arm themselves with the weapons which they find there. ${ }^{9}$ It is but another version of the battle which Achilleus fought with Skamandros and Simoeis in the war of elements; and as then the heart of Achilleus almost failed him, so wavers now the courage of Odysseus. ${ }^{10}$ For a moment the dark clouds seem to be gaining mastery over

[^238][^239]the sun. But Athênê comes to his aid, ${ }^{1}$ as before she had come to help Achilleus, and the arrows of the suitors are in vain aimed at the hero, ${ }^{2}$ although Telemachos is wounded, ${ }^{3}$ though not to the death, like Patroklos. Yet more, Athênê must show her Aigis, ${ }^{4}$ dazzling as the face of the unclouded sun ; and when the victory is won, the corpses of the slain must be thrust away, ${ }^{5}$ like the black vapours driven from the sky. Only for Melanthios he reserves the full measure of indignity which Achilleus wreaked on the body of the dead Hektor. ${ }^{6}$ Then follows the recognition in which, under another form, Prokris again meets Kephalos, and Iolê once more rejoices the heart of Herakles. For a little while the brightness rests on Laertes, and the old man's limbs again grow strong; but the strength comes from Athênê. ${ }^{7}$

Whatever light the progress of Comparative Mythology may hereafter throw on the growth of Aryan epic poetry, one conclusion, at the least, is forced upon us by this analysis, and Odysseus is found to be as much and as little an Achaian chieftain as Achilleus or Meleagros. The poems may remain a mine of wealth for all who seek to find in them pictures and manners of the social life of a pre-historic age ; but all the great chiefs are removed beyond a criticism, which starts with attributing to them the motives which influence mankind under any circumstances whatsoever.

[^240]The chatracter of Odysseия not. Achaian.

## CHAPTER XII.


#### Abstract

MYTHICAL PHRASES AS FURNISHING MATERIALS FOR THE TEUTONIC EPIC POEMS, AND THE LFGENDS OF ARTHUR AND ROLAND.


## BOOK

 between the Greek and Teutonic epics.The results obtained from an examination of Greek epic poetry, so far as it has come down to us, have a direct and important bearing on the mythology of northern Europe, and on the estimate which we must take of it. Of the general character of the Hellenic tribes we can form a notion more or less exact from the evidence of contemporary documents, as soon as we reach the historical age; but, whatever may be its defects or its vices, we are fully justified in saying that it is not the character of the great Achaian chieftains as exhibited either in the Iliad or the Odyssey. We have absolutely no warrant for the belief that the ancestors of Perikles or Themistokles, within ten or even more generations, were men who would approve the stabbing of enemies behind their backs, the use of poisoned arrows, and the butchery of captives deliberately set apart to grace the funeral sacrifices of a slain chief. Nay, more, we shall look in vain in any historical record for any portrait which will justify the belief that the picture of Achilleus in the Mliad is the likeness of an actual Achaian chieftain, while on any psychological analysis we seem to be driven to the conclusion that the character is one removed altogether from the bounds of humanity. If the analysis already made of the character of Odysseus and Achilleus shows that almost every feature is traditional, and that the portraits, as a whole, are not of the poet's making, that the wisdom and the falsehood, the truthfulness and the sullenness, whether of the one hero or the other, were impressed upon each by a necessity which no
poet could resist, and that these conclusions are proved by the evidence, overwhelming in its amount, which shows that Achilleus and Odysseus are reflections of Perseus, Theseus, Herakles, and these, again, of Phoibos and Helios, or of other deities who share their attributes-if the whole story which has gathered round the names of these great national heroes resolves itself into the cloudland of heaven with its never ceasing changes, we are at once justified in thinking that the history of the Teutonic heroes may be of much the same kind; and if on examining it we not only find this suspicion borne out, but discern in it some of the most important incidents and sequences which mark the Greek legends, the conclusion is forced upon us that the Teutonic epics, like the Hellenic, are the fruit of one and the same tree which has spread its branches over all the Aryan lands, and that the heroes of these epics no more exhibit the actual character of Northmen and Germans than the portraits of the heroes in the Iliad and Odyssey are pictures of actual Achaian chicftains. When we find further that the action in each case turns on the possession of a beautiful woman and the treasures which make up her dowry, that this woman is in each case seduced or betrayed, while the hero with his invincible weapons is doomed to an early death after the same stormy and vehement career, we see that we are dealing with materials which under different forms are essentially the same; and our task becomes at each stage shorter and simpler.

Hence as we begin the story of Volsung (who is Diogenes or the son of Odin, his father Rerir and his grandfather Sigi being the only intermediate links), we suspect at once that we are carried "away from the world of mortal men, when we find that he is one of those mysterious children whose birth from a mother destined never to see them ${ }^{1}$ portends their future greatness and their early end; and as we read further of the sword which is left for the strongest in

[^241]CHAP. XII.

The Volsung T'ale.

[^242]BOOK the rooftree of Volsung's hall, no room is left for doubt that we have before us the story of Theseus in another dress. The one-eyed guest with the great striped cloak and broad flapping hat, who buries the sword up to its hilt in the huge oak stem, ${ }^{1}$ is Odin, the lord of the air, who in Teutonic mythology is like the Kyklops, owe-eyed, as Indra Savitar is one-handed. But Aigeus in the Argive story is but one of the many names of Zeus Poseidôn, and as the husband of Aithra, the ether, he also is lord of the air. In vain, when Odin has departed, do Siggeir, the husband of Volsung's daughter Signy, and the other guests at her marriage-feast, strive to draw the sword. It remains motionless in the trunk until it is touched by Sigmund, ${ }^{2}$ the youngest and bravest of Volsung's sons-a reproduction in part of Volsung himself, as Odysseus is of Autolykos. To Sigmund's hand, as to Arthur, the sword yields itself at once, without an effort. Theseus lifts the huge stone beneath which Aigeus had placed his magic sword and sandals. The weapon of the Greek story is the sword of Chrysâôr ; that of the Teutonic legend is the famous Gram, the Excalibur of Arthur and the Durandal of Roland, and Sigmund thus becomes, like Achilleus, the possessor of an irresistible arm. In truth, the whole myth of Volsung and his children is but a repetition, in all its phases, of that great drama of Greek mythology which begins with the loss of the golden fleece and ends with the return of the Herakleidai. This drama represents the course or history of the sun in all its different aspects, as ever young or growing old, as dying or immortal, as shooting with poisoned weapons or as hating a lie like death, as conquering the powers of darkness or as smitten by their deadly weapons; and thus in the defeat of Sigmund we have an incident belonging as strictly

[^243]heroes are taught by Cheiron. Ho wears tho invisiblo helmot, and like many or most mythical champions, can be wounded only in ono part of his body. If again Fafnir, whon dying by his hand, tells him of the things which shall happon hereafter, wo must remembor that the Pythian dragon guarded the oracle of Delphi.-Grimm, Deutsche Mythologie, 343.
to the solar myth as the victory of Achilleus over Hektor, or the slaughter of the Sphinx by Oidipous. It could not be

CHAP. XII. otherwise. Odin and Phoibos live while Baldur and Asklepios die, but these rise again themselves or live in their children. So, too, there must be a struggle between Siggeir and Sigmund for the possession of Gram, for Siggeir stands to Sigmund in the relation of Polydektês to Perseus, or of Paris to Menelaos. But he is the dark being regarded for the present as the conqueror, and Sigmund and his ten brothers, the hours of the sunlit day, are taken and bound. The ten brothers are slain; Sigmund himself is saved by his sister Signy, and with bis son Sinfiötli, now runs as a werewolf through the forest, the Lykeian or wolf-god wandering through the dark forest of the night-a dreary picture which the mythology of sunnier lands represented under the softer image of the sleeping Helios sailing in his golden cup from the western to the eastern ocean. But the beautiful Signy is no other than Penelopê, and Siggeir's followers are the suitors who eat up the substance of Sigmund, as they had deprived him of his armour. There remains therefore to be wrought again a vengeance like that of Odysseus: and when Sinfiötli is, like Telemachos, strong enough to help his father, the two, like the Ithakan chieftains, burn up Siggeir and all his followers, the mode in which they are slain pointing to the scorching heat of the sum not less clearly than the deadly arrows which stream from the bow of Odysseus. Sigmund now regains his heritage, and for him, as for Odysseus, there follows a time of serene repose. LikeNestor, who is exaggerated in Tithonos, he reaches a good old age: lout as Odysseus must yet go through the valley of death, so Sigmund has to fight the old battle over again, and is slain in a war with the sons of King Hunding, in whom are reflected the followers of Siggeir. But Achilleus is slain only when Apollôn guides the spear of Paris; and so when Sigmund's hour is come, the one-eyed man with the flapping hat and the blue garment (of ether) is seen again. As he stretches out this spear, Sigmund strikes against it his good sword Gram, and the blade is shivered in twain. The hero at once knows that Odin stands before him, and prepares to

Book die on the battle-field. But Iolê stood by the funeral pile of Herakles, and Sigmund dies in the arms of his young wife Hjordis, youthful as Daphnê or Arethousa, ' refusing all leechcraft and bowing his head to Odin's will,' as in the Trojan myth Paris cannot be healed even though Oinônê would gladly save him.
The Story of Sigurd.

So ends the first act of the great drama; but the wheel has only to make another turn, and bring back the sarie series of events with slight differences of names and colouring. Sigmund leaves Hjordis the mother of an unborn babe, the Phoibos who is the child of Lêto, and of the Sum who sank yestereve beneath the western waters. This child, who receives the name of Sigurd, is born in the house of Hialprek, who is localised as King of Demmark, but who represents Laios or Alkrisios in the Theban and Argive legends; and these, we need not say, are simply reflections of Vritra, the being who wraps all things in the veil of dark-. ness. Sigurd himself is the favourite hero of northern tradition. Like Achilleus, he is the destined knight who succeeds where all others have failed before him. Troy cannot fall if the son of Peleus be absent; Fafnir cannot be slain, nor Brynhild rescued, except by the son of Sigmund. Physically, there is no difference between them. Both have the keen blue eyes, and golden locks, and invincible weapons. of Phoibos and Athênê; on both alike rests the glory of a perfect beauty; and to both their weapons and their armour come from the god of fire. But in the Norse story there is a connection between Regin, the mysterious smith of King Hialprek, and the dragon Fafnir, which cannot be traced between Hephaistos and the Delphian Python, but which is: fully explained by the differences of a northern and a mediterranean climate. In the Norse story, there is enmity between Fafnir and Regin, between the serpent who has coiled round the treasure of Brynhild (as the Panis hide the cows of Indra), and the faculties of life and growth represented by the dwaris to whose race Regin belongs. ${ }^{1}$ Regin,

[^244]but the contrivance and wonderful properties present in the mineral and veretable kingdoms, and shown in form and shape, in colour and growth, in
in short, is one of that class of beings who supply warmth and vigour to all living things ; Fafnir is the simple darkness

CHAP. XII.

Book his hold of the summer itself as represented by the symbol of the reproductive power in nature. The ring is the magic necklace of Harmonia and Eriphyle, the kestos of Aphroditê, the ship of Isis and Athênê, the Yoni of Vishnu, the Argo which bears within itself all the chieftains of the Achaian lands. Andvari prays in vain, but before he surrenders the ring, he lays on it a curse, which is to make it the bane of every man who owns it. It is, in short, to be the cause of more than one Trojan war, ${ }^{1}$ the Helen who is to bring ruin to the hosts who seek to rescue her from thraldom. The beauty of the ring tempts Odin to keep it, but the gold he yields to Reidmar. It is, however, not enough to hide all the white hairs of the otter's skin. One yet remains visible, and this can be hidden only by the ring which Odin is thus compelled to lay upon it, as the ice cannot be wholly melted till the full warmth of summer has come back to the earth. Thus the three 屈ir go free, but Loki lays again on the ring the curse of the dwarf Andvari. The working of this curse is seen first in the death of Reidmar, who is slain by Regin and Fafnir, because he refuses to share with them the gold which he had received from the Æsir. The same cause makes Regin and Fafuir enemies. Fafnir will not yield up the treasure, and taking a dragon's form he folds his coils around the golden heaps upon the glistening heath, as the Python imprisons the fertilising streams at Delphoi. Thus foiled, Regin beseeches Sigurd to smite the dragon; but even Sigurd cannot do this without a sword of sufficient temper. Regin forges two, but the blades of both are shivered at the first stroke. Sigurd exclains bitterly that the weapons are untrue, like Regin and all his race,-a phrase which points with singular clearness to the difference between the subterranean fires and the life-giving rays of the sun, which alone can scatter the shades of night or conquer the winter's cold. It is clear that the victory cannot be won without the sword which Odin drove into the oak trunk, and which had been broken in the hands of Sigmund. But the

[^245][^246]pieces remain in the keeping of Hjordis, the mother of Sigurd, and thus the wife of Sigmund plays here precisely

CHAP. XII. the part of Thetis. In each case the weapons with which the hero is to win his victory come through the mother, and in each case they are forged or welded by the swarthy firegod; but the Norse tale is even more true than the Homeric legend, for the sword which smites the darkness to-day is the same blade which the enemies of the sun yestereve snapped in twain. With the sword thus forged from the shattered pieces of Gram Regin bids Sigurd smite the Dragon : but the hero must first avenge his father's death, and King Hunding, his sons, and all his host are slain, like the suitors by the arrows of Odysseus, before Sigurd goes forth on his good steed Gran, which Odin had brought to him as Athênê brought Pegasos to Bellerophôn, to encounter the guardian of the earth's treasures. But no sooner is the Dragon slain than Regin in his turn feels the desire of vengeance for the very deed which he had urged Sigurd to do, and he insists that the hero shall bring him his brother's heart roasted. Then filling himself with Fafnir's blood, Regin lies down to sleep, and Sigurd, as he roasts the heart, wonders whether it be soft, and putting a portion to his lips, finds that he understands the voices of the birds, who, singing over his head, bid him eat it all and become the wisest of men, and then, cutting off Regin's head, take possession of all his gold. This is manifestly the legend of Iamos and Melamporns, while the wisdom obtained by eating the heart of Fafnir has a further comection witl the Python as the guardian of the Delphic oracle. ${ }^{1}$

[^247][^248]Book With this exploit begins the career of Sigurd as Chrysâôr. by Gripir, the wise man, and thus in the fulness of wisdom of Brynand strength, with his golden hair flowing over his shoulders, and an eye whose glance dazzled all who faced it, he rides over the desolate heath, until he comes to the circle of flame within which sleeps the Valkyrie Brynhild. ${ }^{1}$ No other horse but Gran can leap that wall of fire, no knight but Sigurd can guide him across that awful barrier: but at his touch the maiden is roused from the slumber which had lasted since Odin thrust the thorn of sleep or winter into her cloak, like the Ralkshas' claw which threw the little sun-girl of the Hindu tale into her magic trance. At once she knows that before her stands the only man who never knew fear, the only man who should ever have her as his bride. But Brynhild also has the gift of marvellous wisdom, and as the Teutonic Alrune, ${ }^{2}$ she reflects the knowledge of the Greek Athênê and the Latin Minerva. From her Sigurd receives all the runes, but these scarcely reveal to him so much of the future as had been laid bare for him in the prophecies of Gripir. ${ }^{3}$ By the latter he had been told that
paratively little importance. The real point for consideration is that these stories are further identical with tho sagas of the three Helgis, and of Baldur, and thus also with the myths of Adonis, Dionysos, Sarpêdôn, Memnôn, and other gods and heroes of Hellenic tradition.

In one version, Fafnir predicts that Sigurd will die drowned. The prophecy is not fulfilled, but it points clearly to the myth of Endymion.-Ludlow, Popular Epics, i. 70.
${ }^{1}$ Brynhild, as wo might suppose, reappears in many Teutonic stories. In the story of Strong Hans (Grimm), sho is the chained maiden who is guardod by tho dwarf (Andvari). When Hans (Sigurd) slays the dwarf, the chains immediatoly fall off her hands. In the story of the True Bride, the prince is as faithless as Sigurd, but the princess recovers him in the end with the happier lot of Penelopê. In the story of the Woodcutter's Child the Knight has to cut his way through the thorny hedges, as Sigurd has to ride through the flames. As the fearless
hero, Sigurd is the theme of the story of the - Princo who was afraid of Nothing,' and whose fortunes are much like those of the deliverer of Brynhild.
${ }^{2}$ The Aurinia of Tacitus, Germ. 8.Bunsen, God in, History, ii. 454.
${ }^{3}$ With the runes ho also receives a great deal of grod adrice, pointing precisely to those features in the myths of Phoilos, Helios, Hermes, and Herakles, which, when translated into the conditions of human morality, becomo faults or vicos; Helios may burn his enemies without scruple or shame, but Sigurd must not do this, nor must he be, like Indra and Paris, fovaluavís, nor a liar like Odysseus. The warnings which she adds are much of the same sort.

The winter sleep of Brynhild is travestied in the later story of Dietrich and Sigenot (Ludlow, Popular Epics, i. 263.) Dietrich is here the Sigurd or bright hero, who wears the helmet of Grein whom he has slain, and who is the nephew of the giant Sigenot. Sigenot now carries off Dietrich and shuts him up in a hollow

Brynhild (like Helen) would work him much woe: but Brynhild doubtless knew not, as Sigurd rode on to the hall

CHAP. XII. of Giuki the Niflung, that her place was now to be taken by another, and that her own lot was to be that of Ariadnê, Aithra, or Oinônê. It is the old tale, repeated under a thousand different forms. The bright dawn who greeted the newly risen sun cannot be with him as he journeys through the heaven; and the bride whom he weds in her stead is nearer and more akin to the mists of evening or the cold of winter. Thus Gudrun, loving and beantiful as she is, is still the daughter of the Niflung, the child of the mist, and stands to Sigurd precisely in the relation of Dêianeira to Herakles, as the unwitting cause of her husband's ruin. But Brynhild yet lives, and Gunnar, who, like Hogni or Hagene, is a son of the Niflung and brother of Gudrun, seeks to have her as his wife. His desire can be satisfied only through Sigurd, who by the arts and philtres of Grimhild has been made to forget his first love and betroth himself to Gudrun. In vain Gunnar ${ }^{1}$ strives to ride through the flames that encircle Brynhild, until at last, by the arts of Grimhild, Sigurd is made to change shapes and arms with Gumnar, and, mounting on Gran, to force Brynhild to yield. Thus Sigurd weds the Valkyrie in Gumnar's form, and lies down by her side with the unsheathed blade of Gram between them. ${ }^{2}$ In the morning he gives to Brynhild the ring which

[^249]year, is a sister of the dark Cumnar, and though now married to the bright Sigurd, she belongs herself to the nobulous regions.'-Max Müller, Chips, ii. 110 .

2 This incident recurs in Grimm's story of the Two Brothers. In the Norse legend of the Big Bird Dan, who is no other than tho Arabian Roc, the princess lays the lare sword between her and Ritter Red. Dr. Dasent adds many more instances, as the story of Hrôlf and Ingegerd, of Tristan and Isolt, and he rightly insists that 'these mythical deop-rooted germs, throwing out fresh shoots from age to ago in the popular literature of the race, are far moro convincing proofs of the early existence of their traditions than any mere external evidonce.' - Norse T'ules, introduction, cxlii. It is cortainly
was under the double curse of Andvari and Loki, receiving from her another ring in return. This ring is necessarily connected with the catastrophe; but in the mode by which it is brought about, the Northern poets were left free to follow their fancy. In the Volsung tale, Gudrun and Brynhild are washing their hair in the same stream, when Brynhild says that no water from Gudrun's head shall fall upon her own, as her husband is braver than Gudrun's. When Gudrun replies that Sigurd, to whom she was wedded, had slain Fafnir and Regin and seized the hoard, Brynhild answers that Gunnar had done yet a braver deed in riding through the flames which surrounded her. A few words from Gudrun show her how things really are, and that the seeming. Gunnar who had placed on her finger the ring won from the spoils of Andvari was really Sigurd who had transferred to Gudrun the ring which he had received from Brynhild. Thus her old love is re-awakened, only to be merged in the stinging sense of injustice which makes Oinônê in one version of the myth refuse to heal the wounded Paris, and leads Dêianeira to resolve on the death of Herakles. The three instances are preciscly the same, although Oinônê is of the three the most gentle and the most merciful. But in all there is the consciousness of betrayal and the determination to punish it, and the feeling which animates them is reflected again in the hate of Helen for Paris after he has shut her up in Ilion. Thus Brynhild urges Gunnar to avenge her on Sigurd, like the evening twilight allying itself with the darkness of night to blot out the glory of the sun from the heavens. But Gunnar and his brothers cannot accomplish her will themselves: they have made a compact of friendship with Sigurd, and they must not break their oath. But Guttorm their half-brother is under no such covenant, and so this being, who represents the cold of winter, plunges a sword into the breast of Sigurd, who is sleeping in the arms of Gudrun. This weapon is the thorn which is fatal to the Persian Rustem and the gentle Surya Bai of modern Hindu follz-lore. But Sigurd is mighty even

[^250]in death, and the blade Gram, hurled by his dying hand, cleaves Guttorm asunder, so that the upper part of his body
chap. XII. fell out of the chamber, while the lower limbs remained in the room. The change which his death causes in the mind of Brynhild answers precisely to the pity which Oinônê feels when her refusal to heal Paris has brought about his death. Like Helen, who hates herself, or is hated, for bringing ruin on ships, men, and cities, she bewails the doom which brought her into the world for everlasting damage and grief of soul to many men. Like Dêianeira, and Oinônê, and Kleopatra, she feels that without the man whom she loves life is not worth living for, and thus she lies down to die on the funeral pile of Sigurd.

The sequel reproduces the same incidents under other names, and with different colours. As Sigurd, like Theseus and Herakles, first woos the Dawn, and thus has to dwell with the maiden who represents the broad and open day, so Gudrun, the loving companion of the Sun in his middle journey, has to mourn his early death, and in her widowhood to become the bride, first of the gloaming, then of the darkness. Between these there is a necessary enmity, but their hatred only serves the more thoroughly to avenge the death of Sigurd. Atli, the second husband of Gudrun, claims all the gold which Sigurd had won from the dragon, but which the chieftains of Niflheim had seized when he died. In fair fight he could never hope to match them; so Atli invites Hogni (Haugn or Hagen) and Gumnar to a feast, in which he overpowers them. Hogni's heart is then cut out, an incident which answers to the roasting of the heart of Fafnir; and as the latter is associated with the recovery of the golden treasure, so the former is connected with the subsequent loss which answers to the coming on of the night when the sun has reached the end of his glorious course. When sigurd died, Gunnar and his brothers had thrown the hoard into the Rhine-the water which receives Endymiôn as he plunges into his dreamless sleep; and the secret of it is lost when they in their turn are cast into a pit full of snakes, all of whom, like Orpheus, Gunnar lulls to sleep by his harping, except one which flies at his heart, and kills him-a tale told over again in the transparent myth of Thora, Aslauga, and Ragnar Lodbrog. Thus the beings who, though they might be akin to the mist and cold of night, had made a covenant of peace and friendship with Sigurd, are all gone, and to Gudrun remains the task of avenging them. The story of her vengeance is practically a repetition of the legend of Medeia. Like the Kolchian woman, she slays the two sons whom she had borne to Atli; but the ferocity of the Northern sentiment colours the sequel in which we see a sunset as blood-red and stormy as that in which Herakles rose from earth to the mansions of the undying gods. Gudrun makes Atli eat the flesh and drink the blood of his sons; and then, having slain him as he sleeps, by the aid of the son of her brother Hogni, she sets fire to the hall, and consumes every thing within it. The shacles of evening or of autumn are now fast closing in, and Gudrun, weary of her life, hastens to the sea shore to end her woes by plunging into the deep. But the waters carry her over to the land of King Jonakr, who makes her his bride, and she now becomes the mother of three sons, Saurli, Hamdir, and Erp, whose raven black hair marks them as the children of clouds and darkness. Once more the magic wheel revolves, and in the fortunes of Svanhild, the daughter of Sigurd and Gumnar, we see the destiny of the fateful Helen. Like her, Svanhild is the most beautiful of women, and Hermanric, the Gothic lking, sends his son Randver to woo her for him; but the young man is advised by the treacherous Bikki to woo her for himself, and he follows the counsel which chimes in only too well with his own inclinations, as with those of Svanhild. Hermanric orders that his son shall be hanged. Presently he receives a plucked hawk which Randver had sent to show him the weakness of parents who deprive themselves of the support of their children, and he gives orders to stop the execution. The messenger comes too late, Randver is already slain; and Svanhild is trampled to death by the steeds of Hermanric's horsemen as she combs out her golden locks. But Hermanric must pay the penalty for his ill-doing not less than Sigurd or Atli. Gunnar's command goes forth to her three Niflung sons, Saurli, Hamdir and Erp, to avenge

Svanhild; and thus, armed with helmets and cased in mail which no weapons can pierce, they take the way to the house of Hermanric. As they go, the Nifluggs quarrel among themselves, and Saurli and Hamdir slay Erp, because he is his mother's darling. ${ }^{1}$ But Hermanric, although he may be mutilated, cannot be slain. The two brothers cut off his hands and feet; but Erp is not there to smite off his head, and Hermanric has strength to call out to his men, who bind the Niflungs and stone them to death, by the advice of a one-eyed man who tells them that no steel can pierce their panoply. Here the one-eyed man is again the stranger who had left the sword in the oak tree of Volsung's hall, and the men of Hermanric answer to the Achaians in their struggle with the robbers of Ilion. It was time, however, that the tale should end, and it is brought to a close with the death of Gudrun, for no other reason probably than that the revolutions of the mythic wheel must be arrested somewhere. The difference between the climates of northern and southern Europe is of itself enough to account for the more cheerful ending of the Hellenic story in the trimphant restoration of the Herakleidai.

The very fact that in all this story there is, as we have seen, scarcely an incident which we do not find in the traditions of other Aryan nations or tribes, renders it impossible to judge of the character of Northmen or Germans from the legends themselves. It is possible, of course, and even likely

[^251][^252]CHAI. XII.

Helgi
Sagas.

BOOK that the poets or narrators have in each case thrown over the characters and events of their tale a colouring borrowed from the society of the time; but that as portraits of actual manners they are gross and impossible exaggerations we a:e justified in concluding not only from the story itself, but from the recurrence of the myth in many lands unchanged in its essence, and even in its most prominent features. It is thrice repeated in the legends of the three Helgis, who, it is scarcely necessary to say, are mere reflections, the one of the others. These are the holy ones, or saviours, who make whole or restore life, like the Paieôn or Asklepios of Greek mythology. ${ }^{1}$

Of these Helgis, the first is called the son of Hiorvardur, and he is loved by Swava, the daughter of King Eilimir. But his brother Hedin makes a vow on the yule eve that Swava shall be his wife, not the bride of Helgi. He has been misled by the sorceress Hrimgerda, who seeks to make him her own, as Kirkê and Kalypso use all their arts to detain Odysseus; but the northern hero is more scrupulous than the Ithakan chieftain, and he not only rejects her love, but compels her to prophesy till the day dawns and her power is at an end,-a sufficiently clear token of her nature. Soon, however, he repents him of the oath which the sorceress had led him to take, and he confesses his guilt to Helgi, who, foreboding his own death in the coming struggle with Alfur, the son of Hrodmar, promises that when he is slain Swava shall be Hedin's. When he has received the death-wound, he tells Swava of this promise; but she refases to abide by it or to have any other husband but Helgi, and Helgi in his turn declares that though he must now die, he will come back again when his death has been avenged. This is manifestly the avenging of Baldur, and Helgi is thus another form of Adonis, or Memnôn, or Dionysos. The younger brother is the waning autumn sum, who thinks to obtain his brother's wife when the sun of summer has lost its power.
The


- They are the Alcis mentionod hy tacitus, Germ. 43, as worshipped by the Namarvali, and as answering to the Castor and Pollux the Teutonic Dioskouroi or Asvins; and
the Nornas came and fixed the lot of the babe, like the Moirai in the legend of Meleagros. ${ }^{1}$ When fifteen years

CHAP. XII. -old, he slays King Hunding and his sons, and afterwards wins the love of Sigrun, daughter of Hogni, who, like Swava, is a Valkyrie and a sister of Bragi and Dag, the brilliant heaven and the day. She promises Helgi that she will be his wife if he will vanquish the sons of Granmar, the bearded spirit, to one of whom she had been betrothed. Thus again we have the woman whom two heroes seek to obtain, the Helen for whom Menelaos and Paris contend together. In the battle which follows, Sigrun, as a Valkyrie, cheers him on, and Dag alone is spared of all the sons of Granmar. But although Dag. swears allegiance to the Volsungs, he yet treacherously stabs Helgi (another of the many forms of Baldur's death), and tells Sigrun that he is dead. The sequel, although essentially the same, shows the working of a new vein of thought. Sigrun curses Dag as one who had broken his oath, and refuses to live

> Unloss a glory should broak from the prince's grare, And Vighlar the horse should speed thither with him; The gold-loridled steed becomos him whom I fain would enibrace.

Her tears disturb the repose of Helgi in his grave, and he rebukes her as making his wounds burst open afresh. But Sigrun is not to be scared or driven away. She prepares a common resting-place for him and for herself, a couch free from all care, and enters of her own free will the land of the dead.

| ' Nothing I now declaro At Sefufiöll sinco in a corpse's Mügni's fair daughter, And thou art living, <br> Timo 'tis for me to rid Let the pale horso I toward the west must Ere Lalgofnir |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Unlooked for, Late or carly,
Arms thou slocpest, In a mound,
Daughter of kings.
On the reddening ways;
Tread the acrial path;
Over Vindhialm's bridge,
Awakens heroes." ${ }^{2}$

The third Helgi, Haddingaheld, is but a reproduction of
${ }^{1}$ He is also identified as Hermodhur, Heermuth, the son of Odin, who is sent to fetch up Baldur from tho undor world and is thus the returning or conquering sun who comes back after the winter solstico.-Bunsen, God in History, ii. 471.
${ }^{2}$ Second Lay of Helgi Hundingsbana, 46, 47. This is the legend of Lenore, of which Bunsen says that 'Bürger caught the soul of the story as it was on the point of extinction, and lent it a new and immortal life among the German pcople.'-God in History, ii. 466.
book the second Helgi, while Kara, the daughter of Halfdan, takes the place of Swava or Sigrun. In all these tales the heroes and the heroines stand in precisely the same relations to each other ${ }^{1}$ and thus, having seen that the myths of these heroes merely reproduce the legends of Baldur and of Sigurd the Volsung, we are prepared for the conclusion that the story of Siegfried, in the Lay of the Nibelungs, is only another form of the oft-repeated tale. For the most part the names are the same, as well as the incidents. The second Helgi is a son of Sigmund, his mother also being called Sigurlin; and so Sigurd of the Volsung and Siegfried of the Nibelung Saga are each the son of Sigmund. The slaying of Hunding by Helgi answers to the slaughter of Fafnir and Regin by Sigurd, Siegfried being also a dragon-slayer like Phoibos, or Oidipous, or Herakies. So too, as Sigurd first won the love of Brynhild and then marries Gudrun, for whose brother he finally wins Brynhild as a wife, so Siegfried in his turn marries Kriemhild, sister of the Burgundian Gunther, having wooed. Brynhild for his brother-in-law. If, again, Brynhild canses the death of Sigurd, the man in whom she has garnered up her soul, so Siegfried is murdered at Brynhild's instigation. If in the Helgi Saga the son of Hogui bears the news of Helgi's death to Sigurd, so in the Volsung tale Hogni informs Gudrun of Sigurd's death, and in the Nibelung song Hagen brings to Kriemhild the tidings of the death of Siegfried. Like Swava and Sigrun, Brynhild kills herself that her body may be burnt with that of Sigurd; and as in the story of the Volsungs, Atli (who appears as the comrade of the first Helgi) gets possession of Gunnar and Hogni and has them put to death, so Kriemhild in the Nibelungenlied marries Etzel, who catches Gunther and his brothers in the same trap in which Gunnar and Hogni had been caught by Atli."

[^253][^254]That the later forms into which the Volsung story has been thrown may contain some incidents which may be either

CHAP. XII.

The Nibelungen Lay. rical character of these poems can but trace a name here and there, or perhaps see in the account of some fight a reference to some actual battle with which it has no likeness beyond the fact that men fought and were killed in both, as the fishes swim in the streams of Macedon and Monmouth, it seems useless to affirm it. When the motives are alike in all, when in each case there is a wealthily dowered maiden whose hoard is stolen, a robber who refuses to disclose the secret of the lost treasure, and bloody vengeance by those who lay claim to this wealth, when thousands are murdered in a single hall, and men lie down contentedly in flaming chambers floating in blood, treading out the falling brands in the gore and recruiting their strength by sucking the veins of the dead, we can scarcely regard it as a profitable task to search amidst such a mass of impossibilities the materials for a picture of society as existing whether amongst Northmen or amongst Greeks. That the colouring thrown over them is in part reflected from the manners of the age, there is no room to doubt; but when the groundwork of the story has been shown to be purely mythical, this fact will not carry us

[^255]known historical orent is associated, and of which the stories told cannot be reconciled with anything which comes down to us on gronuine historical testimony, furnish a miserably insecure foundation for any historical inferences. If this is all that we leam from the popular tradition, can we be said to learn anything? In tho one IBleda is the brother of Attila, in the other he is not: it seems rash, then, to speak of Bludi as a 'perfectly historical person.' To us they must remain mere names; and while wo turn asido from the task of measuring tho historical authority of these Sagas as a mere waste of time, we cannot on the same plea refuse consideration to cridence which may seem to trace suel namos as Atli, Bleda, and Gumar to a time long preceding the days of Attila, Bladi, and Gunther.
book far. We are confined to mere names or mere customs; and
I. the attempt to advance further lands us in the region of guesswork. Thus to Mr. Kemble's assertion that Attila 'drew into his traditional history the exploits of others, and more particularly those of Chlodowic and his sons in the matter of the Burgundian kingdom,' and that this fact will be patent to any one who will look over the accounts of the Burgundian war in Gregory of Tours, Mr. Ludlow replies that the search yields only two names, Godegiselus namely, and Theudericus, answering to the Giselher and Dietrich of the Nibelungen Lay. ${ }^{1}$ Nor do we gain much if we find Gundicar, the Burgundian ling, as one of the sovereigns conquered by Attila, if the Atli of the Volsung story belonged to the myth long before the days of the Hunnish devastator. The name of the Bishop Pilgrim seems to be more genuinely historical; but even if he can be identified as a prelate who filled the see of Passau in the tenth century, we know no more about him from the poem than we learn of Hruodlandus from the myth of the Roland who fell at Roncesvalles.

Sigurd, Siegfried, and Baldur.

The points of difference between the Norse and the German traditions are simply such as the comparison of one Greek myth with another would lead us to expect. Phoibos may be called the child of the darkness, as strictly as he may be said to be born in Delos or Ortygia. The offspring of Chrysâôr, the lord of the golden sword of day, is the three-headed Geryoneus; and Echidna, the throttling snake, who is united with Herakles, is the daughter of Kallirhoe, the fair-flowing stream of the ocean. Hence there is nothing surprising in the fact that in the one set of myths Sigurd fights with, or is slain by, the Niflungs, while in the other he is said to be a Niflung himself. ${ }^{2}$ The real difference between the Teutonic and the Greek epics lies, not so much in the fact that a complex poem exhibits a being like Paris, sometimes in the garb of the Panis, sometimes with all the attributes of Helios, as in the greater compass of the northern poems. The Iliad relates the incidents only of a portion of a single year in the

[^256]Trojan war; the Nibelung lay adds two or three complete histories to the already completed history of Siegfried. The

CHAI' XII. antiquity of these several portions of a poem, which by the confession of all has certainly been pieced together, is a question into which we need not enter. It is possible, as Mr. Ludlow thinks, that the portion which relates to Siegfried was added at a later time to explain the intense hatred of Kriemhild for her brothers, and that this may be the most modern addition to the Nibelungenlied; but it is not less certain that the myth of Siegfried is the myth of Baldur, and has existed in many shapes in every Aryan land. The Volsung story may represent the rougher songs of Norse sea-rovers, while the Nibelung song may introduce us to the more stately life and elaborate pageants of German kings and princes; but the heroes have changed simply their conditions, not their mind and temper, by crossing the sea or passing into another land. The doom of perpetual pilgrimage is laid on Perseus, Theseus, Bellerophôn, Herakles, Odysseus; and Sigurd and Siegfried are not more exempt from it. ${ }^{1}$ In their golden locks and godlike countenances, in their flashing swords and unerring spears, there is no difference between them; and every additional point of likeness adds to the weight of proof that these epic poems represent neither the history nor the national character of Northmen, Greeks, or Germans. In each case the spirit of the tradition has been carefully preserved, but there is no servile adherence. In the Volsung story, Gudrun becomes the wife of Siegfried; in the Nibelung song, her mother Kriemhild takes her place. The Hogni of the

[^257][^258] former tale becomes in the latter the Hagen of Tronege, against whom Siegfried is warned when he desires to marry Kriemhild, the sister of Gunther, Gernot, and Giselher, and who recognises Siegfried as the slayer of the Niblungs, the conqueror of their magic sword, Balmung, and of all their treasures, and the possessor of the tarnkappe, or cape of darkness-all of them features with which the earlier legend has made us familiar. The story of Thetis or Dêmêtêr plunging Achilleus and Triptolemos into the bath of fire is here represented by the myth that Siegfried cannot be wounded, because he had bathed himself in the blood of a dragon whom he had slain-the Fafnir or Python of the Norse and Delphic legends. ${ }^{1}$ At the first glance Kriemhild is filled with love for Siegfried, but the latter camnot see her until he has sojourned for a year in the country of King Gunther-a condition which answers to that under which Hades suffered Orpheus to lead away Eurydikê. Here, like Sigurd in the Volsung myth, Siegfried wins Brynhild for Gunther or Gunnar; but though there is here not the same complication, the narrative scarcely becomes on this account the more human. Like Perseus with the helmet of Hades, Siegfried can make himself invisible at will, and like Apollôn Delphinios, he pushes a ship through the sea-a myth in which we recognise also the Wish breeze. ${ }^{2}$ Here also, as in the Norse story, the ring and girdle of Brynhild come through Siegfried into the possession of Kriemhild; and at this point the myth assumes a form which reminds us of the relations of


#### Abstract

${ }^{1} \mathrm{Mr}$. Ludlow here remarks: 'The incidents differ greatly. Sigurd drinks the blood and learns mysteries; Sifrit bathes in it and becomes invulnerable.' The difforences are simply such as must arise in myths developed independently from a common source. The essential part of it is the comnection betweon the dragon and the power derived from it: and this connexion is manifest in the myths of Iamos, Medeia, and Phoibos. ${ }_{z}$ The power of the Fish Sun is strikingly shown in the German stories of the Gold Children and of the Fisherman and his Wife. In the former a poor man catches the Golden Fish which makes him the possessor of the palace of Helios, and bids the man divide him into


[^259]Herakles with Eurystheus. Like Hêrê in the Greek tale, Brynhild holds that siegfried ought to do service to Gunther,

CHAP. XII. as Herakles did to his lord, and thus urges him to summon Siegfried to Worms. The hero, who is found in the Niflung's castle on the Norwegian border, loads the messengers with treasures, and Hagen cannot suppress the longing that all this wealth may yet come into the hands of the Burgundians. ${ }^{1}$ No sooner has Siegfried, with his father Sigmund and his wife Kriemhild, reached Worms, than Brynhild hastens to impress on Kriemhild that Siegfried is Gunther's man, and that, like Theseus to Minos, he must pay tribute. In deep anger Kriemhild resolves to insult her adversary, and when they go to church, she presses on before Brynhild, who bids her as a vassal stand back, and taunting her as having been won by Siegfried, shows him her girdle and ring as the evidence of her words. Gunther, urged by his wife, rebukes Siegfried for betraying the secret, but his anger is soon appeased. It is otherwise with Hogni, or Hagen, who here plays the part of Paris, by whose spear Achilleus is to fall. He sees his sister weeping, and, swearing to revenge her, spreads false tidings of the approach of an enemy, and when he knows that Siegfried is ready to set out against them, he asks Kriemhild how he may best insure her husband's safety. Not knowing to whom she spake, she tells him that when Siegfried bathed himself in the dragon's blood a broad linden leaf stuck between his shoulders, and there left him vulnerable, this place between the shoulders answering to the vulnerable heel of Achilleus. To make still more sure, Hagen asks Kriemhild to mark the spot, and the wife of the hero thus seals his doom. The narrative at this point becomes filled with all the tenderness and beauty of the Odyssey. Kriemhild is awakened to her folly in betraying Siegfried's secret to Hagen. Still, in vain she prays him not to go. He is the knight who knows no fear, and without fear he accompanies Hagen, doing marvellous things, until one day he

[^260][^261]Book asks Hagen why he has brought no wine to drink, when
I. Hagen offers to show him the way to a good spring. Siegfried hastens thither with him, and as he stoops to drink Hagen shoots him through the back on the spot marked by the silver cross. It is scarcely necessary to compare this with the vast number of myths in which the death of the sun is connected with water, whether of the ocean or the sea. In the spirting out of Siegfried's blood on Hagen, in the wonderful stroke with which he almost smites his betrayer dead, in the death wrestle which covers the flowers all around with blood and gore, we have the chief features of the blood-stained sunset which looms out in the legend of the death of Herakles. The body of Siegfried, placed on a golden shield, is borne to the chamber of Kriemhild, who feels, before she is told, that it is the corpse of her murdered husband. 'This is Brynhild's counsel,' she said, 'this is Hagen's deed;' and she swears to avenge his death by a vengeance as fearful as that of Achilleus. As Siegfried had spoken, so should Hagen assuredly rue the day of his death hereafter. She gives orders to awaken Siegfried's men and his father Sigmund; but Sigmund has not slept, for, like Peleus, he has felt that he should see his son again no more. Then follows the burial of Siegtried, when Gunther swears that no harm has come to the hero either from himself or from his men: but the lie is given to his words when the wounds bleed as Hagen passes before the dead body. When all is over, Sigmund says that they must return to their own land; but Kriemhild is at last persuaded to remain at Worms, where she sojourns for more than three years in bitter grief, seeing neither Gunther nor Hagen. The latter now makes Gernot press Kriemhild to have her hoard brought from the Niblung land, and thus at length gaining possession of it, he sinks it all in the Rhine. In other words, Adonis is dead, and the women are left mourning and wailing for him; or the maiden is stolen away from Dêmêtêr, and her wealth is carried to the house of Hades; or again, as in the Norse tale, the dwarf Andvari is keeping watch over the treasures of Brynhild: and thus ends the first of the series of mythical histories embodied in the Nibelung Lay. Whether this portion of the great Teu-
tonic epic be, or be not, older than the parts which follow it, it is indubitably an integral narrative in itself, and by no means indispensable to the general plan of the poem, except in so far as it accounts for the implacable hatred of Kriem-. hild for her brothers.

The second part of the drama begins with the death of Helche, the wife of Etzel or Atli, who longs to marry Kriemhild, and who is restrained only by the recollection that he is a heathen while the widow of Siegfried is a Christian. This objection, however, is overruled by the whole council, who, with the one exception of Hagen, decide that Etzel shall marry Kriemhild. Hagen is opposed to it, because Siegfried swore that he should rue the day on which he touched him, and on account of the prophecy that if ever Kriemheld took the place of Helche, she would bring harm to the Burgundians, as Helen did to the fleet, the armies, and the cities of Hellas. But as the forsaken Ariadnê was wedded to Dionysos, so the messengers of Etzel tell Kriemhild that she shall be the lady of twelve rich crowns, and rule the lands of thirty princes. Kriemhild refuses to give an immediate answer; and the great struggle which goes on within her answers to the grief and sickness of soul which makes the mind of Helen oscillate between her affection for her husband Menelaos and the unhallowed fascinations of the Trojan Paris. So is brought about the second marriage of the bride of Siegfried, a marriage the sole interest of which lies in the means which it affords to her of avenging the death of Hagen's victim. This vengeance is now the one yearning of her heart, although outwardly she may be the contented wife of Etzel, just as Odysseus longed only to be once more at home with Penelope even while he was compelled to sojoum in the house of Kirkê or the cave of Kalypsô ; and if the parallel between Etzel and Paris is not close, yet it is closer than the likeness between the Etzel of the Niblungs' Lay and the Attila of history. The poet declares that her deadly wrath is roused by the reflection that at Hagen's instigation she has given herself to a heathen ; but throughout it is clear that her heart and her thoughts are far away in the grave of the golden-haired youth who had

BOOK woced and won her in the beautiful spring-time, and that of
I. Etzel she took heed only so far as it might suit her purpose to do so. Her object now is to get Hagen into her power, and she sends messengers to Gunther bidding him bring all his best friends, whom Hagen can guide, as from his childhood he has known the way to the Huns' land. ${ }^{1}$ All are ready to go except Hagen, and he is loth to put his foot into the trap which he sees that Kriemhild is setting for him ; but he cannot bear the taunts of his brother Gunther, who tells him that if he feels guilty on the score of Siegfried's death he had better stay at home. Still he advises that if they go they should go in force. So Gunther sets out with three thousand men, Hagen, and Dankwart, his brother, and other chiefs with such as they can muster; and with them goes Volker, the renowned musician, who can fight as well as he can play. ${ }^{2}$ Hagen necessarily discerns evil omens as they journey on. The waters of the Danube are swollen, and as he searches along the banks for a ferryman, he seizes the wondrous apparel of two wise women who are bathing, one of whom promises that if he will give them their raiment, they will tell how he may journey to the Huns' land. Floating like birds before him on the flood, they lure him with hopes of the great honours which are in store for him, and thus. they recover their clothes-a myth which feebly reflects the beautiful legends of the Swan maidens and their lonights. No. sooner, however, are they again clothed, than the wise woman: who has not yet spoken tells him that her sister has lied, and that from the Huns' land not one shall return alive, except. the king's chaplain. To test her words, Hagen, as they are

[^262]of matcrial which the poets of the Nibelung song, like those of the Iliar and Odyysey, found ready to their hand. The close agreement of tho framework of the poem with that of the Volsung story and the legends of the Helgis, and the identity of all theso with the myth of Baldur, has been already shown. It is, thereforo, quite umnecessary to give an abstract of the poem throughout, a task which has been performed already My many writers, and among them by Mr. Ludlow, Popular Epics, i.
crossing the river, throws the priest into the stream; but although he tries to push him down under the water, yet the chaplain, although unable to swim, is carried by Divine aid to the shore, and the doomed. Burgundians go onwards to meet their fate. In the house of Rudiger they receive a genial welcome; but when Rudiger's daughter approaches at his bidding to kiss Hagen, his countenance seemed to her so fearful that she would gladly have foregone the duty. On their departure Rudiger loads them with gifts. To Gernot he gives a sword which afterwards deals the death-blow to Rudiger himself, who resolves to accompany them; while Hagen receives the magnificent shield of Nuodung, whom Witege slew. The ominous note is again sounded when Dietrich, who is sent to meet the Burgundians, tells Hagen that Kriemhild still weeps sore for the hero of the Niblung land; and Hagen can but say that her duty now is to Etzel, as Siegfried is buried and comes again no more. It is the story of the Odyssey. When Dietrich is asked how he knows the mind of Kriemhild, 'What shall I say?' he answers; ' every morning early I hear her, Etzel's wife, weep and wail full sadly to the God of heaven for strong. Siegfried's body.' ${ }^{1}$ It is the sorrow of Penelopê, who mourns for the absence of Odysseus during twenty weary years, though the suitors, like Etzel, are by her side, or though, as other versions went, she became a mother while the wise chief was far away fighting at Clion or wandering over the wine-faced sea.

At length Hagen and Kriemhild stand face to face: but when the wife of Etzel asks what gifts he has brought, Hagen answers that one so wealtly needs no gifts. The question is then put plainly, 'Where is the Niblungs' hoard? It was my own, as ye well know.' Hagen answers that at his master's bidding it has been sunk in the Rhine, and there it must remain till the day of judgment. But when Kriemhild tells the Burgundians that they must give up their arms before going into the hall, Hagen begs to be

[^263][^264]CHAP. XII.

The rengeance of Kricmhild.
excused. The honour is greater than he deserves, and he will himself be chamberman. Kriemhild sees that he has been warned, and learns to her grief and rage that the warning has come through Dietrich. ${ }^{1}$ But the time for the avenging of Siegfried draws nigh. Etzel's men see Kriemhild weeping as through a window she looks down on Hagen and Volker, and when they assure her that the man who has called forth her tears shall pay for his offence with his blood, she bids them avenge her of Hagen, so that he may lose his life. Sixty men are ready to slay them, but Kriemhild says that so small a troop can never suffice to slay two heroes so powerful as Hagen and the still more mighty Volker who sits by his side,--words which at once show that we have before us no beings of human race, and that Hagen is akin to the Paṇis, while Volker is the whispering breeze or the strong wind of the night, whose harping, like that of Orpheus, few or none may withstand. Kriemhild herself goes down to them: but Hagen will not rise to greet her. On his knees she sees the gleaming sword which he had taken from Sigfried, the good blade Gram, which Odin left in the house of Volsung. The words which burst from her bespeak the grief of a Penelopê who nurses her sorrow in a harsher clime than that of Ithaka. She asks Hagen how he could venture into the lion's den, and who had sent for him to the Huns' country. To his reply that he had come only by constraint of the masters whose man he was, she rejoins by asking why he did the deed for which she bears hate to him. He has slain her be-

- loved Siegfried, for whom if she weeps all her life long she could never weep enough. It is useless to deny the deed, and Hagen does not care to disown it. Ho tells the queen that he is in truth the man who slew Siegfried and has done to her great wrong; and the preparations for the last struggle go on with more speed and certainty. It is impossible not to think of the suitors in the house of Odysseus, although the bearing of Hagen and his men is altogether

[^265][^266]more dignified. The very weakening of the myth, which was too strong to allow the Homeric poet so to paint them,

CHAP. XII. has enabled the Teutonic bard to ascribe to the slayers of Siegfried a character of real heroism. But here, as in the Odyssey, the scene of vengeance is the great hall; and we have to ask where the roof has ever been raised under which thousands have fought until scarcely one has been left to tell the tale of slaughter. In this hall the Burgundians are left to sleep on beds and couches covered with silks, ermine, and sable. But they are full of misgivings, and Hagen undertakes with Volker to keep watch before the door. Volker, the Phemios of the Odyssey, does more. With the soft and lulling tones of the harp of Hermes or of Pan, he lulls to sleep the sorrows of the men who are soon to die. Through all the house the sweet sounds find their way, until all the warriors are asleep; and then Volker takes his shield and goes out to guard his comrades against any sudden onslaught of the Huns. The tragedy begins on the morrow with the accidental slaying of a Hun by Volker at the jousts which follow the morning mass; and the fight grows hot when Dankwart smites off the head of Blödel, whom Kriemhild had sent to slay him because he was Hagen's brother. But Hagen survives to do the queen more mischief. Her son Ortlieb is being carried from table to table in the banqueting hall, and Hagen strikes off the boy's head which leaps into Kriemhild's lap. The hall runs with blood. Seven thousand bodies are flung down the steps; but Hagen is still unconquered, and Trine who had charged himself with the office of Blödel, and succeeded in wounding him in the face, falls in his turn a victim to his zeal. A fresh thousand are poured in to avenge his death : the Burgundians slay them all, and then sit down to keep watch with the dead bodies as their seats. The tale goes on with increasing defiance of likelihood and possibility. Kriemhild and Etzel gather before the hall twenty-thousand men; but still the Burgundians maintain the strife deep into the night. When at length they ask for a truce, and Giselher tells his sister that he has never done her harm, her answer is that he is the brother of Siegfried's murderer,
and therefore he and all must die unless they will yield up Hagen into her hands. This they refuse, and Kriemhild sets fire to the hall, an incident which occurs in other sagas, as those of Njal and Grettir. Drink there is none, unless it be human blood, which is gushing forth in rivers; but with this they slake their thirst and nerve their arms, while the burning rafters fall crashing around them, until the fire is extinguished in the horrid streams which gush from human bodies. Thousands have been slain within this fated hall; six hundred yet remain; the Huns attack them two to one. The fight is desperate. Rudiger, compelled to take part in it sorely against his will, is slain with his own sword by Gernot; and at length Volker the minstrel is killed by Hildebrand, who strives in vain to wound Hagen, for he is the master of Balmung, Siegfried's sword, the Gram of the Volsung story. Dietrich is at length more successful, and the slayer of Siegfried is at last brought bound into the presence of the woman who lives only to avenge him. With him comes Gunther, the last of the Burgundian chiefs who is left alive. Once more, in this last dread hour, the story reverts to the ancient myth. Kriemhild places them apart, and then coming to Hagen, tells him that even now he may go free if he will yield up the treasure which he stole from Siegfried. Hagen's answer is that he cannot say where the hoard is as long as any of his masters remain alive. Kriemhild now takes to him the head of Gunther, the last of his liege lords, and Hagen prepares to die triumphantly. She has slain the last man who knew the secret besides himself, and from Hagen she shall never learn it. Frantic in her sorrow, Kriemhild cries that she will at least have the sword which her sweet love bore when the murderersmote him treachcrously. She grasps it in her hand, she draws the blade from its sheath, she whirls it in the air, and the victory of Achilleus is accomplished. Hagen is slain like Helktor. Her heart's desire is attained. What matters it, if death is to follow her act of dread revenge, as Thetis told the chieftain of Phthia that his death must follow soon when he has slain Helktor? The night is not far off when the sun appears like a conqueror near the horizon
after his long battle with the clouds. The sight of the dead Hagen rouses the grief of Etzel and the fury of Hildebrand who smites Kriemhild and herrs her in pieces.

If we put aside the two or three names which may belong to persons of whose existence we have other evidence, the idea that this story of ferocious and impossible vengeance represents in any degree the history of the age of Attila. becomes one of the wildest of dreams. Etzel himsclf is no more like the real Attila that the Alexandros of the Iliad is Jike the great son of the Macedonian Philip. The tale is, throughout, the story told, in every Aryan land, of the death of the short-lived sun, or the stealing of the dawn and her treasures, and of the vengeance which is taken for these deeds. It is but one of the many narratives of the great drama enacted before our eyes every year and every day, one of the many versions of the discomfiture of the thieves who seek to deceive the beautiful Saramê. But if this great epic poem contains no history, it is remarkable as showing the extent to which the myth has been modified by the influence of Christianity and the growth of an historical sense in the treatment of national traditions. There is a certain awkwardness in the part played by Etzel, a part ludicrously unlike the action of the historical Attila; and the pitiable weakness or inconsistency which leads him throughout to favour the schemes of his wife, and then, when Hagen is slain, to mourn for him as the bravest and best of heroes, serves only to bring out more prominently the fact that it is Kriemhild who fights single-handed against all her enemies, and that she is in truth a Penelopê who trusts only to herself to deal with the ruffians who have dashed the cup of joy from her lips and stolen away her beautiful treasures. But the religious belief of the poets would not allow them to make use of any other method for bringing about the terrible issue. The bards who recounted the myths of the three Helgis would have brought back Siegfried from the grave, and added another to the heroes who represent the slain and risen gods, Baldur, Dionysos, and Adonis or Osiris. In no other way could Siegfried have been brought back to the aid of his wife, unless like Odysseus he had been represented
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not as slain, but as fulfilling the doom which compelled him to fight or to wander far away from his home for twenty years. The closeness with which the bards of the Niblung legend followed the Saga of Sigurd rendered this alternative impossible, and it remained only to leave Kriemhild to accomplish that which no one else had the strength or the will to achieve on her behalf. But the myth had been further weakened in other directions. The slayer of Sigurd in the Volsung tale and his kindred alike belong with sufficient clearness to the dark powers who steal the cattle of Indra or Heralles, and thus they attract to themselves but little sympathy and no love: but the Christian feeling which could brand Hagen as a murderer refused to make his brothers or his kinsfolk or his liege lords partakers of his guilt, and thus the cowards of the first part of the story become the dauntless heroes of the second. ${ }^{1}$ But when Mr. Ludlow goes on to remark that 'Kriemhild's preferring to reside in the neighbourhood of her husband's murderers remains perfectly unaccountable,' we can but say that the difficulty is confined to the hypothesis which would regard the story as a picture of human character and human society. Kriemhild was under the same necessity which. kept Penelope in Ithaka, and the length of time during which the vengeance was delayed is due to the same cause. The sword which slays the darkness cannot fall until the ten long hours of the night have come to an end. Hence the many years during which Kriemhild makes ready for the last dread act, and the many years which go before the fall of Ilion. Nor can we well say that the prominence given to Kriemhild's love for Siegfried as the motive for vengeance over and above the desire to recover the hoard is: 'the refinement of a later age.' ${ }^{2}$ The Odyssey shows precisely the same connection between the desire to avenge Penelope and the wish to save the substance wasted by the suitors; and we have more than faint signs of the same mingled feeling in the Saga of the Volsungs.

The Story of Walthar of Aquitaine.

The story of Walthar of Aquitaine, a version of the same myth given by a monk of the eighth or ninth century,

[^267]is noteworthy chiefly as making the hero bear away both the bride and her hoard, and giving him a tranquil and a

CHAP. XII. happy close to his troubled and stormy life. Here also we have the names of Gibicho, Gunther, Etzel: but the Sigurd of this version is Walthar, while the part of Brynhild is played by Hildegund, who declares her readiness to obey her lover's bidding, when he charges her, as the guardian of the treasure, to take out for him a helmet, a coat of mail, and a breastplate, and to fill two chests with Hronish rings or money. Thus we have the same magic armour and weapons which we find in all such legends, while the war horse, appearing here under the name Lion, bears away the hero and his love. The king pursues with Hagen, who is by no means so doughty as in the Niblung Song, and who is not reassured when he finds Walthar performing a series of exploits which reproduce those of Herakles, Perseus, and Theseus. In the end he decides that he can have a chance of grappling successfully with Walthar only if he pretends to withdraw. His plan succeeds, and he is enabled to come up with Walthar as he is journeying on with Hildegund. In the fight which follows, Walthar smites off a portion of Hagen's armour, and brings Gunther to his knees with a stroke of his sword; but just as he is about to deal him the death-blow, Hagen interposes his helmeted head and the blade is shivered in pieces. Walthar in his impatience and anger throws away the hilt, and Hagen avails himself of the time to smite off Walthar's right hand, the right hand so fearful to princes and people. Here again it is the cap of darkness which is fatal to the gleaming sword, while the loss of Walthar's right hand carries us to the myth of Indra Savitar. The closing scene curiously reflects the death of sigurd. With failing breath, Walthar deals a blow which strikes out Hagen's right eye; but whereas in the genuine myth Walthar's death ought here to follow, to be avenged afterwards by that of Hagen, here the two heroes, thus sorely bested, make up their quarrel, and Walthar bids Hildegund bring wine and offer the cup to Hagen, who will not drink first, because Walthar is the better man. In short, the story ends with an interchange of courtesies,

B0OK which have an air of burlesque not unlike that which EuriI. pides has thrown over the Herakles of his Allêstis, and the bridal of Hildegund has all the joy and brightness which mark the reunion of Penelopê with Odysseus. ${ }^{1}$


#### Abstract

${ }^{1}$ The later lay of Gudrun, of which Mr. Ludlow has given a summary, (Popular Epics, i. 193, \&-c.) has many of the features of the Nibclungen Lied and the story of Walthar of Aquitaine. It is scarcely necessary to note the endless modifications of myths, with which the poots of successive ages allowed themselves to deal as freely as they pleased; but we are fully justified in referring to the old myth incidents which are found in a hundred mythical traditions, but which nerer happen in the life of man. Thus, in the Lay of Gudrun, the child who is carried away to the griffin's or eaglo's nest, whither three daughters of kings have been taken before, must remind us of the story of Surya Bai, although the child thus taken is Hagen, who grows up so mighty that ho becomes celebrated as the Wayland of all kings, a title which sufficiently shows his real nature. Thus,


 although he is inrested with all the splendour of the Trojan Paris, Hagen slays aill the mossengers sent by princes to sue for the hand of his Deautifnl daughter, nor can any succeed until Hettel comes--the mighty king at Hegelingen; a tale which mercly repeats the story of Brynhild, Dormroschen, and all the onchanted maidens whom many suitors court to their own death. The wonderful ship which Hettol builds to fetch Hilda, capable of holding three thousand warriors, with its golden rudder and anchor of silver, is the counterpart of the Argo, which goes to bring back the wise and fair Medcia. The good knight Iforant, at whose singing 'the beasts in the wood let their food stand, and the worms that should go in the grass, the fish that should, swim in the wave, leare their purpose,' is the fiddler of the Nibelung song, the Orpheus of the Ifellenie legend. Of this feature in the story Mr. Ludlow says, "Tho quaintly poctical incident of Forant's singing is perhaps the gem of the earlier portion,' a phrase to which oljection can be taken only as it, sooms to look upon tho incident as an original conception of the poets of the Gudrum Lay. From Mr. Ludlow's words no ono would nocessarily gather that the mythis simply that of Orpheus and nothing more, while the old tradition is further marked by the worls put into the moutly of Hilda, that she would willingly vecome king IIettel's wife, if Morant could sing to her every day at morn and eren, like the breeze of the dawn and the twilight in the myth of Hermes. Ifere also wo have the magic girdle of Mrynhild, Harmonia, and Eriphyle, the Cestus of Freya and Aphroditê ; whilo in the stealing of Hilda, who is no unwilling captive, and the fury of Hagen, as he sees the ship canry her away beyond the reach of pursuit, wo hare precisely the fury of Aiettês and his vain chase after the Argo, which is lrearing away Medoia. Here ends the first part of the tale; but it starts afresh and runs into greater complications after the birth of Ortwein aud Gudrun, the son and daughter of Irettel and Hilda. Liko her mother, Gudrum is carried away by Hartmut and his father, and a great struggle is the consoquence. The Lay of King Rother (Ludlow, ithid i. 317), is in great part made up of the same materials. Here also wo hare the beautiful maiden whose suitors woo her to their own destruction - tho wonderful ship which Rother builds to bring away the daughter of King Constantine of Constantinople ; tho sending of the messengers to the dungron, whero they remain until Rother comes to delirer them. But Rother, who wishos while on his expedition to be called Thidexich or Dietrich, is the splendid prince of the Cinderella story, and he obtains his wife by means of a gold and silver shoe which he alone is able to fit on her foot. But the princess is stolen away again from the home of king Rothor, and brought back to Constantimople; and thus wo have a repetition of the old story in another dress. It is unnecessary to say that although wo hear much of Constantinople and Babylon, not a grain of gemuine history is to be gleaned amidst this coufnsed tangle of popular traditions and fancies.

