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## Moles and Aaron.

 ECCLESIASTICAL RITES, VIED BY THE ancient Hebrewes; obferued, and at large opened, for the clearing of many obfaure Texts thorowont the whole
Scripture.

Which Text are now added in the end of the Booke.

## HEREIN LIKEVVISE IS

 SHEWED WHAT CVSTOMES THE HEBREW ES borrowed fromHearhen people: And that many Heathenifh cuftomes, originally have beene unwarrantable imitations of the Hebrewes.Thefourth Edition. By Thomas Godwin, B.D.
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## THERIGHTHO-

 NOVRABLE, Vileiam Earle of Pembroke, Lo. Chamberlaine of his Maiefties Houlhold, Lo. Warden of the Stanneries, Knight of the moft Noble Order of the Garter, une of his Maiefties moft Honourable Priuic Councell; and Chancellor of the famous Vniuerfity of OXFORD,All Grace and Happineffe:
Right Honourable:
(9) cheance Hat many baue no better acquain(1) tance with Cbrift aw his Apofles, is becaufe they are fuch frangers with Mofes and Aaron : Were cuftomes antiquased thorowly knowne, mary difficulties in Scripture would appeare elegancies, and the places

## The Epiftle Dedicatory.

Whicbnow (through obfctrity) difinearten the Reader, would then become fweet inuitements to an rowearicd a ßiduity in perufing thole facred Oracles. If my prefsnt labour lballg giue jucb iigbt to fome ob/cure paffages, that thereby Gods people ball be drawne on with the greater delight, to ex-
 not repent me of mytedioustrauell in the e rites and cuftomes of Generations long fince pafts which whofoener vandertaketh, /D.all finde the way long and thorny, the path ouer-growne, and bardly di/cernable, the Guidisfew to direct, and thofe ßeaking in frange languages, and many apt to difcourage bim, becoufe them (elues are eitber lazie and will not, or lame and cannot walke the fame may. But now (through Gods aßyfance) being come to the end of my iourney, the difcouories made on the way, fuch as they are (and juch fome are, as not obferued before) bumbly crane your. LordJhips protection.
firom Kenfington, Febr. 2 I: 1624.

Your Honours in ail duty and feruice denoted,

## THO, GODWYN"

# THE ARGVMENT OF EACH BOOKEND Chapter. 

## The fir Booze. Of Persons.

Chap. 1. Heforms of their Common-wealth till Chrift, and when the Scepter departed.
2. Publicans, their office, who the chrefe. 3. Proselytes, who how made.
4. Kings, why Pilate clad Chrift in purple; Herod in white.
5. High-Priff, Presets, Lerites, Nethinims.
6. Prophets; mho the Wife-man, Scribe and Difputer, meirtoned, I Cor.1. 20.
7. The title Rabbi, when, bow, to whom given.
8. $\lambda^{\top}$ azarites and Rbechabites.
9. Ajficans, difference between the Righteous and Good man mentioned, Rom.5.7.
10. Pharifes, whence their name, when they began, what the ir Dognata.
II. Sadduces, whence the ir wame, when they began, what their Dogma a.
12. Effenes, whence their name, when they began, what their Dognolta. 13. Gavlonite and Herodians, what they were.

## The argunsent of cach

## The fecond Booke. OfPlaces.

Chap. 1. Heir Temple: how forty fin years buildings why certaine $P$ a lenos are intituled Graduales, Songs of degrees.
2. Synagogucs, Schooles, Homes of Prayer, why their Schocle preferred abone their Timple.
3. Gates of Ierufalem.
4. Gromes and high places.
5. Cities of Refige.


## The third Booke.

## Of Dayer, Times, and Feaffs.

Chap. I. THeir dayes, houres, peeckes, yeeres. 2. Their manker of feafing, Salutations, bleffiggs, cup of ble Jing.
3. Thoir Sabbash : Sabbash dayes iourrsy, bow much ard whence.
4. Their Paffeozer, and feaft of unleawened bread: how a foule cut off from Ifracl.
5.Their Pentecoft, what the fecond-firfi Sabbath was, Luk. 6. I.
6. Their feaft of Tabernaclos: Hofanna, and Hofawna Rabba.
7. Thesr feaft of Trsmpets, their $N^{2}$ em-Mooses, Trampation offeafts.
8. Theirfeaff of Expiation: what meant by the filth of the world, and the off-fcouring of allthings, I Cor. 4.13.
9. Their Sabbaticath yearc.
10. Their Iubsle, thair vfethere of.
11. Their feaff of Pstrm, and feafs of Dediantion.

## Booke and Chapter.

## The fourth Booke.

Of their Idolatrie:
Chap. ro THe beginsings of Idolatry.
2. CMoloch; Adram-Melech, Anams-Melech, Banl, the Tabernacle of Moloch, $\sigma^{\circ}$.
3. Baal Peor, Baal 7 fephor, Baal-Zobub, Ball-Berith, Bel and the Dragor.
4. Dagon.
5. The Molten Calfe.
6. Aftaroth, Ammonia, Inho, the Qgeene of Heanen, Diamas of the Eploffiuns.
7. Other Idol-gods mentioned in Scriptare.
8. Sorts of dexine reselation, Vriny and Thummim.
9. Teraphim, what theywere.
ro. Sorts of Dinination forbidden.

## The fifth Booke. <br> Of their Consiftories.

Chap. 3.
 Owrts of Irdgement, their Ecclefrafticalas Corffforie.
2. Sorts.of Excomminnication.
3. Ciurill Confiffories, what perfons neceffarily prefert, what meant by the Magififrate, Iudige and Officer, Luk. 12.58.
4. The rimmber of their Cisill Contrs, what meant by a Cossicell, Indgemint; Fire of Gohenva, Math. 5;
5. Mantri of stecting Iudges.
6. Ceremonies cemmors in all capitaKiudgements:whence thas phrafe came, His blond be on vs and our children.
7. Their.

The Argumeint of each; \&c.
7. Thoir capitallpunefomests, whath they were.
8. Punifbments not capitall.
9. Punifhents borrowe drom ot Ner. Natioly : whethen Saint Paulfought \#iththe beafts it Epluefus,

The fixth Booke.
Of CVIfcellancous Rites.
Chap. I. Ircumcrion, whence the ufe of God-fathers in Baptifrose.
2. Fiyf-fruits, Firflings, Firyt-borve.
3. Sorts of Tithes, manner of paying them.
4. Marriages and Diurces, copies of "their Dowry bill, and bill of Dinorce: What meant by Power on the Womans head, I Coriri.Io.
5. Burialls, masner of embaiming, wanner of their Sepulchers: What meant by baptization of the dead, I Cor. I 5.9.
6. Oftheir Oaths.
7. Of their priting, their Maforites, and theirworke.
8. Ifraelspitching of theirtents, or of thaircamps.

9: Their meafures.
10. Their Coines, firf of brazen Coines, filser Coines, and gold Coincs.

## 1



## Moses and Aaron. THE FIRSTBOOKE treateth of Perfons.

## Chap. I.

Of the forme of the Hebrewes Common-wealth whtill Chrif his comming, and when the scepter departed from them.


He forme and ftate of gouermment hath beene fubiect to change and variation among ft alt Nations, but efpeciallyamongtt the Iewes, where thefe changes are obfertable.
At firtt, the Fathers of their feucrall Families, and their Firf-borne after them, exercifed all kinde of gonernment, both Ecclejiafficall, and Ciuill, being both Kings \& Priefts, in their owne houfes. They had power ouer their owne Families, to blefle, curfe, caft out of doares, difinherit, and to punifh with death, as is apparent by thefe examples of Noabtowards Cham; Gen.9.25. of Abrabam towards $H_{i}$ igar and If. mael $_{2}$ Gen. 2 I.xo. of 1 acob towards Simeon and. Luui, Gen. 49.3.and of Iudab towards Thamar, Gen.38.24.

In Mofes his daves then did this prerogatine of primogeniture ceale; and as A.zron and his poiteritie were inuefted with the right, and ritle of priefts; fo Mofes, and after him Iofun, ruled all the people with aknde of Monarchicall authoritie. For Mofes was among the righteous as King, Deut. $33 \cdot 5 \cdot$

After Iofua fucceeded Indges; their offices Were of abfolute and independent authoritic, like vnto Rings, when once they were elected; but there were long vacancies, and chaimes commonly betweene the ceftation of the one, and the election of the other: yea for the mon part, the people nener chofe a I udge, but in time of great troubles, and imminent dangers; which being oner patt, he retired to a priuate life. After that Gedeon had delinered the people out of the hand of the reidianites, hebeing offered the King dome, replyed, I will not reigne ourer you, neither thall my childe reigne oner you, Inds. - Zepper.liv.3.leg. 8.2 3. That of Samuel, that he iudged Ifracl aif Mof.cap. $\sigma$. the dayes of hislife, I Sam.7.15. Was ${ }^{2}$ extraordinaric. In this refpect their Iudges fymbolize with the Romane Dittators. This flate of Regiment continued amongt them by the compu${ }^{b}$ Aus.deciu. Dei, tation of S. b Ausufine, three hundred twentic 3i6.18.cap. 22 . nime yeeres. In thefe vacancies or diftances of time, berweene Itg ge and Iudge, the greater \& weightier matters were determined by that great Court of the Seuenty, called the Sanedrim,
vatill Chrif bis comming, and when; \&c. LiB. I. in which refpeet the forme of gouernment may be thought Arifocraticall. Kings fucceeded the Iudges, and they continued from saul viro the captuitie of Babylon, that is, cabout 520 . yeares. c zeper les. wsoFrom the Captilutie vito the comming of fail liliz.cap o. Chrift, (which time is ${ }^{\text {d }}$ thought to have becae d $V$ ide Funciig fiuc hundred thirtie fix yeares) the fate of the ${ }^{\text {(brazal. }}$
Iewes became verie confufed. Sometimes they were ruledby Deputies \& Vicegerents, who had not flipreme authoritie in theminelues, but as it pieafed the Perfian Monarchs to anfigne them,

 wis Zerobabel and his Succeffors, who are reckoned in the ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Hebrew Chronicles to becthefe, ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Seder Oum misiMefullam, Hananiia, Berechia, and Hofadia. All which are thoight to haue reigned vnder the Perfian Monarchie, and to hauc beene of the poferitic of $D$ azid: as likewife the other fuccecding ten chiefe Gouernours after Alexandey the Great. In the laft of thefe ten, the gouernment departed from the houfe of Dauid, and was tranflated to the Maccabees, who defcended from the Tribe of Leui. They were called Miccaberi, from Iudas Macchab cus, 5 and he had this 5 caim chrow.
 ters of this Motto, written in his Enfigne or
 $t w i n t e r$ Deos $\hat{o}$ Domine? Where the firt letters are, M. C. B. A.I. Among the Maccabces foueraigne authoritie contintied vntill Herod the Askalonite his reigne, at what time our Sauiour

$$
\text { B } 2
$$

Chrik

Lib.I.The forme of the Hebrews Conmon-wealth. Chrif was borne, according to Iacobs prophecic: The Scepter fhall not depart from Iudah, nor a Lamgiuer from berweene his feet, vntill ${ }^{n}$ Targumvzid. Shilob (that is, the h Mefits) come, Gencjis. caicmprunè verto babit Taqgusz Jereflyms

For the right vnderkanding of this prophea cic. wee mult note two things, 1 . The rime when the Scepterwas given to Iudab; 2. When taken from him. But firlt we mult oblerue how there two words Iudith, and the Scepter, are diftingnifhed.

1. For the ${ }^{\text {i }}$ Particular Tribe of Ita duh; but this feemeth flat contrarie to Scripture, for many of the Iudgeswere of other Tribes, and a!l the Raccabees of the Tribe of Leui.
2. For the ${ }^{k}$ Two Tribes which cleaued to Rehoboam; becaufe in that diuifion of the people, thefe two Tribes alone were called rewes, and that from Iudah, and that never before this divifion.
3. For ${ }^{1}$ all the whole bodie of Ifrsel, confifting of twelue Tribes; all which(in the iudgement of thefe men) were afterward by the fingular providence of God, called Iemes. from Iudah.

The Scepter deparied from Iudith. Lib. x. 5
$a_{1}$. For ${ }^{m}$ Regall porer, and Sone- in $p$ :trespierigue raigne authoritie, refiding in omacs. one man principally.
2. For the $n$. forme of gonern- $n$ C.faubsor.adraff. meni, and face of a Common- B.von. p. 19. 14. p . Some take wealch, gouerned and ruled ind dolo. than mive. scepter by its owne lawes, cultomes, plowne. cunacus i.v. and rites: fignifying as well ${ }^{\text {s. cer }}$, p. itct. cap. the rule and authoritie of inferiour Magijer.tes, yea of Priefts allo, as of Kings and prixces.

From thefe different acceptions of thefe two words, flow foure different interpretations of Lacobsprophecie.

Some are of opinion, that the Scepter taken $\circ$ crnneuslib. 1 de in the fecondacception, began to beegiluen rep. Heb. sap. . 1 s . to Iudah, that is, to the two Tribes cleating ${ }^{\text {par. }} 96$. to Reboboam, at the time of that divifion of the people : and that this Scepter was not taken from them.vntill the deftruction of $l e-$ rufalem, becaufe that after Herods sime vatill then, their lawes remained in force, their priefthood continued, and their Commonwealth, though it were much defaced, yet not quite ourrthrowen.

Some are of P opinion, that the Scepter taken in the fecond acception, began to bee giten to Iudah, that is, to the Twelue Tribes, from 39 .
6. Lib. I. The Scepter deparied from Iuduh. the time of Mofes; and that this Scepter was not taken from them, vintill the deftruction of Icrufilem: not in Herod, becaule he was a sew (in that hee was a Profelyte) for a Iew is a name, fay they, of profegion, not of Countrey, or Natiois.

9 Mortacts in A. Some are of 9 opinion, that the Scepter, taken \%4.1.ct. .ang. 74. in the fecond acception, began tobe gilmen to Iud.hb, that is, to the Twelue Tribes, from the time of $M 0$ fes , and that it was taken from them in Herods time : yet fo, that in Herods time; this was but begun, and inchoate, and at the deitruction of Ierufalem it was fulfilled and confummate.
${ }^{2}$ Angulitat contra Some are of r opinion, that the Secpter taken in Manch. l:b.12 cap. 47. Eufcb dernonfl. lib 3 Carlon. Cbron. pag. 143.

S E. Gatatim IV. 4. cap 6 pig. 203 cx Zalmad Iervool.
the firlt acception, began to begiuen to Iudah, that is, to the twelue Tribes, from the time of Mofes, and that it was taken from them fully in Herods time. The former opinions, makes the comming of the e crofsiut, to be a fore-runner of the departure of the Scopter: this makes the departere of the Scepter tobeca fore-runner or token of the Mefiab his comming, which I take to be the principall thing aimed at in the prophecic: This opinion, as it is more gencrally receined than the others, fo vpon iufter grounds. Now the Scepter was depatted, and giuen to a Profelyte, neuer fobetore $f$ : yea now alfo, the Langiuer was departed from betweene Iudahs feet, and now the Me fiab borne.

Chap.

## of the publicanes. <br> Lib.i.

## Сaдр. II.

 Of the publicanes.VE E hauing feene the moft remarkable changes in the Com-mon-wealth of the Hebrewes, we will note the chiefe obferuations concerning the perfons there inhabiting, and firfencerning the Publicanes, who were in the latter times, an heterogeneous member of that Com-mon-wealth. After that the Iewes became tributarie to Rome (which ${ }^{2}$ was effeeted by ${ }^{2}$ Iofipb Leotsuss de Pompey threefcore yecres before the birth of poinpeio lio. .t ic our Sauiour) certaine Officers were appointed pag $72 \%$ by the Senate of Rome, vito whom it belonged, as wellanong the Iewes, as in other Prouinces, to collect, and gather vp fuch cuftomemoney, or tribute, as was exacted by the Senate : thofe that gathered vp thefe publique paiments, were termed Pablicuni, Publicanes; and by reafon of their coiretous cxactions, they commonly were hated by the people of the Prouinces ${ }^{b}$ : Eueric Prouince hadhis feuerall b Harumfocietafocietie, or company of Publicanes: Eucrie fo- thin freques mensietie, his ditanct Gouernour, in which refpect it is, that Zacchens is called by the Euangelift, asx seiuer of the Tribute, orchiffe Publicane, Luke 19.2. And all the prouinciall Gouernours in ${ }^{c}$ sigone de Antiq. thefe feuerall focieties, had one chiefe ${ }^{c}$ Maffer iurceizinm Rom. refiding
refiding at Rome, vato whom the other fubordinate Gouernors gave vp their accounts. Thefe Publicanes were hated in all Protinces, becaufe of their exactions, but chiefly in the Commonwealth of the Iewes, becaure thogh it were chiefly maintained by the Galileans, yet it was generally inclined vato by the Ieves, that Tricute ought not to be payed by them: aff.cafubsn, es- uerbid, Take notirmed by that Rabinicall protrat. 13.37 . there is a Publicane, for fuch are all Publicanes. Yea a faichfull Publicane was fo rare at Rome it felfe, that one Sabinus for his honeft managing of that office, in an honourable remembrance
 Weffrf.c. s. full Publicane. And therefore no marucll, if in the Gofpell, Publicanes and finners goe hand in hand.

It is now generally receined as a truth vn. doubted, that not onely Heathen people, but fometimes Iewes themfelues became Publi© Icrull. dep:rdico canes. Tertullian was of another opinion ${ }^{\text {e }}$, and thought that all the Publicanes were Heathens; but hee hath beene in that long fince confur${ }^{\text {f }}$ Hieroryw. efig. ted by ${ }^{\text {f }}$ Ierome, and reafon it felfe perfwadeth ca' Damayun. the contraric. Firft, Mattherw who was a Publicane, was afterward an Apofle, and therefore vnlikely to haue beene an Heathen. Secondly, Zaccheus his name was a pure Hebrew name, hauing no affinitie with Romane names. Thirdly, the ground or principall argu-

$$
\text { Ifraclites, Proselytes. Las.1. } 9
$$

ment on which Tertullian built, was meerely 5 er- ${ }^{\text {gFraudi fuitactes- }}$ roneous.
tiffimo Passo, He-
braica lingua igna
 criteckigal, perdens ex filiis Ifrael, Demt. 23.

## Cmap. III.

 Ifraclites, Profelytes.THe whole Common-wealth of iffael confifted of two forts of men, Hebrewes, and Profelyites; he that was borne an Hebrew, either by Father, or Mothers fide, was an Hebrew; bur he that was borne fo of both, was an Hebrew of the Hebreres; fuch a one was Saint Paul, Phil. 3.5. Hee that was borne a Profelyte eitherby Eather or Mothers fide, was tearmed Ben ger, The fonne of an be-profelyte, or Bengera, The fonne of a She-profelyte; Bur he that was'y Eather and Mothers fide a Profelyte, was termed $^{2}$ Bagbag, that is, the fon of he and She Profeljues. magriquidam

The Hebrenes were of two forts, fome liued in P.c- nomimss Rabtia afusd leffina, and vfed the Hebrew text, thefe were cal- ex Puspos fuis, quens
 uers places of Greece, they vfed the Grecke 'tranf fum $x=x$ Per lation, and thence were rermed Emwisc̀̀ b Gra- Fgllappellarment, i. cifts: Saint Luke mentioneth both. There arofe a witprefifsee Pirke
 Hebrewes, Alts 6.I. Where note the difference be- ciers fius vide scab tweene Emsres, and Exlwism,the Gracians, and the Gra- animaduif. Exfcb.
 all the beathen people, and fand in oppofition with Hebremes in the generall acception, containing bork the Grecifts, or difperfed Hebrewes, and alfo thofe of Palefina: the Gracifts were both by birth and re-

L I B. I. Ifraelites, Profelytes., ligion Hebrenoes, fanding in oppofition with Hebrezes in the ftrite acception, taken for thole of Paleftina.

The whole bouly of 1 frael was dinided into twelue Tribes, and publique records were kept, wherein euery ones genealogie was regiftred, to manifert vnro what particular Tribe he belonged. Theferecords Herod burnt, hoping that in after ages, hee mighe be thought originally an Ifraelite, if thole publike monuments might not be produced againta - Evicterter.p. him. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Thus much Eufebius plainly deliuereth of lib.r.axp 8. him. I am of opinion, that another reafon might be admitted, namely, That no diftinction either of Tribe or Family, might appeare, but all being confounded, and amongit the reft, Dauids; (vnto whofe Family by a peculiar right this Scepter belonged) Herodand his poterity might be the better fecured of the Kingdome.

Profégtes were thofe Heathen people, who difclaiming Paganifme, became conuerts, and ioyned themfelues vnto the Church of the Iemes. They
 comming and adioyning vnto the Tewes. Concerning thele Profelytes, we will confider thefe three things. 1. The feucrall kindes of Profelyees; 2.The manner of making them; 3. In what account or refoect they liued among the lemes.

Firlt, the kinds of Profelytes weretwo ; מעד Ger berith, Profeljrus fae dor is, A Profelyte of the coneraws. He fubmitted bimfelfe vnto the Circumcifion,

Rabbi Sadxym. now 23.14 . and to the whole Nofaicall Prdagogie. 'The Rabbierternefichame pay Gertfedek, Profelytum inficicia,s, A Profilyte of righteoufneffe. Secondly,

## I．Ifraelites，Profelytes． <br> Lis．i． <br> LI

 or ftranger mithin thygates，Deut．14．21．Of him alfo we reade in the fourth Commandenment．Hee was fuffered to dwell amongit them，whence hee is alfo called＝una 70 febab，incela，an inhabitant． He was not circumeifed，neither did hee conforme himfelfe to Mofaicall sites，and ordinances，onely he was tied to the obedience of thofe commande－ ments，which among the Hebrew Dodors goe vnder the name of Noabs feuen Commandements：${ }^{e}$ which eskeingler in pess－ they reckonthus：I．Iudgements or punifhments taglo．p．orijo． for malefactors．2．Bleffing the name of God； voder this is contained the keeping of the Sabbath． 3．Difclaiming Idolatry．4．Vncouering ones na－ kedneffe．5．Shedding of bloud．6．Robberic． 7．Eating of any member of a beaft，taken froms it aliue．Of this fort were Naman the Syrian，the Eunuch Cornelius，and thofe of whom wee reade，
 that feared God of cuery Nation，vnder heanen AEts 2．5．

Secondly，to the making of one to bea Profelyic of the Covienant，according to the difference of fex， and the difference of times，the rites of initiation varicd．To the making of $\mathbf{s}$ a Male Profelyte，at firt g Mofiskorcons： three things were required．I．＊Circumcifion．2．A fol．40．Cohz． kinde of purification by water．3．The bloud of obla－Nivnロコ tion．This oblation was commonly two Turtes or Heynuコy Pigeons．To the making of a woman Prolelyte，were Eu゙Nทロ่ －required onely，Purification by water，and Oblation ${ }^{\text {b }}$ ，h Dufiusce ivivu Now becaufe the Iewes haue neither Altar，norSacri－fect．2．8．102： fice，they fay that for the males，Circumcifion，and Purification by water fufficeth；and for the females，
onely

## 12 L18. 1. Ifraelites, Profelytes.

${ }^{1}$ Mofes Eeppriws onely Purification by water. ${ }^{1}$ In Dauids time they
 de serarimm tribz- vnto the church without Circumaijion, onely by ref.bib. 2 cap. 2. this Purific.ation.

Hence we may obferue, that a kinde of initiatio: by witer was long in vfe among the Ienves, though it were not Sacramentall vntill Cbrift his inltitution: yeatherefore it may feeme to hane beene vfed by them, becaufe they expected it at the comming of the Mefinss, as appeareth by their comming vnto Lohn, queftioning not fo much his Baptifme, as his authority, by what autbority hee baptized: Why baptizeft thou then, if thou be not that chrift, nor Elias, neither that Prophet? Lobn I. 25.

Thirdly, the refpect borne by the Iewes towards k?.Fag Exoo Profelytes, was charitable ; ${ }^{\text {k they }}$ vfed no vpbrai22.31. ding termes towards them, faying, Remember thy former deeds. Notwithftanding it was alfo prouided, ${ }^{1}$ mofes Iteppr.ab. ${ }^{1}$ No Profelyte Thould be ciigible into the Court of Ilt. Ladival. Sasn- their Surabedrim, yea in their common commerce, ksaimencaf.2. they had an vfuall prouerbe which admonifhed is effubonus ad- them of warineffe, m Vel sd decimam vfque generawifl Broxita27. tionem a Profelytis caise; Berrare of Profelytes to the tenshgentration.

## Снағ. IIII.

## Of their Kings.

VE E Mall reade of three forts of kings in the Old Teft. Melchijedek was King and Prieff, Dauid King and Propher, others fimply Kings. Melchifedek was King
Of their Kings. LIв. I.
and Prieft, Dauid King and Prophet. The concursence of Princely Susicruignty, and boly orders, in the fame man, intimates that fupreme authority thouldalwayes be accompanied with care of religion: In which refpect 10.3 J , when he was annointed Xing, receiuca the Teltimony or book of the Law, 2 King.I I.12. neither did thefe two meet onely in Melchijedek and Dauid, but the fame man among the Heathens. ${ }^{2}$ was oftentimes King and Prieff. And Trifmegittes had his name Termaximus, b becaufe he was Philofophus maximus, sacerdos maximus, of Rex maximus. All Kings were not annointed, bute ${ }^{\text {bib }}$ dilex. 2 eopopeits only thofe in whom fucceffion was broken, and li, 2.acap. 6. there the firf of the family was anointed for his fucceffors, except in cafe of diffention, where there was required a renued vnction; for the confirmation of his authority. Forthis reafon it was that $\mathcal{S a -}$ lomon was annointed as wellas Dauid, becaufe of the Itrifebetweene him and $A$ doniah.

Furthermore, saul and Iebuwere annointed $\ddagger \mathfrak{y}$, Bepac, witha Crufe of ovle, to mew the fhort conrinuance of their kingdomes. D.uid and Salomon were annointed peב, Bekcren, with an horne of Oyle, that is, in a plentifull neafure, to thew the long continuance of their Kingdomes.

As Kings were diftinguifhe from the people by many enfignes of honour, by their Crowne, their Scepter, their Throne \&ec. Co likewife were they diftinguifhed by their apparell; that was the reafon that Abab entring inro battell, changed his a $\mathrm{F}^{-}$ parell, 1 Kings 22.30. Though purple and white colours. were $n$ not appropriated vinto Kings, ie yet ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Vat. 6. thefe colours were in chicfe efteeme, and princi-
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pally vfed by them, ${ }^{\text {d }}$ yca purple aboue others was affected by the Emperow's and Nobility of Rome; and white by the Nobility of the rewes, whence the Hebrenes terme their Noble-men, and fuch as are of beft ranke $\square$, Chorim, Albatos, men clad in rubite; and on the contrary, men of incaner ranke, صיבาשh, ch.Ichucim; Sordidatos, njen clad with a foule garment. Hence is that of Saint lamers, if there come a man with a gold Ring, and in goodly
 there came alfo a poore man, à pozapa io m, in a vile or foule raiment, lam.2.2. This may be the reafon, why when the Jewes accufed Chrift of treafon, pilate his fouldiers clad him in purple, Matt .27.28. and Herod the Tetrarch of Galile put on hin a white garment, Luk. 23. I I. both thercin applying themfelues to the cuftomes of theirowne Countrey, and in derifion, clothing himas a King.


## Снар. V.

## The High-Prieft, Priefts; Leuites, and Nethinims.

THere were three rankes or degrees of Minifters about the Temple, Priefts, Leviites, and Nethinims.; they may bee paralleld with Miniffers, Deacons, and Subdeacons in the Primitue Church : Ouer all thefe the High-Prieft was chiefe.

In Aaron and his pofterity, was continued the fucceffion of the Priefts; the High-Priefihood was

The High-Prief, Prieff, Leuites, \&c. Lib.I. tied to the line of his firf-borne; all the reft of his pofterity were Priefts, fimply fo called, or called Priefts of the fecond Order, 2 Kings 23.4.

Except Aaron, and thofe that iffued from his loynes, (in whom the feries of priefts was continucd ) all the reft of Leui his poterity; were called

## Lesittes.

Both int hie High-Prief, and the fecond, orinfeour Priefts, thereare two things confiderable. Firt, their Confecration; Secondly, their Office. In boththefe, fomewhat they differed, fomewhat they agreed.

In their Confecration they differed. Firt, ${ }^{2}$ The ${ }^{2}$ Hinc Saceridus High-Prieft was annointed: the materials of this fimmur sinfonnesiechrifme or ointment are prefcribed, Exod. 30. 23. ituss, Leailio. 9.5 . 19It was powied vpon Aarens head, Lexit. 8. I2. It ranne downe to his beard, and to the border of fummus.De efrete shis garments, Pfalm.133.2. The fecond Priefts ben E.jre, Saceidos were onely (prinkled with this Oyle, mixed with mayyus iffecfle sathebloud of the Sacrifice, Leuit.8.30. In this was typed out the vnction of our Sauiour, who was annointed with the Oyle of gladneffe aboue his fel-nis, quisinferieres lowes, Pfalm.45.8. Hee was annnointed aboue his
 though Asron was annointed Prieft, Saul annointed King, Elifha annointed Prophet, Melcbifedek King and Prieft, Mofes Prieft and Prophet, Dasid King and Prophet ; yet nonc faue onely Chrift, King, prieft, and Propbet. Intenfue, he was annointed, we fpriakled. Hee was full of grace and truth, loh.1.14. And from this fuluef $f$ e, wee receine grace forgrace, ver. 36 . Andall Chriftians, efpecially Minifters, are vnto God the fweet fatiour of Chrif, $2 \operatorname{Cor} .2 .5$.

Sccondly,

LI s.1. The High Prief, Priefts, Leuites, \&c. Secondly, they differed in theirgarments, which were a neceffary adimet to their confecration. The High-Prieft wore at the time of his ordinary miniftation in the Sat thearie, cight garments, Exod. 28. Firlt, Breeches of linnen, put next vpon his fleth. Secondly, A cat of fine linnen, put onerthe breeches. Thirdly, A girdle embroidered, of fine linnen, blen, purple, and foarlit, wherewith the coar was girded. Fourthly, A kobe all of blew, with feuenty two bels of gold, and as many Ponegranates, of blew, purple, and fearler, vpon the skirtsthereof; this was put ouer the coat and girdle. Fifthly, An Ephod of gold and of blew, purple, fcarlet, and fine linnen curiougly rrought; on the thoulders thereof were two faire Beryll Atones engrauen, with the names of the twelue Tribes of Ifrael. This Ephod was put ouer the Robe, and girded thereto witha curiousgirdle made of the fame. Sixthly, A Brefplate wrought of gold, blew, purple, fcarlet, and fine linnen, which being a fpanne fquare, was fatned by golden chaines, and rings vpon the Epbod: herein were fet tuelue feuerall ftones, on which the names of the trwelue Tribes were engrauen: Moreoner, in this Breftplate were the Vrim and Thummimplaced. Seventhly, A Miter of fine linnen, fixteene cubits long, wrapped about his head. Eighthly, A plate of purple gold, or boly Cromene two fingers broid, whereon was granen Holinefle to the Loid: this was tied with a blew lace lipon the fore-front of the Miter.

Thefe eight garments the High-Prieft vfed in his ordinary miniftration, and they are tertied by


The High-Prieft, Priefts,Leuites, and \&6. L8E. 1. aurea, Golden veffiments, becaufe of their richneffe in comparifon of other extraordinary garments, which he wre onely, once a yeare, when he entred inten the Holy of Holies, vpon the Propitiation day,
 Bigde Laban, Veftimenta alba, whitegarments, they were in number foure, 1. A linnenbreeches. 2. A linnencoat. 3. A linnen girdle. 4. A linnen Miter, Lesit. 16.4.

In time of the fecond Temple, " becaufe the acuraus ste. as dz Chrifme or holy oyle could not be found, thercfore rep Hsb . cap. 7 . as formely in refpect of his unction, the High-Prieff ${ }^{\text {pras. } 22 .}$. was called by the Talmudifts, ntivn nanno Mithrabe Mijcha, Auctus unctione, The annointed: fo when the oyle was loft, in refpect of his garments, hewas termed, suctusvefibus, the clothed. Thofe fore-mentioned garments ${ }^{b}$ che High. Prieft might not weare abroad in the City, vnleffe fome vigent occafion compel- 6 sofes Ketfenfis led him, as simeor the iuft did, when he went forth practipaffive. 173 to meet Alexander the Great.

In his apparell the threcfold office of our Sauiour Chrift was ihadowed, the Crowne fignified his Ringly office; the Vrim and Thummim, and likewife his Bells and Pomegranats, his Propheticall office: by Vrim and Thummim, hee anfweredas from an Oracle; by the Bells was typed the found of his doEtrine; by the Pomegranats the fweet faunur of an holy life; the Names of the twelue Tribes engrauen on the Ephod, and the Brefl-plate, fignified his Prieftly office, prefenting vnto God the whole Church, for which hee maketh interceffion. Hee knoweth his owne Theepe by name, Iohn 10.3.

L I B.t. The High-Prieft, Priefts, Leuites, and, $犬$ 'c.
The inferiour Priefts had onely foure garments, which they vfed in their minittration. 1. A linnen breeches. 2. A linnencoat. 3. A linnengi,dle. 4. A linnen bonnet, Exod. 28.

Thirdly, they differed in their marriage, The High-Prieft might not marry a widdon, nor a diuorcidwoman, nor an harlot, but a Virgin, Leuit. 2 I. 14. From a widdow he could not expect the firf-loue: from a diuorced moman hecould not expect the firft or iuff loue: from an barlot neither firft, iuft, nor only loue : all which Chrift (whom the High-Prief did herein reprefent) expecteth from his Church. The other Priefts might lawfully marrie a Widdow, Leuit. 2 I. 7.

The High Prieft, and the inferiour Priefts, agreed in their Confecration in thefe particulars. It was required firft, that both fhould bee void of bodily blemifh, Leuit. 2 I. 17. Secondly, that both fhould be prefented vnto the Lord at the doore of the $T a_{0}$. bernacle, Exod:29.4. Thirdly, that both fould be wathed wirh water, Exod. 29.4. Fourthly, that both Thould be confecrated by offering vp certaine Sacrifices, Exod.29. Fifthly, that both fhould hane of the bloud of the other Ramme, put vpon the tippe of the right eare, the thumbe of the right hand, and the great toe of the right foors Exod 29.20.

In the time of their Confecration certaine peeces of the facrifice were put into the Priefts hand, Exod.29.9. The ceremony in the Cbriftian Church, $v$ fed by the Billoop vnto the Minifter intime of Ordinacion, thit, the bifbop giueth the Bible into the hands of the CWinifter, doth much refemble this.

Andboth may fignifie, that no mantaketh this ho. nour vito himfelfe, but he that is called of God, as was Aaron, Heb.5-4. Hence Conferration in the Hebrew phrafe is termed filling of the band. And contraric to this did Ieroboams Priefts, whofoever would, he Filledhis omne hand, I King. 13.33. that is, He thruft bimfelfe into the Prieflbood.

Inthe difcharge of their offices, the High-Prieft differed from the other Priefts : Firft, becaufe he onely, and that but once a yeare, entred into the Holy of Holies, Exod. 16.34 .

Secondly, the High-Prieft might not mourne for the death of his nearelt kinne, Leuit. $2 \mathrm{I} . \mathrm{IC}$,
II. The phrafes vfed there to expreffe mourning are two. Firft, vncouering the bead. Secondly, Renting the clothes: Of both thefe fomewhat is fpo ken in the Chapter of Burials, but concerning the latter it will not be amifle, to note that the Talmudifts determine the matter thus: faying, ${ }^{\text {c That }}$. Vide cunaum de it was lawfull for the High-Prieft to teare the skirt, rep.Heb.ibi.2 63. or nether part of his garment, but from the bofome downeward it was vnlawfull : which if it bee true, then it doth not neceffarily follow, that Caiaphas did contrary to the Law in renting, his clothes, Matth.26.65. The inferiour Priefts might mourne for thefe fix ; Father, Mother, Sonne, daughter, Brother, and Sifter, thar badno busband, Leuit. 2 I. 2.

