

THE MISSOURI REPUBLICAN.

TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION—POSTAGE PREPAID. Terms—In Advance. The Daily Republican, published every morning, will be furnished at the following rates: By mail, seven times per week, per year, \$12 00. In the city, seven times per week, per year, 2 50. Sunday paper per year, 2 50.

How to Send Money. Remittances may be made by draft, money-order, or registered letter, at our risk. Give Post-office address in full, including State and County, and address GEORGE H. KEMP & Co., St. Louis, Mo.

Persons leaving the city for the summer can have the Daily Republican sent to their address prepaid for one dollar a month.

Persons leaving the city for the summer can have the Daily Republican sent to their address prepaid for one dollar a month.

Persons leaving the city for the summer can have the Daily Republican sent to their address prepaid for one dollar a month.

Persons leaving the city for the summer can have the Daily Republican sent to their address prepaid for one dollar a month.

Persons leaving the city for the summer can have the Daily Republican sent to their address prepaid for one dollar a month.

Persons leaving the city for the summer can have the Daily Republican sent to their address prepaid for one dollar a month.

Persons leaving the city for the summer can have the Daily Republican sent to their address prepaid for one dollar a month.

Persons leaving the city for the summer can have the Daily Republican sent to their address prepaid for one dollar a month.

Persons leaving the city for the summer can have the Daily Republican sent to their address prepaid for one dollar a month.

Persons leaving the city for the summer can have the Daily Republican sent to their address prepaid for one dollar a month.

Persons leaving the city for the summer can have the Daily Republican sent to their address prepaid for one dollar a month.

Persons leaving the city for the summer can have the Daily Republican sent to their address prepaid for one dollar a month.

Persons leaving the city for the summer can have the Daily Republican sent to their address prepaid for one dollar a month.

Persons leaving the city for the summer can have the Daily Republican sent to their address prepaid for one dollar a month.

Persons leaving the city for the summer can have the Daily Republican sent to their address prepaid for one dollar a month.

Persons leaving the city for the summer can have the Daily Republican sent to their address prepaid for one dollar a month.

Persons leaving the city for the summer can have the Daily Republican sent to their address prepaid for one dollar a month.

Persons leaving the city for the summer can have the Daily Republican sent to their address prepaid for one dollar a month.

Persons leaving the city for the summer can have the Daily Republican sent to their address prepaid for one dollar a month.

Persons leaving the city for the summer can have the Daily Republican sent to their address prepaid for one dollar a month.

Persons leaving the city for the summer can have the Daily Republican sent to their address prepaid for one dollar a month.

Persons leaving the city for the summer can have the Daily Republican sent to their address prepaid for one dollar a month.

Persons leaving the city for the summer can have the Daily Republican sent to their address prepaid for one dollar a month.

Persons leaving the city for the summer can have the Daily Republican sent to their address prepaid for one dollar a month.

Persons leaving the city for the summer can have the Daily Republican sent to their address prepaid for one dollar a month.

Persons leaving the city for the summer can have the Daily Republican sent to their address prepaid for one dollar a month.

Persons leaving the city for the summer can have the Daily Republican sent to their address prepaid for one dollar a month.

Persons leaving the city for the summer can have the Daily Republican sent to their address prepaid for one dollar a month.

Persons leaving the city for the summer can have the Daily Republican sent to their address prepaid for one dollar a month.

Persons leaving the city for the summer can have the Daily Republican sent to their address prepaid for one dollar a month.

Persons leaving the city for the summer can have the Daily Republican sent to their address prepaid for one dollar a month.

Persons leaving the city for the summer can have the Daily Republican sent to their address prepaid for one dollar a month.

Persons leaving the city for the summer can have the Daily Republican sent to their address prepaid for one dollar a month.

Persons leaving the city for the summer can have the Daily Republican sent to their address prepaid for one dollar a month.

Persons leaving the city for the summer can have the Daily Republican sent to their address prepaid for one dollar a month.

Persons leaving the city for the summer can have the Daily Republican sent to their address prepaid for one dollar a month.

ident, it thinks, would have at this time as much strength as HAMPTON, but it adds: "We do not think that it will be to its advantage to become a candidate, and we are sure that he can do the state and the Democratic party better service by the canvassing of the electoral vote in 1881, than he can render in any other capacity whatever. We prefer, however, to re-elect Gov. Hampton in South Carolina before talking of shifting him by crossing him as a candidate before the convention of 1880, unless it be understood that he take the United States senatorship in passing."

The Post Independent thinks there is no reason why Washington county should not be better represented at the St. Louis Fair and Exposition than it has been in former years, and it adds: "With a little trouble in selecting samples of our various products, a showing could be made at the great fair which would be creditable to the county, and which would do more to induce emigrants to look to it than any other practicable scheme could do. It is in our county products should be made at the coming fair if the people induce and assist in the work. The real estate firm of Teasdale & Kodelmeyer will make arrangements about shipping the articles and having them placed on exhibition, so that it will cost the exhibitor nothing but the article itself. We want samples of the different grain, fruits and vegetables, as well as of all minerals in both the crude and refined states."