The form in which these myths are exhibited in tho Danish hallads, agrees so closely with the general character of the Volsung and Nibelung legends, that

As we approach the later legends or romances, we find, as we might expect, a strange outgrowth of fancies often utterly incongruous, and phrases which show that the meaning of the old myths was fast fading from men's minds. Still we

CHAP. XII. Dietrich of Bern. cannot fail to see that the stories, while they cannot by any process be reduced into harmony with the real history of any age, are built up with the materials which the bards of the Volsungs and the Nibelungs found ready to their hand. Thus in the story of Dietrich and Ecke, the latter, who plays a part something like that of Hagen or Paris, is exhibited in more lustrous colours than the Trojan Alexandros in the Iliad, although his nature and his doom are those of the Vedic Panis. Three knights, discoursing at Köln of brave warriors, give the palm to Dietrich of Bern, and Ecke who hears his praise swears that he must search through all lands till he finds him, and that Dietrich must slay him or lose all his praise. The incidents which follow are a strange travesty of the Volsung myth. Three queens hear the three knights talking, and the beautiful Seburk is immediately smitten with a love as vehement and lasting as that of Kriemhild in the Nibelung Song. Her one longing is to see Dietrich of Bern and to have him as her husband; but the means which she adopts to gain this end is to send Ecke in search of him, armed with a breastplate, which answers to the coat of mail wrought for Achilleus by Hephaistos. This breastplate had belonged to the Lombard ling Otnit, to whom it had been a fatal possession, for as he slept before a stone wall (the wall of glass in the Hindu fairy tale) a worm found him and carried him into the hollow mountain-the tower in which Dietrich is confined, in the story of the giant Sigenot. This breastplate was recovered by Wclfdietrich of Greece, in whom it is hard not to see a reflection of the Lykeian god of Delos, the Lupercus of Latin mythology; and it is now given by Seburk to Ecke on the condition that if he finds Dietrich he will let him live. It is the Dawn pleading for the life of the Sun. 'Could I but see the hero, no greater boon could be bestowed upon me. His high name kills me.

[^268]Book I know not what he hath done to me, that my heart so longs
I. after him.' It is the language of Selênê and Echo as they look upon Endymiôn and Narkissos; and all that is said of Dietrich recalls the picture of the youthful Herakles as given in the apologue of Prodikos. He is the father of the afflicted ; what he wins he shares; all that is good he loves. Whereever he goes, Ecke hears the people recount the exploits and dwell on the beauty and the goodness of Dietrich. Under a linden tree he finds a wounded man, and looking at his wounds, he cries out that he had never seen any so deep, and that nothing remained whole to him under helmet or shield. ' No sword can have done this ; it must be the wild thunderstroke from heaven.' Ecke is soon to see the hero who smote down the wounded man; but no sooner is he confronted with the valiant knight, than he forgets the part which he ought to play if he means to appear as a messenger of Seburk and to do her bidding. He now speaks in his own character, as the Pani who bears an irrepressible hate for his adversary, while Dietrich is as passive in the matter as Achilleus when he declared that the Trojans had never done him any mischief. 'I will not strive with thee,' he says, 'thou hast done me no harm; give my service to thy lady, and tell her I will always be her knight.' But Ecke is bent only upon fighting, and while he refuses to be the bearer of any message, he calls Dietrich a coward and dares him to the contest. Nor can we avoid noting that although Dietrich prays him to wait till the sun shines if fight they must, Ecke by his intolerable scoffs brings on the battle while it is yet night, and the strife between the powers of light and darkness is carried on amidst a storm of thunder and lightning until the day breaks. Ecke then thinks that he has won the victory ; but just as he is boasting of his success, Dietrich is filled with new strength, and when Ecke refuses to yield up his sword, he runs him through. But he himself is sorely wounded, and as he wanders on he finds a fair maiden sleeping by a spring, as Daphnê, Arethousa, Melusina, and the nymphs are all found near the running waters. The being whom Dietrich finds is gifted with the powers which Oinone cannot or will not exercise for the benefit of Paris. She heals him with
a wonderful salve, and tells him that she is a wise woman, like Brynhild and Medeia, knowing the evil and the good, and dwelling in a fair land beyond the sea. But the story has been awkwardly put together, and of the fair Seburk we hear no more. This, however, is but further evidence of the mythical character of the materials with which the poets of the early and middle ages for the most part had to deal.

The poem of the Great Rose Garden is a still more clumsy travesty of the myth of the Phaiakian or Hyperborean gardens. The birds are there, singing so sweetly that no mournful heart could refuse to be solaced by them; but the cold touch of the north is on the poet, and his seat under the linden tree is covered with furs and samite, while the wind which whispers through the branches comes from bellows black as a coal. In this garden is waged the same furious fight which fills Etzel's slaughter hall with blood in the Nibelung Lay: but the battle assumes here a form so horrible and so wantonly disgusting that we need only mark the more modern vein of satire which has used the myth for the purpose of pointing a jest against the monastic orders. The monk Ilsan, who, putting aside his friar's cloak, stands forth clad in impenetrable armour and wielding an unerring sword, is Odysseus standing in beggar's garb among the suitors; but the spirit of the ancient legend is gone, and Ilsan appears on the whole in a character not much more dignified than that of Friar Tuck in Ivanhoe.

The same wonderful armour is seen again in the beautiful romance of Roland. How thoroughly devoid this romance is of any materials of which the historian may make use, has perhaps already been shown; that many incidents in the legend may have been suggested by actual facts in the lifetime of Charles the Great, is an admission which may be readily made. When Charles the Great is made to complain on the death of Roland that now the Saxons, Bulgarians, and many other nations, as those of Palermo and Africa, will rebel against him, it is possible that the story may point to some redoubtable leader whose loss left the empire vulnerable in many quarters: but we do not learn this fact, if it be a fact, from the romance, and the impenetrable disguise

воок which popular fancy has thrown over every incident makes I. the idea of verifying any of them an absurdity. Whatever may have been the cause of the war, Roland plays in it the part of Achilleus. The quarrel was none of his making, but he is ready to fight in his sovereign's cause ; and the sword Durandal which he wields is manifestly the sword of Chrysâôr. When his strength is failing, a Saracen tries to wrest the blade from his hand, but with his ivory horn Roland strikes the infidel dead. The horn is split with the stroke, and all the crystal and gold fall from it. The night is at hand, but Roland raises himself on his feet, and strikes the recovered sword against a rock. 'Ha! Durandal,' he cries, 'how bright thou art and white! how thou sbinest and flamest against the sun! Charles was in the vale of Mauricane when God from heaven commanded him by his angel that he should give thee to a captain; wherefore the gentle king, the great, did gird thee on me.' ${ }^{1}$ This is the pedigree of no earthly weapon, and to the list of conquests wrought by it in the hands of Roland we may add the exploits of the good brands Excalibur, and Gram, and Balmung, and in short, the swords of all the Hellenic and Teutonic heroes. We are thus prepared for the issue when Alda (Hilda), to whom he has been betrothed, falls dead when she hears that Roland is slain. Kleopatra and Brynhild cannot survive Meleagros and Sigurd. ${ }^{2}$

The romance of Arthur.

As useless for all historical purposes, and as valuable to the comparative mythologist, is the magnificent romance of King Arthur. Probably in no other series of legends is there a more manifest recurrence of the same myth under different forms. The structure of the tale is simple enough. Arthur himself is simply a reproduction of Sigurd or Perseus. Round him are other brave knights, and these, not less than himself, must have their adventures; and thas Arthur and Balin answer respectively to Achilleus and Odysseus in the Achaian hosts. A new element is brought into the story with the Round Table, which forms part of the

[^269][^270]dowry of Guinevere; and the institution of the Knights furnishes the starting-point for a series of exploits on the part of each knight, which are little more than a clog to the narrative, and may easily be detached from the main thread of it. They answer in fact to those books in our Iliad which relate the fortunes of the Achaian chieftains during the inaction of Achilleus. A third series of narratives, rising gradually to a strain of surpassing beauty and grandeur, begins with the manifestation of the Round Table in the form of the holy Grail; and the legend of the quest for the sacred vessel, while it is really an independent story, is in its essential features a mere repetition of some which have preceded it. In short, the original meaning of these myths had been completely forgotten by the mediæval romancers; but, like the Homeric poets, they have felt the irresistible spell, and have adhered to the traditional types with marvellous fidelity.

Stripped thus of its adventitious matter, the poem assumes a form common to the traditions and folk-lore of all the

## The lirth

 and youth of Arthur. Teutonic or even all the Aryan nations. Not only is the wonderful sword of Roland seen again in the first blade granted to King Arthur, but the story of the mode in which Arthur becomes master of it is precisely the story of the Teutonic Sigurd and the Greek Theseus. We might almost say with truth that there is not a single incident with which we are not familiar in the earlier legends. The fortunes of Igraine, Arthru's mother, are precisely those of Alkmênê, Uther playing the part of Zeus, while Gorlois takes the place of Amphitryon. ${ }^{1}$ As soon as he is born, Arthur is wrapped in a cloth of gold, the same glittering raiment which in the Homeric hymm the nymphs wrap round the new-born Phoibos, and like the infant Cyrus, who is arrayed in the same splendid garb, is placed in the hands of a poor man whom the persons charged with him, like Harpagos, meet at the posterngate of the castle. In his house the child grows like Cyrus[^271]romance. This power of transformation is a spocial attribute of the gods of the heaven and the light, and as such is excreised by Phoibos the fish grod, and Dionysos the lion and bear.

Book and Romulus and others, a model of human beauty, and like them he cannot long abide in his lowly station. Some one must be chosen king, and the trial is to be that which Odin appointed for the recovery of the sword Gram, which he had thrust up to the hilt in the great rooftree of Volsung's hall. 'There was seen in the churchyard, at the east end by the high altar, a great stone formed square, and in the midst thereof was like an anvil of steel a foot high, and therein stuck a fair sword naked by the point, and letters of gold were written about the sword that said thus, "Whoso pulleth out this sword out of this stone and anvil is rightwise born king of England." , The incident by which Arthur's title is made known answers to the similar attempts made in Teutonic folk-lore to cheat Boots, the younger son, of his lawful inheritance. Sir Kay, leaving his sword at home, sends Arthur for it, and Arthur not being able to find it, draws the weapon imbedded in the stone as easily as Theseus performed the same exploit. Sir Kay, receiving it, fortllwith claims the kingdom. Sir Ector, much doubting his tale, drives him to confess that it was Arthur who gave him the sword, and then bids Arthur replace it in the solid block. None now can draw it forth but Arthur, to whose touch it yields without force or pressure. Sir Factor then kneels to Arthur, who, supposing him to be his father, shrinks from ${ }^{*}$ the honour; but Ector, like the shepherds in the myths of Oidipous, Romulus, or Cyrus, replies, 'I was never your father nor of your blood, but I wote well ye are of an higher blood than I weened ye were.' But although like the playmates of Cyrus, the knights scorn to be governed by a boy whom they hold to be baseborn, yet they are compelled to yield to the ordeal of the stone, and Arthur, being made king, forgives them all. The sword thus gained is in Arthur's first war so bright in his enemies' eyes that it gives light like thirty torches, as the glorious radiance flashes up to heaven when Achilleus dons his armour. But this weapon is not to be the blade with which Arthur is to perform his greatest exploits. Like the sword of Odin in the Volsung story, it is snapped in twain in the conflict with Pellinore; but it is of course brought back to him in the form of Excalibur, by a
maiden who answers to Thetis or to Hjordis. ${ }^{1}$ Arthur, riding with Merlin along a lake, becomes 'ware of an arm clothed in

CHAP. XII. ( white samite that held a fair sword in the hand.' This is the fatal weapon, whose scabbard answers precisely to the panoply of Achilleus, for while he wears it Arthur cannot shed blood, even though he be wounded. Like all the other sons of Helios, Arthur has his enemies, and King Rience demands as a sign of homage the beard of Arthur, which gleams with the splendour of the golden locks or rays of Phoibos Akersekomes. The demand is refused, but in the mediæval romance there is room for others who reflect the glory of Arthur, while his own splendour is for the time obscured. At Camelot they see a maiden with a sword attached to her body, which Arthur himself cannot draw. In the knight Balin, who draws it, and who ' because he was poorly arrayed put him not far in the press,' we see not merely the humble Arthur who gives his sword to Sir Kay, but Odysseus, who in his beggar's dress shrinks from the brilliant throng which crowds his ancestral hall. ${ }^{2}$

On the significance of the Round Table we must speak elsewhere. It is enough for the present to note that it comes to Arthur with the bride whose dowry is to be to him as fatal as the treasures of the Argive Helen to Menelaos. In the warning of Merlin that Guinevere 'is not wholesome for him' we see that earlier conception of Helen in which the Attic tragedians differ so pointedly from the poets of the Iliad and the Odyssey. As Helen is to be the ruin of cities, of men, and of ships, so is Guinevere to bring misery on herself and on all around her. Dangers thicken round Arthur, and he is assailed by enemies as dangerous as Kirkê and Kalypso to Odysseus. The Fay Morgan seeks to steal Excalibur, and succeeds in getting the scabbard, which she throws into a lake, and Arthur now may both bleed and die. ${ }^{3}$ At the

[^272]wears the helmot of Hades, and his action is that of the Erinys who wanders in the air.
${ }^{3}$ Morgan has the power of transformation possossed by all the fish and water-guds, Proteus, Onnes, Thetis, $\& c$.
hands of another maiden he narrowly escapes the doom which Medeia and Dêianeira brought upon Glaukê and on Herakles. The Lady of the Lake warns him not to put on this vesture until he has first seen the bringer wear it. This accordingly he makes the maiden do, 'and forthwith she fell down, and was brent to coals.' ${ }^{1}$

The story now ceases practically to be the romance of Arthur, until it once more exhibits him in all the majesty of Christian long-suffering and holiness; but, as we might expect, those portions of the romance which less immediately relate to Arthur are founded on old Teutonic or Hellenic myths. In the three sisters which meet Sir Marhaus, Sir Gawain, and Sir Ewain by the fountain, we can scarcely fail to recognise the three weird sisters, whose office, as belonging to the past, the present, and the future, seems to be betokened by their age and the garb which distinguish them from each other. The eldest has a garland of gold about her hair, which is white with the sorrows of threescore winters; the second, thirty years of age, with more brilliant ornaments, marks the middle stage in which the main action of life lies; while in the younger sister of fifteen summers, crowned with luxuriant flowers, we have the Norn whose business is only with the time to come. ${ }^{2}$ In the good knight Tristram we have another of those fatal children whose mother's eyes may not be long gladdened with the sight of their babes. Like Asklepios and Dionysos, like Macduff and Sigurd, Tristram is the son of sorrow; nor did he fail to justify the popular conviction that all such children are born to do great things. ${ }^{3}$ In the madness which comes upon Lancelot when Guinevere rebukes him for the love of Elaine we see the frenzy of Herakles and other hernes, a frenzy which is naturally healed by the San Greal. ${ }^{4}$ In the story of the Perilous Seat we have simply another form of a myth already twice given in this romance. 'Then the king went forth and all the knights unto the river, and there they found a stone floating, as if it had been of red marble, and therein stuck a fair and a rich sword, and in the pommel

[^273]thereof were precious stones wrought with subtle letters of gold, which said, "Never shall man take me hence but he by

CHAP. XII. whom I ought to hang; and he shall be the best knight of the world " '-bravery and goodness being thus made the prize instead of an earthly kingdom as in the case of Arthur. The lking tells Lancelot that this sword ought to be his, but it is the prize which, like the princess for whom the unsuccessful suitors venture their bodies, brings ruin on those who fail to seize it. The hero who is to take it is revealed, when an old man coming in lifts up the cover that is on the Siege Perilous, and discloses the words, 'This is the siege of Sir Galahad the good knight.' The story of this peerless hero is introduced with an incident which is manifestly suggested by the narrative of Pentecost. As the Knights of the Round Table sat at supper in Camelot, 'they heard cracking and crying of thunder, that they thought the place should all-to rive. And in the midst of the blast entered a sunbeam more clear by seven times than ever they saw day, and all they were alighted by the grace of the Holy Ghost. Then began every knight to behold other ; and each saw other by their seeming fairer than ever they saw afore. Then there entered into the hall the holy Grail covered with white samite, and there was none that might see it nor who bear it. And then was all the hall full filled with great odours, and every knight had such meat and drink as he best loved in the world.' The wonderful vessel is suddenly borne away, and the knights depart on a search which answers precisely to the quest of the Golden Fleece or the treasures of Helen the fair. The myth of the sword, already thrice given, is presented to us once more on board the ship Faith, on which there was 'a fuir bed, and at the foot was a sword, fair and rich; and it was drawn out of the scabbard half a foot or more.' 'Wot ye well,' says a maiden to Sir Galahad, 'that the drawing of this sword is warned unto all men save unto you.' This ship is the same vessel which carries Helios round the stream of Ocean during the hours of darkness. In other words, it becomes the ship of the dead, the bark which carries the souls to the land of light which lies beyond the grave. This ship carries to the Spiritual
book Place the body of Sir Percival's sister, who dies to save the lady of the castle by giving her a dish full of her own blood-a myth which reflects the story of Iphigeneia who dies that Helen, the lady of the castle of Menelaos, may be rescued, and of Polyxena, whose blood is shed that Achilleus may repose in the unseen land. From the quest of the Grail Lancelot comes back ennobled and exalted. Arthur longs for the return of the good knight Galahad, of Percival, and Bors; but the face of the purest of all men he may never see again. When at length the eyes of Galahad rest on the mystic vessel, he utters the Nunc Dimittis, and Joseph of Arimathæa says to him, 'Thou hast resembled me in two things; one is, that thou hast seen the San Greal, and the other is that thou art a clean maiden as I am.' Then follows the farewell of Galahad to his comrades, as he charges Sir Bors to salute his father Sir Lancelot and bid him remember this unstable world. 'And therewith he kneeled down before the table and made his prayers, and then sudderly his soul departed unto Jesus Christ. And a great multitude of angels bare his soul up to heaven that his two fellows might behold it; also they saw come down from heaven a hand, but they saw not the body, and then it came right to the vessel and took it and the spear, ${ }^{1}$ and so bare it up into heaven. Sithence was there never a man so hardy as to say that he had seen the San Greal.'

Lancelot and Guinevere.

The sequel which tells the story of the final fortunes of Lancelot and Guinevere presents perhaps the most wonderful instance of the degree to which a myth may be modified, and in this case the modifying influence is strictly and purely Christian. In the Trojan legend, Paris, who plays the part of Lancelot in the seduction of Helen, is invested with some of the qualities of Achilleus, and with many of the attributes of Phoibos; but Lancelot is Paris purified of his sensuality, his cruelty, and his cowardice. It is true that he estranges the love of Guinevere from her lord Arthur, and that even the sanctifying influence of the holy Grail, which makes him proof against the heart-rending sorrow of Elaine, cannot avail to repress his unconquerable affection

[^274]for the brightest and the fairest of women. But although the romance throughout speaks of it as his great sin, the love is one which asks only for her heart as its recompence, and enables him to say even at the last, that Guinevere is worthy of the love of Arthur. But the same Christian influence which makes Arthur slow to believe any evil of his dear friend Lancelot, could not allow Guinevere to end her days in peace with Arthur, as Helen returns to live and die in the house of Menclaos. Like Paris, whom Mcnelaos admitted to an equally trustful friendship, Lancelot had done a great wrong; and even when Arthur has closed his brief but splendid career, Guinevere tells Lancelot that all love on earth is over between them. Their lips may not meet even in the last kiss which should seal the death-warrant of their old affection. Arthur is gone. When he will come back again, no man may tell; but Guinevere is more faithful now to the word which she had pledged to him than she had been while his glorious form rose pre-eminent among the bravest knights of Christendom. Yet in spite of all that Christian influence has done to modify and ennoble the story, the myth required that Guinevere should be separated from Lancelot, as Helen is torn away from Paris; and the narrative presents us from time to time with touches which vividly recall the old Greek and Teutonic myths. Thus Sir Urre of Hungary has wounds which only Lancelot can heal, as Oinônê alone can heal Paris; and the last battle with Modred is begun when a knight draws his sword on an adder that has stung him in the foot, like the snake which bit Eurydike. So again, Excalibur is, by the hands of the reluctant Sir Bedivere, thrown into the lake from which it had been drawn, as the light of Helios is quenched in the waters from which he sprung in the morning; and the barge, which hed borne away the fair maid of Astolat and the sister of Sir Percival, brings the three queens (seemingly the weird sisters who have already been seen in another form) to carry off the wounded Arthur.

But even at the last the story exhibits the influence of the old myth. Neither Arthur himself nor any others think that he is really dying. His own words are, 'I will unto

Book the vale of Avilion, to heal me of my grievous wound.' I. There, in the shadowy valley in which Endymion sinks to sleep, the thought of the renewed life in store for Memnôn or Sarpêdôn or Adonis showed itself in the epitaph

## ' Hic jacet Arthurus, rex quondam rexque futurus.'

Guinevere and Diarmaid.

Later medienval epies and romances.

Of the story of Arthur and Guinevere, Mr. Campbell says that, 'when stripped to the bones,' it 'is almost identical with the love story of the history of the Feinne,' the tradition embodied in the poems which bear the name of Ossian, with not less justice perhaps than the Miad and Odyssey bear the name of Homer, and the Finnish epic Kalewala that of Wäinämöinen. To Grainne, the wife of Fionn, Diarmaid stands in the relation of Lancelot to Guinevere, or of Paris to Helen. Guinevere loves Lancelot at first sight: Diarmaid, when first he meets Grainne, 'shows a spot on his forehead, which no woman can see without loving him.' But if Lancelot follows Guinevere willingly, Grainne compels Diarmaid to run away with her. In the sequel the conduct of Fionn precisely matches that of Arthur, and Diarmaid is as fearless a knight as Lancelot-the conclusion being that ' here are the same traditions worked up into wholly different stories, and differently put upon the stage, according to the manners of the age in which romances are written, but the people go on telling their own story in their own way. ${ }^{1}$

It is unnecessary to examine the poems or romances which some writers are fond of arranging under sub-cycles of the main cycle of the Carolingian epics. These epics Mr. Ludlow ${ }^{2}$ pronounces 'historical.' The sort of history con-
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#### Abstract

Welsh stories, iv. 270-299. Taken ns a whole, they run precisely parallel to the streans of German, Scandinavian, and Hindu folk-lore, and lwing Mr . Campleall to the conclusion that they are'all founded npon incidents which have been woven into popular tales almost ever since mon began to spaak: that they are Celtic only becounse Celts aro men, and only poculiarly Celtic bem cause Celts aro almitted by all to be a very anciont offshoot from the common root.'


${ }^{2}$ Popular Epics of the Middle Ages, vol. ii. p. 13.
tained in them we may take at his own estimate of it. 'The char. history of them is popular history, utterly unchronological, XII. attributing to one age or hero the events and deeds of quite another.' In other words, it is a history from which, if we had no other sources of evidence, we could not by any possibility learn anything. Possessing the genuine contemporary history of the time, the critic has a clue which may here and there furnish sume guidance through the labyrinth; but it, is the genuine history which enables him in whatever measure to account for the perversions of the poems, not the perversions which add a jot to our knowledge of the facts. But it is more important to remember that these poems are of a quite different class from the general epics of the Aryan nations. They are the result of book-work, or, as Professor Max Müller has expressed it, they are not organic ; and to the stories spun by men sitting down at their desks, and mingling mythical or historical traditions at their will, there is literally no end. Yet even in these poems it is remarkable that some of the most prominent or momentous incidents belong to the common inheritance of the Aryan nations. The story of Garin the Lorrainer repeats in great part the story of Odysseus. Thierry's daughter, the White Flower (Blanche Flor), is the Argive Helen. 'That maiden,' says the poet, 'in an evil hour was born, for many a worthy man shall yet die through her.' The death of Bego, after the slaying of the boar, is the death of Achilleus after the fall of Hektor. But whatever travesty of real history there may be in parts of this poem, or in the epics of William of Orange and Ogier the Dane, there is next to none in the story of Bertha Largefoot, which simply reflects the myth of Cinderella, Penelopê, Punchkin, and perhaps one or two more. ${ }^{1}$ In short, it is mere patchwork. As in the case of Cinderella and Rhodôpis, the true queen is made known by her feet; ${ }^{3}$ the only difference being that with Bertha'it is the

[^276][^277]BOOK great size of her feet which determines the issue, not their smallness. To coin a word, she is Eurypous, instead of Eurôpê; and there was a version which spoke of her as Queen Goosefoot, a personage over whom Mr. Ludlow thinks it impossible that a poet could become sentimental. Yet the goose-footed queen is simply a swan-maiden, one of the most beautiful creatures of Aryan mythology. Mr. Ludlow seldom or never speaks as if he knew that the substance of these later poems has been given again and again in earlier myths. Thus he does not pause to notice that in the ' sub-cycle of William of Orange,' Renouart, the king's son, who 'must keep the kitchen, make the fire, skim the meat,' while he should be heading a host of a hundred thousand men, is only the Teutonic Boots, grown bulky and clumsy, like the Herakles of the "Alkêstis.' And yet these parallelisms must be noted, if the mythical or historical value of these romances is to be accurately measured. With the character of the men and women portrayed in the mediæval epics, Mr. Ludlow is seemingly much perplexed. The type especially which is seen in Brynhild, Gudrun, or Kriemhild, he regards as 'foreign to the truth of woman's nature.' ' It is so, and it must be so ; and it is better to avow it than to twist such stories as the legends of Helen and Achilleus in the desperate attempt to judge by human standards the inhabitants of the Cloudland.

The same materials will probably be found to have furrature of Europe. nished the framework of at least the greater part of the Saga literature of Northern Europe. If here and there a name or an incident belonging to real history be introduced into them, this cannot of itself raise the story above the level of plausible fiction. Far too much, probably, has been said of these Sagas as a true picture of society and mamers. That the writers would throw over their narrative a colouring borrowed from the ways and customs of their own time is certain; but the acts which they record are not proved to be deeds which were constantly or even rarely occurring, if
and Gaelic are all versions of the samo story, and that it is as old as tho common stock from which all theso races sprang.' S'ee also the story of

[^278]they involve either a direct contradiction or a physical imıpossibility. To say that all incidents involving such difficulties are to be at once rejected, while we are yet to give faith to the residue, is to lay ourselves as bondmen at the feet of Euêmeros and his followers, and to bid farewell to truth and honesty. Even in pictures of life and manners there is a certain limit beyond which we refuse to credit tales of cruelty, villainy, and treachery, when they are related of whole tribes who are not represented as mere savages and ruffians; and unless we are prepared to disregard these limits, the history of many of these Sagas becomes at once, as a whole, incredible, although some of the incidents recorded in them may have occurred.

This is especially the case with the Grettir Saga, for which a high historical character has been claimed by the translators. ${ }^{1}$ Yet the tale from beginning to end is full of impossibilities. In his early youth Grettir, being set by his father to watch his horses, gets on the back of one named Keingala, and drawing a sharp knife across her shoulders and then all along both sides of the back, flays off the whole strip from the flank to the loins. When Asmund next strokes the horse, the hide to his surprise comes off in his hands, the animal being seemingly very little the worse for the loss. After this impossible result of his exploit, Grettir, having lost a meallag, finds Skeggi in the same predicament, and joins him in a common search. Skeggi comes across Grettir's bag and tries to hide it. When Grettir complains, Skeggi throws his axe at him and is slain in requital. It can scarcely be pretended that we are reading the true story of 'an interesting race of men near akin to ourselves,' when instead of a fair field and no favour we find that six men do not hesitate to fall upon one. ${ }^{2}$ Thorfin, walking away from his boat with a leather bottle full of drink on his back, is assaulted from behind by Thorgeir, who thinks that he has slain him when he has only cat the bottle. He is jeered at next day for his blunder; but the act is no more blamed for its treachery than is the same base deed when Odysseus
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The character of Grettir.
boastingly relates it of himself. Thorgeir Bottle-jack is slain soon afterwards in a bloody fight over the carcass of a whale, in which half the population of the village seems to be slaughtered. Thorbiorn Oxmain thinks it a goodly exploit to knock at a man's door and then to thrust him through with a spear when he comes to open it. The same honourable champion, wishing to slay Grettir, discourses thus to his comrades:-
' I will go against him in front, and take thou heed how matters go betwixt us, for I will trust myself against any man, if I have one alone to meet; but do thou go behind him, and drive the axe into him with both hands atwixt his shoulders; thou needest not fear that he will do thee hurt, as his back will be turned to thee.'

When at a later time Grettir had slain Thorir Redbeard, Thorir of Garth assails the solitary outlaw with eighty men. Grettir slays eighteen and wounds many more, and the rest take to flight. ${ }^{2}$

This last incident brings us to the main question. It is, of course, a sheer impossibility: and if, as such, it is to be regarded as lying beyond the pale of hman history, we are at once driven to ask wherein lies the real value of a narrative in which such incidents form the staple of the story. The translators tell us that throughout the tale 'the Sagaman never relaxes his grasp of Grettir's character, that he is the same man from beginning to end, thrust this way and that by circumstances, but little altered by them; unlucky in all things, yet made strong to bear all ill-luck; scornful of the world, yet capable of enjoyment and determined to make the most of it; not deceived by men's specious ways, but disdaining to cry out because he must needs bear with them; scorning men, yet helping them when called on, and desirous of fame; prudent in theory, and wise in foreseeing the inevitable sequence of events, but reckless even beyond the recklessness of that time and people, and finally capable of inspiring in others strong affection and devotion to him in spite of his rugged self-sufficing temper.'3 It is one thing if this is to be regarded as the portrait of a man who really lived and died on this earth, or as the picture of some in-

[^280]habitant of the Phaiakian cloudland. The translators raise a vital issue when they say that 'to us moderns the real

CHAP. XII. interest in these records of a past state of life lies principally in seeing events true in the main treated vividly and dramatically by people who completely understood the manners, life, and above all the turn of mind of the actors in them. ${ }^{1}$ If we have any honest anxiety to ascertain facts, and if we are prepared to give credit to a narrative only when the facts have been so ascertained, then everything is involved in the question whether the events here related are true in the main or not. The genealogies given in the earlier part of the Saga agree, we are told, with those of the Landnáma-bók and of the other most trustworthy Sagas; yet such names tell us as much and as little as the names in the genealogy of the tale-maker Hekataios. A catalogue of names belonging to real persons cannot impart authority to a narrative of fictitious erents, if they are fictitious; and when we have put aside these genealogies and the names of one or two kings, as of Olaf, Hacon, and Harold Fairhair, we have numbered all the historical elements in the book: nor is it necessary to say that some safeguard is wanted when we remember that the Carolingian romances take the great Karl to Jerusalem.

If then we have before us a story, some of the incidents of which are manifestly impossible or absurd, we are scarcely justified in accepting, on the mere authority of the Saga, other portions which involve no such difficulties. We have the alternative of rejecting the whole story without troubiing ourselves to examine it further, or we may take it to pieces, reducing it to its constituent elements, and then seeing whether these elements are to be found in any other narratives. If this should be the case, the character of the narratives in which these common elements are seen will go far towards determining the credibility of the story. Clearly the latter course is the more philosophical and the more honest. That the translators had the clue in their own hands, is clear from the sentence in which, speaking of the events which followed Grettir's death, they tell us that ' the Saga-

[^281]Book man here has taken an incident, with little or no change,
I. from the romance of Tristram and Iseult.' ${ }^{1}$ If, as they think, the chapters in which this incident is related were added to the tale, and if this part of the story be substantially the same as that of a romance which is known to be mythical; if further, as they say, the whole Saga, 'has no doubt gone through the stages which mark the growth of the Sagas in general, that is, it was for long handed about from mouth to mouth until it took a definite shape in men's minds,' ${ }^{2}$ a presumption, to say the least, is furnished that other incidents in the Saga may be found to be of a like nature.

Grettir and Boots.

If we take the sentences which tell us of Grettir's childhood, how he had scant love from his father who set him to watch his home-geese, how he was fair to look on, red-haired and much freckled, how he would do no work or spoilt all that he did; how, when placed on board a boat, he 'would move for nought, neither for baling, nor to do aught for the sail, nor to work at what he was bound to work at in the ship in even share with the other men, neither would he buy himself off from the work,' ${ }^{3}$ how, when he does some great thing, the remark is 'we wotted not that thou wert a man of such powers as we have now proved thee, ${ }^{4}$ how he goes disguised to the wrestling match, and when Thorbiorn Angle pushes and tugs hard at him, moves not a whit but sits quiet, yet wins the victory,-we have before us the Goosegirl and the Boots of Teutonic story, the Boots who sits among the ashes in the 'irony of greatness,' biding his time, -the disguised Odysseus, patiently enduring the gibes of the suitors and the beggar Arnaios.

When the Saga tells us that on coming back from a Thing, 'Grettir lifted a stone which now lies there in the grass and is called Grettir's heave,' and how ' many men came up to see the stone and found it a great wonder that so young a man should heave aloft such a huge rock,' it relates a well-known legend in the myths of Theseus and of Sigurd in the Volsung tale. When Grettir is driven forth from his home without arms and his mother draws forth

[^282]from her cloak the fair sword which has gained many a day, we see before us Thetis and Hjordis bestowing on their chiidren

CHAP. XII. the magic weapons which reappear in the hands of Arthur and of Roland. In the horrible smiting of the bearsarks, who are shut up in a barn, we have the awful hall of slaughter in the Odyssey and the Nibelung Lay. In the marvellous story of the demon who is vainly assailed first by Glam who becomes a demon himself, then by Thorgaut, but is finally slain by Grettir, we see the common type of the popular story in which the youngest son, or Boots, wins the day, when his two brothers or comrades fail. In the beaks of the ship which is so full of weather-wisdom that the one whistles before a south wind and the other before a north wind, we have a reminiscence of the divine Argo. ${ }^{1}$ In the errand on which, when his companions have no fire, Grettir is sent to bring fire from a distant cliff, although 'his mind bids him hope to get nought of good thereby,' we see the myth of Prometheus and his recompense. The conflict of Grettir and Snækoll is related in words so nearly resembling. those of the narrative of David's fight with Goliath, that it is hard to resist the suspicion that we may have here an instance of mere copying, ${ }^{2}$ or that we have a travesty of the story of Samson, as we read that ' on a day as Grettir lay sleeping, the bonders came upon him, and when they saw him they took counsel how they should take him at the least cost of life, and settled so that ten men should leap on him while some laid bonds on his feet; and thus they did, and threw themselves on him; but Grettir broke forth so mightily that they fell from off him. ${ }^{3}$ In his enormous strength, in his fitful action, which is as often mischievous as it is beneficent, in the lot which makes him a servant of beings meaner than himself, which stirs up enemies against him in men whom he has never injured, in the doom which he foresees and which he has not the power, and indeed takes no pains, to avert, he is the very counterpart of Herakles and Achilleus. When he slays afresh Glam who has been long dead, the demon tells him 'Hitherto thou hast earned fame by thy deeds, but henceforth will wrongs
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and manslayings fall upon thee, and the most part of thy
I. doings will turn to thy woe and illhap; an outlaw shalt thou be made, and ever shall it be thy lot to dwell alone abroad.' Henceforth he is 'the traveller,' who can know no rest, who seeks shelter of many great men; 'but something ever came to pass whereby none of them would harbour him.' This, however, is the doom of Indra and Savitar in many Vedic hymns, of Wuotan Wegtam in Teutonic mythology, of Sigurd, Perseus, Bellerophon, Oidipous, Odysseus, Phoibos, and Dionysos. These are all wanderers and outlaws like Grettir, and there is scarcely an incident in the life of Grettir which is not found in the legends of one or more of the mythical beings just named. The overthrow of the eighty assassins led on by Thorir of Garth is the defeat of the Lykian ambuscade by Bellerophontes. After this the wounded hero goes to a cave under Balljökul, where the daughter of Hallmund heals his wound, and treats him well. ' Grettir dwelt long there that summer,' like Odysseus in the cave of Kalypsô, or Tanhaüser in the Venusberg, or True Thomas in the coverts of Ercildoune; but we look to find him chafing, as these did, at the enforced rest. We turn over the page and we read, 'Now as the summer wore, Grettir yearned for the peopled country, to see his friends and kin.' It is Odysseus longing to see Penelope once more. But he is under a doom. As Olaf says, 'If ever a man has been cursed, of all men must thou have been.' ${ }^{2}$ It is the curse which is laid on Ixiôn and Sisyphos, and singularly enough his father Asmund says of his son, ' Methinks over much on a whirling wheel his life turns. ${ }^{3}$ Hence also he dreads the darkness like a child, for Herakles, Helios, and Achilleus can do nothing when the sun has gone down. Hence too, the old mother of Thorbiorn lays on him the fate 'that thou be left of all health, wealth, and good hap, all good heed and wisdom,' the very fate of which Achilleus complains again and again to Thetis in the very bitterness of his heart. If again Grettir has his brother Illugi in whom he has garnered up his soul, this is the story of Achilleus and Patroklos, of Peirithoös and Theseus, of
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Herakles and Iphitos, of the Dioskouroi, and a host of others. Nineteen years he is an outlaw. 'Then said the

CHAP. XII. lawman that no one should be longer in outlawing than twenty winters in all,' and so Grettir was set free, as Odysseus returns home in the twentieth year. The incident which led to the death of Grettir is simply the myth of Philoktêtês and of Rustem. The cutting off of Grettir's hand is an incident in the myth of Indra Savitar and of Walthar of Aquitaine. When again it is said of him that ' he is right-well ribbed about the chest, but few might think he would be so small of growth below,' 1 we cannot aroid a comparison with the story of Shortshanks in Grimm's collection, or of Odysseus who, when sitting, is far more majestic than Menelaos who, when standing, towers above him by head and shoulders.

In short, the Saga, as a whole, ceases practically to have any distinctive features, and even in the sequel which relates the story of Thorstein, Dromund, and Spes, the incident which the translators compare with the romance of Tristram is not the only point of likeness with other legends. The closing scenes in the lives of the two lovers precisely reproduce the last incidents in the myth of Lancelot and Guinevere. Of the avenging of Grettir by Thorstein we need only say that the same issue belongs to the stories of Sigurd and the Three Helgis, and that all these have their type and find their explanation in the avenging of Baldur.
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## BOOK II.

## CHAPTER I.

THE ETHEREAL HEAVENS.

Section I.-DYAUS.
book The ancient Vedic mythology exhibits in a state of fusion the elements which the Hellenic legends present to us in the hearen. it has for us an inestimable value as throwing light on the process by which the treasure-house of Aryan mythology was filled. The myths of Achilleus and Sigurd point clearly enough to the idea of the sun as doomed to an early death : but the Vedic hymns bring before us a people to whom the death of the sun is a present reality, for whom no analogy has suggested the idea of a continuous alternation of day and night, and who know not, as the fiery chariot of the sun sinks down in the west, whether they shall ever see again the bright face of him who was their friend. ${ }^{1}$ All their utterances were thus the utterances of children who knew little of themselves and nothing of the world without them, and thus also they could not fail to apply to the same objects names denoting very different relations or characteristics. The heaven might be the father of the dawn, or he might be the child of the earth. The morning might be the parent of the sun, or she might be his sister, or his bride; and we should expect (as we find), that, if the names denoting these ideas came to be employed as names of deities, the characters and
powers of these gods would show a constant tendency to run into each other.

But the attribution to all sensible objects of a life as personal and conscious as their own would lead to the thought of one common source or origin of the life of all; and this source could be found only in the broad bright heaven which brooded over the wide earth and across which the sun made his daily journey to cheer the children of men. Thus Dyaus, the glistening ether, ${ }^{1}$ became to the Hindu, as Zeus was to the Greek, a name for the supreme God; but although some mythical features entered gradually into the conception of this deity, the name retained its original significance too clearly to hold its ground in Hindu theology. Dyaus, like the Hellenic Ouranos and Kronos, must be displaced by his child, who at the first had brought out more prominently the supremacy of his father; and thus Indra became to the Hindu what Zeus was to the Hellenic tribes, while the Vedic Varuna retained in the east a spiritual character which Ouranos never acquired in the west. ${ }^{2}$
in tear, but dog, while in Latin it is seen in 'tigris,' tiger, which approaches nearly to the English 'tyke' as a name for the dog. In the same way $\pi$ in the
Greek $\pi \alpha$ a oos ought to be represented by for the dog. In the same way $\pi$ in the
Greek mácos ought to be represented by $f$ in English; but it appears as 'path.' The connection of the two words can
scarcely be doubted, for if Professor The connection of the two words can
scarcely be doubted, for if Professor Curtius may givo the equation máros: $\pi \delta ́ \nu \tau o s=\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \theta o s: \pi \epsilon ́ \nu \theta 0 s=\beta \alpha ́ \theta o s: \beta \in ́ \nu \theta o s$,
 pond. Honce the fact that the Groek form of Dyaus is $\theta \epsilon \delta$ s, not $\delta \epsilon \sigma$ s, scarcely warrants our severing the two words. If the Vedie adeva is the Greok $\begin{gathered}a \\ \theta\end{gathered}$ os, If the Vedie adeva is the Greok $\alpha \theta \in o s$, 'deus' is established. In this conclu'deus' is ostablished. In this conclu-
sion I am following Professor Max Müller, Lectures on Language, second sories, $425-455$.

2 Heaven and earth, it would seem, are in the earliest hymns alike selfexistent; Jut Dr. Muir ('Principal Deities of the Tig Veda,' Transactions
of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, vol. xxiii. part iii.) romarks that wo are not told, as in the Hesiodic Theogony, not told, as in the Hesiodic Theogony,
which of the two is the older. 'On the contrary, one of the ancient pocts seems to have been perplexed by the difficulty of this question, as at the beginning of

CHAP.
I.

The Glistening Ether,
${ }^{1}$ Thus Dyaus, Zeus, Divus, Theos, Deus, Juno, Diana, Dianus or Janus, with many others, are outgrowths from tho same root, dyu to shine. But in his Introduction to Greek and Latin Etymology, Mr. Peile, while fully allowing that the Sanskrit name Dyaus is represented by tho Greek Zeus and by the Iatin divus and dous, yot donies that there is any relation between the Latin deus and the Greek $\theta$ efs. By the laws of phonetic change, he insists, the Latin d. must answer to a Greek $\delta$, as in $\delta \delta$ pos, domus: hence some other root must the sought for $\theta \in o ́ s$, purhaps $\Theta E \Sigma$, a secondary form of $\Theta \mathrm{E}$, the root of $\tau(\theta \eta \mu \tau$, though this is rejected by Professor Curtius, Gr. Et. pp. 230, 404, in favour of a distinct root thes or fes (meaning to pray), which he traces in festus and in $\theta$ évocooal, (Pind. N. v. 18.) I venture to think that too great a stress is here laid on laws which undonbtedly apply generally to the Aryan languages, but to which there are yet some instances of appurent and some even of real exception. The Greek $\delta$ d́крv is rightly represented by the English tear, while odikos, the biting beast, reappears in its legitimate dress in the German Toggenburg; but in English we have not $t$ as

BOOK II. Dyaus and Prithivî.

Ideas - denoted by the name Dyu.

Dyu, then, in the land of the five streams was at once a name for the sky and a name for God, Dyaus pitâr, Dyaus the Father, answering to the Zeus Patêr of the Greeks and the Jupiter and Janus Pater of the Latins. As such, he was Visvakarman, the great architect of the universe, who knows all spheres and worlds, ${ }^{1}$ janita ( $\gamma \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \tau \eta(\rho)$, the parent of all things, Prithivi, the broad earth, being the mother of his children. ${ }^{2}$ As, again, with the Greeks Zeus is both the god of rain and the being to whom all who are in pain and sorrow address their prayer, so the Maruts or storms go about in dyu, the sky, while their worshippers on earth invoke the mercy of Dyaus, Prithivî, and Agni. But the Indian land under its scorching sun depends wholly on the bounty of the benignant rain god; and hence Indra, who is the child of Dyu, and who from Dyu receives his might, becomes more immediately the fertiliser of the earth and is regarded as more powerful than his father. But Dyu, although his greatness is obscured by that of his son, still wields the thunderbolt; and the original meaning of the name reappears in the myth which represents him as the father of the dawn who is invincible by all but Indra.
Thus Dyaus is to Prithivì what Ouranos is to Gaia in the Hesiodic theogony, the Greek myth differing from the former only in deciding that Gaia herself produced Ouranos to be coextensive with herself. The Hindu had not so far solved the difficulty; and the doubt expressed on this subject shows the peculiar attitude of the Indian mind to the problems of the sensible universe. The Greek was at once contented with answers suggested by the old mythical phrases, or by the phenomena which he might be describing. The Hindu, ever dwelling on the thought of an unseen world,

> one of the hymns, (i. 185), he exclaims, "Which of you twain was the first, and which the last? How were thoy produced? Sages, who knows?" Ifis power and wisdom are shown most of all in the creation or evocation of his son Indra. Thus of Indra it is said, "Thy father was the parent of a most heroic son: the maker of Indra, he who produced the celestial and invincible thunderer, was a most skilful workman." -R.V. iv. 17,4 . Iut.it was

[^284]strove to gain some insight into the nature of things, and to unlock secrets for which the material world could never fur-
CHAP. I. nish a key. Hence Dyu was for him sometimes the supreme God, sometimes the heaven which with the earth had been fashioned by the gods and strengthened with undecaying supports, and which trembled and bowed down in the presence of the deities. Sometimes he was the all-pervading spirit, sometimes a material and tangible firmament; and thus again the question arose of the origin of matter. Of his ()wn ignorance the Hindu was perfectly conscious, and he had already begun to think that this ignorance extended even to the gods themselves. 'Who can tell whence this creation arose? The gods are subsequent to its production. Who then knows whence it sprung? He who in the highest heaven is its ruler, he knows, or perhaps not even he.' ${ }^{1}$ So far as this question was answered at all, it was answered, as Dr. Muir has well remarked, by Greek and Hindu in the same way. In the Hesiodic theogony, Chaos, Gaia, and Tartaros are beings apparently self-existent; or at the least the scheme begins with Chaos, and no parents are assigned to Gaia and Tartaros, or to Eros, who immediately follows, and precedes the birth of Erebos and Nyx, of Aithêr and Hemera. The Hellenic poet had brought with him from his primeval home the tradition which he shared with the Hindu: but having given utterance to it, he bestowed no further thought upon it. With the latter the position of Kama, the representative of the Hesiodic Eros, determines the character of his philosophy. The desire (ő $\rho \varepsilon \xi v s$ ), which in the Aristotelian Ethics must precede all moral action, is as essential to the divine as to the human mind, and thus Kama is the being through whom the world is fashioned, when as yet there existed only the one. ${ }^{2}$ The Wish of Teutonic mythology answers more closely to the Hesiodic Eros than to the Vedic Kama. The Homeric poet knew that men always have a need of the gods; ${ }^{3}$ but he was not, like the Hindu, always conscious of the need, always striving to know

[^285]Bоок
more of that mysterious power, always yearning for the time when he should no more see through the glass darkly.

## Section II.-VARUṆa and MITRA.

As Dyaus is the god of heaven in its dazzling purity and brightness, so is Varuna also the heaven as serving, like the Hellenic Ouranos, to veil or cover the earth. It is true that in the Hesiodic theogony Ouranos is united with Gaia, whereas it is not Varuna but Dyaus who in the Vedic hymns is mentioned as having Prithiví for the mother of his children. The difference is, perhaps, only in appearance. Gaia is really wedded to Zeus not less than to Ouranos, if Dêmêtêr be but Gaia viewed as the mother of all living things. Varuna, then, as the solid heaven, which is spread over the earth, is strictly a creation of mythical speech and is embodied in a visible form. He sits on his throne, clothed in golden armour, and along with Mitra dwells in a palace which, like that of Helios, is supported by a thousand columns, while his messengers stand around to do his bidding. But his mythical characteristics are in the Rig Veda perpetually suggesting the idea of an unseen and almighty Being who has made all things and upholds them by his will. In many of the Vedic hymns we are carried altogether out of the region of mythology, and we see only the man communing directly with his Maker. In these hymns Varuna, in the words of Dr. Muir, 'dwells in all worlds as sovereign; indeed, the three worlds are embraced within him. The wind which resounds through the firmament is his breath. He has placed the sun in the heaven, and opened up a boundless path for it to traverse. He has hollowed out the channels of the rivers. It is by his wise contrivance that, though all the rivers pour out their waters into the sea, the sea is never filled. By his ordinance the moon shines in the sky, and the stars which are visible by night disappear on the approach of daylight. Neither the birds flying in the air, nor the rivers in their sleepless flow, can attain a knowledge of his power or his wrath. His spies (or angels) behold both worlds. He himself has a thousand
eyes. He knows the flight of birds in the sky, the path of ships on the sea, the course of the far-sweeping wind, and

## CHAP.

 I. perceives all the hidden things that have been or shall be done. ${ }^{1}$All these are phrases which may be suggested directly by the phenomena of the heaven; but the churiot in which Varuṇa is borne over the earth, ${ }^{2}$ is, like the eye of Zeus, lost in the purely spiritual thought of One who has no body and no passions, who, as seeing all things, sees also that which is evil, and who, as having nothing that is evil in himself, must punish and finally destroy it in the sinner. In some hymns, however, the two lines of thought seem to be blended strangely together; in other words, we see in them the process by which men rose from the lower conception to the higher. That sense of sin, which, as distinguished from the transgression of a positive law, can scarcely be said to have been present to the Greek mind, weighs heary on the spirit of the Hindu, even while his conception of the Deity whom he addresses may be almost coarse in its familiarity. Varuna has received in the sacrifice the choice portions which please him most, and the worshipper may fairly demand that the question between them may be discussed reasonably as between friends. ${ }^{3}$ But whatever may be said of the theory of the nature of sin, a pure monotheistic conviction is preeminently seen in the following prayer.
' Let me not yet, O Varuna, enter into the house of clay; have mercy, almighty, have mercy.
' If I go along trembling like a cloud driven by the wind, have mercy, almighty, have mercy.
'Through want of strength, thou strong and bright god,

[^286]de l'Antiquité:-> La Religion des Aryas.'
${ }_{2}$ This chariot 'shines with a golden radiance at the break of day, and at sunset assumes the colour of iron.'Muir, ib. 557.
${ }^{3}$ Max Müller, History of Sanskrit literature, 537. It is scarcely necessary to compare this language with the similar tone of familiar expostulation which runs through many of the Hebrew Psulms.
aspects of Varuna.

Book have I gone to the wrong shore: have mercy, almighty, have mercy.
'Thirst came upon the worshipper, though he stood in the midst of the waters: have mercy, almighty, have mercy.
' Whenever we men, O Varuṇa, commit an offence before the heavenly host, whenever we break thy law through thoughtlessness, have mercy, almighty, have mercy.' ${ }^{1}$

Aryan monotheism.

If the singular purity and unselfishness of the Hesiodic morality, as compared with that of the poems to which we give the name of Homer, suffice of themselves to prove the essential distinction between mythology and religion, these simple utterances of the Vedic poets show even more forcibly that the genuine belief in one almighty Being who is at once our Father, our Teacher, and our Judge, had its homè first in the ancient Aryan land. It was a conviction to which they were guided by all that they saw or could apprehend of outward phenomena as well as by the irrepressible yearnings which stirred their hearts. For such yearnings and for such a consciousness in the Hebrew tribes we look in vain, before the Babylonish captivity. Among them we have at best only the warnings of a few isolated teachers, who saw things hidden from other eyes, and whose words, although they sounded in the ears of their countrymen like parables, would have conveyed a familiar meaning to the Aryans of northern India. ${ }^{2}$ It matters little then whether Varuna be in these hymns mentioned almost invariably in conjunction with Mitra and sometimes with other gods. Like these, he is Âditya, Kronion, if Aditi be time ; but the mythical notion

[^287][^288]thus introduced sate so loosely on those who held it, that their language ceased to show any sign of its influence in times of real anguish and sorrow. ${ }^{1}$ It was enough that they could realise at once the righteousness of God, and His readiness to forgive those who disobeyed his laws so soon as they repented them of their sin. ${ }^{2}$

The process which converted the physical Varuna into a spiritual God is carried to its extreme results in the conception of Aditi, 'the unbound, the unbounded,' or even, as being expressed by the negation of diti, a bond, 'the Absolute.' This indefinite term was naturally used to denote the source from which all life, even the life of the gods, springs ; and thus Aditi, the Infinite, became the mother of all the gods. The fact is startling; but, in Professor Muller's words, ' the thoughts of primitive humanity were not only different from our thoughts, but different also from what we think their thoughts ought to have been. The poets of the Veda indulged freely in theogonic speculations without being frightened by any contradictions. They knew of Indra as the greatest of gods, they knew of Agni as the god of gods, they knew of Varuna as the ruler of all; but they were by no means startled at the idea, that their Indra had a mother, or that their Agni was born like a babe from the friction of two fire-sticks, or that Varuna and his brother Mitra were nursed in the lap of Aditi.' Hence Aditi was contrasted

[^289]legends thinly disguises that monotheistic conviction, which accounts for much that would otherwise be perplexing in the writings of Roman Catholic and other theologians.
${ }^{2}$ The distinction between the old Vedic thenry of sin and the forms of belief still prevalent on the subject cannot always be very broadly drawn.
'I ask, O Varuna, wishing to know this my sin. I go to ask the wise. The Siges all tell me the same. Varuna it is who is angry with me.

- Was it an old sin, $O$ Varuna, that thou wishest to destroy thy friend, who always praises theo? Tell me, thou unconquerable lord, and I will quickly turn to thee with praise freed from sin.
'Absolve us from the sins of our fathers and from those which we committed with our own bodies.'

CHAP.

Aditi and the Adityas.

BOOK

The physical and spiritual Varuṇa.
with Diti, the unbounded with the definite, while it became more and more a name for the distant east from which all the bright gods seem to come, and for the boundless space beyond the east, drawing a sharp distinction between 'what is yonder, and what is here.' But the process could not be stopped at this point. The gods had been called dákshapitar, the fathers of strength, the mighty; and the same equivocation which made Odysseus spring from Autolykos converted the epithet Daksha into the father of the gods. It followed that Aditi was sprung from Daksha, or Daksha from Aditi, who also owed his existence to Bhu, being, and the conclusion was reached that 'Not-being and Being are in the higher heaven, in the birth-place of Daksha, in the lap of Aditi.' But more especially Aditi became the mother of the bright gods, of Varuna, Mitra, Aryaman, and, in fact, of the seven Âdityas, although their names are not definitely given in the hymns of the Rig Veda. ${ }^{1}$ On the one side, then, Diti was growing into ' a definite person, one of the daughters of Dalksha, the wife of Kasyapa, the mother of the enemies of the gods, the Daityas' (such, Professor Müller remarks, being ' the growth of legend, mythology, and religion),' while on the other, Aditi herself was fast becoming ' one of those deities, who would best remove the bonds of sin or misery.' Thus the poet prays to Agni,-
' Whatever, O youthful god, we have committed against thee, men as we are, whatever sin through thoughtlessness, make us guiltless of Aditi, loosen the sins on all sides.'

All this, however, simply reproduces the Hesiodic theogony, in which Eros precedes Ouranos, to be represented again in Himeros.
Some light is thrown on the relations of Varuna with Mitra by the Hesiodic description of Ouranos as the lover of the earth over which he broods each night; ${ }^{2}$ and thus Va-
${ }^{1}$ Why the $\hat{\Lambda}$ dityas should bo seven or eight in number, is a question of which Professor Max Müller, whom hero I have simply to follow, admits the difficulty. The number seven, though a sacred number, is not more sacred than other numbers in the Rig Veda, and he contents himself with suggesting 'the seren days or tithis of the four parvanas
of the lunar month as a possible prototype of the Adityas,' adding that 'this might even explain the destruction of the eighth Aditya, considering that the eighth day of each parvan, owing to its uncertainty, might be represented as exposed to decay and destruction.'- Rig Veda Sanhita, i. 241.
${ }^{2}$ Theog. 176.
runa, like Ouranos, is specially the veiling heaven whose
CHAP. presence is most felt at nightfall, when the sky seems to 1 . descend nearest to the earth, while Mitra, like Dyu and Zeus, represents the firmament glistening with the splendour of noon-day. But although the same root which furnished the names of Varuna and Ouranos yielded a name also for the evil power, first of physical, and afterwards of moral darkness, still the idea of Varuna has nothing in common with that of Vritra. His destructive nooses are prepared for the wicked only. They ensnare the man who speaks lies and pass by the man who speaks truth. ${ }^{1}$ Like the Greek Poseidôn Pylaochos, he holds the unrighteous fast in prison: but it is as the punisher of iniquity which camnot be hidden from his piercing eye, ${ }^{2}$ and not as the gloomy and inflexible Hades of the nether world. He is the omniscient Asura or spirit who props up the sky, ${ }^{3}$ and this epithet may almost suffice to identify him with the Zendic Ahura who appears commonly in conjunction with Mithras, as Varuna is linked with Mitra. ${ }^{4}$ From the simple germ thus afforded by mythical phrases which described the various changes of the heaven, sprung the metaphysical refinements of later Hindu philosophers, and the wild and cumbrous developements later Hindu mythology. The true greatness of Varuna belongs to the earliest phase of Hindu thought. He is eclipsed first by Indra, and at length is overthrown by Krishna beneath the waters of the ocean. ${ }^{5}$

[^290]
## Section III.-INDRA.

BOOK II.

The primary conception of Indra purely physical.

If Dyaus and Varuna were alike doomed to lose their ancient majesty, a brighter lot was in store for Indra; and the picture which the oldest Vedic hymns present to us of this god has a special value as enabling us to determine the measure in which religion and mythology affected each other. That a moral or spiritual element may be discerned in some of the characteristics of this deity, is beyond question: that the whole idea of the god can be traced to the religious instinct of mankind, the boldest champions of the theory which ascribes the growth of all mythology to the direct action of religious impulse or revelation will scarcely venture to affirm. The true religious instinct must point to the absolute rule of one righteous God, and cannot itself originate the idea of many independent centres of action. If this instinct furnished the true germ of all mythology, then the mythology of the Miad and Odyssey is far older than that of the Veda; in other words, the crystallised granite is older than the ingredients of which it is composed. In our Homeric poems, in the midst of abundant signs indicating the later growth of the notion, we have an acknowledged King of heaven, from whom all the Olympian gods derive their power, or whose will they are at least bound to perform, and who alone retains unimpaired his full characteristics as lord of the bright heaven. Although Phoibos still bears his unerring weapons, yet his arrows lie within the quiver until some wickedness of man compels him to draw them forth. The superhuman action of the Iliad and Odyssey, in short, has reference strictly to the deeds and fortunes of men; the age of conflicts between the gods has almost passed away. The conspiracy of Hêrê, Poseidôn and Athênê to bind Zeus, is amongst the latest of those struggles which had culminated in the wars of the Titans, for when in the last great battle of Achilleus the gods turn against each other in the fray, there is still no thought of assailing the great King who sits in his serene ether far above the turmoil raging beneath him. ${ }^{1}$

[^291]The true mythical action of the Achaian deities is thus intermittent. In the hymns of the Rig Veda it is continuous, and their action is but remotely concerned with human interests. Like the Hesiodic Zeus, they love the savour of burnt-offerings, and hasten to receive their share of the sacrifice: but as soon as the rites are over, they return to


Action of the $Y_{\text {edic }}$ and Achaian deities. their own proper work as wielding the forces which are manifested in the changing heavens. The Vedic gods are thus, pre-eminently, transparent. Instead of one acknowledged king, each is lord in his own domain; each is addressed as the maker of all visible things, while their features and characteristics are in almost all cases interchangeable. ${ }^{1}$ Dyaus and Iudra, Varuna and Agni are each in his turn spoken of as knowing no superior, and the objects of their chief care are not the children of men, but the winds, the storms, the clouds, and the thunder, which are constantly rising in rebellion against them. No sooner is one conflict ended than another is begun, or rather the same conflict is repeated as the days and seasons come round. Whenever the rain is shut up in the clouds, the dark power is in revolt against Dyaus and Indra. In the rumblings of the thunder, while the drought still sucks out the life of the earth, are heard the mutterings of their hateful enemy. In the lightning flashes which precede the outburst of the pent-up waters are seen the irresistible spears of the god, who is attacking the throttling serpent in his den; and in the serene heaven which shone out when the deluging clouds had passed away, men beheld the face of the mighty deity
ables, et son rang dont il ne songe pas à se departir. Inas eefte sorte de cour que les dieux tiemnent antour de Jupiter, ils se sont depouilles do leur caractere propere et de lew originalité mative Comme ces dignitaires des anciennes monarchies qui contimuent is porter des titres depuis longtempis rides de sens, ils ont des surnoms dont ils semblent ignorer la valeur.'-Bréal, Heroule et Caous, 81. The very fact that the mythical attributes of these gods become less and less defined, while their subordination to Zeus becomes more and more markod, is the strongest evidence of the mythological origin of the whole.
' Their names are, in short, mere
signs for one and the same coneeption. Ile who knows Brahma knows I mifapati; they who know Brahma know Skambla, tho supporter of the world, who, like Atlas, upholds the marth and sky, and who is 'all which has soul, which breathes and winks.' Agam, this office of supporting the universe is fulfilled by Varupa, Indra, Savitri, and Vishun. So, too, Impipati is Mahadova, the great god, and Bhara (probably Phoibos) the supreme lord. Mo is also Daksha, and the year, the conder of all things, as the days bring the life of man to a close. Muir, Sanskrit Texts, part iv. pp. 17, $18,24,49,156, \& \mathrm{cc}$.