In the difcharge of their offices, the High-Prieft, and other Priefts agreed in thefe particulars: Firf, They both burnt incenfe, and offered facrifices, 1 Chron.6.49. Secondly, they both founded the Tumpets, the ve whereof was two-fold, rometimes to found an alarum in warre, fometimes
L.I B.I. The High-Prieft, Priefts, Leuites, and erc. toaffemble the people and theirRulers, Numb.Io. Thirdly they both flew the facrifices, 2 Chron. 29. 2.2. Fourthly, they bath inftucted the people, Mulac. 2.7. Fifthly, they both midged of leprofe, Leuit.13.2.

For the more orkerly performance of thefe of. fices, the High-Prieft had his fuffragan, icalled [in, Sagan, who incale of the High-Priefts pollurion, performed his office. Of thisfort was Zephaniah, Ierem. 52.24. And of this fort Annus is
${ }^{-}$Casauborn. adnery. Paron. p. 242. ll. Iofepb. Scalige. is Caiaphois to haue beene Prolgon ad Ekfeb. Luk. 3.2. The High-Prieft and his Sagane yeare,
bledour bledour Bi/bop and his Suffragaiz: The Patriarke of Conflantinople and his Primore temmed Prosofyncellus, and amongf the Rossans, the Centurion and his Optio: for the Lieutenants in warre, who in cafe of neceffity fupplied the Centurions place, were rermedoptiones.

That eucry one of the inferiour Priefts might equally ferucin his order, King Dauid diftributed the whole company of them into twenty foure rankes or courfes, called èmeneiau, Turme, vices. Nadab and Abibubeing dead, there remained only two fonnes to Aaron, namely, Eleazar and Isbamar.; now as the fucceffion of Priefs was pieferued in thefe two Families, fo did Dauid at this time according to the number of people in each Family, make his dinifion. Eleazars Family hee dinided into fixteene rankes, and Ithomars into eight; the diuifion was buLot, the firf Lot fell to Ieboiarib, the fecond to Iedainh, the third to

The High-Prieft, Prieftr, Leuites, and ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. L I B. I . Hairim, \&C. 1 chron.24. Euery ranke or courfe ferued weekly in the Temple by turne, and the ranks recciued their names from thofe, who at that time were the heads of the feuerall Families, and cuer after retained the fame names. The chiefe of cuery ranke was called, Summus Sacerdos iftius claffis: Thechiffe priefl of that ranke. Hence it is that wee reade of many High Priefis affembled rogether, Marke 14. r. Furchernore wee are to nore, that as the weekly courfe fe!! ont by lot, fo did they by lot determine each particular Priefts feruice; namely, who thould burne Incenfe, who flay the bealts, who lay them on the Altar, who. dreffe the Lampes, \&rc. Zacharits was of the courfe of Abia, Luke 1.5. that is, of the eighth courfe, and his lot was to burne Incenfe, Luke I.g.

The office of the Leuites was to pitch, to take downe, to beare vpand downe the Taberisacle, and the veffels thereof. Leui had three fonnes, Gerfbon, Cobath, and Merari : and accordingly the whole company of the Leuites were diitinguifht into three orders, Gerbonites, Cobathites, and Merarites. The Gerfbomitescharge was to carric the coucringsand hangings of the Tabernacle. The chiefe things within the Sanctuary were committed to the cobathites. The wood-worke, and the reft of the inftuments were committed to the charge of the Merarives, Numb.3. This was the office of the Leuites, in Nrofes his time, and whiles they were on their ioursey in the wilderneffe; but afterward whenthey were ferled in the nromifed Land, then Dauid chang eil their office, appointing them, ome to bauc the charge of the treatures of the Temple,

LI в.r. The High-Prieft, Priefts, Leuites, and, doc. I Chron.26.20.0thers to be ouer-feers and Iudges, others to be Porters, others singers, I chron.2 3.4. The Singers in time of finging were clad in linnen Robes, or Surpleffes, 2 Chron: 5. I2. The singers were diuided into twenty foure orders or courfes, I Chron.:5.8. And the Porters into as many, I Chron. 26. that both might fupply their turnes weekly by lot, as the Priefts did. In Mofes time alfo, their Cenfecration beganne at the fiue and twentieth yeare of their age : In Dauids at the swentieth, I Chron.23.24. Ezra 3.8. Here wee may note the libertie granted vnto the Church, in changing Ceremonies: the office of the Lewites in Dauids time, was not the fame as in Mofes; and againe, Mofes and David agreed not in the time of their Confecration. Againe, in the Cbriftian Church we Thall finde in Matibius his election, the vfe of Lots; not fo in Panls, or any other of the Apoftles: In their mectings, vfe of an holykiffe; and at the Lords Supper, vfe of their Loue-feafts, both now antiquated throwout Chriftendome.

Moreoner there are certaine degrees obferueable among the Leuites: Firk, their Initiation, when they were a moneth old, they were initiaied, and prefented vnto God, Numb.3.1 5. Sccondly, their Confecration, they were confecrated by impofition of hands, when they were fiue and twentie yeares old, Numb.8.24. From thence for the fue yeares following, they learned their office. Thofe that impored hands on them, are faid in the Text Numb.8. Io. to bee the fonnes of Ifrael. chazkuni interpreteth that place, the Firft-borne of Ifrael. They were the Reprefentatiue Church,

The High. Prieft, Priefis, Leuites, and, foc. Lis. 1 and in allufion to this, the church of chrif is called the Church of the firft-borne, Hebr. 12.23. At the fame time the Lenites were waued by the
 which word is vfed for the Minifters of Chrift, se-g Aqceivate. pirate mee Burnabas and Faul, Alts I 3.2. Thirdly, their Miniftration, to carrie vp and downe the Tabernacle, and this was at the chirtieth yeare of their age, vntill the fiftieth, $N u m b .4 \cdot 3$. Laftly, their vocation, or difcharge from that laborious feruice of carrying the Tabernacle; notwithfanding eluen then they were to ferue in their charge, to encampe round abour the Tent, to fing, and to beware that no ftranger came into the Temple, ${ }^{n}$ and likewife to ouerfee and inftruct younger $L e-$ uites in manner of bifbops. Vnto thefe degrees ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Frarsfif. Iusius the Apoitle feemeth to have refpect: They that Numm. hane miniftred well get themfelues a good degree, ITim.3.13. The like kinde of ${ }^{i}$ degrees are ob-i Dions. Halyarferucable among the Veftall virgins, they remained way. lib.20. in their Numery thirrie yeares. Ten yeares they learned the myfteries of their profeffion; Ten yeares they exercifed them, and Ten yeares they taught them others. From this cuftome of impofing bands on the Leuites, hath flowne the like cultome, vfedby the Apofles in conferring Orders, Alts 6.6 .

## 1 Tim.5.22.

Obferue the difference of thefe three phrafes,
 bolding vp of hands, in token of eleuation or ordi-
 forth of the bands. Both the firft geftures werevfed in Ordination, orconferving Orders. The firf of all, namely,

24
$k$ Iefobines contra Cic/iphomeens.

L i b. y. The High Prieft, Priefts, Lenites, and ofc. namely, impofition of bands, was borrowed from the Hebreves. The fecord, vamely, the holding vip of hands, was taken from the ${ }^{k}$ Athenians, who had two forts of Magiftrates, Kanfect, Magiftrates chofen by Lots : and Xereerimrue, Magijtwates chofen by bol ding up of hands. The third gefture of the hands, called Ẽxsuors xupiviv, A fretching forth of the bands, ${ }^{1}$ Herodian. P. 45 . ${ }^{1}$ fometimes it is termed, mis zeet's vapua, the beckning rith the band, a gefture veed in crating filence; fo Paulftretched forth the hand and anfwered for himfelfe, AEt.26.1.
${ }^{\text {an }}$ MafisKotfonfis, There were ${ }^{m}$ another fort of holy perfons, ful.211.col.4.
 tionarii, the Law requiring that whofneurer offeredeither gift or facrifice, he fhould prefent it vnto the Lord with his owne hands, and fand by during the time of his oblation. Now becaufe all Ifrael could not ftand by, for the narrowneffe of the place, hence when an offering wasmade for all the people, certain felected perfons chofen for that purpofe, fupplied the ftead of all the people. They were dinidedas the Priefts and Leuites, into twentie foure rankes and ordirs, weekly to minitter in the Temple, but the choice was not reftrained to the Tribe of Leui, but was indifferently made out of the people. Euery ranke had one foreman, chiefe aboue the reft, termed ${ }^{\mathrm{n}}$ Stotionum Princeps, the Foreman of that flation. The Nethinims office was to bee hewers of wood, and drawers of water for the houfe of God, they were not Leuites, no nor 1 fraelites, but Gibeonites, whom becaufe of their fraudulent dealing, Iofbuab made in this mapner tributary, 10 fl .9 .23 . They were afterward called

## Of the Prophets.

L88. 1 .
Nethinims, Ezra 2.43. from Jn Nathar, which fignificth to giue, becaufe they weregiuen for the fernice of che Temple. Their office was vile and bale, as appeareth by that prouerbiall feeech; From tice hewer of thy wood, vinto the drawer of dyy water, Deut.29.II.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Chap. VI. } \\
& \text { of the prophets. }
\end{aligned}
$$

THereareduers names giten vnto the Expofitors of the Law, and although the particular yeare or cime when cach name beganne, be not clearely euidenced by monuments of Antiquiry, yet in generall wee may conceike three diftinct periods of time, in which the names altered. Firlt, from Adam, vneill Mofes. Secondly, from $M o j e s$, till the peoples returne from Babylon. Thirdly, from their returne, wntill the dayes of christ, andafter. In the firft period as $A$ dam was Prophet and Frieft in hisfamily, fo afterward cuerie firft-borne fupplyed thele two oiffees, together with their Princely office in their Peterall tamilies. That they ruled their families as Kings, and inftuOcedthem as Prophets, is cleare to any acquainted with Scripture; the greateft doubt is, what fufficient proofe there is for their Priefthood. Adamis Priefthood is gathered hence, ${ }^{2}$ becaufe that Ger. ${ }^{2}$ Eatrama polit. $4.3,8 \times 4$. Abeland Kainare faid tohane brought ${ }^{\text {Iathap.a.p.17. }}$ their facrifices: to haue brought them, namely, visto Adam, who offered them vnit God in their
i $18 . \%$
Of the Prophets. name. The Priefthood of the Firf-borne is gatherable hence, becaufe the Leuites were appointed to the feruice of the Altar, in ftead of the firl-bome, and as their durgiv, or price of Redemption, Num. 3.41. In the fecond period, though 2 priuate catecheticall expolitiois of the Law belonged to the $M_{a}$ Aers of families, yet the publike minifferiall expojtion thereof was appropriated to Priefts, and Prophets. In the third period when prophecie ceafed, then the office of expounding Scripture was more common, and in ftead of Prophets came in a multitude of other Expofitors; In generall wee may call them teachers of Ifrael, Iob. 3. 10. Wee may diftinguifh them into three feverall forts, 1. wifemen, 2.Scribes. 3. Diputers. The apoftle comprifeth them all, I Cor. I. 20. Where is the wife? Where is the Scribe? Where is the Dißputer? Vnto any of thele, or whatfoener ocher $D_{0}$ ator eminently gifred aboue others, the title $\dot{R} a b-$ $6 i$ was prefixed. Firf, of their Propbets. Secondly, theirwifemen. Thirdly, their Scribes.Fourthly, their Diputers. Fifthly, their Rabbies.

To Prophecie, or to bee a Propbet, hath diuers acceptions in Scripture. Firft, it is taken for the bookes and writings of the Prophets. They haue Mofos and the Prophets, Luke 16.29. Secondly, for the whole word of God: No Prophecie in the Scripture is of any prinate motion, 2 Pet. 1. 20. Thirdly, thofe vato whom God vouchfafeth familiarly to reveale himfelfe, they are called Prophets. Abrahim was a Prophet, Gen.20.7. and Moriam a Prophetefer Exod. 15.20. Fourthly, ordinary interpreters of the word are called Prophets. He thatrecei-

## Of the Prophets.

Lis.z。
weth a Prophet in the nanie of a Prophet, Matth. 10 . 41. Laftly, it istaken for thofe; who were enabled by diuine reuelation, to lay open hidden fecrets, tranfcending all poffibility of humane fearch. Hence it is, that Prophets in old time were called Secrs, i Sam.9.9. Andtheir Prophecie was termed a vifion, Efay I. I. becaule God extraordinarily inlightned their minds with the knowledge of thefe fecrets.

There are three obferueable names applyed to Prophecie in Scripture. 1. Verbum Domini. 2. Vijio. 3. Onus. The nord of the Lard. Vifion. Aburden. The firf importeth the Lordjpeaking, or reuealing his fecrets; the fecond implyeth the Prophets aitending, or beholding them; the thirdbeing appliedonely to Iudgements, fignifieth the burdenfomneffe of them, on that people againft whom they came forth.

For the propagation of learning, colleges and Schooles were in diuers places erected for the Prophets, their schollers were termed b Filii Prophe- ${ }^{\text {b Eodem fenfs }}$ tarum, Children of the Prophets, 2 Kings 6. 1. vnto tarum, Children of the Prophets, 2 Kins 6. 1. Vnto sis medice saadiwhich phrafe there is allufion, Matth. I I. 19. wif. datos izzsăup suim dome is iuftified of her children: by reafon of this dess, Eraff.Epifile relation, the Prophet fometime is called a Father, prafix.
Elifla cryed out, my Father, my Father, 2 King. 2.12: 'Targum, 2.reg.
 bi, as much as to fay, my Mafter, my Mafter. And in truth the Rabbies grew very ambitious of the name Father, which was the reafon of our Sauiours fpeech, Mat. 23.9. Call no man Father vpon earth.

The d Scripture fometimes ioyneth to the d kimbhimpraname of the Prophet, the name of his Father, as

E 2
Hofea

Lib. io
Hofea the farnc of Beerie, Hof. I. I. And fuch a one the Hebrewes confeffe to bee both a Prophet, and the Somne of a Prophet. Sometimes it mentioneth the Prophets name, but not the Fatbers, fuch a one they confeffe tobee a Prophet, but not the fonne of. a Prophet: Sometimes it mentioneth with the Pro$p$ het, the name of the city where hee prophefied, and then it followeth, that he was a Prophet of that City. Wher a Prophet is mentioned without the of Ierufilem.
2. wifemen: This title though in it felfe it bee generall ard common toall Doctors, and Teachers
${ }^{6}$ Gorionidlih. 4 cap.2o. of the Law, yet for many yeares before our Suuiours Incarnation, ${ }^{\circ}$ it was either arrogated by the Pharifees, or clfe by the ignorant multetude appropriated virothem, from an opinion of their extraordinary wiflome, in teaching of Triditions, which they preferred beyond the Law. Hence the Pha-
 + 86. hence was that counfell of R.Eleezar to his ScholsBesearf. Reemp. lars, 3 That they fhould forbid theirchildren from
 I95.
${ }^{6}$ Hieronjm. as alafiam, quanto 10. the ftidy of the kible and pend thekneis of perace them betweene cheknees of theirwijemen. Likewilebence,when any of their Doctors did reade LeCture, their faying was, oi mizei iuws durgiza, our wijemen doe: teach traditions. The like ambition we fhall finde among the Gracians, all of them fltising tobe intituied zoopi, wifemen. And hence, whenfoener the chiefe of them lad pleafed the people in the performance of their Orations, or any other publike bufineffe, they were honoured with a Grande


Well done, or wifely done, vntill Pythagoras in diflike of fuch fwelling titles, tiled himelfe Philorophes, a Louer of widdome, which kinde of modefty was afterward pratifed by the Hebrew Dociors, for they in after times, to aluoid the fufpition of ar: rogancie, refufed the name of Enzen chaca-
 Dיםวล Difcipuli Capientum, Learners of wifcdones.
3. Scribes: This name was given to two forts of men, fome mectely Laickes, others Clergie men. The body of the Laicke Scribes, were thofe, to whom was committed the inftuction of young children in their minority, efpecially to teach them to write, we may Englifh them Scriueners. This office wasappropriated to the Tribe of simeon. In this fenfe, we read not of Scribes in the Scripture, although the ground of their firf inftitution have beene taken thence, namely, from thofe words which Iacob vfed vnto Simeon and Leui; I willdiuide them in Iacob, and fatter thern in Ifriacl, Gen. 49.7. So that as Leui had no portion, bur lined difperfed among the other Tribes, by the benefit of the Altar: ${ }^{\mathrm{E}}$ In likemaner Simeon had no portion $k$ Scloms larbb in the iudgement of the Hebrewes, but lived fuatteredamong the other Tribes, getting their main.

Gess.49.vide $\mathrm{Am}_{-}$ brof, itam. 4 .cap. 3. - Targum Hierr. tenance by teaching and lchooling little children: folmizo whether this office of teaching children was appropriated to them, Ileave to the enquiry of others; certaine I am, that the simeonites had their owne inheritance by Lot, 10/5.19.1. and the prophecie concerning their being fcattcred, is thought to hauebeene accomplifhed in this, that the inheE 3 ritance

## 30 LIs.r. <br> of the Scribes.

sitance of the Simeonites, was taken out of the portion of the children of Iudah, IOJh.19.9. Furthermore it is certaine, that if not all Scriueners, yet thore publike Notaries who were imployed in drawing deeds, and writing contracts (be they of what Tribe they will) they were called by the name of Scribes. Vnto this there is allufion, $E$ falm. 45.1. My tongue is as the penne of a fwift rites, or ready Scribe. Out of the body of thefe I conceiue certaine choice men to haue beene elected for publike imployments, fome to attend the $\bar{K} i n g$,
 Kings Scribes, 2 King.I2.IO. Such were Sheia, 2 Sam.20.25. And Shaphan, 2 King. 22.3. Others to attend the publike Courts and Confiftories: they much refembled our clerkes of. Assizes, thefe were ternsed 及аниатєгя $\lambda \star \tilde{s}$, the Scribes of the people, Matth.2.4.It.I Maccab.5.42.

The fecond fort of scribes belonged to the clergic, they were Expofitors of the Law, and thence
 Scribes of the Law, Efr.7.9. Expounders of the Law, Luke 7.30. and Dottors of the Law, Luke 5.17. Their office was to write, reade, and ex. pound the Law of Mofes to the people. The name was a name of office, not of SeCt. Of this fort was $E \int$ dras, $E f r .7 .6$. who though hee were a Leuite, 1 Drafium de tribus yet ${ }^{1}$ others there were of the Tribe of Iudah; and, Sectistib.2.cap.1s. ex Cbald. paraphraf. as it is thought, they might indifferently bee of any Tribe. The name was of the like efteeme among the Hebremes, asthe Magi were among the Cbaldeans; the Qindecimuiriamong the Romanes, forexpounding Sybillaes. Oracles: or the Canoniffs in the

## of the Scribes.

Lib. y.
Cburch of Rome. The word ongan Sopherim, tranflated Scribes, fignifieth Numberers, or Computcirs, and is applied to the Maforites, becaufe they feent their time in reckoning, and numbring, not onely the verfes, but the words alfo, and letters of each booke thorowout the Bible; which as it is an argument of their induftry, ${ }^{\circ}$ fo likewife ${ }^{\circ}$ Augufin, in of Gods pronidence, in the prefertation of his truth ${ }^{\text {Pfatra } 10 .}$ inuiolable. As the wifemen in theit preaching preffedtraditions : fo the Scribes clane to the written word, whence they were ${ }^{n}$ termed Text-men, or $^{n}$ Drufus de trit. Mafters of the Text. And to this purpofe it is worth ${ }^{\text {fctisis. a cap. } 13 .}$ our obferuing, that whereas beth the Scribes and the Pbarifes fought to faften accufations vpon our Sauiour, Matth.9. The Scribesacculed him of blafphemie, Verf.3. The Pharifes of eating with Pubticanes and finners, Verf. Ir. The Scribes acculation was a breach of the Law; the Pharifes a breach of traditions.
3. The Difpucer. © He infifted vpon allegrories, ovide Thibbik in and fearched out mylticall interpretations of the wาท. Text. Hence himfelfe was termed Darrchan, and his expofition, or homily, Midrafch. And their Schoole, Betb-Hammidrafch. They were counted. the profoundeft Interpreters, whence that of the Pfalmift, Pfalme 84.7. They goe from ftrength to. firength, P is interprered, from their Temple to their, Targum Pfalm: Beth Hammidrafch, from an inferiour to an higher 84.7. Schoole. Hercby we fee the difference betweene thofe three forts of predicarts mentioned by Saint paul. The wife men were teachers of Traditions, the Scribes teachers of the Textaccording to the literall interpretation, and the $D i \equiv$ ifters teachers of allegories, allegories and myferies；which fabullous expofitions， becaufe they breed queftions and difputations， Ennicus nofe $\chi$ an，I Tim．I．4．Hence is it that fuch an． expofitor is termed ounntriss，A Difputer．Thefe three furts of preachers，which Saint Paul termeth， chewifeman，the Scribe，and the Diputer，I Cor．n． 20．are by the Hebrewes named Dan Chacam， 75


## Chap．VII．

## Of theirtille Rabbi．

ABout the time of our Sauiour chrift his natiuity，titles beganne to beemultiplied， and amongtt the reft，thefe of Rabltiplied，Rib． bi，Rabbi，and Rabban，were in efpeciall vfe：they all are derimed from コンク，Rabab，fignifying，NuL tiplicatus fuit，and they found as much as movoua． risater，that is，a Mafter，or Ductor，entinently gif－
＊Aruchin eoce クコズ。 ted with valicty of knowledge．Concerning thefe titles，they write thus，a that Rabbi is a more ex－ sellent title than Ruib；and kabban more excel－ －Ient than Rabbi；and the fimple name withoutany title，as Hag gi，Zachari，Aelachi，was more excel－ lont than Rabbin．About this timerhey vied a fet forme of difeipline in their Schooles．The Schol－ lerwas terined 7urber，Talmid，a Difciple in re－ fpeet of his leaming，YOp Katan，a Iunior，in re－ chofen，or elected，in refpect of his election or coop－ zacion；into the number of Difciples．A frerheehad
prolued
of their title Rabsti.
proued a good proficient，and was thought worthy of fome degree，then was hee by impofition of hands made a Graduate，Yaf chaber，a companion to a Rabbi．This impofition of hands，they termed กコロロ，vel ภทコロロロ，Semicah，or Semicuth，which ceremony they obfertied in imitation of Mofesto－ ward Iofbua．The Lord faid vnto Mofes，Take thou Iofloua the fome of Nun ，in whom is the fpirit， and，Put thine hand vpon him，Numb．27．18．At which time hee that impofed hands on him，vfed ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ this forme of words，I affociate thee，and bee thou aflociated．Afterthis，when hee was worthy to reach others，then was hee called Rabbi；and whereas in his minority，his owne namebeing fup－ preffed，he was called onely by his Fathers name， the fonne of $N$ ．When hee was made Griduate by impofition of hands，then was hee called by his owne naske，$N$ ．the fonne of $N$ ．And afterward when hee was thought worthy to teach，then was the title Rabbi prefixed，after this manner； Rabbi $N$ ．the fonne of $N$ ．For example，Mai－ monides，at firt was termed onely Ben Maimon， the fonnc of Maimon ：after his degree，then was hee called by his owne name added to his Fia－ thers，Mofes Ben Maimon，Mojes the fonne of Mai－ mon：at laft being licenced to teach，then was he called aכay Rambam，which abbreuiature，con－ fifting of Capitall letters，frgnifieth Rabbi Mofes bers Maimon，Rabbi Mofes the fonne of Maimon． So Rabbi Leui，the Conne of Gerfom，in his mino＝ rity was called the fonne of Gersom，afterward Leui the fonne of Gerfom；at laft，XכY，Kalbag，Rabbi Leui the fonne of Gerfom．This difinction of Schollers，
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 Coimparions，and Rabbies，appeareth by that fpeech （Vide P．Frgium of an ancient Rabbi，faying，${ }^{\text {I }}$ Ilearned much of in statoisis sutu cd my Rubbies or Maffers，more of my Companions， Cap．4．Pinke Alow．ming of all of my schollers．That eciery Rabbi had dificiples，and that his ownedifciples，and other well－wifhers Atiled him by the tiame of $k_{a} b b i$ ，in the dayes of our Sauiour，needeth no proofe Iudisf came to Chrift，and faid，God Jauie thee Rabbi，Matt． 26．49．In like manner Iobns Difciples came and faluted Iobn by the name of Rabbi，Yoinn 3．26． And Chrijfby the name of Rabbi，Iohin I．38．Bur whether there was fuch a formal impofition of lands then in vre，I much dotbt．The manner of their mectings，when Difputations were had aptio．Lud Suod in their Synagoguces，orother Schooles，was ${ }^{\text {d }}$ thus． omxis probus， pasi679． The chiefe Rabbies fate in＇referlued chaires，there are thofe chicfe feats＇in the Synagogues，which the Scribés and Pharijes fo affected＇；Matth．23．6． Their companions fate vpon，benches or lower formes，their Schozers ont the ground at the feet of thèrir Tcacherrs．Saint Päul wais brought vp at the feet of Gamaliel，AIEs 22．3．And Marie fate at Iefinsfeet，and heard his word，Luke Io．39．The pofiture of their body differed according to their
 Beracoth．
frivic Abotb．c．4． Muteth，the word fignifietha kinde of leaning vpon a bed or bench，ones head lying in the others bo－ fome，in manner of the ancient fitting at table，and it was a cleportmennt of the body，inferiour to that of firting ：The Scholler was termed アゴラル，Mi－ thaber，s，one that doth lie along in the durf，and this was a tokeh of the schollers humilitic，thus humbling

## Of their Nuz.rites, and Rechabites. Lib.I.

humbling and fribieting himfelfe etion to the feet of his Mafer $\therefore \mathrm{g}$ This fame cultome it is $\mathrm{Ambrof} \cdot \mathbf{1 c o r i n}$. thought, Saint paul laboured to bring into the chriftian Church, ICor.14. Their Schollers were not all of equall capacity, whence ${ }^{h}$ they faid, fome h pirke shoth. 6.50 had conditionem ponyie, others clepfydra, others faccifecinacei, and others Cribri. Some refembled the $j$ ponge, andfucks in all that they heard withont iudgene ent; others the Hourc-glafe, they tooke in at one eare, and let our at the other; others the winefacke, thorow which Wine is fo drained from the dregges, that onely the dregges remaine behinde : lattly, others the Rying-feiue, which in winnowing lets out the courfer feed, and keepeth in the cornc.

## Сhar. VIII.

## Of their Nazarites and Recbabites.

THere are two forts of Votaries mentioned in the Old Teftament, Redjabites, Ierem. 35. and Nazarites, Numbers 6. I finde fcarce any thing warrantable concerning thefe two, more than what the Scripture deliuereth in the forequoted places : therefore concerning the matter of their vowes, I referre the Reader to the forefaid Texts of Scripture, herconely we will note the diftinction of Nazarites. The firf arethefe Fotaries, termed fo from 71 Nazar, to feparate, becaitfe they feparated themielues from three things: Firft; from Wine, and all, things proceeding from fuffered no razor to come vpon their head, butlet their haire grow all the dayes of their feparation. Thirdly, from pollution by the dead: this feparation againe was twofold, either for a fernumber of dayes, or for a mans whole life, that they termed Nazireitume dierum, this, Nazireatum jeculi : of that fort was Saint Paul and thofe foure with him, Alts 2 1.24. Of this fort was sampfon, ludges I3. and robn Baptijt. The iuft number of dayes, how long the former of thefe two feparated themfelues, - Sberadikrin per- is not expreffed in Scripture, but the ${ }^{2}$ Hebrew soglot. Doctors determine them to be thirty, becalfe it is faid, Numb.6.5. Domino Santins nomerit; which word, (fay they) containing thirty, expreffech the iuft number of dayes tobe obferiued in this voluntary feparation. The fecond fort of Nazarites, were fo termed from רyצ, Natfar, from whence commeth Vatfereth, or Nazareth, the name of a certaine Village in Galile, where Chiif was conceiued and brought yp : Hence our Sauiour himfelfe was called a Nazarene, or Nazarite, Matth.2.23. and thofe that crubraced his doctrine Nazarites, A8; 24.5. Afterward certaine Beretikes fprung vp, who, as the Samaritanes ioyned Ierifh Geremonies
 8. deem refirs E-Chrije and Mofes, the Lapp and the GoJpell, Bap.
 tifme and circumcijion: of the beginning of thefe whe fhall reade, AZts 15.2. Thencame downe certaine from Iudar, and taught the brethren, faying, Except yee bee circumaijed after the manner of Aefles, yee cannot beefaued. Thefe Heretiques were called Nazarites, either of malice by the lewes,
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so bring the greater difgrace vpon Chriftian religion; or elfe becaufe at firit they were true, though weake Nazarices, that is, Chriffians milled by $P_{t}-$ ters ludaizing at Antioch, Gal. 2. I 1. Andhence it is ' thought, that the Cburch at Antioch, in de- ' Prancitc. Lurno teftation of this new-bred herefic, fattened vpon parall lib.r. 8 . them by the name of Nazarites, forfooke that name, and called themfelues Chriftians, Aits I I. 26. Symmachus that famous interpreter of the Old Teftament, was a ftrong defender of this herefie, and drom trim in after times they were nanied dengufin. $26.9 \%$ Symmachiani. The lemes had them in as great ha- costra Fautium tred, as the Samaritancs, whereupon ${ }^{\text {c }}$ three times ${ }_{c}$ Uanichaxams. 4. euery day, at morning, nooneride, and esening; they Tomi.2. beref. 2g. clofed the ir prayers with a folemne execration, M:aledic Domine Nazoreis. Laflly, another fort of Nazarites there were, fo termed from nos, Nafar, fignifying to abolifh, or cut off;' 'becaufe they did fepiphan lib.r. aboliff and cut off the fuebooks of Mofes, reiecting Tom,, ,bar, 18 . them as not Canonicall.

> Cuap. I.X.
> Of the Aßideans.

T is much controuerfed, wherher the A fideans were Pbarifes or Eflenes, or what they were? Were I worthy to deliwer my opinion, or, as the Hebrewes proverbe is, to thruft in my head amongt the heads of thofe wife men: I conceive of the $A$ ffdeans thus. Before their captinity in Ba bylon, wee thall finde the word Divion, chajg-
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 fame as चuply, Tfadikin,'Iuft, or good men: both were vfed promifcuouifly, the one for the other, and both ftood in equall oppofition to the פישׁT, Refchagnim, that is, vngodly, or micked men. At this time the whole body of the Iewes: were diAtinguift into two Forts, Chafidim, and Refchagnim: Good and Bad.
After their caftiuity, the chafdim beganne to
 $k$ im gaue themfelues to the fludy of the Scripture. The chafidim fudied how to adde unto the Scripspithe flowbs, c. . thre, b Secondly, the Tfadikim would conforme to whatfocter the Law required: The Chafidim would bee boly aboue the Lam. Thus to the repairing of the Temple, the maintenance of facrifices, the releefe of the poore, \&cc. they would voluntarily adde ouer and aboue, to that which the Law required of them. Whence it is noted, that thofe wete Chafidim, who would fay, what is mine, is thine, and what is thine, is thine orve, thofe Ref chagnim, which would fay, what is thine; is mine; and what is mine is mine orve; and it is probable, thar that middle fort mentioned in the fame place, who would fay, what is mine is mine, what is thine is thine orene ; were the very $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}$, dikim.

Ar this time the body of the lewes were diffinguified into three forts, in refpect of holineffe. Firf, Refchagnim, drecis, wicked, and vugodly men. Secosdly, Tradikim, figeo, Iuff; and righteous, men. Thirdly, Chafdim, who are fometimes tranilated sorot Holy men, and that for the molt
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part: cbut fometimesalfu dizoro, Goodmen: Thefe 'Afidexidequibus of all others were beft reputed, and beloured of the $\frac{\text { giitary } 1 \text { Macab. } 70}{}$ ple. The Apoflle fhewing the great loue of Chrift, , epbovo wibix. . dying for vs, amplifieth it by allifion vnto this evamo xionoiro diftinction of the people chrift iyed for thermensous. godly. Scarcely for a righteouis iman will one die, yet peraduenture, for a good man fome would enen dare to die, Rom: 5.verfes 6,7 . The gradation ftandeth thus: Some peraduenture wouhd die for one of the Chafidim, a grood man: Scarcely any for one of TJadikism, a iuft, or righteous man: For the Refchasnim, or ungodly, none would die; vet chrift died for vs ongodly, being finners, and his enemics.

Now as long as thefe workes of fupererogation remained arbitrary, and indifferent, not required is neceflary, though preferred before the fimple obedience to the Law, fo long the heatof contention was not great enough to breed fects. and herefies : But when once the precepts and rules of fupererogation were digefted into Canons, and vrged with an opinion of neceffity; Then from the Chafdim iffued the brood of Pharifes; ${ }^{\text {a }}$ and alfo from them (as it is probably thought) the he- Triberefe. cap 22: refie of the $E$ feris, both obtruding vnwrittentraditions vponthe people, as fimply neceffary, and as more perfect rule of fanctity than the Scripture : At this time the TGadikim in heat of oppofition reiected not onely traditions, but all Scripture, except onely the five bookes of Mofes; for
 are of opinion they reiected onely traditions, and cmbraced all the bookes of Scripture : Which opi-

Lis．i．
Of the Pharijes． nion focmer wee follow，they had their name Qump，Karaim，Textuales，Scriptuarii，（i．）Text－ men，or Scripture readers，becaule they adhered to Scripture alone，withftanding and gainefaying tra－ ditions，with all their might．And if we follow the latter，then all this while the Karaim were farre from herefie：but in proceffe of time，when from Sadok，and Baithres，thefe Karaim learned to deny all future rewards for good workes，or punith． ment for cuill，or refurrection from the dead； now the Karaim became compleat Sadduces，and perfect Heretiques，taking their denomination from their firtt author，Sadok．The time of each here－ fies firt beginning，thall be more exactly declared in their feuerall Chapters．

－Muartam etymo． logiam（cnims fur． dus cunter fulai－ tur Hictonymus Prafatin Amos） －cellut Scriptura Hebraica，fi enim

 widere，frriberes． iar Pbarifai
－9\％775 上 อクรา7ロ

THere are ${ }^{2}$ three opinions concerning the Etymologie of the name Pharife．The firt， are thole which derime it from שาコ，$P a_{-}$ rafh，Expandere，Explicare；cither from the enlar－ praife．Secondly，from שרリ，Parafch，Exponere， Explanare；becaufe they were of chiefe repute，and counted the profoundeft Dotfors for the expofition －Gorianites，$c_{0} 32$ ．of the Law，fo that they were terned ${ }^{b}$ Perufchim， quis Porcchim；－Pharifes，becaufe they were ex－ pounders

$$
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$$

pounders of the Law．Thirdly，others derine the name from the fame verbe，bur in the coniugation Piel，where it fignifieth Diuidere，Separare，to fe－ parate．© In this acception，by the Greekes they cswidate were termed diqueruuspon，we may Englifh them Se－ paratijfs．Their feparation is confiderable，pattly in the particulars unto which；partly in thofefroms which they Separated．

Firt，They separated themelues to the ．Fudie of the Law，in which reffect they might bee called， dèweroúvot cis rò rousy，Separated vato the Lam．In al－ lufion vnto this，the Apofle is ${ }^{d}$ thought to have ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Drufitus de crib．
 Separated whto the Gofpell：when hee was called from being a Pharife，to bee a Preacher of the Go－乃ell：and now not feparated to the Law，but to the Goppell．
Secondly，They feparated themfelues，or at leaft pretended a e Separation to an extraordinary fanctity eswidas． of life aboue other men．God I thanke thee that I am not as other men are，extortioners，vniuft，adulte－ rers，\＆xc．Luk．I8．I I．

The particulars from which they feparated them－ Selues，were thefe：

Firf，From commerce with other people，as after－ ward will appeare in their traditions，whence they called the common people by reafon of their igno－ rance，rink y populum terse，the people of the earth．In the Gofpell of Saint Iohn， $7 \cdot 49$ ．they are called ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{x}$ 人⿴囗大亏 ：This people who knoweth not the Law are curfed．

Secondly，Erom the ${ }^{\text {f }}$ apparell and habit of other $\{$ R．Dawid．Sophoio men ：for they ved peculiarkindes of habits，where－ 1,8 ．
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by they would bee diftinguifhed from the valgar. Hence proceeded that common fpeech, Veffes populiterre, conculcatio funt Pharifaorum.
sthubices
blory. MasthoI 5.
iFlasies Io foft. 4 13.cap. 88.