From its tender youth the Globe-Democrat has been full of animosity for the people of the South. The terrible trials through which they have gone have excited in that quarter no kinder sentiment than ridicule and sneers. It would be evidence of true contrition if we could accept its pretended sympathy for those people in their present situation. But its tears are crocodile tears. Its pity is the sneaking compassion which the hypocrite professes for a prostrate foe, and which hides its murderous intentions under the garb of charity. It would now pretend to be an almoner for the relief of those suffering people, hated to whom has been its actuating principle through all its course; but beneath this guise of benevolence, it seeks to do the most unkind and malicious thing. In the general desire to aid the Southern sufferers the G-D. thinks it sees an opportunity to make capital. Does it suppose that the FRY fund has been forgotten, or how, collecting money for a good purpose, it was detected in withholding the proceeds from the needy widow F. and compelled to disgorge?

The Boston Advertiser reminds the people of Massachusetts that "the new law regarding the assessment and registry of voters will be enforced for the first time this year." One of the conditions of the law is that no one can vote who has not been assessed a poll-tax for the current year. No one can be assessed after September 1, so that no one whose name is not on the list at that time will be allowed to vote either at the state election in November or at the Boston city election in December—the one two months distant and the other three months. The Advertiser hopes "every Republican recognizes the obligation of a good citizen to vote in times like these, when the foes of honest government and social order are making a desperate attempt to effect a union of forces that will imperil the prosperity of the community."

THE POTTIER committee adjourned on Saturday, subject to the call of the chairman. It is the understanding, our Washington special states, that the committee will meet at the capital in October, when a few witnesses will be examined, after which an adjournment will be had until December. It is said that Mr. TILDEN's friends will endeavor to stave off the report of the committee until it is too late for action by the present congress, in order to afford opportunity or pretext for bringing the whole matter before the Forty-sixth congress.

DISPATCHES from the plague-stricken cities of the South have told many tales of the heroism of self-sacrificing men and women laboring to alleviate the misery of their unfortunate fellow-creatures. But once in a while the darker side of human nature is revealed and we are shown how cowardly, selfish and cruel man can be. One of today's telegrams from Memphis states that instances have come to light there of parents deserting their children when they were seized by the dread disease and of children deserting their parents under similar circumstances. It is also related that while a certain prominent citizen was absent his wife and children were taken down with yellow fever; that the husband and father was telegraphed for but came not, sending word "take care of my family"; that the wife died and the children were taken to the city hospital where one of them also died.

In speaking of the suggestion of HANCOCK and HAMPTON as the Democratic ticket in 1880, made by Gen. GARY at Spartanburg, South Carolina, a few days ago, the Charleston News and Courier speaks favorably of HANCOCK as "a soldier of brilliant record, for whom South Carolina had a high regard," but "he has not developed much strength in preceding national conventions," and it "doubts the wisdom of nominating an officer of the regular army for president."

Secretary SHERMAN gives out that he will take part in the opening congressional canvass in Ohio. He began operations with a speech at Toledo on the 6th of August, and will make speeches at other points in the state before he returns to Washington. It is said that Secretary McCRAWY will probably canvass a portion of Iowa, also, devoting himself to financial issues entirely. Secretary SHERMAN is likely to do his party more harm than good. He is an able man and a most adroit manipulator of what may be called grand politics; but he is cold-blooded, unsympathetic and unpopular. His dry, heavy speeches to public meetings fall like lumps of lead. Ohio is now Democratic, and we cannot imagine anything more likely to keep it so than Mr. SHERMAN's effort to make it Republican. Secretary McCRAWY will not have an altogether pleasant time in Iowa. Iowa is Republican, but not Republican in the right way. It is hostile to the administration policy, and there is little chance of Secretary McCRAWY bringing it round to the proper position. By the way, there is extant a letter addressed by Mr. HAYES to Secretary SHER-

MAN, June 23, 1877, in which the writer says: "No officer of the general government should be required or permitted to take part in the management of political organizations, caucuses, conventions or election campaigns." Are we to understand that the two secretaries will be dismissed when they violate this rule?

The Labor Inquiry. A Republican paper queries at the house committee on the labor question for "gathering knowledge from tramps, hoodlums, college professors and statesmen." The committee was appointed to inquire into the depression of industry and trade, and ascertain the causes of it, and they have set about its task in a very intelligent manner. They have not summoned tramps and hoodlums before them—though there would be no impropriety in doing even this; but they have invited a number of labor agitators and Communists to present their absurd explanations of the difficulty, and their still more absurd remedies for it; and they have summoned college professors, merchants, manufacturers, bankers and others, too. They are affording to all discontented classes an opportunity of giving their theories an airing. This brings in a great deal of rubbish, it is true, but it shows that the committee are prosecuting their inquiry with the utmost fairness, and are not ignoring the laboring classes of the country in an investigation in which they have a special interest. The committee are doing a great deal of good work. They are affording every phase of discontent an opportunity to express itself, and if some of the labor agitators present very wild and foolish theories, even these are necessary to the completeness of the inquiry. It is better that the remedies proposed by these agitators should be subjected to examination and ridicule than that they should be permitted to grow into popular delusions.