The Greek mythology nut borrowed from the Vedic.

Indra, a god of the bright heaven.
who was their friend. So completely does the older mythology of the Veda carry us away from the one idea which must be first awakened by the genuine religious instinct of mankind.
No stronger evidence than that which is furnished by this contrast could be adduced to show that in no single feature is the mythology of our Homeric poems borrowed from the people who betook themselves to the banks of the Indus and the Ganges. The Vedic Dyaus may in all essential features be reproduced in the Hellenic Zeus. Like Phoibos Chrysâôr, Indra may bear a lance or an arrow, which can never miss its mark: but in the one case we have a mere sketch, in the other a finished picture; and the differences in the character of the detail preclude all idea that for either Zeus or Hermes, Helen or Paris, Erinys or Achilleus, the Achaian poets were indebted to the Vedic Dyaus or Sarameya, to Paṇi or Saramâ, to Saranyû or Aharyu. To one common source they do indeed point; and the several stages of developement which mark the early mythologies of India and Hellas leave us in no doubt of the nature of the germ from which they spring.

At once, then, we turn away from the cumbrous and complicated mythology of the later Vedic literature, ${ }^{1}$ as from the uncouth outgrowths of the Orphic theogony we turn to the earlier phases in which the Greek epic and lyric poets exhibit their ancestral deities. We are not concerned with the later conflicts of Indra, which end in his being bound by Indragit, ${ }^{2}$ while we have before us a series of songs which speak of him simply as the invincible god of the bright heaven. Yet, although there still remains a large difference between Indra and Apollôn, too great stress can scarcely be laid on the fact that as we trace the Vedic gods as far back as the Veda itself will carry us, the essential likeness between the Hindu and the Hellenic deities becomes more and more striking. If further we find that, when thus examined, their functions become, if the expression may be

[^292][^293]used, more and more atmospheric,-if they become the powers which produce the sights of the changing sky,-if

CHAP. I. their great wars are waged in regions far above the abodes of men, the last blow is given to the theory which by the most arbitrary of assumptions finds the root of all mythology in the religious instincts of mankind.

In the Vedic Indra there is this further peculiarity, that, although his name ceased, like that of Dyaus, to be chiefly a name for the sky, and although the struggle in which he is constantly engaged has indefinitely affected the faith of Christendom, yet the deity himself has but little of a purely moral or spiritual element in his character. It is true that he is sometimes invoked as witnessing all the deeds of men and thus as taking cognisance of their sins ; but the warfare which he has to wage is purely a physical conflict, and it is chiefly in the phrases by which his adversary is described, that we find the germs of the dualistic creed which bears the name of Zoroaster. Nowhere then, in the oldest monuments of Hindu thought, is the real character of Indra lost sight of. His home is in the bright heaven; but, as his name denotes, ${ }^{1}$ he is specially the bringer of the most precious of all boons to a thirsty and gaping land. He is the giver of the rain which falls on the earth when the tyranny of the scorching wind is overpast.

In vain is Indra assailed in his career by the same enemies which seek to destroy the infant Herakles. The Rakshasa fares no better than the snakes.
'Vyansa, exulting and striking hard blows, smote thee, Maghavan, upon the jaw; whereupon, being so smitten, thou provedst the stronger and didst crush the head of the slave with the thrmderbolt.' ${ }^{2}$

Like Herakles and Phoibos again, he has to go in search of lost or stolen cattle. With the conveying Maruts, 'the traversers of places difficult of access,' he discovers the cows hidden in their caves.
' Great is thy prowess, Indra, we are thine. Satisfy,

[^294]The might and majesty of Indin.

Book Maghavan, the desires of thy worshipper. The vast heaven
II. has acknowledged thy might; this earth has been bowed down through thy vigour.
'Thou, thunderer, hast shattered with thy bolt the broad and massive cloud into fragments, and hast sent down the waters that were confined in it, to flow at will: verily thou alone possessest all power.' ${ }^{1}$

So, again, addressing Indra as Parjanya the rain-bringer, the poet says,
'The winds blow strong; the lightnings flash, the plants spring up, the firmament dissolves; earth becomes fit for all creatures, when Parjanya fertilises the soil with showers.' ${ }^{2}$
' Master of tawny steeds, the remotest regions are not remote for thee., ${ }^{3}$
' At the birth of thee who art resplendent, trembled the heaven and trembled the earth through fear of thy wrath: the mighty clouds were confined: they destroyed (the distress of drought), spreading the waters over the dry places.' ${ }^{\text {4 }}$ Lastly, as the solar god, he is the Wanderer, like the Teutonic Wegtam, like Odysseus, Sigurd, Dionysos, Phoibos, Theseus, Bellerophôn, Oidipous, Herakles and Savitar.

- Wonderful Indra, wanderer at times, thou art verily the granter of our desires. ${ }^{5}$

Indra the rainbringer.

Indra then is the lord of the heaven, omnipotent and allseeing: but so had been, or rather was, his father Dyu; and thus some epithets which in the west are reserved for Zeus are in the east transferred to Indra, and the Jupiter Stator of the Latins reappears as the Indra sthâtar of the Hindu. ${ }^{6}$ The rain-bringer must be younger than the sky in which the clouds have their birthplace; but however sharply his personality may be defined, the meaning of the name is never forgotten. As the Maruts, or winds, are said

[^295][^296]sometimes to course through Dyaus (the hearen), so the clouds sometimes move in Indra (the sky). In all the phrases which describe this god, the local colouring arising from the climate of northern India may be plainly discerned. Althotgh the Delian Phoibos soon belts his golden sword. to his side, yet for sometime after his birth he lies in the white and spotless robe in which the nymphs had wrapped him. The Vedic Indra awakes sooner to the conscionsness of his power, and as soon as he is born, the slayer of Vritra asks his mother, 'Who are they that are renowned as fierce warriors ? ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ Like the Hellenic Apollôn, he has golden locks and a quiver of irresistible arrows; but the arrows have a hundred points and are winged with a thousand feathers. In his hand he holds the golden whip which Phoibos gives to Hermes as the guardian of his cattle; and like Helios, he is borne across the hearens in a flaming chariot drawn by the tawny or glistening steeds called the Harits, whose name and whose brightness alone reappear in the Charites of the Hellenic land, but who still retain the form most familiar to the Hindu in the Xanthos and Balios who are yoked to the car of Achilleus. Like the streaming locks from the head of Phoibos, so the beard of Indra Hashes like lightning, as he speeds on his journey through the heaven. As looking down on the wide earth spread beneath, he is possessed, like Apollôn, of an inscrutable wisdom. Like him also, he chases the Dawn, Duhanit or Daphne, of whom he is said to be sometimes the father, sometimes the son, and sometimes the husband; and as Phoibos causes the death of Daphne, so Indra is said to shatter the chariot of Dahanâ. ${ }^{2}$

The prayers addressed to this god show that the chief idea associated with him was that of an irresistible material power. The Hindu, as he comes before the deity to whom he looks for his yearly harvest, assumes unconsciously the

Physical confliet between light and darkness.

[^297]
## CHAP.

I.
attitude of the Baal-worshipper of Syria. ${ }^{1}$ But the real prayer of the heart is addressed to Varuna, as the Greek in his hour of need prays always to Zeus. The cry for mercy from those who through thoughtlessness have broken the law of God is never sent up to Indra, although, like 'Herakles, 'he engages in many conflicts for the good of man with overwhelming power.' ${ }^{2}$ It was impossible that it should be so, while the great work for which Indra might be said to exist was the battle for life or death with the hateful monster whe imprisons the rain-clouds in his dungeons. This battle is brought before us under a thousand forms. His great enemy Vritra, the hiding thief, is also Ahi, the strangling snake, or Pani the marauder.
' Ahi has been prostrated beneath the feet of the waters which the Vritra by his might had obstructed., ${ }^{3}$

He appears again as Atri, a name which may perhaps be the same as the Atli of the Volsung tale and the Etzel of the Nibelung song.
'Thou, Indra, hast opened the cloud for the Angirasas: thou hast shown the way to Atri who vexes his adversaries by a hundred doors. ${ }^{4}$

He is also Namuki (the Greek Amykos), and Sambara.
' Thou, Indra, with thy bolt didst slay afar off the deceiver Namuki. ${ }^{5}$
'Thou hast slain Sambara by thy resolute self.' ${ }^{6}$

[^298]The stanza known as tho Fansavati Rich is noteworthy as exhibiting the germs of more than one myth. Indra 'is Hansa (the sun) dwolling in light: Vasu (the wind) dwelling in the firmament: the invoker of the gods (Agni) dwelling on the altar: the guest (of the worshippor) dwelling in the house (as the culinary fire): tho dweller amongst men (as consciousness): the dweller in the most excellent (orb, the sun): the dweller in truth, the dwellor in the sky (the air), born in the waters, in the rays of light, in the verity (of manifesm tation), in tho (Eustorn) mountain, the truth (itsolf).'-H. H. Wilson, R. V. Sanhita, iii. 199.
${ }^{2}$ H. H. Wilson, Ir. V. Sanhita, i. 151.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { s Ib. i. } 87 . & \text { *Ib. i. } 136 . \\ 5 \text { Ib. i. } 147 . & \text { Ib. i. } 148 .\end{array}$
${ }^{6}$ Ib. i. 148.
' Verily thou hast made me, Indra, thy associate, when grinding the head of the slave Namuki like a sounding and rolling cloud.' ${ }^{1}$

In the same way Indra is the slayer of Bala, of Chumuri, Dhuni, Pipon, Sushna, and many others, ${ }^{2}$ and against him the strength of the Rakshasas is concentrated in vain, for Indra scatters them 'with his friend the thunderbolt.' On the issue of this conflict depends, it is true, the welfare of all human creatures. The victory of Indra brings with it wealth of corn and wine and oil, but the struggle and its issue are alike external to the human spirit. In other words, the religious instinct found little scope in the phrases which described the offices of Indra, and most assuredly had nothing to do with suggesting them. It was not on the soil of Hindustan that the momentous physical struggle between Indra and his enemy was to become a spiritual struggle of still more fearful proportions.

The wife of Indra is Indranî, who alone of the goddesses who bear the names of the gods is associated with her husband. Like the rest, she has but a vague and shadowy personality. But although the goddesses who are not thus simply dereloped from the names of their consorts are far more prominent, yet even these are spoken of in terms little resembling the language addressed to the supreme god under his many names. Ahanâ is a daughter of Dyaus, and her might is great, but Indra is mightier still. Ushas is hard to vanquish; but Indra shatters her chariot, while Saranyû, the Harits, and the Rohits are rather beings who do his will than deities possessed of any independent power. In this respect a vast gulf separates the later from the early mythology of the Hindus; and although Mahadeva retains a nominal supremacy, yet the popular mind dwells less on the god than on the awful terrors of his wife, whether known as Uma, Durga, or Kali. ${ }^{3}$ In an inquiry designed chiefly to bring out the points of resemblance and difference between cognate mythological systems, we are not called upon to enter the unwholesome labyrinth in which a morbid

[^299]philosophy has bewildered and oppressed a race once more simple and perhaps more truthful in their faith than the forefathers of the Hellenic and even of the Teutonic nations. The more modern Hindu traditions may have an interest for the theologian or the philosopher, while the ingenious symbolical interpretations which make anything mean anything may be as noteworthy in the pages of Brahmanic commentators as in those of Chrysostom, Gregory, or Augustine. But they lead us away into a world of their own, where it becomes scarcely worth while to trace the faint vestiges of earlier thought which may be here and there discerned in the rank crop of cumbrous and repulsive fancies. Nor is there much profit in lists even of earlier deities in whom we have little more than a name or an epithet. If the earth is called Nishtigrî, we have only another word denoting Prithivî the wife of Dyaus. In Sarasvatî, the watery, we have, first, a name given to the river which with the Indus and the waters of the Penjab made up the seven streams of the ancient Hindu home, and then to a goddess who, as inspiring the hymns composed in her honour, became identified with Vach, ${ }^{1}$ Voice, and was invoked as the muse of eloquence. As such, she is produced on the mountain-top, as Athênê Akria springs from the forehead of Zeus. ${ }^{2}$ Much in the same way, Nirriti, ${ }^{3}$ the western land, to which Yama had first crossed the rapid waters, became first the land of death, and afterwards a personification of evil. In Sraddhâ we have nothing more than a name for religious faith. ${ }^{4}$

## Section IV.-BRAHMA.

Place of Brahma in the Hindu theogony.

If an examination of the Vedic theology tends to prove that it was wholly one of words and names, the impression is not, weakened as we survey the ponderous fancies of later times. The fabric of Brahmanic sacerdotalism may have reached gigantic proportions, and may exhibit a wonderful ingenuity

[^300]in the piecing together of its several parts, but it cannot be regarded as the result of a logical system. The properties of Vishnu are those of Agni, Vayu, and Sûrya; and as Agni is all the deities, so also is Vishnu. The character of Brahma is not less flexible. At first the word is but a name for the self-existent principle, and the various mythical acts recorded of him are not only susceptible of a spiritual or metaphysical interpretation, but are actrally so interpreted in all the Findu comments on the sacred literature of the country. As in the Orphic theogony, the generation of Brahma begins sometimes with the great mundane egg; but it is Brahma who therein produces himself. The self-existent lord, 'desiring to produce varions creatures from his own body, first, with a thought, created the waters, and deposited in them a seed. This seed became a golden egg, resplendent as the sun, in which he himself was born as Brahma, the progenitor of all worlds. ${ }^{1}$ He is the first god of a later Indian Trimurrtti ; but the threefold deity of Yaska is Agni, Vayu, and Sinrya, and thas Dr. Muir concludes that the conjunction of Brahma, Vishnu, and Rudra (? Siva) was unknown to that ancient commentator. ${ }^{2}$ Even in the Mahâbhârata, Brahma is both created and uncreated. In that poem Mahâdeva ( $\mu$ éras $\theta$ zós, the \&reat god), is the creator of Brahma, Vishupu, and Indra. ' From his right side he produced Brahma, the originator of the worlds, and from his left side Vishnu, for the preservation of the universe, and when the end of the age had

[^301][^302]CIIAP. I.

## Prajâpati.

Visrakarman.
arrived, the mighty god created Rudra. ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{1}$ But Mahâdeva is is identified by the poets of the Mahâbhârata with Rudra, Siva, Agni, Sûrya, Varuna, the Asvins, and a host of other deities, and, as the originator of all life, even assumes the forms and functions of the Hellenic Priapos. ${ }^{2}$ Mahâdeva, again, is himself also the destroyer Siva, and like Vishụu he wields a dreadful bow made by Visvakarman. These bows are used by the two gods in a terrible battle, the result being that the bow of Mahâdeva is relaxed and Vishṇu is esteemed the superior. ${ }^{3}$ Elsewhere it is said that Brahma and Mahâdeva are both sprung from Krishna, the one from the lotus issuing from his navel, the other from his forehead, like Dahanâ and Athênê from the head of Dyaus or Zeus. ${ }^{4}$
As Prajâpati, Brahma offers violence to his own daughter; and from this myth of Indra and Ahalya a story is produced much resembling that of the Hellenic Erichthonios. ${ }^{5}$ He is also a worshipper of the Linga, and acts as the charioteer of Mahâdera or of Rudra, who springs from his forehead (as he does also from that of Krishna), glorious as the noon-day sun. ${ }^{6}$

Like Brahma, Visvakarman, the Creator, is one of the many names which may be applied to almost any of the gods at the will of the worshipper. Wise and mighty in act, Visvakarman orders all things, and men desire the attainment of good in the world where 'he, the One Being, dwells beyond the seven Rishis.' ${ }^{7}$ He is the maker of the region Sutala, where by his will, as in the Greek Elysion, ' neither mental nor bodily pains, nor fatigue, nor weariness, nor discomfiture, nor diseases afflict the inhabitants. ${ }^{8} \mathrm{He}$ is also the son of Bhuvana, the first of all beings who sprang into existence from the earth. ${ }^{9}$

[^303]further divided seem to point to the month of the year which is represented by Rudra himself, as by Aditi.
${ }_{8}$ Muir, Sanskrit Texts, part iv. p. 7.
8 Ib. 129.
${ }^{9}$ Tho name Bhurana itself is from the same root with the Greok фúris and our own words Be and Being. It has beon urged with at least some plausibility that the Latin Consus is a nama of the sumo kind, and that it is not to

## SEGiton V.—ZZEUS.

In the conception of the poets known to us by the name of Homer, the earth on which we tread is covered with a gross and thick air, through which course the clouds, and in which the winds work their will. Above this air rises the serene Aithêr or Ether, the abode of Zeus, never sullied by mists or vexed with storms. Here he dwells, surrounded by the gods of Olympos; but while these can visit the earth and take part in the quarrels of mortal men, Zeus alone may not descend for this purpose from the clear heaven whence he looks down on all that is being done beneath him. It is true that there are on the earth some whom he loves, and others whom he hates; and when his son Sarpêdôn is smitten by the spear of Patroklos, the tears of Zeus fall in large raindrops from the sky. But that which he wills must be done by others, and in their toils he can have no share. So when the hour for the battle between Achilleus and Hektor is come, Zeus tells the gods, the streams, and the nymphs, who sit around his throne, that they may go down and choose each his side, while for himself, though he cares for the mortals whose death-struggle is at hand, the sight of all that is done on the plains of Ilion will none the less gladden his eyes as he looks down from Olympos. When, after the conflict of Achilleus with the burning river, the gods turn their weapons on each other, the mind of Zeus remains unruffled, and he listens in silence to the charge brought against Hêrê by Lêtô, as she lays before his feet the arrows of her child Artemis. ${ }^{1}$

Thus for the poets of the Miad and the Odyssey, Zeus, though he might be called the gatherer of the dark clouds, ${ }^{2}$
cirap. I. Tho dwelling of Zeus in Ethor.

The unchanging light.
be referred to the verb Consulero. It is by no means likely that evon the title of the Dii Consentes can be taken as indicating a divine council: and the eoincidenco is noteworthy between the Latin Consus and the Hindu Ganesa, the lord of lifo and of the reproductive powors of nature, the name reappoaring in the Greek $\gamma$ évos and our Rin. Hence it is that when Rumulus is in need of

[^304]BOOK II.

The idea of 'Zeus suggested by physical phenomena.
was pre-eminently the lord of the bright heaven, and the thought most closely associated with the name was that of a serene and unchangeable splendour. As the heavy masses of vapour were cloven by the rays of the sun, the blue heaven was seen smiling on the havoc wrought by storms and tempest, itself undimmed by the years which devoured the generations of men. From the face of this heaven the morning sprang to scatter the shades of night. Beneath it the lightning flashed, the rain fell, the winds blew; but above them all shone still the light which can know no change.

Without referring, therefore, to the legends of other nations, we are brought at once by the language even of our Homeric poets to that earliest form of thought in which words now used to denote spiritual conceptions conveyed only the impression left on the human mind by the phenomena of the outward world. As man awoke gradually to a conscious perception of the things around him, the sensation most comforting in the alternations of a day and night alike uncomprehended would be that of the pure and bright heaven which broods over the earth as the sun speeds on his journcy across the sky. If, then, in the names which were afterwards used to denote the supreme God we have words which in all Aryan dialects convey this primary idea of brightness, a clear light is at once shed on the first stages in the mental and moral education of mankind. The profound splendour of the unclouded heaven must mark the abode of the Being who made and sustains all things; and thus names denoting at first only the sky became in the West as in the East names of God, the Zeus Patêr (the Father) of the Greeks corresponding to the Dyauspitar of the Hindu. If even in the Vedic hymns the most prominent deity is Indra, still Indra was himself worshipped as the god of the bright sky, and as
 pête. Do la une nouvello sério d'images et de fables où la chèrre joue le rôle principal. L'égide, arant dêtro un bouclier fait en peau de chècre, ettait le ciel an moment de l'orage; Jupiter airioxos était le dieu qui enroie la tempête (il faut entendre ${ }^{\check{\epsilon}} \chi \chi \omega$ dans son sens

[^305]the son of the brilliant Dyu. As in the Hellenic land Kronos was displaced by Zeus, so in the country of the seven rivers, Dyu gave way to the lord of the wealth-bringing rain clouds. The process (even if we assign a very late origin to the mythical Kronos) was in both cases the same. The epithet could not become or be long retained as a personal name until its original meaning had been obscured or forgotten. The Greek had his Aêr, his Aithêr, and his Ouranos to express the visible heavens, and Zeus became to him more and more the personal God whose hand is seen in his works. In India the name Dyaus retained, as we have seen, its appellative force, and as a designation for the supreme God, was supplanted by the less significant Indra.

But in the West, as in the East, the original character of the god is in close accordance with the etymology of the word. The Athenians called on Zeus to rain on their land; the Latin poet spoke of the glistening heaven which by all is named Jove, while the phrases 'sub dio vivere,' 'sul Jove frigido,' and even 'malus Jupiter' remained common expressions in every day speech. ${ }^{1}$

The idea of brightness was, however, not the only one suggested by the sight of the clear heaven. If the sky beams with light, it is also spread as a covering over the earth which lies beneath it, and Zeus was thus Ouranion who spread his veil over his bride; but before he came to be spoken of as son of Kronos, the attribute had suggrested the idea of a person, and the Western Ouranos corresponded with the Vedic Varuna. In this case the name remained more transparent in the West than in the East. The Vedic Varuna becomes the moral ruler of the miverse, and the Father and friend of man; but in the Hellenic land the starry Ouranos is the son to whom Gaia gives birth in order that he may cover everything and be a steadfast seat for the blessed gods, ${ }^{2}$ and we look in vain for the spiritual attributes which belong to Varuna in the hymns of the Rig Veda.

[^306][^307]BOOK II. The mythical and spiritual Zeus.

But the developement of a personal Zeus was followed necessarily by two results, which long continued astonishingly distinct the one from the other. The thought of Zeus as the one God and Father gave birth to a religion. The many names employed to denote the varying phases of the sky became each the germ of a myth, and every one of these myths, when translated into the conditions of human life, tended to degrade the idea of the god as much as the idea of his changeless perfection, rising more and more in the mind, tended to raise it. According to the latter, he would be the righteous Judge, seen by none, yet beholding all, looking down from heaven on the children of men to see if they will understand and seek after God, appointing to them a life of labour for their highest good, and finally recompensing to all men after their works. By the other process he would become all that names applied to outward phenomena must denote when used to signify the actions of a personal and conscious being. As in every land the dews of heaven fertilise the earth, Zeus must be the husband of many brides, the father of countless children in every country. As looking down on the havoc caused by drought or pestilence, storm or war, he would be a god of merciless indifference and disinterested cruelty. He must smile alike over the wealth of a teeming harvest or the withered fruits of a sun-scorched land. But the blighting of a spring-tide fair in its promise is his work, and he would thus become capricious as well as treacherous, while the interchangeable characteristics of the earliest gods would heighten still more the repulsive features of the anthropomorphised Zeus. If the old hymn had praised Aditi as 'mother, father, and son,' Zeus must become at once the brother and the husband, and his own daughters through many generations would become the mothers of his children. The transference of these phrases to the relations of human life has its necessary result in the fearful horrors of the tale of Oidipous and Iokastê. religion.

That the two streams of religion and mythology ran on side by side, or rather that the same words are used to express two wholly different lines of thought, is abundantly
proved by Greek not less than by Hindu literature. The result was that the same man might seem to speak two languages, and perhaps delude himself into the notion that under the name of Zeus he spoke of one person, and of one person only. This would be the case especially with the classes, which, although familiar, or because they were familiar, with the complicated mythical lore of their country, might not care to analyse their own thoughts, or fairly to face the difficulties involved in many or most of these ancient stories. But there would be a lower class who, as being perhaps practically ignorant of these narratives, would be saved in great measure from this traditional influence. ${ }^{1}$ However imperfect his conceptions may have been, it is certain that the swineherd Eumaios did not derive his religious convictions from mythical phrases, when he told Odysseus that God gives and withholds according to his pleasure and in the plenitude of his power. Nor can too great a stress be laid on the fact that, as the mythology grew more complicated and more repulsive, ideas of morality and religion became more reasonable and more pure. Nowhere is this conclusion so clearly forced upon us as in the Hesiodic Works and Days. In this poem the teacher who bids his friend to deal with all men after the rule of righteousness which comes from Zeus, ${ }^{2}$ who tells that justice and truth shall in the end prevail, ${ }^{3}$ and that they who do evil to others inflict evil on themselves, ${ }^{4}$ who is sure that the eyes of God are in every place, that the way of evil is broad and smooth, and the path of good rough and narrow at the first, tells us also how Zeus bade the gods to make Pandôra fair to look upon but all evil within, and laughed at the thought of the miseries which should overtake man, kind when all the evils should be let loose from her box, while, to crush them utterly, Fope should remain a prisoner

[^308][^309]
## CHAP.

 I.BOOK within it. So conscious apparently is the poet that the

The Zeus of the Tragic pocts. Zeus who thus cheats mankind is not the Zeus who commands them to do justice and mercy, that he can use the same name without a thought that he is dishonouring the just and holy God whom he reverences. It seems impossible to ignore a distinction without which the Hesiodic poem becomes unintelligible. With our Homeric poets the contrast is not so marked, simply because their thoughts were not so earnest and their hearts were not so wakened by the sterner experiences of human life. With these moral indifference would naturally find expression in confusion of language, and they might lead others to think, as they themselves may have fancied, that the Zeus to whom they prayed in moments of real anguish was the Zeus who laughed at the wretchedness and the ruin of mankind. Still less can it be said that the mythology of India choked the growth of a right faith. The Hindu might in his prayer employ the names of Varuna or Dyaus, but he knew well that these were only names for One whose nature, infinite and incomprehensible, yet corresponded with his own, and of whose aid he felt himself to stand in the deepest and most constant need.

But if it be true generally that the Greek, especially in the prehistoric ages, ' was not aware that there were different tributaries which entered from different points into the central idea of Zeus, ${ }^{1}$ it was far otherwise with the few to whom a belief in the righteousness of God was no empty phrase but a profound and practical conviction. The fact that national and political institutions were intertwined inextricably with the old mythology, if they were not actually based upon it, only brought out its repulsive features more prominently before all who could not bring themselves to believe that the righteous God could issue to men immoral commands or himself do the things which he condemned in them. Whether the difficulties thus involved in the traditional creed should lead them to covert opposition or to open antagonism, would depend much on the temper and the circumstances of those who felt them. There are some

[^310]who, like Sophokles, are well content if they can express their own convictions without assailing popular ideas; there are others who, like Euripides, cannot rest until they bring others to see inconsisteucies which to themselves are palpable and glaring. Yet it cannot be denied that the thoughts of Sophokles are as true and high as those of the younger poet. There is nothing in the latter more outspoken than the words in which Sophokles tells us that the laws of righteousness are established in heaven and that in them God is great and cannot grow old. But where there is an earnest yearning for truth, this happy condition of mind will not probably last long. The thought of the mischief which the popular creeds inflict on ordinary minds will lead them openly to condemn a system which they might otherwise treat with indifference or contempt; and to this sense we may ascribe the protests of Xenophanes and Protagoras, of Anaxagoras and Herakleitos, of Pindar and of Plato. The controversy was brought to an issue, when Euripides said plainly that if the gods are righteous, the stories of the poets are wretched falsehoods, and that if they do the things which the poets ascribe to them, then they are not gods at all: and this issue was anticipated by the conviction of ※schylos that Zeus was a mere name, one of many names, for the One true God, which might serve to couvey some faint notion and inadequate idea of his goodness and his greatness.

Hindu and Greek, then, alike worshipped the same God, of whom they also spoke sometimes under other names. But

The name 'veus. these names were in no case borrowed the one from the other. The analysis of language has proved that in some instances Greeks, Latins, or Lithuanians have preserved older forms than any which are exhibited in Sanskrit, while the variations in the incidents and local colouring of the myths carry us back to one common source for all in the home of the yet undivided Aryan tribes. The seed, however, could not germinate while as yet there was no failure of memory; and if, when the meaning of words was in part or wholly forgotten, expressions not less graceful once than true became coarse and mischievous, we may learn to curb our indignation when
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BOOK II.

Its transformations. we find that both the process and the result were alike inevitable.

But the name Zeus is not confined to Greeks and Hindus. The Zeus Patêr of the former and the Dyaus-pitar of the latter represent the Jupiter of the Latins, and the Tuisco,' Zio, Tyr and Tiw of the German nations. The etymological changes of the word are indeed almost numberless. The brightness of the heaven reappears in the Latin dies, the Sanskrit dyu, and our day: and from the same root spring the Greek Theos, the Latin Deus, and the Lithuanian Diewas. These changes have been fully traced by Professor Max Müller; ${ }^{1}$ but we must here note that the Greek Zen, Zenos answers to the Latin Janus, Januspater; that Janus again, resolved into Dianus and Diana, carries us to the Greek digammated forms $\Delta_{c}$ ós, $\Delta_{6} / \mathrm{F} a$, and appears again in the word divine. With these may be taken the forms connected with Zeus by the transition of dy (Dyaus) into $j$ (Jupiter, Janus, Juno), or dj, as in the Djovis of Oscan inscriptions and the old Italian deity Vedjovis (Vejovis). Akin to all these is the Sanskrit deva, a word which like Dyaus denoted only splendour, but was afterwards as a name for the gods; but although it had thus acquired the general notion of deity, it was never applied to any but the bright gods who were the companions of Indra. The evil powers of night or darkness are Adcva, atheists, or enemies of the devas; and thus even on Indian soil we find the germ of that moral and spiritual meaning which was imported into a myth purely physical in its origin. While the adeva grew, like Asmodeus, ${ }^{2}$ into malignant demons, Vritra the cloud enemy of Indra was gradually passing into the evil god of Iranian theology. If the Diabolos of the New Testament, a word not found in the Septuagint, is to be referred to forms like Dyavan and Diovis, the name deva had lost in the West the meaning of brightness which it retained in the East, ${ }^{3}$ though the evil spirit was still regarded as the prince of the powers of the air. The Teutonic devil is thus traced to that Iranian

[^312]source from which the Jews derived their later complicated demonology. That the term Diabolos, as applied to Satan, should be regarded as identical with the Greek word denoting a slanderer, is a confusion precisely similar to that which turned Lykâôn and his sons into wolves and the seven arkshas or shiners into bears.

If from the Greek conceptions of Zeus we separate all those which, springing from the idea of his relations to men as a Father, grew up into a moral and religious faith, the rest may all be traced to mythical phrases which describe the varying appearances of the heavens and the manifold influence of the atmosphere on the earth and its fruits. Of the countless names thus employed the most transparent would remain as attributcs, while the greater number would be localised either as places or as persons. Hence would spring up distinctions between the Zeus of Arkadia, Dodona, Olympos and Crete, distinctions arising wholly from a forgetfulness of the original meaning of words, but fixed irrevocally by the real or apparent identity of the mythical epithets with any mythical names which had become geographical. ${ }^{1}$ The sun as Endymiôn plunges into Latmos, the land of sleep; but the presence of the Latmian hill was a conclusive answer to any who might dare to call in question the veracity of the local legend. The old mythical specch had its Phaiakian or cloudland geography. It had its Arkadia and Delos, the birthplace of the light, its Phoinikia and Ortygia, the purple land of the quail and the dawn, its bright Lykian regions with its golden stream of Xanthos, its Idâ or earth on which rest the rays of the newly risen sun, its Graian or Hesperian lands where the light dies out in the evening. Carrying with them the treasures of their common inheritance, the Aryan tribes could not fail to give to the hills and streams of their new homes the names which had once described only the morning, the heaven, or the sun. The lord of day sinks to sleep in the glowing west: and the tomb of Endymiôn could therefore be only in Elis. The god of the blue ether is throned in light: so also must the seat of the anthropomorphised Zeus be on some hill whose name, like

[^313] of Zeus.
the Delos of Apollôn and the Athens of his virgin sister, expresses the one idea of splendour; and thus he was made to dwell on the summit of the Arkadian Lykaios and the Olympian heights of Mysia and Thessaly. As the veil of night is slowly withdrawn, the clear heaven is first seen in the east, and thus Zeus must be born in Lyktos or in Diktê; but the Cretan who could point to a Diktaian cave in his own land clung tenaciously to the notion that the child who was there nourished by Amaltheia was not the Zeus of Arkadia and Olympos.
The story of his birth and exploits is to be gathered not so much from the Iliad and Odyssey as from the Hesiodic and Orphic theogonies; but unless we find manifest contradictions between the accounts which they set before us, it is unsafe to infer that the poets whom we style Homeric were unacquainted with details or incidents about which they are silent, even if it be assumed that their poems in their present shape are more ancient than those which bear the names of Hesiod or Orpheus. That the theogony of the former was far less complicated and retrospective than that of the latter, there can scarcely be a doubt. The prison to which they assign Kronos is proof that they looked on Zeus as one who had not always been supreme in power; but the names with which their theogony begins are not those of Chaos and Gaia, but those of Têthys and Okeanos. ${ }^{1}$ The struggle between Zeus and the Titans may be inferred from the fact that Hêrê and Hephaistos speak of them as thrust away under Tartaros ; ${ }^{2}$ but the Polyphêmos of the Odyssey who feeds his flocks in broad pastures has nothing but his size and his one eye in common with the Hesiodic Kyklôpes who forge the thunderbolts of Zeus. ${ }^{3}$

[^314]The lateness of many at least among the Hesiodic ideas seems to be manifested not so much in the allegorical elements introduced, ${ }^{1}$ as in the transparent meaning of the names. Zeus and Hades, Phoibos and Lêtô already denoted

Milap.
$\qquad$ The iniquities of Kronos. the conflicting powers of light and darkness, of day and night; but these words had in great part lost their original force, and the poet who wished to frame a systematic theogony felt constrained to speak of Aithêr (ether) and Hêmera as children of Nyx and Erebos. In some important points the story of Ouranos is told over again in the myths of Kronos and Zeus. From Ouranos and Gaia, aceording to the Hesiodic theogony, spring Koios and Krios, Hyperîn and Lapetos, the Kyklôpes and other monstrous beings, together with Rhea the mother of Zeus. All these Ouranos hid away in the secret places of Gaia who called on Kronos to avenge her wrongs and his own. From the blood of the mutilated Ouranos which fell on the broad sea was born the laughter-loving Aphroditê. ${ }^{2}$ Thus the goddess of love and beauty is, like the Kyklôpes, older than the Father of gods and men; nor can anything show more clearly how thoroughly the mythology of the Aryan world was in conflict with its religion. Kronos and Rhea, then, becarne the parents of Hestia, Dêmêtêr, Mêrê and Hades; but these are all swallowed by Kronos, who knows that some day he will be dethroned by some child of his own. In grief of heart, Rhea, shortly before the birth of Zeus, betakes herself to Ouranos and Gaia, who send her to the Cretan Lyktos, and there Zeus, like Mithras and Krishna, was born in a cave which Apollodoros calls the cave of Diktê. A stone wrapped in swaddling-clothes was presented to Kronos, who, taking

All this explains itself. The hammering tools and steol lathe are the thunder and lightning; and tho thundereloud strides across whole valloys ati, cuch step, and clings to the high gromeds and the mountain sides.
${ }^{1}$ It is, in Professor Max Müller's belief, manifest allegory when the 'long hills,' 'the pleasant dwollings of the gods, are reckoned among the children of Gaia.-Chips, ii. 66.

* This is probably the only meaning which the word $\phi i \lambda o \mu \mu \epsilon i \delta \eta)$ conveyed to
the poots of the Iliad and Odyssey. But. the whole mythology of Aphrodite readers it fire more likely that we have here a confusion similar to that which turned Lykain into a wolf, and that the
 porhaps, as in the line (200) marked as spurious in the Mesiodic Theogony, $\delta$ \%t $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu$ e $\xi \in \phi \alpha \alpha \nu \theta \eta$, but from the attributes which made her the vehement lover of Adonis. With this epithet wo may compare that of Pallas (the Phallie) A thêne.

BOOK
II. it for the new-born babe, swallowed it as he had swallowed the others. Deceived at length by Gaia, Kronos disgorged them all, the stone first and the living children afterwards. ${ }^{1}$ The stone was set up by Zeus for a memorial in Pytho. But Zeus, when he became the husband of Mêtis, felt the same strange desire which had led Ouranos and Kronos to consume their children; and thus, by the advice again of Ouranos and Gaia, he swallowed Mêtis before she became the mother of Athênê. In these exaggerations of a late age we trace the same thought which made the Vedic poet speak of the Dawn as making men old, yet as ever young herself. The light of the heaven calls all things into life; but the beaven retains its unchangeable beauty while generations spring op on the earth and pass away. The children swallowed are thus produced again; and so the Heaven or the Dawn, regarded as Time, might be spoken of as relentless and cruel, and as rightly punished by their injured children. ${ }^{2}$

The war of the Titans.

A hard fight now awaited Zeus, who, by delivering the children of Ouranos, had been armed for the struggle with thunder and lightning. ${ }^{3}$ On his side against the Titans and the offspring of Kronos were ranged Kottos, Gyas, and Briareôs, who cast the Titans into Tartaros and there left them chained. The struggle itself is described in language which shows how little the poet cared about the subject. Thunders, lightning, and earthquake attest the majesty of

[^315]
## When this fact was forgotten, the word

 was regarded as meaning 'son of Kronos:' and then it became necessary to assign. Kroues a plate in the Theogony and provide him with a wife and children. See further, Max Müller, Chips, ii. 152. The name Metis is closely connected with Medeia, and denotes the wisdorm which stands out with special elearness in the Latin Minerva. Thus tho phrase would run that the Dawn was the daughter of Wisdom. but as the older myth spoke of the dawn as springing from tho forchead of the sky, there was no help for tho later mythopceists but to make Zeus swallow Mêtis.${ }^{3}$ IIesiod, Theog. 504.

Zeus, by whose thunderbolts land and ocean are wrapped in seething fire; the din of the conflict is as though the earth and the solid heavens were crashing together; and nine days would pass before a brazen anvil (Akmôn) let down from the earth could fathom the depths of Tartaros. ${ }^{1}$ Above this gloomy prison-house are the roots of the earth and the barren sea, and there within walls and gates built by Poseidôn dwell the three sons of Ouranos who befriended Zeus in his hour of need.

Yet this struggle which, like that between Zeus and Typhôeus the latest-born child of Gaia and Tartaros, is related with so much pomp of high sounding but empty words, is the conflict which runs through all mythology and which, in its more human forms, has a singular and unfailing interest. It is the battle of Phoibos with the Pythian monster, of Indra with the throttling snake Vritra, of Sigurd with the dragon of the Glistening Heath, of Oidipous with the Sphinx, and in the earlier phase of the legend, of Achilleus and Agamemnôn with Paris.

Having related the story of Typhôeus, the Hesiodic Theogony recounts the loves of Zeus with Mêtis, Themis, Eurynomê, Dêmêtêr, Mnêmosynê, Lêtô, and with Hêrê, who in this scheme is the latest of his brides and has fallen far below the majesty with which she is invested in the Iliad and the Odyssey. Of these names some are the growth of a comparatively late age. The dawn-goddess of the fur east is described as waking all men and receiving praise from every thinker; and the character here faintly attributed to her is brought out more clearly in the Hellenic Atheno, and finds its utmost developement in the Latin Minerva... Athenê, then, as the goddess of the morning, must have a mother with qualities corresponding to her own, and this

[^316]and the Sk. acman, each of which means "a stone", and the latter also "a thun" derbolt;" and with tho Greek áк $\mu \omega \nu$ which commonly moans an anvil, but, which in Hesiod, Theog. 722, where he
 kariwr, can moan nothing but the thunderloult.'.... Introduction to Greek and Latin Etymology, 37.

## CHAP.

$\qquad$

Other forms of this struggle.

The lowes ol' 7 なus.

Book parent was found in the Wisdom which is wedded to Zeus. or Hours, and their mother Themis; but the name of Eurynomê, the mother of the Charites, is more true to the original character of these beautiful maidens. The broad spreading light is the parent of the glistening beings who in the form of horses draw the chariot of Indra, and in the west are the maidens who attend on Aphroditê. But as the dawn may be regarded as springing from the face of the sky, so in another and an earlier myth Athênê springs armed from the forehead of Zeus, and the dark powers of night at once retreat before her. The same idea rendered it necessary to assign to Hêrê some offspring of her own unaided power whether in the person of Typhôeus, ${ }^{1}$ or, as the Hesiodic theogony relates, of Hêphaistos also.
Thus the number of the kinsfolk and the children of Zeus is already large; but of the class of deities specially known at Athens in the days of Thucydides as the twelve Olympian gods neither our Homeric poems nor the Hesiodic theogony know anything. In the latter, Zeus and Poseidôn are the shakers of the earth and sea, while Hades dwells in the regions under the earth; but of a threefold partition of the Kosmos between the three Kronid brothers we have no formal mention. Of Poseidôn the Theogony tells us only that he built the walls within which Briareôs guards the Titans : nor is there any difference of rank between Arês and his sisters Hêbê and Eileithyia, or again between Dêmêtêr and Eurynomê. From the number of the so-called twelve, Hades is excluded; but in the Iliad and Odyssey he appears at will in the Olympian home of Zeus, and moves as an equal among the gods who are there assembled.

The myth as related by Apollodoros has received some amplifications. The child Zeus in the Diktaian cave is nourished by the nymphs Adrasteia and Ida with the milk of Amaltheia, and the armed Kourêtes clash their shields and spears lest the cry of the babe should reach the ear of his

[^317]father Kronos. In the war with the Titans the Kyklôpes give to Zeus their thunder and lightning, to Hades the helmet which in the Hliad renders the wearer invisible, and to Poseidôn a trident. The struggle is followed by the casting of lots between the three Kronid brothers for the partition of the heaven above, of the earth beneath, and of the hidden regions under the earth. There was no need of any such method. The old mythical phrase rendered it impossible that any but Zeus could be the lord of the bright heaven. In other points also the account of the mythographer is at variance with that of the Hesiodic poet. According to the latter Aphroditê is the offspring of Ouranos; the former represents her as the child of Zeus and Diônê, and makes the scheme of things begin with Ouranos himself instead of Chaos.

That Zeus should be nursed by Ida is an incident for which we are at once prepared when in the Eastern myth we find that Idâ is a name of the earth, and that she is assigned as a wife to Dyaus. That he should have a sanctuary specially sacred on the Lykaian heights in Arkadia was, as we have seen, as indispensable as the birth of Phoibos in Delos. But the Arkadian legend is noteworthy as showing the fantastic forms which spring up in runk luxuriance from mythical phrases when either wholly or partially misunderstood. The blue heaven is seen first in the morning against the highest mountain tops, and on these the rays of the sun rest before they light up the regions beneath; and as it had been said that Zeus dwelt on high Olympos and that his palace was the first building which the sun ever saw, so in strict fidelity to the old phrases the Arkadians insisted that their own Lykosoura was the most ancient of all cities, and the first which Helios had ever beheld, and that Zeus had been nourished by the nymphs Theisoa, Neda, and Hagno on the Lykaian hill hard by the temple of our Lady (Despoina). Nay, as Pausanias tells us, ${ }^{1}$ the hill was also called Olympos, and in it there was a spot named Kretea, and hence, as some would have it, here Zeus was born, and not in Crete, the island of tho

Book Egean sea. Cretans and Arkadians were doubtless alike sincere in their convictions; but, had they remembered the meaning of the words which they used, they would have known that Zeus had his Olympian and Lykaian hills, his Crete, his Diktê, his Arkadia, his Phoinikian home wherever the sun sent forth his long train of light ${ }^{1}$ across the sky. But in the minds of Achaians and Hellenes the old phrase had associated with the abode of Zeus the idea of an ineffable splendour; and the tenacity with which they clung to this idea is singularly exhibited in the strange superstition which made the Lykeian sanctuary an object of wondering dread. As the Hebrew of old said that none might look on the face of God and live, so the Aryan held that the doom of death was on the man who dared to look on the unveiled splendour of Zeus. The Arkadian localised this faith in his Lykaian Temenos, and averred not only that all living things which might enter it would die within the year, but that not a single object within it ever cast a shadow. The idea, being once suggested, ran out into the wildest fancies, and the hunstman, who drew back at the inclosure when a hunted beast entered it, failed not to see that its body no longer cast a shadow after it had entered the charmed circle. The science of the geographer does . but heighten his faith in the local tradition. When the sun is in the sign of the Crab, he knows that at the Ethiopian Syênê there are no shadows at midday; but the marvel was that in this Arkadian sanctuary there was never any shadow the whole year round. Pausanias admitted the fact as readily as the Royal Society set to work, it is said, to explain why a vessel of water with a fish in it was no heavier than it would have been without the fish: but he could not know that in the real Lykosoura there could be no shade, although this Lykosoura was not to be sought in Peloponnesos or in any land of human habitation. In the bright heaven, through which travels the unclouded sun, there can be no darkness at all. ${ }^{2}$

[^318][^319]But the word which supplied the name of the shadowless Lykaian sanctuary was confused in their mind with the name of the wolf, so called for the same reason which led the German to speak of the bear as Goldfuss: and at once it became necessary to show how the idea of wolves was linked with the fortunes of Lykâôn. This son of Pelasgos was the builder of Lykosoura, and he called Zeus Lykaios, ${ }^{1}$ after his own name, instituting in his honour the Lykaian festival which answered to the Dawn festival in the city of the Athenians. But his wisdom, as Pausanias testifies, was not equal to that of his contemporary Kekrops, who felt that no living thing should be offered up to the Zeus whom he reverenced as the most high. The zeal of Lykîôn was more vehement, and the blood of an infant, or, as some said, of his own child, flowed on the altar of sacrifice. At once the human form of Lylkâôn was changed into that of a wolf. It was the just recompense of his iniquity in a time when men were linked in a close intercourse with the gods; but to the grief of Pausanias the increasing wickedness of mankind had put an end to the age of miracles, and the true story of Lykâôn had been overlaid by miscrable falsehoods, which affirmed that men turned into wolves at the Lykaian sacrifice were restored to their old shape after ten years, if they abstained from human flesh, but that, if they tasted it, then they remained wolves for ever.

We have here more than the germ of medioval Lykanthropy, and little more is needed to bring before us the Were-wolf or Vampire superstition in its full deformity. That superstition has been amongst the most fearful scourges of mankind; but here, as elsewhere, it is something to leam that a confusion between two words identical in sound, and springing from the same root, laid the foundations of this frightful delusion. The myth of Lykâon is in this incident nothing more than a repetition of the story of Tantalos. His name is but one of a thousand epithets for the sun, who in times of drought offers up on the altar of Zeus (the heaven) the scorched and withered fruits which owed theirlife to his own vivifying heat; and for him, as for the
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Limits to the power of Zeus.

Phrygian king, the sin and its punishment inevitably followed the translation of mythical phrases into the conditions of human life.

Like the god of Arkadia, the Zeus of Dodona is nourished by nymphs, who in this instance are called Hyades, the bringers of moisture from the blue heights of heaven. That the Cretan story is but another version of the Arkadian, the identity of names alone sufficiently proves. The Lykaian hill had its Crete, and the Eleutherai, to which unintentional trespassers into the Temenos of Zeus were conveyed, reappears in the mythical geography of the Egean island. ${ }^{1}$ But although Zeus must be wherever there is an Olympian city, yet the greatness of the Eleian Zeus overshadowed the majesty of the Zeus who abode in Crete, Lykosoura, or Dodona, when his temple at Olympia became the sanctuary of the great Panhellenic festival. But here, too, the local legend gives names with which the Cretan and Arkadian myths have already made us familiar. Here, too, it was said that Rhea entrusted the infant Zeus to the care of the Idaian Daktyloi. ${ }^{2}$ If the name given to these mysterious beings be akin to the Diktê and Lyktos of the Cretan tale, to Artemis Diktynna and Diktys of Seriphos, we have in it only a general designation which applies to each of the Daktyloi, Heraklês, Paionios, Iasios and Idas. This Idas is but the counterpart of the nymph Ida, the companion of Adrasteia; and Ida, as we have seen, is but the earth, which may be regarded as either the nurse, or, as in the Vedic hymns, the bride of Zeus. The name of Herakles, like that of Hêrê, indicates simply the splendour of the risen sun, and in Iasios, as in Iasiôn, Iamos, Iolê and others, we have the violet tint with which the hearen is flushed in early morning. The olive branch, which Herakles made the prize of victory, itself came from the Hyperboreans, whence Achaia, the mother of the Zeus-born Achaians, journeyed to Dêlos.
That the relations of Zeus to other mythical beings were very variously described, a comparison of our Hesiodic and Homeric narratives has already shown us. In the latter, he is the father not only of Aplrodite, who in the former is his

[^320]sister, but of Arês and Hephaistos, who, according to another legend, were like Typhôeus the children of Hêrê only. In.

CIIAT. I. one story he is the father also of Phoibos, who in another is the son of Athênê. The power with which he is invested varies in like manner according to the point of view from which he is regarded. The Zeus who is the father of all living things, knows neither wenkness, change, nor passion ; the Zeus who is the growth of mythical phrases, is beneficent or treacherous, just or capricious, pure or lustful, according to the character of the phenomena to be described. By himself he is styled all-powerful: but Here too, as the sovereign queen of heaven, can know no higher authority, and thus they are represented as acting sometimes with and sometimes against each other. Nay, even Athêne, the maiden who stands by his side to do his will, is sometimes an accomplice with Hêrê and Poseidôn in plots to circumscribe his power. But although he can do much, he cannot arrest the course of the sun, he cannot lighten his toils for beings meaner than himself, he cannot avert the carly doom which awaits him when his short career across the heaven is ended. Hence he can but bring up to Olympos from the dead the beautiful Memnôn for whom the tears of Eôs fall in dewdrops from the sky; he can but rescue the body of the brave Sarpêdôn, and give it to Phoibos to bathe in Simoeis, and to the powers of sleep and death to bear it to the glistening lome which they camot reach until the morning. ${ }^{1}$ Heraklês may toil for Eurystheus and have no profit at all of his labour; but Zeus can only look down on his brave son until the flames ascend to heaven from his funeral pile on Oita. There is, in short, no one phrase which might be said to describe the varying aspects of the sky, which is not petrified into some myth characteristic of the Kronid Zeus; and the smile of the blue heaven, when all

[^321][^322]bonk the brightness of day bursts upon it, becomes the rapture of
II. - Zeus when Hêrê comes to him armed with the kestos (cestus) of Aphroditê, and the lulling spells of Hypnos. ${ }^{1}$ Thus also the serene height in which Zeus dwells, and from which he cannot descend, explains his indifference and seeming immorality in the great conflict at llion. At the prayer of Thetis he may be induced to help the Trojans until Agamemnon has repaired the wrong done to Achilleus, or his inaction may be secured by the devices of Hêrê ; but with Hêrê herself there can be no such uncertainty or vacillation. Her name is but one of many names for the sun, and she must take part steadily with the Argives and Danaans, the children of the Dawn. To her Paris, the seducer of the fair Helen, is strictly the evil Pani who tempts Saramâ to betray the trust reposed in her by Indra; and hence she may employ without scruple the power of her beauty, aided by the magic girdle of Aphroditê, to turn the scale in favour of Agamemnon and his Achaian warriors.

The messengrers of Zous.

But if Zeus cannot himself descend to the regions of the murky air, he has messengers who do his bidding. Foremost among these is Hermes, the god who flies on the breezes and the storm; but Iris of the flashing feet is more truly the minister who joins the ether to the lower atmosphere of the earth. Whatever be the origin of the name Iris, the word was used by the poets of the Iliad to denote not only the divine messenger, but the rainbow itself. Thus the dragons on the breastplate of Agamemnon are likened to the Irises which Zeus has set in the heavens as a marvel to mortal men; ${ }^{2}$ and more plainly Iris is the purple arch or bow which Zeus stretches from one end of heaven to the other, to give warning of war or deadly drought. ${ }^{3}$ She is a daughter of Thaumas and Elektra, the wonderful amber tints, and a sister of the Harpyiai, the rent and ragged clouds against which those tints are seen; and she would be the golden-winged messenger, not only because the rainbow can come and vanish with the speed of lightning, but because its arch seems to join the heaven and the earth, as a ladder by which the angels may descend and rise up again into their

[^323]home above. Hence the phrase was that the rainbow spread its glorious path across the sky, whenever the gods wished to send their messenger to do their bidding. In this office Iris carries out the behests sometimes of Zeus, sometimes of Hêrê or of Phoibos, while sometimes she comes of her own free act. She is, in short, the counterpart of Hermes, whose staff she bears in her hand. ${ }^{1}$ If, again, in some myths she may be spoken of as always a maiden, it may not less truly be said that the winds love her exquisite tints, while the earth lies enraptured at her feet; and this accordingly is the tale which makes her the bride of Zephyros and the mother of Eros, the darling of the gods. But the mame of this lovely being soon became a mere general title of messengers or errand-carriers, and reappears in Iros the beggar of the Odyssey, who resembles her in no other way.

Lastly, as seeing from his throne in heaven all that is done on earth, Zeus must be the punisher of all iniquity.
 But the judgments of a god, whose characteristics depend on half-forgotten mythical phrases, or on words wholly misunderstood, will not be always equitable. The sentences passed will have reference often to his mythical rights, while they may be designed generally to redress wrongs between man and man. The punishments of Tantalos and Ixîon, of Lykâion and Sisyphos are involved in the very idea of these beings. The sun, who woos the dawn, yet drives her from him as he rises in the sky. He loves the dew which his rays burn up' ; and if he shine on the earth too fiercely, its harvests musti be withered. If his face approaches the stream too closely, the water-courses will soon be beds of gaping slime. The penalty paid by Tantalos is bound up with the phrases which described the action of the sun, while that of Lykâon sprung, as we have seen, from a confusion between two words derived from the same source. If, again, the sun, as rising into the dizzy heights of heaven, might be said to gaze too boldly on the bride of Zeus, his downward course is not less certain than his ascent, and at midday he must revolve like Ixîonn on his blazing wheel; while the stone which Sisyphos has with huge toil rolled to the mountain summit (the zenith) must

[^324]BOOK II．
slip from his grasp and dash down again into the valley below．Still more must Zeus punish the insults done to him as lord of the fire－laden thunder clouds；and Promêtheus，as teaching men how to kindle a flame and cheat the gods with offerings of fat and bone，is an offender less easily pardoned than chiefs who sacrifice their children on his altars．In this Promethean legend alone we seem to have a glimpse of that future twilight of the gods which is so prominent a characteristic of Northern mythology．But it is only in the tragedy of 巴schylos that the liberation of Promêtheus in－ volves the humiliation of Zeus．In the summary of Apollo－ doros，it is mentioned only as one among the countless exploits of Herakles；and we may owe to the mind of王schylos alone a notion which we are perhaps not justified in connecting with the idea developed by the Northmen into a common doom awaiting Odin and all the 帅sir．

Section VI－ODIN，WODEN，WUOTAN．

Character－ istics of Teutonic mytho－ logy．

The Teutonic belief in the twilight or final extinction of the gods is of itself evidence that the mythology of the German and Scandinavian nations belongs to an earlier form of thought than that of the Hindu or the Greek．${ }^{1}$ The gods of the latter are essentially free from decay and death． They live for ever in Olympos，eating ambrosial food and drinking the nectar of immortality，while in their veins flows not mortal blood，but the imperishable ichor．Nor can it be said that even the myth of Promêtheus points to any com－ plete suppression of the present order of things．It does but say that Zeus should be put down，and a more righteous ruler set up in his place．But in the Teutonic legends Odin himself falls and Thor dies，and the body of the

[^325][^326]beautiful Baldur is consumed in the flames. In other words, these deities answer not to the Olympian gods, but to the

## CHAP.

 mortal Herakles or Perseus or Asklêpios. But the links which connect the belief of the one race with that of the others may be traced readily enough. The Vedic gods, like the Hellenic, live for ever. The Soma inspires them with fresh vigour, as the soul of Zeus is refreshed and strengthened by heavenly banquets; but the draughts, which only add to their inherent force, give to the Teutonic deities a new lease of life. ${ }^{1}$ Thus the Soma draught becomes in northern Europe the cup of honey mingled with the blood of Qvasir, the wisest of all boings, who during his life had gone about the world doing the work of Promêtheus for the wretched children of men. His wisdom, however, could not save him from the dwarfs Fialar and Galar, who, mingling his blood with honey, made a costly mead, the taste of which imparted the eloquence of the bard and the wisdom of the sage. ${ }^{2}$ In other respects the Teutonic deities exhibit the closest likeness to the Greek. The rapidly acquired strength and might of Zeus, Phoibos, and Hermes simply express the brief period needed to fill the heaven with light, to give to the sun its scorching leat, to the wind its irresistible force; and the same idea is expressed by the myth of Vali, the son of Wuotan and Rind, who, when only a night old, comes with his hair untonched by a comb, like Phoibos Akersekomês, to take vengeance on Hödr for the death of Baldur, and again in the story of Magni, the son of Iarnsaxa, who, when three days old, rescued his father Thor as he lay crushed beneath the foot of the gigantic Hrungnir. ${ }^{3}$ There is the same agreement in the size of their bodies and in the power of their voices. The roaring of the waves and the crash of the thunder are louder than any din of mortal warfare or the cries of any earthly monsters; and thus at once we have the gigantic size of Arês, and the roar of Poseidôn louder than the noise of a myriad warriors in close conflict. Thus, also, as Hêrê lays one hand on the earth and the other on the sea, so Thor drinks up no small part of the ocean with his horn which reaches from heaven to its surface-a ponderous[^327]VOL. I.
book image for the clouds or the rays of the sun as they drink II. from the sea. But neither the Greek nor the Teutonic deities have the monstrous forms of the four-armed Vishnu or the four-headed Brahma-these fearful combinations being confined to beings like Briareôs and Geryôn and the giants of northern mythology, unless an exception is to be made of the three-handed Hekatê, who, however, can scarcely be reckoned among the Olympian gods, and the four-armed Lakedaimonian Apollôn. ${ }^{1}$ The two-headed Janus is a Latin deity. But if the Teutonic gods are never monstrous, they are sometimes maimed; and in the one-eyed Odin we have the idea which called the Hellenic Kyklops into existence; while in the one-handed Tyr we see Indra Savitar; and in the limping Loki, the lame Hephaistos. But whatever may be their office, these are all bright and radiant deities; Hel alone, like the rugged king of Hellenic mythology, has a dark and repulsive aspect. ${ }^{2}$ The very expressions used in speaking of them are transparent. The flowing locks of the Wish-god and of Baldur are those of Zeus and Phoibos; the fair-haired Dêmêtêr of the Greek becomes the fair-haired Lif of the Teuton. ${ }^{3}$ The power of Zeus is seen again in that of Thor, and the golden glory which surrounded the head of Phoibos or Asklêpios, and became the aureole of Christian saints, is not less a mark of the German deities, and appears on the head of Thor as a circlet of stars. ${ }^{4}$

Teutonic theogonies.