Thirdly, From the 8 cuftomes and manners of the world.

This herefie of the Pbarifes feemeth to haue hadits firt beginning in Antigonus socheus. He being a Pharife fucceeded simon the luft, who was coetanean with Alexander the Great : hee liued three hundred yeares before the birth of chrift.

The Pharifes were not tied to any particular Tribe or Family, but indifferently they might bee of any. Saint Paulwas a beniamite; 'Hyrcanus was a Leuite.

Each fert had his Dogmata, his proper Apho. rifmes, Conftitutions, or Canons: fo the Pljarifes. badtheirs. My purpofe is, both concerning thefe and the other fects, to note onely thofe canons, or Aphorifmes, wherein chiefly they were hereticall, and one differing from the other.
 Fate or Definie, and fome things to mans Freewill.

Secondly, They confeffed that there were Angels and Spirits, Aits 23.8.

Thirdly, Concerning the refurrection of the
iodecpibo de bck 1,6.2.c.cap.12. dead, whey acknowledged it, and ' taught that the foules of euill men deceafed, prefently departed into eurerlafting punifhment; but the foules, they fay, of gond men, paffed by a kinde of Pythagorean uттu4 ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ semere. Triberefe it is in thought, that the different opinions conlib. 2, rap. 3
in prater. ceming our Sauiour didarife; Some faying that
Of the Pharifes. Liss.
hee was Iobn Baptif, others Elias, others Ieremias, Matth.16.14. As if chrifthis body had beene animated by the foule cither of Iobn, Elian, ; or seremias.

Fourthly, they did fiffely maintaine.the traditions of their Elders. For the better underftanding what their traditions were, wee muft know that the Iewes fay the Law was ${ }^{n}$ troofold, one commit- a Mofes Kosems :ix ted to writing, which they called Thorah schebichtah, The written Law; the other dcliwered by tradition, termed by them, $\operatorname{nin}$ פע Thorab begnal pe. They fay both were delinered by God vnto Mofes vpon Mount Sinai, the latter as an expofition of the former, which Mofes afterward delinered by mouth to Lo foua, Iofbua to the Elders, the Elders to the Prophets, the Prophetsio thofe of the great Synagogue, from whom fucceffiuely it defcended to after ages. Thefe traditions were one of the chiefe controuerfies betweene the Pharifes and the Sadduces. ${ }^{\circ}$ The Pharifes Said, Let us maintaine the Law which our forefathers bawe delineredintoour hands, expounded by the mouth of the woife men, who expounded it by tradition. Andloe, the Sadduces faid, Let ws not beleewe or hearken to anytradition or expofition, but to the Law of Mofes alone. The traditions which they chiefly vrged were thefe.

1. They would not eat wnill they rafhed their bands. Why doe thy difciples trangrefle the tradition of the Elders? for they wafh not their hands when they eatbread, Matth. 15.2. This walhing is faid to have beene done $\pi$ rukn, Marke $7 \cdot 3$. that is, often, as fome tranflate the word, taking лияui.

Li mis.
Of the Pbarifes. in this place, to fignifie the fame as mixe in Homer frequenter. Others tranflate the word accuratè, di-
 r. $4 \bar{y}$ nemud L.shr. 39 . q Io felb. Scaliger. Triberefic 7.
 Denc.e.

Sixicepoydat. in Marc.7.3. - Bezain maioribus fuis annotationibus, siarc. 73.
ligenter, intimating the great care and duligence they vfed in warhing: with this the Syriacke text agreeth. q Others thinke that there is in that phrafe, allurion vnto that rite or manner of wafhing in vfe among the lewes, termed by them aup hands. The Greeke word nuyus, is thought to expreffe this rite, becaufe in this kinde of wafhing, They veded to ioyne the tops of the fingers of each band tog ther with the thumbe, fo that eaci hand did after a fort refemble tiù rurum, i. a fift. This ceremony was thits performed : Firt, they wathed their hands cleane. Secondly, they compofed them into the fore-mentioned forme. Thirdly, they lifted them $v p$, fo that the water ranne downe tu the very elbowes. Lattly, they let downe their hands againe, fo that the water ranne from off their hands vpon the earth. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ And that there might be ftore of water running vp and downe, they pewred freih water on them when they lifted yp their hauds, and powred water twice vpon them when they hangedthem downe. Vnto this kinde of waihing Theophylad feemeth to have reference, when hee faith, that the Pbarijes did tcuvitaliter lauare, wa alh up to theirelbowes. Laftly, others 'interpres. nuyus, to be the fif, or handelofed, an! the manner of wathing hereby denoted to bee by rubbing one hand clofed in the pulme or bollom of the other: All imply a diligent andaccurate care in wafhing: the ceremonious wathing by lifting vp the hands, and hanging: them downe, beft expreffeth the fuperfition,

Lib.I.
perfition, which onely was aimed at in the reproofe, though all thefe forts of wafhing, to the Pharifes were fuperfitious, becaufe they made it not a matter of outward decency and ciuilitie, but of religion to eat with wafht or vuwarhe hands, vrging fuch a neceffity hereof", that in cafe a man Drufins craterit. may come to fome water, but not enough both to Natth. 15. in adwaith and to drinke, he fhould rather chufe to wath finnaz. Iudabic. ca. 6. than to drinke, though hee die with thirit. And it F. $^{193}$. ex Talamud. was deemed amongit them, as great a finneto eat with vnwarht hands, as to commit fornication. Thistradition of wafhing hands, though it were chiefly vrged by the Pharifes, yet all the Icmes maintained it, as appeareth by the places quoted.

Wee may oblerue three forts of walhing of hands in vfe among the Iemes. 1. Pharifaicall and fuperfitious, this was reproued. 2. Ordinary for outward decencie, this was allowed. The third in token of innocencie, this was commanded the Elders of the neighbour Cities in cafe of murder, Deut. 2 I.6.It was practifed by Pilate, Mat. 27.24. and alluded vnto by Dauid, I will roafb my hands in innocencie, fo will I compaffe thine altar, P $\int$ al. 26.6 . 2. When they came from the market they $\mathrm{ma} / \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{b}}$, Marc.7.4. The reafon thereof was, becaufe they there hauing to doe with diters forts of people, vnawares they might be polluted. The word vfed by Saint Marke is, farfiswurna, they bapifed themfelues: implieth the manding of their whole bodie. And it feemeth that thofe pharifes. who were more zealous than others, did thus wafb themfelms ziwayes before diuner. The Pharife maruelIedthat Chrif had not firt wathed himfelfe before dinner, dinner, Luke II.38. Vnto thiskinde of fuperftition S. Peter is thought to haue inclined, when' he faid, Lord not my feet onely, but alfo the bands and the, heid, Iobn 13.9 . Thus finding his moderty difliked, when he refured to haue his feet want by his Lord and Mafter; now he leapeth into the other extreme, as if he had faid, not my feet onely, but my whole body. Hence procceded that lect of the Hemex Epip.libur. Tom robapeifte, i. Daily baptifts, fo called $\times$ becaufe they did euery day thus mafto themfelues.
3. They wafbed their cups, and pots, and brafers vefjèls, and tables, Murk.7.4.
4. They held it volawfull to cat mish finners, Matt. 9.11. yea they iudged it a kinde of pollution to be touched by them, Luk. 7.39. If this man were a Frophet, he would furely haue knowne who, and what manner of woman this is which toucheth him, for the is a finner. Of fuch a people the Prophet fpeaketh: They faid, Stand a part, come not neare to $\mathrm{me}^{\text {, or (as the words may bee rendred) }}$
 2 C a ating as mme . - Scalig. de emend. sexmp. Lib.7. Idem refert Epiphan. libo 8. Tom. I. cap.:3. ITouch me not, for I am holier than thou, $E$ fay 65.5 . - The like practice was in vfe among the Samaritans, who if they met any Itranger, they cryed out, ui móralavor, Ne attingas, Toucth not.
5. They fafted twife in the wecke, Luke 18. 12. Tbeopblate in 14k.18.12.It.Epipbax,baref. 16 . © Drufusin Iuc. 88.12.
b Namely, Mundayes, and Thurgdayes, e becaufe Mofes (as they fay) went vp into mount Sirai on a Thurfday, and came downe on a Munday.
6. They made broad cheir Phylacteries, and ixlarged the borders of cheir garments, Match.23.5. Here three things are worthy our confideration. Firt, What thefe Phylateries were. Secondly, What was written in them. Thirdly, Whence they

$$
\text { of the Pharifes. L1B.1. } 47
$$

they were o called. "Epiphanius interpreteth thefe depizh.lio.1. Pbylatieries to be matía ग'puato тisqu'eas, purple ftuds or Tomis cap 15. flowrifber wouen in their garments: as if Epipbanizes had conceined the Pharifes garment to bee like that which the Roman Senators were wont to weare, eermed by reafon of thofe brond ftuds and rrorkes wouen in it, Laticlauium : but feeing that thefe Pbylacteries were additaments and ornaments, whereof there were ${ }^{c}$ two forts, the one tied to their foreheads, the other to their left hands; hence it eMofesKoteenfs followeth, that by thefe Ploylacteries. could not bee prec.afir.22: meant whole garments, or any emboffements, or flourifings wouen in the cloth. Generally they are thought to bee fchedules or forolles of parchment, wherc of as I noted there were two forts, Pl:y. lacteries for the head, or frontlets, reaching from one eare to the other, and tied behinde with a thong; and Phylacteries for the hand faftericd upon the left anme aboue the elbow on the ingide, that it might bee neere the beart. Both thefe forts were worne, notby the Pharifes onely, $₹$ but by the Sad-f mainon, in Trducesalfo, but with this difference; The Pharifes pbillims.a.s. S.3. haply for greater oftentation wore their hand Phy. lateries aboue their elbowes : the Sadluces on the palmes of their hands. 5 Nay all the Iews wore them; g Scaliger. Tribsw our Sawiour Chrif not excepted. The command refo.29\%. was generall, Exod. 13.9. It thall bee for a figne vnto thee vpon thine hand, and for a memoriall betweene thine eyes. Sothat it is not the wearing of them whichour Sauiour condemned, bur the making of thembroad, whereby they woulu appeare more holv than others.

In thefe parchments they wrote ${ }^{h}$ onely the $D e-h$ corysion Hier calogut in asatib.23.

## Of the Pharijes.

 calogue or ten Commandements, in the opinion of Cbryfoftome and Hierome: but generaliy and vpon betrer grounds it is thought they wrote thefe foure fections of the Law.I. The firt beganne, Sanctifie vnto meall the firl-Sorne, fo. Exed.13.2. to the end of the tenth verfe.
2. The fecond beganne, And it thall bee when the Lord Thall bring thee, of c. Exod. I 3. II. to the end of the $16 . v e r f e$.
3. The third beganne, Heare O Ifrael, doc. Deut.6.4. and continued to the end of the ninth verfe.
4. The fourth beganne, And it thall come to paffe; if you thall hearken diligently, \&c. Deut.I I.I 3 . to the end of the one and twentieth verse.
Thefefoure Sections written in Scrolles of parchment, and folded vp, they fattened tocheir forebeads and their left armes: thofe that were for the forehead, they wrote in foure diftinct peeces of parchment ${ }^{1}$ efpecially, and if they wrote it in one peece, the length of euery Section ended in one columne, and they did put them into one skinne, in which there was the proportion of foure houfen or receptacles, and not into foure skinnes: cuery receptacle was diftinct by it felfe '; and thofe that were for the hand, were written in one peece of parchment principally, the foure fections in foure columnes, but if they wrote them in foure peeces, it was at length, and they put them in a skinne cepraffirm. that had but one receptacle. ${ }^{k}$ In time of perfecution when they could not openly weare thefe $P h y$ -

## of the Pharijes.

Lib.t.
lacteries, then didthey tie about their hands a red threed, to pur them in minde of the bloud of the Coucnant and the Law.

Touching their name, Mofes calleth them nยuט Totaphoth, which wordhathalmoft as many Etymologies, as interpreters; the moft probable in my opinion, is that they fhould be fo calledper antiphrann, from ףט, Incedere, to goe, or moue, becaure they were immoweable: Hence the Septuagint tranllates them, dinind itu, Immousable ornaments. The Rabbines call them Tephillim, Prayer-ornaments : ${ }^{1}$ Others call them Pittacia, 'Hieronym in and Pittaciola, from mifderoy, which fignifieth a Mathap 30 peece or parcell of cloth. In the Gopell they are called quadrneus, Pbylacteries, from quadiflo, to conferue or keepe. Firlt, becaufe by the vfe of them, the Law was kept and preferued in memorie. Secondly, becaufe the $P$ barifes fuperftitioufly conceired, that by them, as by Amulers, Spells, and Charmes, hanged about their necks, themfelues might be preferued from dangers. The word puxaxricorv, fignifietha Spell, and Hierome teftificth, that the $P$ barifes had fuch a conceit of thefe ornaments: In which place he compareth the Pibarifes with certaine fupeiftitious women of his time, who carried vp and downe vpon the like ground, paruula exangelia, $\mathcal{*}$ crucis ligna, Short fentences out of the Goßpell, and reliques of the Croffe. The fame fuperfition hath prenailed with many of latter times, who for the fame purpofe hang the beginning of m Saint Iobns Goppell abour their neckes m maliget. Tribmo And in the yeare of our Lord 692 . certaine Sorce-ref.ap.7. rers were condemned for the like kinde of Ma -

50 LiB．I．
＂carcil．quini Sexij，cenon． 61.
gicke，oy the naine of n puncuries，that is，phyla＝ Gerians．
of the Pijarijes．

Thus much of their phylacteries：in the fame
－Vide D．Kimalss Radic． verfe is reproued the inlarging of their burders． －Thatwhich we reade borders，in the Gopell，is called，Numb．15．38．niyn Tjitjith，Eringes：and —nbท⿰木 Gedilim，Deut．22．12．which word wee likewife tranlate in chat place；Eringes．They were in the forequoted places conmanded，and our $S_{\text {a－}}$ wiour Gbrift himfelfe did weare then，Luk．8．44． The latter Hebrew word fignifieth a lirge fringe， which aggrauateth the fuperfition of the Phari－ fes，in making their fringes larger，when the Law had allowed them large．This literall expolition $I$ take to bemof agreeable with the Text，though P，Tò $\mu z a n u$ ens to enlarge，in P Greeke and Latine，fometimes，fig－ ajud Euripidom in mificth to 60.3 f ，vaunt，or bragge of a thing，and in Magnifice iactare， Efferre．Magrijica re apud Vayonern or Plivium eaders forsificatione evor－ patir．Tbeodor．Be－ zo in Mal：23．
7 Hisyon in Msat．玉3． this fenfe it may very well fira phanis Ther，and in of this commandery well fita Pharife．The reafon commandemenwas，to put then in minde of the ranceof tements，Numb．15．And for the furche－ rance of this dutic，$q$ they vfed fharpe thornes in their fringes，that by the often pricking of the might bee the more mindefull of the Comman－
There were ？felen forts of Pharifes．I．Phari－


2．Ph．sri cous iruncatus，fo calledas if he had no feet，becaule he would fcarce lift them from the ground when he walked，to caufe the greater opini－ on of his meditation．

3．Pharifans impingens．He would fhut his eyes

## of the Sadduces.

when fiewalkedabroad, to anoid the fight of women, in fo much that he often dafht his head againft the walls that the bloud gutht out.
4. Pharifars quid debeo facere, of faciam illud. He was wont to fay, what ought I doe? and I will doe it. Of this fort feemeth the man in the Gopell to hauebeene, who came vnco Chrif, faying, Good Mafter rhat fball 1 doe? \&oc. and at laft replied, $A l l$ thefe bane I done from my youth rppuard, Link. 18 .
5. Pharifeus mortarins. So called bccaule he wore a hat in manner of a deepe Mortar, fuch as they vfe to bray fpice in, in fo much that he could not looke vpward, nor of either fide; onely downeward on the ground, and forward or forth-right.
6. Pharifaus ex amore. Such a one as obeyed the law for the loue of vertue.
7. Pharifausex timore. Such a one obeyed the law for feare of punifhment. Hee that conformed for feare, had refpect chiefly to the negatiue commandements; bur he that conformed forlolie, ef eecially refpected the affirmatiue.

## Chap. XI. of the sadduces.

$\square \mathrm{O}$ omit other Etymologies of the name, there are two onely which have thew of probability. SSome deriue it from Sedk, 'Epiphane itb $x$. probability. Sap 14. Iufticia, as if they had beene Iufticiaries, fuch as
 ${ }^{\text {E }}$ There are that derive it and that vpon more war- Tren Theoghaw
rantable grounds, from Sadoc, the firt Author of the herefie; fo that the sadduces ware fo called from Sadoc, as the Arrians from Arriut, the Pelagians from Pelagius, the Donatifts from Donatus, e̛c.

This Sadoc lined vnder Antigonus Sochous, who fucceeded Simeon the Iuft. He was Antigonus his Scholler, and by himbrought vp in the doutrine of the Ptarijes, bit afterward fell from him, and broache the herelie of the S.adduces, which herefie becaufe it bad much affiniry with that which the Heretique Dofibers taught, hence are the saddu-

E Epiph barcof 14. 1t. Teriallazno de prafcript. cap. 45. $\times$ aniger. comera Celjum, iib.z.
y Epijb, baref.r. cesfuid to "beabranch or skire of the Dofitherms, though in truth $D$ ofitheus lined nor till ${ }^{*}$ after Chriff; and although thefe two herefies did agree in many things, yet in a maine point they differed. ${ }^{\text {y }}$ Dofitheus beleened the refurrection, the sadduces denied it, and by confequence the Dofitheans beleeuedall other points neceffarily flowing from this.

The occafion of this herefie was this. When
玉Aboch.caf. 1. z Antigonws taught that we muft not ferue Godas feruants ferue their mafters for hope of reward, his fchollers Sadoc and Baithus vnderftood him, as if he had vtterly deniedall future rewards or recompence attending a godly life, and thence framed their herefie, denying the refurrection, the world to come, Angels, $\beta$ irits, éf c.

Their Dogmata, Canons, or Conftitutions were, 1. They reicted ${ }^{2}$ the prophets, and all other ${ }_{13}$ I Ifiph. Antiq. lib. Scripture, fate onely the fure bookes of Mofes. There13.6ap.18. fore our Saviour when hee would conflute theirerrour, concerning the refurrection of the dead, hee prones it not ont of the Prophets, but out of Exod.

## of the Seduces.

Liber.
53
3.6.1 am the God of Abraham, the God of 1 Tack, and the God of Jacob, Matth.22.32.
2. They reiected b all traditions. Whence as beliasimpre they were called ${ }^{n}$ nina Mines, i. Heretiques, in refpect of the general opposition between them and pharifes. First, because the pharifes were in repute the onely Catholikes; Secondly, because in their doctrine, the Pharijes were much nearer the truth than the sudduces: So in reflect of this particular oppofition, in the ones reiceting, the others verging of traditions, the Sadduces were ${ }^{6}$ termed ' Drufus de tribe.
 3. They faid there no no reward for good workers, nor punifloment for ill in the world to come. Hence Saint $\boldsymbol{P}$ auk perceiving that in the Council the one part were Sudduces, the other Pharifes, be cred out, of the hope, i. of the reward expected, and of the resurrection of the dead, $I$ am called in queftion, ACts 23.6.
4. They denied the resurrection of the bodice, AEt 23.8. Matth.22.23.Luk.20.27.
5. They said the joules of men are ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ annihilated at $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{d} \text { I.sepp. } \\ & \text { lib. } 2 . c a p .1 z .\end{aligned}$ their death.
6. They denied Angels and spirits, AEt.23.8.
7. They wholly denied ${ }^{\mathrm{C}}$ Fate or Define, and afcri- e Io eph. l.13.6.9. bed all to mans Eree-will.

The samaritanes and the sadduces are of neare affinity : but yet they differ. First, ${ }^{\text {f }}$ The Samar- fepiplan. Timor. tones facrificed at the Temple built upon Mount Garizim; But the Sadduces facrificed at Ierufalem. Secondly, The Samaritanes allowed no commerce with the lewes, Ion 4.9 : yea the mutual hatred between the Samaritanes and the Iewes was fo

54 Liв.1. great, that it was not lawfilll for the lewes to ear or drinke with the Samaritanes. How is it that thou being a $/$ cw, askeft drirke of me which ama woman of Samaria? Ioln 4.9. Nay, whereas liberty was granted vnto all Nations of the earth to become Profelytes to the leares, fo did the Iewes hare the samaritazes, that they would not fuffera $s a$ -

8 Drufur de crib. Cect.136.3.cap. 1 I . exillonederru. maritan to be a Profelyte. This appeareth by that folemne s Excommurication, termed Excommunicatio in fecreto nominis tetragrammati: the forme thereof, as it was applyed (lay they) by Ezra and Nchemiah vnto the Samaritunes was chus. They af Lord, and theybrought 300 . Priefts, and 300 . trom. pets, and 300.600 k of thie Lav, and as miny boyes, and tbey foun ded their trumpets, and the Leuites jing, ing curfed the Samaritanes by all ine forts of Exconsmunication, in the myjerie of the nome Iehouah, and in the Decalogue, and mith the curfe of the fuperiour houfe of iudgement, axd likenje rith the curfe of the inferiourboufe of iudyement, that no Ifraelive fboi: Id eat the bread of a Samaritans, (whence they fay, hee which eateth of a Samaritans bread, is as be who eateth Swines fle(b) and let no Samaritan be a Profelyti in Ifrael, and

Exaxtorf. Epif.
Hebr.p. 99. that they fould haue no part in the refurvecifion of the dead. $R$. h Gerfom forbade the breaking open of the Letters, vnder the penaltie of this Exing open of the tion. This proucth what formerly was faid, tanes there was no commerce; bur the Sadduces familiarly conuerfed with the other Iemes, even with the Pharijes themfelues, yea both fate together in the fame cosrocell, ACAs 23.6. Now the Sanaritames

## of the Sadduces.

LIb. I .
samaritanes and Sadduces agree. 1. In the reiection of all traditions. 2 . In the reiection of all other Scripturres fauc onely the fiue bookes of Mofes. 3. In the deniall of the refurrection and the coirfequencies, as future punibments, and rewards according to mens workes: but the Samaritanes held that there were Angels, which the sadduces denied. For the proofe of thefe agreements and difagreements betweene them, reade Epiphaniusharef.9. of I 4 .

Touching the Samaritanes, there are three degrees or alteration in their religion obferueable. Firft, the ftrange Nations tranfplanted by shalmane far into Samaria, when 1 frael was carried away captive into ADJyria, worfhipped cuery one the God of their owne Countries, 2 King. 17. Secondly, when they faw they were deuoured by Lions, becaufethey feared not the Lord, the King of $A J j y$ ria fent one of the Priefts which was taken captize, to inftuet them in the true worfhip of God; which manner of worfhip though they receiued, yet the $v$ would not lay afide their former Idolatry, but made a mixture of religions, worhipping the liuing God, and their owne dumbe Idols. Thirdly, Manafles brother to Iaddus the High Prieft in Ierufalem, being married to Sanballet the Horonites daughter, by rafon of Nememiabs charge of putting away theirftrange wiues, being driluen to that exigent, that hee mult either put away his wife, or forgoe the hope ot the rerieft-hood; by sumballets meanes hee obtaned leare from Alexznder the Great, to builda Temple ${ }^{\mathrm{i}}$ vpon Mount Garizim, itopeib.ansiquib. onc of the higheft montanes in Samaria, whis- it cap.s. ther many other apoftated lewes fled, together with now the Sect of Sararitanes (betwecne whomand the Iemes there was fuch hatred) beganne, now all thofe fore-mentioned etrours were maintained: And of this Hillit is, that the woman of Samaria fpeaketh, Iolbn 4.20. Our fathers worfhipped in this Mountaine, $* \mathrm{c}$.
By comparing the Dogmata of the Pharifes, with thefe of the saduces, we may perceine a manifeft oppofition betweene them, yer both thefe ioyned againt Chrift, Mark. 12 .

This herefie though it were the groffert among?t the lewes, yet was it embraced and maintained by $\boxed{E}$ Grionidesc.29. fome of the high Prieffs themfelues : ${ }^{k}$ lobannes Hyrcanos was a Sadducee, fo were his fonnes Ari${ }^{2}$ Eeufel. bifl.tib.z. Atobulus and alexander, ${ }^{1}$ and likewife Ananus the
 them exempted from errour, no nor berefie.

> Chap. XII. $_{\text {Of }}$ Of the Efenes.

THe Etymologies of the names $E \iint a i$ or $E \int$ eni, i. Eflenes, are dillers; that which I preferre is from the Syriak wow, Afi, fignify2.cofph. de bello.li. ing ireormity, to heale or cure difeafes. a Hence $2.6 .12 \rho .786$. are the men fo oftentermed, seordion, and the women amongtt them, reardarifs, that is, Phyficians. For though they gane themfelues chiefly to the ftudy of the Biole, yet withall they fululied

## Of the Effenes.

LIA.E.
Ofthefe Efferes there were two forts, fone Theorikes, giuing chemíclues wholly to /peculation, other Practicks, laborious and painefull in the caily exercile of thofe bandi-crafts in which they were mof skilfll. Of the latter Philo treateth in his booke intituled, 2 (2) odomnis vir probus: Of the former, in in the bookefollowing, intituled, Dervitacontem-

## platiur.

Their Dogmata, their ordinances, nr confitutions, did fymbolize in many things with Pyithagor, is his; where they doe agree, therefore my purpofe is firlt to name Pythagorus his; and then to proceed on with the Effenes. They follow thus.
 goods:- So the Effienes, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ they had one commonpurfeor kove rà pinay flocke, noncricher, none poorer than other; out of ${ }^{\text {5t. }}$.
 owne wantswithout leate, and adminiftred to the nieceffities of others : onely they mighr not releene any of their kindred withour leave from cheir onerfeers. They did not buy or fell among themfelues, buteach fupplyed the orhers wants, by a kinde of commutatue bartring: yea liberty was granted to take one from another what they wanted, without exchange. They performed offices of feruice mutually one to another, for mafiantip and fervice cannot fland with communiwn of goods : and fervants are commonly iniurious to the ftate of their Mafters, according to that faying of R.Gamalicl, ${ }^{\text {d }}$ He that multiplieth feruants, multiplieth theeues. When they travelled, befides ,iniวา weapons for defence, they tooke nothing with marbe frabudim them; for in whatfocner City or Village they
came,
L.IB.I.

Of the E Eferses.
canc, they repaired to the fraternity of the Effines, and were there entertained as members of the fame. And if we doe attentiucly reade rofephus, we may obferue chat the $E$ fienes of euery City ioyned themfelues into one common Fraternity or College; cury College had two forts of officers: Firf, Treafurers, who looked to the common focke, prowided their diet, appointed each his taske, and other publike neceffaries. Secondly, others who entertaized their ftrangers.
c lultind lit. 20. slofegb. de bello lib. 3 C. 1 \%.
2. The Pyibagoreans Thunzed c pleafares. S Sodid the EfJenes: to this belongeth the ir aluoiding of oyle, which if any touched vnawares, they wiped it off prefertlv.
ESuidas, It. TELJM. divariabijl.l.i2. cap. 32.
b lofeph de bello lis.2.cap.12.
${ }^{1}$ Laertimuiza Dytbagare
$\times$ Pbiloludeits.
${ }^{1}$ Suidar, 18. Laert sius.

- 3 lofopb. de bclio lib.2.cosp.12.
- Suidzi.
o.Pbilo de vitasos. semphlius.
P Lacrtims invisa pyshog.
4 iovepho Antig. lib.18.rap.2.

3. The Pyibegoreans $\$$ garmentsipere white: So mere the Effenesmbite alfo, modeft notcontly: When once they put on fuit, they neluer changed till it was torne or worne our
4. The Pyyh.goreans forbade ${ }^{i}$ authes, ${ }^{k}$. So did the Effenes, they thought him a noted lyar who could not be belee ied without an oath.
5. The Pythagoreaxs had their ${ }^{1}$ Elders in finguLar reßpet. m So had the E Jenes.: the body, or wo hole company of the $E$ fenes, were diftirguifht; eis suiee;s teivaces, into foure rankes on orders, accurding to their Seniority, and if haply any of the fuperiour rankes, had touched any of the inferiour, hee thought himelfe polluted, as if he had touchedan Heathen.
6. The Pythagoreans dranke o mater. So did the - E Jenes onely pater, whollv ab ftaining from wine.
7. The Pyrbagoreans vfed? ruvius à u'xest, inani. mate facrifices: So did the 9 Eflenes: they fent gifis
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to the Tomple, and did not facrifice, but prefersed the vfe of their boly nater before facrifice, for which reafon the other remes forbade them allacceffe unto the Temple.
8. The Pythagoreans afcribed rall things to Eute r suians. or Deffinie. So did the sEfjenc.s. In this Aphorifn:c r lofert. Antic. all three Sects differed each from other. The Pba-lib.r3.con.9. rijes afcribed fome things to Fate, other things to mans Free-rill. 'The E[Jenes afcribed ail to Eate, nothing to mans Free-will. The Sadduces wholly denied Fale, and afcribed all things to the Free-with of.
main.
9. The Pythagoreans the firft fue yeates were t Euinquaxa hoc not permitted to peake in the choole, but were initia- Filezium a Pybbated per quinquennale filentium, "and not nntill guis indi:ium zo. thenfuffered to come into the prefence of of fight scbast iexpuvidxy, of Pyifagoras. Tothis may be referred the $E \int_{\text {enenes }}^{\text {in }}$ ne filence at Table ftraightly obfertied, fo that Decem $\sim$ Laertisu in Pyfimul fedentibus, nemo loquitur inuitis nouem. ${ }^{X}$ Drus thagor. fires renders it, that ten of them fitting together,, ,eruf..40 none of them fpake without teane obrained of the aine. When any did fpeake, it was not their cuftome to interript him with words, but by nods of the head, or beckonings, or holding their finger, or thaking their heads, and other fuch like dumbe fignes and geftures, to fignifie their doubtings, dilliking, or approuing the matter in hand. And to the time of filence amongt the $P_{y}$ thagoreans, that it mult bee for fiue yeares, may bereferred the initiation of the EJemes, for amongit thenz none were prefently admitted into their focietic with full liberty, buithey vnderwent foure yeeres of triall and probation. The firt yeare they recei-

3 lofepbodelello ied $D$ of the EJjenes. hb.r.cap.iz. Pale with which they digged a convenient place to earenature, a paire of beecher, which they vfed in bathing or walking themfelues; a norite garmont, which efpecially that lect affected. At this time they had their commons allowed them, but without, not in the common dining hall. The fe. cont yare they admitted them to the participateon of bolymaters, and instructed them in the vf of them. Two yeares after they admitted them in full manner, making them of their corporation, after they had received an oath truly to observe al! the rules and ordinances of the Effenes. If any brake his oath, an hundred of thembeing affenibic. 1 together expelled him, upon which expulfin commonly followed death within a fort time, fornone, hating once entered this order, might receive almes or any meat from other ; and themfelues would feed fuch a one onely with diItaftefull herbs, which wasted his body and brought it very low; fonetimes they would readmit foch a one being brought neare vito death, but commonty they luff red him to die in that mifery.
aphiloiten Iefepl. IO. The E fines z arorlhipped torizard the June, riving.
11. The Effenes bound themfelues in their oath, to ${ }^{2}$ Iofophadiclell. loo. "preferue the names of Angels : the phrafe implyeth a kinds of wormipping of them.
12. They were aboue all others frit in the offer. $\mathrm{B}_{\text {Iofepbibid. }}$ nation of the ${ }^{\mathrm{D}}$ Sabbath day; an it they would dreffe
no meat, kindle no fire feme nt
*Philo de vista. sontsmplas. of their ferued place, no nor cafe nature. ' Yea they obferule iesomiduy icsoundos, ieuery ferenth reek $a \int_{\text {a- }}$ lemme
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lemme peirtccofl, feuen Pentecofts every yeare.
13. They attained from marriage, not that they difliked marriage in it felfe, or intended an end or period to procreation, but partly in arineffe of womens intemperance, partly because they were faded that no woman would continue faithfull to one man. This amoiding of marriage is not to bee voderitood generally of all the Efjenes, for they dfigreed among themfelwes in this point. Some were of the opinion before noted : wethers married for propagation. Vihilominus autem cum tantaipfimoderatione conueniuat, vt per triennium explorent valitudinem fuminarum, $\tilde{\sigma}$ confanti purgation aparuerint idonece partui, it a cts in matrimonia afcifcunt. Nemo tamer cum pregnant concumbit, ut oftendent quod nuptias non voluptatis, fed liberorum caus $\hat{\text { inierint}}$. Thus the latter fort preferued their feet by the procreation of children : The former fort preferred it by a kinde of adoption of other mens children, counting them as neare kinsmen, and tutoring them in the rules of their owns difcipline, as Iofephous witnefneth. 'Plinyaddethalfo, that many other of the "Pliant, bit $\mathrm{a}_{2}$ ib. .s. Ines, when theybeganne to be ftucke in yeares, cap:17. voluntarily ioyned themfelines vito them, being moved thereunto, either because of the variable fate and troubles of the world, or upon confideration of their own former licentious courses, as if the ywould by this manes exercife a kinde of penance upon themfelues.

Concerning the beginning of this Seat, from whom, or when it began, it is hard to determine. a Some make them as ancient as the Rechabite, ${ }^{\text {E }}$ Serapis tribe.

LIB. Y。
and the Rectsabites to have differed oncly in the ad-dition of fome rulis and ordinances from the $K$ mites, mentioned Iudg. I. 16. And thus by confequence the Ejienes were as ancientas the Ifruelites departure out of e E $5 y p t$; for letbro, Mofes facher in law, as appeareth by the rext, was a Kenite : But neither of thefe feemeth probable. For the Orderior Sect of people, but as a difinct family, kindred, or nation, Numb.24.2 I. Secondly, the Eein tents, neither did they deale in husbandrie, they fowed no feed, nor planted vineyards, nor had f it epp. antig. lit. theydwelt not in terem. The Effenes on the contrary, s 8.2.
sif scaliger in emploved themfents, but in houles; ${ }^{\text {f }}$ and they sinfocaliger in
Triberff.c. 23 . One of the
$s$ One of the ${ }^{5}$ Hebrew Doctors faith, that the Efice. nes were Nazarites; our that cannot bee, becaufe the law inioyned the Nazarites when the time of tookeitsbeginning, is vncertaine. The firt that I hafepp. ab. 13. One b Iudas, wholiued name of an Ejfene, was cap.19. the fonne of Toomes 1 in the time of Ariftobulus birth about one hes Hyrcanus, beforcour Sauiours
itrfoph.iib.23.6.9. Sect was of greaterdred ycares : Howfoeuer the rifes, Sadduces, and Equity; ${ }^{2}$ for all three, Phatime, the brother of eljenes, were in Yonathans fiftie yeares before Iudar Macchabrus who was this Seet continued ariftobulu. Certaine it is that this Sect continued vntill the dayes of our Sauiour,
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and after; for Pbilo and lofephus fpeake of shem, as lining in their time. What might be the reafon then, that there is no mention of them in the New Teftument? I anfwer: Firft, the number of them feemeth not to hate beene great, in Philo and 10 fephushistime, $k$ about foure choufand, which be- $k$ pbilo lib. 2uod ing difperfed in many Cities, made the faction omnis probus. pas. weake, and haply in Ierufalem when our Sauiour 679. lined, they were cither few or none. Secondly, if wee obferte hiftories, wee tha! Ifinde them peaceable and quict; not oppofing any, and therefore not fo liable to teproofe as the pharifes and saddiuces who oppofed each other, and both ioyned a.gainft Chrifl. Thirdiy, why might they not as well bee paffed cuer in filence in the New Trfament, (efpecially containing themfelues quietly without contradiction of others) as the Rechabites in the old Teflament, of whom there is mention onely once, and that obliquely, although their Order continued about three hundred yeares, before this teftimony was given of them by the Prophet Ieremic, forbetweene Iehu (with whom Ionadab was coetanean) and Zedekiah, Chronologers oblerue the diftance of fo many yeares. Laftly, though the names of Efjenesbe not found in Scripture, * yet * Vide ctemnic. we Thall fode in Saint Pauls Epiltles many things exem. conc. Trioreproured, which were tanght in the fchoole of the ${ }_{p, 3 z 0}^{\text {dens. }}$. Effenes. Of this nature was that aduice gitwen vnto Timothic, 1 Timoth. 5. 23. Drinke no langer water, but vee a little wine. Againe, ITimoth. 4.3. Forbidding to marrie, and commonding to ablcaine from meats, is a dostrine of Desills: burefpecially, Coluf. 2. in many paffages the Apofle feemeth directly
to point at then. Let no man condemne you in meat and drinke, ver. 16. Letno manbeare rule vier you, by humbleneffe of minde and worfhipping, of Angels, verf.r8.ai dofuanisese; why are yee fubiect to ordinances ? Verf. 20. The Apofle ufecth the word sofucio, which was applyed by the $E / \int$ enes to denote their Ordinances, Aphorifmes, or Conflitiutions. In the verfe following hee gilues an inttance of fome particulars. Touch not, tafte not, bandle not, verf. 2 I. Now the Iunior company of Effenes might not touch their Seniurs. And in their diet, theif talte was limited to bread, falt, water and huffop. And thefe ordinances they undertooke, sid mbisy oopras, faith Pbile, for the loue of wird dome; but the Apontle concludeth, verf. 23 . That thefe things had onely, $\lambda^{2} 2$ er moius, a hiew of mifdome. And whereas philo rermeth the religion of the $E$ flenes, by the name of zeeunta, which word fignifieth religious worlhip, the luntary religion, of will-wor/bip: yea, where hee
 Philofophy receined from their forefathers by tradiverf.S.
We formerly obfertied two forts of $E$ lienes; $p_{\text {ra- }}$ Etickes, and Theorickes, both agreed in their Aphorifmes, or Ordinances, but in certaine circumitances they differed.