The Congressional Nominations. The list of Democratic nominations for congress in the country districts, with one exception, is now full, and a little over, there being in each of two districts one more nomination than the rules called for. The nominations are: The First District: LOWMEADE A. DAVIS of Cape Girardeau, in the Fourth; R. P. BLAND of Laclede, in the Fifth; JAMES R. WADDILL of Greene, in the Sixth; A. M. LAY of Cole, in the Seventh; JOHN T. CRISP of Jackson, regular nominee, and SAMUEL L. SAWYER of Jackson, independent Democrat, in the Eighth; DAVID REA of Andrew, in the Ninth; GIDEON J. ROTHWELL of Randolph, in the Tenth; JOHN B. CLARK of Howard, in the Eleventh; JOHN M. GLOVER of Lewis and WILLIAM H. HATCH of Marion, both claiming to be the regular nominee, in the Twelfth. The nomination in the Thirteenth district has not yet been made, but there is no doubt that it will be given to Hon. A. H. BUCKNER, the present member, as there is little opposition to him. In addition to these Col. SOL G. KIRCHEN is an independent Greenbacker candidate in the Fourth district; H. M. RICHIEY, Greenbacker candidate in the Sixth; S. N. DUNHAM, Greenbacker, in the Eighth, and JOHN M. LONDON, Greenbacker, in the Twelfth.

CLAUDE WADDILL, LAY, CRISP, SAWYER, ROTHWELL and HATCH are all new candidates, no one of them ever having served in congress. CLAUDE WADDILL will take HATCHER'S (Republican) place; DAVIS will take HATCHER'S; WADDILL will take MORGAN'S; LAY will take CRISP'S; the election of these being unquestioned. SAWYER or CRISP, the former probably, will succeed FRANKLIN; REA will succeed himself; ROTHWELL will succeed POLLARD, (Republican), CLARK will keep his place; either GLOVER or HATCH will succeed the former, and BUCKNER will keep his place. There will, therefore, be a gain of two Democratic members—one in the First and one in the Twelfth district. In the Second and Third districts the Democrats have the advantage of votes, and they require only to make discreet nominations to carry those districts, and thus gain two more members. What they will do remains to be seen.

"What to Know, You Know." That the Democrats of Missouri do not believe that the Social Democratic of the Kansas City Times and the St. Joseph Gazette tell them about the state money—that they do not think the official conduct of their state treasurer is above their discussion, and what he does with their money is none of their business, and that they are not entirely satisfied with the little state treasurer has told them about the state money—will, we think, be sufficiently clear from the subjoined extracts: From the Nodaway Democrat: "The people of the state want to know the exact condition of the state moneys, whether all the moneys are to be recovered. All facts bearing upon those matters should have been given with the most careful detail. It may be that the treasurer's belief that the state will not lose any of its money, ultimately, will be verified. We sincerely wish it may turn out so; yet, we confess, we have fears that such will not be the case." From the Gallatin Democrat: "We give Mr. Gates' statement in full this week. It appears evident that the state will not lose any money. We do not feel so confident, and the people will not feel so confident, until Mr. Gates sets the money. Until he does so people will believe that he has allowed himself to be misled about and managed by a lot of sharpers. It is very true that some very smart men have lost their money by break-up banks, but the custodian of a state's money should exercise more than ordinary care. He is not an individual. It is the people of a state depositing their taxes. The neglect of the last legislature makes the money state beyond perchance, and in accordance with the constitutional provision is a big tally against Democracy, we must confess."

From the Montgomery Standard: "It is a little singular that Mr. Gates should have reported to the governor that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the Mastin bank would do it and acted upon the promise of its officers to do so, but a report of that kind should state facts existing, not anticipated facts. We do not charge Mr. Gates with dishonesty, but the whole transaction certainly justifies the statement that the Mastin bank had paid \$220,000 on the state's interest when it had not done so. No doubt the

## HOWARD MEMORIAL LIBRARY, ESTABLISHED 1889.

(REFERENCE)

1896--33,000 BOOKS  
1900--38,000 BOOKS  
1904--47,000 BOOKSNEW ORLEANS, Jan. 26th, 1906.

Acknowledged

Major Surgeon W. D. McCaw,  
Surgeon General's Office,  
Washington, D. C.

Dear Sir:-

I duly received the volume for Dr. Bohne, who has it in use,  
and will return it to me within the time named.

For your collection on yellow fever I enclose a slip which, if  
not of value, may be of antiquarian interest.

Yours very truly,

*William Beer*

Enc.

Librarian.

LIBRARY  
SURGEON GENERAL'S OFFICE  
JUN 17 1907  
505

H. Beacle

Yellow Fever; its

Nature, causes

& cure.

1898

Cutting

The Republic, St. Louis,

May 26, 1898.