But when we turn to the theogony set forth in the Völuspa Saga, we can as little doubt that it marks a comparatively late stage of thought, as we can suppose that the Hesiodic theogony is older than the simple and transparent myths which tell us of Prokris or Tithônos or Endymiôn. The myth of Baldur, at least in its cruder forms, must be far more ancient than any classification resembling that of the Hesiodic ages. Such a classification we find in the relations of the Jötun or giants, who are conquered by Odin as the

[^328]Titans are overthrown by Zeus; and this sequence forms part of a theogony which, like that of Hesiod, begins with

CHAP. I. chaos. From this chaos the earth emerged, made by the gods out of the blood and bones of the giant Yinir, whose name denotes the dead and barren sea. This being is sprung from the contact of the frozen with the heated waters, the former coming from Niflhcim, the region of deadly cold at the northern end of the chaotic world, the latter from Muspelheim, the domain of the devouring fire. The Kosmos so called into existence is called the 'Bearer of God'-a phrase which finds its explanation in the world-tree Ygg drasil, on which Odin himself hangs, like the Helene Dendrîtis of the Cretan legend:-

| I know that I hung | On a wind-rocked tree |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nine whole nights, | With a spoar wounded, |
| And to Odin offered, | Myself to myself, |
| On that tree, | Of which no ono krows |
| From what root it springss |  |

This mighty tree, which in Odin's Rune Song becomes a veritable tree of knowledge, and whose roots are undermined by Hel or death and by the Hrimthursen or frost-giants, rises into Asgard, the highest heavens where the gods dwell, while men have their abode in Midgard, the middle garden or earth, embraced by its branches.

The giant Ymir was nourished by the four streams which flowed from the treasure of moisture, the cow Audhumla, ${ }^{2}$ which belongs to Zoroastrian not less than to Teutonic mythology, and is there fornd with the meaning both of cow and earth. ${ }^{3}$ This earth afforded salt; without which no life can be vigorous, and from Audhumla, as she ted on the sullt of the blocks of ice, there came forth a perfeet man, Buri, the fashioner of the world, whose son, Bor, ${ }^{4}$ had as his wife Besla, or Bettla, ${ }^{5}$ the daughter of the giant Bölthorn,

[^329]BOOK the root or kernel of the earth. From Buri proceeded apparently Odin himself, and also the race of the gods or Asas, the self-existent beings, ${ }^{1}$ who dwell in Asgard or Aithêr, while the middle air, between the upper and under worlds, the $\dot{a} \eta \mathrm{\eta} \rho$ of the Greeks on which Zeus looks down, is Vanaheim, the home of the Vanen, or spirits of the breathing wind. ${ }^{2}$ To this race belong Freyr and Freya, the deities of beauty and love, 'the children of Mördur, the sea-god who dwells in the sea-city (Noatun), and whose spouse, Skadi (Elster?) is the daughter of the giant Thiassi, for he is indeed himself the shore. ${ }^{3}$

Odin as the Creator of Man.

The idea of the composite nature of man must have preceded the rise of the myth which assigns the creation of the soul to Odin, of the mind to Hahnir, of the blood and outward complexion to Lodur. This Hahuir is probably the same word as hahn, the cock, 'in its wider import the bird, the animal belonging to the air;' ${ }^{4}$ and thus possibly the framers of this theogony may hare intended to set forth their belief that a Trinity, consisting of Wther, Air, and Fire, was concerned in the creation of man, Lodur being certainly fire, and in fact only another form of Loki, the shining god. But we approach the regions of pure mythology when we read that when Odur sets forth on his wanderings, his bride, the beautiful Freya, ${ }^{5}$ sheds gold-gleaming tears-' an image of the bright gleams shooting across the rugged morning sky.' ${ }^{6}$ From these parents springs Hnossa, the jewel, the world under the aspect of beauty, while Frigga, as the wife of Odin, doubtless only another form of Odur, is the mother of Jörd, the earth, in the character of the nourishing Dêmêtêr.
Tho end of But all this visible Kosmos is doomed to undergo a catatho Asas or Esir. strophe, the results of which will be notits destruction but its renovation. The whole world will be consumed by fire, kindled by Lodur, (der Lodernde, the glowing god), the Loki

[^330]who brought about the death of Baldur. The life or the reign of the Asas themselves will come to an end, but a new earth rising from this second chaos will resemble that of the golden age in the Hesiodic tradition. Of this Teutonic theogony we may say without the least misgiving that it exhibits not the slightest sign of any Christian influence. It would be almost as reasonable to trace such an influence in the Hesiodic poems, where, if we could get over the insurmountable difficulties of chronology, such an attempt might be made with far greater plausibility. Nor can we charge Bunsen with speaking too strongly, when he says that we must be brought to this negative conclusion, unless ' we are to set above facts a preconceived opinion, taken up at random on the slightest grounds, or indolently to decline scrutiny of those facts, or profound reflections on what they indicate. ${ }^{\text {1 }}$

The idea which the Aryans of India sought to express under the names Brahman and Atman, the Aryans of Europe strove to signify by the name Wuotan. That idea centred in the conception of Will as a power which brought all things into being and preserves them in it, of a will which followed man wherever he could go and from which there was no escape, which was present alike in the heavens above and in the depths beneath, an energy incessantly operating and making itself felt in the multiplication as well as in the sustaining of life. Obviously there was no one thing in the physical world which more vividly answered to such a conception than the wind, as the breath of the great Ether, the moving power which purifies the air. Thus the Hindu Brahman denoted originally the active and propulsive force in creation, and this conception was still more strictly set forth under the name Atman, the breath or spirit which becomes the atmosphere of the Greeks and the athem of the Germans. Atman is thus the breathing, in other words, the self-existent being,-the actual self of the universe ; and the meaning thus assigned to the word was so impressed mpon the minds of the Aryans of India that no mythology ever grew up round it. In Professor Müller's words ' the

CHAP. I.

The name Wuotan.
idea of the Atman or self, like a pure crystal, was too transparent for poetry, and therefore was handed down to philosophy, which afterwards polished, and turned, and watched it as the medium through which all is seen and in which all is reflected and known.' ${ }^{1}$ The conception of the Teutonic Wuotan was at first not less exalted. Like Brahman and Atman, it is the moving strength and power of creation, and the word in Grimm's belief carries us to the Latin vad-ere, to go or move, the Bavarian wueteln, to stir or grow. Thus Grimm remarks that of Wuotan it may be said as Lucan says of Jupiter-

Est quodcunque vides, quocunque moveris,
the pure spiritual deity. The word itself is therefore a participle of the old verb watan, whose cognate forms vata, ôd, account for the dialectical variations which converted it into the Saxon Wuodan, Wodan, Woden, Odin, the Frisian Wêda, the Norse OXinn; and its meaning is in perfect analogy with that of the Latin Minerva as connected with mens and the Greek $\mu_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ vos, spirit or strength. ${ }^{2}$ But the ideas thus expressed by the name were necessarily lost when the Christian missionaries taught the people to look on Wuotan or Odin as the archfiend ruling over troops of malignant demons; nor is it improbable that the process may have begun at an earlier period. The name is connected closely with the German wuth, in which the notion of energy las been exaggerated into that of impulse uncontrolled by will. Such a limitation of meaning was quite in harmony with the tendency of all the German tribes to identify energy with vehement strife, and thus Wuotan became essentially the armed deity, the god of war and of battles, the father of victory. ${ }^{3}$ As such, he looks down on the earth from his heavenly home through a window, sitting on his throne with Freya by his side, as Hêrê sits by Zeus in Olympos. In the strange story which is to account for the change which converted the Winili into the Lombards, this attribute of Wuotan is

[^331]connected with the rising of the sun, the great eye of day. As the giver of victory, the greatest of all blessings in Teu-

## CHAP.

 I. tonic eyes, he was necessarily the giver of all other good things, like the Hermes of the Greeks with whose name his own is identical in meaning. ${ }^{1}$ As such, he is Osci, Oski, the power of Wish or Will, so often exhibited in the mythology of northern Europe, the Wunsch to whom the poets of the thirteenth century ${ }^{2}$ assign hands, eyes, knowledge, blood, with all the appetites and passions of humanity. This power of Wuotan is seen in the oska-stein, or wishing stone, ${ }^{3}$ which the Irish localise in Blarney and which Grimm connects with the wishing-rod or staff of Hermes, ${ }^{4}$ in the Oskmeyjar or Wishmaidens or Valkyries who guide to Valhalla all heroes slain in battle, and who are the wrish or choice children of Wuotan, and more especially in the Oska-byrr, or Wish-wind, in which we recognise both in name and in the thing the ${ }^{i} \kappa \mu \varepsilon \nu o s ~ o u ̂ \rho o s ~ o f ~ o u r ~ I l i a d . ~ " ~ I t ~ i s ~ t h i s ~ p o w e r ~ d o u b t l e s s ~ w h i c h ~ \$ ~$ is denoted by the Sanskrit Kama, as the force which first brought the visible Kosmos into being, ${ }^{6}$ and by the Eros of the Hesiodic theogony.
#### Abstract

1 This attribute of Wuotan, which Grimm discovers in tho titles Gibicho,  Hormes, whose name denotes simply tho motion of the air. ${ }^{2}$ For a long series of passages in whioh Wunsch is cloarly both a power and a person, seo Grimm, D.M. 126-8.

3 The instruments of Wish generally run in triplets, as in the story of King Putraka (pp. 144, 159). In that of Cindorella, thoy are throe nuts, containing each asplendid robe. In the story of The Pink, Wish assumes the Protean power of transformation; in that of Brother Lustig, it is a bag in which the possessor may seo anything that ho wishes to shut up in it, and by means of which he contrives, like the Master Smith, to find his way into heaven. In the tale of the Poor Man and the Rich Man, the three wishes which bring happiness here and hereafter to the former, bring only 'vexation, troubling, scolding, and the loss of a horse' upon the lattor. In the story of the Faithful Beasts, it is a wonderful stone (the orb) of tho sun) which a fat old frog (the


Frog Prince or Fish Sun) brings up from the waters. In the tale of tho Donkey Cabbages it is a wishing-cloak, and thus we aro brought lack to Solomon's carpet, which in the story of the Knapsack, the Hat, and the Hom, 'appoars as a cloth, capable, dike the Sangreal, of providing unlimited supplies of food and drink, and as a beantiful carpet in the story of the Three Fenthers. In that of tho Drummer, it is a ring in the hand of the Dawn Maiden, who becomes his bride. The throe possessions of King Putrakit are the three wishos which assumo many forms in folk-lore.' Compare the stary of the Best Wish with the wishes of the Master Smith in the Norse Tales. Dasent.

* Grimm, D. M. 131.
${ }^{5}$ There is really nothing to support the explanation which refers Yкuevos to ixvéopal. The word stands to Oski, or wish, precisely in the relation of $\quad \chi \omega$ to

${ }^{6}$ A translation of the very remarkablo hymn in which this word oceurs is given in Professor Max Müller's History of Sanskrit Iiterature, p. 561,

Book The single eye of Odin points beyond all doubt to the
II.

The oneeyed Wuo$\tan$ or Odin.

Odin the raingiver. sun, the one eye which all day long looks down from heaven upon the earth. But when he was figured as an old man with a broad hood and a wide-flowing robe, the myth necessarily sprung up that he had lost an eye, a story which answers precisely to the myth of Indra Savitar, while it also throws further light, if any such were needed, on that of the Kyklôpes. ${ }^{1}$ But as the sun is his eye, so his mantle is the vapour which like the cloud-gathering Zeus Odin wraps around himself, and thus becomes Hakolberend, the wearer of the veil, or Harbard, the bearded god. In his hand he bears the marvellous spear Gungnir, in which we see the lance of Phoibos or Artemis. By his side are the two wolves Gari and Freki, with whom he hunts down his victims, wolves like the Myrmidons whom Achilleus lets loose upon the Trojans, wolves like those from which Phoibos was supposed to derive his name Lykeios. On his shoulders sit the two ravens, Huginn and Muninn, who whisper into his ears all that they see or hear, as the serpents by their mysterious whisperings impart more than human wisdom to the infant Iamos. ${ }^{2}$ They are the ravens who bring to Apollôn the tidings of the faithlessness of Korônis, as in the shape of a raven Aristeas tells the Metapontines that he followed Phoibos when he came to their country. ${ }^{3}$

As the bearded god, Odin becomes the giver of the rain, the Zeus Ombrios of the Greeks, the Jupiter Pluvius or flowing Jupiter of the Latins, as well as their Neptunus or

The first sentence shows the train of thought in the mind of the poot:
'Darkness there was: and all at first was veiled
In gloom profound, an occan without light:
The germ that still lay covered in the husk
Burst forth, one nature from the fervent heart;
Then first came love upon it.'
On this passage Professor H. H. Wilson remarks "The term "love" here appears to us to convey a notion too transcendental to have had a place in the conception of the original author. The word is Kama, which scarcely
indicates love in the sonse in which it may here le understood, although not absolutely indefensible: but Kamameans desire, wish, and it expresses here the wish, synonymous with the will, of the sole-existing Being to create.'-Edinburgh Review, Oct. 1860, p. 384.
${ }^{1}$ Thus in Saxo he is 'grandærus altero orbus oculo,' and again 'Armipotens uno semper contentus ocello.' The reason assigned by the myth is that he was obliged to loave one cye in pledge when he wished to drink at the well of Mimir.
${ }^{2}$ Grimm, D. M. 134, traces the names to huyr, thought, and nunn, mind, as in Minerva, \&c.
${ }^{3}$ Herod. iv. 15.
cloud-deity. As such, he is Hnikar, the Anglo-Saxon Nicor or water-god, whose offspring are the Nixies or water-sprites, as the Hellenic Naiads are the children of Zeus. ${ }^{1}$ In this character he is the Biblindi, or drinker (the Latin bib-ere) of the Eddas. Like Phoibos again, or Asklêpios, he is the healer, who alone can restore strength and vigour to the maimed horses of Baldur ; and as the Muses are the daughters of Zeus, so is Saga the daughter of Wrotan, the source of all poetry, the inspirer of all bards. In his hunts he rides the eight-footed horse Sleipnir, the white steed which bears him also through the thick of battle, like the rudderless and oarless ships which carry the Phaiakians across the blue seas of heaven.

Wuotan, the Allfather ${ }^{2}$ and the Psychompompos, who takes all souls to himself when their earthly journey is done, has become for the nations of northern Europe a mere name; but the mark of his name he has impressed on many places. If our Wednesdays remind us of him, he has also left his relics in Onslew, ${ }^{3}$ in the island Odinse, in Odinfors, Odenskälla and Wednesbury.

The close connection of the name Tyr with the several forms developed from the root dyu, to shine, would of itself lead us to expect that the word would remain practically a mere appellative for gods whose names might again betray a relation to the same root. Accordingly we meet with Sigtyr, the victorious god, as a name for Wuotan, and Reidartyr or Reidityr, the riding or driving Tyr, as a name for Thor. Nor can it be said that any real mythology has gathered round this word, for the Stauros which is specially connected with his name belongs rather to the region of symbolism than of mythology, although the conjunction of this emblem with the circle (the kestos of Aphroditê and the necklace of Harmonia and Eriphylê) is in itself a subject of some interest. Hence we should further be led to expect that the

[^332]clined to trace Christian influence in the doscription of Odin Allfadir as given, for instance, in the dialoguo called Gylfis Mocking.
*Othanslef, Othini reliquix. Grimm, D. M. 144, adds many other instances.
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special emblem under which Tyr would be worshipped would be the sword: and to this fact Grimm traces the names, not only of the Saxons, but of the Cherusci as pointing to the old Cheru, Heru-a sword.

## Section VII.-THUNDER, DONAR, THOR.

Tho name Donar.

Thor the Allfather.

Englishmen may not unnaturally be tempted to think that our word Thunder is the older and more genuine form of the name given to the god who wields the lightnings, and that this name was chosen to express the loud crash which echoes across the heaven. Yet the word in its first meaning has no reference to noise and din. The root denotes simply extension as applied whether to sound or to any other objects, and from it we have the Greek and Latin words $\tau \varepsilon i v \omega$ and tendo, to stretch, tóvos, tone, i.e. the stretching and vibration of chords, tonitru, thunder, as well as tener and tenuis, the Sanskrit tamu, answering to our tender and thin. Hence the dental letter which has led to the popular misconception of the word is found to be no essential part of it; and the same process which presents the English tender and the French tendre as an equivalent for the Latin tener, has with us substituted thunder for the Latin tonitru. ${ }^{1}$ Thus the several forms Donar, Thunor, and perhaps Thor are really earlier than the shape which the word has assumed in our English dialect.

As the lord of the lightning, the thunder, and the rain, Donar is as closely allied, and, indeed, as easily identified with Wuotan, as Vishṇu with Indra, or Indra with Agni. But although most of their characteristics are as interchangeable as those of the Vedic gods generally, each has some features peculiar to himself. Thus, although Thor is sometimes said to move in a chariot like other deities, yet he is never represented as riding like Odin. He is essentially, like Vishnu, the walking or striding god, who moves amidst the lightnings like Hephaistos in his workshop of

[^333]subterraneous fires. But in his power of penetrating and piercing the heavens or the earth, and in his ceaseless and irresistible energy, he is simply Wuotan in another form, and the conception of the deity has varied but little among the Aryan nations. The name itself is found in the name of the Gallic thunder-god Taranis, preserved to us by Lucan, and more nearly in its other form Tanarus, while the idea is expressed in the Jupiter Tonans of the Latins, and the Zeus Kerauneios of the Greeks. He is, in short, the great lord of heaven in his most awful manifestation, but he is, nevertholess, the maker and the father of mankind. Hence, like Odin, he is the Allfather, a title which Procopins tells us that the Slavonic nations gave only to the creator of the lightnings. ${ }^{1}$ The deity thus worshipped was named Perkunas or Pehrkons by the Lithuanian tribes, and by the Slaves Perun, Piorun, and Peraun, a form which Grimm is inclined to connect with the Greek cépauvos, ${ }^{2}$ and more confidently with the Sanskrit Parjanya, a name of Indra as the bringer of the fertilising rain. ${ }^{3}$ If, again, Sophokles speaks of Gê or Gaia as the mother of Zeus. ${ }^{4}$ so is the earth the parent of Donar; and as Zeus and Wuotan are severally enthroned on Olympos and Wuotansberg, so has Thor or Donar his Donersperch, Thunresberg or Donmersberg, and Donnerskaute, while the oalk, the special tree of the Thundering Jupiter of the Latins, is not less sacred to the Teutonic deity. Like Dyaus or Jupiter, Thor is bearded, but his beard is fiery red, like the lightnings which flash across the heaven. ${ }^{5}$

But his appearance varied with his functions, which were concerned with three things-the lightning flash, the thun-

IIis triplo functions. derclap, and the thunderbolt. As using the first, he always

[^334]with Porkunas, has assumod a stmange form in English folk-lore. In the PlattDentsch of Prussia it appears as Pakkels - Puckle and Pickle: and thus he appears as a domon in the phrase "pretty Pickle.'

- Philokt. 389.

5 ' Rothbärtig, was auf dio fourige Lufterscheinung des Blitzes bezogen werden muss.'-Grimm, D. M. 161.

CHAP. I
book walks or strides; as producing the thunderpeal, he is borne along in his chariot; as wielding the bolts, he is, like Wuotan, the armed god who hurls his irresistible weapons. These are sometimes called his spears and arrows; but more especially the thunderbolt is his hammer, the mighty club which, when hurled from his hand, comes back to him again after doing its deadly errand. As wielding this weapon, he is Miölnir or Tydeus, the pounder and crusher, the father of the Aloadai and the Molionids: but the word hamar meant not only a mallet, but a rock, and thus carries us to the weapons employed by the giants and the Titans. ${ }^{1}$ When this hammer is stolen, Loki, in the Lay of Thrym, asks Freyja if she will lend him her feather-garment, that he may go and find it. With this dress Loki, as the god of light, flies to the abode of Thrym the giant, who has hidden the weapon in the depths of the earth, and will not give it up unless, like Hades, he has the maiden as his wife. When Loki returns to Asgard with this message, Freyja refuses to go.

| Then said Heimcall | Of FAsir brightest, <br> He well foresaw, |
| :--- | :--- |
| Liko other Vanir, |  |
| 'LLet us clothe Thor | With bridal raiment, |
| Lot him have the famed | Brisinga nocklace. |
| 'Let by his side | Keys jingle, |
| And woman's weeds | Fall down his knees; |
| But on his heart | Place procious stones, |
| And a neat coif | Set on his hoad.' |

He is now Dionysos, Achilleus, or Theseus in their womanly forms; and like Theseus, he speedily avenges himself on those who take liberties with him. Having come to Jötunheim, he astonishes Thrym by devouring an ox and eight salmons, but the serving-maid lulls his fears.

Then said Thrym,

- Bring the hammer in,

The Thursar's Iord, The Bride to consecrate:

[^335]that the thunder god has given his name to a vast number of places, tho forms Donnersberg, Thorrsberg, and Torslunde Donnersberg, Thorrsberg, and Torstunde
representing the three varieties under which they may bo classed. Our Thursday is an abbreviation of Thunresdig ;
but we have to romember the idontity of day is an abbreviation of Thunresdag ;
but we have to romember the idontity of Thunor, Donar, and Thor. A long list of such namos is givon by Grimm, D. M. 169.
Lay Miölnir
Unite us with each other
Laughed Hlôrridi's
When the fercehearted
He first slew Thrym
And the Jötuns ran
And so got Odin's son

On the maiden's knee, By the hand of Vör.'
Soul in her breast, His hammer recognised. The Thursar's lord, All crushed, His hammer back. ${ }^{1}$

CHAP.


Relations of Fro to Freya. Friuja, which is worshipped as the lord of all created things. If we may judge from the name, the conception of this deity was probably far above the ideas formed of any of the Vedic or Olympian gods. If the word is connected with the modern German froh, it expresses an idea which is the very opposite of the Hebrew tendency to worship mere strength and power. For Fro is no harsh taskmaster, but the merciful and eternal god. He is, in short, the beneficence and long-suffering of nature. Fro is thus the power which imparts to human life all its strength and sweetness, and which consecrates all righteous efforts and sanctions all righteous motives. Nor can we doubt that Freya stands to Tro in precisely the relation of Liber and Libera in the cultus of Ceres, the comnection between these deities being preciselythat of Fro and Freya with the goddess whom Tacitns call Nerthus, the Teutonic Niördr. In this aspect Freya is the bringer of rain and sunshine for the fruits of the earth, while the worship of Fro runs parallel with that of Priapos. To this deity belongs the wonderful ship Skidbladnir, which can be folded up like a cloth,-_in fact, a vessel much like the magic barks of the Phaiakians. But though this ship could carry all the Asas, yet these beings do not belong to their exalted race. They are Vanir, whose abode is in Vanaheim, as the Alfar or Elves live in Alfheim or Elffand and the Jotnar in Jötunheim.

Section IX.-hetmdall, BRAGI, and OEGIR.
The Hellenic Iris is represented by Heimdall in the my- Tha Iord thology of northern Europe. This deity, who like Baldur of Himin-

[^336]is a white or light-giving god, is the guardian of the bridge which joins heaven and earth (bif-rost, the waving restingplace), ${ }^{1}$ and his abode is in Himinbiorg, the hill of heaven, the Latin Mons Cœlius, the first syllable of his name being, like himin, only another form of himmel. In other respects he resembles Argos Panoptes. Like him, he needs less sleep than a bird; by night as by day he can see a hundred miles, and so keen are his senses that he can hear the corn growing on the earth and the wool lengthening on the sheep's back. ${ }^{2}$ As the watcher and warder of the gods, he carries a horn, the point of which sticks in Niflheim at the root of Yggdrasil ; and it was easy to add that he rode a horse with a golden mane and that his own teeth were of gold. He speaks of himself as the son of nine mothers, a phrase which in Bunsen's opinion has nothing to do with the watches of the night, and must be referred to the nine mythological worlds of the Völuspa Saga, of which Niflheim is the ninth and the lowest; and thus the myth would mean that 'the sun-light is the common divine child of all these worlds. ${ }^{3}$

Another god of the gleaming heaven is Bragi, the brilliant, while, like Donar or Baldur, he is a son of Odin. As the god of poetry and eloquence, he is the guardian and patron of bards and orators, and his name, like that of Vach or Saga; passes from the signification of light to that of fluent and honied speech. Thus bragr Karla was simply an eloquient man, and a further step degraded the name of $a s a$ bragr, $_{3}$ the chief among the gods, and left it as an epithet of vain boasters.
The name of the god Oegir, with whom Bragi is sometimes associated in the Edda, has shared a similar fate. Used first as a name for the sea, it has come to denote the Ogres with which nurses frighten children. If, as Grimm supposes, the word belongs to the same root with the

[^337][^338]Gothic agas and ôg, the Anglo-Saxon ege, egesa, Old High German akî, ekî, fear, dread, horror, the later meaning is

CHAP. I. quite in accordance with its original form. But however this may be, the word Oegir as a name for the sea carries us to the Greek stream which surrounds the earth. The phrase Sôl gengr î oeginn simply spoke of the sun going down into the sea, as Helios sinks into the ocean. The other forms Ogen, Ogyges, approach still more closely to the Teutonic Oegir. We find the idea of fear as attached to the name more fully developed when we come to the Oegishialmr, or helmet of dread, which the dragon Fafnir wears as he lies on the golden treasures, to strike terror into those who may dare to gaze on him, and again in the Eckesax or Uokesahs, the fearful sword tempered by the dwarfs in the Vilkina Saga,-weapons which, although there may be no affinity between the names, must remind us of the Aigis of Athênê and the helmet of Hades. Oegir's wife Ran is the mother of nine children, who become the eponymoi of fountains and streams.

## CHAPTER II.

## THE LIGHT.

Section I.-SÛRYa and SAVITRI.

BOOK II.

Sûrya, the pervading irresistible luminary.

Neither Dyaus nor Varuna, Indra nor Agni, occupies that precise place which is filled by Helios in Greek mythology as the dweller in the globe of the sun, or by Nereus as the actual inhabitant of the sea. This place in the Veda is reserved for Sûrya or Savitri, the former name being etymologically identical with that of Helios or Hêrê. Like Helios and Heimdall, Sûrya sees all things and hears all things, noting the good and evil deeds of men. Like Indra and Agni, he is sometimes independent, sometimes the servant of others; but he is never, like Dyaus, without a parent. His light is his own, and yet it has been given to him by Indra or by Soma, who is often spoken of as his father. He is the husband of the Dawn, but the Dawn is also his mother, as Iokastê is both mother and wife of Oidipous. In all such phrases it was impossible to lose sight of his real character. He is the most active of all the active gods, he is the third in the earlier trimùrtti in which he is associated with Agni and Vayu, he has measured the worlds with their undecaying supports, he is the divine leader of all the gods; but as such, he is still ' the pervading irresistible luminary.' His chariot is drawn by seven mares, and he 'comes with them self-harnessed.' Like Ixiôn, Tantalos, and Sisyphos, he is the 'lord of all treasures. ${ }^{\prime 2}$ He is the eye of Mitra, Varuna and Agni. ${ }^{3}$ Sometimes again he is 'without steeds, without stay, borne

[^339]swift-moving and loud-sounding, he travels ascending higher and higher, ${ }^{1}$ and when his daily course is run, he sinks, like Endymiôn or Kephalos, into the waters.
' I have beheld the permanent orb of the sun, your dwell-ing-place, concealed by water where (the hymns of the pious) liberate his steeds. ${ }^{2}$

Savitar, the inspirer, from the root $s u$, to drive or stimulate, is especially the glistening or golden god: he is goldeneyed, golden-tongued, and golden-handed; and in the later Brahmanic mythology such epithets might furnish a groundwork for strange and uncouth fancies. Thus the story, (which probably started as the myth of Midas and ended with the ass which poured out gold from its mouth on hearing the word Bricklebrit) went that once when Savitar cut off his hand at a sacrifice, the priests gave him instead a hand of gold; and in the same spirit the commentators interpreted the epithet as denoting not the splendour of the sun but the gold which he carried in his hand to lavish on his worshippers. ${ }^{3}$ The Teutonic god Tyr is also said to have lost one hand; but the German story ran that Tyr placed his hand as a pledge in the mouth of the wolf and that the wolf bit it off. ${ }^{4}$ In the latter tale we have an instance of that confusion of homonyms which converted Lykâôn into a wolf, Kallistô into a bear, and the Seven Arkshas into seven sages.

The power and strength of Savitar are naturally represented as irresistible. Not even Indra, or Varuna, or any other being can resist his will; and the verse which is regarded as the holiest in the Veda is addressed to Savitar." He is a Tithônos who waxes not old.

[^340]CHAP. II. The onehanded Satvitar.

B00K
' Shining forth, he rises from the lap of the Dawn, praised by singers; he, my god Savitar, stepped forth, who never misses the same place.
' He steps forth, the splendour of the sky, the wide-seeing, the far-shining, the shining wanderer; surely, enlivened by the sun, do men go to their tasks and do their work.' ${ }^{1}$
' May the golden-eyed Savitri come hither.
' May the golden-handed, life-bestowing, well-guarding, exhilarating, and affluent Savitri be present at the sacrifice.'

These phrases which seem to have no reference to the later myth, carry us to the myth of the one-eyed Odin, who like Savitar, is also Wegtain, or the wanderer, the broad heaven looking down on the earth with its one gleaming eye, the sun. ${ }^{2}$ Like Indra, Varuna, and Vishṇu, he is Skambha, the supporter.
' Savitri has established the earth by supports; Savitri has fixed the sky in unsupported space; ${ }^{3}$ he has milked the atmosphere, restless (or noisy) as a horse ; Savitri, the son of the waters, knows the place where the ocean, supported, issued forth.' ${ }^{4}$

## Section II.-SOMA.

The physical and spiritual Soma.

The ninth book of the Rig Veda consists wholly of hymns written in praise of Soma, who is lauded as the source of life and vigour, of mental power and bodily strength both to gods and men, the generator or parent of Agni, Sûrya, Indra, and Vishṇu. Of the phrases employed in describing
${ }^{1}$ R. V. vii. 63.
${ }^{2}$ H. H. Wilson, R. V. Sanhita, i. 99.
${ }^{3}$ Dr. Muir points out the inconsistency of this phraso with the lator mythology, which spoke of the earth as resting on the head of the serpent S'esha, or on other supports, and remarks that the Siddhantas, or scientific astronomical works of India, maintain that the earth is unsupported. In these it is said plainly that, "if the earth were supported by any material substance or living creature, then that would require a second supporter, and for that second a third would be required. Here we have the absurdity of an interminable series. If the last of the series bo sup-
posed to romain from its own inherent power, then why may not the same power be supposed to exist in the first ---that is, in the earth?' Dr. Muir adds that Aryya Bhatta, ono of the most ancient of Indian sciontific astronomors, even maintained that the alternation of day and night is produced by the rotation of the earth on its own axis. Sanskrit Texts, part iv. p. 97. It is remarkable that the Copernican system should thus have been anticipated in the East, as ly Aristarchos of Samos in the West, without making any impression on the thought of the age.

4 R. V. x. 149, 1. Muir, Sanskrit Texts, part iv. p. 97.
the nature and functions of Soma, many relate exclusively to the juice of the Soma plant, and to the process by which that juice is converted into an intoxicating drink. These phrases are often curiously blended with expressions which speak of a god exalted higher even than Varuna or Indra, while others show clearly that, like almost all other names of Hindu mythology, Soma was a word which might be applied alike to the gladdening power of wine and to the life-giving force from which the sky and sun derive their strength and brilliance. In the latter sense, Soma imparts to Indra the power which enables him to overcome Vritra, and, like Indra, is the conqueror of demons and the destroyer of cities. All things are in his hand, for Soma rules over gods and men, and, like the other deities known as Skambha, supports the heaven and earth in his hands. In short, there are no powers attributed to Varuna, Indra, or Vishnu, which are not, if it be possible, exceeded by those which are inherent in Soma. Yet Soma is also the drink of the gods, the Olympian nectar, the beverage which gives immortality. Soma is Indu, the sap which flows for Indrathe stream which is purity itself, and the cleanser of all defilement. In the symbolical interpretations of later times Soma is a mere name, which may denote physical, moral, or spiritual life, a name strictly of the one everlasting God.
'Soma purifies, [he who is] the generator of hymns, the generator of the sky, the generator of the earth, the generator of Agni, the generator of Sûrya, the generator of Indra, and the generator of Vishṇu. ${ }^{1}$

Soma is the Beatific Vision to which the pilgrims of this earth aspire.
'Where there is eternal light, in the world where the sun

[^341][^342]Book is placed, in that immortal imperishable world place me, 0
II. Soma.
' Where life is free, in the third heaven of heavens, where the worlds are radiant, there make me immortal.
'Where there is happiness and delight, where joy and pleasure reside, where the desires of our desire are attained, there make me immortal., ${ }^{1}$

In some hymns of the Rig Veda, all creatures are said to spring from the divine seed of Soma. All things are under his control, and he is, like Varuna and other deities, the divine sustainer (Skambha) of the world. He is an omniscient ocean, and his are the stars and the sun. He too, like Indra, is the slayer of Vritra.
'This divine Soma, with Indra for its ally, crushed, as soon as generated, Paṇi by force : thou, Soma, didst baffle the devices and weapons of the malignant secreter of the (stolen) wealth (the cattle).' ${ }^{2}$
But at once the poet recurs to metaphors suggested by the process of preparing the Soma juice.
' In the filter, which is the support of the world, thor, pure Soma, art purified for the gods. The Usijas first gathered thee. In thee all these worlds are contained. ${ }^{3}$
' The Soma flowed into the vessel for Indra, for Vishṇu; may it be honied for Vayu.' ${ }^{4}$
'Pouring forth streams, the Soma hastens to Indra, Vayu, Varuna, the Maruts, and to Vishnu.. ${ }^{5}$
' Indu, do thou flow sweet to Indra, to Vishṇu. Preserve from sin the men who praise thee., ${ }^{6}$
'Soma, Indu, purified, thou exhilaratest Varuna, thou exhilaratest Mitra, thou exhilaratest Indra, thou exhilaratest Vishṇu, thou exhilaratest the troop of the Maruts, thou exhilaratest the gods and the great Indra that they may be merry.' ${ }^{7}$

When in the later mythology, Mahâdeva had thrown the

[^343]older deities into the shade; Vishṇu, Soma, and Agni became different parts of his bow and arrow; 'for all the world,' we are told, 'is formed of Agni and Soma, and is said to be composed of Vishṇu, and Vishṇu is the soul of Mahâdeva of boundless power.' ${ }^{1}$ So with Uma, as divine knowledge, Soma, as the supreme spirit, falls into the ranks of correlative deities. ${ }^{2}$

With the change which came over later Hindu thought the popularity of Soma passed away; but the hymns of the Rig. Veda suffice to show how great a charm the Soma drink had possessed for the people. It was to them life in health, strength in weakness, medicine in sickness, the restoration of youth in old age; and the vigour which it imparted to human beings was imparted with unstinting lavishness to the gods. The exultation of Indra is the exultation of Polyphemôs when he has drunk the wine given to him by Odysseus.

## Section III.-CORRELATIVE DEITIES.

A very slight acquaintance with the language of the Vedic hymns will suffice to show that the idea of any one deity rarely failed to suggest to the mind of the worshipper the idea of another god, whose attributes answered to, or were contrasted with, his own. The thought of Dyaus, the sky, was bound up with that of Prithivî, the earth, who was his bride; and their very names, blended into one word Dyavaprithivî, denoted their inseparable union. The idea of Varuna, the veiling heaven, brought up that of Mitra, the lightillumined sky.

The connection was forced upon them by the phenomena of the outward world. We cannot sever in our minds the thought of day from that of night, of morning from evening,

[^344][^345]Chap. II.

Powns

Complementary deities.

The dualism of Nature. Asvins.
of light from darkness; and 'this palpable dualism of nature '1 has left its most marked impression on the mythology of the Veda. The dawn and the gloaming, the summer and the winter may, it is obvious, be described as twins or as sisters, standing side by side or dwelling in the same house. Thus, not only are Dyava-prithivî, heaven and earth, described as twins, but Indra and Agni are spoken of in the dual as the two Indras, Indragni, not only ushasanakta, the dawn and the night, but ushasau, the two dawns, ${ }^{2}$ and the two Varunas. Like Indragni again, the twin Asvins, or horsemen, are called Vritrahana, destroyers of Vritra.

These Asvins have been made the subject of a somewhat lengthened controversy. Their features are not very definite, but in the oldest hymns they are worshipped with a peculiar reverence, as able not merely to heal sicknesses but to restore the aged to youth. Their relations to each other and to their worshippers are placed in a clearer light by a reference to Greek mythical phraseology. Speaking of these beings, the commentator Yaska says that their sphere is the heaven, and remarks that some regard them as heaven and earth, as day and night, or as sun and moon, while they who anticipated the method of Euêmeros affirmed that they were two deified kings. But when he adds that their time is after midnight, whilst the break of day is yet delayed, all room for doubt seems taken away. The two Ahans, or Dawns, Day and Night, are born, it is said, when the Asvins yoke their horses to their car. The twins are born 'when the Night leaves her sister, the Dawn, when the dark one gives way to the bright.' After them comes Ushas, the Greek Eôs, who is followed first by Sûryâ, a feminine, or sister of Sûrya, the sun, then by Vrishakapayi, then by Saranyû, ${ }^{3}$ and lastly by Savitar. They are ihehajate, born here and there, either as appearing in the East and in the West, or as springing up on the earth and in the air; and this epithet may explain the alternate manifestations of the Dioskouroi, who stand to Helen in the same relation which the Asvins bear to Saramâ or Ushas.

[^346]The Asvins are thus the conquerors of darkness, the lords of light : ever youthful, swift as thought, and possessed, like Indra, Agni, and Phoibos, of a profound wisdom. If the poet needs to give them a father, he must assign them a parent in the clear heaven, or say that they are the children of Prajâpati, Tvashtar, or Savitar, names for the Creator. Their mother must be the East or West, from which they spring, regarded not as a place, but as the being who imparts to them their mysterious life. ${ }^{1}$ As ushering in the healthful light of the sun, they are, like Asklêpios and his children, healers and physicians, and their power of restoring the aged to youth reappears in Medeia, the daughter of the Sun. They are adored at morning and evening tide as Rudrau, the terrible lords of wealth, and are thus identified or connected with another deity who became of supreme importance in the later Hindu mythology. ${ }^{2}$, Like the Kourêtes and Telchînes, like Proteus, Thetis, and the other fishgods, they have the power of changing their shape at will.
' The twin pair adopt various forms; one of them shines brightly, the other is black; twin sisters are they, the one black, the other white, ${ }^{3}$-phrases which bring before us the rivalry not only of the Dioskouroi, but of the Theban Eteokles and Polyneikes, and perhaps the black and white eagles in the Agamemnon of Æschylos. ${ }^{4}$ Like Phoibos the healer, and like Asklêpios and his sons Podaleirios and Machâôn, the Asvins are 'physicians conversant with all medicaments.'s In the Norse tale of Dapplegrim we have the Asvins in their original form as horses; for when the lad, who, having won on his wonderful steed the victories of Indra, Herakles, and Bellerophon, is told that he must produce its match or die, complains to the horse that the task is not easy, ' for your match is not to be found in the wide world,' the steed replies that he has a match, although it is hard to get at him, for he abides in Hell.

In Indra and in Agni, Mitra and Varuna, and in the ThoTwins, Asvins we have three sets of twins, Yaman, Gemini, each

[^347]Book being spoken of as Yama or Yami, the twin brother or the twin sister. These Yaman are the children of Vivasvat, who is wedded both to the morning and to the evening; and their sister, the night, prays her brother to become her husband. In this Yama we have probably the Hindu god of the dead, whose two dogs with four eyes and wide nostrils go about among men as his messengers. As both are children of Vivasvat, Professor Max Müller thinks it unnecessary to assume that two Vivasvats were each the father of Yama. The twin who represented the evening would naturally become the lord or judge or guide of the departed. As from the East came all life, so in the West lay the land of the dead, the Elysian fields, the region of Sutala; and thither the sun hastens as he sinks down from the heights of heaven. Thus 'Yama is said to have crossed the rapid waters, to have shown the way to manje, to have first known the path on which our fathers crossed over; ${ }^{1}$ and the gulf is not wide which separates the functions of the Psychopompos from those of Hades. Like Varuna, Yama has his nooses, and he sends a bird as a token to those who are about to die. But although a darker side is not wanting to his character, Yama remains in the Veda chiefly the god of the blessed in the paradise where he dwells with Varuna. This Yama reappears in the Yima of the Avesta, his father Vivasvat being reproduced as Vivanghvat; ${ }^{2}$ and in Yima we have an embodiment of the Hesiodic golden age free from heat and cold, from sickness and death, an image of the happy region to which Krishna consigns his conquered enemy. In a grotesque myth of the later Yamen, the death of men in youth as well as in old age is accounted for by a mistake made by the herald of Yamen after the latter had been restored to life by Siva who had put him to death. While Yamen lay dead, mankind multiplied so that the earth could scarcely contain them. Yamen on returning to life sent his herald to summon at once all the old men, for none others had ever been called away before. The herald, getting drunk, proclaimed instead that henceforth all leaves,

[^348]fruits, and flowers, should fall to the ground, and thus men of all ages began to yield to the power of death.

The connection of Soma with Uma has been already noticed. Another couplet of deities is found in Soma and Sûryâ, the daughter of Sûrya the Sun; and here the twin Asvins stand by the side of Soma as the friends of the bridegroom. A later version, which says that, althou'gh Savitar had destined his daughter Sûryâ to be the wife of Soma, she was nevertheless won by the Asvins,' repeats the story of Pelops and Hippodameia, which represents the maiden as becoming the prize of the hero who can overtake her in a foot race. So again, Arjuna, the Argennos of the myth of Agamemnon, stands to Krishna, who is represented as declaring him to be his own half, in that dual relation which links Phaëthôn with Helios, Patroklos with Achilleus, Theseus with Peirithoös, Telemachos with Odysseus, and which is seen again in the stories of Pelias and Neleus, Romulus and Remus, Prometheus and Epimetheus, Hengest and Horsa, and in the Teutonic tales of the Two Brothers and of the Faithful John who guards his prince as carefully as the Luxman of Hindu folk-lore guards Rama. This dualism we find again in the Hellenic Eros and Anteros, and still more plainly in the myth of Hermaphroditos. ${ }^{2}$ The tale which describes Arjuna as receiving from Mahâdeva the Pasupata (or sceptre which guides the cows) under a strict charge not to use it rashly as it might destroy the whole world, ${ }^{3}$ carries us to the ill-omened gifts which brought destruction to Phaëthôn and Patroklos. In the same way Rama is linked with his brother Laxmana, and one myth which regards Rama as a mortal hero speaks of both as wounded and rendered senseless by a cloud of serpents transformed into arrows. ${ }^{4}$

[^349][^350]CHAP. II. Soma and Sûryâ.

## Section IV.-THE DAWN.

BOOK II. The lonely wanderer.

To the poets of all ages and countries the phenomena of morning and evening are full of pathos and sadness. The course of the day itself is but brief, and the career of the bright being who bears it across the heaven may be little more than a series of struggles with the vapours which strive to dim his splendours. All his life long he must toil for the benefit of the mean thing called man, and look on clear streams and luscious fruits without daring to quench his thirst or appease his hunger. He may be armed with invincible weapons; he may be the conqueror of all his enemies: but the doom is upon him; he must die in the flower of his age. Still there is for him a grief yet more bitter than this. Throughout almost the whole of his long journey he must go alone. The beautiful being who cheered him when his heart beat high and his limbs were fresh was parted from him almost as soon as he had found her, and there remains of her grace and loveliness only a consoling memory. He has hard toils before him, and there are grievous perils to be encountered. Still for him, as for the sons of men,
'Tis better to havo loved and lost, Than never to have loved at, all.

But although he cannot go back to the bright land where he saw his early love, she may yet be restored to him when the hour of his death has come. The sight of that beautiful form, the tender glance of that loving countenance, will be more than a compensation for his long toil and his early death. He will die looking on her face. But in the meanwhile his heart is filled with an irrepressible yearning. He must hasten on until his eye has seen its desire, even though the shadow of death must immediately fall upon him. He may have been early severed from her; but she is his bride, pure and incorruptible, though the mightiest of the land seek to taint her faith and lead her aside into a new love. Her dwelling is his home, and to it he must hasten across the blue seas of heaven, although monsters may seek to scare him,
and beautiful beings may beseech him to tarry awhile with them in their luxurious chambers.

Under this thin disguise we see at once the story of Odysseus and Penelopê; but this is, after all, one only of almost a thousand forms which the legends of Phoibos and Dionysos, of Perseus and Bellerophontes, may assume. The doom of the Dawn is as woeful as that of the Sun who has loved her. The glance of both is fatal. The Sun looks upon the tender dew, and under his rays the sparkling drops vanish away. The evening turns to gaze upon the setting sun, and the being on whom her life depends is snatched from her sight. They can remain together only on the condition that the one shall not see the form and face of the other; and so when, after the rising of the sun, the violet hues of morning faded from the sky, the phrase would run that Indra, or Pboibos, or Orpheus had fixed their eyes on Dahanâ, or Daphnê, or Eurydikê, and their love had passed away from them like the fleeting colours of a dream. But the myth itself might be developed in many ways. The disappearance or death of Daphnê, or Prokris, or Arethousa would mark the moment of the great catastrophe; but the disaster was only the interruption of a union which had been continued during the long hours of the night, and at once we have in this fact the suggestion of disguise. If the being whose glance scorched even the object of his love could keep her near him without doing her hurt, this could only be because he had shrouded his splendour in darkness, or loecause he had assumed some other form. Wither he might hide his limbs behind the skin of a lion, as in Greek stories, or of a fox or a jackal in Hindu folk-lore, or he might himself assume their form. Such an idea would prompt the tale that the beautiful Dawn had been given by her father in marriage to a hideous monster; or that she, the youngest and loveliest of his daughters, had been frightened by her gloomy sisters, the earlier hours of the night, into the belief that she was wedded to a loathsome being. The natural growth of the story would frame the more minute details, that before this terrible union the mother of the Dawn was dead; that the beautiful maiden was sacrificed by a new bride, who took part with her elder sisters ; and that, as she sought to verify
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Developement of the myth.
book their words, she discovered the beauty and majesty of her husband only to see it vanish from her sight. Then over the heart of the forsaken Dawn would come that irrepressible yearning which filled the soul of Odysseus. For her life would now have nothing worth living for but the hope that one day she should be reunited to him whom she had lost; and until she should so recover him, she could know no rest or peace. She must follow him through all lands, she must seek him at all costs and at every sacrifice. To the uttermost bounds of the earth, and far beyond the clouds which veil the distant mountains, beyond the mists which brood on the restless sea, she must journey on, buoyed up by the ever undying longing to see his face once more. There are fearful dangers to be encountered and overcome. She is surrounded by awful shapes, who blot out all brightness from the sky; but the powers of light are on her side. The beautiful clouds which sail on the pure ether will bear her up above the murky vapours, and carry her, as on swan's wings, across the mysterious vaults of heaven. Her heart is full of sadness; but the tenderness of her beauty is not lessened, and as she moves on her weary way, helpless creatures feel her kindness, and declare that their gratitude shall not end in words. She may be doomed to scale a mountain of ice, or remove heaps of enormous stones; but the winds are content to be her ministers, and their warm breath melts the ice, and drives away the massy storm-clouds. Still the malignant influence of one powerful enemy rests upon her, the influence of that witching sorceress who seeks to win for herself the love which Odysseus bears to Penelopê. But the tasks imposed upon her by her unpitying rival are at last accomplished; and as the clouds break away from the heaven, the Dawn, or the Eôs, who closes the day in our Homeric poems, sees before her the form of him whom she has sought with undaunted and untiring devotion.

In these simple phrases relating to a drama acted before us every day, we have the framework of a vast number of stories, some of which have furnished subjects for epic poems, while others have assumed strange and grotesque forms in the homely lore of popular tradition. One of the
simplest versions of the myth is found in the story of Urvasî, ${ }^{1}$ although even here the artificial influence of a growing cere-

CHAP
II. monial system is manifest. The personification of Urvasî herself is as thin as that of Eôs or Selênê. Her name is often found in the Veda as a mere name for the morning, and in the plural number it is used to denote the dawns which passing over men bring them to old age and death. Urvasî is the bright flush of light overspreading the heaven before the sun rises, and is but another form of the many mythical beings of Greek mythology whose names take us back to the same idea or the same root. As the dawn in the Vedic hymns is called Urûkî, the far-going (Têlephassa, Têlephos), so is she also Uruasî, the wide-existing or widespreading; as are Eurôpê, Euryanassa, Euryphassa, and many more of the sisters of Athênê and Aphroditê. As such she is the mother of Vasishtha, the bright being, as Oidipous is the son of Iokastê ; and although Vasishtha, like Oidipous, has become a mortal bard or sage, he is still the son of Mitra and Varuna, of night and day. Her lover, Purûravas, is the counterpart of the Hellenic Polydeukês; ${ }^{2}$ but the continuance of her union with him depends on the condition that she never sees him unclothed. But the Gandharvas, impatient of her long sojourn among mortal men, resolved to bring her back to their bright home; and Purûravas is thus led unwittingly to disregard her warning. A ewe with two lambs was tied to her couch, and the Gandharvas stole one of them. 'Urvasî said, "They take away my darling, as if I lived in a land where there is no hero and no man." They stole the second, and she upbraided her husband again. Then Purûravas looked and said, "How can that be a land without heroes or men where I am?" And naked he sprang up; he thought it was too long to put on his dress. Then the Gandharvas sent a flash of lightning, and Urvasî saw her husband maked as by daylight. Then she vanished.

[^351][^352]BOOK. "I come back," she said, and went. Then he bewailed his fulfilled, but for a moment only, at the Lotos-lake, and Purûravas in vain beseeches her to tarry longer. 'What shall I do with thy speech?' is the answer of Urvasî. 'I am gone like the first of the dawns. Purûravas, go home again. I am hard to be caught like the winds.' Her lover is in utter despair; but when he lies down to die, the heart of Urvasî was melted, and she bids him come to her on the last night of the year. For that night only he might be with her; but a son should be born to him. ${ }^{1}$ On that day he went up to the goldeu seats, and there Urvasî told him that the Gandharvas would grant him one wish, and that he must make his choice. 'Choose thou for me,' he said; and she answered, 'Say to them, Let me be one of you.' So the Gundharvas initiated Purûravas into their mysteries, and he became one of the Gandharvas.

In the story thus related in the Brâhmana of the YagurVeda we have a maiden wedded to a being on whose form her eyes may not rest, although she dwells in his house; and the terms of the compact are broken practically by herself, for although it is Purûravas who springs up, still it is Urvasî who provokes him to do so. Finally, she is impelled so to tempt him by beings who wish to obtain her treasures; and thus the element of jealousy enters into the legend. These leading ideas, of a broken pledge or violated secret, of beings jealous of her purity and happiness, and of immediate separation to be followed by reunion in the end, furnish the groundwork of a large group of stories belonging chiefly to the common lore of the people. They resolve themselves into the yet more simple notion of brief union broken by an

[^353]'Go and be happy with tho prince, my friend:
But when he views the son that thou shalt bear him,
Then hitherward direct thy prompt return.
The fated term expires, and to console His father for my loss, ho is restored.
I may no longer tarry.'
Seo the analysis of this play loy Professor Max Mïller, 'Comparative Mythology,' Chips, ii. 126.
early parting and a long absence, and this notion is the germ of the Odyssey. In the very spring-time of their joy the

CIIAP. II. chieftain of Ithaka is parted from his bride. While he is away, she has to undergo hard trial at the hands of men who seek rather her riches than herself; and even when the twenty years are over, and Odysseus sees Penelopê once more, the poet still speaks of a time soon coming when they must again be parted. Here also the myth of Purûravas is in close agreement with that of Odysseus, for he too must be again parted from his love. She who, ever young, yet making men old, can know neither age nor change, cannot avert the doom which falls alike on Phaëthôn, Memnôn, and Sarpêdôn, on Achilleus, Baldur, and Sigurd. But all have the same work to do; and if the dawn cannot save them from death, she can restore them to life, and thus through her they become immortal. Thus Purûravas, who was created especially to do battle with and to conquer the powers of darkness, addresses Urvasî as the immortal among the mortals ; and says of himself that he, as the brightest sun, holds her who spreads the sky and fills the air with light. The very rite for the sake of which the Brahmans converted the simple myth into an institutional legend, points to the true nature of Purûravas. He can become immortal only by devising the mode of kindling fire by friction; and thus like Bhuranyu and Phorôneus, Hermes and Prometheus, he falls into the ranks of those who are the first to bestow the boon of fire on man. Nor is it without significance that in the play of Kalidasa Purûravas, when first he rescues Urvasî from the beings who have carried her away, has already a wife, who, seeing her husband wasting away with love for another, makes a vow to treat with kindness the object of his love, whoever she may be. Purûravas has not indeed for his first wife the love which Kephalos is said to bear to Prokris; but here Urvasî, who hesitates not to take her rival's place, is so far the exact counterpart of Eôs, while in the first wife we have all the self-devotion which marks the beautiful daughter of Hersê.

In most of these legends the meeting and the severance The Dawn of these lovers take place by the side of the stream or $\begin{aligned} & \text { and the } \\ & \text { Waters. }\end{aligned}$

Book the water from which Aphroditê rises, and in which the II. nymphs bathe the newly-born Apollôn. It is on the river's bank that Eurydikê is bitten by the fatal snake, and Orpheus is doomed to the same weary search as Purûravas, for the love which has been lost. On the heights which overhang Peneios, Phoibos sees and chases the beautiful Daphnê, and into the blue stream the maiden plunges when she almost feels the breath of her pursuer. So again Arethousa commits herself to the waters as she flies from the huntsman Alpheios, who wins her love only when they meet again upon the shore of Ortygia, the dawn-land. The Greek river is but the Teutonic Elbe, the running stream, and in the huntsman of Mainalos we see only an image of the sun as he rests on the waters in the morning or the evening, in other words, the Frog-prince of the German legend. ${ }^{1}$ In the Sanskrit story Bhekî, the frog, is a maiden who consents to marry a ling on condition that he never shows her a drop of water. 'One day being tired, she asked the king for water; the king forgot his promise, brought water, and Bhelkî disappeared.' ${ }^{2}$ As in the story of Urvasî
${ }^{1}$ In the mythology of Assyria, Bhekî, or tho frog-sun is represented by the fish-sun, who, as Berosus says, rose up from the sea each morning, and plunged into it every evening. Mr. Gould remarks (Curious Myths, socond series, 231) that 'his semipiscine form was an expression of the idea, that half lis time was spent above ground, and half below the waves.' Thisfish-god is, like thoAryan Protens, or Helios, the possessor of a mysterious wisdom of which, under certain conditions, he will make human beings partakers. As Oannes, or Dag-on, the fish On , he is the great teachor of tho Babylonians, and his name is seen in the Hebrew Bethaon (Bethaven), which is translated by Bethshemesh, tho houso of the Sun. He is horned as Mr. Gould remarks, like all other sun and moon deities, the moon goddess of tho Syrians being Derketo, Atergatis, the mother of Semiramis, in whose story again we have the elements of many Aryan myths. See note 1, p. 223. Like Cyrus and Romulus, Semiramis is brought up by a shopherd, and her beauty attracts the attention of a general, whose name is, of course,

Onnes. But she is wooed also by Ninos, and thereupon Onnes slays himself. After a life full of marrels she wings her way to hoaven in the form of a dove, as Romulus vanishes in the storm cloud, and Aincias disappears in the waters of the Numician stream.

The fish-sun is seen in that portion of the so-called Homeric hymn which speaks of Apollôn as plunging into the sea, and in the form of a dolphin guiding the ship of the Kretan mariners to Krisa. On coming out of the water, he reassumes, like Proteus, a human form. Mr. Gould states that, among North American Indians, a story is told that they were guided to their Western home by a man or fish who kept close to the boat, until it reached the American coast.
${ }^{2}$ Max Müller, Chips, ii. 248. This is the germ of the beautiful story of Undine, as told by Fouqué. She, like Daphnế, is the daughter of the stream; and the condition imposed upon her husband is, that he is never to speak angrily to her when on or near any water. 'If you should, my kinsfolk would regain their right cver me. They
the husband is the actual delinquent, but he is hurried into the fatal act by the words of his wife. If instead of the promise not to show her water we substitute a pledge that the lover shall not look upon his bride while she is bathing, the myth remains essentially the same; and in this form we see at once the germ of the story of Melusina. If Mr. Gould is right in connecting this name with that of the Babylonian moon-goddess Mylitta, we have an instance of an imported title parallel to those of the Semitic Melkarth and Adonai, the Hellenised Melikertes and Adonis. Melusina is found by Count Raymund, as Daphnê is found by Apollôn, near running water, and like Bhekî or Urvasî, she readily consents to marry her human lover on the condition that he shall never attempt to see her on one day of each week. When at length the promise is broken, Raymund sees his beautiful wife in the water, the lower portion of her body being now in the form of a fish. But Melusina did not know that her husband had thus seen her, and, as in Fouqué's story of Undine, the catastrophe comes only when Raymund calls her a serpent and bids her depart from his house. ${ }^{1}$
would tear me from you in their fury, because they would conceive that one of their race was injured; and I should be compelled, as long as I lived, to dwell below in the crystal palaces and never dare ascend to you again; or should they send me up to you, that would be far worse still.' If he is false to hor, she can reappear only to kiss him to death. Solenê can look upou Endymiôn only when he is just plunging into his droamless sleep. The tale so exquisitely told lyy Fonqué was derived by him from. the Tireatise of Elemental Spoirits by Theophrastus Paracelsus. The leading feature of his story is the acquisition of a human soul by Undino on lier marriage with the knight Huldbrand. Mr. Gould cites a Canadian story of an Ottawa chicf, who, whilst sitting by the waterside, sees arising from the tlood a beautiful woman, who prays him to suffer her to live on earth, as she sought to win a luman soul, and could do this only by marriage with a mortal. 'Heconsented and took her to his own house, where sho was to him as a daughter. Seven years after, an Andirondak youth be-
held and loved her. He took her to wife, and she obtained that which she had desired, a human soul. --Curions Myths, second series, 238. It is possible that this story may be an importation from Europo: but wo may ask for some conclusive ovidence of the fact, when we find the legend of Pandora's box among the Indians of Labrador. Jesuit missionaries may have imparted much to their converts, but it is not likoly that they instructed their hearers in the mythical fancios of pagan Greeks.Hinds, Explorations in Latrador, i. 61.
${ }^{1}$ For other versions and variations of this story see Gould, Curions: Myths, 'Melusina.' Tho samo myth is introduced by Sir Waltor Scott in his romance of Anne of Geierstein (ch. xi.), whose mother's life depends on a brilliant opal which must not be touched with water. This gem, like many others, is sympathetic. It is, in short, the fatal brand of Meleagros. See also Scott, Border Minstrelsy, introduction to Ballad of Tamlane.

The idea of ugliness or unseemliness would naturally come to be connected

CHAP. II.

BOOK
II.

Erôs and Psychê.

The idea, common to all these tales, of beings who though united in the closest love may not look upon each other, is but little modified in the story of Erôs and Psychê. The version given by Appuleius is commonly spoken of as an allegory. It deserves the name as much and as little as the Odyssey. Here, as in the tales already referred to, no liquid must come near the mysterious being to whom the love of the mortal husband or wife is given. The old phrase that the sum must die at the sight of water, ${ }^{1}$ has retained its hold on the storytellers of all the Aryan nations; but the version of Appuleius assigns reasons where the earlier Sanskrit myth is content to relate incidents. If like Urvasî Psychê brings about her own punishment, she does so because she is under a doom laid on her by Venus. But Venus is Aphroditê Anadyomenê, the mother, the wife, or the child of the sun; and the notion that the love of the sun for another must excite her jealousy and anger was one which must sooner or later be imported into the myth. With its introduction the framework of the story was completed; and so the tale ran that Venus charged her son to fill Psychê with the madness which made Titania fall in love with the enchanted Bottom. But Psychê, the dawn with its soft breath, is so beautiful that Erôs (Amor, Cupico) falls in love with her himself and taking her to a secret cave (the cave of Diktê or of Lylktos), visits her as Purûravas comes to Urvasî. Stirred up by Venus, her sisters tell Psychê that she is wedded to a hideous monster, and at length her
with Bhekî or the Frog. Hence the king's daughter in the Gorman story of the Frog Prince shows no special fancy for the little creature which brings up for her the golden ball (the sun's orb) from the bottom of the well. The ugliness of Bhekî serves to give point to tho beautiful Gaelic legend of Nighean Righ Fo Thuinn, Campbell, Tales of the West Highlands, iii. 404. The maidon (Aphroditê) is not, indeed, here described as a frog; but sho is a 'strange looking ugly creature' with her hair down to her heels, who in rain intreats Fionn and Oisean (Finn and. Ossian) to let her come to their fire. Diarmaid, who scruples not to say how hideous
ho thinks her, is more merciful; but the Loathly Lady (for it is the same myth) becomes as exacting as the little Trog in Grimm's story. She has not been long at the fire when she insists on coming under Diarmid's plaid. He turns a fold of it between them; and presently ho finds by his side 'tho most beantiful woman that man ever saw.' She is the Dawn-maiden, and she raises for his dwelling that palaco of the sun which the Arabian sturyteller delights to describe in the tale of Allah-ud-deen. The same being appears as the 'foul wight' in Chaucer's tale of the Wife of Bath, Keightley, Fairy Mythology, 323.
${ }^{1}$ Max Müller, C'hips, ii. 248.
curiosity is so roused that, taking a lamp, she gazes upon her lover and beholds before her the perfection of beauty.