1. The Practicks dwelt in the Cities, The Theoricks thunned the Cities, and dwelt in gardens, and folitary Villages.
2. The Pratficks Epent the dayin manuall crafts keeping of fheepe, looking to Bees, tilling of
Of the Effenes. Lis.r:
ground, ơe they were neitru, Artificers; The Theorickes fipent the day in meditation, and prayers, whence they were by a kinde of excellency, by philo termed, ixime, Supplicantes.
3. The Pratickes hadeuery day their dinner and fupper allowed them; The Theoricks onely theis fupper.

4 The Pracirks had for their commons, euery one his difh of Water-gruel, and bread; The Theorick fonly breadand falt:if any were of a more delicate pallat thanother, tohim it was permitred to eat hyffop; their drinke for both, was common water.

Some are of opinion that thefe Theorickswere Chriffian Monkes, but the contrary appearcth, for thefereafons:
r. In that whole booke of philo, concerning the Theorickes, there is no mention either of $\mathrm{Chrij} f$, or Chriftians, of the Euangelifts, or Apoftes.
2. The Theoricks in thatbooke of Philo's, are not any new Sect of late beginning, as the Chriffians at that time were, as is clecrely euidenced by Philo his ownewords. Firft, in calling the doctrine of the $E f$ fenes, nixacer proorpiat, A Pbilofophy deriued vato thems by traditionfrom their fore-fathers. Secondly, in faying, Hubent priforum commentarios, qui buius fecid autores, dre.
" 3, The infcription of that boake, is not only whei
 where calleth the whole Nation of the Iewes, rithade legas. in miventio, which argueth that thofe Theoricks were ${ }^{\text {Gainm, }}$ lemes, not Chrifitans. LIs. 8. Of the Gaulonite, and the Herodians.

## Снағ, XIII.

## of the Gaulonite, and the Herodians, .

OTher factions there were among the lexes, which are improperly termed SeCts. Of thefe there were principally two. Firft, Gaulonite. Secondly, Herodiani. The Gaulonite
 18.aap. 5.
${ }^{6}$ lecepblif:18.c.2. had their names from one Iud.us, who fometimes was called Iudas Gaulonites, ${ }^{b}$ fometimes Iudas Galileus, of whom Gamaliel fpeaketh, AEts 5 37. esfter this man arofe up Iudus of Galile, in the dayes of the tribute. The tribute here fpoken of, was that, made by Cyrenius, fometimes called Quirinius, the name in Greeke is one and the fame, but differently read by Expofitors. This Cy renius was fent from Rome by Auguftus, into Syria, and from thence came into Iudea, where Coponiuts was Prefident, and there hee raifed this tax, which taxation is vnaduifedly by fome confounded with that mentioned, Luke 2. 1. Both were raifed vnder Auguftus, but they differed. Firft, this was onely of Syria and Iudea; that in Saint Luke was vniuerfall of the whole world. Secondly, this was when Archelaus, Herods fonne, was banifhed into Vienna, hauing reigned nine yeares; thatunder Herod the Great: whence there is an obfertable emphafis, in that Saint $L u k e$ faith, it was the firftaxing, hauing reference vntothis
 yistu citüto.

## Of the Gaulonite and the Herodians. Lis.t.

 on 'Archelaus Herods fonnes goods', then arofe this Iudas oppoling this tribute, and telling the people, that tribute was a manifett token of feruitude, and that they ought to call none Lord, but onely him who was Lord of Lords, the God of Heauen and Earth. Whence thofe that adhered vntohim, were called Gaulonite : they were alfo called Galileans. E It was theirbloud that $P i$ $l_{\text {at }}$ mixed with their facrifices, Luke 13. 1. For pilat hadnot authority ouer the Nation of the $G a$ lileans. The ${ }^{\text {f reafon of this mixture }}$ is thought to be, becaufe the Galileans forbade facrifices to bee offered for the Roman Empire, or for the fafety of the Emperour, whereupon Pilat being incenfed withanger, flew them whiles they were 8 facrifi- 10 epp.lib.7. de cing. To this faction belonged thofe murderers te:beliocrap. 88 : P ago med sixereio, mentioned, $A E t .2$ I. 38 .Concerning the Herodians, thofe that num. ber them among Heretiques, make the hereficto confift in two things: Firf, in that they tooke Herod the Great for the promifed Mefsius, becaufe in his reigne, hee being a ftranger, the Scepter was departed from Iudab, which was the promifed time of the ©Meßiah his comming. Secondly, the honoured him with fuperltitious folemnities, annually performed vpon his birth day. Of. Herod his birth-day the Poet fpeaketh

Herodis venêre dies, unctâquefeneftra. Difpofite pinguem nebulam vomuere lucerna, Rortantes violas, rubrumque amplexa catinum,

$$
\text { K } 2 \quad \text { Cauda }
$$

Cauda matat thyni, tumet alta fideliacime. Perf. Sat. I.
Now whether this latter may bee referred to Herod tire Great, I much doubr, becaufe Ifinde not any Author anong the Ancients to Speake of Herod the Great his birth day : It was another Herod, Tetraich of Galile, otherwife called Aatipus, whole birth day, wee reade celebrated Marke 6.21. The former point, that the Hersdians receiued Herod as their Meßiah, though it
${ }^{4}$ Epipbshieref:zo. © Tbeoplyylact. Aasthoz3.16. © sliip lares.
i Hierone satath. 32.17.

- Theador | erad. |
| :--- | Mablb, 23.16.

Eliernym, batho 82.17 hath many grame Authours auouching. it, yet ${ }^{i}$ others iufly queftion the truth thereof; for if the Herodians were Iewes, (as molt thinke) how then could they imagine, that Herod a ttranger could bee the Mefsiah, feeing thar it was fo commonly preached by the Propbets, and knowne vito the people, that the Mejsiah muit bee a semborne, of the tribe of Iudah, and of the houre of Dauid.

Dthers fay,k that the Herodians were certaine flatterers in Herod his Court, varying and changing many points of their religion with Herod theis King.

To omit many other coniectures veterly im: probable, I incline to Saint Hierome, whofe opinion is, ${ }^{1}$ that the Herodians were thofe, who ftood fiffely for tribute to bee payed to Cafay. Irconcemed Herod, who at firf receilued his Crowne from Cafar; to further Cafars tribute, not onely in way of thankfulneffe, butalfoin way of policie, to preuent a poffible depoling or difceptring, for it was in Cefars power to take away the Crowne 2gaines
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againe, when pleafed him. Now in refpect that Herod fought to kill Chrift, and the Herodians with the Pharifes tooke counfell againt him, vnto this our Sauiour might hane reference, laying, Nraske 8.15. Beware of the leauen of the Phasijes,
and of the lezuen of Herod, viz. of their contagious dotrine, amd foxlike subriltics.


# THE <br> SECOND BOOKE treateth of places. 

CEAT.

Their temples.
HEN the Ifraelites came out of Egype, Mrojes was commanded to build a Tabernacle for the place of Gods. publike workip. Afterward when they were fetled in the promifed Lard, then Salomon was commanded tobuilda temple.

Thefe two thadowed the difference betweene the Iewes Synagogue, and the Chrifian Church. The Tabernacle was moneable, and but for a time; The Temple fixed, and permanent : the fate of the lewes vanifhing, to continue in theirgenerations; the fate of Cbriftians durable, to continue vnto the worlds end. More principally it Thadoweth forth the fate of the Church militant here on earth, and triumphant in heauen: vnto both the Prophet

## : 72 Lis.2. <br> Their Temples.

Prophet David alluedeth; Lord who fall foiourne in thy tabernacle? Who shall ref in thine belie mountaine, Pfal.15.1.

There were in the fame tract of ground three hills Sion, Maria, and Mount Calvary. On Sion was the City and Cattle of Dauid, on Moria was the Temple, and on mount Caluarie Chriff was cru-
a cexeivard. in chronag. lib.1. Ap no. stundi 3146. cified. ${ }^{2}$ But all thee three were generally called by the name of sion, whence it is, that though the Temple were built on Maria, yet the Scripture fpeaketh of it commonly as if it were on Mount Sion.

In the Temple there are there three things confiderable: Firft, The Santa Santorum, the Holy of Hollies, answerable to our (Q) wire in our Cathdrall Churches. Secondly, the Sanctum, the SanEtwarie, answerable to the body of the Church.
${ }^{5}$ Sans quit it hud ow Ta aped Apofolorumo Heb. 9.4. referuate ad tiu oxnviu, vt ditches in Tabrinacullfecundo quad appellant Sana Sancierum, fuifo urnam marne, orr virgo Aaroxi, iabulofquefededre, vide. urimam o $\sigma$ vitgam apus Arcars. (i sa Mares Reterenfis 210. 3.) sabvlamausem in erse. Thirdly, the Atrium, the Court, answerable to the chisrch-yard.

In the Holy of Hollies there were the golden Cenfer, and the Arks of the Teffement, Hebro9.4.

In ${ }^{b}$ the Ark there were three things: First, The pot of Manna; fecondly, Aarons rod that budded; thirdly, The Tables of the Tefament, Heb.9.4.Thus they were in Mopes his time, but afterward in the dayes of Solomon, onely the Tables of the law were found in the Arke, I King.8.9.

The comer of this Are was called inasnetor, the Propitiatory, or Mercy feat, because it couered and hid the Law, that it appeared not before God to plead againft man. It was a type of Chriff, who likewife it termed inarierov, our Propitiation, Rom. 3.25. and \%naque. a Pripitiaterie, I Joh.2.2. At
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eachend of the Mercy feat flood a golden Cherub, each Cherub ftretched forth his wings, and from betweene them as from an Oracle, God gane his anfwer, Exod. 25.22 . Hence it is, that the Lord is faid to fit betweene the Cherubims, Pfalm.99.I. The pofiture of the Cherubims was fuch that their faces were each towards the other, butboth looking downe towards the Mercy feat; thev fitly Chadowed out the people of the Iewes, and Chriftiins, both looking toward each other, but both expe. cting faltiation in Cbrift onely.

In the Sanctuarie, there was the incenfe altar in the middle, and the table, with the twelue loates of fhewbread on it, on the one fide, and the candlefticke on the other. The incenle altar was a type of our prayers, $P \int$ al. 541.2 . Andthat this altar mult bee once enery yeare fprinkled with the bloud of the facrifice by the high Priefts, Exod. 30. 10. it teacheth that our very prayers, except they bee purified by thebloud of Cbrift, they are vnauaileable. before God. The twelue loanes were a type of the twelue $T$ ribes, and the candlefticke atype of the word of God. In then all we may fee the neceffity of both ordinances required, Prayer and Preaching, if wee would bee prefented acceptable vnto the Lord: The Candlefticke, was a type of Preaching; Incenfe, of Prajer.

In Mofes his Tabernacle, there was but one table, and one Candlefticke: in Salomons Temple, there were ten Tables, and ten Candleftickes; as likewife in the Court of the T abernacle, there was but one brazen Lauer, in the Court of the T emple there were ten, and another grear veffell wherein the
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Prieftss waited; in the Tabernacle there were but two Siluer Trumpets, in the Temple there were at hundred and twenty Priefts founding Trumpets.

The courts of the Temple at the fire were but two, Atrium Sacerdotum, the Pries Court ; and Atrium populi, the peoples Court.

In the Priefts Court, were the brazen Altar for facrifices, and the Lauer for the walking, both of the Priegls, and the facrifices. The Laver, and the Altar fituated in the fame court, fignified the fame as the water and blood iffued out of chrifts ide, namely, the neceffary concurrence of the le two graces in all that hall be fated, Sanctification, and Iuffifiction: Sanctification intimated by the Laver and Water: Iuftification by the Altar and blood.

The Court for the Priefts, and the Court for the people, ${ }^{\text {c were feparated each from other, by a wall }}$ - Eefepsoct.s.cap.r. 3 . Of three Cubits high.

The Court for the people was fometimes called the outward Court, Sometimes the Temple, formetimes salomons Porch, becaufe it was built about with porches, into which the people retired in rainy weather, it had salomons name, cither to continue his memory, or because the porches had forme refenclance, of that porch which salmon built before the Temple, I King. 6.3. Iefus walked in the Temple, in Salomons Porch, $10 \%$.10.23. All the pee. ole rance unto the porch, which was called Solomons, ACts 3. II. That is, this outward Court.

In the middens of the peoples Court Salomon made abrazen fcaffold for the King, 2 Chron.6.13.

This Court for the people went round about the Temple, and though it was one entire Court in the dyes
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dayes of Salomon, yet afterward it was diuided by allow wall, fo that the men food in the inward part of ir, and the women it the ourward. This diuifion is thought to haue been made inIebofaphats time, of whom we reade, that he ftood in the houle of the Lord, before the new Court, 2 Chron, 20. 5. that is, before the romens Court.

There was an afeent of fifteene fteps or faires
 thefe fteps the Leuites fung thofe fifteene Pfalmes $\mathrm{P} \%$ al. 130 . immediately following the one hundred ninereenth, vpon each ftep one Pfalme, whence thofe Pfalmes are entituled Pfalmi graduales, Songs of degrees.

In the womens Court food their Treafurie, or almes box, as appeareth by the poore widowes cafing in her two mites into it, Luke 2 I. I. In Hebrews it is termed yavp Korban, the cheff of oblations, the word fignifieth barely, an oblation or offering, and accordingly Saint Luke 2 I. 4. Gaith, they all hane their fuperfluities calt into the offeriggs, that is, into the Korban, or chefl of offerings. In Greeke it is termed gagopuaxciov, whence commeth the Latine word Gazophylucium, A Treafury. That fet vpby Ieboiad, 2 King. 12.9. feemeth to haue beene different from this, and to haue beene extraordinary, onely for the repairing of the Temple, for that food befide the Altar in the Priefoc Court; and the Priefts, not the parties that brought the gifr, put it it into the Cheff. Sometimes the whole Court was termed Gazophylacium, a Treafury, Thefe words fpake Iefus in the Treafury, 10b.8.20. It is worth our noting that the Hebrew word apye TSedaka, figni-
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fignifying almes，fignifieth properly 1 uffice；and thereby is intimated，that the matter of our almes Thould be goods iultly gotten；and to this purpore they called their almes box חקדצ Kun
c Buxtorf．deab－ Grew．is ボワコロ
flofeph．lib．s．cor－ trit Agiony Ioç． Scinel Tfidaka，the Cheft of Iaftice，and vpon their almes box they wrote ${ }^{c}$ this abbreuiature， Nnコロ $^{\circ} A$ gift in fecret pacifieth anger，Prou． 2 I． 14.

In Herods Temple there were f foure Forches，the meaning is foure Courts，one for the Priefts，ano－ ther for men，another for women，and a fourch for fuch as were vincleare by legall pollutions，and firar－ gers．This outmoft Court tor the vncleane and ftran－ gers，was feparated from the momans Court，with a ftone wall of three Cubits high，which wall was adorned with certaine pillars of equall difance， 8 Màner dyópy－bearing this infcription ：\＆Let no franger enter into

 biexigenanonde－by Salomon：Secondly，by Zerobulbel：Thirdly，by bes．Lofepbo de bel．
 Herod．The firt was buile in feuen yeares，I Kings 6．37．The fecond in forty fix veares：It was be． ounne in the fecond yeare of King Cyrus，Eira 3.8.
 18.58 f .9 o fh． 1 Pis．The yeares rife thus，

Corusreigned
Cambyes
It mis finibedin ibe yeare Yeares． of Darius Hy fabis 09
One yeare deducted from Cyrus his reigne，there remaine 46.
${ }^{1}$ 1ofophamig．lib．Herods remple was finithedin cight yeares．It is greatly queftioned among piuines，of which Temple that fpeect of the lemes is to be vnderfood，

## Their Temple.

Ls b, ?
Joln 2. 20. Forty and fix yeares mow this Temple a building: Many intcrpret it of the fecond Temp'e, faying, that Herod did onely repaire that, not build a new: but thefe difagree among themfelues in the compuration, and the Scripure fpeaketh peremp. torily, that the houfe was finifhed in the fixth veare of the rcigne of King Dariu, Ezr.6.1 5 and Iofephus fpeaketh of Herods building a new Temple, plucking downe chiold. It feenceth therfore more probable, that the fpeech is to be underfood of Herods Tem. de Orig. Temph. .o.3. ple, which though it were bute:ght yeares in building, ver at this time when this feeech was ufed, it had ftood precifely furty fix yeares, ${ }^{1}$ for fo many vide fuppuatioyeares there are precifely betweene the ejghteenth anm Functianam yearc of Herods reigne (at which time the $\bar{T} \mathrm{cmple}{ }^{\text {anno.3i47. }}$ began tobee built) ard the yeare of Chrifth is baptifme, when it is chought that this was fpoken, all which time the Temple was more and more adorned, beautified, and perfecked, in which refpect is may be faid to be fo long building.

The ancient me are faid to weepe, when they beheld the fecond, becaufe the glory thereof was farrethort of Salomons, Ezr.3.12. It was inferiour to Salomons Temple; Firt, in refpe of of the buikding, becaufe ir was lower and meancr. Secondly, in m Hofiniawe ex refpeizt of the veffels, being now of braffe, which Talmudifis de 0 before were of pure gold. Thirdly, in refpect of fiuc ${ }^{\text {rip.Tsmpl.cap.3. }}$ things, loft and wanting inthe fecond Temple, all which wire in the firt. Firlt, there was wanting the Arke of God; Secondly, Vrim and n. Kimrbiin Thummim, God gane no anfwer by thefe two, Hagg. 1.8 Eaders as in former times . Thindly, fire, which in the mosibid. fecond remple neuer defcended from heauen to

CBB2.
Their Temple. confume their burnt offerings, as it did in the firt: Fourthly, the glory of God appearing betweene the
 tabitation, or drelling of God, and hereunto the $A$. pofte alludeth, In him dwelleth the fulneffe of the God-headbodily, coloff:2.9.bodily, that is, not in clouds and ceremonies, as betweene the Chersbims, but effentiaily. Lattly, the Holy Ghoft, nameIy, enabling them for the gift of Prophecie; for betweene Malachy and Iohs the Baptif, there flood vp no Prophet, but onely they were inftructed per filiam vocis, which they termed Sipaz Bath Kol, an Eccho from beauen, and this was the reafon why thofe Difciples, Act. 19.2. faid, Wee haue not fo much as heard whether there bee an Holy Gbof.

Here it may bee demanded how that of the Prophet Haggai istrue; The glory of this laft houfe flatel beegreater than the firft, Hag. 2.1 0.1 anfwer, Herods Temple which was built in the place of this, was of fatelierbiilding than Solomons, and it was of greater glory, becaufe of chrift his preaching in it.
Herods Temple was afterward fo fet on fire by

- Gexibiardacbro 12. immocbrifics. - Tbeodoret.l.3.6.3. 11. Soxumenks bit. fi. $6 \mathrm{ap}_{\mathrm{o}} 19,20,21$. Titus his fouldiers, ${ }^{\circ}$ that it could not be quenched by the indutty of man:P at the fame time the Temple at Delphi, being in chiefe requef among the Heathen people, was vtterly ouerthrowne by carthquakes and thunder-bols from Heauen, and neither of them could cuer fince bee repaired. The concurrence of which two miracles enidently thew. eth, that the time was then come, when God would puranend both to Iewifh Ceremonies, and Heathe-


## Thbir Synaggegues,schooles,

LIB.2. nifl Idolatry, that the Kingdome of his fon might be the betrer eftablifhed.

## Chap. II.

> Their Synagogues, Schooles, and Houfes of Prayer.

THE word Synagogue is from the Greeke owrdow, to gather together, and it is applyed to all things whereot there may be a colletiona
 leatio corum ques unt ad bellum neceffaria. God fandeth in $\int j n$ agogaD eorum, in the a $\int$ Sembly of 1 ndges;but Synagogues are commonly taken for hoifen dedicated to the worthip of God, wherein it was lawfull to pray, preach, and difpute, but not te facrifice. In $H e-$ brew it was callednosah Beth Hacnefeth, the boufe of $A$ Jembly. The Temple at Ierufalen, was as the Cathedrall Church; The Synagogues, as petty Parifb churches belonging thereunto.
Concerning the time when Synagogues began, it is hard to determine. It is probabie that they began when the Tribes were fetled in the promifed Land: The Temples being then too farre diftant fo: thofe, which dwelt in remote places, it is likely that they repaired unto certaine Synagog tues in fead of the Temple. That they were in Dudidstime appeareth : They have burnt all the Synagogues of God in the Land, $P$ fal.74.8. And Mofes of old time had in elery City, them that preached him, being read in the Synagogues clucry Sabbath day, $A E .15 .2$ B. $^{2}$

- Sigariex de rcp. HChr.lib.2.6ni.8.
b rbilo in legat.ad Cainm.
- Vide Tremal. Ald 5.9.
* Maimon in Te. philha.c.11.§.1.
c Buxtorf.deabbre. njatur. Pog. 73. 881. 774

L: B.2. Their Synagogutes, Schooles,
In Hicrufalem there were "foure hundred cighty Synagogues, befide the Temple, partly for Zenes, partly for ferangcrs ; one for ftrangers was called the Synagogue of the Libertines, Ais 6.9 . Whence it had that nanne, whecher from the Romane Libertives, fuch as had ferued for their freedome, being oppofite to the Ingenui, thofe that were freeborne, (for many of thofe Libertines became Profelytes, and had their ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Synagogues,) or whether it were from ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Lubar, fignify ing an bighplace (for as their Temple, fotheir Synagogues and schooles were built on hills and high $b$ places b becaufe it is faid, Prout. I.2 I W. Wifdome calleth in bigh places; Ileave to the iudgement of the Reader.

Out of Terufalem, in other Cities and Prouinces were many Synagogues: there were Synagogues in $G_{a}$ lile, Matti. 4.23. Synagogues in Damafou, Ats g.2. Synagogiues at Salamix, Aits 135 .. Synagogues at Antiochia, Aits 13. 14. Yea their tradition is, that d wherefocuer ten men of 1 fracl were, there ought to be builta synagogue.

Their Synagogues had e many infcriptions: ouer the gate was written that of the Pfalme $118.20 . \mathrm{Th}$ is is the gate of the Lord, the rightecus fallenter into it. In ibe walls thiefe and the like fentences; Remember thy Creator, and enter into the boufe of the Lord thy God in bumility. And, Prayer mithout attention, is like a body mithout a foulc. And, silense is commendable in time of Prayer.

As the Courts of the people before the Temple, were diftinguifht by a wall into two roomes, the one for men, the other for women: fo in the Syma gogues, the women were feparated from the men,

## and Houfes of Prajer.

Lзв.2. 81

- by a partition of lattice, or wire-worke.
fTakxud. in Tracz.
Inthe Synagogues the Scribes ordinarily taught, Sutacap vilt.vide but not onely they, for chrift himfelfe taughe in fas.s40. them, \&fc. Hethat gane liberty to preach there, was termed aipxouvawos © T T he Ruiler of the Synagnguc. There was alloa Minifter who gaue the booke vnto the Preacher, and received it againe, after the text hadbeene read. chrift clofed the booke, and gaue it againe to the Minifter, Luk.4.20. This is proba-
 I Jibbur, the Minifter, or Clerke of the Synagoguc.

Their Schooles were different from their Sjnagogues. Paul hauing difpared for the fpace of three moneths in the synagogue, becaufe diuers belecued not, but fake cuill ot that way, hee departed from them, and feparated the difciples, difputing dayly. in the Schoole of one Tyrannus, AEts 19.8,9,10.

Their Schoole fometimes is called on 2 Berhan houfe fimply, as appeareth by that faying, B Octode- 8 Drufius detribus cimres de quibuscontentio fuit inter domum sammai, of domum Hillel, ne Elias quidem abolere poffet. Thofe eighteene matters controucrfed betweene the hoirfeof Sammai, and the houfe of Hilleh, Elias himfelfe could not decide : that is; betweene their two Schooles. Sumetimes is is called wn7an haz Beth hammidrafch, an houfe of fubtill and acute expofition. Here points were more exactly and punotually difcuffed, than in the Synagegue, or Temple; whence they held it a profounder place for expolition, than the Temple: To this purpofe tend thofe fayings, ${ }^{\text {h }}$ Theymight turne a Synagogue into a Schoole, maimon Tepesibut rot a Schoole into a synagogue, for the fanctitic of atacap. $11.5 .5 \%$. schoole is beyond the fanctity of a Synagogue. And that

82 L13.2. Their Synagogues, schooles, for - Parapbraj. Chas growth from vertue, to vertue, Pfalm. 847 . they - burcicsum
interpret, a kinde of promotion or degree, in remo. uing from their Temple to their $S$ chbole. In their Tem. ple, their Sermons werc as it were Adpopulum; in their Schooles, Ad Clerum.

As they had Synagogues, fo likewife Scbooles, in eluery City and Prounce, and chefe were builtalfo vponhils. There is mention of the hill Moreh, Iudger. 7.1. that is, the Hillof the Teacher.
The M:Iffers when they taught their Schollers, be wifer, Prert.9.9.
The Schollers when they learned any thing, were faidto receiue it : Heare my fonne, and receiue my words, Prom.4. 1 Io . Hence is that of the Apofle, This is a true faying, and by all meanes worthy to be
-Da jgrauexon
*. Hor.Lib.z.SE5y\%. 8. Sed samen iffe Deus ginif fit, da Tyter nothe. Virg.Bucol. Accipe シunc Dana
 Firg. Encidl.z. Epipban.Tom.2. iib 3. caf.80. receiued, I Timoth. I. I5. that is, learred: chelike phrafes of fpecch areinvie annongthe Larises.

WhethertheirO rasories or places of prayercallec? or Synagogues, I hatle not yet learned. That fome of thele were withour the city, that proueth nothing, for fo might symagopues, and scheols stoo. Epiph.mius treatectiof thefe- \& oratories, but there hee fieaketh not one word to fhew the lawfillneffe of ciuill bufineffes to be done in them: could that be proned, a difference would eafily be fhewen. Some
 which Saint Paul fate downe and fpake vero the wonen : which gefture intimateth rather preaprayer, ftanding, kneeling 2 fitting; Abraham flood

## Of the Gates of lerufalem.

L. 8.2
before the Lord, Gen.18.22. that is, he prayed. The Publican flood a pare off and prayed. Lek. 18. 13. whence by way of pronerbe they laid, " Sine ftatio- nR redan iatio. nibs non fubfisterit mundus, Ware it not for flamMufar. vide Dove. preimaidis6.j. ding the world could not ft and. Stowenknceled, $A E$. 7.60. Dauid fate before che Lord, and Said, 2 Sam. 7.18. yet $\beta \mathrm{itting}$, when the fpeech is to the people, not to the Lord, implieth preaching, not prayer. It is probable, that as at the gate of the Temple, $\mathfrak{f O} 2 t$ the gate of thee Oratories, the poorer fort of peepleaffembled to expea almes, whence forme vie the word - Profencla, to fignific an Hopitall.

The Talmudijfs taxed the peoples negligence in prayer, laying, they vied three forts of Amen, casimime de testis
 outferuency. A bally Amen, when they laid Amen

- Rus ca aa era Profeuchar ? duse Sati. p. 88. before the prayer was done. A lazy Amer, when they pronounced it at length, as if they were alleep, dividing the word $A$-mex. The first they termed mann Iechoma, pupillum. The fecond nayur chetypha, Surreptitiums. The third, nyาup Ketugna, secile, qua in dias pates featumper of cicanstiam.


## Chat. III. <br> Of the Gates of Ierwfalene.

- MHE Gates of the whole circuit of the 2 sender grease - wall about Ierufalem were nine: The ghtio sheepe-gate, Nehem.3.1. This was necre the Temple, and thorow it wereled the sbeepe which were to be facrificed, being watched in the poole

M 2

- Stukires cnaruim. 1.6.2.cap.11. Bethefdancare the Gate. The Fifh-gate, Nebem.3.3. before this Iudas is thought to haue hanged him. felfe. b Some thinke that theefe two Gates, and likewife the Horfe gite, Nebe. 3.28. were focalled, becanfethev were in manner of three fencrall $N 1.7 r^{\prime}$ ket places, and at the one Gate, heepe, at the other, fifh, and at the third, horfes were fold. The olv- wate was focalled, becaufe it was fuppofed to hatere mained from the time of the Pebuites, and not to rane becne de troyedby the AJyriuns, it was neare Caluary, and without this Gate Cbriftwas crucife. ed. Concerning the other Gates little is fpoken.

Touching the gates of the Temple, there were preterit.loin.g.22. One for thofe that were new married; the other for mourners and excommunicate perfons. The mourners were diftinguithed from the excommunicate perfons, by hauing their lips couered with a skirt of theirgatment: none entred that gate with theirlip vacouered, but fuch as were excommmnicate. Now the 1 rratites which on the Subbath dayes fate be. twecne thofegates, faid vnto the new married, Hee, whole name dwellerh in thishoufe, glad shee with chil. dren; vnto the mournev, Hee, which drellesh in this ooufe, glad and comfort thee; vnto the excommurissate, He, which dwelleth in thishouje, moue thybeart to bearken to the words of thy fellomes.

A mong the lewes, the gates were places of chice felt frengit, fo that they being taken or defended. the whole City was taken ordefended : and they were chicfe places of iutifdigion, for in them, Iudges were wont tofit, and to decide controiserfes: benceproceeded thole phrafes: Jhe gates of hed fiall

Of their Groues, and bigh Places. Lie.z. not preuaile againfớc. And Thy feed fhall polfiffe the gates of bis ensmies.

## Chap. IV.

Of their Groues, andhigh Places:

- He anciene Heathens did not nn'y not build Temples, ${ }^{2}$ but they held it utterly unlawfull fo to doe. The reafon of this might bee, beHospin. de O I is. Templ g.r.
ufe they thought no Temple fpatious enough for caule they which was their chiefe God. Hence came The whole world is a Temple for the Sunne Moreouce, ${ }^{\text {lib.2.cap. } 22 .}$ The enole world is a Temple for the S wine.. they thought it ynfit to ffraiten, and confine the fuppoled infiniteneffe of their fancied Deities within wals, and therefore when after times had brought in the vfe of Temples, yet their God Terminus, and divers others of their Gods were worthipped in Temples open-roofed, which were therefore called vimuspas This I take to bee the reafon, why they made choice of bils, and mountaines, as the conuenienreft places for their Idolatry. Thefe consecratedbils, are thofe bigh places, which the Scripture fo often forbids. Afterwards, as the number of their Gods increafed, fothe number of their confecrated bils was muliplyed, from which, their Gods and Goddefles tooke their names, as cmercuyius Cyllenius, Venus Eryciza, Iupiter Capitolinus. At length to beautific thefe boly bils, the places. of their idolatrous worthip, they befer them with srees, and hence came the confecration of Groues, and
c Poprilus alcide gratifinma, witio ? acche.
Formere myrize Feneris ua Laurea Phabe.
Virg.E.log. $7^{\circ}$
 Lib. $16 . c a p .44$. c Maximus Tyrius Scrma 38. fol. 225. sdiz Sseph.

L18.2. Oftheir Groues, and high Places. woods, from which their Idois many times were named. ${ }^{〔}$ At laft, fome choice and felect trees began to bee confecrated. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Thofe French Magi, termed Dryade, worfhipped the Oake, in Greek termed foüro and thence had their names. The Etrurians worThipped an Holme trece : And famonglt the Celtra, a tall Oake was the very Idoll, or image of Iupiter. Among the I/raelites, this Idolatry began vnder the Iudges, Othniel, and Ehud, Iudg. 3.7 and at the laft it became fo common iny frael, that they had pcculiar Prieffs, whom they termed Prophets of the Groue, I King. 18. 19. and Idol ls of the Groue, that is, peculiar 1 ddols, vnto whom their Groules were confecrated, 2 King. 21.7.2 Chro. 15.16. As Chrifitians in the confecration of their $C$ burches, make fpeciall choice of fome particular saints, by whofe names they call them, as Saint Peters Church, Saint Pauls, Saint Andremes, \&cc. So they confecrated their, Groues vnto particular Idols; whence in prophane Authors we reade of Diana Niemorenfis, Diana ATo duenna, Albunea Dea, all receiuing their names from the Groues in which they were worfhipped: yea the $I d_{0} l$ it felfe is fonmetimes called by the name of a Groue : Iofiab brought out the Growe from the hourfe of the Lerd, 2 Kin. 23.6 . It is probable, that in this Idoll was pourtraied the forme and fimilitude of G Grone, and thence was calleda Growe, as the ofe filuer fimilitudes of $D_{\text {ianaes }}$ Temple, made by $D_{c-}$ merrim, were termed Temples of Diann, A8. 19.24.

## Сная. V.

## Their Cities of Refuge.

$\longrightarrow$ Hefe places of Refige, appointed by God, differed from thofe of Hercules, and Komulus, and other Heathens; becaufe God allowed fafery onely to thofe, who were guiltleffe in refpect of their intention: but the others were common Sanctuaries, as well for the guilty as the guiltleffe. If any man did fortuitoully, or by chance kill another man, in fuch a cafe liberty was granted vnto the offender to flie; at firf vnto the Altar for refuge, as is implyed by that text of Scripture, If any man come prefumptuoutly vnto his neighbour, to llay him with guile, thou fhalt take him from mine Altar, Exod. 21. 14. Yea we mav coniecture this cuftome of refuge, to have continied in force alwayes by the practice of roab, 1 King. 2. 28. Notwithfanding, left the Alt.1r might bee too farre diftant from the place, where the fact might be committed, it is probable, that therefore God ordained certaine $A \int y h$, or Gities of Refuge, which for the fame reafor, are thought to hane beene equally diftant one from the other in ${ }_{2}$ R. Salom. Terchis Canaan: Thefe Cities were in number fix ; Bezer Dext. $9.3{ }^{\circ}$ of the Rerbenites Country; R amoth in Gilead of the $G$ atiles; $\&$ Golan in Bafban of the Mana Sites: Thefe three Mofes leparated beyond Iordan, Deut. 4.4 I , 43. The other three appointed by 10 fbua in the Land of Canaan, were Cadefh in Galile in Mount Naphtali;

LIB.z。 Napbtali ; Schechem in Ephraim ; and Kiratharba (which is Hebron) in the mountaine of Iudah, Ioffua 20.7. Three other Cities of likenarure, God promiled the Ifraclites, vpon condition of their obedience, after their coalts were enlarged; but it feemeth that difobedience hindered the accomplimment thereof, for Scripture mentioneth not the fulfilling ofir.

Concerning thefe Citics, the Hebrewes note from thefe words, Thoufbaltprepare the may, Dcut. 19.3. That the Senate, or Magiftrates in Ifruel, werebound ${ }^{\text {b }}$ saimon, in Rot- b to make them fit, and broad, and to Refuge, and fa b.cap.8.8 s. them all fuffered not heres and obfacles; and they fuffered not any hill or dale to bee in the way, nor water- Atreames, but they made a bridge ourer it, that nothing might hinder him that fled thither. And the breadth of the way to the Citics of Refuge, was not leffe than two and thirty Cubits, and at the partitions of the wayes, they fet upin writing, Refuge, Refuge: that the manflayer might know and turne thitherward. On the fifteenth of the moneth $A$ dar, or February, euery yeere, the Magifrates fent out mefliengers to prepare the wayes.

Furthermore it was prouided, that two or three wife men fhould be imployed, to perfwade the $A$ uenger of blood, if haply he did purfue the man-flaier on the way, that hee fhould offer no violence, vntill the caufe were heard and examined. The manner of examination was thus, the Confiltory or Betich of Iufices, who liued in that quarter, where the murder was committed, ${ }^{c}$ placed the party, being brought backe from the City of Refuge, in the

## Their Cities of Refuge.

 L85.8.Court or iudgement Hall, and diligently enquired and examined the caufe, who if he were found guile ty of voluntary murder, then wis he punifhed with death, butif otherwife the fact were found cafuall, then did they fafely conduct the party backe againe to the Cirie of Refuge, where he enioyed his liberty, not onely within the walls of the City, but within certaine territaries and bounds of the City, being confined to fuch and fuch limits, vatill the death of the high Prieft, that was in thofe dayes, at what cime it was lawfull for the offender to returne and come into his owne Citie, and vnto his owne houre, enen vnto the City from whence he fled, Iofb.20.6. By this means the offender, though he was not punifhed with death, yet he liued for the time a kinde of cxile for his owne humiliation, and for the abatco ment of his wrath, who was the Auenger of bloud. 1 masium in 3n. ${ }^{4}$ The Arcopagite had a proceeding againft cafiall tap.30. man-flaughter, nor much vnlike, punifhing the offender a'muravifouc, with an yeares bani $\sqrt{\text { boment }}$ : why the time of this exilement was limited to the death of the High Prieft at that time, is not agreed vpon by Expofitors. But it is moft probably thought, that the offender was therefore confined within that Ci ty as within a prifon, during the High Priefts life, sbecaufe the offence did moft direotly Arike emaguibi. againit him, as being amongit men
 The chiefe Godonearth.

## N <br> THE

# THE <br> <br> THIRD BOOKE <br> <br> THIRD BOOKE TREATETH OF DAYES TREATETH OF DAYES and Times. 

## Char. I.

Their Dayes, Houres, weekes, and reeres.
Efore wee treat of theis Featts, it will be needfull by way of Preface, to vnderitand fomewhat concerning the diuifions of their Daies, Houres, weekes, drc. Their Day was twofold:Naturall, containing day and night, and confiting of 24 . houres: or Attificiall, begimning at sumne-rifung, and ending at sumne-fet. Of this is that, Are there not twelue boures in the day? Iob.II 1.9.
The Naturall day was againe two-foid. Ciuil, $\frac{\mathrm{N}_{2}}{\text { woorking }}$

92 Li B. 3. Their Dajes, Houres, weekes, and Yecres morking day, which was deftined for cinill burflneffes and workes: this beganne at Sumace rifing, and held till the next Snmne rifing, Matth. 28. 1.or Sacred, a Feltiuall or Holv-day, deftined for holy exercifes: thisbeg anne at Sume fet, and continued till the next sumnefet.

Their night was dinided into foure quarters, or greater boures, termed foure watches, eaclı match containing three leffer boures. The firft they called Caput Digiliarum, the beginning of the watches, Lament. 2.19. The fecond, was the middle watch, Iudg.7.19. not forermed, becaufe there were one2Dusfudicior, 19. Iy three matches, as a m rufin would perfwade, but becaule it dured till mid-nigbt. The $t b_{\text {ird }}$ mpult ${ }^{\circ}$ beganne at mid-night, and held till three of the clocke in the morning. If he come in the fecond, or third watch, Luk. 12. 38. The laff, called the morning match, Exod. 14.24. beganne at three of the clocke, ${ }^{3}$ and ended at fix in the morning. In the four th waitch of the night, Iefus went out vinto them, Marth. I4. 25. Thefe watches alfo were called by other names, according to that pait of the night which ciofed each watch. The firl was termed ofs, The Euen. The fecond purovidillor, Midnight. The third testopegsuria, Cock. Crowing. The forth, wett, The Damping. ree know not when the Mafter of the haufe will come, at Euer, or at Midnigbt, or at Cock-croming, or at the Dawning, Mark.13-35.

The day was likewife diuided into foire quarters, as appeareth by the Parable of the Laboliters hired into the $V$ ineyard, Matth. 20. The first quarter beganne at fix of the clocke in the morning, and held till, nine. The fecond quarter ended at

Their Dajes, Houres, weekes, and reares.LIB. 3. 9 eratautiompri twelue of the clocke. The thixed quarter at three in mus teramerus it the afternoon. The fourth quarter at fix of the night. primarequeadter The firf quarter was called the third hoire, verf. $3 \cdot$ prima bura secuinThe fecond quarter the Jixth hosipe, verf. 5. The duscratà atritia, third quarter the ninth boure, verf. 5 . The laft quar- dicebsur bera ter the eleuenth boure, verf. 6.

Wherenote, that the rhree firlt quarters, had àexta vfque ad their names from that houre of the day, which clo- monam, edicebatia fed the quarter (for they began the count of their noma vqae adultileffer houres, from fix a clocke in the mornitig, and mane que eral dro. $\operatorname{our} 6,7,8,9,10,11,12.1,2,3,4,5,6$. Was their 1 , m̈r воха. K cfollit $2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12$.) onely the laft was cal- b.sco opision ст 70 . led the eleuent b boure by our Sauiour Cbrifl; where- cot a musianrecenas among the common people, it either was called, tionem(vi ipfe ait) or thould hate beene called by proportion with guonisma de endetheref, the twelfth houre; to intimate vnto vs, that nit parabol, atium though God in his mercy accept labourers into his apudbes flembinm. vineyard eleuen bowres of the dav, yot hee feldome $\begin{gathered}\text { Lurc vapulant ì te } \\ \text { Toese quicerbis- }\end{gathered}$ calleth anv at the ewcifils, for that is rather an houre dunt zadecimam, to difcharge fermants, than to admit new. aforenda cfocurma

Some Expofitoss finding meintion of the dawn- te quadripariba ing of the day in this Parable, verf. I. They reckon dici diuifo, is hoc the 4. quarters of the day afrer this manner. Hora poulfimum ill vam prima, Horatertic, Hora fexta, Horanora. Where firlt borain prumarn fathey erre, intaking the $D$ aroning of the day for the cunt, now innensfir thoure of the day; for wegit, the Dawning, fignifietin, mam muctiunts che laft quarter of the night, calked the Morning excludant tamex, watch. Secondly, they erre, in making the laft quar- wibiria meciffarmm ter of the day to bee the nint hboure, for what then sangus alicuiun, qiar Thall become of the elerunth boure, mentionedin the vitraemis, ver. fame Parable.

By this diusion of the day into the fe foure quar - foripinional quar-


L18. 3. Their Dayes, Houres, weeles, and reares, touching our Sauiours Paffion. Heewas crucifiedat the third houre, Mark. 1 5.2 5.Saint Iobn intimateth his examination before Pilat, to haue beene Hora qua/ifexta: About fix a clocke, Ioh.19.1 4. In the firt place, vnderftand by his crucifying, nothis hanging on the croffe, which was not till the fixth houre, Luke 23. 44. nor his expiration, which was not till the ninth houre, Warke 15.34. but his examination vonder pilat, at which time the people cryedour, Crucifiehim, Crucifichim; and then the thirdand fixth houre will eafily be reconciled, for thefetwo houres immediately following one another, what was done on the third houre, might truly bee faid to be done about the fixth.
Laftly, this fheweth that the houres among the Iemes were of two forts: fome leffer, of which the day contained twelue : others greater; of which the day contained foure, as hathbeene aboue thewen: the leffer artermed boures of the day, are there not twelle houres in tle day? Iobn II.9. The greater fome terme boures of the Temple, or houres of prayer: Peter and rohn went vp into the Temple, at the ninth bour of prayer, AEt.3.1. But in truth there are
${ }^{\text {© }}$ Drsisfas inpra. revis.ACI.3.1.Now fuifferdiratresbo resprocationis in die appud Iste os, dare tefiathy Dawid K-incho.
drid.Bcharm de bonis opcr.inp.
hiv. 1 caj. 10. but three hourcs of prayer, the third, the fixth, and the
 Gheft defcended vpon the Apofles, AAs 2. 15. About the fixth, Peter went vp to the houfe top to pray, Acts 10. 9. At the ninth Peter and Iobn went into the Temple, ACI.3.I.

From thefe greater houres of the day and night, the Canonicall boures in ve in the Romane Church, hadi their beginning; ${ }^{\text {d each }}$ Canonic.ll houre contai-

Their Dayes, Houres,Weekes, and Yeeres. LI B. 3. neth three leffer houres, fo that in the whole night and day, there are eight Canonicail boures. Ar fix of the clocke in the ellening began the firf, and that is termed Horaveßpertina, orveppertinum fimply (offir ciumbeing vnderfood) their $V$ efpertike. At nine of the clock at night begar the fecond, and that is termed Completorium, their Completory. At mid-night began the third, NCEU年num, their NoCturn. At three of the clock in the morning, being their Matutinum, their Mutines. The Canonicali houses for their day-feruice were named, Hor a prims, tertia, fexto, nona. Their firt houre beganfrom in of the clock in the morning, and held till nine; the third from nine till twelue, the fixth from twelue til three; the ninth. from three till ix at night.

The Diall in vere among the ancient zemes, differed from that in vie among vs:theirs was a kinde of faires, the time of the day was diftinguined not by. lines, but by fteps, or degries, the thade of the Sunre enery halfe houre moned forward to a new degree In the Diall of $A b x \pi$, the Sunne went backe mbye Magnoloth, degrees, or feps, not lines; io Sai.3S.S.

Their weekes were two. fold: the one was ordinary, confifting of feuen dayes: the cther exiraordinary, and Prophecicail, contifting of.fenenjeeres, Dan. 9.24. The firlt is termed Hebdomas diaria, a meeke of dayes : the fecond, Hebdom is amnalis, a recele of

## yeeres.

The Hibrews at firf, meafured their monethsaccording to the courfe of the Sumne, whence they are called Trenfes Solares: and then euery moneth confifted of thirty dayes. The waters premailed from tbe.

L．s．3．Their Dales，Hours，weckes，and reaves． the fetienteenth day of the fecund moneth，Ger． 7 ． I I．vntu the i 7 ．day of the fuenth month，Gen． 8 ． 4．that is，fill full moneths．If wee will number the dives，they were an hundred and fifty，Gen． 7.24 ． Whereby it appeareth，that ellery monet contai－ ned full thirty cayes．Afterthe Ifraelites departure out of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{s} \% \mathrm{yp}, \text { ，then they meafired their moneths }}$ by the curie of the Bone；they are termed Menfis Lunares：they contained either thirty days，and then they were called Menses pleni，Full mionetbs：or twenty nine cayes，and then they were called Men－ fescaui，Deficient monectbs．
The June exceedeth the Bone，in her courfer－ Vidi．kdavari－leven days，c hence every third or Second yare， Mumferi．pag．0z． one month was inferred．Now because the twelfth month in the Hebrew kalendar was called es dar， hence when a moneth was inferred，the lat was cal． led Veadar，the fecond $A$ dar．
Before their captivity in Babylon，they counted their months with our any name，according to the number．The Fifth，Second，Th bird monet；＇fro．After their return from Babylon，they called them by chef names ：
1．Nisan，it was alto called בコロハ，Abib，which fignif－ eth an eave of core，in this moneth Early began to bee eared． 2otiar，it was alpo called on r which fignifieth beauty， then the trees began to bee beaut tiffed with buds and bloffomes．


3．Sinatra

Their Dayes, Houres, Weekes, andiecres. Lar.3.
3. Siman.
4.Thumiz。
5.Ab.
6. Elsel.
7. Tifri, oiberwife called Ethanim.
8. Marche fuan, it wits alfo salied Bul.
.). Cifle.

## IO. Tebeth.

11. Schebeth.
12. Adar.

Feadar.
Before their comming out of exglpt, they beगisuTou ทizay dice
 ganne the ir yeare in the moneth of Tifri, and thus $\widetilde{5} 5.5$ giv yover, os
 fortheir date of buying, felling, their Sabbaticall tais siev rais w̌el yeares, yeares of lubile, occ. After their comming oiv. sefch. Attis. out of exqupt, theybcganne theirycare in the mo-nive wise man ncth Nifan, and fo continued it for the computati-pro paszarisas, on of theirgreaterfealts.
qutyenfors ciat ution ficuidide aqact ticbices, ficutaco 1) us ajudMAscedowss

## Chap. II.

## Of their Feafts.

BEfore we defeend to their particular Feafts, firf we will fee the manner of fealting in generall. Their ordinary meales as they were not many in a day, fo neither were they coftly.
${ }^{2}$ a 6 กาะ ภาตตาเก 1/6 facere, fegujficat ขist ickmo They were called "Arucoth, which word fignifieth properly, fuch fare as trauellers and wayfaring men vfe on their iourneyes. The word is vfed, Lerem. 40. 5. So the chiefe fleward gaue binsvittailes, and areward, and let himgoe: likewife, Prou. 15.17. Better is a dinner of greene berbes where lowe is. The extraordinary and more liberall kinde of entertainment - Mลษ con- by way of feafting, was conmonly called ' Mifchte, ziwiuxt, Coxppota-
tioDiitur aporaz- from their liberall drinking at fuch meetings. There do, fue bibendo vt was alfo another kinde of feafting, wherein they
 ab detera aizo parte. their facrifices; this they termed ' Chag. From this ${ }^{\circ}$ ATH, Feflum, celubrss flemsines, a cultome of hauing a feaftat the end of their facriradirer \$3A. Fe- fices, the Chrifians of the Primitiuse Church inftituPum crieblaciit.
 15. Hos antm pros- In both thefe greater and more folemne feafts, there sipie. were fome Ceremonies vfed by them as preparatorie to the Ferf; others in their giaing thanker; 0 thers in their $g$ efure at Table.

The ceremonies preparatory weere principally thefe three. I. Sulutation. 2. Wa Jbing the feet of the guefts. 3. Pouring oyte on them.

Their salutations were teltified either by mords,

## Of their Fcafts.

LIB.3.
99
or fome humble gefture of the body. By rords, and then thefe were the vfuall formes, The Lord be with you, or The Lordblefle jou,' ' uth 2.4. From the laft of thefe, blesing is often taken in Scriprurefor fa. luting. If thoumeetany, bleffe him not, or if any eretal. itis. 4.edbleffe the, anfwer him not againe, faith Elifba to werf.масіни Gehazi, 2 King. 4.29. The lenfe is as our Englifh renders it, Salute him not. Sometimes they laid, Feace be unte, thee, Peace be upon thee, Goe in peace, and fuch like : when ye come into an houfe, falute the fame; and if the houfe be worthy, let your peace come oppon it, but if it be not worthy, let your peace returne to you, Neatth. 10. 12, 13. By gefture; theirfalutao tions were fignified fometimes by profirating the zobole body; lometimes by kifsing the fect, Luke 7 . 38. commonly by an fordsnary kiffe. Mofes went is Xenophom ds ine out to meet his father in law, \& did obeyfance and fitut. Cyr Lib. . opag.
 his brerhren, and wepr vpon them, Gen.45-15.This Saint $P$ anl callethan holy kife, 1 Cor. 16.20 . Saint
 calleth it, Ofoulsm pacis, A kifdc of Peace. Thefe rap. 14. were kiffes which a Cato might give, and a Veftall ${ }^{\text {diffilitioralucea, }}$ receive : Of this fort the Iewes had ${ }^{\mathbf{h}}$ three kindes. Exod. 6 qp. $1 \mathrm{z}_{6}$ 1. A kiffe of falutation, which hath beene frecified by fome of thofe former inftances. 2. A kiffe of finiqubl pharkukw, valedition: Whercfure haft thou not fuffered mee of fula propinguseto kiffe my fonnes and my daughters, $G \in m .31 .28$.
 flate or dignity, but it was to teftifie their bomage flikoth paitrautb, andacknowledgement of their Kings foueraignty. andacknowledgemen of
 vpon Sauls head, and kifed him, is Sam.10. I. And toath gedelta, oficule $\mathrm{O}_{2}$
vnto
wno this they referte that in the fecond Pfalme，Kiffe the somal left be be angric．Thefe falutations，how－ fosuer they were fuch as were ved mutually，fome－ times in the irmectings abroad ypon the way，yet were they fuch，as were ved alfo th their cntertain－ ment，as clearely appeareth by many of thofe tore－ grotedexamples．
i le：inpedum ante The fecond Ceremony prepzratory was ${ }^{i}$ mafbing
 tentibues ipfise erat fephs houfe，and galue them water，and they did 2 flaza： ：locus bic walb their feei，Geir．43．24．This office was com．
turuse日，bicoccura－ tıuse日，，bicoccuta－ bi，ferte aquam fce difus．Plautas 2 $6 \%$ monly performedby feruants，and the meaneft of the family，as appearethby our Simiowr Cbrift，who to leanean example of humility behincle bim，ww． Sbed bis dijciples feet，Iobn 13.5 ．And Abigail，when Dauid tooke her to wife，faid，Behold let thine handmaid be a feruant，to wald the fect of the fer－ uants of my Lord，I Sam． 25.4 f ．Fur this purpofe had they certaine veffels in readineffe，for fuch im－ ployments：that which our $S$ auiourv ved，we tranf－ late a Bafon，Iob．I 3 ． 5 ．He powred out water into a B．fon．The word urith there ved，fignifieth in ge－ neralla walfopot，and is tiere ved for that which in Itriict propriety of fpeech，the Grecians termed mosiurrepos，（i．）A．w．Ihpot for our feet：Some may here make the queftion，whence this water was powred ？Ifee no inconuenience，if wee fay，that there were vfually in their dining roomes greater veffels，from which they powred out inro leffer， accordingas they needed，of which fort it is not．
 H／日． improbably ${ }^{\text {k }}$ thought，that thofe water－pots were thentioned，Iobn 2．6．There were fer there fix wid－ ter－pors of tone，ater the manner of che purifying

$$
\text { Of their Feats. } \quad \text { LiB. } 3 .
$$

of the lewes. By purifying there, vndernand this complementall wafting of which wee treat: Now if we confider the wathing of their hands, full and commendable in in felfe, though fuperfitioufly abused by Scribes and Pharifes; and the waning of their fectbefore, and after male, (for our Savour washed his Disciples feet after duper) which feconc washing, the Hebreres fay it was in wee only at the $P$ affeozer, there mut needs be vie of greatfore of water in their greater Feats, and therfore no marvel, if many and capacious veffels font in seadineffe. Farther, we are to note, that as the office was fertile and oafe, fo the veffell: which obferuasion giueth light to that, Pful.60. 8. Mont is my: Waflh-pot; that is, the $M$ abies mall be barely fob. rect unto me, as che pot in which I mall my feet.

The third Ceremony preparatory, was powering out of ole. A woman in the City brought an Alabaster box of ointment, and food at his feet behinde him. weeping, and beganne to wo alb his feet with teares, and did wipe them with the haires of her head, and: kiffed his feet, and anointed them with the ointment, Luke $7 \cdot 37,3^{8}$. It was aldo powered ripon the bead, whence in the fame place, Shrift challenge th the Pharifee which entertained him, Mine head with op le thou diddeft not annoint, verf. 46. Pfal. 23.5. Thou annointeft mine head with ogle.

After thee ceremonies of preparation hadbeene performed, then the proceeded to giving thanks: The Mafier of the bouse fitting downe together rine．Hauing faid this，hee firft lightly tafted of the wine，ard from him it paft round the table．This
 the bleffing of the cup．Withthis chriff himfelfe ice－ nieth to haue begun his fupper；He tooke the cup，and gase thankes and faid，I ake thes and divide it among your folues，foy Ifay unto you，I will not drinke of the fruit of the vine，vintill the King dome of God Sball come， Luk．22．17，18．After the blefing of the cup，the ma－ fler of the bouse tooke the bread，which they did Scindere，but not Abscindere，lightly cut for the eafier breaking thereof，but not cur in funder；and holding this in both his hands，hee confecrated it with thefe words，Bleffed bee thou，O Lordour God， the King of the world，which bringeff forsh bread out of the earth．This confecration of bread，they termed，
－ラッグ dićticnem pasis． Drefius tn N．T． fart．altera，p． 78. ＂y y ${ }^{n}$ Bircath balechem．After the confecration，he brake the bread，（whence the mafter of the houfe，or he who performed the fe bleffings in his ftead，was termed ＊Habot feang（i．）the breaker；）the bread being bro－ ken，hediftributed tocuery one that fate at the table a morfel，which being done，then they began to feed vpon the other difhes that were prouided．This rite of bleffing both the cup and the bread，they obler－ ued onely in their folemne feftivals，otherwife they confecrated the bread alone，and not the cup．In their feaf time，they feafoned their meat with good conference，fuch as might cither yeeld matter of inftruction，or excrcife their wits ；which pra－ －Zoon am cexam ctice wasalfo obferued in theirChriftian lowe ${ }^{\circ}$ feafts． cenant quam कjijci．Of the firte fort，was that parable propofed by our plinamiserifllas． telog． 6.39. bleffed Sauiour at a feaft，Lake 14.7 ．Of the fecond，
was Sumpfors riddle，which＇tee propofed vito his companions，rudy．14．13．At the end of the feat， they againe gate thanks，which was performed in this manner，either by the matter of the bouffe hin－ felfe，or by fore guef，if there were any of better note at the table：hae taking a cup of wine in both his hands，began thus，Lee usbleffe him who bath fed ＊smith bis one，and of whose goodneffe we line：then all the guess answered，bleffed bee bee of wosofe meat wehasce eaten，and of whore goodne foe wee live．This grace they called E Bircath Hamazon．9．And this is thought to bee the cup wherewith Chriftafter Sup－ per commended the myltery of his blond to his Di－

คทコทコ 4ッロロ Vide．Fag in pres．．nebr． fciples：after this，he which began the thankfgiuing proccedeth，Blessed bee bee，and bleffied bee his n．mme， \＆ce．annexing a longer prayer，in which hoe gate thanks；Firft，for their prefent food．2．For their deliucrance from the Egyptian \｛eruitude．3．For the cotenant of circumcilion．4．For the Law gi－ wen by the ministry of $M$ oles．Then he prayed that God would hate mercy， 1 ．On his people israel， 3. On his owne City Ierufalem，3．On Sion the tuber－ macle of his glory， 4. Oi the King dome of the house of Druid his annointed， 5 ．That he would fend Elias． the Prophet，Laity，that he would make them wore－ thy of the cayes of the Me $\int \sqrt{\text { in }}$ ，and of the life of the world to come．

This prayer being ended，then all the guefts which fate at the table，with fort and low voice， fid vntothemfelues in this manner；Pere the Lord ally re bis holy ones，because there is nopeniry to thole that fearehim；the young Lions doe was and coffer hunger，but tho fe that feck the Lordinoint no good thing．

Afterward
L.18.3. Of their Feifls.
Afceward he which began the thank foiuing, bleffed the cup in the fane forme of words, as hee ved at the firlt litting downe; Saving, Eleffed bee thou O Lord God, the King of the rorld, nbich createfl the fruit of the Vine: And there with he dranke a littic of the wine, and fo the cup pafted round the table. Thus they began and ended their fealts, with the blefsing of a cup: thiscup they termed, 35o כiv Cosbillel, poculum íprínas, A cup of thatalifyiuing: and both thefe cupsare mentioned by Saint Luke, and which is worth our obferuation, the words of Confecration, whereby it was inftituted, as part of the bleffed Sacrament in the New Testament, were added onely to the laft cup. This cup is the
© scatig. de en exd. Teap. .ib 6 9.273.
: Mofes Koterentis fol 138 .ol.t.
u Scungiaw. MuxfleroM1ai.26.

* Ir.fyes. Hebroper Fagiuiricillas. New $\mathcal{T}$ ejament in my bloud, which isfleed for jou. After allthis, they fung ${ }^{\text {r }}$ Hymres and Palmes, which alfo was practifed by our bleffed sauiour, Aarke 14.26. So that howfoeucr hee vfed not any fuperltitions, cither then practifed, or fince added byafter lewes, (asthe drinking of ${ }^{t}$ fourecups of wine," or the breaking of the bread with all ten fingers, in allu(ion to the ten Commandements, Szc.) yer in the beginning, and ending, wee fee his pratife futable with theirs. If any defirea larger difcourfe of the fe bleffings, noted out of the Rabbines, let him reade * $P$. Fagius his Comment on Deut. 8 . 10. from whom I hate borrowed a great part of what herein I have deliuered. If any fhall here ob. iect, that I feeme to make the bleffid sucrament of our Lords body and blood, a lewifle ceremony, I anforeno; For as a kinde of initiatory purification by water, was vfed befoc bythe Iemes ofold, and nu Profilyte was admitted into the Church of the
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Lib.3.
rewes, without this purification: yet it was no more a Sacrament to them, than Circumcifon was to Tarkes and Saracens. Thus neither was breaking the bread facramentall to the Iew, but then it became a Sacrament, when Chriff faid of it, This is my body. This cup is the New Tcflament in my bloud, sec. Lut. 22.19. The Iewes could not fav. The cup of bleffing which wee blefle, is it not the Communion of the bloud of Chrift? I Cor. 10.16.

The latt thing confiderable in their Feafts, is their gefture. In the dayes of our Sauiour, it is y ap- yVoes quibue $v$ parent that the gefture of the lewes was fuch as the $\int \frac{1}{}$ funt Euangeligle Romanes ved. The table being placed in the mid- fonats asceиbitura delt, round about the table were certaine beds, mither, Luc. 22. fometimes two, fometimes three, fometimes more, avdxeratas, watt.
 they laid downe in manner as followeth. Each bed ver, mattis. contained three perfons, fometimes foure, feldome orneuermore. If one lay vpon the bed, then hee refted the vpper part of his body vpon his Icft elbow, the lower part lying ar length vpon the bed: but if nany lay on the bed, then the vppermoft didlie at the beds head, laying his feet behinde the feconds backe : in like manner the third or fourth did lie, each refting his head in the others bofome. Thus Iobnleaned on Iefus bofome, Iobn 13 . 23. This, firt, is an argument of Peciall loue towards him, whom the Mafter of the houfe thall take into his owne bofome, Iobn be was the beloued Difciple. Secondly, an argument of parity among ft 0thers, refting in one anothers bofome. Many Jball with Abraham, and Ifaac, and Iacob, Mat.8. 1 I. And where fhall they fit? In Abribums bofome, Luki I 6 . 22.thit is, they fhill all fit at the fame table, be pairakers of the fame glory. Thus Chiif, hee wasin the bofome of his Futber, Iohn I.IS: that is, in the Apofles phrafe, He thought it no robbery to be equall with his Eather. Their tables were perfectly circularor round, whence their maner of fitting was "unaon Dif terunel ${ }^{2}$ Mefibach, afitting round; and their phrafe
 cammut, Ambiuit. We will not fit round vntill he cone hither, I Sum. 16.In. Againe, Thy children thall be like Olite plants round about thy table, Pfalm. 128.3. This cultome of lying along vpon a bed, when they tooke theit mear, was alfo in ve in Ezekiels time; Thou fateft vpona flately Bed, and a table preparectbe--Pbilozudf.388, fore it, Ezek.23.4I. ${ }^{2}$ And whether this were the cuftome of the ancient Hebremes, I leaue to bee difcuffedby others. But vito thisalfo doth Amos allude ; They lay themfelues downe vpon clothes Wetutirimmen mas is to pledge by cuery Altar, esmos 2. 8. That cras fupect lannalis pellib:n dif cumbere.
 addeis, diues crat.
Owid. ould. is, the ${ }^{0}$ garments taken to pledse they vfe in ttead of Bedf, when at their Altars they cat things facrificed to Id )!s: Yea, the plucking off their Thooes when they went to table, implyeth this cuftome of iving at the table, to hanc beene very ancient. The plucking off their thooes feemerh to have been generally reccited, when they were in Eg opt, for this caule is it, that they hada ftrizt charge in cating the Paffeoser, to have their fhoses on their feet for greater expedition. The reafon why they vfually pluckt them off, was, for the cleane keeping of
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their Becls，on which they lay．Here feeing the rule of obferuing the Paffeouer reguireth that it Chould be eaten with their hooes on their feet，whichar－ gueth rather fanding than lying yoon a bed：it may be demanded whether chrift ranfgrefled not a． gainft the firf inftitution there of，in the manner of his fitting at the table？Iremslius anfwereth thus， and in my minde fully：${ }^{c}$ We muft know，faith he， that Exod．12．it was commanded after what man－ ner，they ready to depart out of Egypt，thould eas the Paffeouer at that time，for the neceffity of that time forcquired，namely，an hafty eating thereof： But afterward in the Law，where it is commanded that this ceremony of the $P$ afchail hould be renued euery yeare，thofe words are not addded．Where－ fore all the Hebrew Dogors，both ancient and mo－ derne，doe teach with one ioynt confent，that the commandement of prinkling the doore pofts with bloud，of hauing on their fhooes，of girding their loynes，of taking ftaues in their bands，and esting the Lambe inhafte；did not extend it felfe to the gene－ rations following，but onely to haue concerned that very night，wherein tlicy departed out of eEgypt： dYea，it was an ancient tradition amongtt them，dTalmudotrath．de that when they did in after cimes cat the Pafjeouer，Pafchase．vid．Tre they would fit downe，or leane vpon a Bed，as our gitas． Sasiour and his Difciples did，intoken of their de－ liueranccobtained．

The parties that gaue entertainment at theip Feafes，were two：1．＂The Maller of the boufe，2．The evidcafauboro Mafter of the Feaft；They differecthus，the Mafter $6 \times$ eris．p． 278 ． of the boufe was termed ถワク シyコ Baal baberh， ioxssexuims Pater familias．The Mafter of thefenf was
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 clinii Praficturs; The Mafler of the eenft was the chiefe ferumbe attending the M1.ffer of the boure in s Gandenius en:- time of the feaft. Others addeas thirt fort whom

h. Abininell. 10. they were termed inviry. Their office was tho ught to have becne the infreetion of the guefts, that none thould diforder themfelues by drink ing too
 the fent. Suich kiodide of officers were in vee in $A h_{2}$ fuerefh his courr, Efter I. 8. and likewife among the ${ }^{-h}$ Athenians, but wherher any fuch belonged vino the Ieres is iuftly doubted.

The ancient Iever, they were both hof pitall rcady to entertaine, and alfo liberall in their entertainment; their hofpitality is commended thorowout the Scripture, thought now itbe growne out of vfe among them, as appeareth by that prouerbial!
i fin it Hopes 677 5 Onus ก77 $=$ Profuges B.axtarf. 了 phag. $\operatorname{tap} 3^{2} .9 .493$. fpeech conccrning the entercainnine of a frend: ithat we flift diybe is oreach, a guc $\mathfrak{l}$ : the fecond Toreich, aburden: the third Burach, a rusnayate. Thcir liberality appeared by remembring the poore at their feafts, by fending them portions. Sendportions vnto them for whom nothing is prepared, Nehem.8.1o. This wasafterwar I practifed by Hearhens, who in thei: folemne feafts did not * Moris erat uete oncly cntertaine their ginests for tine prefent, but. rionsin conulins
aseídos raitucre a ferstibus amicos. T Weopbraftus cap. wé uif 廿̛uoeirs. Id,me tet tatur Plut. Cent portions, which they termed uteidas. This obth Agefaro. dia. Extacion gilleth light to that Canon in the Laodi-
 did alfo allow them certaine iunkets to carry away with them. Thefethey termed dimpopnm: and likewife vintotheir friends who were abfent, they cenn Counacll, which forbiddeth Cbriffians in their love
 fon of which prohibition, I conccive to be three- dem narem Iudien fold : Firt, That Chriftians might not Cymbolize inv fu fulfertiono with Heather people. Secondly, That none pre- tur facrolicre, fuming that their portions theuld bee fent them, might ablent themfelues. Thirdly, Tharchofe pre- eidas. fent (efuecially the poorer fort as it often fallethout) mighe nor bee iniured, by haning the bett of their pronifionfent away in fuchporitions.

Here wee may note for conclufion, that as the time of their fupper was toward the cuening, and: then they gatue greate ftentertainment; So the time of their dinner was about the fixth houre of the day, that is, as wee count, about noone. Kil'meat and make ready, for the men thall eat with mee at noone, Gen. 43.16. Peter went vp vpon the houle to pray about the fineth boure, then waxed hee an hungred, and would have eaten, but whiles they made fomething ready, heefell into atrance, Alts 10. 9, 10 .

Moreoner weemay here note the difference beeweene thofe tirree cups mentioned in Scripture, тотicar rüs curoxies, I Corinth. 10.19. The cup of bleffing, and this is applied to thofe fenerall cups vfed in their folemne feafts, becaufe of thofe bleffings or thank fgiuings annexed. Sccondly, \%ootreen suis-maǵxanow, rer.10.7. The cup of confolation, this was fo called becaufe it was fentby feeciall friends in time of mourning, as intending by this drinking to put away forrow and griefe from the monner. Thirdly,
 was vfed commonly after theirpeace offerings, which were vowed in way of thankfulneffe forbenefits ob- tranllate a peace-offering ronterov, afacrifice of faluation, or faluarion it jelfe.

## Chap.IIr. <br> Of their Sabbath.

IHe word nコw, Schabbath, from whence our Euglifb word Sabbath is deriued, fignifieth reft, and is applyed to all Solemne feftiuals. They polluted my Sabbaths, Ezuf.20.2 1. that is, my Eeafls. Somet imes it is applied to the whole woeeke. Ieiuno bis in Sabbato, Ifaft twice in the wecke. Sometimes, and that monf frequently, it is vfed for that feuenth day which Cod had fet apart for his owne feruice. This laft was holy, either by a fimple holineffe which belonged vinto it, as was the feuenth day; or elfe by a double holineife occafioned by fome folemne Feaft vpon the fameday, and then it was called, Sabbittrm magnum, A great Sabbath, Tohn 19. 3\%. For on that Sabbath day of which Suint Tohn fpeaketh, the Feaft of the Paljeouer happened that yeere.

The weeke dayes are termed by the Hebremes 5in. Cholim.prophane dayes, by the Grecks enepopi, Working dayes: but when they fpeake of themalto-
${ }^{3}$ scolig de ement. Tentub.6.7.251. IlemPera in banc bechm:
 two Sabbaths. Thiswas the time vpon whichthe Gentiles defred to heare Paul, Aft. I3.42. Inrepect of the different degrees of holinefion dayes, the Sabluth day is net vnficly compared to a 2 ueene,
or mather to thofe whom they termed Primary wizes; other Feifedayes to Conculines, or balfewiues, working diyes to bandmaids. - The Sabaich began at ${ }^{\text {b }}$ fix a clocke the night before, this the Grecians called naperodoy ou6farx, the
 sabbath.