Chap. II.
$\qquad$ But a drop of oil falls from her lamp on the sleeping god, and the brief happiness of Psychê is ended. She is left desolate like Purûravas, and like him she must go in search of her lost love. Eôs has looked on Helios, and he has plunged beneath the sea. If she seek him, it must be through the weary hours of the night, amidst many perils and at the cost of vast labour. In every temple Psychê looks for her lover until at last she reaches the dwelling of Venus, under whose spell he lies like Odysseus in the home of Kirkê or Kalypsô. At her bidding she accomplishes some hard and degrading tasks, under which she must have died but for the love of Erôs, who, though invisible, still consoled and cheered her. By his aid she at last made her peace with Venus, and becoming immortal, was united with her lover for ever. Of all these incidents not one has been invented by Appuleius; and all that can be said is that he has weakened rather than strengthened the beauty of the myth by adapting it to the taste of a thoroughly artificial age. Having taken up a story which had not yet been brought within the charmed circle of epic or lyric poetry, he has received credit for an originality to which the familiar tale of Beauty and the Beast, with which it is substantially identical, may lay an equal claim. ${ }^{1}$

The idea which underlies these tales runs through a large class of legends, which carry us into almost every Aryan land and make the hypothesis of conscious borrowing or importation as perilous as we have seen it to be in the story

[^354]
## Tho search

 of the Dawn for the Sun.Book of the Master Thief. In almost all these legends the youngest
II. and most beautiful of three (sometimes of twelve) daughters is married or given up to some unsightly being or monster, or to some one whom she is led to suppose hideous or repulsive. In some instances, as in the common English nursery tale, the enchantment is ended when the maiden confesses her love for the disguised being in his unsightly shape $:{ }^{1}$ in the version which Appuleius followed, the maiden has a lover who is marvellously beautiful, but whose beauty she has never seen. In all cases, however, there are jealous sisters or a jealous mother who insist that the lover is hideous, and incite her to look upon him while he is asleep. Thus goaded on, she disregards the warnings in each case given that such curiosity cannot be indulged without causing grievous disaster, and in each case the sleeping lover is awakened by a drop of oil or tallow from the torch or lamp in the maiden's hand, and instantly vanishes or is transformed, generally into a bird which tells her that she must wander in search of him through many weary years, and do the bidding of some harsh mistress into whose power her fatal curiosity has brought her. In some versions, as in that of Appuleius, this mistress is the mother of the lost lover. ${ }^{2}$ Then follow the years of wandering and toil, which can be brought to an end only by the achievement of tasks, generally three in number, each utterly beyond human powers. In these tasks the maiden is aided by brute creatures whom she has befriended in their moment of need, and who perform for her that which she could not possibly accomplish herself. The completion of the ordeal is followed by the happy union of the maiden with her lover.

It is scarcely necessary to say that there is perhaps no

The Search of the Sun for the Dawn.

[^355]are seen again in tho story of Hansel and Grethel. These two come in the end to a pond (Hellespontos); but the maiden who represents Helle is moro fortunate than the daughter of Athumas. In tho Gaelic story of The Chest, Campboll, ii. 4, she disguises herself as a gillie in order to search for her lost lover. This story contains also the myth of the judgment of Portia in the Merchant of Vonice, ib. 6, 13.
one feature in these stories which does not reappear in the tales told of Boots, or the youngest son, in his search for the enchanted princess who has been torn away from him, or whom after a long toil he is to win as his bride. It could not be otherwise, when the stories turn in the one case on the search of the dawn for the sun, in the other on the search of the sun for the dawn. As we might expect in popular tales, the images drawn from myths of the day and night are mingled with notions supplied by myths of summer and winter. The search is always in comparative gloom or in darkness. ${ }^{1}$ Either it is Odysseus journeying homeward among grievous perils, clad in beggar's raiment, or it is Orpheus seeking Eurydilê in the awful regions of Hades. The toil or the battle which precedes the victory is common to all the traditions, whether epical or popular; but in the wildest forms of Aryan folk-lore the machinery of the most complicated tales can be broken up into its original parts. In northern countries especially, the powers of frost, snow, and cold, must be conquered before Phoibos can really win Daphnê, or Psychê recover Erôs. Hence there are mountains of glass (glaciers) to be scaled, huge castles of ice to be thrown down, or myriads of icebergs or boulders to be removed. In these tasks the youth or the maiden is aided by bears, wolves, or foxes, by ducks, swans, eagles, or by ants, the Myrmidons of Achilleus; but all these are names under which the old mythical language spoke of the clouds or the winds, or of the light which conquers the darkness. The bear appears in the myth of the seven shiners as well as in that of Arkas and Kallistô, the wolf in the stories of Phoibos Lykeios, of Lykâôn, and the Myrmidons. The clouds assume the forms of eagles and swans alike in Eastern or Western traditions. The eagles bear Sûrya Bai on their

[^356][^357]Bonk wings through the heaven, and the swans, or white cirri clouds, are seen in all the stories which tell of Swan maidens and the knights who woo and win them. ${ }^{1}$ These creatures, who are as devoted to the youth or the maiden as the Myrmidons are to Achilleus, speedily remove the mighty heaps of grain, stones, or ice, and leave the battle-ground clear for their joyous meeting. In the German story of the White Snake, the flesh of which, like the serpents of Iamos and 'the heart of Hogni in the Volsung tale, imparts to him who eats it a knowledge of the language of birds, the labour falls on the lover, while the maiden plays the part of Aphroditê in the legend of Psychê. The animals here befriended by the trusty servant, who is Erôs, or Boots, or Odysseus, or a thousand others, are fishes, ants, and ravens-names which carry us to the fish or frog sun, to the Myrmidons and the clouds; and the tasks are the recovery of a ring, ${ }^{2}$ the picking-up of some bags of millet seed, and the finding of the apple of life (the sun's orb). The first is accomplished by the fishes, one of which, as in the story of Polykrates, brings the ring in its mouth, the second by the ants, and the third by the ravens. myths.

That these tales, of which the most familiar type for English children is that of Beauty and the Beast, have been borrowed directly from the apologue of Appuleius, no one probably will venture to maintain. With as little likelihood can it be said that they were suggested by the Vedic myth of Urvasî and Purûravas. Their relationship to the latter is precisely that of the Latin and Greek dialects to the ancient Sanskrit; and thus they must be placed in the class of organic myths. They spring up on all soils from the seed which the Aryan tribes carried away with them when they left their common home, and every variation may therefore be noted as exhibiting the power of growth inherent in the old mythical ideas. In few cases is there even a plausible ground for saying that any one tale is copied or consciously adopted from another; in none is there any necessity for the assumption. The Teutonic nurse was as little conscious

[^358]that the Frog Prince and Boots were one and the same person, as the grandams of the Punjâb were that Bhekî was but another form of Urvasî. As an example of the measure in which the myth, retaining still the essential idea, may become modified, we may take the tale of the Soaring Lark. ${ }^{1}$ In this story, the maiden knows that the being who, like Herakles with the lion's skin on his back, is during the day a lion is at night a man, but no ray of light must fall upon him while he is in his human shape. At her entreaty, however, he goes to the bridal feast of the elder sister, where a single ray of light streams in upon him through a chink in a door made of unseasoned wood, and the maiden entering the room finds a dove, which says that for seven years he must fly about in the world, but that at every seventh mile he will let fall a drop of blood and a feather, to guide her in her quest of him. ${ }^{2}$ At last this guidance fails her, and she asks the sun and moon to tell her whither the dove had gone. As in the tale of Dêmêtêr and Persephonê, they are unable to say: but they give her a casket and an egg which may one day be of use. She then asks aid of the North Wind, who bears her over the world until she rescues her lover, who has resumed his lion's shape, from a caterpillar who is an enchanted princess. But the latter, when disenchanted, seizes on the maiden's lover, and bears him away. The maiden follows to the place in which she hears that the wedding is to be celebrated, and then opening the casket, finds a dress which glistens like the sun and which the princess seeks to buy. But it can be given only for flesh and blood, and the maiden demands access to the bridegroom's chamber as her recompense. During the first night her lover sleeps by force of a potion, but her voice sounds in his ears like the murmuring of the wind through the fir-trees. On the next day, learning the trick, he refuses the draught, and the maiden, availing

[^359]the Pentameron of Basil, 15, 19, 44; and Hahn's Greek and Albanian Tales. A complete analysis of the fuble of Appuleius is given in Friedlaüter's Sittengeschichte Roms.
${ }^{2}$ Frore, Deccan Tales, 221.

Book herself of the gift bestowed by the moon, is reunited to him
II.
' East of the Sun and West of the Moon.'
at last. ${ }^{1}$

The Norse tale ' East of the Sun and West of the Moon,' approaches more nearly to the form of Beauty and the Beast. A white bear (we are at once reminded of the process which converted the seven shiners into seven bears) taps at a poor man's window on a cold winter night, and promises him boundless wealth, on condition that he receives his daughter as his wife. The man is willing, but the maiden flatly says nay, until, overcome by the thought of her father's poverty, she agrees to live with the beast. The bear takes her to a palace in which the rooms gleam with silver and gold; but the being who comes to her at night is a beautiful youth who never allows her to see him. The woman who acts the part of Venus in this tale is the mother, not of the lover, but of the maiden, and as she could scarcely be represented as jealous of her daughter's happiness, we are told that, while suggesting the same doubts which brought Psychê to her trouble, she warned her child not to let a drop of oil fall on her husband while she stooped to look upon him. The sequel of the story presents no features materially different from that of the Soaring Lark, except that the oil dropped from the maiden's lamp is made to bring about the catastrophe. The prince is, of course, under the power of the sorceress, who wishes to marry him, like Odysseus in the house of Kirkê or the cave of Kalypsô; but when on the wedding morning he displays a fine shirt with three drops of tallow on it, and declares that he will marry only the woman who can wash them out, the Trolls, vainly attempting the task, see the prize snatched from their hand by the maiden whom they had despised as a stranger and a beggar. ${ }^{2}$

[^360][^361]The myth passed into other forms. In every case the bonds of true love were severed; but the persons thus separated were sometimes brothers and sisters, sometimes parents and children. In the German story of the Twelve Brothers, the sister goes forth to search for the lost children in that great forest which reappears in almost all tales of Teutoinic folk-lore, the forest of the night or the winter, in which the huntsman or the king's daughter, or the two babes, or Tanhaüser or True Thomas, the prince, the tailor, or the soldier, lose their way, to fall in every instance into the hands of witches, or robbers, or magicians, sometimes malignant, sometimes merciful and almost genial. It is perhaps no exaggeration to say that under this type of solar legend (for, as turning on the presence or the absence of light and warmth, these are all solar legends), four-fifths of the folklore of northern Europe may be ranged. The inhabitants of this dark forest are the Panis, by whom the wanderers are sometimes welcomed, sometimes slain. These wanderers, or stolen youths or maidens, can be recovered only through much suffering on the part of those who seek them. In the tales of the Twelve Brothers and the Six Swans, the sister must not utter a word for seven or for six years, an incident which, in the story of the Woodcutter's Child, is changed into loss of voice, inflicted as a punishment by the angel who has charged her not to look into the thirteenth door of the palace in the land of Happiness, or in other words, into the treasure-house of Ixiôn or Tantalos. But the appetite for mythical narratives was easily gratified. Incidents repeated a thousand times, with different names and slight differences in their sequence or arrangement, never palled upon it. If Psychê has hard tasks to perform before recovering Erôs, the Greek was as well content to listen to the story of the same tasks as they are performed by Erôs before he can recover Psychê. Thus the part of the latter in the legend of Appuleius is played by the former in the German stories of

[^362][^363]
## CHAP.

 II.Tho Wanderers in the Forest.

B0OK II.

The spell of moonlight.
the White Snake and the Golden Bird, the Queen Bee, Strong Hans, the Drummer, and many others. ${ }^{1}$

The common element of all these stories is the separation of two lovers by the intervention of a third person, who is represented sometimes as the mother, more often as another lover of the youth whose heart is given to the maiden from whom he is to be parted. In the latter case, her great object is to prolong the separation for her own benefit; and we have at once the framework of the tales which relate the sojourn of Odysseus in the abodes of Kirkê and Kalypsô. Penelopê, like Psychê, is far away, and though Odysseus has not forgotten her and longs to be with her, still he cannot escape from his irlssome bondage. While the time of slumber lasts, he must tarry with the beautiful women. who seek to wean him from his early love. The myth is but the fruit of phrases which spoke of the sun as sojourning in the land of sleep, freed from all woes and cares, ${ }^{2}$ and but dimly remembering the beautiful hues of morning under the magic charm of night. Thus in Kirkê and Kalypsô alike we have the moon-goddess beneath whose spell the sun may be said to slumber, and in the palace of the one and the flashing cave of the other we see the wonderful home of Tara Bai, the Star-maiden, the Ursula or Selênê of the modern Indian tale. Girt with her zone of stars, the beautiful being who can neither grow old nor die sings the lulling song whose witching power no mortal may withstand. If she seeks for sensuous enjoyment, still her desire is not for the brutal pleasures which turn men into swine; ${ }^{3}$ but to see before her

[^364][^365]the wise chief whose glory is in all lands is a happiness for which she is ready to sacrifice all her wealth and splendour.

CHAP. II. Still her abode is full of a strange mystery. Its magnificence is not the magnificence of the open sunshine, its pleasures are not the wholesome pleasures of the outer air. If then the sun tarries in her chambers, it is because he is under a spell, because Selênê has cast her deep sleep upon Endymiôn, and Zeus has not yet sent Hermes to bid Kalypsô let Odysseus go. Thus in these Greek myths we have the germ and the groundwork of all those countless stories which speak of mortal men carried away from their homes to dwell with unseen beings beneath the earth. These beings are in each story headed by a beautiful queen, whose will it is impossible to resist. This power is prominent in the myth which tells us that Thomas the Rhymer was carried off in his youth to Fairyland, where he became possessed of vast and mysterious knowledge. At the end of seven years he was suffered to go back to the upper earth on condition of obeying the summons to return to Elfland whenever it might be given. The bidding came while Thomas was making merry with some friends in the Tower of Ercildoune. A hart and a hind, it was said, had come from the neighbouring forest and were slowly moving up the street of the village. Thomas immediately rose, left the house, and following the animals to the wood was never seen again. ${ }^{1}$ The story of Thomas is substantially identical with Chancer's Rhyme of Sir Thopas, in whom the beauty of the Fairy Queen excites the same desire which the sight of Helen awakened in the Athenian Peirithoös. ${ }^{2}$ This fairy queen sometimes assumes the form of the Echidna who for a time made Herakles

[^366]Cavargum in Catalonia, is cited by Sir Walter Scott, in his introduction to the Ballad of Tamlane, Border Minstrelsy.
${ }^{2} \mathrm{Mr}$. Prico (introduction to Warton's History of English Poetry, 49) compares tho journoy of Thomas to Elfland, in the Scotrish ballad, with Alian's story (Var. Hist. iii. 18) respecting Anostos 'the boume from which no travoller returns,' and remarks that the prophetic power acquired by the Rhymer during his sojourn with the Fuiry Queen is no novel feature in the history of
sojourn in her dwelling: but the Tailor's son of Basle in the mediæval story had the courage neither of Herakles nor of Sir Gawain, and he was so terrified by the writhing of her tail that in spite of the beauty of her face he fled after giving hèr only two of the three kisses which she had bargained for. ${ }^{1}$ Such a myth as this, it is obvious, would, if subjected to Christian influence, exhibit the fairy queen as a malignant demon who takes delight in corrupting the faith of true believers by plunging them into a horrible sensuality. Thus modified, the myth of Odysseus and Kalypsô appears as the story of Tanhaüser, whom Venus entices into her magic cave, within the Horselberg (Ercildoune) or mountain of Ursula. After a time the sensuous enjoyment of the place palls upon him as upon Odysseus, and he makes his escape to the earth with a weary load of sin upon his heart, for which he vainly seeks to obtain absolution. ${ }^{2}$ At last he comes before pope Urban IV., who tells him that his pastoral staff will put forth leaves and blossoms sooner than God should pardon him. Tanhaüser has scarcely departed when the staff is seen to bloom; but it is too late. The minnesinger cannot be found, and he re-enters the Horselberg in despair, never to leave it again. Another modification, not less obvious and more in accordance with the spirit of the medirval myth, would be that of mere sleep, and Endymion would thus become the
such fictions. 'In ono of Plutarch's tracts, De Defect. Orac. 21, a certain Cleombrotus entertains the company with an account of an Eastern traveller whose character and fortunes are still more remarkable than those of the Scottish seer. Of this man we are told that he only appeared among his fellow mortals once a year. The rest of his time was spent in the society of nymphs and demons who had granted him an unusual share of personal beauty, had rendered him proof against disease, and supplied him with a fruit which was to satisfy his hunger, and of which he partook only once a month. He was, moreover, endowed with a miraculous gift of tongues; his conversation resembled a continuous flow of verse; his knowledge was universal, and an unusual risitation of prophetic fervour enabled him to unfold the hidden secrets
of futurity.' This is practically the story of the Thrakian Kalmoxis, which Herodotos refuses to believe, iv. 94.
${ }^{1}$ Gould, Curious Myths, de., second series, 223.
${ }_{2}$ The same story is presented in the romance of Sir Launfal and the Fay Tryamour, who bestows on him the never-failing purse, and in the tale of Oberon and Huon of Bordeaux. This Oberon is the dwarf king Elberich of the Holdenbuch, who porforms to Otnit the sorvico discharged by Oberon to Huon. The story of Tanhaüser, again, is only another form of the legend of Ogier the Dane, who is Tithônos restored to a youth, which, like that of Meleagros, is to last as long as a brand which the fairy gives him remains un-consumed.-Keightley, Fairy Mythology, 34 , et seq.
type of other slumberers to whom a century was but as a day. Among such is Epimenides, who while tending

CHAP. II. sheep fell asleep one day in a cave, and did not wake until more than fifty years had passed away. But Epimenides was one of the Seven Sages, who reappear in the Seven Manes of Leicester, ${ }^{1}$ and in the Seven Champions of Christendom; and thus the idea of seven sleepers was at once suggested. This idea finds expression in the remarkable legend of the seven sleepers of Ephesus; and the number seven is further traced by Mr. Gould through other medioval stories. 'Barbarossa changes his position every seven years. Charlemagne starts in his chair at similar intervals. Olger Dansk stamps his iron mace on the floor every seven years.' To the number of these sleepers must be added Arthur who slumbers in Avallon, waiting for the time when he shall wake up to free Britain once more; Sebastian of Portugal; the three Tells of Rütli ; the priest of the Church of Hagia Sophia, who bides the day when the Turk shall be driven from Constantinople ; and Boabdil, the last of the Moorish kings of Spain, who lies spell-bound within the hill of the Alhambra in a slumber broken only on the eve of St. John, ${ }^{2}$ who himself slumbers at Ephesus.

The same mystic number is found in the seven Rishis of ancient Hindu traditions. These Rishis are the media or instruments through which the divine Veda was imparted to mankind. In its widest meaning the word was taken to denote the priestly bards who conducted the worship of the grods; but they are spoken of sometimes as the poets who compose the songs and present thern to the deities whom they celebrate, and sometimes as the mere mouthpieces of these gods. They are mortal, and yet they are united with immortals, and are rivals of the gods. But althongh the idea most promptly associated with them is that of wisdom, they are sometimes mentioned in language which carries us back to the etymological meaning of the name. With their true hymns, we are told, they caused the dawn to arise and the

[^367]The Sevon Rishis.
book sun to shine for the afflicted Vayu and Manu. ${ }^{1}$ The names II. of the Rishis are variously given, Manu with Bhrigu, Angiras and others, being sometimes reckoned among them : but of the whole number seven attained a pre-eminent dignity. With Manu, according to one version, they entered into the ark while the earth lay beneath the waters of the flood, and therein abode with him until the vessel rested on the peak called Naubandhana from the binding of the ship. In the account of this flood the Brahmana story introduces a fish which guides the ark as the Delphian Apollôn guides the vessel of the Cretan mariners to Krisa. ${ }^{2}$

The Arkshas or Shiners.

The main story connected with the Rishis has already been noticed as the result of an equivocal word. ${ }^{3}$ The notion of making bright conveyed also the idea of gladdening and cheering, and hence arkshah became a name not only for the sun, but for a hymn or song of praise, and the makers or singers of these hymns were naturally termed Rishis or gladdeners. It was not less natural that, as the Rishis or sages took a stronger hold on the imagination of the people, the seven arkshas or stars should be converted into rishis, and that the rishis should be said to have their abode in them. Among the Western Aryans, as lykos, the glistening, denoted the wolf, arktos became a name for the bear, and stood to the Sanskrit riksha in the relation of the Greek $\tau \varepsilon ́ \kappa \tau \omega \nu$, a carpenter, to takshan, and the Latin pectus, a breast, to vakshas; ${ }^{4}$ and then the seven stars were necessarily converted into seven bears, while the sages whom the Hindu placed in those shining orbs survived as the seren wise men of Hellas, to reappear under different forms, as we have already seen, elsewhere.

The Rishis and Manu.

In the name of Manu, the friend of the Rishis, we have simply and strictly man, as the measurer or the thinker. The same root has also yielded names for the moon and the month, ${ }^{5}$ while in Europe, as in Asia, there arose the idea of

[^368][^369]a man of whom they spoke as the son of heaven and earth. In India he was known as Manu Svayambhuva, the child of Svayambhu, the self-existent, or, like the Hellenic Minos, the son of Eurôpê, the dawn, as Vaivasti, the worshipper or child of Vivasvat, the sun, whose wife Saranyû, having borne the twin Asvins, the steeds or horsemen, left in her place another like herself, Savarna, who became the mother of Manu. ${ }^{1}$ But Manu is also not unfrequently called the son of Dyaus or of Brahma, just as the German tribes spoke of their ancestor Manu as the son of Tiw or Tuisco.

## Section V.-DAWN GODDESSES.

The name Ushas reappears in the Greek Eôs, and Ushas, like Eôs, is the goddess of the dawn or morning. ${ }^{2}$ The language addressed to her betokens a more distinct personality than that even of Varuna and Indra, because the worshipper in addressing her speaks always from the heart, and his words are the manifest utterances of love. She is the daughter of the heaven, who brings with her light and life and joy; she drives away pain and anguish; she is the image of undying youth, for day by day she appears in unfading beauty, although they who look upon her grow daily older and at last die. ${ }^{3}$

[^370]Ushas and Eôs.

Book 'Ushas, nourishing all, comes daily like a matron, conducting all transient (creatures) to decay.' ${ }^{1}$
' The divine and ancient Ushas, born again and again and bright with unchanging hues, wastes away the life of a mortal, like the wife of a hunter cutting up the birds.' ${ }^{2}$
'How long is it that the dawns have risen? How long will they rise?
'Those mortals who beheld the pristine Ushas dawning have passed away: to us she is now visible, and they approach who will behold her in after times. ${ }^{3}$

Like the Greek Athênê, she is pure and unsullied, the image of truth and wisdom.
' Ushas, endowed with truth, who art the sister of Bhava, the sister of Varuna, be thou hymned first of the god.'.
' Unimpeding divine rites, although wearing awray the ages of mankind, the Dawn shines the likeness of the mornings that have passed, or that are to be for ever, the first of those that are to come.' ${ }^{4}$

In all this, although it determines the source of later myths beyond all possibility of question, there is little or no mythology ; and we have advanced scarcely more than halfway on the road to a full-formed myth even when we read that 'the night, her sister, prepares a birth-place for her elder sister (the day), and having made it known to her departs;'s that the night and dawn ' of various complexions, repeatedly born but ever youthful, have traversed in their revolutions alternately from a remote period earth and heaven -night with her dark, dawn with her luminous limbs, ${ }^{6}$ or that 'of all the sisters who have gone before a successor daily follows the one that has preceded.' ${ }^{7}$ It is this very transparency of meaning which imparts value to almost every expression of praise in the hymns addressed to her.
'She shines upon us like a young wife, rousing every living being to go to his work. The fire had to be kindled by men: she brought light by striking down darkness.
${ }^{1}$ H. H. Wilson, R. V. Sanhitu, i. 129.
2 Ib. i. $274 . \quad$ I $l$. i. 298.
${ }^{4} I b$. ii. $8,10 . \quad{ }^{5}$ Ib. ii. 12.
${ }^{6}$ Ib. i. 169.
7 lh . ii. 12. The idea of Ushas as bringing to an end the days which
spring from her is closely allied to the myth of Kronos, and seems to lie at the rot of the many popular German and Norse stories, in which the bride of the king is accused of being a murderess who destroys hor own children.
'She rose up, spreading far and wide, and moving towards every one she grew in brightness, wearing her brilliant garment. The mother of the cows, the leader of the dcgs, she shone gold-coloured, lovely to behold.
'She, the fortunate, who brings the eye of the god, who leads the white and lovely steed (of the sun), the Dawn was seen revealed by her rays, with brilliant treasures she follows every one.
'Thou, who art a blessing when thou art near, drive far away the unfriendly; make the pastures wide, give us safety. Remove the haters, bring treasures. Raise up wealth to the worshipper, thou mighty Dawn.
'Shine for us with thy best rays, thou bright Dawn, thou who lengthenest our life, thou the love of all, who givest us food, who givest us wealth in cows, horses, and chariots.' ${ }^{1}$

The hymns speak especially of the broad-spreading light of Ushas; and this flush of dawn suddenly passing across the heaven takes us at once to the many names of like meaning which belong to the Hellenic solar beings. She 'shines wide ' (Urvasî), like Euryphassa and Eurydikê, Jike Euryganeia, Eurynomê, and Eurôpê. As the daughter of Dyaus, who chases away the darkness of the night, she goes before Indra, Savitar, and Sûrya. She reveals mysteries and opens the ends of heaven, where the Panis had hidden away the cows of which she is the mother. She tells the Angiras where they are to be found, and as she lightens the sky she is said to drive her own herds to their pastures. She is sent especially to awaken men; but she is charged to let the Panis (the dark powers) sleep. She is the beloved of all men and the darling of the god of love, Aniruddha, the resistless, ${ }^{2}$ who thus receives the name Ushâpati, lord of the dawn; and finally, we have in Ushas the germ of the idea which found its most graceful expression in the Hellenic Athênê, and its most majestic developement in the Latin Minerva. The Sanskrit budh means both to wake and to know, and vayuna has the double meaning of light and knowledge, just as the notions of knowledge and of creative power are both expressed by the root jan and the English
${ }^{1}$ R. $V$. vii. 77.
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Ushas the broadspreading.
bonk can and ken. Hence Ushas is said to enable men to cross the frontier of darkness, and, as the seer, to give light far and wide. 'Waking every mortal to walk about, she receives praise from every thinker.' Thus, as the Day, she is the mother of the Divine Night, who reveals all her splendour after she has driven away her sister the Twilight. ${ }^{1}$ Of the birth of Athênê fully armed from the head of Zeus, when cloven by the axe of Hephaistos, the poets of the Iliad and Odyssey say nothing; but the presence of the story in the Hesiodic Theogony is a conclusive argument against any inference which might be drawn from their silence, even if Ushas were not, as she actually is, spoken of in the Veda as sprung from the forehead of Dyaus, the sky. ${ }^{2}$
Aluma. But Ushas is only one of many names for the light of early morning. As Ahanâ, she plays the part as well as bears the name of Athênê and of Daphnê. The word expresses the idea of burning light; and although it occurs only once in the Rig Veda, ${ }^{3}$ the flexibility of the old mythology justifies us in attributing to Ahana all that is told us of Ushas or of Saramâ. ${ }^{4}$ If then we apply to Dahanâ the phrases which spoke of Ushas as pursued by the Sun, who slays though he loves her, or as dying in his arms, we see at once an offshoot from the parent stem which in the West yielded the myths of Daphuê and of Prokris. Daphnê too is loved by Phoibos, and, like Ahanâ, she flies from his face until she takes refuge in the Peneian stream. But in some passages of the Veda the idea of her might remains too prominent to allow much room for that of love.
'This strong and manly deed also thou hast performed, 0 Indra, that thou struckest the daughter of Dyaus, a woman difficult to vanquish.

[^371]second series, 503.
$s$ 'Ahana comes near to every house, she who makes every day to be known.
' Dyotana (the dawn), the active maiden, comes back for overmore; she enjoys always the first of all goods.'IT. V. i. 123, 4.

4 'Athênê, as far as lotters go, would correspond to a Sanskrit Ahanâ, which is but a slightly differing raciety of Ahanâ.'-Max Müller, Lectures, second serios, 503.
'Yes, even the daughter of Dyaus, the magnified, the Dawn, thou, O Indra, a great hero, hast ground to pieces.

CHAP.
II.
' The Dawn rushed off from her.crushed car, fearing that Indra, the bull, might strike her.

- This her car lay there, well ground to pieces. She went far away.' ${ }^{1}$

More commonly, however, she is beloved by all the gods, and the Asvins bear her away triumphant in her chariot.

But it is to the phrases which speak of the dawn under the name of Saramâ, that we must look for the germ of the great epics of the western Aryans. It is indeed only the germ, and no fancy can be more thoroughly groundless than that which would regard the Hellenic representative of Saramâ as derived from the dawn-goddess of the Hindu. Identity of names and of attributes can prove nothing more than the affinity of legends, which, as differing not only in local colour but also in the form of thought, must point to some common source in a past yet more remote. Whatever may be the precise meaning of the name, whether Saramâ or Saranyû be talken to denote the storm-cloud or the morning, there is no doubt that the root of the word is sar, to creep or go, which we find in serpent as well as in the Greek Erinys and Sarpêdôn. In the Rig Veda, Suramâ is especially the guardian of the cows of Indra, and as his messenger she goes to the Paṇis, who have stolen them away. She, too, like Ushas, is said to be the first to spy out the cleft in the rock where the Panis, like Cacus, had hid the plundered cattle, and, like Herakles, she is the first to hear their lowings. Like Ushas also, she walks in a straight path : but when she comes to the stronghold of the Panis, a conference follows in which we see ummistalkably the dawn peering about through the slky in search of the bright clouds, and restoring them in all their brilliance and beauty to the broad pastures of the heaven.
'The Panis said, "With what intention did Saramâ reach this place? for the way is far, and leads tortuously away. What was your wish with us? How was the night? How did you cross the waters of the Râsa?"

[^372]'The Panis: "What kind of man is Indra, O Saramâ, what is his look, he as whose messenger thou comest from afar? Let him come hither, and we will make friends with lim, and then he may be the cowherd of our cows."
'Saramâ: "I do not know that he is to be subdued, for it is he himself that subdues, he as whose messenger I came hither from afar. Deep streams do not overwhelm him; you, Paṇis, will lie prostrate, killed by Indra."
'The Paṇis: "Those cows, O Saramâ, which thou desirest, fly about the ends of the sky, O darling. Who would give them up to thee without fighting? for our weapons too are sharp."
'Saramâ: "Though your words, O Panis, be unconquerable, though your wretched bodies be arrowproof, though the way to you be hard to go, Brihaspati will not bless you for either."
'The Panis: "That store, O Saramâ, is fastened to the rock, furnished with cows, horses, and treasures. Panis watch it who are good watchers; thou art come in vain to this bright place."
'Saramá: "Let the Rishis come here fired with Soma, Ahasya (Indra), and the ninefold Angiras: they will divide the stable of cows; then the Panis will vomit out this speech."
'The Pañis: "Even thus, O Saramâ, thou art come hither, driven by the violence of the gods; let us make thee our sister, do not go away again; we will give thee part of the cows, O darling."
'Saramâ: "I know nothing of brotherhood or sisterhood: Indra knows it, and the awful Angiras. They seemed to me anxious for their cows when I came : therefore get away from here, 0 Paṇis, far away.
" Go far away, Paṇis, far away; let the cows come out straight, the cows which Brihaspati found hid away, Soma, the stones, and the wise Rishis." ${ }^{1}$

The coms of Indra.

This hymn, seemingly so transparent in its meaning, becomes unintelligible if interpreted of any other being than

[^373]book denied that she had seen the cows. On this Indra kicked II. her, and the milk which she vomited up gave the lie to her words. Here, then, we have in its germ the faithlessness of the Spartan Helen, who in name as in her act is Saramâ, ${ }^{1}$ and who speaks of herself as the dog-eyed or dog-faced, although by none else is the name ever applied to her. Thus the Greek carried away with him the root of the great Trojan epic from the time when he parted from his ancient kinsfolk, he to find his way to his bright Hellenic home, they to take up their abode in the land of the seven streams. For him, Helen and Paris, Brisêis and Achilleus were already in existence. For him Phoibos already dwelt in Delos, and Sarpêdôn ruled in the land of the golden river. So, again, it makes but little difference whether the Sarameya, sometimes but rarely mentioned in the Rig Veda, be definitely the son of Saramâ, or whether the word remained a mere epithet for any one of the gods who might denote the morning. The name itself is etymologically identical with that of Hermes; and the fact that he is addressed as the watchdog of the house ${ }^{2}$ may have led to the notion which made him in later times the hound which served as the messenger of the gods, and which in the story of Prokris reappears at the feet of Artemis. ${ }^{3}$
Saranyû. Another name from the same root which has furnished

[^374]> Why dost thou distress us? Sleep sleep.'-Lectures on Language, second series, 473 .
${ }^{3}$ This dog of the morning is prominent in the Norse tale of Mushy Bride. While the hero lies in a pit full of snakes (Helios in the land of the throttling serpent), a lovely lady (Ushas or Sarama) comes into the palace kitchen-tho connection, as with Boots or Cinderella among the ashes, lying in the fire of the hearth or oven-and asks the kitchen-maid for a brush. "Then she brushed her hair, and as she brushed down dropped gold. A little dog was at her heel, and to him she said "liun out littlo Flo, and see if it will soon be day." This she said three times, and the third time that she sent the dog it was just about tho time the dawn legins to peep.' The old myth could not be retained with greater fidelity.
those of Saramâ, Helen, Hermes, and Sarpêdôn, is found in Saranyû (a feminine of Saranyu), in whom some discern the dark and impetuous stormcloud. ${ }^{1}$ The phrases employed when the poet addresses her all seem to point in another direction. Like Ushas, she is spoken of as the mare, and as the mother of twins. The male Saranyu is in like manner called a horse, and the goddess herself is the mother of the twins Yama and Yami, and again of Nasatya and Dasra, the twin Asvins or steeds, who represent the Dioskouroi. The persons with whom this dualism connects her indicate at once her real nature, and with Saranyu she takes her place by the side of the two Ahans or Dawns, of Indrâ, the two Indras, of Dyavâ, the double Dyaus, of Ushasau, the two mornings, of Agni, the two Agnis, of Varunâ, the two Varunas.

But as Saramâ is Helen, so Saranyû is Erinys; and here Erinys. too the seed, which in the East sprang up only to wither away, shot up in the West to a portentous growth. It was certainly no Euphemism which spoke of the Erinyes as the gentle beings or Eumenides, and there was no incongruity in giving the name to the Dawn-mother Dêmêtêr. ${ }^{2}$ Hence in spite of all the failure of memory, and of the fearful character which Erinys had assumed, the poet who tells the terrible tale of Oidipous could not but make him die in the sacred grove of beings who, however awful to others, were always benignant to him-in groves which to the stormtossed wanderer were the Hyperborean gardens into which grief, and fear, and anguish could never enter. The change which converted the beautiful Saranyû into the avenging furies of $\not$ Ischylos has excited the wonder of some who hesitate on this account to believe that Erinys and Saranŷ̂ can come to us from a common source. It is more than probable that their scepticism arises from the notion that comparative mythologists derive the Greek from the Sanskrit deity. It is enough to say that they do not.

The change itself is one which could scarcely fail to be The

[^375]2 Professor Max Müller seems to see in Dêmettêr, not the earth, but the dawn-mother, Dyava Matar, corresponding to Dyauspitar.-Lb. 517.

Book brought about. The Harpies, who in our Homeric poems are the beautiful daughters of Thaumas and Elektra, appear in the Fineid of Virgil as foul monsters, who do the work of vultures. The Ara, or prayer of the longing heart, ${ }^{1}$ became more and more the curse which the weak uttered against their tyrants. Indra and Phoibos, who, as the sun-gods, see and hear all things, become almost more dreaded for their destructive power, than loved for their beneficence. As representing the day with its searching light, Varuna and Indra are the avengers of all iniquity; and in this sense it could not fail to be said of evil-doers that Saranyû would find them out. The old phrase survives with its clearness scarcely dimmed in the Hesiodic Theogony. Night there is the mother of Strife (Eris), and of all the evils that come of Strife ; ${ }^{2}$ but she is also the mother of righteous recompense (Nemesis). In other words, the evil deeds done in the night will receive their reward when brought to light in the day; and thus, according to Eschylos the Erinyes also are daughters of the Night, who, like the Drukhs, the Vedic Atê, track out the sins of men. It was in truth impossible that, the germ once given, its developements should fail to be modified by time and place, by power of imagination and failure of memory. The Atê of the Iliad is the spirit merely of mischievous folly, and as such, she is hurled by Zeus from Olympos, for postponing the birth of Heralkles to that of Eurystheus; the Ate of 展chylos is the sleepless doom which broods over a house until the vengeance for the shedding of innocent blood has been exacted to the uttermost farthing. There is nothing wonderful therefore in the process which changed the lovely Saranyû of the Veda into the awful goddesses ${ }^{3}$ of Athens; and if the Erinys of the lliad is called hateful, yet she wanders in the air and hears the summons addressed to her from the land of darkness. ${ }^{4}$ In the fact that at Athens there were statues only of two Erinyes, we have perhaps a memory of that early dualism which is so marked a feature in the mythology of the Veda.

But if Eôs and Zeus remained to the Greeks what Ushas

[^376]and Dyaus were to the Hindu, there were other names which seem to have been transplanted to Hellenic soil only to die.

## CHAP.

 II. Among these is Argennos in whose honour Agamemnon is said to have built a temple to Aphroditê Argynnis on the banks of Kephisos. The name in the West had no meaning: but in the Vedic Arjunî we have simply an epithet denoting the brilliance of the dawn, while in the later Hindu mythology, Arjuna comes before us as standing to Krishna in the relation of Luxman to Rama, of Phaëthôu to Helios, or of Patroklos to Achilleus.The analysis of all these myths proves convincingly that for human thought in its earliest stages the danger lay not in the poverty of language, but in its superabundant wealth. The heaven, the sun, the dawn, the clouds, might be described by a thousand names, all truthfully and vividly denoting the thing spoken of in one of its countless aspects. But the characteristic features so marked were found in more than one object. If the sun shone brightly or moved rapidly, so did the horse. If the clouds gave nourishment to the thirsty earth, so did the cows bestow a gift scarcely less necessary for man. The words which told of the one would serve also to designate the other; and so in fact we find that they did. The cow received its name as the moving animal; the horse was named from its speed, asvan, or from its colour, harit, the glistening-rohit, the brown : and all these names were of necessity applied to the sun, the dawn, and the sky, first in their strictly etymological sense, but insensibly, and by an inevitable result, in the meaning to which usage gradually confined each word. Thus, when the name asvan was reserved especially for the horse, the sun, who had been hitherto called asvan simply as speeding through the sky, now himself became the steed who hurries across the broad heaven. ${ }^{1}$ The impulse once given issued in an almost incredible wealth of metaphor. The horse as the bearer of burdens was called valni; ${ }^{2}$ but the flames also bore their burdens into the air, and the rays of the sun

[^377]vohere, the Greek ${ }^{\prime} \chi \chi \in \nu$, and in com-
pound words as cervix, the weck, as carrying the head.

Book brought his light to man. Thus the flame of fire and the solar rays, being both alike vahni, became vehement and fiery horses. So, too, the morning and the evening, the gloaming and the dawn, became, as we have seen, twin steeds-the Asvins-joined together in a mysterious bond which made it impossible to draw a line between the approach of the one and the vanishing of the other. But this step taken rendered another step necessary. The glorious being whose light wakes a sleeping world to life must be enthroned in a burning chariot, of which the rays that stream across the heaven must be the gleaming steeds; and thus the sun who had himself been Hari, the flashing, now became Indra, or Sûrya, or Savitar, whose car was drawn by the glistening Harits. Where we say that 'the sun is rising,' or that 'he is high in the heaven,' they said, 'the sun has yoked his steeds for his journey,' or that ' his horses have borne his chariot to the house of Dyaus.' But how little the name Harit had lost its original meaning, is clear from the many terms which are used in describing them. The Vedic poet knew well the differences of meaning in the words which he uttered when he spoke of them as Harits, or Rohits, or Arushis; yet under each of these names was growing up a distinct personality, and thus the Harits, whose number is given sometimes as seven, sometimes as ten, become sisters who fly on beautiful wings. ${ }^{1}$ But while even in India, the idea of loveliness was beginning to predominate over that of mere animal strength, among the Western Aryans the glistening Harits became the lovely Charites whom the Latins called the Gratix and we the Graces. Yet by the side of these fair creations of human thought, the root which yielded these names was discharging a more homely function: and the grease with which our wheels are rubbed is but another form of the names of Charis in the Iliad, and the Graces of Canova.
Arushî. Arushî, however, is only the feminine form of arvan, a horse; and the masculine arusha is a common Vedic epithet for the sun. But this name is applied to lim only at his rising. He is arusha, when ' Night goes away from her sister the

[^378]dawn, and the dark one opens the path for the bright god.' But arusha is also a child. 'The seven sisters have nursed

CHAP.
II. him, the joyful, the white one, as he was born, the Arusha with great might; as horses go to the foal that is born, so did the gods bring up his son when he was born.' ${ }^{1}$ He has the eyes of a man, and he is also Saparnas, with beautiful wings. More evidence can scarcely be needed to show that in this picture we have the Hellenic god of love, the bright and winged Erôs. But further, as Professor Max Müller has noted in his exhaustive analysis of this myth, Arusha is called the young child of Dyaus, the child of heaven, the sun of strength. He is the first of the gods, as coming at the point of the days; and of his two daughters (the SnowWhite and Rose-Red of German folk-lore), the one is clad in stars, the other is the wife of Svar, the sun. He moves swift as thought, longing for victory: he is the love or desire, Kama, of all men ; and as irresistible in his strength, he is Ushâpati, lord of the dawn. With all these phrases the mythology of the Greeks is in thorough harmony. Although, according to later poets, Erôs is a son of Zeus and of Gaia, or Aphroditê, or Artemis, we may fairly assert that in the Hesiodic theogony, as in the Veda, he is ' the first of the gods,' for with Chaos, Gaia, and Tartaros, he makes up the number of self-existent deities. Still, although appearing thus in the awful silence of a formless universe, he is the most beautiful of all the gods, and he conquers the mind and will both of gods and of men. The transition was easy to the thought of Erôs, ever bright and fair as (like Yavishtha or Hephaistos) the youngest of the gods, as the companion of the Charites, as the child of the Charis Aphroditê : and this association of Erôs and Charis brings us back to Arusha and the glistening Harits, who bear him across the wide seas of heaven. ${ }^{2}$

[^379]in them the word is an epithet of Agni, Indra, or Sûrya, remarks that this objection would apply to many other names originally intended for these conceptions, but which, nevertheless, in the course of time, become independent names of independent deities.'
book The brilliant steeds reappear in the myth of Medeia as
II. Snakes and dragons. the dragons who bear her mysterious chariot through the air. The name dragon, indeed, denotes simply any keensighted thing, and in its other form, Dorkas, is applied to a gazelle. We shall presently see that a sharp distinction is drawn between the serpent as an object of love and affection, and the snake which is regarded (whether as Ahi, Vritra, or Ahriman) with profound hatred. But the serpent-worship of the East and West is founded on the emblem of the Linga, ${ }^{1}$ and belongs to a class of ideas altogether different from those which were awakened by the struggle of darkness against the light and the sun. This darkness is everywhere described as a snake or serpent: but the names applied to Ahi and Vritra do not imply keenness of sight, and the enemy of Indra and Phoibos becomes on Hellenic soil a dragon, only because the beast had there received this as its special name. The tradition, however, survived that the steeds of the sun were also Drakontes or keen-eyed things, and thus they not only draw the chariot of Medeia, but reveal to Lamos the knowledge of things to come. These snakes who nurse the infant prophet on the violet beds are the flashing-eyed messengers of morning, not the devouring serpents of darkness who seek to slay the new-born Herakles in his cradle.

Sorcery and witchcraft.

As possessing this gift of the dragon-chariot bestowed on her by Helios, Medeia is emphatically the wise woman; and in this myth we have probably the groundwork of those notions which were finally developed into the system of sorcery and witchcraft. The knowledge of Medeia came to her from the same superhuman source with the inspiration of the Pythian priestess of Delphoi; the Latin witch derived her power from a secret compact with Hekatê. Christianity converted Phoibos and his sister into demons; and at once the Canidias of the empire were regarded as trafficking with devils for the acquisition of unlawful powers. In the transition from the idea of a wisdom which, although not naturally attainable, might be conferred on some by the bright being whose eye pierces all space, to the notion of compacts made

[^380]between witches and the devil we have a developement or corruption in close analogy with that confusion between Leukos, bright, as a general epithet, and the same word Lukos, as a special name for the wolf, from which sprung first the myth of the transformation of Lykâôn, and then probably the wide-spread superstition of Lykanthropy. ${ }^{1}$

As the wise woman, Medeia is the child of the ocean nymph Idyia, or, in another version, of Hekatê (the female correlative of Hekatos or Phoibos), who is herself the daughter of Asteria, the starlit night. Her father is Aiêtês, the Kolchian king, but he is a son of Helios who leaves to him and his descendants the magic wreath and robe by which Medeia revenges herself on Glaukê. ${ }^{2}$ This robe is, indeed, only another form of the golden fleece, the mantle of burnished cloud seen at sunrise and sunset. As such, it eats into the flesh not only of Glaukê, the fair daughter of the Corinthian Kreôn, but of Herakles himself, when his toils come to an end on mount Oita. Of her share in the victory of Iasôn at Aia, it is enough here to say that in the taming of the firebreathing bulls, and in the discomfiture of the men sprung from the dragon's teeth, she plays the part of Ariadnê and receives Ariadnê's reward. Whether faithful or treacherous, the sun can never remain with his first love, and even Odysseus, whose one longing is to return to his home, is parted from Penelopê during the weary hours which pass between sunset and sunset. But before the time of her great sorrow comes, Medeia avenges the wrongs done to Iasôn long ago at Iolkos. During her sojourn there in the house of Pelias, she persuaded his daughters to "cut up his body and boil his limbs in a cauldron, in the belief that he would thus be restored to youth. ${ }^{3}$ Medeia purposely failed to pronounce the spell at the right time, and the limbs of Pelias were consumed by the fire. Then follows her escape with Iasôn in her dragon chariot to Corinth, where his love is trans-

[^381]CHAP.
II.

The story of Medeia.

Book
ferred to Glaukê, after whose death Medeia, like Gudrun in the Volsung story, slays her own children-a crime closely resembling the slaughter of Pelops by Tantalos. Such are the chief features of the myth of Medeia, to which some added that she became the wife of Aigeus, the Athenian king, or of the Corinthian Sisyphos. Some, again, made her return with Iasôn to Kolchis, while others took her to Italy, and described her as acquiring the name Anguitia from her power of fascinating serpents. Finally, she is said to have been wedded to Achilleus in Elysion.
The involuntary departure of the sun from the dawn or his capricious desertion of her is exhibited in the myths of a long series of maidens wooed and forsaken, whether by Phoibos himself or by heroes on whose head rests his light and majesty. With the story of Korônis the mother of Asklêpios the myth of Prokris is in close accordance. Her birthplace is Athens, the city of the Dawn, and her mother is Hersê, the Dew, while her own name denotes also simply the sparkling drops. ${ }^{1}$ We are thus prepared for the myth which tells us that Kephalos, a Phokian chief, coming to Athens, won her love and plighted his faith to her. But Kephalos was loved also. by Eôs, who sought to weaken his love for Prokris with a purpose so persistent that at last she induced him to make trial of her affection. He therefore deserts Prokris, to whom after a time he returns in disguise. When in this shape he has won her love, he reveals himself, and Prokris in an agony of grief and shame flies to Crete, where she obtains from Artemis the gift of a spear which shall never miss its mark and of a hound which can never fail to seize its prey. ${ }^{2}$ With these

[^382][^383]gifts she returns to Kephalos, who after seeing her success in the chase longs to possess them. But they can be yielded

CHAP. II. only in return for his love, and thus Prokris brings home to him the wrong done to herself, and Eôs is for the time discomfited. But Prokris still fears the jealousy of Eôs and watches Kephalos as he goes forth to hunt, until, as one day she lurked among the thick bushes, the unerring dart of Artemis hurled by Kephalos brings the life of the gentle Prokris to an end. This myth explains itself. Kephalos is the head of the sun, and Kephalos loves Prokris,-in other words, the sun loves the dew. But Eôs also loves Kephalos, i.e. the dawn loves the sun, and thus at once we have the groundwork for her envy of Prokris. So again when we are told that, though Prokris breaks her faith, yet her love is still given to the same Kephalos, different though he may appear, we have here only a myth formed from phrases which told how the dew seems to reflect many suns which are yet the same sun. The gifts of Artemis are the rays which flash from each dewdrop, and which Prokris is described as being obliged to yield up to Kephalos, who slays her as unwittingly as Phoibos causes the death of Daphnê or Alpheios that of Arethousa. The spot where she dies is a thicket, in which the last dewdrops would linger before the approach of the midday heats.

The various incidents belonging to the life of Eôs are so transparent that the legend can scarcely be said to be a myth at all. Her name is, as we have seen, that of the Vedic dawn-goddess Ushas, and she is a daughter of Hyperion (the soaring sun) and of Euryphassa (the broad shining), and a sister of the sun and moon (Helios and Selênê). If Ovid calls her a child of Pallas, this is only saying again that she is the offspring of the dawn. Like Phoibos and Herakles, she has many loves; but from all she is daily parted. Every morning she leaves the couch of Tithônos, ${ }^{1}$ and drawn by the gleaming steeds Lampos and Phaëthôn, rises into heaven to announce to the gods and to mortal men the coming of the sun. In the Odyssey she closes, as she began, the day. Her love, which is given to

[^384]Eôs and Tithônus.

Book Tithônos and Kephalos is granted also to Orîon (the sun in

Hêbê and Ganymêdề.

The story of Dido and Anna. his character as the hunting and far-shooting god), whom according to one version she conveys to Delos, the bright land, but who in another is slain by the arrow of Artemis. She also carries to the home of the gods the beautiful Kleitos. Her children are born in many lands. As united with Astraios, the starry, she is the mother of Zephyros, Boreas, and Notos, the breezes or winds of morning, and of Heôsphoros, the light-bringer. Another son of Eôs is Phaëthôn, whom mythographers made the father of the luckless son of Helios, but who is really the same being with his son. Finally, she is the mother of Memnôn, the chieftain from the glistening land of the Aithiopians (Ethiopians), who falls by the spear of Antilochos, and on whose death she weeps tears of morning dew, and obtains from Zeus the boon that he shall rise again to renewed and endless life.

Another form of Eôs is the beautiful Hêbê, ever young, on whom is bestowed without any drawback the youthfulness of the maimed Hêphaistos. She is the daughter necessarily of Zeus and Hêrê. Like the Vedic Ahanâ or Ushas she can make the old young again, and she ministers to the gods the life-giving nectar and ambrosia. But Hêbê, though the bride of the deified Heralkes, or the mother of his children Alexiarês and Anikêtos, the invincible deliverers, remains little more than a name. She is Ganymêdê, the brilliant; and thus what Iris is to Hermes, that is Hêbê to Ganymêdês, the lovely Trojan jouth who is borne away on the eagle's wing to the Olympian heaven, where he also became the immortal cup-bearer of the gods. Thus in both alike we see the morning light carried up into heaven on the wings of the sunlit cloud.

The same story of unrequited love which has been embodied in the myths of Ariadnê and Medeia, of Selênê and Echo, meets us again in the legends which the Latin poets modified to suit their own traditions, or their prejudices and fancies. But although Virgil has chosen to mix up the story of Dido with that of Æneas (Aineias), he has introduced into it little or nothing which is not found in the myth as related by Justin. In fact, the story of Aphroditê
or Daphnê is twice told in the life of Dido, for the Sichæus or Acerbas whose death she bewails is the Adonis who, like

CHAP II. Sichæus, is slain by the dark being or power of night. As the Paṇis look greedily on the cattle of Indra, Pygmalion covets the vast treasures which Sichæus possesses with Tantalos, Sisyphos, Helen and Brynhild, or Ixîon; and thus is the husband of Dido murdered, her first and, according to the version of Justin, her only love, and his wealth is in the hands of his destroyer. But the idea of dwelling with Pygmalion is as hateful to her as Paris became to the Helen whom he had stolen with her treasures. As faithful to the memory of her lost love as is Saramâ to Indra, Dido pretends to listen to the traitor, while she makes ready for flight. In her new home another suitor appears in the Libyan Hiarbas, who repeats the importunities of the Ithakan suitors, until Dido, wearied out, promises to do as he wishes; but having made a huge pile for the offering of a hecatomb, she slays herself upon it, declaring that now she is going, as her people and the Libyans desired it, to her husband. The version of Virgil differs from this in little more than a name. 巴neas is only another form of the bright being with whom Dido would willingly have dwelt for ever; but he is the sun-god who cannot pause to bestow on her his love, or who must hasten away after a brief mockery of gladness. In the former case, the myth answers to the legends of Adonis, Endymiôn, or Narkissos; in the latter the desertion of Dido is but the desertion of Prokris, Ariadnê, or Korônis; and the Tyrian Elissa dies, like Herakles, amid the flames of a fiery sunset. The same story is repeated yet again in the myth of Anna, the sister of Dido, whom Latin tradition identified with the goddess Anna Perenna. ${ }^{1}$ After her sister's death Anna follows Æneas to

[^385]purna, who is described by Paterson as 'of ruddy complexion, her robo of various colours, a crescent on her forehead: She grives subsistence; she is bent by the weight of her full breasts, all good is united in her.' In short, she is a deity who, in Colebrooke's words 'fills with food, and is very similar to Lakshmi, or the goddess of abundance, although not the samo deity.

BOOK Italy where, though she is kindly received by him, she finds
II. in Lavinia a Prokris, whom she, like Eôs, must regard with deadly jealousy. But her arms are turned not upon her rival but upon herself; and the second woman who has lavished her affections on Æneas casts herself into the same Numician stream in which Æneas afterwards disappears from the sight of men. The same repetitions mark the story of Eneas, who, although fighting (reluctantly, as some versions have it,) on the side of the thief who steals Helen, is yet a being like the Lykian Sarpêdôn or the Aithiopian Memnôn. Like them, he is the child not of a mortal mother, but of the brilliant goddess of the dawn, and in the Trojan army he plays the part of Achilleus in the Achaian host. Like the son of Thetis, he is the possessor of immortal horses, and like him he is at feud with the king, for Priam fails to do him honour, as Agamemnon heaps disgrace on Achilleus. From the flames of the ruined Mion he escapes bearing on his shoulders his father Anchises, the aged man who, while yet he had the youth and beauty of Tithônos, had been the darling of Aphroditê. His wife Creusa (Kreiousa, a name answering to that of Euryanassa, the wide-ruling, and being simply the feminine form of Kreôn or Kreiôn), comes behind him. like the twilight following the sun who is hastening on into the land of night. But the twilight must vanish before the sun can be seen again, and Creusa dies or disappears, like Hellê,-the converse of the myth of Hêrô and Leiandros (Leander). But 巴neas like Herakles has other loves before him ; and the fortunes of Dido and Anna are brought before us again in the legend of the Italian Lavinia. She too is the bright Helen for whom kings and nations are ready to fight and die; but although 狌neas wins her, there remain yet other dangers and other enemies, and in the final strife with the Rutulians the dawn-child vanishes in the stream of Numicius, as Arethousa and Daphnê plunge into the waters from which Anadyomenê comes up in the morning. The true feeling of the people who recounted

The title Apna, in which we see the root ap (aqua), points to nourishment by water, while the name Purna comes

[^386]this myth is shown in the title which they accorded to him. Henceforth he is Jupiter Indiges, the father from whom they spring, and who bestows upon them all that makes life worth living for.

The same story of disastrous love is presented under other names in the legend of Leiandros (Leander), a myth which exhibits the sun as plunging through the waters to reach the beautiful morning, who holds out her gleaming light in the east; for Hêrô (whose name is identical with that of Hêrê) is the priestess of the dawn-goddess Aphroditê, and the road which separates her lover from herself is the Hellespontos, the Lykabas or path of light, the track of Hellê the dawnmaiden. Hêrô, again, dwells in the eastern Lesbos, while Leiandros has his home in Abydos. He is thus the Phoibos Delphinios, the fish or frog-sun, who dies in the furious storm ; and through grief for her lost love Hêrô casts herself into the waters, like Kephalos from the Leukadian cliff after the death of Prokris.

Not less sad than that of Prokris or of Dido is the lot of Iolê, Iokastê, Aithra, Augê, Danaê, or Ariadnê. In the first two of these forsaken wives or desolate mothers we see the violet tints of morning, which reappear in Iamos, Iolâos, and Iasôn. From Herakles, Iolê is parted almost at the moment when she meets him. Her beautiful form is seeu near his funeral pile, as the violet-tinted clouds may be seen among the flaming vapours lit up in a blood-red sunset; and as the blaze of the fire which consumes the body of Herakles rises to the heavens, she is left alone in her sorrow to vanish before the cheerless gloaming. The fate of Iokastê had for the Greeks of the age of Perikles a more terrible significance. She is not only the mother of Oidipous, but his wife. As his mother, she had been tortured by seeing her child torn from her arms, to be cast away on Mount Kithairôn; and the shame of finding herself his wife after his victory over the Sphinx drives her to end her misery with her own hands. ${ }^{1}$ According to the version of Hyginus, the life of Aithra (the pure air), the mother of Theseus, had

[^387]Book the same end. Long ago she had been loved by Bellerophôn; but when he was driven from Corinth, she became the wife of the Athenian Aigeus, who left her with the infant Theseus at Troizen, having, like the father of Sigurd, placed his invincible weapons under a large stone, that his son might become possessed of them only when he had reached his full strength. Later still, the Dioskouroi, it is said, carried her away to Sparta, where she became the slave of Helen, and whence with Helen she was taken to Troy, to be brought back again through the prayers of her grandson Demophon. By the same hard fate, Augê, the (brilliant) daughter of Neaira, who, as the early morning, reappears as the mother of the nymphs Phaethousa and Lampetiê in the Odyssey, no sooner becomes the mother of Têlephos (the being who shines from far) than she is deprived of her child, who is exposed on Mount Parthenion. The story of Ariadnê exhibits much the same outlines. She is the daughter of Minos, the son of Zeus, and the all-brilliant Pasiphaê, who is the mother of the Minotauros, as the bright Hêrê is the mother of Typhâôn. In the slaughter of this monster she has a share corresponding to that of Medeia in the conquest of the bulls and the dragon-sprung men; like Medeia, she accompanies the conqueror, and like her she is deserted by him. Ariadnê then either slays herself, like Iokastê and Augê, Dido or Anna, or becomes the wife of Dionysos, who places her among the stars. In substance this is also the story of the Argive Danaê, who is shut up by her father Akrisios in a brazen dungeon, ${ }^{1}$ which Zeus enters in the form of a golden shower, as the light of morning pierces the dark chambers of the night. She thus becomes the mother of Perseus; but, as in the case of Oidipous, the oracle had foretold that if she had a son, he would become the slayer of her father Akrisios, and Akrisios, anxious like Laios to preserve his own life, placed Danaê and her child in a chest, as according to one version Oidipous also was placed and borne away to Brasiai. The story of her sojourn in the house of Polydelktês at Seriphos, of his persecutions and the more benignant treatment of his brother Diktys, of her rescue on the return of her son, and her restor-

[^388]ation to her native land, belongs rather to the mythical history of Perseus. The myth of Andromeda, the beautiful daughter of the Aithiopian king Kepheus, is less gloomy; but although her woes seem to end with her deliverance from the dragon, she had up to that time had her full share of sorrow. Her mother Kassiopeia had, like Niobê, boasted that her child was more beautiful even than the daughters of Nereus, who prayed to Poseidôn to avenge the insult, as Lêtô called on Phoibos to requite the wrong done to her by Niobê. Poseidôn accordingly brought the waters of the sea over the land, and with them a sea-monster who, like the Sphinx or the Minotauros, can be satisfied only with human blood. The former fills the streets of Thebes with corpses; the latter exacts the yearly tribute of the dawn-children. But the solitary Andromeda, abandoned to the huge sea-dragon, takes a firmer hold on the popular imagination, and is reproduced in a thousand forms, from the women rescued by Oidipous and Theseus down to Una and her Red Cross Knight. All these deliverers are men unknown to fame; but they are all endowed with powers for which they who see them give them no credit, and they all exhibit the manly type of generous chivalry which finds its consummation in the pure Sir Galahad.