The preparation to the Sablath beganat ${ }^{c}$ three ' Lep ph. Antiq. 6 of the clocke in the alter noone, the Ifebremes called this nכwi コay, ginerelb bujchubbath, the Subbitheue. By the ancient Fathers it was called d can.ad In ritibus Pagapura, the phrafe is porrowed from pagans, whofe Religion tanghe them in their facrifices to certaine illapponi foliu, of their Gods and Goddefjes, to prepare themfelues by quizincofoerant a ftrict kinde of holineffe, at which time of their preparation they did partake of acettaine fupper, which as it confifted of choice mears, fuch as thofe Heathens deemed more boly than orhers : fo it was



> Cafaubm, E.acrito 16.p 6 6. eaten with the oberuation of holy rites and ceremonies; hence they themfelues ivere faid at this time of their preparation, to bee Increffo, and theis preparatory Supper, termed, conapura. Thus wee fee the reafon why the rathers calleds the Salbuathexe, Counampurain. By the Euangelifts it was called w.ogosun, A preparation, Mark. 15-42. Fordiftinction fake, we may call that foretime of the day wegraeaaxdin, A fore preparation. For the whole day was a kind of preparation, as will appeare by the particulars then forbidden. Firf on this clay they might goe no more than three Parfath; now a Parfa contamed fo muci groundasan ordinary man mightgoe ten of them in a dry. Secondly, ludges might not then fit in iudoement ypon life and death, as is fhewen
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$\mathrm{L}_{\text {i }} \mathrm{b}, 3$.
in the Chapter of Tranflation of Feafts. ${ }^{\text {E Thirdly, }}$ all forts of Artificers were forbidden to werke, one ly three excepred, shoomakers, Taylors, and Scribes, the two former for repairing of apparell, the other for fitting themfelues by fudy to expound the Law the next day, and thefe were permitted but halfe the preparation time to worke.

The beft and wealth:eft of them ${ }^{8}$, euen thofe that had many feruants, did with their owne hands further the Preparation; fo that fometimes the Maftersth infelueswould chop herbs, fiweep the houfe, cleaue wood, kindle the fire, and fuch like.

In old ${ }^{\text {b }}$ time they proclaimed the Preparation with noife of Trumpets, or hornes; but now the modeme Iewes proclaime it by the Sexton, or fome vader Officer of the Church, whom they call nas hisw, Scheliach $t$ jibbur, The Meffenger of the Congregation.

Concerning the fanctificationof the Sabbath day it felfe, in corrupter times fome things the Iemes added oner and aboue that which God comman. ded. In other things they tooke liberty where God granted none. In the firlt, they were fuperffitious; in the fecond, facrilegiou:

They tooke liberty. There were two thoufand cubits betweene the Arke and the campe, when they marched, $I 0$ fh. 3.4.and in probability, the fame proportion was obferued when they refted: this diftance of ground fome interpret to be one mile, fome two: fome meafuring it according to a leffer, others according to a longer cubit, which they terme a Geometricall cubit: but all agree in this, that thefe two thoufiud cubits were a Subbath dayes
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iourney, though none, as I know, haue obferued the reafon why it was fo called, which I take to be this; On the Sabbath day they were all to repaire to the place of Gods publike worfhip, which was two thonfand cubits dilzant frona thofe who camped neareft: Hence follow foure propolitions. I. That ewo thoufand cubits any where, by proportion might be called a sabbath diyesiourney. Secondly, that tothole who dwelt in the Camps more remote from the Arke, a sabbath diyes iourney was more than two thoufand cubits. Thirdly, That it is now lawfull on the Sabbath day, to ioyne with the congregation in the place of Gods publike worfhip, though remote. Fourthly, that it was vnlawfull for the Iewes, hereupon to take liberty to walke idly whither they would, if it were nor more than two thoufand cubits, pretending it tobebut a sabbath dayes iourngy.

They added vnto that which God commanded. I. God faid, Remember to keepe holy a feuenth day : In which words God fanctified one day to be Sabbathum, ${ }^{1}$ they added Sabbatulum, fo they termed that additament of time which they annexed to the Sabbath. This addition of time was twofold: fome beganne the Sabbath fooner than others; this was done by the Iewes dwelling at Tyberias, becaufethey dweling in a valley, the Sunne appeared not to them fo foone as it did to others. Some againe continued the Sabbath longer than others, this was doneby thofe dwelling at Ifepphore, a Ci tie placed vpon the top of a mountaine, fo that the Sunne Thined longer to them, than it did to others; thus both of thefe did Addere deprofano ad facrum;

Lis.3. Of their Sabbath.

## furirde

* Buxtarf Comment.Maforel.c. 40

isum. ต. Tremek. 3 Exodore.

Adde fomewhat of the working-day, immediately going before, or immediately following after: none diminitied of the Sabbath. \& Hence $R$. Iose uibled that his portion might be with thofe that began the Sabbath with thoje of TJberias, and it ended wish thofe of TJepphore.
2. Godfaid, Tomorrow is the reft of the holy Sabbath vnto the Lord, bake that ye will bake, and fecthe that yee will feethe, Exod. 16.23 . This command was proper to the time of Manna, the reafon is cherealleaged, why they fhould prepare that day for the morrow, becaufe vponthe $S$ abbath day they fhould not finde it in the field. The Iewes extend this command to all ages, and therefore they dreffed no meat this day; this haply was the reafon, that the Heathen people thought they m Suetox. Amsul. ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ fatted on the Sabbath; though I deny not but
c. 76. de ieiung. fab-
bat. Vid.Martial. 4.4.Epig.4. this errour might be occafioned in part from that phrafe, Teiunobis in Sabbato.
3. God faid, Ye fhall kindle no fire thorowout your habitations on the Sabbath day, Exod. 35 .3. This commande ment was onely concerning fire for bocus Items. Trem. therefore is the Sabbath mentioned in that Chapter,
orm. to fhew that the worke of the Tabernacle ought to give place to the Sabbath. The lemes hence gather that it is vnla wfull to kindle any fire at all on this day.
4. God faid, In it thou fhalt doe no manner of worke. This the lewies vnderftood without any

> Hiopinian. deo. manner of exception. O Hence they held it vnlaw- rigffifherde Sab. full, to roll an apple, to tucke an herb, to climbe a tree, to kill or catch a flea. Hence they thonght it vnlawfull,
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to defend themfelues being affaulted by their enemies on the Sabbathday, by this meanes twice they
 chus, whereupon Mattathias made a decree, that it fhould be lawfull vpon the Sabbath to refint theirenemies, which decree againe they vnderfanding ftrictly, as if it did onely gime leaue to refift, when q iofegh, is t. C.\%. they were actually affaulted, and not by any labour that day to preuent the enemies raifing of Rams, fetling of engines, vnderminings, $\& c$, they became a prey the fecond time to pompey. For the right vnderfanding therefore of this command, we are to know that three forts of feruile workes were allowed.

1. Works of charity: God, thatallowed them to lead. their Oxe and Affe to water on the Sabbath, Luke I3.15. to make their liwes more comfortable, much more allowed man liberty to dreffe conuenient food for himfelfe and his family, that they might the more comfortably performe holy duties. Chrift healed on the Sabbath; therefore vifiting the ficke, and the vfe of the $P$ byjotian, was both then and now lawfull.
2. Workes divectly zending to Gods zoorfhip, not only killing of facrifices, andcircumcifing of children on that day was allowed, but the Priefts might lawfully blow their trumpets and homes on the Salbbath day, for the affembling of the people, Numb. 10. 2. And the people might warrantably goe from their houfes, to the place of Gods publique wormip. By proportion it is now warrantable fur chriftions, to ring bels to affemble the people rogether on the Lordsday, and to take iourneyes
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To ioine with the publike congregation, orto preach the Word. Of thefe we may fay, though they are in their owne natures bodily labours, let the Tenlple which was fanctified did change the nature of them, and make them holy, Matthew 23. 1\%. Or as the Iewes fay concerning the ouerthrow of Ierice, which according to their writings fellon the Sabbith day: F Hee mbich commminded the Sirbbuth to befanetified, cummanded it alfo to bee prophaned. 3. Workis of abfolute nece sity, as the defending ones felfe againft his enemy, and others of like nature:concerning which the leves have a faying, ${ }^{{ }^{r} P_{e} \text { - }}$ rilloflife driues away the Sabbath. And the Chriftians with a little change of a more common prouerbe fav, Nece Sitts nor haber feri,s ; Necef Sity bath nourbe bo-
lidiyes.

## Chap.IV.

 Of their Pafficuer, and dheir Feaft of vnleauened bread.
## a Teximlian. adin.

 Iudaic. cap. 10. 1t. Ambrof. 16 de dij) *gcr. Pafib. cap.I. B Auguf. in tilul.P/at 68 .

SOme of the Fatbers haue deriuled the word ${ }^{2}$ Pafcha, from a Grecke verbe fignifying toruf fer, becaufe the fufferings and Pafion of our Saniour are celebrated abour that timé. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ This opininalluguftine iuftly confuteth, for the word is origi-- or pafcencer Hem word figni fying to paffi by, to leape, facifice or. The Etymology is Gods owne. It is the facrifice of the Lords Paffiouer, whichpalfed ouer, \&c.Exod. 12.27.
The word Paffouer in Scripture hath three acceptions.
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Lx be.
117
ceptions. Firft, it is taken for that yearely folemnity, which was celebrated pontine " fourteenth day o e. Theo'ggiu non of $N$ ifan,otherwife called $A b i b$; you may call it the parc, onmia quad
 the evening, the Ifraclites were commanded actor- int; quem arorens ding to their families to rote a Larne, and ert it bafferme ex turkiin their private houfes. Secondly, it fignifieth dis Ranis, quine bodicicethat yearly Feftiuity which was celebrated on the andes erresem erfifteen th of $N i / a n$, it may be called the Pafjiouer of rant, refl es caligetro Theepe and Bullockes, Deut.16.2. Otherwife we may $6 . \mathrm{p}_{2} 270^{\circ}$ call it the Eeaft of the Pafleouer; as the fourteenth of Nisan, was called dimply the $P$ aft four. In the fourtenth clay of the firn moneth, is the Paffeouer of the Lord, and in the fifteenth day of this moneth, is the, Feaft, Numb .28.16,17. Toward this Eeaft we are to viderftand that Soling gate vito the people foch a multitude of crepe, Lambes, Kids, and Bullocks. Thirdly, it is taken for the whole folemnity, beginning the fourteenth of Ni fan, and ending the one and twentieth of the fame moneth. Now the Feaft of valeauened $b$, cad drew nigh, which is called the paffeouer, Luke 22.1. So that in this acception it contained the Feaft of unleavened bread also, notwirhitanding, in proper freaking, the Feafk of vuleauened bread, was a ditinct Feajt from the Paffeouer.
Firth, the Paffeoucr was to bekept on the fourteenth day of the fir moneth, at Even : 'This wastheir fecond Sacrament, in which although they were enioyned to eat unleavened bread with the Lambe, yet the Feaft of unleavened bread began not till the morrow following, being the fifteenth day of the fame month, and lated fenen days, of which wherein they might do no feruile worke，Leuit．23． 5，6，7，8．

Secondly，the Pafleouer in the age following its firftinffitution，might rot beekilled andeaten in any otherplace，fane only where the Lord didenure to place his name，which afterward was at Ierufa－ lem：but the feaft of whleauened bread，the Hebrewes thought themfelues bound to keepe in euery place wherefocuer they dwelr，if they could not bee at Ie－
－159 M
 ハコทアコ が朔 กog． กาขา הทyy Maimor deferer I．cnto © Azymo． сар．6．S．I． temp．i．5 ．p．270．Of the anfwer was， $\boldsymbol{t}$ How different is The forme
e Scalig．de ensered． rufalem：and deating of it，they fay，depended not upors the eating of the Paffeouer，but it mas a commande－ ment by it jelfe．

The Rites and Ceremonies obferued by the Ierres in the eating of this Sacrament their Pafchal Lamb， agreed with thofe general ceremonies vfed in their folemne Feafts．Theyblefjed the cup，and bleffed the bread，and diuided among te the gue？ts，and mafhed the feet of thore that fate at the table，as is Thewen in the Chapter of Feafls．The particulars in which it differed from other Feafts，are deliuered in thore intertogatories，or queftions propofed in way of Catechifme，by fome child at the time of eating their Paffeuser，or rather in the anfwer madevnto the childbu him that bleffed the table．The quertion from all othernigh How different is this night but once in ernght？for all other nights wee warn was ended，wathed his Dins Chrift when Supper nights wee eat either leapes feet）in all other bread，inthis onely leatened or vnleatened eat any fort of eat any fort of herbs，in this night bitter herbs：in
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all other nights we eat and drinke either fitting or lying, but inthis we lye alorg. Then he proceedeth to declare, that the paffeouer was in refpect that the Lord pafjed oure the houfes of their fathers in $\mathbf{E}$. gypto. Secondly, he heldvp the bitter herbs in his hand and faid, Thefe bitter herbs which we eat, are in refpeat that thee Eypptians made the lines of our Fatbersbitter in e Egypt. Thirdly, he held vp the vnleanened bread in his hand, and faid, This vnleanened bread which we eat, is in refpeet that the dough of our Fathers had not time to be leanened, when the Lord appeared vneo them, and redeemed them out of the hand of the enemy. This kinde of Catectrijing they fay is commanded, Exod. 12. 26. They called it $77 \times 1 \cdot \operatorname{Hgghada}$, (i.) Annunciatio, the declaration or fheroing forth of the Pafjeouer. Hence the Apofle burroweth his phrafe; As often as ye thall eat this bread, and drinke this cup, yce thall declare, or hew forth, the Lords death, I Corinth.

## II. 26.

Concerning this Lambe they are charged thus, Vpon the tenth of $A$ ibib cuery one fhall take a Lambe for an houre, a male of the firlt yeare, withoutbleminh, and this he kepr vntill the fourteenth day of the fame moneth, Exod.12.3.\&c. The Lamb: it was either of fheep, or goats. For an boufe:the whole body of the Ifraelites was dinided into twelue tribes, the tribes into families, the fumilies into houfes, if the boufe were too few forthe eating of the Lamb, then the next neighbour ioyned with them in the eating thereof. The whole company was termed paursic, in the fame fenfe Saint Murkevferh ountion, and wexand, Marke the fixth. All thefe words fignifie a fo-
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Of their Palfeozer. ciety, orcomplay of guejts, fomany as can fit at ine fame table: the latter word proferly fignifieth, a bedin a gardien, and trins in the Goppelt, the whole multitude fitting on the graffe, feeme to be compared vnio a Gur den, and their fellerall focieties or comparies, vnto fo many beds in the garden. The num-
fylocoph. de bella ind.Iid.7.C.17. \& Hebraice y - 5 F Flbuman ni. S unl quidiIt ingunntimicy FiLi:mann or Filis axcilui, Filisum auns interpretansur, quianmom ขrumagit, nec minor, nec maior Fi lium zers annifat, qui efion anmoprino, licet ewm now-damme and frenen dayes under the dum abselueris. Sed Jball bee accepted for anoffering and thenceforth, it sker Efre negat ablque Cabalapoffe Lord, Leuit. 22.27. And the reafon of this Law,
fciriqust fit flizs Iome of the Hebreves haue thoughtto firi ques fo filus Come of the Hebremes haue thought to be, k becaufe
 Fas addisitimn ly perfeet, vntill a Sabbath had pant oner it: Moreofrae pragogicum, lier, if it werean houreelder than a yeere, it was vnquale in ทำก on fimilibus. hVid. Lexit 2s. ber of communicants in this Pajchallfociety ${ }^{f}$ was netuer leffe than ten, normore than twenty. It followeth in the text, $A$ male, to note the mafculine and peirleffe vertue of our Sauiour, whom it didtypically fhadow forth. \& of the firftyeare; which phrafe they interpret thus, That the Lambe, after it was eight dayes old and forward, was allowable to be uffered tor the Pafteouer, but not before; becaufe it is faid, when a Bullacke, or a Sheepe, or a Goe is brought forth the it brought forth, then it jball bee feuen dayes under the ly perfect, vntill a sabb lawfull, becaure it is faid, A male of the firftyeare. without blemift, as well to admonifh the Ifraelites, of their owne perfonall integrity, as to fignifie the abfolute perfection of him who was in truth the Lambe of God. Aud this he kept till the fousteenth 1. Hoppiniay. de o- d.y of the fame moneth. The Rabbines ${ }^{\text {I }}$ affirme foure giget. sap. so caufes of this: Firft, becaufe otherwife through the multitude of bufineffes, at the time of their departure, they might forger the Pafcbull Lamb: Secondly, that in this foure dayes fpace they might haure the
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more certaine knowledge of the Lambs perfeetion. $T$ hirdly, that by beholding the Lamb folong before theireyes, they might haue the better occafion in that (pace, both to recount with themfelues Gods mercy in their deliucrance from $E g y p t$, andallo to inftruet and Carechife their children in that point:for which refpect, it was areceined traditionamong fe the Iews, that during the fpace of thefe foure daies, the Lambe was tied totheirbed polts. Laftly, that in this time of preparation, they night throughly fie and addreffe themfelues for the oblation.

The time when the Pafohall Lambe was to be llaine, was at the Euening, Exod.12.6. or as the originallreads, betweene the two euenings. Here Diuines moue the queftion, what part of the day fhould be vnderftood by this phrafe. Some ditinguifh the troo Eueningsthus; That there was ${ }^{k}$ Vefpera Solic, ${ }^{k}$ Aben Era the Evening of the Sunne, namely, when the body of Exod. 12 . the Sunferteth, And Veferaluminis, the Euening of the light, when the beames and Thining of the Sunne is alfo gone from off the carth: The face or interims betweene the fe two Euenings, is thought to be one. houre, and the third part of an houre; in which fpace of time, they fay, the Pafchall Lamb was flain. ${ }^{1}$ Others admit a greater latitude, and diftinguinh
 uening of the Sunne declining; and $V$ eßpera occafus, the Aborb.cap. 5 . Euening of the Snnne fetting; and their meaning is, that the Palfeouer was offered in this inter-mediare time, betweene noone and night. This latte, anfwer feemeth moft agreable to the truth. Firft, becaufeby this fpeech we muft vnderftand a latitude of time, wherein might be offered not only the Paffeouer, wife.was commanded, Inter diwas Veperas, Betrcene the two Euenings, Numb.28.4. Nuw this might be
 3zckete capo.9.68 offered in the tormer part of the aftemoone. $m$ The manner of theirlacrificing ia regard of this time we linde thus regifred; if we count the houres according to our verall computation; the dirily facrifice of the Euening Lambe, was vfially la betwecne two and three, it was affered betweene three ard foure: vpon the Pafferever-eise it was ilaine betwecne one and two, it was offiredabout halfe an houre before three: But if then: Pafiouer eezehapnecitobe the fame with their Sabbatb-eue, then the daily Euening facrifice was Jlinime betweene welue and oac, it was Qfferd halfe an houre before two; and, fiterward the Pafleozer. Sccondty, this agreeth with the oblation of the true $P$ afonall $x$ amb, for as the time of his crudifying began in the third houre of the day, with the daily morringsficrifice, Mark:15:25. Solt ended at: theninth houre, Mark. $15 \cdot 34$. Which was the tinse of their or linary cuening facrifice ; but vpon their Paffer rei-cite it was the time when their Pafonall Lismberais ß.ine.
Furchernote, the Limb mars to be caten with bitier berbs: the reafon of this command, is, that thereby they might be moned to thand fulnene towards God; for their delincrance fron the exyption boxdige, in which theirliues were made bitter vnto them, Evod I. 14.
rysiofos lifenfis fo.118.

- jc.a'izeride cuená. Simforib.0.9:37.

The fe litite herbs thicy diid dip in a certaine fauce thicke like mutard, called * Ch. rofect; a which thicte fance (fay they) was amerroriall of the elty, wine they wronght in ergyyt. ${ }^{\circ}$ This is thonght

## Oftheir Pallcouer. Isв. $3^{\circ}$ <br> T23

offome to be that, wherein chrif dipped the fop, which he gaue to Iud.s. Of his fauce the ebreirocs write thus; ${ }^{\mathrm{P}}$ They vfed to dip the vnleatened ${ }^{\mathrm{P}}$ atamon. de forbread in that fauce ch.rofeth, and to cat, then they mentac. 8.5 .5 . dipped the bitter herbs in the $\mathcal{C b}$ arofth, and didear
 of dry fogs, or of rayfens, which they famped and 0.7 . . . 1 , put vineger thereto, and featonedir, and made it ilke ciay, and brought it vnto the table in the night of the Fafforuer.

The orher feuen dayes foilowing the fourteenth of Nifan, were in Arictneffe of fpeech, a diftinct Featt as is aboue thewed, namely, the Feaflof vileauened bread, becaufe in that fpace oftime, ${ }^{5}$ no lea- r нкisw wari ve. wened bread ought to be found in their houfes. reperraia ix Romame ${ }^{E}$ Their degrees of preparationto thisfeaft are foure. Flamine Diali. I. Expurgatiofermenti, the cleanjing of all their hourA.Gell.soch A:goldituffe and veffels vito which leauen might itc.lib. 10.6 cap. 15 . haply cleaue; and this was done two or three dayes fudaicicap. 5. .pas. before the Pafleower. 2. Inquifitiofermenti, the fearch. 3 . ing after leauen chorowout all the roomes of their houfes euen to the moufe-holes: this they did with a waxen candle, and as Buxtorfius noteth, vpon the night before the Paffeouer; and * Scaliger deliuereth" scalig. de emens. it in otherwords to the fam purpofe, namely, that this fearch was made, Inernit quartadecimavfquead quartam horampoft ortum Solis, ( $i_{0}$ ) At she beginning of the fourteenth day unt ilit he fourt b boure after the rifing of the Sunne. Now the beginning of the fourreenth day was the night going before, for the Iewes in the computation of their Holy-dayes, counted their day from cuen to cuen. 3 . There was Exterminatio, or Conflagratio formenti, A burning of the lea-

## $124 \quad L_{1} 1$ B.3.. Of their Paffeouer.

uen; and this was done from the fourth of the fixth hoire, about dinner-time; at which time followed the laft degree, which Scaliger hath omitted, name! $y$, Execratio ferment $i$, the curr ing of the leauen, in this
 cap. 12.pag.335. thing is in my pomer, wherther it were fene of me, or not feene, wherber cleanfed by wee, or noo cleanjed, let all that be faatereed, defroyed, and sccounted as the duff of the earth.
In cafe any dideat vnleaucued bread thofe feluen dayes, the penalty was, that fuch a foule fhould be

## "Vid E.Figeix Exadisz

 cost offfrom Ifrael, Exodus I 2. I 5. Which penalcy hath amongit Expofitors a " threcfold interpretation. Some vnderftand thereby firch a man to be cut off from his beauenly inheritance: others, that God would cut off fuch from she liuing by an ontimelydeait: Others, that he fhould dye mithout children, leauing no polterity behinde him : to this *Wal Pegasibid. purpofe their pronerbe is, ${ }^{2} A$ man childle fele is lifeleffe.Of thefe three the firt is molt probable in this place, though the fame Text may admsit the fecond interptetation in other places of Scripture, as is declared in the Chapter of Circumcifion. NotwithItaniking herel let the iudicious Reader determine, whetber thefe words doe not imply befides the fecreta ations of God touching the foulce of fuch a delinquent, a direction vnro the Clurch, how to deaie with parties thins offit nding, by cenfuring them wïth Excommunication, which kinde of cenfure elfe where the Scripture calleth A cafting out of the Synagogite, Iobn 16.2. A fpeech much like this, A sutting off from Ifrael.

## of their Pa $\int$ feouer.

2.18. 3.

Three things may be here demanded. Firf, who killed the Pafchall Lumbe? Secondly, where it was killed ? Thirdly, where it was eaten? Firl, it was kiled by the Priefts, 2 Chro.35.6. Secondly, it was killedater the firt time in the court of the $T$ emple, the place which God had chofen, Deateron. 16. 6. $y$ Thirdly, The owner of the Lambe tooke it of the Prieff, and did cat it in his owne houfe at Ierufalem. chrift with his Difciples kept the Paffeower in an upper chamber at Ierufalem.

It may furtherbe demanded, whether the Pafleower confifted of two fuppers, ons immediat!y lucceeding the other? Some affirm it, and their reafons are thele: Firft, fay they, the Paffeouer was eaten: fanding , but Chriff vect another gefture. This argument of all other is the weakeft, for chriff vfed the gefture of lying on his body, as well in the eating of the Paffenser, as at the confecratiou of the Sacrament, and the Iemes generally after the firt intitution in all their Paffeouers, ved rather this pofiture of their body, than the other of fanding, in token of reft and fecurity, as appeareth in the Chapter of Feafls. Secondly, they fay, the Pafchall Lambe, was wont to be rofted, but in the laft Paffeouer, which our Saniour celebrated, there was Iuscui intingebatur panis, Broth into which bee dipped the bread. This realon is as weak as the former, becaufe though there was a command to cat the Pafchall Lambe rofted, yet there was no prohibition to ioyne their ordinary fupper with the eating, thereof, and that might admit broth : butas it is thewen abulue, the matter into which the fop was dipped, was thoughe to bee the fauce charoferto. Thirdly, they vige, Chrift arofe and wathed his Dilciples feer, and afte: that he gaue Iudus the Sop, which muft argue a fecond fiteing duwne. This foretelling his Difriple., that one of them fhould betray him, is likewile by $S$ siant $L$ uke recitedafter the confecration of the $S .10$ crament. This is the ftrongef argument, and yer nat offufficient validity, becaufe by a kinde of $P$ olepsts, or anticipation of time, it is not vnufuall in the Scripture to relate that firt, which according to the truth of the Hitory, thould be laft. Thus io's I 1 . mention is made of Mary which annointed the Lord, yet her annointing of him followeth in the chrift, Saint Matthem, and Saint Markerecitedbezonpan pix the Iepers haue a pronerbe the Sacrament. Whence
 5.7975 Salom. Laribions G64.6.3. rises in Seriptura. That Nonefeprises aut pofe. Atricty yrged in S That firftand laft, muft nor be fwers, confider Sow ipture. Together with thefe anfons for fond how improbable it is, that ten perfecond Supetimes they were fo few) fhould cat a firftyere, dentalfo, by which might bean yeare o'd. It is eui. cuffome on the P P Barabus, that it was a receiued prifoner or other. Concerning toofeand inlarge one coniecture is threefold Some theaf on hereof, the to haue beene vfed in Some thinke this cuftome of Saul, when thi memory of Ionathan the fon handsof his Father, People refcted him from the of was, that the feaß greater ioy and gladneff te celebrated with the thrinke, it was done in : Others more probably remembrance of their deliuerance

## -of their Paffeoner.

## linerance from the exyptian bordages.

Againe, here is to be oblerued, thatthe leves fpeaking of the ir Pajeouer, did fometimes feake. according to the ir cinill computation, wherein they. meafured their dayes from Sun-rijing, to sun-rijby; fometimes according to their facred compthation, which was from Sun-fet to Sun-fet. This fertiech for: the reconciliation of that, Numb.12.18. which feemeth to make the fourteenth chay of the firft mo-
 tellesth vs that they numbred eight dayes for that ${ }^{2}$. $69 \mathrm{p} .5 . \mathrm{Pag}, 65 \%$ fealt. In like manner the Difciples are faid to come unto Chrift the firf day of whleawened bread, faying vntohim, Where wilt thouthat we prep ne for thee to eat the Pajleouer, Mat. 26.17 . as it the firgt dyy of zinleazened bread, were before she Paffeouer. All the fe are true according to the computation of thcir ciutll diyes, thoughaccording to thecomputacic nof their Yolyod aryes, the Feaft of onte.asened bxead! began the fil eenth day, and continued feuen dayes only, and the Palfeoure was before the Feafo of vinleaucned bread.

In thelait place we nuft know, that there was permited a ficond Pa four to thofe who could not be partakces of the fril, by, eaf neither of their vndeanne ffe by a deadbodv, or of theirfarre diftance froin the place where it was to be offered. This was. to beoberued in the fecondmoneth, the fourtect: day thereof, aceording to all the ordinances of the
 of a fecond Paff: aser, to thofe that were in a iourney farre off: The Hebrew of this wod fare off hath extraedinary frich omer ir, for fpectallconfederation. He:cb:

Hereby the Lord might intinate that we Gentiles which were vncleane, even dead in trefpafies and finnes, and farre off, Ephef. 2. I 3. Thould be made nigh by the bloud of chrift, and fo partakers of him the fecond Paffeover. Of this legallordinance the Hebax Pbfachucap.s. §.8,9. bremes fay; ${ }^{\text {b }}$ What is this iourney furre off: fifteene miles without the walls of Ierufalem, who fo is diftant from Ierufalem, on the fourteenth day of the the firf moneth, fifteene miles or more, when the Sunne rifeth: loe, this is a iourney farre off; if leffe than this, it is not a iourney farre off, forlhee may cometo Ierufalem by after midday, though he go or foot, eafily. The agreement betweene the Pafchall Lambe \& Chrift tandeth thus Chrifis our Pafieuer, I Cor. 5.
The Pafchall Lamb was, I One of the flocke.
2 Withoutblemifh.
Chrift was, 1 Perfect man, $I$ oh. I.
3 To bee facrificed and 3 Sufferedand died. rolted.
4 His bones being not 4 They brake not his legs broken.
5 About the euening. legs, Ioh. 19.33.

6 Their doore poits were
to be fprinkled with theblond.
7. That the punifhing
Angell might paffe Angellm
uerthem.
8 It was eaten in 9 The whole Lambe.

5 In the end of theworld, Heb.9.26.
of their Pentecoff. LIB. 3.

## 10 Withoutleanen.

II With bitter herbs.

10 Without hypocrifie, 1 Cor.s.
13. In hafte, and in the 12 VW ithan earneft and manner of Trauellers. longing expectation of life eternall.
23 Only by the Circum- ${ }^{2}$ Only by the faithfull, cifed. ICor.II.

## Char. V. Of their Pentecenfo

THis Feaft was called mivituequ, the Penstceft; which word fignifieth the fiftieth day; becaufe it was obferued vpon the ffftiet day after the fecond of the Pafseouer, which was the 16. of Nifan. Herc in chefirit place we mult note, that the fourteenth of $r i j i a n$ was ro munce, the $P$ affeoser, the fifteenth iegni x nixe, the Feaft of the Paffeouer, or


 allone, as if it had beene faid, the morrow after the Feaft of the Pafcoure; for in thafe feafts which confifted of many dayes, the fif if and the laff were termed Sabbaths. Now thele fifty dayes were in truth the appointed time of their harueft, their harueft being bounded, as it were, wih two remarkeable dayes, the onebeing the beginning, the other the
 $S$ cond

130 Lib. $3^{\circ}$ cond of the Paffeouer; the end was $\pi$ niterosi, the fiftices day after, called the Penteco $\neq$. Vpon the ritsees, then - saatigde emend. they offered a Sheafe of the firtt fruirs of their barsemp.lib.6.
 रीf - xj isla seei-
 dib.3.cop.10. ueft, Leuit. 2 3. 10. Vpon the Pentecoft, then they offered two maue-loaues, Lenit. 23.17 the /heafe being an oblation offered in the name of the whole Congregation, whereby all the after-fruits thorowout the Land were fanctified, ${ }^{\text {e }}$ it being from thence afterward law full, and not before, to reape the corne, the two loauesbeing not only an Eucharifticalloblation, but alfo a token of the harueft finifhedandended. In the fecond place we are toknow, that they did count thefe fifty dayes, by numbring the weekes from the oddripa, whence it was called a Fraf of woeeks The manner how they counted the weekes, was according to the number of the Sabbatbs following the diditpe. Thus the firt Sabbath following, they

- Scalig.ib. 6 de sir end.6exip.pag. 260.
- Plin lb.18.cap. 18.illud ipsud corsfirmat Les Afer te Mis cuitinThs, Deferipb.Afr.c lib.8. eng 4.
 the third, sd meemsions; \&ic. So that ${ }^{d}$ all the wockes and Sabbaths, during the time of the Pentecoft, as the firflefecond, third, and fourth, \&xc. tooke their denomination from the diriga: which obferuation giveth light to that of sainturuke 16. I where there is mention of Sabbath termed sateeveeq?ov, that is, the fecond fir $(\mathrm{S}$ Sabbarb, and by it is meant the Sabbath next after the fixteenth of Nifan, which was the sotipx. Seeing that thefe fifty ailyes did meafure ont the time of their haruelt, it will not be amiffe to obferue the difference betwixt their haruef and ours, which chiefly confifted in their anticipation of time; forboth the Canaianites and the Exyptians began their harme fabout the e firfo of Aprill, and it was quite finifhedin May.


## Сяав. VI.

 The Eeaff of Taberriacles.THe a Grecke word vfed to expreffe this fefti-

 Tabernacles. The reafon of both is, becaufe all the oxwooqario. cime of this Feaft, which was full feuen dayes (from ${ }^{6}$ ghag baik ${ }^{2}$ in the fifteenth of Tifri, vatill the one \&twentith therof) the people remainect in $T$ abernacles and boothes made of boughes, in manner of Arbours or Bowres, yet fo, that that the firf day of thofe feuen, and the laft, were aftera more feciall manner to be obferued as holy conuocations.

Concerning thefe boothes, the Iewes write thus: \$ They ought to be made in the openaire, not within doores, or vnder the fhelter of a tree, they ought not tobe couered with cloaths, nor to be made too clofe with the thickneffe of the boughes, but with fuch tholes that the Sunneand the ftarres might bee feene thorow them, and the raine likewife defeended thorow them. In thefe they ought to dwell thofe feuen dayes, as in their houfes; they ought to furnifh them with houfhold ftuffe, to lay vnder them, and fleepe vnder them, onely in rainy weather then they had liberty to eat and fleepe in their houfes, vntill the raine was over-paf. Feeble perfons alfo, which could not endure the fmel of the earth, were permitted to ftay at home. In Nchemiahs time they made their boothes, fome $v p$ on the roofe of their houfes( for their houfes were fome in their ftreets, Nehem.8.15.
Plutarch making mention of this feltiuity, faith,
 Pr. 4 jrob.cm. 5 .

## fE.Fag.Luyio23.

 boughs, but the Srripture reckoneth vp foure difina sinds, Leu. 23 -40.which are thoughtrobe, r. The Citrinetree. 2. The Palme tree. 3. The Myrtle tree. 4. The willow of the brooke. ${ }^{\text {E }}$ The Rabbinesteach, that euery man brought cuery morning his burden of the boug hs of thefe foure trees, otherwife he fafted that846"thichos. day. And this burden they termed ${ }^{\text {B }}$ Hofunna: inallufion vnto this the people cutting downe branches from the trees, and frewing them in the way when our sauiour did ride into rerufalem, cried, faying, Hofanna to the Son of D auid, Matth. 2.1:9.Plutarch fcoffing the lews, compares this fealt with that drunken feftivall in the honour of Bacchos, in which the Bacchides ran vp and down with certain iancelings in their hands, wrapped about with iuy; called svpori, \& in this refpect he termeth this feaft of the rews sugoosocius, A: bcaring about of thefe Thyrf\%. That feaft which the Athenians serme Eipenquin, was not much vnlike.

Moreoner, on the next day after this fealt, they

 9 calender. Pe 1500 throw of Iericho: for which reafon, or elfe becaufe that Palme branches were the chiefe in the bundle, it was called Dies Palmarum, Palme Feaf.

Concerning the reafon of this feaft: Someare of opinion, that it was inftituted in memory of shat protection which the Lord vouchfafed the if raelites by the cloud, when they trauclled thorow the wilderneffe, vnelerthe madow of which they

## The feaft of tabernacies. Lis. 3.

«tauclled, as vider a fafe booth or tent. 0 akelos in his Chaldee Paraphrafe, feemeth to incline to this opinion. Where the Hebrew readeth; That your pofferity may krow, that I baue made the children of Ifrael to dwell in boothes, Leuit $23 \cdot 43$. The chaldee rendreth it, That your pofferity may know, that I baue made the children of Ifrael to dwell in the !badow of clouds. ${ }^{*}$ Others think it was initituted as a folemme thank\{gining vnto God for their vintage, which was gathe- $k$ theon red in at that time of the yeare, thence is it that they conceiue thofe Pfalmes of Dauid, which are intitulled ภnnา 5 y pro torcularibus, to haue beene compofed for this Feaft. Others fpeake more probably, whoaffigne the caufe to be in memory of their forefathers dwelling in tents and tabernacles; the text is cleare, Lenit.2:3.43.

The facrifices which were offered thefe feuen dayes, are prefcribed, $N u m b .29$. from the thirteenth verfe to the thirty fourth, where we thall read enery day the like facrifice, but onely with this difference, that vpon the firft day they offered thirteene young bullockes, vpon the fecond twelue, vpon the third clewen,' and fo forward, ever diminifhing the number by one. ${ }^{1}$ The reafon of which diminution, the 1 Hopiniar.de oYewes deliucr to be thus: the whole number of bul- rig. buinusfef lockes tobe offered at this folemnity was feuenty, according to the languages of the feuenty Nations, (for whom, as they teach, thefe facrifices were performed) fignifying therby, that there would be a diminution of thofe Nations, vntill all things were brought vnder the gouernment of the Mefjias, who was the expectation and Hope of the Gentiles.

The two and wentieth of the moneth $7 i f r i$, was

## ${ }^{5} 34$ Li B. 3. Thefeaflof tabernacles.

 in truth a diftinct feaft tas appcarech, Nebem. 8. I8. bur yet becaure this immediately followed the feaft of $\dot{T}$ aberracles, it hath beene alwayes counted the der ffip Tabernatis were termed Hofannosh, from the vfuall acclamati$V$ iditremction." ons of the people, whiles they carried the bourgh vp 7.37.
a Buxtorfinab. हгеніаныт.9.253.

- Tremei.Iob. 7. 37.:x Talmud. and downe.And this eighth day wascalled Hof Hunna Rabba, che great Hofanna, or the grear day of the feaft, Iobn 7.37. n Vpon this day they did reade the lafín Section of the Law, and likewife began the firf, left they might otherwife feeme more ioyfull in ending their fections, than willing to begin them. ${ }^{\circ}$ V pon this day alf, by the inflitution of the ProphetHaggaus, and Zacharie, and fuch like Propheticall men, they did with great folemnity and ioy, bring great ftore of water from the river shiloab to the Temple, where it being deliuered vnto the Prieffs, it was powred vpon the Altar together with wine, and all the people fung sthat of the Propber, E.F.12-3.With ioy Sball yee draw water out of the well of faluation. Our Sauiour is thought to haue alluded vnto this, in that fpeech which he vfed on this very day, Ioh , 7-38. He that beleenceth in me, out of his belly Saall fow riuers of waters oflife.
It is worth our noting alfo, that wheras God commăded the obferuation of this feaft on the fifteenth of the feulenth monch $T$ ifri: Teroboanm, that he might workc in the people a forgetfulneffe of the true wor-

 the eighth moneth on the fifteenth day thereof, ${ }^{P}$ which is thought to be this very fealt of Taberna-

Сhap,

#  

## Сhap. VII.

Of the Feafi of Trumpets, axd their New-Moones.

FOr the vnderfanding of the time when this Feaft was to be obferved, wee munt note the moneth Tifri was the fiuenth moneth, accoiding to their facred Computation, and therefore it is commanded to be celebrated the firt day of the $\sqrt{e}$ uenth moneth, Leuis.23.24. But according to theis ciuill Computation it was their firft moneth, fo that this fealt may be termed their New-yeeres day. The firf day of every moneth had its folemnities. Firfl, then they repaired to the Prophets for the hearing of the word as on other Sabbaths. Wherefore wilt thot goe to him to day? It is neither new Moone nor Sabbath day, 2 King.4.2 3. Secondly, it was then vnlawfull to buy and fell:When will the new Moone be gone that we may fell corne? Amos 8.4.Thirdly, they had then fpecial facrifices oner and aboue their daily facrifices.
Notwithitanding, this feaft of Trumpets differed from other New Moones. Firft in refpect of their facrifices;in their ordinary New Moones, they offered (befides the daily facrifice) troo bullockes, oneram, feuen Lambs for burnt offerings; with their meat and drinke offerings, and a goat for a a inne offering, Num. 28. I1. 15. But at this New Moone which was the beginning of theirveare, they offered all the forefaid facrifices, and oner and befides them, one bullocke,

## 

 locke, one ramm, and deuen Lambes for burnt offerings, and a goat for a finnco offering, Num .29. 1.6. SecondIV, in other Bew Moones they blowed no Trumpets: sheivalis ris vue in this they blowed af fom the Sunne-rifing till nigbt: ท Whence we learne what new Moone it is that $D_{a-}$ uid fpeaketh of, Pfalm. 8 r . 3 . Blow the Trumpet in the nen' Moone, in the time appointed at ourf faff day.The reafon in generall of this blowing and great noife of Trusipict, , I ake to haul beene to make their Nevw yeeres day the more remarkeable, becaufe from it all their deeds and contracts bore date, and their Sabbaticall yeeres and I ubiles were counted rlience: but why it ti:ould be made remarkable by the found of Trumpets, or Cornets, there are three coniectures.
b.Eag.Luis.23. Firff; the ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Hebrewes thinke it was done in memory of Ifaak his deliuerance, and that they did
> - Eaflimpal. 80. therefore found rams hornes, becaufe a ram was facrificed in ftead of him. Secondly, ${ }^{\text {c B B }}$ afl is of opinion that the people were hereby put in minde of that day, wherein they received the Law in Mount Sinai with blowing of Trumpets. Thirdly, others think it was to put them in remembrance of the refurrection, which fhall be with the found of Trumpets, He Jbalf fexd his Aigels with a great found of a Trumpet, Mat. 24.3 I .
d Scaligodesmend. There are d threc things confiderable in New icmp.pag. 36.16 . pag.005. Moones. Firlt, rivs $\theta$, the coniuntion of the slooxe with the Sume. Secondly, izavjaruos, the waxing of the Moorc. Thirdly, xieue unvoнof's, the prime of the is soone. In the firt it was inite darke: in the fecond it didopen it elfe toreceize the Sumne-beames: In the laft it


## Tranflation of Feafls.

LIB. 3.
Becaufe in all thefe three degrees of the change there was a kinde of mutuall participation both of the oldand new Moone; "Hence the Iewes obferue two dayes, namely, the laf of euery Moneth, and the forft day of the next following. Now becaufe the thirtieth was the laft in their longeft moneths; Hence enofpis.de Orig. fepr.c.4.p.15. Horace calleth thefe laf dayes, Tricefima Sabbata: The firft daies they ternied Neomenias,new Moones.

For certaine reafons the Iewes vfed a kinde of change or tranflation of daies, which tranflation, though it were of ufe in other moneths alfo, yet the greatelt carewas had in tranflating the beginning of their yeere, or their firf day in their moneth $T i f r i$, and he that thall diligently calculate thefe changes, Thall finde that all other trampations elepended on this firlt.

Tranflation of dayes was ${ }^{f}$ threefold. Firft, $L z$ - fssalig. de emsudo nary: Secondly, Politicke. Thirdly, Mixt.

The realon of $L$ unary tranflation, was, that they might not obferue the feaft of the New Moone, vncill the old were quite ouer-paft. For the vnderfanding of this, note thefe three rules.

Firlt, the Hebrewes counted their holy daies from night to night, beginning at fix of the clocke; fo that from fix of the clocke the firf night, till the next noone, were iuft eighteene houres.
Secondly, alwayes before the new Moone, there is a coniuntion betweene the Sunne and the Moone, during this coniungion the is called Luna filens, by reafon of her darkneffe, and all this time there is a participation of the old Mooke.

Thirdly, when the coniunction was ouer-part before noone-tide, namely, in any of thofe firft 18.
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houres, then the new Moone was celebrated the fame \% Munfer. Calend. day. But if it continued but one minite after Heb.f.46. twelue of the clocke at noone, then the fealt was iranflated to the day following, becaufe otherwife they fhould begin their holiday in time of the old Mone. Atd thistranflation they noted with this abbreviation hr , that is, $\mathbf{1 8}$. becaufe of thofe 18 . houres which occafioned it.
The reafon of Politicke tranflation, was, that two Sabbaths or feaft dayes might not immediatly fol\$ Msunfor. Calend. low each other, ${ }^{\text {h }}$ becaufe, fay they, it was vnlawfull thofe two daies, to dreffe meat, orbury the dead, and it was likewife inconuenient to keep theat dreffed, or the dead vnburied two dayes. Yet here two exceptions munt be remembred, when the meeting of two Sabbaths could not be auoided.

Firt, when the Paffeoser, or the fifteenth day of Nifanfell on Saturday, for then the Pentecoft muft needs fall on Sunday.
Secondly, when the Pafleoucr fell on Sundiy, for then their Paffeouer immediatly followed their woekely Sabbath.

1нefoiniart. de O. vigqfef:.p.6.

The firf ${ }^{1}$ A tuthor of this Politike tranlaiion, was a certaine chiefeman amongft them, named Eleazar, three hundred and fifty yeares before Chrift his Natiuity.
The fenerall fpecies or kinds of Politike tranglationwere five. The firt, $77{ }^{\prime} A d u$. The fecond, 172 Badu. The third, Yヵx Gabaz. The fourth, ךコษ Zabad. The fifth. 7xk Agu, For the vnderfanding of thefe abbreuiatures, we mult know that in thefe made words the letters only fand for numbers, and are applied to the feure dayes of the weeke thus;

Tranflation of Feafts: $\mathcal{L} \mathrm{x} .3$.

1. Sunday:= 2. Munday. \& 3. Tuefdiy. © 4. wednefdiy. . 5. Thurfday. 7 6. Fridig. 7. Saturday: which was the Iewes Sabbach.

Their rules touching Politike tranflation food thus. ${ }^{2}$ Firft, that neither their New yeeres day, ${ }^{2}$ Adsen which wasthe firt of the moneth Tifri ; neither their $F$ caff of Tabernacles, which was the fifteenth diay of the fame moneth, fhould be celebrated on $A \dot{d} w_{2}$ that is, on Sunday, or Wednefday, or Friday. Not on Sunday, or Friday, becaufe then the weekely Sabbarh mult needs concur with it, either going immediatly before, or following after : not on wednefday, becaufe then the Feaft of Expiation, which is the tenth of that moneth, would fall on Friday, the day going immediatly before their weekely Sabbath. This inftance is only concerning the firlt of Tifri, which is called the Feaft of $T$ rumpets: but it holdeth alfo by way of confequence in the fifteenth day, which is the Feaft of Takernacles, becaufe the fifteenth muft alwayes neceffarily be the fame day of the weeke that the firft is: Therefore if the firt be not $A d w$, the fifteenth cannot be $A d u$.

The ${ }^{\text {b }}$ fecond rule, was, that the Paffeouer fhould ${ }^{\text {bBadis. }}$ notbe obferued on Bads; that is, on Munday, wednefday or Friday.

The ${ }^{\text {c third rule }}$, that Pentecof was not obfer- 'Gahaz. ued on Gahaz; that is, on Tuefday, Thur Jday, or Saturday.

The ${ }^{d}$ fourthrule, is, that the Fcaft of Purim, or ${ }^{d}$ Zabado cafing lots, was not obferued on Zabad, that is, on Murday, wednefday, or Saturday.
The effift rule, is, that the Feaf of Expiation eng.
L. 1 b. 3. Tranflation of Eeafos. was not obferued on Agu; that is, on Sunday, Tuef. day, or Friday. Mixtrrampation, is, whenboth the Lumary and the Politicke meet in the changing of daies. And the Tranflation occafioned by this mixture or meeting ofboth thefe two, is two-fold. Firft, simple: And fecondly, Double.
Simple tranflation, is, when the Feafl is tranllated to the next day following. For examples fake; If the Moone changed afternoone-tide on Sunday, here the Feafe nult be tranflated for two reafons : the firt is Lunary, becaufe the point of the change wasafter eighteen bour es; the fecond; Politicke, becaufe the rule Adu forbids sunday to be kept; notwithftanding in as much as the very next day; namely Munday, was oblcrued; I terme this tranflation- impl le. Of this fort was that tranflation which they called Batu takphat.

『 Easu takphat, is word' inuented for helpe of memory, each letter is a numerall, and may be thus refolued, $\begin{aligned} 2.7015 \text {. OPP 589. The }\end{aligned}$ meaning is, that in the yeare following Annum Embolymaum (wherein one whole moneth was engrafted) if the point of the change hapned vpon the fecond day of the weeke, that is, Munday, not before the fifternth houre and the 589 . moment, the Feaft of the New- Moone was tran/lated unto Tuefday. How both the Lunary and the Peliticke tranllation worke in this change, reade Scaliger de emend. lib. 2.p. 87.

Double tranglation, is, when the Feaft is tranflated not to the next, but to fome firther day:a sif the firnt day of the moneth $T i f r i$ fhould happen vpon $S_{i-}$
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curday, here if the Moone hath not ouer-paft her coniunction before the after-nonse, Lunary tranflation remonerh this Feaft till Sunday, becaule of $n$ that is, the eighteenchoures : Poluticke tranflution remouech it till Munday, as appeare th by the rule $A d u$, forbidding Sunday. Of this fort is Gatrad.

7าטג G.Itrad, is a made word, each letter is anumerall, andit may be thus refolued, $x .3 \cdot y=77^{204}$. The meaning thereof is thus: In their common yeare (when an whole moneth is not inferted) if the point of the change happen vpon the third day of the weeke, that is, Tuefduy, notbefore the ninth houre, and the 204. moment of an houre, then the New Moone fhail be tranflated to Thurfday.
Note int the laft place, ${ }^{\text {k }}$ that 1080 :moments make ${ }^{\mathbf{x}}$ Munghr. Calend. an boure.
The Feaft of Tabernacles was obferued in the moneth $\tau$ ifri, and therfore that could not be obferued the morrow after the Sabbath, as appeareth by the rule $A d u$. The Paffeoucr was obferued in the moneth Nifun, and therefore thatmight be obferlued the morrow after the Sabbath, as-appeareth by the sule Badx. If any aske the reafon why the pafferuer might bee obferued the next day after the Sabbath, feeing the Feaflof Tabernucles might not ? I take it to be thus; Allthe after tranlations deperded vpon the firft tran/lation of the firft nerd Moone in Tifri, but that couldnot be fo changed as to preuent all concurrence of two Feafls, and thus to hane their Paffeouer fometimes to follow their sabbath, they thoughe the mof conuenientef or- vented.
This tract of tranflation of ferafts, it feruecth patiIy to open the cuntones afthe lemes: partly to gidic light for the vndertanding of that great difinte amono nivines, whether our Savioir did ancicipate 1spinh.l.z. クom.r. thePaffover. TheGreek Cburch'holds, thathekent (ap 51 peg.I47. a Pafleover by himfelfe with his Difciples, on the thirteenth day of themoneth, when unleauened bread Was not yet tobe vied; and thence ihey do both vir.
my umfermenta. tipanisis ccers Dominica Ecclefia Romame olim non davminit.cafawbors. excicit. $16 . p .465$. - Munfler.in Maib.cap.26. andvroceanccenity m ofleavenedbreadinthe Lords Supper: Butthis opinion we reiect; Firf, becaufe it accordeth not withthetruth of Evangelical Hiftoric. Secondly, becaure it plainly maketh chrift cobeca tranforellor, not filfller of the Law. nOthers fay, thatbecaufe that yearetheir Pafeover fell on Friday; heince the Feaft was trandatedvnto Saturday bythe rule Badu. Theirinference is,that Cbrift kept the fourtecnth day of themoneth, which was Friday, and the lewes kept Saturday. He kept Gods - lorephs Saligac command, they the tradition of their Elders. Lafemend. temp. 16.6 :IV, others more probably hold, that both chrif \& p.7.26. the Ienes did eat the Paffeover the fame day and houre, namely on Friday, or the fourteenth day of the moneth, if we count the beginning of Fridayaccording to the manner of the lenes, from fix a clock at night on Thurfday. Fridaymorning he was iudged, and crucified; and in the after-noonc, abourthree of the clock, when the preparation of the Sabbat b began, he was buried. There layed they Iefur, becaufe of the lemespreparation, Ioh.19.24.

For reconciling the Euangelifts in this point we mult
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mule note thefe particulars, which are more at large proved in the Chapter of the Paffeover. I. The fourtecent $h$ day of the month, on which the $P$ afchal Lamu was caten, was called the firf 1 diy of valeauened $b_{r e a d,}$ the Feaft of vonleazened breaddrew neere, which is called the $P$ aljeover, Luke 22.1 .

The fourteenth day was not holy, but the fifteenth was. In the Fourteenth day of the Grit monneth is the Pafjeover of the Lord, and in the fifteenth day of this moneth is the Feaft, Numb. 2S.16, 17. Some of them theught, becaufe Iudis had the bag, that Iefus had faid vnto him, Buy chofe things that we hane need of againt the $F e$ aft, $\operatorname{Iobn}$ I 3.29.

The Sbeepe and Bullecks offered vpon this day, are cailed the Paffeouer, Deur.16.2. And of this we are to voderftand Saint Iohn 18.28. They themfelues went not into the commor hall, left they thould be defiled, but that theymight eat the Paffeoner. So that this eating of the Paffeouer is not vnderftood of the Pafchall Lambe. But fome may queftion how they fhould hane beene defiled by entring into the common hall ? The anfwer is, that vpon ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{Holy}$ day eues, which they terme dayes of preparation, they held it vnlawfull for their Judges to fit on life and death. Hence it is, that they brought tefus to pilat the Roman Deputy.Secondly, thev wirh-drew themfelues out $n f$ the common hall. Thirdly, for this reafon they faid, It is not lawfull for vs to put any man to death, Ioh. 1S.31. a that is, vponthis, or fuch like day; for though their high Court of Sanedrim were מunct ectiam coproull. put downe at this time, yet all power in cafes bib. 12 in Ionx. cap. of life and death was not taken from them, as ${ }_{12}^{6 . c h y r g f o m m b o m . ~}$ is implied in the words following. It was that cap. $18.123 \% \%$
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 the wrord of Yefus might be fulfilled, which he fpake, fignifying what death he fhould die, verf. 32 . Which text intimateth, that that vnlawfulnefle was vrged by the \{peciall prouidence of God, that hee might be crucified, being iudged by pilat : for if the leres hadiudged, they vfed no fuch kinde of death tcwards malefactors. Againe, Steuen was condemned by them tobe ftoned, AREs 7. And they complained before Felix, that when they were a. bout to proceed againtt Paul according to their owne law, the chiefe Captaine $L_{y}$ sas with violence tooke him out of their hands, AEf 24 . Which argueth, that all power in caufes capitall was not taken from them : But of this fee the Chapter of their capitall punifhments.
## Chap. VIII.

## The Feafi of Expiation.

VPon the tenth day of the moneth Tifri, anfwering to September with vs, the Feaff of Expiation was commanded to be celebrated, Leuit. I3. It was called the fealt of Expiation, becaufe the High Prieft did thea confeffe vnto God, both his ownefinnes and the finnes of the people, and by the performance of certaine rites and ceremonies expiate them, and make anattonement vnto God for them.
The Ceremonies at this time to be performed, concerned cither the people and the Prieft, or the Prieft alone. Thefe which concerned the people and the
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Prieft, confilted in the afflisting of their foules by fafting. Whence this feaft was alfo called ${ }^{2}$ Dies ic- alefplade bell.Iwdo iunij; the faftixg day, ler 0 36.6. Which ferueth for pas.4s. the vidertanding of that, Atis 27.9. Sailing was nowo dangerous becaufe the Feaft was alreadypaft; that is, the Feafl of Expiation was now palt, and winter was at hand.

Thore Ceremonies which concerned the Prieftalone, were two ; Firft, then the High Prieftentred into the Holieft of Holies, which was peculiar vnto this day. Secondly, he being about to facrifice for himfelfe and his houfe, he tooke vnto him a joung Bullocke for a sonne offering, and a Ram for a burnt ofs. fering, putting on his Prieftly robes: after he had waThed himfelfe in water, he took of the Congregation tro be-grats for a jn -offering, and a Ram for a burnt--ffering. The tro be-goats he prefented before the Lord at the doore of the Tabernacle, cafting lo:s which of them fhould be facrificed, which let fcape aliue. This laft was termed the ${ }^{b}$ fcape goat, becaufe the other being flaine, this was fent aliue into the wilderneffe: The Greeke Interpreters call this Goat 之втоитаіор, Malorum depulforem, A defen-


Grazazal,ex Gner. capra, TiN Azal, abijl. R.D. Kinschi, in radic. $^{\text {. }}$ der from exils ; which name the Heathens appli. ed to their Tutelar Gods. They intimated, that when this Scape-goat carried away the finnes of the people intothe Wilderneffe, be likewife carried away all thofe euills, which belonged vato thofe finnes. And for the fecuring the people in this point, the Lord commanded the High Prieft to confeffe in the name of all the people, and to difburden the finnes of the whole Congregation vpon the head of the Scape.goat. The forme of confeßion,
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 according to the relation of the Hebrew Doctors, (P.Faggi Lcaitur6, was this: ${ }^{\text {e }}$ O Lord, thy people, the boufe of Ifrael, they bure finned, they haue done wickedly, they haue tranfgre fjedbefore thee, , befeech thee now, O Lord, pardon the jannes, iniquities, and iranfgrefions, with zobich the people, the boufe of Ifrael hue Jinned, done wickedly, anderanfgrefed before thee, as it is written in the Laip of thy feruant Mofes: That in that day beefhall mike attonement for yous, that bee might cleanfe you, aad-that you might bee cleane from ally jour iniquities before the Lord.The moderne Iewes now (becaufe there can be no proper facrifice, the Temple of Ierufalem being deftroyed) the men they take a white cocke on this day,
a Bxxtorfsyazogo cap.20. the women an ben. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ This cocke they fwing three times about the'Prieftshead, faying; Gallus C allina ceushic commutatioeritpro me; That is, This cocke Sbullbe a propitiation for me. After that they kill the cocke, acknowledging themfelues worthy of death; and then they caft the intrals vpon the top of the houfe, that fome Rauen or Crow might carry both them, and together with them, their finnes into the wildernefle. And left they might feeme to bee mad without reafon, they affigne the caufe why they make choice ofa cocke, at this time, to be this. This word * Gebber in the holy langliage fignifieth a man, in their Tolmud it fignifieth a cocke. Now, fay they, the iultice of God requires, that as Gebber finned, fo Gebber fhould make fatisfaction. From thisfeaflof Expiation it is probable, that the Grecians vfed an yearely Expiation of their Cities, which was performed on this manner : Certaine condemned perfons were brought forth with garlands

The feajt of Expiationo
vpon their heads, in manner of facrifices, thefe they would tumble from fome ftecpe place into the fea, offering them vp to Neptune, "vfing this e sidas invoce
 fema: As if he had faid, Be thou a reconciliation or propitiztion for us. The like kirde of expiation was vfed ameng them in time of any peftilence, or contagious mfection; for the remouall of fuch difeafes, they then facrificed certaine men vnto theit Gods ${ }_{3}$ * Such men they termed xurijpula. Thefe wo words * xasisipunion \&-
 tranilated filth and off foouring: we are made as zapgetruignis, the filth of the world, and as the off-frouring of all rousvouption rois things. The words fignifie properly the filth or sañs, Vetes sibodirt fcraped off mens flooes, or from the pauement of Plut.pag. 48 . the ground: But in 'Budous his opinion, the Apoffle frutark armos.
 among the Heathens. As if hee had faid: Wee are as defpicable and as odious in the fight of the people, as much loaded with the renilings and curfings of the multitude, as thofe condemned perfons, who were offered vp by way of publique expiation.

Now feeing at this feaft principally the High Prieft was a type of Chrif, it will not bee amiffe to note the agreement betwerne the type and the truth.

Lis. 3. Aaron.

The feaff of Expiation.
Chrift.

1. The Figh Prieft went 1. Chriftour High Prieft into the Holieft of alt, went into the Holy Leuit.16.3. place, namely, the heauens, Hebr .9.12.
3:He went once a yeare, 2. He entred once, Hebr. Exod.30.10. 9.12.
2. He with the bloud of 3 . He by his owne bloud, goats and calues, Heb. Hebr.9.12. 9.12.
3. He alone, Hebr.9. 4. Healone hath trodden the wine-preffe, Ifay 63.3.
4. He cloathed wigh his 5. He ordained and feaPrieftly robes, Leuit. led to this office, by his 16.4.
5. He tooke two goats, 6. He tooke two natures: Leuit. 16. the impafibility of his God-head was hadowedby the Scape-goat: his Jufferings in his Man-bood, by the goat that uvas facrificed, Theodoret G2ueft. 12 .in Leuit.
6. The goat did beare the 7. Chrift was made finne peoples iniquities.

Their Sabbaticallyeare. L18. 3. 149

## Сная. IX.

## The Sabbaticallyeare, or Seuenth yearesiefl.

 Senery fenenth day was a Sabbath diy, ro. euery lenenth yeare was a sabbaticall yeare, Leuit. 25 . And as the Sabbath day fignified. that they themfelues were the Lords, and therefore they ablained from their owne worke to due the Lords: So the sabbaticallyeare was to fignific, that. borh they and their land was the Lords.The obferuation of this fealt confiftedchiefly in. two things. Firff, in the not tilling or manuring of their ground, whence it was called ${ }^{2}$ Schabath Haarets, the Sabbath of the Land, Leu. 2 5.6: Secondly; in "rw the Creditors difcharging their debtors, and releafing their debts, and thence it was called ${ }^{b}$ Schemita laiboua, The Lords releafe, Deut.15.2:

Seeing they were that yeare forbial to till theis ground, here queftion might be made what they ihould eat then in the time of this intermiffion?

Anfw. I will command my ble ßing vpon you in the fixt hyeare; ard it thall bring forth fruit for three years; Lexit. 25.20,21. Faith the Lord.

Seeing enery fenenth yeare, debts according to Gods command were to be remitted, fome might demand, whether this might not much endamage their eftates if they did lend? or hardentheir hearis not to lend ?

Anfw. It could nocendamage their citates, for
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it is a moft infallibie Maxime: No man is alofer by Firuing God. Whence the Hebrewes themfelues inrerpret this to be rather Manditum probationis, $A$ command of triall, fuch as Abrabams offerng vp of Jfakk was, which God commanded, not intending that he frould be factificed, but that Abrabams love might be tried; rather than Mandatum obedicntic, $A$ commaxd of obedience. To this purpofe igesketh Aben Efra, interpreting thefe words; soue when there fball bec noppore among you, Deut. 15.4. Aber Efia, Druth o Thar is, faith he, as if the Lord had faid, Krow that $\therefore 4$. that mbich I haue commanded thee, that thou Mould def not exacil of thy brother, will be enedde fe. If all I frael or the greater part obey the voice of God, then there fhal be noporre anong, 7 you, to whom it lhall be needfull for thee to lend: yea all of yourfall be able oo lend to many nations.
The reafons why this Fealt was inflituted, are thoughteto be, Firyf, to teach the peoplet to depend vpon Gods prouidence by faith; for though the owner of the field might gather eluen on that yeare for the maintenakce of himelfe and his Family, Leuit. 25.6. yet he was neither to fow his field, thereby to make his hatueft the greater;nor toliedge his field, of locke vp his corne-yard, thereby to enioy the propriety, burt olet allbe common, andeuery mans band equall in every place. Secondl $\varphi$, they were hereby put in minde of that happy eftate, which Adima eniovesinhis innoccacy, when the erathbringht
4. "is Hopprian dewne bw'osf fis c Talmad 7. S.s.inc $^{2}$ drubstic. forihberincreafervibunt marurino. Lafly, it flazdowirdforththat ererlifize S.bbath witich Weexpuet intlelucancns. d Andfunc coneveurethisto bethe oronnd of $k$ abbi $E$ lis his oninicn, e that the

## Oftheir Iubiles.

Lib. 3.
rorld fbould continue for fix thoufand yeares; bui the fewenth thoufand Jouldbe the great Sabbaticullyeare. The fix thoufand yeares anfwered the fix workingdayes of the weeke, the feumeh anfwered our S.bbath, aecording to that; A thoufand yeares are but as one day with the Lord, $2 \boldsymbol{P c t} .3 .8$. Elias hiswords are thefe; Six thoufand yeares the rorld ball be, and
 void, two thovifand onder the Lan, and two thoufand vider the Me Siuts. The fubitance of this prophecy howfoener we reiect as too curious, yet feeing that a lew Spake it, it may ferne to prone againf them; Firf, That the Me 乃ius is already come: Secondly, That 110 es his Law ceafed at his comming.

## Char. X. ojtheir rubile.

THis is the latt fettiuall which Ged commanded the Iewes; it was celebrated every fiftieth yeare. It is commanded, Leuit. 25.8 . Thoufhale number feuen sabbaths of yeares vnto thee, \& 6 . The Englifh word ubile is deriued from the Hebrem San 10 bel, fignifying a ram; it fignifieth alfo a rams horne. Scuen priefts thall beare before the Arke feuen Trumpets of ranishornes, Iofh. 6.4. where the word lobelim is vfed, and is expounded by the Chaldee P.araphraft, rams hornes. Marbachius is of opinion, that this yeare was called their Iubile, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ from $I u b a l$, the firt inuenter of muficall initri- criar acthin Le. ments, of whom we reade, Gen. 4.2 I. Iuball was the nim. 25.
152 Lis.3. Oftheir rubile. fatber of all fuch as bandle the Harp and Organ: Other Authors deliuer other reafons of the name; but it is moft probable that this yeare was termed the yeare of Iubile, from Iobelim, the rams horns then fornded. There were fiue maine vfes of this Feaft.
Firf $f$, for the generall releafe of feruants. Secondly, for the reftering of lands antd tenements vnto their firt owners, who formerly fold them. Thirdly, hereby a true diftinction of their Tribes was preferued, becaufe lands returned vnto their owners in theit proper Tribe, and feruants to the ir owne Families.
${ }^{-}$Hopiniande O . rig jiff.c.g. Fourthly, Some are of opinion, that as the Grecians did compute their times by the number of Olympiads; the Romans by their. Luffra; the Chrifians by their Inditions: So the Iewes by their Iubile.Laftly, it did myftically fhadow forth that fpiritual Iubile, ${ }^{3}$ which Ghrifians enioy vnder Chrift, by whofe, bloud we haue not onely a re-entry into the Kingdome of beauen, which we had formerly forfeited by our finnes (and this was haply fignified by the $\int$ fraelites 're-gntry vpon their lands formerly fold) but alfothe found of the Gopell which was in this Feaft typed out vnto vs by the noife of Trumpets, is gone thorowout the world. And thus the Lord God bath blowne the Trumpet, as Zacharies phrafe is, Zachary 9. I4. But neither this releafe of feruants, ror reftoring of lands, was ${ }^{e}$ vntill the tenth day of the firft moneth $T$ ifri, at which time it was proclaimed by the found of Trumpets, or rams hornes; the nine firft dayes of this moneth the feruants feafted and made merry, and wore garlands in token of their liberty approaching.

## Сяар. XI.

The Feaflof Purim and the Feajl of Consecrationor Dedication.

P$V r$ is a Perfian word, and fignifieth a lot, whence this Feaft of lots iscalled Purim, (i.) xanpew गieu, A lotery: it began on the fourteenth of $A$ dar, and continued till the end of the fifteenth, Efter 9.21. It was inftituted by Mordecay, in remembrance of the lewes delitiery from Haman, be-fore whom lots were caft day by day, and moneth by moneth, for the deftruetion of them. In thefe two dayes they reade the Hittory of $E f$ fher in their Synagogues, and as of fen as they heare mention of Haman, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ they doe with their fifts and hammers beat vpon the benches and boords, as if they did knocke vpon Hamans head.

The Feaft of Dedication, is termed in the $N e w T$ flament, Eqveivue, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ a Feaff wherein fomething is renewed; becaufe thofe things only are reputed confecrated, which are feparated from their common vfe, and dedicated to fome new and holy vfe. We fhall reade of many things confecrated in the old Teftament; The Tabernacle, the Temple, Priefts, Altars, Veffels, and Garmenes : but there was no anniuerfary or yearely folemnity appointed, to be obferued in remembrance of their Confecration. The Confecration therefore which we now fpeak of, being an yearely fertivall, was the conficration of the Altar appointed by Iudas Maccabaus to be obferued from yeare to yeare, for the fpace of eight dayes, from the fue and twentieth of the moneth Caflcw, which anfwereth in part to our Decenber, I Macab. 4.59. Of this Saint Iohn fpeaketh, and as he mentioneth our Saulgurs prefence there, fo he intimateth the time to be about December. It was at Ierufalem, the Feafi of the Dedication, andit was winter, 1 Iolan 10.22 , ©

The reafon of this Featt, was in remembrance of that great mercy which God thewed vnto his people, in delivering them from the tyranny of Antiochus, and the Idolatry which he had forced vpon them, letting vp the Idollof Iupiter in the Temple of God, and abolinhing the true worthip of God.

Thefe two Feafts are of bumane inflisution, and others might be added vnto them, bur Jittle is to be added or nothing at all to that which is delisered concerning them, in the places. of Scripture where they are mentioned.

## THE

# FOVRTH BOOKE． Of their Idolatry． 

## Char．I．

## The beginnings of 1 dolatry．



He infiniteneffe of Gods Maiefty farre tranfeendeth the capacity of created natures，and if we confule not with Gods owne Oracles，though the fenfe of a Deity may be imprinted euenin an Atheifis heart，yet fo farre thall he be from all right vndertanding of $G o d$ ，that he will adore the creature in ftead of the Creator，and when he hath multiplied the number of his gods，according to the number of the ftarres in heater，and creeping things on earth，yet ftill his heart will be doubtfull， whether he hath wormipped the true God，nay whether the true．God be not ytterly vnknowne． For this reafon the mariners in lomabs fhip cried cuery man unto his god，Ional？1．5．Euerymanto his orne god，and left they might all miftake the true God, they awalen Iowabto call vpon bis God. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Seru, in Gcorgic. This a vncertainty attending Idolatry, caufed the lib.1. Heathens to clofe their petitions with that generall, ${ }^{6}$ Gyrald. Syatagm, D $\ddot{y}$ deeque omnes. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ The Arabians perceiuing the 17.
'Nintiv in $A$. THंvas a'zyळ́?ov. Lactans in Pbilopa tride. vníifficiency of theirknomne gods, dedicatcd their Altars, Ignoto Deo, To the vnkinowne God. At Athens, Saint Paul found an Altar with the fame infrription, AF.17.23. Hence ather neighbour countreyes Were Wont to fweare by bin that wis unknowne at Atbens. From this doubrand diftuftamono the Acheniuns, what God was ? and who bee was? forang another vncertainty amongfthem, as dangerous as the other, diuiding and tharing that vndiuiclable vnity of the God-head, betweene I know not what compiers and equals, fo that they had other Altars mentioning aplurality of gods: dParsmias iv at. " the infcripcion being qeẅv aquésw, The Alrar of the ticbs. Unknowne Gods; vea the compleat andintireinfcription of that Altar which Saint Paul Caw, is thought

 $5 \omega$ मे $\xi_{w}{ }^{\prime}$.Theo- obfermation implicththeir practice tolane fymbophyl. 2 Att. Apof. lized with othcr Heathens in that forcmentioned
17.23 It Hicron. T.U.1.12.

## f Alex.ab Alex. 1ib.6.cap.4.7jraqucloin illum 10. frm.

 clofurc; D $\ddot{y}$ Deaque omnes; O all ye Gods and Goddefeshelpe. This difrunt Ithinke tobe the chicfe reafon why they wornipped thevnknowne God. thongh facny not but the Altars mioht beare this title, to conceale the nanae of their Tutclar God; vnto whofeprotection they bad committed chen: felues, becaufe the Jeathen people generally conceited, that if the gods nane, to whonn they dedicated a Cicy, were knowne, then rhe enemies mighi by fome nagicall-incancarion or
## The beginnings of Idolatry. Lib. 4.

 charme, call him forth, and cuufe him to forfake the City: For the better prenenting of which manner ofenocations, the Tyrians, the Lacedemonians, and other ${ }^{5}$ Nations fettered and chained their gods, that they might not depart. Againe, it might be ${ }^{8}$ Macrob. Satarn. lib.3.cap.9. done in imitation of the Iewes, who about the time of our Sauiour his incarnation, held it vnlawfull to pronounce that effentiall name of God, Ieho uah, and in ftead thereof would reade Adonai. The occafion of this concealment of the name I ebouah, I take to hane beene originally, to prenent the blafpheming of that holy nameanong the Heathens, who had learned from that name to denominate their $I$ dols,
 preuailed, that they corrupted the text for the de. vll.iten Orig. confence therof, Exod.3.15.This is my name $\square \boldsymbol{\zeta} \boldsymbol{\square}$ legnolam, for ewer: ${ }^{i}$ they reade $\square \zeta \ddot{y} 5$, legralam, to ivid. P. Galatin. bee concealed. Though I deny not but that name was alwayes in fome fenfe ineffable : namely,
 and Townes were ineffable, that is, fuch as other languages could not expreffe without circumlo. cutions.