The same idea is the groundwork of the myth of the Tho ArkaArkadian Augê, the clear atmosphere of the land of light. Hence the local myth necessarily related that Herakles came to her whenever he visited Tegea, and thus she becomes the mother of one of the fatal children whose life begins and ends in disaster. No sooner is her son born than her father Aleos decrees her death and the exposure of the child. But Auge is saved to become the wife of the Mysian Teuthras, or, according to another version, to escape narrowly the fate of the Theban Iokastê, and in the end to be brought back to Tegea by her son Têlephos, as Perseus brings his mother back to Argos.

The story of Eurôpê brings before us the dawn, not as fleeing from the pursuit of the sun, but as borne across the nope heaven by the lord of the pure ether. Zeus here, like Indra, himself assumes the form of a bull, and takes away the child


Althaia and the lurning brand. Almost every name in the myth tells its own tale, although we may perhaps have to put aside the names of Agênôr and Kadmos as merely Hellenised forms of the Semitic Kedem and Chnas. Eurôpê herself is simply the broad-spreading flush of dawn, which is seen first in the Phoinikia, or purple region of the morning, and whose name belongs to the same class with Eurykleia, Eurydikê, Euryganeia, and Euryphassa. She is the child of Têlephassa, the being whose light streams from afar; ${ }^{2}$ and in her first loveliness she is lost to those who delight in her, when she is snatched away to her western exile. Then follows the long journey of Kadmos and Têlephassa, the weary search of the sun through the livelong day for his early lost sister or bride. There were obviously a thousand ways of treating the myth. They might recover her in the end, as Alpheios is reunited to Arethousa and Perseus comes again to Danaê; but as it might be said that they might behold her like hereafter, so the tale might run that the being who had delighted them with her beauty should be seen herself again no more. The myth of Eurôpê sets forth the latter notion. Têlephassa sinks down and dies far in the west on the plains of Thessaly, and Kadmos, journeying westward still, learns at Delphoi that he is to seek his sister no longer.

The myth of Althaia sets forth the dawn or morning as the mother of a child whose life is bound up with a burning brand. As soon as the brand is burnt out her son will die, according to the inexorable doom pronounced by the Moirai. This brand is the torch of day, which is extinguished when the sun sinks beneath the western horizon. From this con-

[^389]Aiêtês, not the loving search of Kadmos and Teclophassa; and the bull has to go through fearful conflicts with the Trolls, before tho happy ond is brought about by means of a golden slipper as in the stories of Cinderella and Sodowa Bai.
${ }^{2}$ Pindar (Pyth. iv.) speaks of Eurôpe as a daughter of Tityos, a gigantic being, who is slain by the swift arrow of Artemis, and condemned to a like penalty with Ixîon, Sisyphos, Tautalos, and Prometheus.
ception of the sun's course sprung the idea that his mother kept him alive by snatching the $\log$ from the fire. But although Meleagros is, like Phoibos and Achilleus, invincible and invulnerable, the words of the Moirai must be accomplished; and as the mother of the sun may be either the dark night or the nourishing dawn (Althaia), so the wife of Oineus has her kinsfolk among the dark beings; and when these are slain by Meleagros, she thrusts the brand again into the fire, and the life of her brilliant child smoulders away. But his death brings with it the death alike of his mother and his bride, for the tints of the dawn or the gloaming cannot linger long after the sun is down. The names introduced into this myth are found for the most part in a host of other stories. She is the daughter of Eurythemis, a reflection of Eurôpê or Euryganeia, and a sister of Leda, the mother of the brilliant Dioskouroi; and among her own children is Dêianeira, whose union with Herakles is fatal to the hero. ${ }^{1}$ Of Kleopatra, the beautiful wife of Meleagros, there is little more to say than that she is, like Daphnê and Arethousa, a child of the waters, the Euênos being her father, and that, like Oinônê and Brynhild, she dies of grief when the chequered life of the being whom she loves has been brought to an end.

## Sectron VI.—ATHêNê.

The name Athênê is practically a transliteration of the Vedic Ahanâ, the morning, which in a cognate form appears as Dahanâ, the Greek Daphnê. ${ }^{2}$ The myths which have clustered round this greatest of Hellenic dawn-goddesses

The origimal idea of Athênê purely physical. differ indefinitely in detail, but all may manifestly be traced back to the same source, and resolved into the same mythical phrases. She is pre-eminently the child of the waters, she springs from the forehead of the sky, and remains fresh, pure, and undefiled for ever. In her origin the virgin deity

[^390][^391]
## BOOK II.

Athênê Tritogeneia.
of the Athenian Akropolis was strictly physical; but the notion of the being who wakes up the world after the darkness of night might soon pass into that of wisdom, the connection between light and knowledge (the $\phi \hat{\omega} s$ and $\gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota s$ of the Fourth Gospel) being of the closest kind. Thus, in one of the Vedic hymns we have already had the phrase that the dawn as waking every mortal to walk about receives praise from every thinker. But as being sprung from the forehead of the sky, she may be expected to know the secrets of heaven; and thus we have in Athênê a being who, like Phoibos, is filled with all the wisdom of Zeus. In the earlier form of the myth neither the Vedic Ahanâ nor the Hellenic Athênê has any mother. In the Rig Veda, ' Ushas, the dawn, sprang from the head of Dyu, the mûrddhadivah, the East, the forehead of the sky.' ${ }^{1}$

But if Athênê is Zeus-born, the poet, when he tells us this, speaks of her as Tritogeneia, the child of Tritos. ${ }^{2}$ It is strange that this god, whose name differs so slightly from that of the water-god Triton, should have so far disappeared from the memory of the Greeks as to leave them at a loss to account for the epithet except by connecting it with places bearing a similar name, as among others the Libyan lake Tritonis, and the Boiotian stream Triton, on whose banks, as on those of the Attic stream, towns sprung up called Athênai and Eleusis. ${ }^{3}$ In short, every stream so named became a birthplace for Athênê, although the meaning of the old phrase was not lost, until an attempt was made, by referring the myth to the alleged Eolic word for a head, to resolve it into the story of her springing from the head of Zeus. But the fact that in the Veda Trita rules over the water and the air, establishes the identity of Trito or Tritos, the father of
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[^392]Athênê, not only with that deity, but with Triton, Amphitrite, and the Tritopatores or lords of the winds. ${ }^{1}$ The theory which, from the supposed Libyan birthplace of Athênê, infers a relation between Egyptian and Hellenic mythology calls for no notice.

The Hesiodic Theogony assigns Mêtis (a name akin to that of the wise Medeia) as a mother to Athênê ; but this story is reconciled with the other myth by saying, that by the counsel of Ouranos and Gaia Zeus swallowed Mêtis before her child was born. In the saying of Pindar, that Hephaistos at her birth split the forehead of Zeus with a brazen axe, we see the sudden stream of light shooting up in the morning sky, which it seems to cleave; and in the golden shower which falls at her birth, we have only a repetition of the mode in which Danaê became the mother of Perseus. ${ }^{2}$ When Apollodoros and others say that the forehead of Zeus was cloven by Prometheus or Hermes, we have only to remember that these are both spoken of (together with the Argive Phorôneus) as the first givers of the boon of fire to mankind. ${ }^{3}$

As springing from the forehead of Zeus, Athênê was known as Koryphasia in Messênê, as Akria in Argos, while Minerva

[^393]cites as setting it aside. This story is that 'the slaves of Dirghotamas, when he was old and blind, became insubordinate, and attempted to destroy him, first ly throwing him into the fire, whence he was sared by tho Asvins, then into wator, whence he was extricated by the same divinities; upon which Traitana, one of the slaves, wounded him on the head, broast, and arms, and then inflicted like injurios on himself, of which he perished.' This story becomes clear throughout when compared with the myth of Eôs, who, like the slaves of Dirghotama, shut up the decrepit Tithonos. The other incidents of the tale carry us to the fiery death of Heraklos, and to Endymiốn plunging into the waters which are soon lost to sight in the darkness which comes on after sunset.
${ }^{2}$ Pind. Olymp. vii. 65.
${ }^{3}$ Apollod. i. 3, 5. The myth which makes Hephaistos himself her father speaks only of the burst of flaming light from which the day seems to be born.

CHAP. II. Birth of Athênê.

Parentage of Athênê.

BOOK

Athênê mother of Phoilos and Lychnos.
was called Capta (capita) at Rome. ${ }^{1}$ But there were also traditions which spoke of her as a child not of Zeus, but of the giant Pallas, ${ }^{2}$ who attempts to violate her purity, and is therefore slain by her. Here we have the dawn regarded as springing from the night, and the night as seeking to mar or to destroy his offspring. It is, in short, the myth which makes Laios, Alkrisios, and Astyages hate their children, who are in their turn doomed to slay their sires, as Athênê slays the monster Pallas. The legend which makes her a daughter of Poseidôn is merely a statement that the morning is born from the waters. But as with the dawn there comes generally the morning breeze (Sarameya, Hermeias, Hermes, the child of Saramâ) with its sweet and soothing tones, so when, by the aid of Athênê, Perseus has slain the dark Gorgon, Athênê is said, like Hermes, to have invented the flute in order to imitate the plaintive sounds in which the Gorgon sisters mourned the slain Medousa. ${ }^{3}$
But pure and undefiled though the dawn may be, she is yet followed by the sun, who may therefore be regarded as her offspring; and thus Phoibos Apollôn was sometimes called a son of Hephaistos and Athênê, while another version expressed the same idea by making them the parents of Lychnos (the brilliant), another Phaëthôn. ${ }^{4}$ As the dawngoddess, she can keep men young, or make them old. She rouses them to fresh vigour from healthful sleep, or as the days come round she brings them at last to old age and death. From her come the beauty and strength, the golden locks and piercing glances of Achilleus and Odysseus. But when for the accomplishment of the great work it becomes needful that Odysseus shall enter his own house as a toilworn beggar, it is Athênê who dims the brightness of his eye, and wraps him in squalid raiment, ${ }^{5}$ and again she

[^394][^395]restores his former majesty when once more he is to meet his son Telemachos. ${ }^{1}$ So, again, she preserves to Penelopê all the loveliness of her youth, and presents her to Odysseus as beautiful as when he left her twenty years ago, when the Achaian hosts set out for Ilion, while she restores Laertes also to something of his ancient vigour. ${ }^{2}$

Of the vast number of names by which she was known and worshipped, the earliest probably, and certainly the most common, denote simply the light. She is especially the goddess of the grey or gleaming face, Glaukôpis. She is Optiletis, Oxyderkes, Ophthalmitis, the being of keen eyes and piercing vision; but these epithets might, it is plain, be made to bear a moral or intellectual meaning; and thus a starting point would be furnished for the endless series of names which described her as full of wisdom and counsel, as enforcing order and justice, as promoting the tillage of the earth, and as fostering all science and all art. Thus the epithets Akria and Akraia, which can be rightly interpreted only after a comparison with her other names, Koryphasia and Capta, might be taken to denote her protection of cities and fortresses, while her name Ageleia, as the driver of the clouds whom Saramâ leads forth to their pastures, might be regarded as denoting her care for those who till the soil or keep herds. But her physical character is never kept out of sight. She is the goddess especially of the Athenians, and of the dawn city which received her name after the contest in which she produced the olive against the horse created by Poseidôn, for so it was decreed by Zeus that the city should be called after the deity who should confer the greatest boon on man, and the sentence was that the olive, as the emblem of peace, was better than the horse, whose chief use was for war. But the city so named after her was emphatically the glistening city ( $\lambda \iota \pi a \rho a i ̀ ~ ' A \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ ), although the epithet it seems was so little applicable to it in its outward aspect that the Athenians of the historical ages prized it with a jealous earnestness, and were ready to grant any prayer made by people who addressed them as citizens of brilliant Athens.

[^396]Book
II. Athene the guardian of heroes.

She is, however, the guardian not of Athenians only but of all the solar heroes; in her Bellerophontes, Achilleus, Herakles and Perseus, Odysseus and Diomêdes, find their unfailing friend and comforter. From her come all wealth and prosperity, and accordingly we find the special emblems of wealth and fertility intimately associated with her worship. Her sacred serpent was fed on the Akropolis, and yearly in her great procession the sacred ship, covered with the peplos woven by Athenian maidens, was carried to her shrine. ${ }^{1}$ In one of the so-called Orphic hymns, she is said to be both male and female, and thus to remain unwedded. Doubtless the dawn may be regarded as of spotless purity and unfading loveliness, and this idea might give rise to images of transcendent holiness and majesty; but she may be thought of also not only as giving birth to children, but as being sensible to passion, and we are not justified in leaving out of sight those myths which present Athênê in this light. On the one hand, according to one story, she blinds Teiresias because he had looked upon her unclothed form (a myth closely akin to that of the dazzling treasures of Ixiôn, which no man might look upon and live), and shrinks with loathing from Hephaistos when he seeks to lay hands upon her. On the other, the myth of Prometheus exhibits her as aiding him in his theft of fire against the will of Zeus, while one version represents her as so acting from feelings not of friendship but of love. In general, however, the harmony between the dawn and the sky from which it springs, in other words, between Zeus and Athênê, is undisturbed; and thus when Zeus is determined to take vengeance for the deceit put upon him by Prometheus, Athênê lends herself as a willing accomplice in his scheme. She is to teach Pandora the skilful use of the loom, while Aphroditê is to adorn her with all the enticements of physical beauty, and Hermes is to give her a crafty and thievish mind and temper. ${ }^{3}$ But even in the Iliad where she is generally represented as being

[^397]in perfect accord with the will of Zeus, she engages, as we have seen, in an abortive conspiracy to bind Zeus, in which she is the accomplice of Hêrê and Poseidôn. ${ }^{1}$

In all her essential attributes, the Hellenic Athênê is represented by the Latin Minerva, a name which Professor

CHAP. II.

The Latin Minerva. Max Müller connects with mens, the Greek $\mu$ źvos, and the Sanskrit manas, mind, and compares it with mane, the morning, Mânia, an old name of the mother of the Lares, and the verb manare as applied especially to the sun, while Matuta and other kindred words denote the dawn. ${ }^{2}$ Whatever may be the connection between Minerva and Matuta, we can scarcely fail to see the affinity of the name with the verb promenervare, used in the Carmen Saliare as an equivalent to the kindred moneo, to admonish. The Latin Minerva, as embodying a purely intellectual idea, is thus a being even more majestic than the Hellenic Athênê; and to so intellectual a conception we should scarcely expect that many fables would attach themselves. Hence the Latin Minerva can scarcely be said to have any mythology. Like Ceres she stands alone in incommunicable sanctity and in unfathomable wisdom.

[^398]
## APPENDICES.

## APPENDIX A.-Page 217.

The Antiquity of written Poems.
It is impossible not to see that ages must have intervened between the invention of writing, or rather as we should term it of scratching, and the preservation of long poems in manuscript. It is much more reasonable to suppose that greater facilities for writing would lead rather to the rise of contemporary chroniclers than to the practice of writing down poems, which would lose half or all their value in the eyes of rhapsodists if put upon papor.

It seems scarcely necessary to treat seriously the arguments or rather the dicta by which M. Barthélemy St.-Hilaire (L'Ilioule d'Homère traduite en vers Français), holds himself to have proved not only that our Iliad is one poem, and that therefore there was only one Homer, but that it was from the first a written poem. The authority of M. de St.-Hilaire is descrvedly great; but it must, nevertheless, be repeated that the question is one wholly of evidence, and that the answer must be given in accordance with that evidence and without regard to sentiment, prejadice, or any supposed powers of literary divination acquired by long study. From a rapid survey of the Iliad, which omits all that is to be set on the other side, M. St.-Hilaire infers that the unity of the poem is demonstrated, and asserts that this unity must be regarded as an incontestable fact, and that any one who does not admit this postulate can only make false steps if he ventures to speak about Homer (introduction, $x \times x$ ). His opponents appeal to the evidence of facts : M. St.-Hilaire, in that strain of exaggerated eulogy which is the bane of conservative criticism, addresses himself to our feelings: 'A mon sens, il suffit d'un coup d'œil même rapide sur l'Iliade, pour sentir immédiatement que c'est là une impossibilité. Quoi! Cette ceuvre, prodigicuse plus encore dans son ensemble que dans ses détails, a été faite au hasard, dans la durée de plusieurs siècles, par quinze ou vingt poëtes différents, qui ne se sont pas connus, qui ne se sont pas cuncertés,
et qui, sous le feu d'une égale inspiration, ont pu produire des fragments, réunis plus tard en un merveilleux édifice, d'une harmonie, d'une proportion, d'une symétrie, d'une solidité sans pareilles! Quoi! C'est là expliquer la composition de l'lliade! C'est se rendre compte en critique, c'est-à-dire en juge éclairé et compétent de ce chef-d'œurre, dont la beauté ne peut épuiser ni l'admiration de la foule ni celle des philosophes!' (introduction, xxxiv). On arguments of much the same weight as these M. St.-Hilaire rests his conclusion that the Iliad and Odyssey were poems not merely composed, but written down on a soft material, by a single poet. Every story about Lykourgos, Solon, or other legislators is taken as indubitable fact; and the priority of Laios to Proitos furnishes evidence precisely the same in kind with the priority of William the Conqueror to Henry I. The times of Bellerophôn are certainly historical, and Bellerophôn lived two generations before the Trojan war. But letters were written in his days: and therefore letters were in use in the time of the poet who wrote about him and the Trojan war. The question turning on the phrase ońmaza $\lambda v \gamma \rho \alpha$, 'the woful signs,' is barely noticed. It must have been an alphabetical writing, for the other supposition would ascribe to painting a perfection which it had not attained (intr. xlvi). The difficulty has probably been rarely felt in savage life. But again, writing was familiar to the heroes of the Trojan war, for they mark the lots which they throw into a helmet (Iliad, v. 171, et seq.) M. StHilaire's conclusion may well take away our breath: 'Chacun des guerriers trace sa marque: on agite toutes ces marques dans le casque d'Agamemnon, et Nestor, qui les secoua, tire celle d'Ajax, fils de Télamon, que toute l'armée désirait. On montre la marque favorisée du sort à chacun des concurrents. Aucun ne la reconnaît: mais quand elle arrive à celui qui l'avait écrite ou tracée, aussitôt Ajax, plein de joie, déclare qu'elle est la sienne. . . Qu'est-ce au juste que cette marque? Il n'est pas aisé de le dire avec précision. Mais comme Homère se sert du même mot qui, pour la missive du Proetus, a paru signifier l'écriture, tout porte à croire qu'ici encore c'est bien de l'écriture qu'il s'agit, et non d'une marque quelconque où aucun signe graphique n'eût été tracé' (introd. xlviii). As bearing on the main question of an Iliad. written from the first, an argument resting on a word scratched by ten or a dozen men would be worthless. There can, however, be no sort of doubt that in a writing age each man would have inscribed his own name, and that the drawer would at once have named the man who had been chosen. In this case the herald has to carry the lot about the camp, as each hero failed, not to read (for it has never been pretended that $\gamma \gamma^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime} \omega \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon \nu$ can be used in this sense) but to recognise
his particular mark. It would be impossible for the poet to show more clearly that the marks were not letters or words, but mere arbitrary signs. This is literally the whole of the evidence adduced from our Homeric poems, on which M. St.-Hilaire rests his conclusions that those poems were from the first written compositions. It becomes a superfluous task to follow M. St.-Hilaire through his remarks on the alleged abundance of writing materials in the Homeric age, or on the libraries of Osymandyas and Peisistratos. The real question is not whether poems were written centuries before the time of Herodotus, but whether the Greeks had any written literature before the Persian wars. Mr. Paley has expressed his conviction that no such literature existed in the times of Pindar, and the subject has been further examined by Mr. Fennell, in a paper on the 'First Ages of a written Greek Literature,' Transactions of the Cambrilge Pluilosophical Society, 1868.

## APPENDIX B.-Page 218.

## The Historical Value of 'Homer.'

Since this chapter was written, Mr. Gladstone has published his 'Juventus Mundi,' in which he states his present convictions with regard to the authorship and historical credibility of our Iliad and Odyssey, which alone he regards as the poems of Homer. These convictions are substantially what they were ten years ago, but the discussion has really advanced beyond the point at which Mr. Gladstone leaves it; and the only reason for repeating objections to arguments which might well be left to themselves, is the weight which in the opinion of some they may receive from his name and authority. Instead of noting the result of recent Homeric criticism, whether conservative or destructive, Mr . Gladstone has chosen the simpler and easier task of asserting that to himself his old conclusions on the subject of Homer are thoroughly satisfactory. Homer is still with him undoubtedly an historical person, and the Iliad and Odyssey are emphatically historical pooms. The poct was born, he thinks, before or during the Trojan war, and was probably familiar with those who had fought in it. These conjectures or convictions are supported by a series of propositions which certainly prove his point if they are suffered to pass unchallenged, but of most of which it must be said that they are mere assertion or hypothesis for which no evidence whatever is adduced.

The ambiguity of much of Mr . Gladstone's language introduces a further clement of difficulty into the discussion. When, for instance

VOL. I.
he says that, 'A cardinal argument for placing the date of the poet near that of his subject is, that hedescribes manners from first to last with the easy, natural, and intimate knowledge of a contemporary observer,' there is a sense in which the most sceptical critics will agree with him. No one doubts that the Homeric poet (or poets) threw over his (or their) narrative a colour borrowed from the society in which he (or they) lived. Here there was every motive for the poet to be truthful, none to depart from the truth; and the exactness with which all customs of peace and war, of arts and games, of public and private life were reproduced in the poem, would inevitably impart an air of reality to the events related, whatever these might be. This is probably true of the genuine epic poetry of all nations ; but for the historical character of the incidents which they relate, it obviously proves nothing. The society of the age in which the poet lives may be described with indefinite accuracy, though the house which he builds may be raised on sand. In this sense certainly 'it cannot be too strongly affirmed that the song of Homer is historic song,' or even that he has 'told us more about the world and its inhabitants at his own epoch than any historian that ever lived.'-(P. 7.) But unless, with Mr. Gladstone, we are prepared to infer facts from the 'tone and feeling' of a poet, or from his knowledge of human nature generally and of his own countrymen in particular, we are bound to say plainly that we speak only of his pictures of life and manners. This limitation will not satisfy Mr. Gladstone. With lim the 'subject' of Homer is not so much the picture of society existing in his own time as the war of which he rolates some of the most important incidents. Here then we are brought face to face with the question of facts; and it is, to say the least, most'unfortunate that Mr . Gladstone has not told us plainly and candidly what the 'chief persons and events' are with regard to which we are to look upon 'Homer' as 'historical.' Mr. Blackie has done this ; and we have seen how the confidence with which he first enunciates the result of his analysis is modified until the supposed substance vanishes into thin air, and how, after asserting in one place that there was one real war, and a real quarrel between Achilleus and Agamemnon, he admits in another not only that there may have been no Paris and no Helen, but that it makes no difference to the history if Agamemnon and Achilleus never met at all and were 'distinct captains of two separate armaments' (see p. 185). What would Mr . Gladstone think of an English history which should tell us that it made no difference to the essential character of the narrative whether we suppose that Laud and Strafford were joint conspirators against the liberties of England, or the leaders in two
several attempts made in successive centuries? We must be pardoned if we refuse altogether to admit the historical character of narratives to which the cbampions of their veracity obviously give no real credit. Mr. Gladstone leads us through a region of mists, in which he would have us follow paths not pointed out to us by our best historical guides. Thus his first reason for accepting as historical the chief events of our Tliad and Odyssey is that 'It is the chief business of the poet or bard, as such, in early times, to record facts, while he records them in the forms of beauty supplied by his art.'

If we write with the sole aim and hope of discovering the truth, if truth and truth alone is our single object, we are bound to refuse any leap which must be taken in the dark. Mr. Gladstone's reason is a mere assumption. Why are we to believe that the recording of facts was the business of the poet in early times, until we know what their ideas of a fact were, and, indeed, until we have proved what is here merely asserted? Against Mr. Gladstone's dogmatic assertion we may set the conclusion of Mr. Grote, that the early poets and bards dealt with 'the entire intellectual stock of the age to which they belonged,' and that the value of this was measured by its power of satisfying that ' craving for adventure and appetite for the marvellous which has in modern times become the province of fiction proper' (History of Greece, part i. ch, xvi.), as well as the deliberate judgment of Bishop Thirlwall that 'the kind of history for which Homer invoked the aid of the Muses was not chiefly valued as a recital of real events,' and that "if in detached passages the poet sometimes appears to be relating with the naked simplicity of truth, we cannot ascribe any higher authority to these episodes than to the rest of the poem.' How completely Bishop Thirlwall sweeps away the whole 'Homeric ' narrative of the Trojan war, we have seen already, p. 197.

On Mr. Gladstone's second reason that the truthful recording of events is especially the business ' of the bard who lives near the evonts which he professes to sing,' it is unnecessary to say more than that Thucydides denies as positively as Mr. Gladstone affirms, that Homer lived near to the Trojan war (p. 198). Mr. Gladstone's fourth reason (the third is a mere inference from the first and second), is that the poems were always viewed as historical by the Greeks. This assertion is practically identical with the opinions of Colonel Mure and Baron Bunsen, which we have been alroady compelled to reject as not only resting on no evidence, but as being altogether opposed to such evidence as we possess (p. 213). This summary of evidence $I$ had published in the Fortnigltly Review for May 1, 1867; and I must maintain with ali earnestness that it was
the duty of every Homeric critic who deals with this portion of the subject to examine and accept or refute the evidence of the facts thus alleged. The mere statement of an opinion ought to carry no more weight on one side than on another; but Mr. Paley took his stand wholly on facts, which proved, or seemed to prove, that the Greek lyric, tragic, and comic poets either knew nothing or next to nothing of our Iliad and Odyssey, or, if they did, deliberately preferred to them certain other poems to which they resorted for their materials. (He further stated, that Greek art, down to a time later than that of Perikles, exhibits precisely the same phenomena.) In either case the assertions of Mr. Gladstone, Colonel Mure, and Baron Bunsen fall to the ground; and for such assertions a hearing. cannot reasonably be expected, until this evidence has been met and refuted. Mr. Gladstone not only takes no notice of it, but apparently even contradicts himself, for, having stated that from the earliest times we find these poems holding continuously (the word is necessary, if the opinion is to have any force) a position of honour and authority among the Greeks paralleled in no other literature, he asserts that 'the antiquity of the present text is not overthrown by the fact that the later poets in many instances have followed other forms of legend in regard to the Troica, for they would necessarily consult the state of popular feeling from time to time; and tradition, which as to roligion altered so greatly after the time of Homer, would, as to facts and persons, as it is evident, vary materially according to the sympathies of blood and otherwise at different periods of Greek history' (p.19). Even if we concedo (and it is not necessary that we should concede) that this may possibly account for the choice of the later poets in a very few instances, perhaps five or six, Mr. Gladstone's admission is in complete conflict with the position of unparalleled honour and authority which he attributes to our Iliad and Odyssey throughout the historical ages. But no political sympathies or antipathies could render necessary that systematic degradation of characters like Odysseus, Aias, Hektor, to say nothing of Helen, to account for which Mr. Gladstone felt himself driven to devise a theory in his Homeric Studies (vol. iii. p. 555). Nor can they explain the phenomena of Greek literature already adduced (p. 214). Mr. Gladstone is compelled to admit that Thucydides speaks of the Hymn to Apollonn as Homeric, and that 'doubtless he represents a tradition of his day.' But these hymns are, in Mr. Gladstone's opinion, very inferior to 'Homer;' and, therefore, Thucydides and his contemporaries were mistaken; and thus the unparalleled honour and authority of our Iliad and Odyssey are modified into the statement that no other poems were regarded as Homeric 'by the general and unhesitating
opinion of the Greeks.' We do not know enough of this general opinion to warrant any very positive statements with regard to it; but until it can be proved that the lyric and tragic poets were acquainted with our Iliad and Odyssey, it can scarcely be affirmed that the general opinion of the Greeks regarded those poems as Homeric at all. But, further, what is the actual evidence that the poems to which alone Mr. Gladstone will give the name of Homer, were 'always viewed as historical by the Greeks'? All that Herodotos and Thucydides leave of the story is the solitary fact of a war which lasted ten years; but if they reject its motive and incidents, the hinges on which the whole action turns together with all the action itself, in what sense can it be said that they regarded the Homeric tale of Troy as historical? The fact is, that neither of these great historians could receive that tangled skein of marvels, miracles, and prodigies, which are inextricably intertwined in the supernatural machinery of these mythical tales. Hence they sumnarily rejected the whole, having thus 'judged on its own grounds' Homer's 'intermixture of supernatural agency with human events,' although Mr. Gladstone insists that this intermixture 'cannot by the laws of historical criticism be held of itself to overthrow his general credit' (p.9). Mr. Gladstone does not tell us what are the laws of historical criticism; but his conception of them appears to differ widely from that of Bishop Thirlwall, whose judgment I have more than once been obliged to cite.

It is scarcely an exaggeration to say that all the reasons adduced by Mr . Gladstone for regarding the Iliad and Odyssey as narratives of real events are of the same shadowy and intangible kind. We are told that the singular correspondence of the genealogies in these pooms strongly attests the historical trustworthiness of Homer. But although the lowest links may possibly, in any given case, represent real persons, these lists all run up to some god or deified hero; and Mr . Grote has long since asked by what method we are to determine the point at which history ends and fable begins in the links between the real Hekataios and his divine progenitor (History of Greece, part i. ch. iv.). In the same spirit the prophecy of Poseidon. (p. 4) is taken as proving, not that a family calling themsolves Aineiadai were reigning in Troas at the time when this portion of the Iliad (p. 92) was composed or recited, but that the then ruling chief was the actual grandson of the child of Anchises and of Aphrodite, who visilly interferes to rescuo her son on the field of battle. Mr . Gladstone assures us that 'Homer often introduces curious legends of genealogy, and in a manner which is palpably inopportune for the purposes of poetry, and which is, on the other hand, fully accounted for by the historic aim.' It is enough to say
that these episodes and digressions may be as legitimately used to prove the composite character of the poems as to uphold their historical authority.

Of the personality of Homer, and the purity or preservation of our Homeric text, I need here say but little. On the former Mr. Gladstone admits that 'nothing is known of his person' (p.2), nothing of the time of his birth, or his place of abode, or of any event in his life (p.6). The most determined of the separatists could scarcely desire a thicker darkness; but to the historical critic, these, as we have already seen (p. 174 et seq.), are points of supreme indifference. Of the text Mr . Gladstone still thinks that 'we may, as a general rule, proceed to handle it with a reasonable confidence that the ground is firm under our feet,' in spite of the evidence adduced by Mr . Paley for questioning that it was known to the great lyric and tragic poets of Hellas. Its integrity was guaranteed by 'the intense love of the song of Homer felt by every Greek' (p. 23), although the evidence is unfortunately scanty on which to rest the conclusion that their Homer was our Homer, neither less nor more.

In short, after an interval of more than ten years, during which the phase of the controversy has been wholly changed, Mr. Gladstone has simply repeated the confession of his old Homeric faith. Doubtless, his appeal is not to the credulity, but to the judgment of his countrymen, and if I say that his criticism as little upholds the bistorical character of our Homeric poems as that of Mr. Blackie, I do so because the evidence of facts seems to me to preclude any other conclusion.

## APPENDIX C.—Page 222.

## The Myth of Oidipous.

A vigorous attempt to overthrow M. Bréal's explanation of the myth of Oidipous, Le mythe d'CEdipe, 1863, and so to bring discredit on the method and results of Comparative Mythology, has been made by M. Comparetti, Edipo e la mitologia comparata, Pisa, 1867. His chief argument seems to be the composite nature of this myth (as of others), which compels him to regard the episode of the Sphinx as a mere formula and in no way an essential part of the legend, and to conclude that at the first this incident had nothing to do with the story of Oidipous. In proof of this assertion, he lays stress on the silence of the Homeric poet (Odyssey, xi. 270) on this subject, while speaking of the woeful fortunes of Epikaste (Iokastê), and again of the Hesiodic poet (Worls ancl Days, 163)
when referring to the feuds of the sons of Oidipous about their father's treasures (whether these be sheep or apples). To this argument M. Bréal in his answer to M. Comparetti, Révue critique d'Histoire et de Litterature, Jan. 22, 1870, replies that in the Hesiodic Theogony the Phix (Sphinx) is expressly mentioned as inflicting deadly woes on the Kadmeians, and thus the connexion of the Sphinx with the mythical history of Thebes is established beyond question. He adds that if so capital an incident be left out of the story of Oidipous, any other myth may be pared down to the Euemeristic level of plausible fiction. At the same time there is a sense in which M. Comparetti's remark that this episode might be replaced by any other tale of prowess is perfectly true. There is no reason why Oidipous should not be the slayer of Geryon or Echidna or Chimaira, or why the Sphinx should not fall by the sword of Hipponoös, the slayer of Belleros. The assortment of exploits from which we may make choice is large, but they are all equally mythical and equally transparent in their meaning.
Having disposed of the Sphinx, M. Comparetti, with the usual assurance of Euemerists, asserts that Oidipous is a purely human person, in the story of whose life we shall look in vain for the marvellous adventures which usually grace the traditions of the gods or demigods. Here the answer is obvious, and M. Bréal joins issue on the plain fact that the whole story is full of marvels from the moment of his birth. His infancy is that of Perseus, Cyrus, Romulus, or Semiramis, his grave in the gardens of the Merciful Beings represents the treasures of the Nibelungs; and even if we get rid of the Sphinx, his victory over the fox of Teumessos, an exploit of the same kind, still remains.
M. Comparetti's reason for thus banishing the Sphinx from the story is, that he may thus exhibit tho myth as designedly setting forth the horrors of incest, and so as being purely didactic in its first intention. But he adheres to this conclusion not much more consistently than Mr. Blackio to his historical residuum in the Iliad. Iokaste is not the only wife assigned to Oidipous, and M. Comparetti hastens to say that the other wives were a later invontion, and were introduced to take away the feeling of disgust excited by the idea of the incest. This plea M. Bréal rightly dismisses in very few words : 'Si tout le récit, comme le suppose M. Comparetti, est destiné à produire une impression morale, un changement de cette nature (ot les autres femmes d'Cedipe sont déjà mentionées dans l' (Edipodie) va contre l'intention du narrateur.'

Having denolished the Sphinx, M. Comparetti goes on to say that, far from blinding himself and departing into exile, Oidipous, according to the oldest form of the legend, continued to reign at

Thebes. But on this supposition, the idea of a moral intention is still more completely shut out. What sort of Nemesis is it that would allow the doer of such things to go unpunished?

With the failure of the attempt to reduce the myth to a caput mortuum of historical facts the question is carried back into the province of Comparative Mythology, and M. Bréal rightly urges that

* the problem to be solved is the recurrence of the incidents, or as M. Comparetti styles them, the formulæ which characterise the myth of Oidipous, in the stories of other Aryan nations during times which altogether preclude the idea of any borrowing or artificial adaptation of popular stories.


## APPENDIX D.-Page 406.

## Swan-Maidens.

Many of these myths have been gathered together in the Chapters on Swan Maidens and the Knight of the Swan, by Mr. Baring Gould (Curious Myths, second series), who follows them also into the mythology of the Turanian tribes. The coincidences thus pointed out call for the same consideration which should be given to the traditions of American native tribes when they exhibit any striking features in common with those of Aryan races. Some of these traditions, which resemble the myths of Pandora, Pyrrha and the dolphin or fish god, have been already referred to. But it would be as dangerous to assume that myths circulating among Turanian tribes have been imported by them through intercourse with Aryan nations, as to assume that these American traditions are the result of Jesuit teaching. Of the substantial identity of the Turanian myths cited by Mr. Gould with the stories belonging to Germany and India there can be no question. In a Samojed story, a man, finding seven maidens swimming on a lake, takes up from the bank the Swan's dress belonging to one of them, and refuses to yield it up except on the condition that she becomes his wife and gets for him the hearts of seven brothers which are hung up by them every night on their tent pegs. When she brings them, he dashes all but one on the ground, and as each falls, the brother to whom it belongs dies. When the eldest whose heart has not been broken awakes and begs to have it restored, the Samojed says that he must first bring back to life his mother who had been killed by him. The man then bids him go to the place where the dead lie, and there he should find a purse in which is his mother's soul. 'Shake the purse over the dead 'woman's bones, and she will come to life.' The Samojed,
haring thus recovered his mother, dashes the remaining heart on the ground, and the last of the seven dies. This is the groundwork of the story of Punchkin, and of the Giant who had no Heart in his Body (book i. chap. viii.). The difficulty in finding the magician's heart is here transferred to the discovery of the purse containing the murdered woman's soul.
Mr. Gould adds that among the Minussinian Tartars the swanmaidens assume loathsome as well as beautiful forms, and appear in fact, like the Hellenic Harpyiai, the black storm-clouds which sweep furiously across the sky, the swan-shaped daughters of Phorkys (※sch. Prome. V. 795). In the hymn addressed to Delos by Kallimachos the Muses are still nymphs or watermaidens, the Apsaras (apa, water, and sar, to go), which have acquired a faint porsonality in the Veda (Max Müller, Chips, ii. 202), and can scarcely be distinguished from the swans which attend upon them.





The same phrases which spoke of clouds as swans have given birth to the myths of Kyknos transformed into a swan by Phoibos, and of Leda woocd by Zeus in the guise of a white swan (a white mist answering to the golden shower in the legend of Danaê). Mr. Gould, having cited among other northern talos that in which Völund, or Wayland the Smith, wins as his wife the maiden Angelburga, whose dress of dove's feathers he had stolon as she was bathing, thinks that to such beings we must trace back the angels with flowing white robes and large pinions, which Christendom has derived immediately from the later Greek and Roman representations of Victory. In his chapter on the Knight of the Swan, Mr. Gould has brought together some medixval versions of the myth which Southey has versified in his ballad of Rudiger. These versions turn on the main incident in the stories of Urvasî and Psychê. The bride must never ask her husband's name; and the old suggestion that the lover is in reality a monster is travestied into the remark of the Duchess of Cleves: 'This Lohengrin (the Purûravas of the tale) may be a strong man and a Christian ; but who knows whence he has sprung ?' These words awaken the curiosity of the Duchess of Brabant, who pays the penalty of Psychê. Lohengrin accordingly leaves to his children his horn and his sword, and to his wife the ring which had been given to him by his mother, and departs never to return. The story of Matabrune in the romance of Helias, the Knight of the Swan, is that of many jealous stepmothers who seek the death of the light-children (Gould, ibid. 315; Frere,

Deccan Days, 59). This Helias becomes Duke of Bouillon, and his daughter is the mother of the heroic Godfrey. So pertinaciously do the old fables of nymphs and harpies and Erinyes keep their hold on the annals of an historical age.

From the image of the Swan to that of the Phaiakian ship, which needs neither rudder nor sail, the transition is obvious and easy. On such a ship Odysseus is borne to his home, and in such a vessel Scild, the son of Sceaf (the skiff) is carried to the coast of Scandia where he becomes king. 'In Beowulf it is added that Scild reigned long, and when he saw that he was about to die, he bade his men lay him fully armed in a boat, and thrust him out to sea. . . . And the same is told of Baldur.'-Gould, ibid. 336. The incident is repeated in the case of Elaine and Arthur.

## APPENDIX E.-Page 422.

## The Name Helenê.

I am indebted to Professor Max Müller for the following note.
'The only objection which might be made by those, who are not acquainted with the latest researches on the so-called digamma is that $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon}_{v}$ a is among those words, which, according to the testimony of Greek and Latin grammarians, had an initial digamma (cf. Tryph. $\pi \alpha \theta$. $\lambda \varepsilon \xi$. § 11 ; Priscianus, i. p. 21, xiii. p. 574; Ahrens, De Gr. Ling. Dialectis, lib. i. pp. 30, 31). Now because the so-called digamma (the F, the old vau, the Latin F) corresponds in most cases to a Sanskrit and Latin $V$, it has become the fashion to use digamma as almost synonymous with the labial semi-vowel $v$ in Greek. Benfey, however, in his article on $\varepsilon$ हrátepos (in Kuhn's 'Zeitschrift für vergleichende Sprachforschung,' viii. 331,) pointed out that what is generally, though not correctly, called digamma in Greek, represents at least three different letters in the cognate languages, $v, s, y$. These three letters became evanescent in later Greek; and when either on the evidence of the Homeric metre, or on the evidence of grammarians, or even on the evidence of inscriptions, certain Greek words are said to have had an initial digamma, we must be prepared to find, corresponding to this so-called digamma, not only the $v$, but also the $s$, and $y$, in Sanskrit and Latin. Greek scholars are apt to put F, whereas the metre proves the former presence of some one initial consonant. When however we find $\mathrm{F}_{\varepsilon} \xi$, the F here represents a lost $s$, as proved by the Latin sex, Sanskrit shat. When we find in Homer $\theta$ हòs $\ddot{\omega} \varsigma$, the os is lengthened because $\ddot{s}$ s had an initial $y$, as proved loy the Sanskrit yat. In
the same manner, the fact that grammarians quote $F_{\varepsilon \lambda} \varepsilon^{\prime} \nu a$, nay, even the occurrence of $F \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \nu a$ in ancient inscriptions, would by no means prove that Helenê was originally Velena, and was derived from the root svar, but only that Helenê might in the cognate languages begin with $v, s$, or $\eta$. The statement of Priscianus, 'Sciendum tamen quòd hoc ipsum (digamma) Aoles quidem ubique loco aspirationis ponebant, effigientes spiritus asperitatem,' is more correct than was at one time supposed even by comparative grammarians; and as the asper in Greek commonly represents an original $s$ or $y$, the AElic digamma became the exponent of $s$ and $y$, as well as of the $v$ for which it stood originally.'

APPENDIX F.-Page 429.

## Lykanthropy.

I am speaking, of course, of the special form of the superstition, not of enormities of which depraved appetites and unbridled passions may have made men guilty. The question to be answered is, whence came the notions that men were changed into wolves, bears, and birds, and not into lions, fishes, or reptiles; and to this question Comparative Mythology scems to me to furnish a complete answer; nor can I disavow my belief that this loathsome vampire superstition was in the first instance purely the result of the verbal equivocation which, as we have seen, has furnished so fruitful a source of myths. I cannot, in the face of this evidence, believe that the choice of the wolf or the bear as the form to be assumed by the pationt is 'a more matter of taste,' as Mr. Gould says in a work which will be most regretted by those who most value his volumes on the myths of the middle ages. Whether there be, as Mr. Gould maintains, 'an innate craving for blood in certain natures,' is a point which little concerns us here, although wo might suppose that if such an impulse be according to the hypothesis innate (i. e. placed there by God himself), the impulse could not bo wrong, and that hence such persons were not fit subjects for punishment. But the evidence for the case breaks down, and much of the evidence adduced by Mr . Gould is really no evidence at all. Thus he tells us that, 'as every one knows, Jupiter changed himself into a bull, Hecuba became a bitch, Actæon a stag, the comrades of Ulysses were transformed into swine, and the daughters of Proetus fled through the fields, believing themselves to be cows, and would not allow any one to como near them lest they should be caught and yoked.'- 'Werewolf,' p. 12. These myths belong to a different class. The persons so
transformed neither did nor attempted to do any harm; but the peculiar mischievous form of lykanthropy is found developed with sufficient clearness in the pages of Pausanias. Hence we may dismiss the story told by Augustine of the old woman who turned men into asses, or of the golden ass of Appuleius; and the tale related by Mr. Gould of the Bjorn (bear) who 'loves the Carle's daughter,' and taking her into a cave tells her that 'by day he is a beast, by night a man,' ib. 24, is only a clumsy version of Beauty and the Beast, of Erôs and Psychê. Mr. Gould's faith is large. He gives credit to 'the unanimous testimony of the old Norse historians that the berserk rage was extinguished by baptism, and as Christianity advanced, the number of the berserkers decreased,' it. 40. The stories of bearsarks have probably as much and as little truth as the story of the frenzy of Herakles, the madness of the one and the rage of the other being the same thing; and the unanimous testimony of the Norse historians is worth as much and as little as the convictions of Glanvil and Hale on the reality of witchcraft. Such a canon of evidence would compol us to receive the whole Catholic hagiology as veritable history. That Mr . Gould should have thought it necessary to give the loathsome details of the story of the Maréchal de Retz, which is simply the myth of Phalaris, is the more to be deplored, as this man according to the tale never supposed himself to he either a wolf or a brute of any other sort. The account of the woman who single-handed and unaided kills six hundred and fifty'girls to bathe in their blood brings before us a serios of exploits worthy of a Herakles; and the story of Mr. J. Holloway of the Bank of England is simply an impudent repetition of the myth of Hermotimos of Klazomonai.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Max Müllor, Chips from a Gorman Forkshop, vol. i. p. 354.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The identity of authorship for the Days is very doubtful: but the question Hesiodic Theogony and the Works and is immaterial. Both poems exhibit the

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Part i. ch. i.-xri.
    ${ }^{2}$ Grote, History of Grecee, part i. ch. i. See also Mure, Critical History of Greek Literature, i. 104; Milman,

    Mistory of Christianity, i. 13, scc.
     129

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ 'Comparative Mythology,' Chips from a German Workshop, ii. 1, 67, 84.
    ${ }^{2}$ From this point of view it is impossible to deny the truth of Mr. Grote's statement, when, speaking of the Northern Edaas, he says that 'the more thoroughly this old Teutonic story has been traced and compared in its various transformations and accompaniments, the less can any well-established connection be made out for it with authentic historical names or events.' History of Greece, part i. ch. xviii. It is strango

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Analogy, part ii. ch. ii. § 2.
    2 'Penaticl, a Jesuit theologian, declared that there were many Indians, who, on being asked whother during the whole course of their lives they evor thought of God, replied No, never.' Max

[^5]:    Mï̈ller, Fistory of Sanskrit Iiterature, 538. It is a miserable fact that this condition of thought finds a parallel among certain sections of Englishmen. Soe also Farrar, Chapters on Languaye, iv. 45 .

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Butler, Sermons, ii. 'On Human ${ }^{3}$ Homer and the Homeric Age, ii. 9. Nature.'
    ${ }^{2}$ Analogy, part ii. ch. ii. § 2.
    ${ }^{4}$ Ibid. 10.
    ${ }^{5}$ Ibid. 12.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Homer and the Fomerio Age, ii. 15.
    2 Ibid. 17: "The stream darkened more and more as it got further from the source.'
    ${ }^{3}$ Ibid. 18. ${ }^{1}$ Ibid. 19. ${ }^{5}$ Ibid. 20.
    ${ }^{6}$ Inid. 31.
    ${ }^{7}$ Ibid. 42. This theory, put forth ten years ago, has been rectived with no great favour ; hat nothing less than the repudiation of it by Mr. Gladstone himself could justify our passing it by in silence, when our purpose is to show that the prohlem man be solved only loy the mothod of comparative mythology. But fur from retracting this hypothesis, Mr.

[^8]:    the slightness of the modification which his theory has undergone, renders it perhaps even more necessary to exhibit clearly the dilemmas and difficulties involved in this theory, if carried out to

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ Homor and the Homeric Age, ii. a Ibid. 61. ${ }^{5}$ Ibid. 152. 87-107. $\quad$ Ibid. 170. ${ }^{2}$ Ibid. 171, 172. ${ }^{2}$ Ibid. 89-93. ${ }^{3}$ Ilid. 57.
    ${ }^{8}$ Ibid. 173.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ Homer and the Homeric Age, ii. 270.
    ${ }^{2}$ Irid. 164; see also National Review, July 1858, 53, \&c.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ibid. 207. Writing some months before the publication of Mr. Gladstone's work on Homer, Professor Max Mïller had remarked that 'among the lowest tribes of Africa and America we hardly find anything more hideous and revolting,' than the storics told of Kronos and his offspring. 'It seems blasphemy,' he adds, 'to consider these fables of the

[^11]:    heathen world as corrupted and misinterpreted fragrents of a divine revelation once granted to the wholo race of mankind.' 'Comparative $\mathrm{Myth}_{\text {to }}$ logy:' Chips from a German Wonkshop, ii. 13. But the disposition so frequently shown at present to explain the prowith of mythology by bold assmmptioms renders it necessary to examine arguments which might otherwise be passed by in silence.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sge p. 10.
    ${ }^{2}$ Mr. Qladstone (Fomer, fo. ii. 155), dwells much on the indistinct colouring which is thrown over Letto, and which - leaves her 'wholly functionless, wholly inactive,' and 'without a purpose,' except in so far as she is the mother of Phoibes.

[^13]:    Mut this is precisely the relation in which the mythical Night steod to the Day which was to be born of her. It was impossible that the original idea could be developed into a much moro definite persomality.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Chapter IX. of this book.
    ${ }^{2}$ Gladstone's Homer, foc. ii. 70.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ibid. 72. This conspiracy is mentioned more than once by $M x$. Gladstone, (75, 182): but he mentions it, not as a drawback on the traditive character of Athênê, but as showing first that Zeus himself might be assailed, and secondly that his majesty romained nevertheless substantially unimpaired. Yet a reference to it, as bearing on the moral conception of Athennê, would seem to be indispensable ; and this reference Mr. Gladstone has supplied in Juventus Mundi, p. 273. He here states that 'wo have in the case of Apollo an uniform identity of will with the chief god, and in the case of Athênê only an exceptional departure from it.' The admission is important; and with it we must couple other traditions, to be noticed hereafter, which

[^15]:    we have not the slightest warrant for regarding as the growth of agos later than those in which our Niad and Odyssey assumed their present form. In fact, the admission seoms fatal to the theory; nor can it be said that 'the case of Apollo stands alone as an exhibition of entire unbroken harmony with the will of Zeus, which in all things he regards.'-P. 272. In the myths of Asklêpios and Admêtos ho draws on himself the wrath and the rengeance of Zeus for slaying tho Kyklopes as is requital for the death of his son, the Healer; and we are fully justified in laying stress on this fact, until it can bo proved that any one myth must necessarily be regarded as of earlier growth than another, moroly because it happens to be found in our Iliad and Odyssey.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ The same argumont seems to be of revelation so extensive as that assumed force against the supposition that a by Mr. Gladstone proceded the age

[^17]:    whose language gave birth to the later Aryan mythology. For a revelation so corrupted implies a gradual degeneration into coarseness, sensuality, even brutishness; but the mind of that early time, as exhibited to us in their language, is childish or infantile, but not brutish; and it is not easy to see how from a preriod in which they had sensualised and debased a high revelation men could emerge into a state of simple and childish wonder, altogether distinct from either idolatry or impurity, and in which their notions as to the life of nature were as indefinite and unformed as their ideas respecting their own personality.
    ${ }^{1}$ See especially the striking analogy of the broad and narrow ways leading respectively to ruin and happiness (Works and Days, 285-290). It is not pretended
    that this morality, many of the precepts of which seem almost echoes from the Sermon on the Mount, was handed down from an original revelation. If then, in this respect, the course was from the lesser to the greater, the progress could be the work only of the Sipirit of God; and the downward course of their mythology from a positive revelation appears therefore the more mysterious and perplexing.
    ${ }^{2}$ The Hesiodic Works and Days seem to exhilit, along with some decline of physieal energy, a sensitiveness of temperament to which the idea of overbearing arrogance and wanton insult threw a dark colouring over the whole course of human life. With such a feeling the mind may casily pass into a morbid coudition.

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ Iliad, i. 100.

[^19]:    1 'Comparative Mythology,' Chips from a German Workshop, ii. 75. This flexible nature of the earliest myths explainssome apparentcontradictions in the Homeric mythology. To my conclusion that some of the most striking features in the character of Paris are reproduced in Meleagros and Achilleus, Professor Max Müllur has taken exeeption on the ground that 'if the germ of the Iliad is the battle between the solar and nocturnal powers, Paris surely belongs to the latter.'-Lectures on Language, second series, xi. I venture to think that in this instance Professor Max Mïller has answered his own objection. As the seducer of Helen, Paris represents the treacherous night; but he is also the fated hero doomed to loring ruin on his kinsfolk, while he is further known as Alexandros, the helper of men. Hence in this aspect of his character, a number of images which describe the solar heroes have been grouped around his person, whilo the leading idea em-

[^20]:    bodied in him is that of the dark thief which steals away the twilight. It may be added that the very words which Professor Max Müller quotes to show that 'he whose destiny it is to kill Achilles in the Western Gates could hardly have been himself of solar or vernal lineage, would also prove that Phoibos Apollôn belonged to the ranks of the powers of night, for the death of Achilleus is brought ahout, by him no less than by Paris. Paris, however, is not of solar or vernal lineage. He is essentially the deceriver who draws away the golden-haired Helen to his dusky dwelling; and all that I would urge is that when the poet described him ats a warrior, he naturally employed imagery with which the solar heroes had made him familiar, and wove into the talle the incidents which make up the myth of Oinono and which reenr in the stories of Sigurd and of Theseus, of Kaphalos and of Herakles. The subject will be further treated in its proper place.

[^21]:    1 Professor H. H. Wilson, in the Edinburgh Review for October 1860, No. CCXXVIII. p. 382 ; and Vishnu Purana, p. ii., where he emphatically denies that the old Vedic religion was idolatrous. His remarks on tho general

[^22]:    character of the Vedicreligion deserve the deepest attention. They seem entirely to sulvert the hypothesis which Mr. Gladstone has maintained.

    * Gladstone, Humer, foc. ii. 50.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ibid. $48 . \quad{ }^{4}$ Ilid. 3. ${ }^{5}$ Ibid. 4.

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ Gladstone, Homer, Se. ii. 18.
    2 Ibid. 42.
    ${ }^{3}$ The necessity of such a process in all questions of fact will scarcely be disputed, and the present would seem to

[^24]:    fall strictly under this class. See Grote, History of Greece, part ii. ch. Ixviii. vol. viii. p. 617, \&c.
    ${ }^{4}$ Gladstone, Homer, §c. ii. 40.

[^25]:    ${ }^{1}$ Gladstone, Homer, \&c. ii. 39.
    2 The fact of offerings is obviously very different from an ordinance commanding such offerings. The former may exist without the latter. Nor is there the slightest intimation that the

[^26]:    offering of Cain was rejected because it was not one of blood; its rejection is made to depend, not on the quality of the oblation, but on the monal condition of him who brings it.

[^27]:    ${ }^{1}$ For the mass of facts which seom to negative the hypothesis of degonera-
    tion see Sir J. Tmbbock's Prehistoric Times, second edition, 1869.

[^28]:    ${ }^{1}$ If the theories which make language the necessary adjunct and outcome of thought must be albandoned as inconsistent with known facts, if we must face the conclusion that man speaks not because he thinks, but because he wishes to share his thoughts with others, and hence that words are wholly arbitrary and conventional signs without the slightest essential relation to the things signified, no reason for surprise remains if human ideas of God and of the service due to him should be found to exhibit

[^29]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lectures on Lunguage, 2nd scries, viii. 343.

[^30]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lectures on Language, 2nd series ix. See also Dictionary of Science, \&c. s.v. Soul. $\quad$ 2 Southey, Curse of Kehama, xxiv. 10.

[^31]:    ${ }^{1}$ Max Mïller, Lectures on Language, second series, vii. ; Chips, ii. 257.
    ${ }^{2}$ Max Müller, Lectures on Language, first series, 370 , et seq.
    ${ }^{3}$ Whitney, On Language and the Study of Language, passim. Mr. WhitVOL. I.
    noy has carricd to its logical results the proposition that man was born, not with speech, but simply with tho capacity for speech. His whole book is an carnest and able defence of all the conclusions involved in this proposition.

[^32]:    ${ }^{1}$ To this list may be added the name for corn as ground or crushed, in the Scottish girnel, the Lithuanian girnôs, the Gothic quairnus, our quern. Max Müller, 'Comparative Mythology,' Chips from a German Workshop, ii. 43. ${ }^{2}$ 'Never in the history of man has there been a new language. What does

[^33]:    that mean? Neither more nor loss than that in speaking as we do, we are using the same materials, however broken up, crushed, and put together anew, which were handled by the first speaker, i.e. the first real ancestor of our race.Max Müller, Chips, ii. 255.

[^34]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mr. Farrar, Chapters on Language, iv. 42 , \&cc., lays great stress on the immobility of sarage races and their inherent and insuperable incapacity for education. As directed against the notion that the creation of man in a state of infancy is inconsistent with the goodness of God, his argument seems to be unanswerable. It is surely not more difficult to believe that the first stage of human existence exhibited the closest analogy to that of childhood, than it is to believe that God would now 'suffer the existence of thousands who are doomed throughout lifo to a helpless and hopeless imbecility, and that for no fault of their own.' Nor can we well misapprehend Mr. Farrar's meaning when, after mentioning the Yamparico, 'who speaks a sort of gibberish like the growling of a dog, and lives on roots, crickets, and several buglike insects;' the Vedahss of Ceylon, 'who have gutturals and grimaces instead of language, who have no God, no idea of time and distance, no name for hours, days, months and years, and who camnot count beyond five on their fingers,' he adds, 'These beings, we presume, no one will deny, aro mon with ordinary human souls:' p . 45 . The primæval man was certainly not in a worse condition than these miscralle races; yet Mr. Farrar onds his chapter with the assertion 'that Man is a very

[^35]:    ${ }^{1}$ So again from the fact that in Sanskrit, Greek, and Gothic, 'I know' is expressed by a perfect, meaning originally 'I have perceived,' Professor Max Mitller infers that 'this fashion or idiom had become permanent before the Greeks separated from the Hindus, before the Hindus becamo unintelligible to the Germans.' Such facts, he insists, teach

[^36]:    us lessons more important than all the traditions put together, which the inhabitants of India, Greece and Germany, have preserved of their carliest migrations, and of the foundations of their empires, ascribod to their gods, or to the sons of their gods and heroines.' -Chips, ii. 252.

[^37]:    ${ }^{1}$ Max Müller, Lectures on Language, second series, vii. 308 ; History of SansKrit Literature, 528, et seq. After tracing the evolution of a moral and spiritual meaning from myths originally purely physical, M. Baudry concludes, "Lo sentiment moral et religieux n'existait qu'implicitement dans le naturalisme primitif. Lídée du Dieu créateur, père des hommes, aimant le bien et menant la création vors ce but final, n'apparaît pas nettement dans la mythologio origin-

[^38]:    aire et ne s'en dégagea quo pou-i-peu. Quoique l'Indo ait été plus tard lo pays par excellence de la theologie, lo RigVéda ne contiont de théologie que clans ses parties les moins anciennes. Il en faut prendre son parti; la métaphysique, la morale elle-même en tant qu'ello arrive a so formulor, sont des fruits du développement intellectuel at non des souvenirs d'une antique sagesse.'- $D_{B}$ l'Interprétation Mythologique, 30.

[^39]:    ${ }^{1}$ In his most able and interesting preface to the edition of Warton's History of English Poetry, 1824, Mr. Richard Price lays great stress on this tendency, from which he holds that even advanced forms of society are by no means free. 'It is difficult,' he remarks 'to conceive any period of human existence, where the disposition to indulgo in these illusions of fancy has not been a leading charactoristic of the mind. The infancy of socioty, as the first in the order of time, also affords some circumstances highly favourable to the developement of this faculty. In such a state, tho secret and invisible bands which connect the human race with the animal and vegetable creation, are either felt more forcibly in an age of conventional refinement, or aro more frequently presented to the imagination. Man regards himself then but as the first link in the chain of animate and inanimato nature, as the associato and fellow of all

[^40]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lectures on Language, second series, p. 500.

[^41]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sco further Max Müller, 'Comparative Mythology,' Chips, ii. 96.
    ${ }^{2}$ Tectures on Tanguage, second series, 303.

[^42]:    ${ }^{1}$ See further Max Müller, Rig Veda SanZitâ, vol. i. p. 26.

[^43]:    1 Dean Stanley (Lectures on the likeness botween the features of Samson Jewish Church, i. 368) points out the and those of Iram. ${ }^{1.7}$....

[^44]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the growth of a higher belief and ${ }^{a}$ purer morality by the side and in spite of the popular mythology, we can see only the operation of the Divine Spirit on the mind and heart ou' men.

[^45]:    ${ }^{2}$ See the analysis of these myths in Professor Max Müller's essay on 'Comparative Mythology,' Chips from a Gerimeen Workshop, vol. ii.

[^46]:    ${ }^{1}$ In this legend he goes to the aid of of course, be varied at will, so loner as Amphitryon; but such details might, the movements are still to westward.

[^47]:    ${ }^{1}$ We have here only a reproduction of the snakes which aro killed by Heraklês, and the serpent which stings Eurydikê. It reappears in Norse myths as

[^48]:    the serpent Fafnir, and carries us to the throttling snake, who, as Vritra, is smitten by the spear of Indra.

[^49]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sholley, Love's Philosophy.

[^50]:    ${ }^{1}$ Max Müller, 'Comparative Mythology,' Chips from a German Workshop,
    64, ct seq. ii. 64, ct seq.

[^51]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hence the mythical Prometheus.

[^52]:    ${ }^{1}$ In this instance ' the coincidence between myth and history is so great, that it has induced some Euhemeristic critics to derive the whole legend of the Nibelunge from Austrasian history, and to make the murder of Siegbert by Brunehault the basis of the murder of Sifrit or Sigurd by Brynhild. Fortunately it is easier to answer these German than the old Greek Euhemerists, for we find in contemporary history that Jor-

[^53]:    nandes, who wrote his history at least, twenty ycars before the death of the Austrasian Siegbert, know alroady the daughter of the mythic Sigurd, Swanhild, who was born, according to the Edda, after the murder of his father, and afterwards killed by Jörmunrek, whom the poem has again historiesed in Hermanicus, a Gothic king of the fourth century.'- Max Müller, 'Comparative Mythology,' Chips, \& c. vol. ii. 112.

[^54]:    a 'Comparative Mythology, p. 108, \&e. The story of Sigurd and Brynhild eomes up again in the legends of Ragnar and Thora, and again of Ragraar and Aslauga. Like Brynhild, Thera with the earth's treasure is guarded by a dragon whose coils encircle hor castle; and only the man who slays the dragon can win her for his bride. Jut Ragnar lodbiog, who so wins her, is still the son of Sigurd. Thora dies, and Ragnar at length woos the beautiful Kraka, whom, however, he is on the point of desorting for the daughter of Osten, when Kraka reveals herself as the child of Sigurd and Brynhild. The myth has been weakened in its extension; but the half consciousness of its origin is betrayed in the very names and incidents of the story, even as, in the Iliad, the tears which Eós sheds on the death of Memnôn are 'morning dow.' See Thorpe's Northern

[^55]:    ${ }^{1}$ The same myth, as we might expect, forms the subject of several of the 'Sculptured Stones' of Scotland. 'The legend of a dragon holding a maiden in thrall until he is slain by a valiant knight, occurs more than once.' - Burton, History of Scotland, vol. i. p. 150.
    " It is but another form of the jualousy of Eôs and Prokris. It finds its most tender expression in the griof of Oinône for the treachery of Paris.
    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{Mr}$. Dasent, who has very ally traced the intimate connection of the mythological systems of the Aryan race, scems, like Mr. Gladstone, to attribute their repulsivo aspects to a moral canse. His reasons, however, are very different. The incessant display of the Hellenic and Teutonic gods he attributes to a consciousness on the part of their worshippers that they were subjective, and hence non-substant:al. Ho contrasts rightly the 'restlessness' of a false religion, 'whon brought face to face with the quiet dignity and majesty of the true; but his instances appear to be

[^56]:    ${ }^{1}$ According to Apollodoros, vol. iii. 11, 5 , he is so named as aiding the herdsmen, or more strictly, the flocks, against robbers. But it is possible that word itself might have been used
    to describe lim as the enemy of man, as $\dot{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \xi \mathfrak{\xi}$ iкakos denotes one who keeps off evil, and $\dot{d} \lambda \epsilon \xi(\gamma \alpha \mu o s$ signifies one who shuns or keeps off marriage.

[^57]:    ${ }^{1}$ The term $\gamma v \nu a u \alpha \nu \eta s$, as applied to Paris, only translates in a somewhat, strengthened form a common epithet of Indra and Krishna, who, like the son of Priam, are 'the lovers of the girls,' 'the husbands of the lrides.' The idea would not fail to assume a sensual aspect when the actors of the tale were invested with human personality.
    ${ }_{2}$ Professor Max Müller, under the impression that I had sought 'to show that Paris belongs to the class of bright solar heroes,' lays stress on this fact, as pointing to an opposite conclusion. 'If the germ of the lliad,' he adds 'is the battle between the solar and nocturnal powers, Paris surely belongs to the latter, and he whose destiny it is to kill Achilles in the western gates,
     'А $\mathrm{A} \pi \dot{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$
     could hardly have been himself of solar or " vernal lineage." '-Lectures on Lan-

[^58]:    ${ }^{1}$ Popular Tales from the Norse, introduction, lxi.
    2 Ibid. Ixxiv.

[^59]:    1 The common mythology of the whole Aryan raco goes against the supposition that Apollôn and Athene owe their existence to man-worship and woman-worship respectively. Athênê, originally nothing more than the dawn, was to the Greek an embodiment of

[^60]:    moral and intellectual greatness. The absence or deterioration of the former converts Athene into the Kolchian Medeia. The latter type, when still further degraded, becomes the latin Canidia, a close approximation to the ordinary witeh of modern superstition.

[^61]:    ${ }^{1}$ In his analysis of the Volsung tale, Mr. Dasent very ably traces the marks left by the national character on the Norse mythology; but he scarcely brings into sufficient prominence the fact that after all it was only modification, not invention. Sigurd is the very reverse of the orientalised Adonis; but the intermediate link is supplied by the Hellenic Phoibos. In describing Sigurd, Mr. Dasent, perhaps unconsciously, falls into the LIomeric phrases which speak of the glorious sun-god. His beautiful limbs, his golden hair, the piercing eye of which none dared to mect the gazo, are all characteristics of the Homeric Apollôn. To theso are undoubtedly added the hardier virtues of the North, which may to us make the picture more attractive, and which appear in some degree to soften in Mr. Dasent's eyes the harshmess and extreme intricacy of the Northern mythology. The

[^62]:    ${ }^{1}$ Agamemnon, 160.
    2 (id. Tyr. 903.

    * Plato, Gorgias, Ixxx.
    ${ }^{4}$ This idea Mr. Dasent seems to eregard exclusively as a characteristic of Tentonic mytholagy. (Popular Tale's of the Norse, introduction, lvi, lxxr.)

[^63]:    It soems to be embodied in the Fisely lean legend of Promethens, although other versions accounted for his deliverance without the deposition of $Z$ eus.
    ${ }^{5}$ Grote, History of Grecee, vol. i. p. 264.

[^64]:    ${ }^{1}$ Grote, History of Greece, vol. i. p. 628. M. de Montalembert's History, Les Maines d'Occident, is a storohouse of legends belonging to the ideal of saintliness. He appears, however, to treat some of them rather in the spirit of Luêmeros. See Edinburgh Review, No. ccxxxir., Oct. 1861, p. 399.
    ${ }^{2}$ Grote, History of Greece, i. 96.
    ${ }^{3}$ See Mr. Dasent's sketch of the origin and developement of the modern ideas of witchcraft, Popular Tales from the Norse, introduction, p. cvii., \&e, and the more detailed account of Mr. Lecky, in his History of the Rise and Influcnce

[^65]:    ${ }^{1}$ Grote, History of Grecee, part i. ch. i. p. 15.
    ${ }_{2}^{2}$ Dasent, Norse Tales, introduction,
    p. lxvii. Max Mäller, 'Semitie Monotheism; Chips Jroma Gicrman Workshop, vol. i.

[^66]:    1 This stato might also easily pass into Lastern dualism. The developement of the Helleme mind was more wholesome. The provalence of evil never led it to regard evil as co-ordinate with good.

[^67]:    For the parallel growth of Hebrew idolatry with that of the purer religion of Jehovah, see Kaliseh, Historical and Critical Commentary on the Old Testament, 'Leviticus,' part i. ch. xxiii, p. 380.

[^68]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is easy also to see how a suhstantial conviction of the Divine Unity might co-exist with the worship of his manifestation under the image of fire, the Vedic Agni, Ignıs. This is again a

[^69]:    belicf founded on the sun as the allseeing eye of day.
    ${ }^{2}$ Dasent, 'Norseman in Iceland,' Oxford Essays for 18:58, p. 187.

[^70]:    ${ }^{1}$ Herod. vii. 150.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibid. ix. 20, 27.

[^71]:    1 Thucyd. v. 41. Sir G. C. Lewis as historical. Credibility of carly Ro-
    accepts the groundwork of the legend man History, ii. 515.

[^72]:    1 Iliad. xix. 386.

[^73]:    ${ }^{1}$ In this version of the myth he is a son of Eurykleia, a name which belongs to the same class with Euryganeia, Eurydike , Eurymede, etc. In the same way Dionysos, who, in the The ban legend, was born amid the blaze of the lightning which destroyed his mother, is in the Lakonian story placed in a chest with his mother and carried to Brasiai, where Semellê was found dead. Paus. ii. $24, \S 3$.

    2 This illustration must not be ro-

[^74]:    garded as banishing Cyrus wholly to the domain of mytholong, although it seems sufficiently to prove that to the person of the historical Gyrus, as to that. of Charles the Groat, a mass of tloatime mythology has attached itself, and that. from such traditions we camot he satd to darive any part of our historical knowledge. The eonclusions whide these facts seem to fore: upon us are given elsewhere. (Ch. ix.)

[^75]:    ${ }^{3}$ Paus. viii. 4, 6; Grcte, Ifistory of Grece, rol. i. p. 240.
    ${ }_{2}$ Paus. v. 12. Acthlios is the husband of Kalyke, the night. By some canon of probability, better known to bimself than to others, Pausanias chonses to marry Endymiôn to Asterodia, rather than to Selenê, as tho mother of his filty children. He was making a

[^76]:    distinction without a differenee. Mr. Grote gives the several versions of tho myth (History of (irecer, i. 188 ふ心. : but, he is probably mistaken in suppris. ing that the names Acthlios and Endymion are of late introduction, although their eomnection with the Olympic gatuts undoubtedly was.

[^77]:    ${ }^{1}$ Fragm., Belleroph. 300. It can scareely be donied that, from his own point of view, 'Ilato was right in warning the guardians of his ideal polity agranst the danger to youth, if they were permitted to receive tho Ilomeric tales conceming the gods and heroes

[^78]:    rithor as true descriptions of doity, or as examples of human conduct.' Some remarks on the connection of this subject with that of modern edncation may be found in Mr. H. B. Wilson's Introduction to the Examination of Prevalent Opinions of Inswiration (1801), p. xv.

[^79]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lectures on Language, second serics, ix.

[^80]:    Mralth as his spotless bride. The dato of these hymus is a matter of little moment. To whatevor age they may
    belong, they lay bare not a fow of the stages in the mythonocic poems.

[^81]:    ${ }^{1}$ Max Mäller, History of Sunskrit Literature, p. 530. Muir, Sanstrit Thats, part iv. ch. i. sect. 2.
    ${ }^{2}$ Nothing can exceed the coarseness of the legend of Erichthonios as given by Apollodoros, iii. 14, 6. It is, however, nothing moro than a streuge jumble of images which are found scattered through a hundred logonds, and which may be translated into tho following phrases:-
    The Dawn stands before the sum, and asks him for his armour.
    The face of the Dawn charms the Sun, who seeks to embrace her.

[^82]:    The Dawn flies from the Sum, and a soft shower falls on the barth as his piercing rays shoot across the sky after her departing form.

    From the soft shower springs the Summer with its fruits.

    The Dawn would make the Summer immortal, and entrusts the Sumane io the caro of the Dew.
    'Tho serpents of night, lio coiled romes the Summer in the mornine.

    The sisters of the Dew are shain by tho Itawn.

    3 Works and D(y): 25: 25: 25, 265).

[^83]:    ${ }^{1}$ History of-Grecee, ji. 236.
    ${ }^{2}$ Itial, ix. 52? 599.

[^84]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mistory of Grecee, vol. i. eh. v. Dr. Thirlwall is struck by the contrast of the futilo offorts of Agamomnon and his host, with the suecess of Iterakles in his altack on Troy during the reign of faomedon. He makes some phasible historical conjectures to aceoment for this difterence. But tho tale explains itself. Horakles is a transformation of the invincible sun-rod, and his might therefore beats down every enemy, when tho actual moment for conflict has come. But. Agumemmon and his host must wait, ton yoars before they can be permitted to storm the citadel of Ilion. They are the children of the sum, soeking through the woary hours of darkness the leautiful light, which after sundown was laken away from the wostern sky.

[^85]:    ${ }^{1}$ Iliad, xx. 307, 308. It is, after all, the merest inference.-Grote, History of Grecce, i. 428 .
    ${ }^{2}$ It must, however, be remembered, that alleged archaeological evidence must not be accepted in every case without; question. It is now asserted that '()ffa's dyke' is a natural work, and ()ffa himself is thus carriod suspiciously near the

[^86]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Gentile and the Jew in the Courts of the Temple of Christ, Low ii.

[^87]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Gentile and the Jew in the Courts of the Temple of Christ, vol. i. p. 66.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibid. p. $68 . \quad$ I Ibid. p. 80.

[^88]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Gentile and the Jew in the Courts of the Timple of Christ, 93.

[^89]:    ${ }^{1}$ Milman, Llistory of the Jews, of the Babylomish captivity the main book i.

    * In truth, when we spack of the monotheistic faith of the Jewish prople, wo speak of their faith of their teachers. All the evidence at our command sems to show that at least down to the timo
    body of the prople was incurably polytheistic. 'The history even of the Jows,' says Professor Max Müllor 'is made up of an almost uninterrupted series of relapses into polytheism.' 'Semitic Munotheism,' Chips, fcc. i. 365.

[^90]:    ${ }^{3}$ Credinility of Early Roman Fistory, i. 297.
    ${ }^{2}$ Jew and Gentile, $\mathfrak{f c}$., vol. i. pp. 68, 74.

[^91]:    ${ }^{1}$ Popular Trles from the Norse, introduction, p. xliii.
    ${ }^{2}$ History of Greecc, vol. i. p. 2.

[^92]:    ${ }^{1}$ Max Miuller, Mistory of Somshrit Literature, pp. 528, 557.
     ò $\lambda \delta \lambda v \xi \alpha \nu \ddot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \iota$.

    Hyma to Apollo, 119.
    ${ }^{3}$ In the essay on Comparative Mythology, Professor Max Müller has given not only the older forms of this myth,

[^93]:    but a minute amalysis of the play of Kalidass on this sulgeet. This poom is very instructive, ns showing that the chameter of the Homoric Achillens adheres as closely to the original idea as (lo) those of Urvasi amd Pururavas in the later poetry of Kialidâsa.

[^94]:    ${ }^{1}$ Max Müller, History of Sanskrit Literature, p. 551.

[^95]:    ${ }^{1}$ H. II. Wilson, IRig Iedda Sunhita, vol. iii. p. 369.

[^96]:     $\kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega}$
     $\phi \alpha ́ \rho \in i ̈ ~ \lambda \in v \kappa \hat{̣}$
    $\lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \hat{\varphi} \nu \eta \gamma \alpha \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \varphi$.
    Hymn to Apollo, 120.
    This is the white and glistening robo in which Cyrus and Arthur are wrapped, when they are carried away from the house in which they were born.
     ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~A} \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \circ \nu$,

[^97]:    ${ }^{1}$ Niebulhr, (in his Tectures on Ancient Fistory, vol. i. p. 239) sees that the tale points to the bast; but from the words Kadmos and Banna as oecurring in the Boiotion dialect, only he is perfectly convinced of the 'Phemician origin of Thebes.' The identity of the name Melikertes (in the myth of Inô) with

[^98]:    ${ }^{1}$ Chips from a German Worlishop, ii. 229.

[^99]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dasent, Norse Tales, 'The Master Thief,' 268.
    ${ }^{2}$ Campbell, Poputar Tales of the West Highlands, vol. i. p. 320.

[^100]:    ${ }^{1}$ Chips from a German Workshop, ii. 231.

[^101]:    ${ }^{1}$ The groundwork of the Arabian Nights' story of the Forty Thieres is manifestly the same, but the likeness to the legend of Rhampsinitos is not nearly so close. Here, however, as in the Egyptian tale, we have two brothers, who become possessed of the secret of a treasure-house. The king is replaced by the forty thieves; but it may be noted that Herodotos speaks of the wealth of Rhampsinitos as amassed by extortion if not by direct robbery. Here also one of the brothers is uulucky; but although he is found alive in the cave, the thieves are none the wiser, as he is immediately killed. Here too the body is nailed up against the wall,

[^102]:    but it is within the cave; and it is taken away by the other brother, who is impelled to this task, not by the mother of the dead man, but by his wife. The thieves are not less perplexed than Rhampsinitos when they find that the body has been removed, and that thus some one else is possessed of their secret. The spell which opens the cave connects the Arabian story with the vast mass of legends turning on substances which have the power of splitting rocks, and which Mr. Gould has resolved into phrases descriptive of the action of lightning.-Curious Myths of the Middle Ages, second series, 'Schamir.'

[^103]:    ${ }^{1}$ Herodotos, ii. 121, \&ce. ; Tales of Ancient Grecce, 385.

[^104]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Mr. Cowell's Paper 'On the Hindu Version of the Story of Rhampsinitos,' in the Journal of Philology, No. I. p. 66. The imprisonment of the king's daughter in the treasure-chamber can scarcely fail to remind us of Brynhild within her flaming walls; and thus the myth seems to exhibit an affinity to

[^105]:    ${ }^{1}$ The theft of treasure by a clevor rogue occurs in the story of the Travels of Dummling, who is Boots under another name. Compare also Grimm's

[^106]:    stories of 'The Four Accomplished Brotliers,' 'The Rogue and his Master,' and of the 'Young Giant.' In the latter talo Hermes takes more the form

[^107]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is precisely reproduced by Horace in his well-known ode, with an incident which is not mentioned in the Homeric hymn, but is in coso agreement with the spirit of the Norse tale :

[^108]:    making or fire-bringing gods, so Wäinämöinen catches the fish that has swallowed the fire, which, struck by Ukko, the lord of the air, from the new sun and moon, has fallen into the sea.
    ${ }^{3}$ This story of 'The Jew among the Thorns,' in Grimm's Household Tales, is reproduced under a hundrod forms; but in few or none of these can it be maintained with any show of reason that one has been deliberately adapted from another. The fiddle which makes the Jew dance is reproduced in the form of a stick in 'The Lad who went to the North Wind,' (Dasent, Norse Tales, 263). The stick is of course the gift of the wind, just as Hermes gives the harp to Phoibos. In the German story the Jew is made to yield up his purse to tho

[^109]:    1 Old Deccan Days, a scries of tales taken down from the dictation of Anna Liberata de Souza, and translated by Miss Frere. The stories are of great importance; but thoir value is inde-

[^110]:    ${ }^{1}$ This last incident is cloarly the same as that which brings about the death of the bald carpenter, who boing attacked by a mosquito called his son to drive it away. The son aiming a blow at tho insect, splits his father's head with the axe. This story from the Pankatantra Professor Max Müller

[^111]:    ${ }^{1}$ This incident recurs in the Norse version of the Master Thief. Hero, howerer, there is no real bird, but only the thief disguised as a bird, nor are the victims of the trick actually killed, but they are grievously manled, and are robbed as effectually as the matechgirl. What is more to the point is, that the property is in each case abmadoned by an act of their own free will. Maving undertaken to cheat the priest and his clerk, the thief 'dressed himself up liko a bird, threw a great white sheet orer his body, took the wings of a groose and tied them to his back, and so climbed up into a great maple which stood in the priest's garden, and when the priest came home in the evening the youth began to bawl out, "Father Lawrence, father Lawrence,'-for that was the priest's name, 'Who is that calling me,' said the priest. 'I am an angel,' said the Master Thief, 'sent from God to let you know that you shall be taken up alive into hearen for your piety's sake. Next Monday night you must hold yourself ready for the journey, for I shall come then to fetch

[^112]:    ${ }^{1}$ Frere, Old Deccan Days, p. 127.

[^113]:    1 This donkey is, in fact, Midas, at whose touch ererything turas to gold a myth which reappears in the Irish tradition of Lavra Loingsech, who had horse's ears, as Midas had those of an ass. The reeds betrayed the secret in the case of Midas; the larber of Lavra whispered the secret in the Irish story to a willow; the willow was cut down, and the harp made of the wood murmured 'Lavra Loingsech has horse's ears.' (Fergusson, The Irish before the Conquest.) The horse and the ass doubtless represent the Harits of Hindu mythology; the production of gold (the golden light) by the sun or the dawn recurs again and again in Aryan legends. In Grimm's story of the 'Three Little Men in the Wood,' the kindly dawn-child shares her bread with the dwarfs, who, as in the Volsung tale, guard the treasures of the earth, and in return they grant to her

[^114]:    1 The Norse story of 'The Lad who went to the North Wind' turns on the same machinery.

[^115]:    ${ }^{1}$ This jar is, of course, the horn of Amaltheia, the napkin of Rhydderch, the never-failing table of the Ethiopians, tho cup of the Malee's wife in the Hindu legend; but the countless forms assumed by the mysterious vessel which

[^116]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the mythology of Northern Europe the lion-skin becomos a bearsack, and thus, areording to the story of Porphyry, /ahmoxis, the mythical legislator of the Getai, was a Berserkr, as having boen clothed in a boarskin as soon as he was born. Probably the explanation is about as trustworthy as that which traces the name Tritogeneia to a Cretan word trito, meaning head. The other form of the name, Zamolxis,

[^117]:    las been supposed (Nork, heat-Wörterbuch, s.v.) to print to mulgeo, muleco, and thas to demote the wizard of the sorecrer. The story of his romaining hidden for yenss in a care, and then reappearing among the Getai, is merdy another form of tho myths of Porsephome, Adonis, Baldur, Osiris, and other deities of the waxing and waning year.
    ${ }^{2}$ Insent, Tales from the Norse, xeiv. exli. 266.

[^118]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the Gaelic story of the 'Young King of Easaidh Ruadh,' which contains
    this story, this puzzle is thus put, 'There is a great flagstone under the

[^119]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dasent, Norse Tales, cliv. Some of the stories told of Buots are very significant. Among the most noteworthy is Grimm's story of 'One who travelled to learn what shivering meant.' The stupid boy in this tale shows marvellous strength of arm, but he is no more able to shiver than the sun. At midnight he is still quick with the heat of fire, which cannot be cooled even ly contact with the dead. Like Sigurd, he recovers the treasures in the rohber's kecping, and he learns to shiver only when his bride pours over him at night a pail of water full of finh-in other words, when Helios plunges into the sea as Endym on. Elsewhere, he is not only the wanderer or vagrabond, but the discharged soldier, or the strolling player, who is really the king Thrushbeard in the German story,

[^120]:    
    Sophokles, Oid. Tyr. 397. lands, iii. 154.
    = Odyssey, xriii. 110.

[^121]:    youthful son of Palna in lise disguise is the womanlike Thesens, Dionysos, or Achilleus. Balna herself imprisoned in the tower with the sorcerer whom she hates is Holen shat up in Ilion with the seducer whom sho loathes; and as Felen calls herself the dog-faced, so Balna is transformed into a dog when Punchkin leads her away. The carles whose young hesares, like the herocs of so many popular tales, aro the lurght clonds who bear off little Surya Bai to the nest on the tree top.

[^122]:    $=$ The constant agoncy of wolres and foxes in the German stories at once suggests a comparison with the Myrmidons whom the Homerie poet so elaborately likens to wolves, with Phoibos hiunself as the wolf-god of Neschylos, and with the jackill princes of eastern story. In Grimm's story of "The Two Brothers,' the animals succoured are the hare, fox, wolf, and lion, and thoy each, as in the Hindu tale, offor their young as ministers to the hero who has spared their lives. In the beantiful legend of the Golden Bird, the youngest brother and the fox whom by his kindness he secures as his ally, alike represent the disguised chieftain of Ithaka, and the rajahs of the Hindu stories. Tho disguise in which the youngest

[^123]:    ${ }^{1}$ The rod of Kirke. The persons changed into stones represent the companions of Euryluchos. They are petrified only because they cannot resist the
    allurements or temptations of the place. ${ }^{2}$ The Dioskonroi, or the Asrins. ${ }^{3}$ Sce also Campbell's Titles of the West Highlunds, i. 103.

[^124]:    ${ }^{1}$ Max Müller, Chips, ii. 216.

[^125]:    ${ }^{1}$ It occurs also in the German legend of "The King of the Golden Mountain.' In the story of Gyges (Plato, Pol. 360), this power of making the wearer invisible resides in a ring, which he discovers far beneath the surface of the earth. This ring onables him to corrupt the wife of Kandaules, and so to become master of the Lydian kingdom; and thus it belongs to the number of mysterious rings which represent the Hindu Yoni. See also the Gaelic tale of 'Conal Crovi.' Campbell, i. 133. The triple power of wish is invested in the stone given by the dwarf to Thorston. Keightley, Fairy Mythology, 71.
    2 The ladder by which Jack ascends
    to heaven is not peculiar to this story. It is possibly only anothor form of the Bridge of Heimdall. 'Mr. Tylor,' says Professor Max Miiller, 'aptly compares the [Mandan] fable of tho vine and the fat woman with the story of Jack and the Bean Stalk, and he lrings other stories from Malay and Polynesian districts emborying the same ideat. Amour the different ways by which it was thought possible to aseend from earth to heaven, Mr. Tylor mentions the rank spoar-grass, a rope or thong. a spider's web, a ladder of iron or gold, a column of smoke, or the rainbow.' Chips, ii. 268.
    ${ }^{3}$ Il. v. 845.

[^126]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is substantially tho Rabbinical story of 'The Broken Oath,' the difference being that the young man is alveady in Fairy Land, and finds in the forbidden chamber, not tho pictare, but the maiden herself. The sequel of this story exhibits the maiden as the Fairy Queen, who lays the man under a pledge to remain with her. After a while he feels a yearning to return to his earthly home. He is suffered to do so on pledging his word that ho will come back. But the pledge redermed without murmuring by Thomas of Ercilduane is set at nought by the hero of this tale. The forsaken fairy carries

[^127]:    the case before the Rabbis, who decide that he must go back ; but on his persistent refusal, she beseeches him to suffer her to take leare of him and to embrace him. 'He replied that sho might, and as soon as she emlraced him, she drow out his soul, and he diod.' Thus far tho story runs like that of Fouque's Undine; but in the sequel the insensibility of the Jow to the ludicrous is shown in the words put into the mouth of the fairy, who leaves her son Solomon in the keeping of the Rabbis, assuring them that he will pass examinations satisfactorily. Keightley, Fairy Mythology, 505.

[^128]:    1 The calamity which orertakes Luxman and Taithful John is seen in an earlier and less developed form in the German story of the Frog Prince. Here the faithful friend is overwhelmed with grief because his master is turned into a frog. But this transformation is merely the sinking of the sun into the western waters (see note 3, p. 165), and the time of his absenco answers to tho charmed sleep of Enclymion. Trusty

[^129]:    ${ }^{1}$ Max Müller, Chips from a German Wortsshop, ii. s9.

[^130]:    ${ }^{1}$ Rig Veda Sanhita, II. H. Wilson, vol. ii. p. 51.

[^131]:    ${ }^{1}$ Campbell, Tales of the West Highlands. 'The Young King of Easaidh Ruadh.'

    2 Washington Irving, Tales of the

[^132]:    Alhambra.
    ${ }^{3}$ The glass or marble of tho Mindu tale answers to the ico of the Norse legends.

[^133]:    ${ }^{1}$ Of these stories, taken as a class, Professor Max Müller says 'that the clements or seeds of these fairy tales belong to the period that preceded the dispersion of the Aryan race; that the same people who, in their migrations to the north and tho south, carried along with them the names of the sun and the

[^134]:    dawn, and their belinf in the bright gods of hearen, possessed in their very language, in their mythological and proverbial phraseology, the more or less developed germs, that were sure to grow up into the same or very similar phants on every soil and under every sky.-Cluips, ii. 226.

[^135]:    ${ }^{1}$ Elian, V. H. xiii. 33; Strabo, xrii. p. 808.

[^136]:    1 This forgetfulness of his first love on the part of the solar hero is brought about in many of the German stories loy his allowing his parents to kiss him on one side of his face, or on his lips. In

[^137]:    ${ }^{1}$ Apollodoros, iii. 3, 1. Mr. Gould, referring to this story as introduced in Fouque's 'Sir Elidoc,' places these flowers or leaves in the large class of things which have the power of restoring life, or splitting rocks, or opening the earth and revealing hidden treasures. The snako leaves represent in short the worms or stones which shatter rocks, the sesame which opens the robbers'

[^138]:    cave, and finally the vulpar hand of glory, which, when set on tiro, aids tho treasure-seeker in his search. All these fables Mr. Gould refers to one and the same object-lightning; and thus a multitude of popular stories again resolve themselves into phrases originally denoting merely physical phenomeria. Curious Myths of the Middle Ages, second series, p. 145, \&cc.

[^139]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Norse tale of Shortshanks (Daserit) is made up in great part of the materials of this story.
    ${ }^{2}$ Il. xxi. 166, \&c.
    ${ }^{3}$ The name Melusina is identified by Mr. Gould with that of the Babylonian Mylitta, the Syrian moon-goddess. Curious Myths of the MiddleAges, second series, 'Melusina.'
    Mr. Gould, in his delightful chapter on this subject, connects Melusina, as first seen close to a fountain, with the

[^140]:    Vedic Apsaras, or water-maidens, of Vedic mythology, and the swan maidens of Teutonic legend. She thus belongs to the race of Naiads, Nixies, and Elves, the latter name denoting a running stream, as the Elbe, the Alpheios. The fish's or serpent's tail is not peculiar to Molusina, and her attributes are also shared with the Assyrian fish-gods, and the Hellenic Proteus.

    * Od. v. 60, \&c.

[^141]:    ${ }^{1}$ Essays on Religion and Literature, edited by Abp. Manning (1865), p. 252.

    * Grote, History of Grecce, i. 55.

    3 The frog prince or princess is only one of the thousand personifications of names denoting originally the phenomena of day and might. As carrying the morning light from the cast to the west, the sun is the bull bearing Europe from the purple land (Phoinikia); and the same changes which converted the Seven Shiners into the Soven Sages, or the Seven Sleepers of Ephesus, or the Seven Champions of Christondom, or the Soven Bears, transformed the sun into a wolf, a boax, a lion, a swan. As resting on

[^142]:    the horizon in "the morning," he is Apollôn swathed by tho water-maidens in golden bands, or the wounded and forsaken Oidipous; as lingering again on the water's edge before ho vamishos from sight, ho is the frog squatting on the water, a homoly imare of Endymion and Narkissos.' In this aspoct tho sun is himself an apsara, or water-maiden; and thus the Sanskrit Bhekî is a beautiful girl, whom a king wins to be his wife on the condition that he is not to let hor see a drop of water. Of course the king one day forgets his promise, shows hor water, and Bhekî vanishes. This is the counterpart to

[^143]:    ' For a detailed account of Euêmeros, see Grote, History of Grecee, part i. ch. xvi. His method has been reproduced in all its completoness or makedness in the article on Mythology inserted in the Encyclopredia Britannica. Haring told us that 'the adventures of Jupiter, Juno, Mercury, Apollo, Diana, Minerva or Pallas, Venus, Bacchus, Ceres, Proserpine, Pluto, Neptune, and the other descendants and condjutors of the ambitious family of the Titans, furnish by far the greatest part of the mythology of Greece,' the writer with prodigious assurance adds, 'They left Phœnicia, we think, in the days of Moses; they settled in Crete, a large and fertile island; from this region they

[^144]:    made their way into Greece.' Thero they introduced art, religion, law, custom, polity, and good order ; but, oddly enough, in spite of all these wholesonve and solering influonces, the Greeks remained a 'deluded rabble, who insisted on paying them divine honours.' The mere onunciation of such absurdities is disgraceful in any work which professes to speak to educated readers, and wonld descrve even a severer condomnation if addressed to the unlearned. But it is altogether inexcusable, in an articlo to which are affixed references to the works of Grimm, K. O. Müller, Max Miuller, Hermann, and others. For the amusing Euemerism of the Abbe Banior, seo Max Müllor, Lectures, second series, 400.

[^145]:    ${ }^{1}$ Iliad, ii. 328.
    ${ }^{2}$ Thucyd. i. 8-11.

[^146]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the supposed case of a number of special correspondents sending homo to English journals accounts of a battle or a campaign, the narrative of which was in all nearly word for word the same in several passages, Mr. Froude says that, 'were the writers themselves, with their closest friends and companions, to swear that there had been no intercommunication, and no story proexisting of which they had made use, and that each had writton bonâ fide from

[^147]:    ${ }^{1}$ Home and Foreign Review, No. VII. p. 111, 1864. It is possible, and even likely, that the distinguished critic whose well-known initials apponded to this article make it unnecessary to keep up any disguise may have modified or rejected these conclusions. It is unnecessary to say that among modern thinkers none can bo found actuated by a more earnest and single-minded desire to ascertain the truth of facts without regard to any secondary considerations

[^148]:    ${ }^{1}$ At present it cannot be regarded as exploded at all. Dr. Latham's words have here great weight:- 'The Wolfian doctrine of the rhapsodic charactor of the Homeric poems, had the existing state of knowledgo been sufficient for the criticism, would scarcely have boen paradox. As it was, it doalt with the Iliud and Odyssey as ordinary opies, comparing thom only with those of Virgil, Tasso, Ariosto, Camoens, Ercilla, and Milton: epies of which the single-handed authorship was a patent historical event, as clear as that of the authorship of Falconer's Shipwrectc or 'Glover's Leonidas. The fact that was

[^149]:    either not recognised ar not promulgated was, the essentially rhapsodic character of all known poems belonging to that stage of civilisation to whieh the Homoric compositions aro referred. With the recognition of this, the method, as the details, of the criticism. wants changing; and it is not so much a question whether the facts in the structure of two wonderful poems justify the hypothesis that they arose out of the aggrlutination of rhapsodies, but whether there is even a presumption against their having done so.'- Nationaliticis of Europe, i. 207.
    ${ }^{2}$ IIistory of Greece, part i. ch. xxi.

[^150]:    ${ }^{1}$ Blackie, Homer and the Iliad. Mr. Blackie does not point out with the same clearness the precise historical

[^151]:    residuum of the Odyssey, although that, poem has an important bearing on the theory which he so zealously upholds.

[^152]:    ${ }^{1}$ Herodotos, i. 112. This Proteus, however, is simply the wise man of the sea, the fish-god of Ninevites and Philistines.-(d. iv. 385, \&c. On this narrative in Herodotos Sir Cornewall

[^153]:    ${ }^{1}$ History of Greece, part i. ch. xv.
    ${ }^{2}$ With the geographical accuracy of the Homeric poots of the Iliad and Odyssey we are only indirectly concerned. If the epithets so freely inserted in the Catalogue and elsewhere themselves point to poems of which portions have beon absorbed into our Homer, the composite character of these poems is still further established, and a fresh difficulty placed in the way of those who claim for thom a definite historical value. And it may be fairly urged that a great deal too much has been made of this supposed exactness of description. The reviewer of Mr. Gladstone's Homeric Studies, in the Edinburyh Review for October 1858, p. 511, boldly avers that of any persenal acquaintance on the part of the poet with the interior of Northorn Greece, or the Peloponnesos, or many even of the principal islands, there is no evidenco beyond that of the epithots by which places are described, and especially in the Catalogue ; and in support of this position quotes the words

[^154]:    - Hemer and the lliad, vol. i. p. 177.
    ${ }^{2}$ Mind. i. 31.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibid. i. 33.
    A Ibid. i. 79.

[^155]:    ${ }^{1}$ Homer and the Iliad.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ilid.

[^156]:    ${ }^{1}$ Homer and the Miud, i. 5.

[^157]:    ${ }^{1}$ Michel, Lee Pays Basque, p. $236 . \quad$ 2 See page 60.
    3 Blackie, Homer and the Ilited.

[^158]:    ${ }^{1}$ Fortnightly Review, No. XXIV. Max Müller, Lectures on Langutye, May 1, 1860. second series, 399.
    "Grote, History of Grecce, i. 636.

[^159]:    ${ }^{1}$ Credibitity of Eutrly Roman History, vol. i. ch. iv. Sice also Dictionary of science, Lili returee, and Anc, article "His-

[^160]:    ${ }^{1}$ Rawlinson, Ancient Eastern Monarchies, vol. i. p. 223.
    = January 1867, p. 140.

[^161]:    Blackic, Ilind. i .

[^162]:    ${ }^{1}$ Blackic, Iliad. i. 206, 222.
    2 No. ccxx. Octubur 1858, p. 503. It is unnecessary to enter at length into the question relating to the unity of the

[^163]:    ${ }^{1}$ Gladstone, Homer and the Homeric Age, i. 37.
    2 Thue. i. 3. The contradiction eannot be laid to the charge of Thucydides, who elearly regarded Homer as living at a time long subsequent to the retarn of the Herakleids. A statement so clear can scarcely be set aside with consistency by critics who are eager on all possible occasions to shelter themsolves under 'the anthority of the ancients.'

[^164]:    ${ }^{1}$ Il. xii. 383, 449; xx. 287.

[^165]:    ${ }^{1}$ History of Greece, part i. ch. xviii. \$ 1.

[^166]:    :Thirwall, Crecer, i. 257.
    ${ }^{1}$ F. A. Paley, 'The Iliad of Homer,'
    " History of Greece, part i. ch. xviii. (in the Bihliwhthect (llassica), introd. xix. $\$ 1$.

    * midd. $\$ 2$.
    - Fidinhergh Roview, Junuary 186id. 1. 128.

[^167]:    ${ }^{1}$ Credibility of Early Roman History, ch. xiv. § 18.

    2 Unless it can be shown that we have better historical information for the socalled Aiolic migration than we have for the Herakleid conquests, the Aiolie migration ceases to be for us a fart from which we can reason to any conclusions yespecting the Iliad or the Odyssiy. These poems know nothing of individual eponymoi named Ifellên, Ion, or Achaios, and Aiolos is mentioned simply

[^168]:    - Lectures on the £cience of Langunge, second series, p. 471.

[^169]:    ${ }^{1}$ The writer in the Quarterly Rewiew (0)tober, 1868), whoso areruments on the age of the IViud and (hdyssey have been alrondy motioed (note 2. p. 202), admits that. Comparative Mythology may perhaps furnish a complete answor to the question, What are the germs or outlines out of which the 'Trojan story was formod? (p.453). Deelining to cuter into this sulfoed genorully, hoasserts that - Evon withont grongout of tho marrower cirele of dreok tradition wo may derivo saluable sugesestions from the eomparative methool,' and adds that, 'the main ineridents of the Mied - tho abduction of Helen, the anger of Achilles, the taking ol' Troy are foum repeated, with slieht, modilimations, in the mytholory of other paris of Greose Thesems, like Iaris, carried off Holen, and the Dioseuri led an expedition into Attica for her recovery, which exhibits on a smaller soule the essontial fratures of the expedition of Agamemnon. Another tradition, ap-

[^170]:    parently Mossenian, ropresented her as carried off by the twin heroes Idas and Lynkens. Again, the mger of Achilles finds an almost perfoct parallel in that of Moleager, as told in the ninth book of the Mierd. Finally, the taking of Troy is an expleit, of Hercules as woll as of Agamemon.' The inference from these coincidences is that 'tho incidents of the Iliced are not a mero distortion of actual ovents, but originally and properly mythical: that the myth is the primary and essential, the history only tho secondary and accidental ingredient.' Unfortunately these sereondary ingredionts (if there were any such) are so buried beneath the fommer ass to be lost, beyond recovery. The reviewer has, thorefore, only to account for tho shape assumed by tho Ihad story, and his answer is, ' First, the persomality of Achilles, as it was concoived by tho primitive tradition, must have exertad in itself an overpowering attraction on the story. So-

[^171]:    ' Grote, History of Grece, part i. ch. xriii § 2.

[^172]:    ${ }^{1}$ Gladstone, Homer and the Homeric Ay', ii. 9.

[^173]:    had been fixed, and were handed down perhaps two thousind years before the title of Cæsar was heard of.' Eren more remarkable is the certainty with which the difference of Aryan names for wild beasts and weapons of war, contrasted with the similarity of their peaceful names, shows that the great Aryan family 'had led a long life of peace before they separated.' A similar analysis proves that although they were well acquainted with river navigation, the undispersed Aryan tribes had not yet scen tho sea. How far this early state stretches back it is impossible to determine; but the interval which separates the dispersion of these tribes from the dawn of contemporary history is probably far groater than we are generally disposed to imagine.
    ${ }^{2}$ Gladstone, Homer, \&f. i. 2.
    ${ }^{3} \operatorname{Sir}$ G. C. Lewis, Astronomy of the Ancients, ch. iii. sect. xii. ; Eidinburgh Review, July 1862, p. 94.

[^174]:    ${ }^{1}$ History of Greek Litcrature, book ii. ch. ii. $\S 5$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ged in History, book iv. ch. viii.
    ${ }^{3}$ 'The Iliad of Homer,' Bibliotheca Classica, introduction; see also Mr . Paley's paper on "The Comparatively late date and the Composite Charactor of our Iliad and Odyssoy,' in the Transactions of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, vol. xi. part ii. For our present purpose, the inquiry as to the date and composition of our Miad and Odyssey must be confined to its negative results. If it be shown that these poems were not known or not popular among the Greeks of the age of IIerodotos and

[^175]:    Thucydides, the positive assortions of Colonel Mare and Baron Bunsen fall to the ground; and it follows that these poems, as we have them, were not the work of a poet earlier than Pindar-in other words, not of the Pindaric or Aschylean Homer. Who the author or rather compiler of our Iliad and Odyssey may have been, we are not concerned to inquire. The task of Bentley was done when he had proved that the epistles of Phalaris were not written by Phalaris. To the comparative mythologist the ascertainment of this point is a matter of indifference. The materials of the Iliad and the Odyssey were no more

[^176]:    ${ }^{1}$ History of Greek Literature, b. ii. ch. ii. § 3.
    : Paley, Iliad, introd. xxxvii.

[^177]:    ${ }^{1}$ Paley, Iicd. xli. \&c. See Appendix A.

[^178]:    ${ }^{1}$ The limit may be assigned as b.c. 450 at the earliost.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Appendix B.

[^179]:    ${ }^{1}$ Newman, University Sermons, p. 348.

[^180]:    ${ }^{1}$ As the tale of Paris went, on Ida. But the Sanskrit Ida is the Earth, the wife of Dyaus; and so we have before
    us the mythical phrase, 'The sun at its birth rests on the earth or on the hill side.'

[^181]:    ${ }^{1}$ This incident alone is enough to determine the origin of the myth. Oidipous has reached maturity before he leaves Cormth for Dolphoi ; and, duo aceount being taken of the youthful looks of the Theban queen, a woman of Iokastê's years would scarcely bo brought forward as a prizo for a youth

[^182]:    just entering into manhood. But Iokastê belongs to that class of mythical boings whose boauty time cannot touch. When the suitors are slain, Penclopo is as radiant as when Odyssous had left her twenty yeurs before. Lach morning the Dawn ronews her everlasting youth. See Appendix C.

[^183]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bishop Thirlwall (Fistory of Greece, vol. i. ch. v.) lays stress on the sulbstantial identity of the legends of Theseus and Herakles. 'It was not without reason that Thoscus was said to have given rise to the proverb another

    Hercules, for not only is there a strong resemblance between them in mauy particular features, but it also seems clear that Thesous was to Attica what Hercules was to the oast of Greece.'
    ${ }^{2}$ Merodotos, ir. 0, 10.

[^184]:    ${ }^{1}$ eis $\beta \dot{\partial} \theta \rho o \nu \pi v \rho \delta s{ }^{2} \mu \sigma \tau \delta \nu .-D i o d . i v .69$.

[^185]:    ' Max Müller, Lectures on the Science of Langrage, second series, xi. The origin and meanings of the name Danaê must be treated separately.

    2 'Athéné s'appelle aussi Tpıroүє́vєLa, c'est-í-dire la fille de Tritos. Ce dieu a disparu de la mythologie grecque: mais il se retrouve dans les Védas, où Irita règne sur les eaux et sur l'atmosphère. Son nom s'est conservé dans les mots grees Triton, Amphitrite, Tritopator, (surnom des vents) et dans le nom du fleuve Triton qui entoure cette île enchantée où se passe l'onfance

[^186]:    do Bacchus. Quand le dieu Tritos cessa d'être connu, le mot Tpıтoү'́veıa devint une énigme, et les éoliens, qui dans leur dialecte appelaiont $\tau \rho \iota \tau \omega$ la tête, comme l'attestent le scoliaste d'Aristophane et Hésychius, n'hésitèront pas à reconnaître dans Athéné la déesse sortie de la tête de Zeus.'-Bréal, Hercule et Cacus, 17.
     $\kappa а \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \in \epsilon \epsilon L \nu$ 'AӨभ́vas,
    
    

    Arist. Acharn. 606.

[^187]:    ${ }^{1}$ See p. 48 , ot seq.
    ${ }^{2}$ Arist. Ach. 602, translated by L. H. Rudd.
    ${ }_{3}$ The Greek explanation made Iasôn the healor; but there is nothing in the

[^188]:    myth to suggest the notion. The powers of healing and destruction are exercised not by him but by Medeia; and the explanation is worth as much or as little as the attempts to account for such

[^189]:    of words which turned Lykaon into a wolf or Kallistô into a bear. Another form of the name appears in Iasion, the beloved of Dêmêtêr.
    ${ }^{1}$ When the word Dragon, which is only another form of Durkas, tho cleareyed gazelle, became the name for serponts, these mythical beings were necessarily transformed into suakes.

[^190]:    - Max Mïller, Lectures on Language, second series, viii.
    ${ }^{2}$ See page 164.
    ${ }^{3}$ Max Müller, Lect. second series, 360. The same nume, Professor Müller goes on to say, was bestowed independently on a hymn of praise, as gladdening tho heart and brightening the countenances of the gods, and he adds, "If the reason of the

[^191]:    independont bestowal of the same root on theso two distinct ideas, sun and hymns, was forgotten, there was danger of mythology; and we actually find in India that a myth sprang up, and that hymns of praise were fabled to have proceeded from or to have originally been revealed by the sun.'
    ${ }^{4}$ Max Müller, Lect. second series, 303.

[^192]:    ${ }^{1}$ Issch. Sept. c. Thebas, 145.
    ${ }^{2}$ It is scarcely necossary to trace the roots through the several Greek forms $\lambda \alpha ́ \omega, \lambda \in v \kappa \delta ́ s, \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \sigma \omega, \lambda \alpha ́ \mu \pi \omega, \gamma \lambda \eta \dot{\nu} \eta$, and others, and the Latin lux, luceo, lumen, lucina, luena, luna. The silent journey in which Hypnos and Thanatos, Sleep and Death, bear the dead body of Sarpêdôn to his home, is but another incident

[^193]:    stamping the myth with a distinctively solar character. They move through the dark hours, like the Achaian chieftain in search of the Golden Fleece, or like Helius himself in his golden cup: they reach Lykia early in the morning, and there were versions which brought Sarpedon to life again when he reached the Lykian shore. The myth of Sarpêdôn

[^194]:    thus resolves itself into that of Memnôn, and Memnon is the child of the dawn.
    ${ }^{1}$ I. 172.

[^195]:    ${ }^{2}$ Jacobi, Mythologie, s. v. Lêtô.
    ${ }^{3}$ Max Müller, Lectures, second series,

[^196]:    ${ }^{1}$ See page 165. The story of the Frog Prince is singularly significant. The dawn-maiden is here playing with a golden ball, which, like Endymion, plunges into the water. This ball can be brought to her again only by the Frog-the returning Sun. The Frog reappears as a Toad in Grimm's story of the Iron Stove. In the Nix of the Millpond the man and his wife are changed into a toad and a frog, as the sun and the twilight fade bencath the waters. In the Man of Iron the golden ball with which the king's son is playing rolls, not into the water but into the cage in which the wild man (the Winter) is confined; the king's son here being Phoibos of the golden lorks. In the story of the old Griffin the ball takes the form of golden apples, which instantaneously restore the king's daughter to health, as the nymphs

[^197]:    exult when they look on the new born Apollon. This ball is the red-hot egg which the bird drops in the story of the Ball of Crystal. The Frog reappears in other stories. In the legend of Briar Rose it is he who promises the queen that she shall have a daughter. In that of the Three Feathers, Dummling, whose exploits reveal him like Theseus as another Herakles, receives the beautiful carpet (of clouds-the web of Penelone) from the Frog who is now underground, in other words, after sundown. In the Faithful Beasts it is again the Frog who brings up from the water the wonderful. stone (the orb of the Sun), the uwner of which can wish himself in whatover place he desires to be.
    ${ }^{2}$ Eastern Munarchies, i. 60. In reply to Mr. Rawlinson it has been urged that the poet of the Odyssey could not

[^198]:    ${ }^{1}$ With the Persians the name is employed as constituting with the correlative An-iran, or non-Aryans, an exhaustive division of mankind: but in this division the Persians alone are Aryans. Max Müller, Lectures on Language, first series, lecture vi.
    ${ }^{2}$ Professor Max Miuller is inclined to think that Achillens is the mortal solar hero Aharyu. According to Kumârili, Ahalya (in whose name the change from $r$ to $l$ begins) is the goddess of night; but 'India is called alalayidai jârah; it is most likely that she was meant for the dawn.'-Lectures, second series, 502.

[^199]:    ${ }^{3}$ The Persians of the Persians bear, Mr . Gladstone remarks, 'the name Eelliat, which at least presents a striking resemblance to that of the Helli. The aspirate would pass into the doubled $e$ like ${ }^{\text {" }} \mathrm{H} \lambda$ los into $\vec{\xi} \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \operatorname{los}$, or
     Age, i. 572
    ${ }^{4}$ Ibid. i. 557. Herod. vii. 61, 150.
    ${ }^{5}$ The reading of the text in $l l$. xvi. 234 is a matter of indifference. As the namo Hellas points to the collective nameTHelloi, so the Solleeis, Il. ii. 659, \&e., points to the Selloi; and the change of the aspirate into $s$ is one of the commonest.

[^200]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sûryd is 'a female Surya, i.e. the Sun as a feminine, or, according to the commentator, the Dawn aguin under a different name. In the Rig-Veda, too, the Dawn is called the wife of Sûrya, and the Asvins are sometimes called the husbands of Sûryâ.'-Max Müller, Lectures, second series, xi.
    ${ }^{2}$ róvtos : $\pi \alpha ́ \tau o s=\pi ध ́ v \theta o s: \pi d ́ \theta o s=$

[^201]:    BévOos: $\beta$ á $\theta$ s.-Max Miiller, Comparative Mythology, 47. The word is thus the precise equivalent of Lykabas, the path of Light trodden by the Sun-god.
     With the Latins it was long before Southern Italy ceased to be commonly spoken of as Magna Græcia.

[^202]:    ${ }^{1}$ Grote, History of Greece, part ii. every instance, one simple, as Graii, and ch. ii.
    ${ }_{2}^{2}$ Niebuhr remarks that in old Latin two names of nations were in use in
    one derivative, as Greci. History of Rome, i. 45.

[^203]:    ${ }^{1}$ It must be remembered that the Italian dialects exhibited differences as great as those which separated them from the Greek, or the Greek dialects from each other; and thus the Latin equivalents of Greek words would show changes analogrous to the Greek equivalents of Latin words. Thus, in Niebuhr's judgment, the Latin form of the name of the Apulian or Opican people would be Aqui. Other forms of these names would be Opscus, Oscus, Ausones, Aurunci, Sabini, Savnis, Samnis, Iapygians. Niebuhr seems inclined to identify the names Equi and Volsci through

[^204]:    the intermediate forms Opicus, Opscus, Oscus, Olsus. History of Rome, vol. i. 'Ancient Italy.'
    ${ }^{2}$ We know that the name Tyrrhenus was not an Etruscan word, and hence there is perhaps some reason for connecting Tyrrhenus, Turnus, Túpósos, Tú $\rho-$ oos, turris, and for regarding the name as the equivalent of the Greek Larissaioi. Turnus is a son of Danaus, and of Vonilia (Venus) a sister of Amata. In the Enoid Juturna is his faithful sister; but the rosemblance of the two names is probably the result of accident.

[^205]:    1 Yng is apparently the eponymos of Angeln and the English. Max Miuller, Lectures, second series, x.
    ${ }^{2}$ On this point Professor Max Müller speaks with sufficient emphasis: 'It may be difficult to confess that with all the traditions of tho early migrations of Cecrops and Danaus into Greeco, with the Homeric puems of the Trojan war, and the genealogios of the ancient dynasties of Greece, we know nothing of Greek history bofore tho Olympiads, and

[^206]:    very little even then. . . Even the traditions of the migrations of the Chichimees, Colhuas, and Nahuas, which form the staple of all American antiquarians, aro no bettor than the Greek traclitions about Pelasgians, Folians, and Ionians, and it would be a mere wasto of time to construct out of such elements a systematic history, only to be destroyed again sooner or later by some Niebuhr, Grote, or Lewis.'-Chips, i. 331 .

[^207]:    1 Mr. Paley, in his paper on the comparatively late dato of our Homeric pooms, usscrts distinctly that 'the remodelling and reducing any important. part of" the "vast mass of "Homeric"

[^208]:    ${ }^{1}$ Paley, On the late Date of our Iliad and Odyssey, 8.

[^209]:    ${ }^{1}$ Edinburgh Review, October, 1858, p. 503 .

    2 The downfall of the Euemeristic or conservative hypotheses make it really unnecessary to examine the mode in which the several portions of the lliad have been pieced together. It is enough to say that, when the whole narrative of the lliad has been proved to be unhistorical, and when the narratives of later alleged events have also been shown to possess no historical value, the hurden of proof rests with those who affirm, not with those who deny, the original unity of a poem which, it is arlmitted on all sides, was in existence lefore the use of writing became general or adequate to the production of long manuscripts. It may be added, however, that the arguments of Colonel Mure (History of Greek Litcrature, book ii. ch. xvi.) and Mr. Gladstone (Homer and the Homeric Age, 'Aoidos'), fail altogether to meet the objections urged by Mr. Grote against the original continuity of the poem in its present form. Mr. Grote's remarks (History of Greece, part i. ch. xxi.) on the embassy to Achilleus dispose conclusively of every attempt to maintain the unitarian

[^210]:    
     $\delta a \sigma \mu \partial s$ Ік $\bar{\tau} \tau \alpha$,
    
    2 Brisêis was to the Greek a mere patronymic. The father of Brisêis is the Vedic Brisaya. 'Destroy, Saraswati, the revilers of the gods, the offspring of the universal deluder, Brisaya.'H. H. Wilson, R. N. S. iii. 515.
    ${ }_{3}$ It is at the least worthy of note that, while Brisêis comes from Lyrnessos,

[^211]:    Diomêde, who takes her place, belongs to the south-western Lesbos. II. ix. 658. So Oinône lives on Ida, but Helen in the far west. Iolê is the daughter of Eurytos (another name of the class Euryganeia, \&c.), in the eastern island of Euboia; Dêianeira lives in the western Kalydon.
    ${ }^{4}$ Il. i. 240.
    ${ }^{5}$ Il. i. 407-412.
    6 Il. viii. 477.

[^212]:    1 Il. ix. 55.
    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{Mr}$. Grote has remarked this. 'Patroklos has no substantive position; he is the attached friend and second of Achilleus, but nothing else.'-History of Grerce, ii. 238. Colonel Mure, however, discerns in the contrast between the two strong evidence of Homer's ' knowledge of human nature.'-Crit. Hist. Gr. Lit. i. 285 .

    3 These hoavenly steeds of Achilleus and Indra are not less prominent in the myths of Northern Europe; and some of them are endowed with that gift of speech which Xanthos possesses as the golden or gleaming horse of the sun itself, while it is denied to Balios, the mottled or speckled steed which represents the sunlit clouds. Thus the horse of Skirnir speaks to its master in the Edda, and Gudrun, after the death of Sigurd, talks with Gran, the noble steed,

[^213]:    which may woll mourn for the hero who took him from king Hialprek's stall and rode on him through the flames when he went to recorer the stolon treasures. This horse, Grimm remarks, appears in the Swodish and Danish folk-lore under the name Black, a word which, it, ean scarcoly bo moodsary to say, may signify whitoness and light not less than gloom and darkness. The same power of speech belongs in the Socvian legent to Scharatz, who npeaks to Marko shortly before his denth, an Xinthos warns Achillens of his impending doom. For otherinstances see Grimm's Deutsche Mythologie, 365.
     $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i \xi \epsilon \iota v . \quad$ Il. хvi. 89 .
     Alaкi8ао, к.т.入. Ib. xvi. 140.

    - 76 . xyi. 151.

    7 1b. xvi. 156.

[^214]:    ${ }^{6}$ Il. xriii. 36. These nymphs are only half anthropomorphised. Their names still express thoir own meaning.
    ${ }^{7}$ Ib. xviii. 96 . The real maturo of this myth becomes still more apparent, when loaked at through the bald statements of Apollodoros, iii. 13. 8. Troy, he says, camnot be taken without Achilleus : the sun alone can subdue tho dark clauds. But Thetis knows that, after Troy is taken, Achilleus must die. The sun must set after his victory orer the mists. So she disgruises Achilleus in woman's garb, as the light clouds half veil the early risen sun.

[^215]:    round him even in tho hours of darkness. It is the samo with Ghumdun
    Rajah, whoso tomb the peophe camo ness. It is the sams with Ghumdun
    Rajah, whoso tomb the peophe came from far and near to visit, "and see the great miraclo how the buly of him whos had beon dend so many months remained had beondend so many monthe remained
    perfeet and moternyon, So, too, the booly of sodewa Bia, the Himu Cindovolla or Rhodopis, canmot dochy, nor can the colour of her fues elanmere's month aftorwards, when her hasband roturned home, sho looked as firir and lovely as on the night on which when
    died. Freve, (Had Dreeten Dety. Buth lowely as on the night, on which whe
    died. Frore, (Had Dreeten Day/. Buth these beings die, or secon to die becange they are doprived of that in which their
    strength lios, as in tho eolden locks of they are doprived of that in which their
    strength lios, as in tho golden locks of Nisos, who beromos powerless as Satmen
    when they are taken from his head; bint Nisos, who beromos powarless as Satmon
    when thoy are taken from his head; lme over these bright beiags death can have. no roal dominion, and they all rise to more than their former splendour.

[^216]:    ${ }^{1}$ Il. xix. 140. This is the first submission made by Agamemnon in the Achillêis. It may be noted that here he not only acquits himself of guilt (86), but, in order to fix the blame on Zeus, recites a tale which is essentially a separate poem, and may have existed long before, or apart from, the Ilias or the Achillêis, as may have been the case with such lays as those of Phoinix, Il. ix. 529, and Demodokos, Od. viii. 266.

[^217]:     $\pi \alpha \rho \eta o v$. Il. xix. 245.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ib. xix. 261.
    4 Ib. xix. 274.
    s 1 b. xix. 210.
     тє́ovтal
     Il. xix. 358.
     $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \pi \epsilon \rho \hat{l} \chi \theta \grave{\omega} \nu$
    

[^218]:    1 Il. xix. 374.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ib. xix. 386.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ib. xix. 387-417.
    4 Ib. xx. 22. Tho sky itself, regarded as the pure ether in which Theus dwolls, far above the murky air breathed by mortal men ( $\kappa \in \lambda \alpha \omega \prime \in \phi \in ́ s, ~ a i \theta \dot{\prime} \rho \iota ~ \nu \alpha i ́ c \nu \nu)$,

[^219]:    cannot be conceived as taking part in the eontest, although the clouds and lightnings, the winds and vapours, beneath it may.
    ${ }^{5}$ In. xxi. 219.
    ${ }^{6}$ Ih. xxi .325.
    ${ }^{7}$ Ib. $\times x$ i. 345.

[^220]:    
     бутаs. Il. xxi. 390.
    The ether looks down in grim serenity on the wild battle in the air bencath.
    ${ }^{2}$ Il. xxi. 490-505.
     ${ }^{\prime}$ A $\pi \delta \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$. Too much stress can scarcely be laid on these words. In the first place, they make the slaying of Hektor quite as much an act of butchery as Colonel Mure represents the daath of

    Patroklos to be on the part of Hektor. In the second place, they remove both incidents out of the reach of all ethical criticism.
    ${ }^{4}$ Il. xxii. 322.
    ${ }^{5}$ Ib. xxii. 395, к. $\tau . \lambda$. This is a trait of brutality scarcely to be explained by a reference to the manners of tho heroic age. The mystery is solved when wo compare it with the mythical language of the earlier Vedic hymns.
    ${ }^{6}$ History of Grcece, vol. ii. p. 266.

[^221]:    1 Odyssoy, xxiy. 41, 42.
    ${ }^{3}$ Iliad, xxiii. 185.

    - Ib. xxiv. 20.

[^222]:    ${ }^{1}$ Od. xxir. 82.
    2 Much blame, perhaps not altogether undeserved, has been bestowed on the crities who formed the so-called epic cycle and sought to find the sequence of the several legends on which the poems included in that cycle were founded. So far as they sought an historical sequence, they were wrong. Yet their feeling that there was a sequence in these tales was not without foundation. But the

[^223]:    ${ }^{1}$ History of Greece, ii. 266.
    ${ }^{2}$ I cannot refrain from quoting the words of Mr. Price, in his Introduction to Warton's History of Einglish Poetry: 'To take one example out of many, the life of Perseus might be made to pass for the outline of an old romanco, or the story of some genuine chevalier preux. Let the reader only romember the illegitimate but royal descent of the hero, his exposure to almost certain death in infancy, his providential escape, tho hospitality of Dictys, the criminal artifices

[^224]:    of Polydectes, the gallant vow by which the unsuspocting st ranger hopes to lossen his obligation to the royal houre of Soriphus, the consoquences of that vow, the aid he recoives from a god and gooddess, the stmatarem by which he gains a power over the monstrous daughter of Phoreys, \&c. \&c. \&c, lat the reader only recall these cirumstances to his momory, and ho will instantly recognise the common details of early European romance.'-(P. 120.)

[^225]:    1 Ody/ssey, xxi. 405, к. т. $\lambda$. The phraseology of the poet hore assumes, perhaps without his being fully aware of it, the same tone with the narrative which tells of the arming of Achilleus. Others have tried with all their might to bend the bow. Odysseus strotches it without the least effort ( ${ }^{\circ} \tau \in \rho \sigma \pi \sigma 0 \ddot{\eta} s$ ),

[^226]:    and the sound of the string is like the whizzing of a swallow in its flight. In an instint every heart is filled with dread, and every cheek turns paile ( $\pi \hat{a} \sigma t$ $\left.\chi \rho \omega \stackrel{\epsilon}{\ell} \tau \rho \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \tau \epsilon \tau o\right)$, and, to complete the imagery, they hear at the same moment the crash of the thunder in the sky.

[^227]:    ${ }^{1}$ Grote, History of Greece, vol. ii. p. 238.

[^228]:    1 The stories of Paris, Hektor, Sarpêdôn, Memnôn are all subjects which might be expanded into separate

[^229]:    epics. The extent to which solar imagery is introduced into these tales is very remarkable. Paris as the seducer

[^230]:    1 Of the AEneid of Virgil it is unnecessary to say much. Epic poetry, composed in a time of highly artificial civilisation, stands on a wholly different ground from the true epic of a simpler age, the growth of generations from the myth-making talk of the people. The tradition which brought Encas to Italy was not of Virgil's making, and in taking him for his hero be bound himself to give the sequel of a carcer which belonged in its earlier stages to Greek mythology. Hence we have naturally in the story of Fneas nothing more than one more version of the old mythical history. Aneas, like Odysseus, moves from east to west, seeking a home, as Phoibos on a like errand journeyed to Pytho. His visit to the shades may have been directly suggested by the Greek pooms which Virgil had before him as his model; and these were assuredly not confined to our Iliad and Odyssey. But it must hare been a genuine tradition which led Virgil to tell how he left Creusa, as Theseus deserted Ariadnê and Apollôn forsook

[^231]:    1 History of Greece, vol. ii. p. 238.
    ${ }^{2}$ Crit. Hist. Gir. Lit. vol. i. p. 282.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ib. p. 284.
    4 When Helios complains to Zeus (Od. xii. 383) of the slaughter of his sacred cattle by Eurylochos and his comrades, his threat is that if justice is not done to him, he will leavo his placo in heaven and go and shine among the dead. But Helios was to tho poet the

[^232]:    actual dweller in the visible sun. He could not well apply such a phrase oven to Phoibos, and with Achilleus, Odysseus, Persous, Meleagros, and othar heroos, the memory of the old phrases has been still further weakened; but the voluntary and sullen inaction of such heroes answers precisely to tho hidixg of Helios in the dark land of Hades.
    ${ }^{5}$ Crit. Hist. Gr. Lit. vol. i. p. 288.

[^233]:    ${ }_{2}^{1}$ Crit. Hist. Gr. Lit. vol. i. p. $289 . \quad$ :History of Greece, vol. ii. p. 264.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ib. p. 291.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ib. p. 287.
    ${ }^{5}$ Crit. Hist. Gr. Lit. vol. i. p. 391.
    ${ }^{6}$ Ib. p. 380.

[^234]:    ${ }^{1}$ Crit. Hist. Gr. Lit. vol. i. p. 393.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ib. p. 395.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ib. p. 403.
    ${ }^{4}$ Ody/ssey, i. 263. Dr. Thirlwall (History of Greece, vol. i. p. 182) refers to this passage as showing the ' manifest, disapprolation' of the poet. It is, at the least, vory faintly oxprossed. Zeus, possibly as being abovo law, gives the poison, and Athône sees no harm in his so cloing.
    ${ }^{3}$ Odyssey, xiii. 260.
    ${ }^{6}$ The Dawn as woaring or spinning is the subject of many leutonic legrends. In the story of Rumpelstiltskin, the poor miller has a daughter who can spin straw into gold, and the sequel of the tale makes her, of course, the king's bride. The idea onee suggested was naturally applied also to the sun, who, as wearing his robe of clouds, becomos the Valiant Tailor who, in the story of the Glass Coffin, finds the lrenatiful maiden sleeping like the dead in her

[^235]:    ${ }^{1}$ History of Greece, vol. ii. p. 278. Horace draws but a feeble moral when he says of the Iliad-

    Quicquid delirant reges, plectuntur Achivi. $\quad E p$. i. 2, 14. But that this should be the case is perfectly explained by the growth of my-

[^236]:    ${ }^{1}$ The influence of Polyphetmos on the fortunes of Odysseus strangely perplexes Colonel Mure, who sees in it the chief defect of the Odyssey, as interfering with the 'retributive equity' which he fancies that he finds in the Iliad. 'No reader of taste or judgment,' he thinks, 'can fail to experience in its perusal a certain feeling of impatience, not only that the destinies of a blameless hero and an in: nocent woman, but that any important trains of events, should hinge on so offensive a mechanism as the blind affection of a mighty deity for so odious a monster as Polyphemus.'-Crit. Hist. Gr. Lit. vol. ii. p. 151. The real question to be answered was how the mighty deity came to be the father of the odions monster. As, according to the myth, he was his father, there was nothing unnatural in attributing to Poseidon the affection of a parent for his offspring. But, in truth, nothing could show more clearly than these words Colonel Mure's inability to enter fairly into the spirit of Greek mythology. It was simply impossible that the poet could make use of any other mechanism. The train of

[^237]:    ${ }^{1}$ Odyss. xiii. 255, к.т. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibid. xii. 431. The language adheres even more closely to the myth. His locks are actually destroyed,
    
    Those which she gave him when she restored his beauty would be strictly the new rays bursting from behind the

[^238]:    1 Od. xix. 140. Penelope is the weaver of the web ( $\pi \dot{\prime} \nu \eta \eta$ ) of cirri clouds. Mr. Kelly, summing up the general characteristics of Aryan mythology, says 'light clouds were webs spun and woven by celestial women, who also drew water from the fountains on high and poured it down as rain. The yellow light gleaming through the clouds was their golden hair. A fast-scudding cloud was a horse flying from its pursuers. . . In all this and much more of the same kind, there was not yet an atom of that symbolism which has commonly been assumed as the startingpoint of all mythology. The mythic animals, for example, were, for those who first gave them their names, no

[^239]:    mere images or figments of the mind. They were downright realities, for they were seen by men who were quick to see, and who had not yet learned to suspoct any collusion between their eyes and their fancy.'-Curiosities of IndoEuropean Tradition and Folk-lore, p. 8.
    ${ }^{2}$ Od. xix. 225,
    ${ }^{3}$ Ibid. xix. 393.
    4 Ibid. xix. 201. The origin of this name, as of so many others, is wrongly accounted for. The same confusion was at work here, which changed Lykâon into a wolf, and Kallisto into a bear.
    ${ }^{5}$ Od. xx. $105 . \quad{ }^{6}$ Tbid. xxi. 5.
    7 Ibid. xxi. 130. ${ }^{8}$ Bbid. xxi. 413.

    - Ibid. xxii. 141. ${ }^{10}$ Ibid. xxii. 147.

[^240]:    ${ }^{1}$ Odyss. xxii. 205. ${ }^{2}$ Ibid. xxii. 257. ${ }^{3}$ Ihid. xxii. 277. ${ }^{4}$ Ibid. xxii. 297.
    ${ }^{5}$ Ibid. xxii. $460 .{ }^{6}$ Ibid. xxii. $475 . \quad{ }^{7}$ Ibid. xxiv. 367.

[^241]:    : So in the Hindu popular story, Vikramaditya (the child of Aditi, Kronos. or the Dawn-land of tho East), is the son of Gandharba-sena. When his sire died, his grandfather, the cleity Indra, resolved that 'the babe should

[^242]:    not be born, upon which his mother stabbed herself. But the tragic event, duly happening during the ninth month, Vikramaditya came into the world hy himself.'-Burton, Tales of Indian Devilry, preface, p. xv.

[^243]:    ${ }_{1}$ This tree grows through the roof of the hall and spreads its branches far and wide in the upper air. It is manifostly the counterpart of Yggdrasil.
    ${ }^{2}$ The Sigmund of Beowulf and the Volsung Tale bears a name which is an epithet of Odin, the giver of victory. He is drawn by Regin from the trunk of a poplar tree, he is loved by the Valkyrie Brynhild, and instructed by the wise Gripir, as Achilleus and other

[^244]:    1 'The dwarfs of Teutonic mythology aro clistinguished from its giants, becauso they do not, like the latter, represont the wild and lawless energies of nature,

[^245]:    1 This ring reappears with preciscly the same qualitios and consequences in many of the sagas of Northern

[^246]:    Furope; and it is absurd to suppose that such a scries of incidents was constantly rocurring in actual history.

[^247]:    ${ }^{1}$ Grimm regards tho words Python and Fafnir as standing to each other in the relation of $\theta \dot{\eta} \rho$ and $\phi \dot{n} \rho$. 'Die Erlogung des Drachem Fafnir gemahnt an Múध $\omega \nu$, den Apollo besiegto, und wio Python das delfische Orakel hïtete, woissagt der sterbendo Fafnir.'- Deutsche Mythologie, 345. In tho lay of Beownlf this serpent or dragon appears under the name Grendel; and, in fact, the whole story of Sigurd is in that poem relatod substantially, although not with the same fulness of detail, of Sigmund the father of Beowulf, the Waelsing, who, haring slain the worm, becomes the

[^248]:    possessor of tho ring hourd which her may enjoy at pleasure. Like the Norse Sigurd, Sigmund is 'of wanderers by far the greatest throughout tho human race:' he is, in short, the Odyssens who wanders very far over many lands, after the fall of Tlion, which again answors to the slaying of the dragon. Tho Fitela of Beowulf is clearly tho Sinfïtli of the Volsung tale. For some romarks on the comparative antiquity of these two legends see Indlow, Popular Hips of the Middle Ages, i. 41. The substantial identity of the two myths renders the question of date of comm

[^249]:    stone or tower, where, like Ragnar Lodbrog, he is attacked liy many a strong worm or serpent-- the snakes of night. One of his followers tries to raiso him by a rope, which breaks, and Iictrich tells him that the wounds which he has received cannot be hoaled. Things, howevor, turn out better than ho oxpects; but the one night which he spent. in the house seemed to him as thirty years.
    ${ }^{1}$ 'Gunnar Gjukason seems to signify darkness, and thus we see that tho awakening and budding spring is gone, carriod away by Gunnar, like Proserpine by Pluto; like Sita by Ravana. Gudrun, the daughter of Grimhild, and sometimes horsolf called Grimhild, whother the latter name meant summer (cf. Gharma in Sanskrit), or the earth and nature in tho latter part of the

[^250]:    worth noting that the incident is related also of Allah-ud-deen in the Arabian Nights' legend.

[^251]:    1 The story of this murder has worked its way into the traditional history of Ethelstan and Godwine. At the least, it seems impossible to shat, our eyes to the striking similarity of those stories; and as thoir non-historical character in the case of 2 Ethelstan and Godwine has been placed beyond reach of questioning, we are the move justified in saying that the old myth has served as tho foundation of the later legend. The Volsung story, in Dr. Dasent's words, rums as follows:- As the three went along, the two asked Erp what holp he would give them when thoy got to Hermanric. "Such as hand londs to foot," ho said. "No help at all," they cried; and passing from words to blows, aud bceanse their mother loved Erp best, they slew

[^252]:    lim. A little farther on Surrli stumbled and fell forward, but sured himsolf with one hand, and said, "Mere hand helps foot ; better wero it that Erp lived." So they camo on Irermanric as he slept, and Saurli hewed off his hands, and Hamdir his feet, but he awoke and called for his men. Then said Hamdir, "Were Erp alive, the head wrould be off; and he couldn't call out."' In the story of IEthelstan and of Godwino we have the same phrases aloout, tho hands and fect; in each case a brother is slain, and in each case tho loss of this brother is subsequently felt as a source of weakness. For the several shapes assumed by the legend seo Freeman, Norman Conquest, ii. 611-12.

[^253]:    1 For a tabular viow of these paralIelisms seo Bunsen, ib. 470, \&c.

    2 On the historical residuum which may possibly ho contained in the later forms of these myths it is really unnecessary to say anything. In Bunsen's words, 'The fundamental element common to them all is purely mythological, namely, the combat of the Sun-God, who is slain by his brother and arenged

[^254]:    by a youncer brother. . . . . This dement constitutes the basis of the Sigurd Saga, and the substance of the IHelgi Suga, with the exeeption of some later additions; it is the oldest form of the German myth of Tlomkles.'- (rool in Mistor!, ii. 474. Neverhholess, Bunsen thinks it worth while to makean attempt. to determine the amount of historical matter wrapped up in it. He finds the

[^255]:    same Atli or Etzel, and this reprosents the historical Attila, a conclusion which is strengthened by the mention of IBludi as the fathor of Attila, whereas history speaks of Bledin as his hrother. Ho finds also Gunnar, the brother of Gudrun, and Gunther the king of the Burgundians. Beyond this, seemingly, it is impossible to advance. 'It is certainly difficult to make an expedition by Attila himself to the Rhine fit in with what wo know of the listory of these years. This, no moro and no less, is the historical element in that great tragedy of the woes of the Nibelungs.'-Gorl in History, ii. 478. If any can bo satisfied with claiming for this boliof a historieal sunction on such eridonce as this, it may perhnps be a pity to break in upon their self-complacence; but on the other side it may fairly be asserted that two or threo names, with which not a single

[^256]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ludlow, Popular Epics of the Middle Ages, i. 180.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibid. i. 137.

[^257]:    ${ }^{1}$ This doom is brought out with singular clearness in tho Gaolic story, where the Drme of tho Fine Green Kirtle lays the Fair Gruagach under her spell, that ho shall not rest by night or by day (Ixîon, Sisiphos). "tWhere thou takest thy breakfast that thou take not thy dinner, and whero thou takest thy dinner that thou take not thy supper, in whatsoever place thou be, until thou findest out in what, place I may bo under tho four brown quarters of the world."
    'So it was in the morning of the morrow's day he went away without dog, without man, without calf, without child.

[^258]:    ' Ho was going and going and journeying; thero was blackening on his soles, and holes in his shoes; the black elouds of night coming, and the bright quiet clouds of tho day going away, and without his finding a place of staying or rest for him.' Ho is, in short, the wandering Wuotan (Wegtam), Savitar, Odysseus, Bellerophôn, Phoibos, Dionysos, Merakles, Perseus, Sigurd, Indra, Oidipous, Theseus; and it is unnecessary to say that in the end ho becomes tho husband of the Dame of the Fine Green Kirtle, who is none other than Medeia with the magic robe of Holios. (Campbell, ii. 435).

[^259]:    six pieces; two to be given to his wife, two to his mare, and two to be put into the ground. The necessary consequence is that tho woman has two golden children who, mounting on the two golden foals of the mare, ropresent the Asvins and the Dioskouroi, the pieces put into the ground producing two goldon lilies on which the lives of the childron depend. In the tale of tho Fishomman and his Wife, the fish aceomplishos the wishes of the woman, who chooses to become first a lady, then queen, then pope; but when she wishes to beeome the ruler of tho universe, the flounder sends her hack to hor old horel, - an incident reflecting the fall of Tantalos, Sisyphos, and Ixîon.

[^260]:    1 These Burgundians in the later portion of the epic are ofton spoken of as Niblungs, as mythically they assuredly are. The fact evidently shows, in Mr . Ludlow's opinion, 'that the poem in its

[^261]:    present stato is put together out of two different legends.--Popular Epics of the Middle Agcs, i. 133. At the most, it would be but one of two versions of the legend.

[^262]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mr. Ludlow here romarks that 'this is one of the passages which imply the legend containod in "Wilthar of Aquitaine," where Hagen is represented as a fellow hostage with Walthar at Itzel's court.'- Popzlar Epics, i. 130. It may be so ; yet the phrase rosolves itself into the simple statement that the Panis know their way to the land whenco they steal tho cattle of Indri.
    2 I must confine myself to thoso portions of the epic which call for it comparison with other legends, and which, taken together, show the amount

[^263]:    ${ }^{1}$ Compare the Gaelic story of tho Rider of Grianaig (Campbell, iii. 18), where the dawn-maidens mourn because they have to marry the giant, but are rescued by the man who made

[^264]:    the gold and silver cap, as Penelope is delivered from her suitors by the man who wrought the bed in her bridal chamber.

[^265]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is at this point that the passage is inserted which connects the Nibelungenlied with the story of Walthar of Aquitaine. It is of no further interest

[^266]:    in our present inquiry than as showing the composito claracter of the great Teutonic epic.--Ludlow, Popular Reicies, i. 140.

[^267]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ludlow, Populcr Epics, i. $172 . \quad 2$ Ibid. i. 176.

[^268]:    it is unnecessary here to speak of them. Some remarks on the subject will be
    found in Mr. Ludlow's Popular Epics, i. 308, \&c.

[^269]:    1 The address of Roland to his sword is more magniloquontly given in the 'Chroniclo of Turpin,' Ludluw, Ibid. i.

[^270]:    2 This is the story of Lord Nann and the Korrigan, Keightley, Fairy Mytholoyy, 433.

[^271]:    1 The scene in which Sigurd personates Gunnar in order to win Brynhild for the latter is but slightly different from the story of Uther as told by Jeffrey of Monmouth or in the more detailed

[^272]:    1 'The Manks hero, Olave of Norway, had a sword with a Cultic name, Maca-buin.--Campbell, Tales of the West Highlands, i. Ixxii. It reappears as the sword Tirfing in the fairy tale. Keightley, Fairy Mytholugy, 73.
    ${ }_{2}$ The invisible knight, who at this stage of the narrative smites SirHerleus

[^273]:    ${ }^{1}$ La Morte d"Arthure, ed. Coneybeare, book iii. ch. v.
    ${ }^{3} I b$. book iv. ch. iii.
    ${ }^{3}$ Soe p. 273.
    ${ }^{4}$ Coneyboare, La Morte d'Arthure, book $x$.

[^274]:    ${ }^{1}$ See book ii., chap. ii., soct. 12.

[^275]:    ${ }^{1}$ Into the question of the authenticity of Maepherson's Ossian it is altogether unnecessary to enter. Tho matter has been admirably and conclusively treated by Mr. Campbell in the fourth volume of his Tales of the West Highlards, and no one probably would for an instant suppose that Macpherson invented the tridition-in other words, the framework of the myth: and with this only we are here concerned. The story of Sir Bevis of Hampton, Mr. Campbell remarks, reflects the same mythology, iv. 267. I must content mysolf with calling attention to Mr. Campbell's very valuable section on the

[^276]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bertha is in namo the Tentonic goddess, who in another form appoars as Frau Holle or Hulda, the benigmant earth, and who, like Penelope, has marvellous skill in spinning.

    2 This myth oecurs again in the Gaelic story of 'Tho King who wishod

[^277]:    to marry his Daughter.' Mr. Campbell (Tales of the Wist Highlands, i. 227), mentions othor instances, and remarks that 'those who hold that popular tales aro preserved in all countries and in all languages alike, will lold that the Italian, German, French, Norse, English,

[^278]:    the 'Sharp Grey Sheop,' Campbell, ii. 289.
    ${ }^{1}$ Popular Epics, ui. 386.

[^279]:    ${ }^{1}$ Eirikr Magnússon and William Morris.
    2 Preface, pp. i. and 94.

[^280]:    ${ }^{1}$ P. 141.
    ${ }^{2}$ P. 169.
    ${ }^{2}$ P. xiv.

[^281]:    ${ }^{1}$ P. xiii.

[^282]:    ${ }^{1}$ P. xii. $\quad{ }^{2}$ P. xy.
    ${ }^{3}$ P. 41.
    ${ }^{4}$ P. 58.

[^283]:    ${ }^{1}$ P. 232.

[^284]:    obvious that the abstract conception of Dyu as the father of Indra could not stand against the overwhelming weight of the myths which were continually springing up from phrases not originally antagonistic with the monotheistic belief or conviction.
    ${ }^{1}$ Muir, Principal Deitics of R.V. 553.
    2 This name is not found in any Greek myth as the designation of a person; butit is represented by $\pi \lambda a \tau \epsilon \hat{L} u$, the feminine of $\pi \lambda a \tau \prime$ s, lroad.

[^285]:    ${ }^{1}$ R. V. x. 129 ; Muir, ib. 553.
    ${ }^{2}$ Muir, ib. Max Müller, Sanskrit Litorature, 559, et seq.
    ${ }^{3} \pi \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \chi \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \cup \sigma^{\prime} \not{ }^{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o \iota$.
    Od. iii. 48.

[^286]:    ${ }^{1}$ Irincipal Deities of R.V.558. In a passage from the Atharva Veda, quoted by Dr. Muir, ibid. and Professor Max Müller, Chips, i. 42, tho same thought is worked out in langraage which is precisely reproduced in the 139th Psalm, and which also carries us to expressions and sentences in the Sermon on the Mount, and in other parts of the New Testament. The parallelism between the expressions of Aryan and Semitic monotheism is further traced out by M. Maury, Croyances et Légendes

[^287]:    ${ }^{1}$ Max Müller, Hist.of Sansk.Lit. 540.
    2 These words were written before the appearance of Professor Miax Müller's article on Semitic Monotheism in his volumes of collected essays. Few probably will read that paper without feeling that on the main question very little room is left for doubt. Polytheism is to be found in both the Semitic and the Aryan races, but it was more ingrained in the former. The very interchangeableness of the attributes of the Vedic gods was, to a certain extent, a safeguard against any conscious and systematic polytheism. So long as this state of thought continued, Dyaus, Varuṇa, Indra, Vishṇu, would be but

[^288]:    many names for one and the same Being; but of course 'every new name threatened,' to use Professor Müller's words, 'to obscure more and more the primitive intuition of God.'-Chips, ii. 358. With the Jews the names under which they worshipped a multitude of gods were manifostly mere appellatives which never underwent any phonetic corruption, and thus the tendency to polytheism became the more invetorate. It is, however, scarcely necessary to say more than that 'if there had been in the Semitic raco a truly monothoistic instinct, the history of those mations would become porfectly unintellicible.' $-16.365$.

[^289]:    1 'Every god is conceired as suprome, or at least as inferior to no other god, at the time that he is praised or invoked by the Vedie poots; and the feeling that the various deities are but different namos, different conceptions of that incomprehensible Being which no thought can reach and no language express, is not yet quito extinct in tho minds of some of the more thoughtful Rishis.'Max Müller, Lcatures on Language, second series, 412. It might be added that the interpretations of later thoologians cannot be accounted for except by the fact that this conviction never became totally extinct. Even when the whole Hindu Pantheon has attained its final dimensions, the myths are so treated as to leave little doubt of the real meaning in the writer's mind. The outward respoct paid to the popular

[^290]:    ${ }^{1}$ Atharva Feda, iv. 16, 6. Muir, Principal Deities of $R$. V., 558.
    2"King Varuna perceives all that is within and all that is beyond hearen and earth. The winkings of men's eyes are numbered by him.' Cf. 'the very hairs of your head aro all numbered:'
     voñoas Hes. (ip. ot Diss, 265. 'The eyes of the Lord are in every place, beholding the cvil and the good.'
    ${ }^{3}$ h. V. viii. 42, 1. Muir, Sanskrit Trxts, part iv. chap. ii. sect. 2. The name Asura belongs to the same root with that of the Teutonic Asen, or Asir.
    *The reasons urged in support of this conclusion are given by Dr. Muir, Principal Deities of R.V. 556 , as follows, (1) the name Asura, etymologi-
    cally identical with Ahura, is a common epithet of Varuna; (2) the class of Indian gods called Adityas, of whom Varuna is the highest, bears a certain analogy to the Zendic Amshaspands, of whom Ahura-Mazada is the highest; (3) a close connection exists between Varuma and Mitra, just as Ahura and Mithra aro frequently associated in the Zendaresta, though the position of the two has otherwise become altered, and Mithra, who is not even reckoned among the Amshaspands, is placed between the two powers of good and evil. 'IWischen Ormuza (Ticht) und Ariman (Finsterniss) steht Mithras mitten ime, heisst darum Mittler, $\mu \epsilon \sigma i \tau \eta s$, Plut. de Is.'-Nork, Real Wörterluch, s. v. Mithrascult.
    ${ }^{5}$ Muir, Sanskrit Texts, part iv. ch. ii. section 5 .

[^291]:    1 'L'Olympe, dans Homère, ressemble à une monarchie établie de longue date, naissance, son emploi, ses titres invari-

[^292]:    ${ }^{1}$ See the remarks quoted by Professor Max Miuller from Professor Roth (Sanskrit Literature: 60).

[^293]:    ${ }^{2}$ A summary of the story of Indra and Indragit is given by Dr. Muir, Sanskrit Texts, iv. p. 422.

[^294]:    ' "Indra, a, name peculiar to India, admits of but one etymology, i.e. it must be derived from the same root, whatever that may be, which in Sanskrit
    yielded indu, drop, sap.'-Max Müller, Lectures on Latyuage, second series, 430 .
    ${ }^{2}$ IIig Veda Sanhita, H. H. Wilson, vol. iii. p. 156.

[^295]:    ${ }^{1}$ R. V. Sanhita, H. H. Wilson, i. 154.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ib. ii. $373 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Ib. iii. 37.
    4 Cf. Judges, v. 4.
    ${ }^{5}$ R. V. Sanhita, H. H. Wilson, rol. iii. p. 187.
    ${ }_{6}$ The Latins, it would seem, misunderstood the name, Livy, i. 12. 'Le mot sthâtar estordinairement complétéen Sanscrit par un génitif, tel que rathasya,

[^296]:    harîndm, ce qui détermine le véritable sens de cotto épithète, qui signifie, celui qui se tient debout sur son char, sur ses coursiers. Quel ost co char? On ne peut douter qu'il ne soit question du soleil, qui est souvent représenté dans les Vedas comme une rone d'or roulant dans lo firmament.'- Bréal, Hercule et Cacus, 103.

[^297]:    ${ }^{1}$ Muir, Principal Deities of R.V. 560.
    2 In this myth Dahana is regarded as hostile to Indra and as meditating mischief, a thought which might casily be suggested by the legends of Arethouka and Daphne. Her shattered car reposes,
    howover, on the banks of the Vipar (river or water), an incident which recalls the disappearance of Arethousa or Daphae in the waters from which Aphrodite rises. H. H. Wilson, $R . V$. Sanhita, vol. ii. p. 178.

[^298]:    ${ }^{1}$ The power of Indra is the one theme of the praise accorded to him in $R$. $V$. vii. 32. The worshipper calls on lim who holds the thunderbolt with his arm, whom no one can check if he wishes to give, who makes mortal men obtain spoil in fighting, who is the benefactor of everyone, whaterer battles there be, who is the rich of old and to be called in every battle. Max Müller, Sanskrit Literature, 543.
    'This contest with the clouds,' says Professor H. H. Wilson (Introduction to R.V. Sanhita, xxx.) 'seems to have suggested to the authors of the Sukk the martial character of Indra on other occasions, and he is especially deseribed as the god of battles, the giver of victory to his worslippors, the destroyer of the enemies of religious rites, the subverter of the cities of the Asurus.'

[^299]:    ${ }^{1}$ II. I. Wilson, R. I. Sanhita, i. 279.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ib. ii. 418, $419 . \quad{ }^{3}$ Muix, Principal Deities of R. V. 577.

[^300]:     vocare.
    ${ }_{2}$ Muir, Sanskrit Texts, part iv. p. 360 , note.
    ${ }^{3}$ Max Müller, Lectures on Language, second series, 515. Is the name Nirriti

[^301]:    ${ }^{1}$ Muir, Sonskrit Texts, part iv. p. 27.
    2 The three names piven by Yiakk are with him mere manos foesone object. 'These deitios,' ho wiys, 'receivo many designations in eomsequance of thoir greatness, or from the diversity of their functions, as (tho appellations of) hotri, adhvaryu, brahman, and udgatri aro applied to one and the same person.' The functions connected with these names carry us back to the old mythieal phrases. "Indra's function is to bestow moisture, to slay Vritra; and all exortions of force are the work of Indra.' "The function of Aditya (the sun) is to draw up moisture and to retain it by his rays: and whatever is mysterious is the work of Aditya.'-Muir, Sanskrit

[^302]:    Texts, part is. 5p. 134-6. To the ohjection that tho Iuranic mythology, of which the Trimintti of Bralmm, Vishnu and Siva is a part, might havo grown up along with the Vedie, Dr. Muir answors that if Saska had been eognisant of any other than the Vedie mytholugy (at least, if he haul attached any authority to my other), he would not have failed to make kome roferenco to the latter, and would have ondeavoured to blend and reconcile it with the former. As we tind no attempt of the kind in his work, we must oonclude either that the Puranio mythology hum no existence in his day, or that he regarded it as undeserving of any atten-tion.'-1b. 137.

[^303]:    ${ }^{1}$ Muir. Sanskrit Texts, pp. 156, 162.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ib. $160 . \quad{ }^{3} \mathrm{Ib} .146,147$.
    ${ }^{4}$ Ib. $193 . \quad{ }^{5} \mathrm{Ib} .39$,
    ${ }^{6}$ Ib. 190. Athênê in like manner springs fully armed from the head of Zeus; but in the story of the Vishnu Purana (Muir, ib. 331), Rudra is both sun and moon, as dividing his body into two parts, male and female, like the Greek Hermaphroditos. The portions into which his male form is

[^304]:    women for his new city, it is to Consus that he makos his vows and prayers. Tho Consualia would thus precisely correspond with tho Ileusinian festival of Demeter.
    ${ }^{1}$ Il. xxi. 388.
    ${ }^{2}$ Zeis Aifloxos. "Lo verbo grea dito $\sigma \omega$, qui signifio s'elancer, a fait d'uno part lo substantif ark, chèvre (i cause d. la nature bondissante de l'animal), et de

[^305]:    primitif ve7o): plus tard, on traduisit $/ \mathrm{e}$ dieu qui porte l'égide. Homere semble se souvenir de la premiere signification, quand il nous montre, au seul mouvemont du bouclier, lo tonnerro qui eclate, l'Ida qui so couvre de nuages, et les hommes frappés do terreur.'-Bréal, Hercule et C'acus, 116.

[^306]:     $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu^{2} \mathrm{~A} \theta \eta \nu \alpha \mathrm{l} \omega \nu$.
    Aspice hoe sublime candens quod invocant omnes Jovem.

[^307]:    The word fydos has the same transparent moaning..-Max Müller, Lectures on Languayr, seend series, 434.
    ${ }^{2}$ Hesiod, Theng. 122.

[^308]:    ${ }^{1}$ 'What,' asks Professor Max Müller, 'did the swineherd Eumaios know of the intricate Olympian theogony? Had he ever heard the name of the Charitos or the Harpyias? Could he have told who was the father of Aphrodit*, who wore hor husbands and her children? I doubt it; and when Homer introduces him to us, speaking of this life and the

[^309]:    higher powers that rule it, Eumaios knows only of just gods, "who hate cruel deeds, but honour justice and the righteous works of men."'-L-Lectares on Language, second series, 453.
    ${ }^{2} 3$ 3.
    ${ }^{3} 215$.
    ${ }^{4} 263$.

[^310]:    ${ }^{1}$ Max Müller, Lectures on Language, second series, 442.

[^311]:    ${ }^{1}$ On this subject see further, Muir, Sanskrit Texts, part iv. p. 41.

[^312]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lectures on Language, second series, 453. For Mr. Peile's remarks on the connection of Theos and Dous see note p. 327.
    ${ }^{2}$ Fshem-dev, aêshma-dhêva, 'ledémon de la concupisconce.'-Bréal, Hercule et Cacus, 135.
    ${ }^{3}$ Grimm, Deutsche Mythologic, 939.

[^313]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Book i. ch. x .

[^314]:    ${ }^{1}$ II. xiv. 201.
    2 Ibid. 279.
    ${ }^{3}$ In the Gaclic story of Osgar, the son of Oiscin, the monster appears with two eyes; but he is blinded, as in all other forms of the myth, and for the same reason.---Camphell, Terles of the West Heghlonds, iii. 297. Still, it is significant that ' not a bit of him was to be seon lut his eyes with hluo-green scales of hardening upon him,' the livid garment of stom-cloud. But in another legend we have the genuine Kyklops.

[^315]:    ${ }^{1}$ With this myth Grimm's story of the Wolf and the Seven Littlo Goats presents a striking parallel. The wolf is here the night or the darkness whieh tries to swallow up the seven days of the week, and actually swallows six. The serenth, the youngest, escapes ly hiding herself in the clockecase; in other words, the week is not quite run out, and before it comes to an end the muther of the goats unrips the wolf's stomach and places stones in it in place of the littio goats who come trooping out, as the days of the week begin again to run their course.
    ${ }^{2}$ Kronos himself is indeed simply produced from the epithet Kronidês as applied to $/ /$ ous in a sense corresponding to the Hebrew phrase 'Ancient of Days.'

[^316]:    1 This is indubitably the hammer of Thor, which is sunk oight rasks benoath tho surface of the earth and which takes nine months to rise again to Asgard. In fact the Greck word translated by 'anvil' is etymolngically identical with the Teutonic 'hammer.' 'Professor Curtius,' says Mr. Peile, 'seems to be right in combining the O. H. G. hamar, our hammor, with the Lithuanian akman

[^317]:    1 'Typhôous, the whirlwind or Typhoon, has a hundred dragon or serpent hoads, the long writhing strix of vapour which run before the lurricane-cloud.

    Me belches fire, that is, lightnings issue from the clouds, and his roaring is like the howling of wild dogs.'-S. B. Gould,
    The Were Wolf, p. 174.

[^318]:    ${ }^{1}$ пvкórovра.
    ${ }^{2}$ When Pausanias, v. 7, 4, says that the Olympian temple was built by men

[^319]:    of the goldon race, ho was simply saying that, it was built, as it must necossarily be, by Lykians or mon of light.

[^320]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hesiod, Theog. 54.
    ${ }^{2}$ Paus. v. 7, 4.

[^321]:    ${ }^{1}$ In some other respects the Homrric Zous is greater than the Zeus of historical Hellas. The awful Atê whom the latter cannot turn asido, and who broods over a house until the penalty for the shedding of innocent blood has been fully paid is in the lliad only the spirit of mischievous folly. So too, the

[^322]:    his ministors, leceme possessed, like the Norns, of an irrosponsible authority,
    while finally the foree of destiny attains Norns, of an irrosponsilie authority,
    while finally tho fore of destiny attuins its most overpowering proportions in tho Ananke whom, according to the theology of Euripides, not oven the father of gods and men is able to withstand or control.

[^323]:    ${ }^{1}$ Il. xiv. 210, \&c.
    ${ }^{2}$ [b. xi. 27.
    ${ }^{3}$ IV. xviii. 549.

[^324]:    1 'Der weibliche Hermes.'-Preller, Grichische Mythologie, i. 390.

[^325]:    1 Bunsen asserts this fact when he says＇that tho old Teutonic mythology of Germany and Scandinavia does not possess the grace of the Hellenic fictions． The Muses and Graces have not smiled on her birth as on that of her Greek sister．Nor has she been reared under the sunny skies of Ionia，but amid constant strifo with an austere clime and rugged nature．Consequently this

[^326]:    mythology has not，like the former， received such an organic elaboration as to impart to it an undying influence upon the course of human history． Christianity did not blight it in its bloom， but put an end to the progressive decay that had begun before its buds had come to their full flowers．＇－God in History，ii． 405.

[^327]:    ${ }^{1}$ Grimm, Doutsche Mythologie, 295.
    ${ }^{2}$ Illid. $855 . \quad 3$ llbid. 298.

[^328]:    ${ }^{2}$ Grimm, Deutsche Mythologie, 298.
    2 Hel, the daughter of Loki, and sister of the wolf Fenris and the horrible worm or serpent, is half black and half human in appoarance. Her dwelling is in Nifthoim, far down in the depths of the earth, beneath the roots of Yggdrasil:

    Grimm, D. M. 289. She is tho hungry and insatiable goddess, the greedy Polydektês and Polydegmin of Greek myths (Grimm, ib. 291), the black Kalî of modern. Findu theology.
    ${ }^{3}$ Grimm, ib. 534.

    + 26.300 .

[^329]:    1 'Odin's Rane Song,' Thorpe's Trans7ation of Scemund's Edda, p. 340. We may compare with the 'Bearer of God," the names Atlas and Cluristophoros.
    ${ }^{2}$ This is the cow beneath whose udder the Dawn maiden hides hersolf in the Norse story of the Two Step-Sistors.--Dasent.
    ${ }^{2}$ Thunson, (iod in Fristory, ii. 483.
    *The two names wonld answer to
    the active and passive meanings of the Groek фooos in compound words.
    ${ }^{5}$ Bunsen thinks that the originat form of this name was Beidsla, a word parhaps donoting desire or longing, and thus answering to the Kama of Vedic and the Fros of the Hesiodic theogony, while it is roflected also in the Teutonic Wunsch or Wish.

[^330]:    ${ }^{1}$ From the root $a s$, to be; the word is thus simply another form of Wesen.
    a The original form of the word Asen connects it immediatoly with Atman as a name of Brahman, and the Eatin animus, \&ce-Duusen, Gool in History, ii. 486.
    ${ }^{3}$ Bunsen, God in History, ii. 487.
    4 Ibid.
    ${ }^{5}$ For tho several changes through which the names Freyr and Freya hare passeal, see Grimm, i). M. 276, ©e. ${ }^{*}$ Bunsen, Gion in IIstory, ii. 491.

[^331]:    ${ }^{1}$ Chips, fic. i. 71.
    ${ }^{2}$ Grimm, Deutsche Mythologie, 120.
    ${ }^{3}$ Sigfadr, Siegfater, Grimm, ib. 122. Hence the phrases, Tu OWimn fahren, O'finnsheim suchen, denoted simply
    death. With the conversion to Christianity theso expressions which spoke of men as going home to Odin became maledictions, consigning them to perdition.

[^332]:    1 All these names come from the samo root with the Sanskrit sna, the Greek $\nu \eta \chi \omega$, the Latin nare, to float or swim. With them we must link the common term 'Old Nick,' as a name for tho devil.
    ${ }^{2}$ Professor Max Müller seems in-

[^333]:    ${ }^{1}$ Professor Müller, having traced the connection botween these words, adds -The relations betwixt tender, thin, and thunder would be hard to be established,

[^334]:    ${ }^{1}$ Grimm, 7). M. 156 ,
    ${ }^{2}$ By a change analogons to that which makes tho Latin sequor and equus answer to the Greek er $\pi 0 \mu \alpha$ and intos.
    ${ }^{3}$ The connection of tho name Perku-
     seems scarcely less obrious, and the Hellenic deity has as much to do with water as the Vedic Parjanya. The name of the grod Pikollos, who is associated

[^335]:    ${ }^{1}$ Seo note. 6, p. 265. This hammer is said to have been stolen by a giant who hid it eight miles beneath the surface of the earth. In as many years it ascencled into heaven again, accomplishing ono mile in each year; and thus it was restored to Thor by Thrym, which however is only another name for thunder, and answers to Thrumkotill, the propor name, as Thorketyll, Thurkotil, answers

[^336]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lay of Thrym, 16, 17, 31, 32. Thorpe's Translation of Semund's Edda.

[^337]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bebende Ristütte, Bunsen, God in History, ii. 412.
    2 Theso qualities reappear in the story of the Six Sorvants, Grimm, Of these, one has to keep a bandage ovor his oyes, for his sight is so keen that whatever he looks at splits in two; another can see all round the world; and a third can

[^338]:    hear everything, oven to the growing of the grass. These ministers of the solar horo are again seen in Grimm's story, How Six travellod through the World, and in the Gaelic tale of The King of Lochlin's Threo Daughters, Campbell, Tales of the West Highlands, i. 238, 250 . ${ }^{3}$ God in History, ii. 412, 490,

[^339]:    ${ }^{1}$ Muir, Sunskrit Texts, part iv. p. $90 . \quad{ }^{2}$ R. II. Wilson, T. IV. i. 189.
    R. V. viii. $90,12$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Lb. i. 304.

[^340]:    ${ }_{2}^{1}$ H. II. Wilson, R. V. ii. 91.
    
    
    ${ }^{3}$ Professor Max Müller, speaking of this myth, compares it with the German proverb, 'Morgenstunde hat Gold im Munde,' as enforcing tho same moral with the prosaic English adage which promises health, wealth, and wislom to those who go to sloep early and rise early. Lectures, second series, 378. There was anothor version of the myth of Savitar, which made him lose both his

    VOL. I.
    hands. H. H. Wilson, R. $V$. i. 51.
    ${ }^{4}$ Compare the story of Nuad of the Silver Hand (Fergusson, Irish before the Conquest) and Grimm's tale of the Handless Maiden, for whom the king, when he takes her as his wife, orders silver hands to the made. But she is takon from him, like Urvasî from Puraravas, and when, after grievous sufterings, she is restored to hin, her hands havo grown again as beautiful as ever. 567.

[^341]:    1 The explanation of this verse given in the Nirukta-parisishta shows that the commentator was perfectly aware of the real nature of the myth. 'Soma,' he says, 'is the generator of hymns (or thought), i.e. of those solar rays whose function it is to reveal ; of the sky, i.e. of those solar rays whose function it is to shine; of tho earth, i.e. of thoso solar rays whose function it is to sproad; of Agni, i.e. of those solar rays whose

[^342]:    function it is to move ; of Surya, i.e. of those solar rays whose function it, is to appropriate; of Indri, i. o. of those solar rays whose function is soveroignty; of Vishnu, i.s. of those solar rays whos. function is diffusion.' - Mnir, Sanskrit Texts, part iv. p. 81. In these comments all tho deitios disappear together, learius, Soma as tho representative of the one groat Cause of all things.

[^343]:    ${ }^{1}$ R. V. ix. 113, 7; Max Müller, Chips, i. 47.
    ${ }^{2}$ H. H. Wilson, $R$. V. S. iii. 461.
    8 R. V. ix. 86; Muir, Sanskrit Texts, part iv. p. 99.
    ${ }^{1}$ R. V. ix. 63, 3 ; Muir, Sanskrit
    ${ }^{5}$ R. V. ix. 65, 20 ; Muir, Sanskrit Texts, part iv. p. 80.
    ${ }^{6}$ IR. V. ix. 56,4 ; Muir, Sanskrit Texts, part iv. p. 80.
    ${ }^{7}$ R. V. ix. 90,5 ; Muir, Sans/orit Texts, part iv. p. 80.

[^344]:    ${ }^{1}$ Muir, Sanskrit Texts, purt iv. p. 189. Uma is also the wifo of Mahadeva, ib. 227. For further details respecting Uma, see Muir, ib. p. 357, et seq. Of genuine mythology the story of Uma, if it can bo called a story, exhibits very little. It has been drawn out to suit an idea, but the idea has not been suggested by the myth. "Uma is

[^345]:    divine knowledgn; thou who existest: with her, O Soma, supremo spirit, \&e.' Hence hor attributes are plastic enough, and thus she becomes identified with Ambika, tho sister of Rudra, a being not much more clearly defined than Uma herself.
    ${ }^{2}$ Max Müllor, Leectures on Language, second series, 486.

[^346]:    1 Max Müller, Lectures on Language, seennd series, 486.
    ${ }^{2}$ Il. $487,495$.

[^347]:    ${ }^{1}$ Max Müller, Lectures on Language, second series, 498.
    ${ }^{2}$ Muir, Sanskrit Texts, part iv. ch. 3, sect. 1, p. 265.

[^348]:    ${ }_{2}^{1}$ Max Mäller, Lectures, second series, 515; Muir, Principal Deities of R. V. 575.
    ${ }^{2}$ Max Müller, Lectures, second series, 522 .

[^349]:    ${ }^{1}$ Muir, Contributions to a Knowledge of Vedic Theogony, 3.

    2 This story is after all only a counterpart of the legends of Echo and Selênê, whose part is here played by the nymph of the well, Salmakis. Like Endymion and Narkissos, the youth

[^350]:    rejects her lore, until the nymph lays hands on him as Aphroditó does on Adonis.
    ${ }^{3}$ Muir, Sanskrit Texts, iv. 196, 225.
    1 Ih. 384. The modern version of the story has been already given, book i . ch. viii.

[^351]:    ${ }^{1}$ Max Müller, Chips, fsc.ii. 99, et seq.
    2 'Though rava is generally used of sound, yet the root $r u$, which means originally to cry, is also appliod to colour in the sense of a loud or crying colour. Besides, Purûravas calls himself Vasishtha, which, as we know, is

[^352]:    a name of the sun; and if he is called Aida, tho sou of Ida, the same name is elsowhere ( $R$.,$V$. iii. 29, 3) given to Agni, the Fire.'-Max Müller, ib. 101. This son of Ida reappears perhaps as Idas, the father of Kleopatra.

[^353]:    - This child may be the first sun of the new year; but whethor the myth be taken of that or any other sumrise, it is equally true that the mother must vanish soon after her child has been born. Hence in the play of Kalidasa, after Urvasî has been reunited to hor lover, she tells him,
    When for your love I gladly left the courts
    Of heaven, the monarch thus declared his will,

[^354]:    ${ }^{1}$ In Hindu folk-lore this is the story of Gandharba-sena. Ot this being Captain Burton (Tales of Indian Devilry, preface xiii.) says that ho 'is a quasihistorical personage who lived a century preceding the Christian era.' Even granting the fact, we have here only a name belonging to the same class with Roland, Arthur, Dietrich of Bern, or others for whom an historical existence has been claimed. The name clearly suggests a comparison with Gandharva Pururavas. The story of Gandharbasena Captain Burton regards as the original of the Golden Ass of Appuleius.

    The hypothesis is scarcoly nocessary, unless it is to be maintained that the whole folk-lore of Greece, Germany, Seandinavia, and other countries has been bodily imported from India. The story of Gandharba-sema is, however, the story of Midas, of the Irish Lavra Loingsech, and of the Little Ass in Grimm's collection; and it may be noted that the being transformed into an ass in the romance of Appuleius is Lucius of Corinth (Phoibos Lykeios). The story of Psychê is also told in the Gaelic Tale of the Daughter of the Skies.

[^355]:    1 The converse of this incident is found in the legend of the Loathly Lady. See also Fouqué's Sintram.
    ${ }_{2}$ In Grimm's Story of the Twelve Brothers she is tho mother of the king who marries the dawn-maiden, i.e. she is Venus. Sho reappears as his second wife in the tales of Tho Little Brothor and Sister, of the Six Swans, who fly away like the children of Nephelê, and of Little Snow White. The Little Brother and Sister (Phrixos and Hellê)

[^356]:    1 This search is well described in tho Gaelic story of Nighean Righ Fo Thuinn, where the hero Diarmaid loses his wife, as Raymund of Toulouse is soparated from Melusina, because ho breaks the compact made with her. The search goes as in the other stories, but an odd turn is given to it at the end by making Diarmaid take a dislike

[^357]:    to the maidon whom he rescues in the Realm Underwaves (where Herakles regains Alkêstis), and thus ho leaves her to go to his own home. After all it is but Orpheus, who here abandons Eurydike, instead of Furydike fading from the eyes of Orpheus. The one myth is as forcible and true as the other. Campbell, iii. 419.

[^358]:    ${ }^{2}$ Soe Appendix D. Mae Iain Direach, Campbell, Tates of
    ${ }^{2}$ Compare also tho Gaelic story of the West Highlands, ii. $3 \overline{5} 9$.

[^359]:    ${ }^{1}$ Grimm. With these logends may be compared the story of Tulisa ( $x$ tale which in Professor Benfey's opinion is very ancient), olltained from a washorwoman at Benares, and published in the Asiatic Journal. See also the tales in

[^360]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the German story of the Iron Stove (Grimm), the part of Erôs is played by a king's son, who is compelled by a witch to sit in a great iron stove which stood in a wood. This is manifestly a revorsing of the myth of Brynhild, in which the flame surrounding the maiden on the Glistening Heath answers to the fiery stove in which the prince is imprisoned. In the tale of Strong Hans (Grimm), it is Psychê who is rescued from a tower or well in which

[^361]:    she is confined like the Argive Danae. In the legends of the True Bride and of the Drummor the maiden recovers her lover as in the story of the Soaring Lark. See also ch. viii. sect. 2 of this book.

    2 In the German story of Bearskin, the soldier is not turned into a beast, but is under compact with the exil one not to comb his hair or wash his face for seven years, but to wear a bear sark or cloak. In this disguise he compels

[^362]:    the king to give him one of his daughters in marriage, and the youngest consents to be the victim, saying that a promise which has been made must be kept. The transformation is more complete in

[^363]:    the story of Hans the Hedgehog, whose enchantment is brought to an end by burning his skin, as in the Deccan story of the enchanted rajah.

[^364]:    1 This myth reappears in a very thin disguise in tho ballad of Enlintom, Scott's Border Minstredsy. Ifere wo hare tho forest, the maden and her lover, while the robbers are a troop of knights headed by an old and grey-haired warrior, Winter himself. The knight, of course, fights with and slays all, except the grey-haired chief, who is suffered to go home to tell the tale; in other words, the mortal Medousa is slain, but the power of cold itself (her immortal sisters) cannot be destroyed. With this we may compare the death of Helle while Phrixos lives on. So, too, the youngest child of Kronos is not

[^365]:    derouned, and the youngest goat in Grimm's story of the Wolf and the Seven Little Goats escapes the fate of the six others.
     Soph. Phil. 827.
    2 The turning of the companions of Odysseus into swino is only another form of the more common transformation into birds which the witches of Teutonic and Arabian folk-lore keep hung up in cages round their walls. Compare the story of Jorinde und Joringel (Grimm) with that of Punchkin in the Deecan Tales, and of the Two Sistors in the Arabian Nights.

[^366]:    ${ }^{1}$ Scott, Border Minstrelsy, iv. 114. Mr. Gould, in his chapter on the Mountain of Venus, notices among other stories that of the Norse Helgi, Thorir's son, who is invited by Ingebjorg the Troll queen to come and live with her. His absence, however, is confined to three days, at the end of which he returns home laden with treasure. His second risit was extended over many years, and from this he returned blind. The story told by Gervase of Tilbury, the scene of which is the mountain of

[^367]:    ${ }^{1}$ Fergusson, The Irish before the Alhembra, 'Legend of the Two Discroet Conquest.
    ${ }^{2}$ Washington Irving, Tales of the

[^368]:    ${ }^{1}$ R. V. viii. 76, 4, and 91, 1; Muir, Sanstcrit Texts, part iii. p. 119. During this time of oppression and sorrow, it is said that Vishnu thrice measured the mundane regions for Manu. R. V. vi. 49, 13; Muir, ib. part iv. p. 71.

[^369]:    ${ }^{2}$ Max Müller, Sanskrit Literature, 526.
    ${ }^{3}$ Book i. ch. iii.

    * Max Müller, Lectures on Language, second series, 361 .
    ${ }^{*}$ Greek, $\mu \eta \nu, \mu \eta \nu \eta$ : Latin, mensis.

[^370]:    ${ }^{1}$ Professor Max Müller, Lectures on Language, second series, 482, 509, thinks that Manu may havo been called Savarni, as meaning the Manu of all colours, i. e. of all tribes or castes, while Savarna, the second wife of the sun, is simply the twilight in which ho dies, just as the myth that Suranyû had left her twins behind, meant only that the Dawn had disappeared. Tho root mun is taken also to denote 'backward thought, remombering and admonishing; whence the proper name Mentor, the adviser.' With this may be compared the name Juno Moneta: and thus Athênê, when she appears among the suitors before the great vengeance of Odysseus, is naturally said to assume the likeness of Mentor.
    ${ }^{2}$ The root US, to burn, appears as USH in Sanskrit. From this Ushas is formed without any vowel modification, 'The Greco-Italian people raised the
    rowel ly ragular process to $a r$, and formed cousos, which received no further increase in Greck, but in Latin a secondary nown was formed from the primary one, that is cusosce. Now both Grecks and Italians, as is well known, disliked the sound she ween two vowels: the Greeks generally dropped it, and so got, au $(\sigma)$ ás : the Latins changed it to $r$, and made aurora: the verb appears as uro.--Peile, Introduction to Gireek and Latin Etymology, xii. The Lithuanian form of the worl is Ausera.
    ${ }^{3}$ Hence the deerepitude of some of the mythical beings beloved by the Dawn. This is the iden of the myth of Eôs and Tithonos, and it seems to be united with that of Odin, Savitri, or Odysseus the wanderers, in the story of tho Wandering Jow. The myth is here, as wo might expect, strangely distorted : but the Jew must wander on until the evening of the world is come.

[^371]:    1 The benignant aspect of night must, be carefully borno in mind, as the germ of the myths of Asteria, Astorodia, Kalynsô, and other Fairy Queens. Under all these forms we have tho $\nu \mathrm{v} \xi$
     Asschylos, Agum. 356. As such, Night is invoked in the Veda to 'drive away the wolf and the thief, and carry her worshippers safely across' (to the light). -R.V.х. 127 ; Muir, Sanskrit Texts, part iv. p. 123.
    : Max Müller, Lectures on Language,

[^372]:    ${ }^{1}$ R.V. iv. 30.

[^373]:    ${ }^{1}$ Max Mäller, Lectures on Language, second sevies, 465.

[^374]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Appendix E.
    ${ }^{2}$ Professor Müller notices that in a hymn of the serenth book of the liig Ieda, Vastoshpati, the lord of the house, a kind of Lar, is called Sarameya, and is certainly addressed as the watchdog of the house; and he adds that this deity would thus denote the 'peep of day conceived as a person, watching museen at the doors of heaven during the night and giving his first lark in the morning.' The features of the deity thus conceived are brought out with sufficient clearness in the following versos:
    'When thou, bright Sarameya, openest
    thy teeth, O red one, spears seem
    to glisten on thy jaws as thou
    swallowest. Sleep, sleep.
    'Bark at the thief, Sarameya, or at the
    robber, O restless one. Now thou
    barkest at the worshippers of Indra.

[^375]:    ${ }^{1}$ Roth, quoted by Professor Max Müller, $i b .404$. The name itself, as in Hermes, SaramA, and $\delta \rho \mu \grave{\eta}$, may express any motion, slow or rapid.

[^376]:     Herod. vi. 63.
    ${ }^{3}$ aє $\mu \nu a l$ өєxil. $\quad 1$ Il. x. 571.

[^377]:    1 The process is completely analysed hy Professor Max Müller, 'Comparative Mythology,' Chips, \&fc. ii. 132, \&c.

[^378]:    ${ }^{1}$ Max Müller, Chips, fc. ii. 131.

[^379]:    1 This is precisely the picture of the Muses nursing the infant Phoibos in the Homeric hymn. Max Müller, ib. 136.
    ${ }_{2}$ In his notes on the Rig Vida Sanhita, vol. i. p. 11, Professor Max Müller, noting the objections made to some of his interpretations of passages in which the word Arusha occurs, on the ground that

[^380]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Section XII. of this chapter.

[^381]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Appendix F.
    ${ }^{2}$ Eurip. Med. 957.
    ${ }^{s}$ With this may be compared the Norse story of the Master Smith, in whom we see another form of Hephaistos or Wayund. The incident of the cutting up of
    the body of Pelias occurs also in the German story of Brother Iastig. Medeia herself appears in benignant guise in the legend of the Goose-girl at the Well (the Dawn-maiden with her snowwhite clouds).

[^382]:    ${ }^{1}$ Professor Max Müller, refers Prokris to the Sanskrit prush and prish, to sprinkle, used chiefly of raindrops. 'The same root in the Teutonic languages has taken the sense of "frost," and Bopp identifies prush with the O. H. G. frus, frigere. In Greek we must refer to the same root $\pi \rho \omega \xi$, $\pi \rho \omega \kappa \delta s$, a dewdrop, and also Prokris, the dew. Thus the wife of Kephalos is only a repetition of Hersê, her motherHersê, dew, being derived from Sanskrit prish, to sprinkle.'- Comparativo My-

[^383]:    thology, Chips, fo. ii. 87.
    ${ }^{2}$ In the myth of Ikaros, or Ikarios, this dog appears under the name Maira (the glistening), who holps Erigonê the daughter of Ikarios in her search for the body of her father, who has been slain by the peasants and thrown into the well Anygros (the parched). Her grief leads her to hang herself on a tree under which he was buried, a myth which suggests a comparison with that of Helenê Dendritis. Ikaros, the son of Daidalos, is only a reflection of Phaëthôn.

[^384]:    ${ }^{1}$ The lot of Tithônos is simply the reverse of that of Endymiôn.

[^385]:    ${ }^{1}$ This name was naturally roforred to the words annus and perennis by a people who had retained the mere name without its meaning. Hence the goddess became to the Latins the bestower of fruitful seasons; but the false etymology of the prayer, 'ut annare perennareque commode liceat,' happened to correspond with the original force of the name, if Anna Perenna be the Sanskrit Apua-

[^386]:    apparently from the same stem with the Latin pario, to produce. Nork, Real-Wörterluch, i. 89.

[^387]:    ${ }^{1}$ Iokastê is the wife of the gloomy which the sun is born may be regarded ns Laios: in other words, the dawn from the wifo of the dark and cheerless night.

[^388]:    1 The Iron Stove of the German story. (Grimm.)

[^389]:    ${ }_{1}$ This bull reappears in the Norso tale of Katie Wooden-cloak (Dasent), endowed with the powers of Wish. In its left ear is a cloth which, when spread out, furnishes abundant lanquets for the dawn-maiden, who has been thrust out of her father's house; but when the stepmother says that she cannot rest until she has eaten the Dun Bull's flesh, the beast, hearing her, tells the dawnmaiden that, if she wills, he will curry her away. The pursuit of Katie on her bull is the chase of Iasôn by the angry

[^390]:    ${ }^{1}$ Detianeira is the last of the many brides of Herakles, and belongs in truth, rather to the darkness than the light, and as sending to him the fatal garment,

[^391]:    or bride of the enemy of the day: and thus her name is Dasyanarî, the wife of the fiend. Chips, for. ii. 89, 234.

    2 See note 4, p. 418.

[^392]:    ${ }^{1}$ Max Müller, ib. 'Homer knows of no mother of Athênê, nor does the Veda mention a name for the mother of the dawn, though her parents are spoken of in the dual.'
    ${ }^{2}$ Hes. Theog. 924.
    ${ }^{3}$ This connection of the dawn with water runs through almost every legend which turns on the phenomena of morning. Thus in the Norse tale of Katie Wooden-cloak the dawn-maiden, while working humbly like Cinderella in the

[^393]:    ${ }^{1}$ M. Breal, who traces this identity, Hercule et Cacus, 17, cites the words of Suid is, ' $\tau \rho \iota \tau о \pi a ́ \tau o \rho \in s \cdot \Delta \eta \not \mu \omega \nu$ è $\nu \tau \hat{\eta}$
     topas.' It is said of Indra that ' animated by the sacrificial food, he broke through the defences of Vala, as did Trita through the coverings of the well.'II. H. Wilson, R. V. S. vol. i. p. 141. Professor Wilson here remarks that ' Ekata, Dwita, and Trita [the first, second, and third] were three men produced in water, by Agni, for the purpose of removing or rubbing off the reliques of an oblation of clarifiod butter. The Scholiast . . . says that Agni threw the cinders of the burnt-offering into the water, whence successively arose Ekata, Dwita, and Trita, who, as elsewhere appears, were therefore called Aptyas or sons of water.' Noticing Dr. Roth's opinion that Trita is the same name as Thraettana (Feridun), he says that the identity of Trita and Traitana remains to le established. It is, at the least, not disproved by the story which he

[^394]:    ${ }^{1}$ Max Müller, Lectures on Language, second series, 503.
    ${ }^{2}$ This name is manifestly only another form of Phallos.
    ${ }^{3}$ Pind. Pyth. xii. 35.
    4 Athênê also brings up and nourishes Erechtheus, and lodges him in her own temple. On this Mr. Grote, History of

[^395]:    Greece, i. 75, remarks, 'It was altogether impossible to make Erechtheus the son of Athenê: the type of the godless forbade it.' Rather, it was forbidden only by the form which the idea of Athenê assumed in the minds of the Athenians; and the reason is obrious.
    ${ }^{5}$ Od. xiii. 430. See vol. i. p. 160.

[^396]:    ${ }^{2}$ Ib. xxiv. 368.

[^397]:    ${ }^{1}$ See section xii. of this chapter. the girdle on Pandora and made her
    ${ }^{2}$ xxxii.
    ${ }^{3}$ Hes. Op. et Dies. 60, et seq. The beautiful may be regarded as another statement in line 72 that Athênế placed - in accordance with line 65. version of the myth. It is certainly not

[^398]:    2 Il. i. 400 .
    ${ }^{2}$ Lectures on Language, second series, 505. To the same root, probably, must
    to Juno as the guardian of the mint on the Capitoline hill. See also note i., p. 115.