As thofe forementioned Idolatrous names, were nothing elfe but fo many deprauations of the name Iehoua: fo the Originall of many other enfuing kindes of Idolatry, proceeded at firf from a mifconftruction of Scripture. They hauing learned by tradition, that the Sunne, Moone, and Starres, had a kinde of Lordhip and rule ouer day and night, times and feafons: Hence the fupertitious ignorance of thore people deified thofe lights corruption preuailing, their Apotheofis, or god-maling Ceremonies, were extendeci to fublunary creaeures, partly as Symbola, or reprefentatiue fignes of thofe greater and more glorious lights; for this reafon the Chaldeans wormipt Fire : 7 H,and Vr of the Chaldeans, mentioned Gen. I I which fignifieth fire or light, is thought to be the very god of the Chaldeans, though in that place the name $V r$, be applied to fome chiefe City, from the name of the Idoll. Yea, the god of Nabor, Gen. 3 1. 53 . is thought tobe no other ; partly alfo the inferiour creatures were canonized for gods, in way of thankfulneffe for the benefirs receiued from them, for which reafon the fea, the winds, the aire, the earth, and fraits of the earth became deified. At lalk, well-deferving men, nay Crocodiles, Serpents,Rats, Cats, Dogs, Garlicke, and Onians, were reputedgods.

## Camp. II.

Of Moloch, Adram-Melech, Anam-Nelech, Baal, The Tabernacle of Molech, Chiun, Remsphans Horfes confecrated to she Sunme, Thamuz.

OF the 1 doll Moloch wee read in diuers places of Scripture, 1 King. I r. 2 King.23.10. Leuit. 18.2 I . He is fometimes called MO . loch, fonetimes Molech, fometimes Milcom. He was ${ }^{2}$. Larimes in Ac.7. the reputed god, not only of the Ammonites, but of expectmetio. the "Moabites alfo. He had his name from 7 b
of Moloch.

Mahar, fignifying to rule or reigne. The feunnty $E l$ ders tran!late him, äp حur, Bamגdos, a Psince, or Ring. Su' xing-Idols were Adram-Melech, and AnamMclech, the gods of Shepheruaim, vnto whom that peopleburnt cheir children in fiee.

I take Moloch and Baal to be one and the fame Idoll, they were both names of fupremacy and rule; לyコ Baal fignifieth L Lordor Mafter. And 75 \% Mo. lech, King or Prince. They had both the fame manner of facrifice, they burnt their fonnes for burnt offerings vnto Baal likewife, Terem. 19. 5. yea they built the high places of $5 . a l$, which are in the va!ley of Benhinnom, to caufe their fonnes and their daughters to paffe thorow the fire vnto Molech, Ierem. 32. 35 . In which text the place of facrifice is noted to be one and the fame, common to both Idols, and Molech put in the endof the verfe, to explaine Baal, in the beginning thereof.

Some thinke them to be different, becaufe the - Planet lupiter was wormipped vader the name of Baal; but the Planet Saturne is probably thought to haue beene worthipped vnder the name of Molech. parkibion, cap.? If we diligently obferue hittories, we fhall finde fucha confufion of the Planets, that the Sumne, as it was fometimes called Baal, fometimes Moloch:fo it cPlatogpud Mawas fometimescalled c lupiter, fometimes ${ }^{d} S_{-}$srob.Sawr. Cb .1 .
 Iupiter was called by the Pbenicians, Baal-Samen, mereplarosik, quad which name is deriued from the Hebrew, and foundeth as muchas Iupiter Olympicue, the Lord of her- namp (quierme soor uen: For Baal fignifieth Lord, and Shamaim, Hea- lum diunti) Iu*owen. And what is this Lord of Heauen in the theolo- пoxfach Serriuw is gy of the Heathens, other than the Sume? who may taridos.
c 7 5～～Molect dicivelunt quafi 7世うと malab， （i．）Angelus，Nun－ cizs．Proindè inter－ pretantur Molech Mercurium Deo． รаm nurcium．
fR．Leui．Leuit． 18．21．
5 Macrob．Saturn． rib．ז．cap． 7 ． ${ }^{5}$ Eufib．depre pardib．4．cap．7．
i Ialkut Ierem． 7. fol．97．colmmn．.

Lis． 4. Of Moloch． as well be ftiled the King of Heauen，as the Moone the 2ucene．Yea Sanchoniarho，as Eufebius in the fore－ quoted place relares him，takech all thefe three for one，namely the Sunne，Iupiter，and Bual－famen．

Concerning Saturne，it is apparant that the Sun was worfhipped vnder his name；Butl finde fome Expofitors to interpret Moloch tobe Mercury，o－ thers ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Mars：thefe are but few，and the grouads weake．It is therefore more generally and more pro－ bably thought，that newas Saturne，becaufe as to Moloch，fo to Saturne，the Heathen people did facri－ fice their ：Sons and Daughters．Secondly，Saturnes Image differed net much from Molochs．Of Saturnes thus we reade；${ }^{\text {b }}$ It was made of bralfe，pronderfull for its greatne ffe，whofe hands reaching towards the earth， were 50 bollow（ready to claßpe）that the yourbs which zeere compelled to come unto him，did fallas it were in－ to a mighty ditch full of fire．You fhall reade in a man－ ner the fame defcription of Moloch． 1 alkut commen－ ting on Ieremy，writeth thus；${ }^{i}$ Though all other bou－ fes of Idolatry were in Ierufale＇m，yet Moloch was with． out Ierufalem，in a place apart．How was be made？He woas an image of braffe；He had feren chappels，andbee wors placed before them，bauing the face of a bullocke， and hands preadabroad，like a man that openeth bis hands to receiue fomerbat from fome or ber：and they fet it on fire within，for it was hollow；and euery man $f$ e－ werally entred，according to bis offering．esfter what manner？Whofouer offered a fowle，ment into the firf chappell；bee that offered a foeepe，into the fecond；a lambe，into the third；a calfe，into the fourth；abul－ lock，into the fifth；an oxe，into the fixth；and who fouer offered his Sonne，ikto the Seuenth．Thus Molcch and

## of Moloch.

LIs. $4^{\circ}$
161
Saturn agree: Firft, in their facrifice: Secondly, in the forme of their lmages. Now thefe feuen chappels built for Moloch, may well refemble thofe ${ }^{k}$ fessen gates with which the Perfians honoured the Sunne; and as the feuen gates did, fo might the feuen chap. pels,myftically cxpreffe the feuen Planets, whereof the Sunne was Molech, (i.) the King and Prince. When they facrificed their fonnes vnto this Idoll, they did beat vpon tabrets and drums, that the cry of the childe might not be heard by the father. Thereupon was the place called Tophet, from शn Toph, fignifying a drumme, as likewife from the cry of the children it was called Gehenno, ~ウス fignifying a valley, and ons roaring or crying. Some may make the queftion, whether that the phrafe, The fire of Gebenna, Matth.5. 22. had its originall from this fire, wherewith the childen were burnt vnto Moloch? I anfwer, that in this phrafe there was not refpeat orly vnto this fire; though by the bitter cryes and einlations of poore infants, the reftleffe torments to hell might be thadowed, yet the perpetuity and cuerlaftingneffe of hellifh paines, I take to be fignified herein, by allufion vinto that ${ }^{1}$ other fire kept continually bur-
 27.13. ning for the confuming of deadcarcafles, and the filth brought out of Ierufalent For Gehenna was reputed a contemptible place without the City, in the which they burnt, by meanes of a fire concinually preferued there, the carkaffes, filth, and garbidge of the Ciry. The ${ }^{m}$ Kabbalifts treating of maprio de Gebenar in this metaphoricall fenfe, as it is applyed to the paines of hell, doe diltinguifh of it, faying; That there is Cehenna uperior, and inferior: vpon the bodies offinners in this world: By the fecond
2. T.Galatinuslib. I2.cap.6.
> - Aberngra.Letho 38.28 。 they vaderitand the paines of the foule in the poorld to come. "They fay likewife, that there are Septem $G_{e_{-}}$ benna man $\sqrt{\text { bones, Seve: }}$ degrees or manfion places in Geibenna. 1. Infernus. 2. Perditio. 3. Prefundum. 4. Taciturnitus. 5.Vmbra mortis. 6. Terra inferior. 7. Terra jitiens. Of thefe feuen receptacles, he that will mif-fpend his time may readaccording to the quotation.
It is much controuerfed among Expofitors, whether the children in this facrifice were bssint in she fre, or only initiated and consecrated to Moloch, pafSing in the middeft of two fires in figne of their confecration? It is probable, that both were in vfe. Firf, the Scripture fpeaketh of both. Secondly, the Hebrew Doctors thew the manoer of both. That they were Birnt, Ialkut exprefly teacheth, and with him ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{O}$ thers accord, faying, That Molech is the name of aro image, and the wife men of bleffed memory interprce ssolech to bee an univerfall name, denoting any nobork they baue made to rule ouer them; and it is agreed up. or, that this is the abomination of the fons of Ammon, and this phrafe to caufe to paffe therow, is ar much as to burne. Others fay, Thbis Idols name was Molech, and

## - Rabbisclomen I6NiP, 1 B. 2 E. $^{2}$

 I this was his worlbip: That be, (namely the fother) de. liuered bis onne visto the Pricfts, and they made two great fires, and they made bis fonne piffe on tis feet bitweene borb the fe fires.Notwith fanding, we muft not thinke that there were no other oblations vito Molech befides facrificing of children : For what vfe then ferved thofe other fix Chappels? No , I take this oblation of chil-

## Of Moloch.

LiEs.
drew, not to have been forced on them by any fuperftitious law, or tradition, binding them thereunto; but to hate been reputed a work more meritorious, becaufe it was meetly voluntary. ThisInote, because otherwife there were an apparent difference betweene Baal and Molech. For the Baalites offered vato their fancied Deity abullocke in that contention betweene them and Elia, r King. 18. Bullocks, and Clues, and Lambs, were their ordinary facrifices, the facrificing of their children, extraordinary. Yet their ordinary facrifices, were not alwayes altogethe void of mans blond, but fometimes the Priefts would lance and cut their owne flesh : which cusome, whence it had its originall, I find not :only we find the like to hate benne practiced by the Heathenish Prices in their factifices to Bellona: 9 Ter-
 lon a and her Priefts, fpeaketh more clearely, saying, They Sacrificed not with any other mans blond, but with their one, their Shoulders being lanced, and with both hands. brandifhing naked fords, they ran and leaped vp and downe like sad men. Who would not take there Bellonites to bethe very Baalites fpoken of, 1 King. 18. They leaps upon the Altar which was made -and cut themfelues as their manner was with knives and lancers, till the blood gufhed out ron them.

That the opinion of pleafing God by facrificing their children sprang from Abrahams offering of Salk, feemeth very probable, and is intimated by $R$. Solomon, who bringeth in God faking concerning Molech after this manner: I newer commanded that they Should offer up their Cones for an oblation, and I newer spake it vito any of my. Prophets, ${ }^{5}$ and ruben 1 Ier. 7.310

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 164 \text { Lis. 4. of Moloch. } \\
& \text { Sake zo Abrabam to facrifice bis fonne, it entred noi int }
\end{aligned}
$$ - Eucb. prepar. knombert that hee fould facrifice him, but 10 mate Ebaxg b. 5o t. . . \% S Suturne (wigb: coufneffe. Yea, "Porphyry treating of \% lech) i , whe leck) faith that the Pbenitions called him 1 frael, tand that he hadby Anobreth one onely foncalled Iend in the Pbenician language (nodnube from the $H e-$ brew lechid, fignifying an onely begotten, and applied to Ifaak, Gen. 22.2.) which he offered vpon an altar purpofely prepared. Who feeth not the hiftory of Abrabam and sarab vnder the names of Ifrael and Anobreth? and the immolation of Ifak, vnder the name of Yeud ? and the originall of this Sonne-facrificing diuinity, to haue beene the vnwarrantable imitation of Abrabam?

But wilat! was the $S u n$ worfhipped Idolatron/ly, no otherwife? Yes, except I am deceilued, we finde another manner of worfhip defcribed by $A$ mos, chap.5.26. But yee haue borne the Tabisnicle of your Moloch, and Chiun your images the ftarre of your God which ye made to your felues. Thistrant* lation I preferre before others. Firf, becaufe the nทコロ nא Hebrew word fignifieth a Tabernacle. Secondly, 0330 it is rendred the tabernacle of Moloch, not Sis"Xai wisiaktz civth your King, " by the feuenty. Thirdly, it is
 Hip pavai, , wis God Remphan, figures which ye made to worhip


Three things are to be enquired for the vnderftanding of this parallel. Firft, what the bearing of taking up of this Tabernacle. is. Secordly, what Idoll was pointedout by thefe names of Chiunand Rem-

> of Molock.

Lib. 4.
phen. Thirdly, what is meant by the ftan of this Godo
The taking up of this Tabernacle denoteth their wnrthip which they exhibited vntotheir Idoll, by carrying him vp and downe in Tabernacles and $P$ P. $i$ geants, after a folemne manner of proceffion; by the Romans, this folemnity was termed Pompa; and the tent or pageant in which the Idol was caried, Thenfa, according to that, $T$ benfa deorum vebiculum. This kind of Idolatry may feeme to haue had its originall among the Heathens from an unwarrantable imitation of $M$ ofes his Tabernacte, which was nothing elfe buta" Portalle Temple, tobecarriedfromin place to " place as need required. For it cannotbe denied, but that many fuperftitions were deritued vnro the Heapesp. lib. 3 oft. Antiq: thens from the true worfhip of God, which he himfell had prefcribed vnto his people. Thus as $G$ od had his Tabersacle, Priefts, Altars, and Sacrifices, fo the deuil had his Tabernacles, Priefls, Albars, and Sacrifices. As God had his fire euer burning vpon the Altar, Leu.6.3. So had the deuill his fire preferued burning by ehoferefentl Vot aries. As God had his propitiatory or Mercu feat : So had the deuill hissacros tripodus, his $O$ racles from which he would fpeake vnto them that ferued him. This folemne proceffion was performed by the Romans, in the honour of the*Sun: It *Solis hanerenowi was performed by the Ifraclites in honour of their gratifpechacula cirmoloch who formerly was interpreted the Sumne. To paties. Corrip. Ac
 the Romans, and the Ifraelites caufed great horfes and chariots to be lead vp and downe. ${ }^{\mathbf{x}}$ Horfes were x Alcx, ab Alkx. and their ${ }^{\text {lib. } 3.6 a p . ~} 12.0$ Cirque-place was fometimes called rò immxor, and ismasfésuov, An Horfe-race. And that chariots were
$\rightarrow$ Hic itius commonly vfed Of Chiun and Remphay. man, Hic currms fuit. dent. Concerning the pompous thewes is-y eudPirgil. Exeid.s.

8 Col. Rbodigix. axtig $.18 .8 .2_{2}$ like prat opeople of Iudah, doth not the pur hiceplamely appeare? 2 King. 23. Io fall did put downe the Horfes given to the Jumne, and the chariots of the Sunne. This kinde of idolatrous worfhipping the Sunne feemeth to haur had its beginning from the Perfians; whoalfo accounted borfes holy to the Sunne, ${ }^{z}$ and the Perfana King when he would fhew himfelfe in great ftate, cauledan exceeding great horfe to be led vp and downe, the which was called Equen folis.
The fecond inquiry is, what Idoll was meant by Cbiun, and Remphan, otherwife in arcient copies called Repham. Not to trouble the Reader with the various interpretations of Expofitors, much leffe with the bold aduentures of others in correcting the text: By chiun we are to vnderftand Hercules, who in the e Egyptian language was called Chon: by Repham we are to vnderftand the fame Her cules;
 Giants. By Hercules we may vnderfand the Planet of the sunne: thereare Etymologifs which deriue

## ${ }^{3}$ Heracles quid abind ef quam ipas x $\lambda$ éO (i.) aerisglo-

 ris: queporronlis efo acris nifs folis ib*umisasio? Macyob. Satwroib. 1.c.20. b Eujcb.deprap.l. 3.CAF.4PAS.7I. Hescules his name from the Hebrew by wndn Heircol, Illuminauit omnia:the Greeke ${ }^{2}$ Etymology, holds correfpondency with the Hebrem, and both fignifie as water from a fountairic. Adde hereunto, that - Porphbyry interpretecth Hercules his twelwe labours, fooften mentioned by the Poets, to be norhing elfe but the rweluc fignes of the Zodiak, thorow which the Sunne paffech yearely. But ome may queftion whether the name of Hercules was eurer knowne to the Iewes? It is probable the name was, for Hercules
## off emuz.

Lib. 4.
was the god of the Tyrians, from whom the lewes learned much Idolatry, as being theirneare neighburs: Yea it is apparent that in the time of the $\boldsymbol{M a c -}$ babes the name was commonly knowne ynto them : for Halon the High Brief Cent three hundred drachmas of filler to the facrifice of Hercules, 8

## Macchab.4.19.

Thirdly, it followeth that we thould enquire what: this stare of Remphan was; It is probably c thought that it was a certaine fare painted in the fore-bcad
 of Molech: Neither was it vnufuall for the Heathen people to paint their Idols with fuck Symbolira additamenta. d Iulius Cesar his Image had a starre depicted on the crone of his bead.

The S ane was alto wormipped by the houfe of $0 \cos _{12}^{c a p} .25$.
Iud, vader the name Tamuz; for ${ }^{\text {c }}$ 'T amu, faith e Hicronnm, comHierome, was $A$ dons, and ${ }^{\text {§ }} A$ dons is generally ind Suetor.in Iul.c. 88.16.Plin.biff.b.2. cap.25.Horas.lib.I. terpreted the Sine, from the Hebrew Adon, figni- pas. 68. Eying Dominus, the fame as Baal, or Moloch formerty did, namely, the Lord or Prince of the planets. The monet which we call Lune, was by the Hebrewes. called Tamuz, ard the entrance of the Sun into the figne Cancer, was, in the lewes Aftronomy, termed Tekupha Tamuz, the renolution of Tamuz. Concersing $A$ don is, whom fometimes ancient Authors call ofris, there are two things remarkable, eqpoverades, the death or loffe of $A$ don is: and uppers, the finding of $\qquad$ Nиппиа*** que fatisquafitus himagaine. As there was great ${ }^{*}$ lamentation at his loffe, efpecially among the ${ }^{\text {cc }}$ women: fo was there ofris great ion at his funding. By the death or lo $f^{\prime} \mathrm{e}$ of $A d o-$ temper eximperi. nit, we are to underfund the departure of the Sun; unnimitLucan. by his finding arsine, we are to vodertand his re- coplutaribisidion tune. Now he teeth to depart twice in the years: cilice

Find,

Firft, when he is in the Tropicke of Cancer, in the fartheft degreenorth-ward. Secondly, when he is in the Tropicke of Capricorne, in the farthell degree fouthwatd: anfwerable vnto thefe two departures which may be termed diparionos, dijparitions, or lofes of the Sunne, there are two returnes inmediatly fucceeding, which may be termed likewife evpesers, the findings or new appearings of the Sunne. Hence we may note, that though the e Egyptians celebrated their Adonia in the moneth of Nouember, when theSunne began tobe fartheft Sourbroard; and the houfe of Iuda theirs, in the moneth of Iuns, when the sume was fartheft Northward; yet both were for the fame reafons, and in fubftance they agreed. And of this the Prophet Exekiel is thought to hatue fooken, $E\{$ ek. 8.14. There fate women meeping for Tamuz.

Thefe folemnities were chiefly obferued, be${ }^{8}$ Ppecopinsin 1 Jai- tweene the Byblienfes and the Alexandrini, , the
 JJaing manner was thus: When the Byblienfes folemnized the death or loffe of Adonis, at that time the Alexandrini wrote a letter, this Letter was inclofed in an Arke of bul-rubles, therin they fignified that-Adonis, whom they lamented, was found againe : this Arke being after the performance of certaine rites andceremonies cômitted to the Sea, forthwithit was carried by the ftreame to Byblus, vpon the receit wherfirmicus.l.de errove frofan.religion. med ouer an Im that this lamentation was perfortheyhad fufficiently the night feafon, and when into the roome (whichented, a candle was brought fignifie the returne of ceremony might myftically with a foft voyce of the Sunne) then the Prieft with a foft voyce muttered this forme of words:

> of tamuz.

Lib. 4.
${ }_{1}^{1}$ Iruf ye in God.jor out of paines faluation is comern- ioxpjaite rifo
 Tamuz was an Image whofe eyes they filled with Fiveviculneia Lead, which Lead being molted by the meanes of k invicusibide fire vader it, the Image it felfe feemed to weepe.

There ${ }^{1}$ are that thinke the Prophet alludeth wnto thofeletters inclofed in thofe fore-mentioned bulrufb Arkes, IJdi. 18.2. when he feaketh of Embaffadors fent by the Sea cuen in veffels of reeds vpon qnannoux the waters. But I rather approue the literall fenfe, radic. for by seafon of the fhelfes and dangerous recks in IPrccos, in Ifatur8. the Rimer Nilus, it was not unufuall for men to faile in hulkes and vef fe ls mads of a kinde of great bul-rulh, which by the Eerptians was termed Papyrus, and there kinde of hips ${ }^{m}$ Papyracee naues.
 689.38.

## Char. III.

Of Baal-Peor, Baal-Tfephon, Baai-zebub, Baal: Berith, Bel, and the Dragor.

VHom the Hebrewes called Eaal, the Babylonians called Bel , and although the Planet of the Surine only atfirft might be worfhipped vnder that name, yet at laft it became a common name to thany other Idols, according to that, There are many gods, many Baalims or Lords, I Cor.S. 5. As the fame Idoll I upiter had different names, and different Rites of worfhip, occafioned fometimes from thedifferent places, as 1 m . piter Olympius, from the hill Olympus, Iupiter Capitolinus, from the Capitollhill; Iupiter Latiali, from that part of gtaly which is called Latium. Some

## -Hierosymoirs 850.0.5.6.15.

- Pbilo Iudilib.z. Alegoriar, p.79.
4.P.F.g.Exod. I $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{X}$.

Life. 4. of Baal-peor, ơc. times from the different benefits which he was fups pofed to beftow ou men, as Iupitor Plinitus, becaufe he gave raine; Iupiter Lucetiue, becoufe he gave Light ; It:piter Altitomans, frome trundring: So Baal had his dultinctiue titles, and different nites of worthip, fometimes occafioned by the place, as baal. Peor, Numb.25.3. fometimes from the bencitit obtained, as Baal Tfophon, Ex:od. I 4.1.and Baal-Zebub; 2. King. I. 2, fometimes for fome otherseafon, as Baal-Eerith, Iudy.8.33.

Baal-Peor is thought to be that * Priapus, that obicene Idoll, fo famous in prophane Authors. He. was called Peor, from the hill Peor, mentioned, Numb. 23.28. as likewife his Temple wherein he ,was wormipped, flanding vpon the faine hill, was called Beth-Peor, Deut. 3.29. He was worfinipped by the Moabites, and Midianites: the Idoll Chemo/h, Ier.48.7.is thought to be the ${ }^{\text {b }}$ fame, and I take it to be applyed to Baal. Peor, by way of contempt, as if one fiould fay, their blinde god, according to that in the Pfalme, Theey haue eyes, and fre not. Forthe firft letter ${ }^{c}$ Caph, fignifieth quafi; and win $M$ ufoh, palpare, to groape or feele about in manner of blinde men.
Baal-7jephon is thought by the ${ }^{d}$ Hebremes, to haue beenean I doll made by the e Egyptian Magicians, and placed in the widerneffe, to obferue and fop the Ifraelites in their departure from exgypt, whence ir was cermed yay Tephon, from nay TJapha, fignifying to matco, and ob ferue in manner of a matchman: we may call him Baal /pecslater, as a-

[^0] mong the Romans, becaufe Iupiter tlaied the Romans
of Bail-Tfesono LYe.

Baal-zebub, foundeth as much as the Lord of the flies, or a. Mafter flie, which hath powerand authority oucr the reit, in which refpect the Priace of the ©egry areger. Naziant. Deuils in the Gopell is termed Beel.zebub, $\operatorname{zizs} Z e$ - srat.2.contr. Iulian bub lignifieth a flie. 5 This Idoil was worthipped by p. poz. the Cyreni.ans, but principally by the Ekronites, becaufe whenfoener they facrificed vnto him, the fwarmes of Hyes, which at that time molefed the countrey, died. But it is certaine, that this was not the alone reafon, for they were wont to repaire to him, as to an Oracle, 2 King. r. 2. we may call him Iupiter mufcariur, or Hercules mufcarius, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ for the ${ }^{\text {nclemmses Alex }}{ }^{=}$ inhabitants of the C|ty Elis, facrificed to Iupiter andro in proteregik. vnder the name of imbpu' $\sigma$, (i.) A driuer away of flies; and the Romans to Hercules, vnder the fame name. Some Creeke copies in the Gofpell reade Been $\} \in \in \varepsilon \lambda_{,}$, Beelzebul, which change is interpreted tobe, for to thew the greater contempt of the Idoll, as if they Thould fay, Iupiter fercoreus, 5 Zebel fignifieth fercus, and Beel or B.al fignifieth Dominus.

Baal-Berith was the Idoll of the Shechemites, of his Temple we reade, Iudg. $9.4 \cdot$.nn $\rightarrow$ Berith fignifieth a couenart, fo that Baal-Berith may betranlated $I u$ -
 themfelues by couenant. Concerning Bel and the Dra- xiw, జึiva aivic gon, little is Spoken, befides what we reade in that of auive ds sim\% the Apocrypha, where the hiftory is defcribed.

Septagivis in-


> IF2 LiIs.4. OfDagon.

## Chap. IV: <br> Of D.gon.

2 ADas. I Sam.5.

T$\mathrm{He}^{2}$ Hiurem Ducfors fay; that this Idoll Drgoin was mide from the nauill dorneward in forme of a fill, but from the nauill wppard in forme of a man. This they collect from the I Sam. S. 4. The two palimes of his hands. were cut off: upon the threfbold. And:urthermore they fay, the Idol Dagon had his name fron the Hebrew it Dag, fignifying in the holy lang uge, a Fiff jaccording to which de-
*-Tritom non abfo suilers babuijfe foguram fingitur. Frens bowinize prafrit,inprifumdef nit aluks.Pier. Hierogl.lib. 3 t. pag. 218.

- Pbilo Brblias a. pud Euseb. de pre. par.ub.1.cap.\%. spier.Hierog!pp: ibi. $32 \cdot \mathrm{f} \cdot 228: 1 \mathrm{dcm}$ to. 56. ${ }_{4}^{4} R$ Leni.s Sumas. feription we nay Englifh him the Philifims Neptune or * Trison. Others derme the name from git Dagan, fignifyins corne, and they bay, that he firt innented the vfe of the Plough, and corne, whence they trannlate him lupiter aratrius. In this refpect we may call him the Pbilifims Siturne becaufe antiquity makes e Sat arne the forft inuenter of Husban$d r y$, and therefore paints him with an booke or fith in bis band; as being the fitteft Hieroglyphicke for $H$ us. bandry. Bothopinions have their Althors; and no fufficient proofe hath beene produced to oulerthrow either: Yea there are not wanting among the Ienes themfelues, that fay this Image of Dugon $n$ is made ins the forme of a man. Notwithtanding Scaliger hisconiecture is notimprobable, that thofe who interpret
 reade שׁׂ Shadai fignifying Ager, A field, for Schaddiz being the very name of God, fignifying omnipotens, Almighty.


## Of the molten Calfe. $L_{18.4 .}$

## Chap. V.

## Of the molten Galfe.

THE Hiftory of the molten Calfe is at large fet downe, Exidus 32. where we reade, that by reafon of Mofes his long abfence, the people defired of Aaron, Gods to be made, whereupon Aaron made for them the molten calfe. The reafon why they worlhipped God rather in the fimilitude of a Calfe, than of any other creature, is generally by Expofitors conceivedrobe, from the corruptions learned among the CEgyptians, who worfhipped their Idoll : Apis; otherwife called
 made in the forme and fimilitude of an Ox:, with a. buthell for certaine notes and markes, whereby it was differenced from all others. It was blacke bodied, it had a white forebend, a white Jpot bebinde and a krot vnder his tongue; for the more curious faThioning and polifhing of the fe matkes in the molren Calfe, Auron may feeme to have made vfe of his 'grauing toole. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ The $\cdot$ Eyptians repaircd vnto cunh
 to an Oracle, and the manner of confulting with him c.a Gi, I Alex $G_{00}$ was thus. The party that repaired vato him tendred nialdier . $6.6,6,2$. a bottle of hay or graffe, which if he receined, then it betokened a good and happy euent ; if c therwife herefufed it; then it did portend fome euill to come. Thus they turned theirglory into an $0 . r e$

174 Lis. 4 .
Of the molten Caife. thatearethgraffe, Pfal. 106.20. The Hebrem word in the $P$ falme, tranflated an $O x C$, is, ${ }^{\text {e flo }}$, , which I note, becaufe in my opinion, it giueth light to one of the names by which this Idoll was denoted. Sometimes it was called Apis, from the Hebrew word f Ap, fignifying a fact: fometimes Scorpis, quafi shor-apis, which is nothing elfebut Bouts caput, an Oxebead, the very name vied by the a Fathers, to expreffe this Idolatry. It is commonly knowne, that this Idolatry was deriued to Ifrael from the eEgyptians, but whence the E Eyptians firf learned it, tew haue taught: They doe not coniecture amifle, who interpret the firfe inftitution hereof to haue beene in the memory of Iofeph, whoby his prouidence relecued bothe gypt $^{2}$, and other neighbour countreyes in the fetien yeares offamine. Be efide the ${ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{s}$ suidas in Zapar. teftimony of no flight ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Authors, thereare ftrong Kufinus li. a , bi,it. inducements to perfwade it. Fir $\rho$, both the yeares Ecchlf.eap.23.Pier. of plenty aad famine were forefignified by the ap-
 parition of Oxen. Secondly, what fitter embleme, (if it had notafterward prouedan Idoll) to continue the remembrance of a Iofeph, (by whofe alone care and induftry, corne and victuall was prouided in an extreme famine, ) than an oxe, the true and liuely hieroglyphicke of an induftrious husbandman? Thirdly, in this Suidas agreeth with others, that this Oxe was pourtrayed with a bufbell on his head, though others doe more clearcly expreffe the reafon of this portraiture, namely, becaufe of the great quantity of corne meafured out by Iofeph in that extreme dearth. Concerning the finne of the Ifraelites in making this Calfe or Oxes, the moderne fermes doe transferre the fault vpon certaine

$$
\text { Of the molten Calfe. Lis. } 4 \text {. }
$$

certaine profelyte Egyptians who came forth with them; and they fay, that when Aarors calt their iewels into the fire, the fe Egyttians, contrarie to his expectation, by their art Magick produced a calfe, to which purpofe they vrge Aarons owne wo:ds, Exod.32.34. I did caft the gold into the fire, and shereof cume this calfe; as if his art or will wene not: with the making thereof, but of if jelfe it made it felfe. But this anfwer of his theweth rather, how. vaine the wit of man is in the excufe of finne; and as his engrauing inftruanent writes downe Aarons finne: fo the confeffion of other more ingenucus: lewes, proclaimes the Ifraelites; faying that ${ }^{\mathrm{s}}$ nopunifbment. befalleth thee. Ifrael, in wbich there is not an ounce of this calfe. I conclude this with the analogie betweene thic Eqyptian Apis and the molten calfe: and this confifted in three things. Firft, as there mofs Germandid were fome ffeciall markes in the Egyptian Oxe : fo is Munnfer. Exod $z_{2}$. it probable that Aaron, with his ingraung toole, made the like. Secondly, as the Egyptians in honour of their $O x{ }^{\text {k }}$. celebrated a Jolemnefeaft, with much $k$ suilas in rossas finging and mirth : fo the Ifraelites proclaimed a «mAto feaft in honour of their calfe: The people faie downe to eat, and drinke, and rofe up to play. Thirdly, as the Egyptians Oxe was at laft drowned in the riuer: To MiSes burnt the molten calfe, and beat itro pow. der, and caft it vpon the face of the water, Exod: 32.20: Deut.9.21. Ieroboam afterward, though, vpon other inducements, committed the fame inf; hee thought in his heart, that if the people did goe vp to Ierufalem, and doe facrifice in thic houfe of the Lord, they would reuols from him, and teturne to the King of Iudab: whereunon he fet vp tro:calues:

376 L1 18.4. of Afarooth, Ammonia, Iuno, đr. of gold, the one in Bethel, the other in Dan; faying vino the people, It is too much for you to goe vp to qerufilem, I Kin.n. I2.28.
Сhap. VI.

Of Aftaroth, Ammonia, Iuno, the Queene of Healien, Diana of the Ephefians. matum oblasionern primò didic:tent ab lfractitis. Num. 7. ISan. $21 . \quad$ vp,$\quad$ Philifimshanged - Asápries f'غ 'V Sp Sauls armour after his death, i sam. 3 I. Io. Rrśs ovkeras That the Moonewas worfhipped vnder this name bufeva. Alfarien necis not b proofe: oncly fome fay that afarte beam effe opinor. was Iuno: and whymay wenotfay that Iuno is of Latian, de Pea Sy-
ria.
ctiguf. fupcr 1udic. quaft. 16. - Affarie Vrania idem ommino valet acud Dhonvicas,
yuod Iwno Lucina roud Latinos. De- of the Planets. or as Horace foer thacis, the equene ducitur Vrania ab Siderum treg; OLAS Korace peaketh of the Moose: Hebreo HN H ueene ofthe farres; or lafly, Nuninfine adicGio as virgil fpeaketh of Iuno; Diuhm incedo regina. anper fefolum, The Queene of the gods. It lecmech verie probable, cum Iod, quod paf- that this is that Quecne of Heanen, of which the Pro-


 offa exercsh. vid. Herodian. lib. S.

## Of Aftaroth, Ammoxia, lune, ©rc. LI s.4.

 whom may we imagine thofe ancient Heathens to haue performed that folemne worthip, whichthey did on the Calends, or firt day of eueric moneth? was it not to the cMoone? And yet notwithitanding it is afcribed to Iuno, ${ }^{\ddagger}$ whence thee is called tuno $\{$ mation Calendaris. Laflly, as Iupiter. Ammon was 110 B Macrob. Sath tik. other than the Sun, and wurfhipped in forme of a $1, c_{2} 210$ Ramme: fo for ought Ilee, the Moone might bee called luno ${ }^{\text {h }}$ Ammonia and worhipped in the ${ }^{\text {b cal. Rbodigine }}$ forme of a beepe. Sure I am, that the Hebrew DoCors deferitue the imayes of 'Ajtaroth, to haue been i $D$. Kips bis, in the orginall fip,nifieth a flocke of foeepe, and the Moonemight as well be called Ammonia, as the Sum. Ammon, borhbeing fo called from their beat, which in the holy tongue is called ${ }^{k}$ Hammah, and from $k$ man cabr, thence likewife thofe images (of which wee read ${ }^{\text {so." }}$ Leuit. 26.30. Ifay 17.8. Ifay 27.9.) are called ${ }^{1}$ Hammanim, becaufe they were certaine Idols pla- 1 . Solor.on in ced vpon the hoife and fo atwayes expofed to ${ }^{\text {Leili.26.30. }}$ the Sunne. Furthermore, as Iupiter ${ }^{m}$ Ammon was m --o-fat cornipainted with hornes, fo likewife was the ${ }^{n}$ Moone: gerilicic. why they fhould be thus pinted, many reafons verticr. Lucaa. l . $\%$ 。 might be produced, but chiefly three; the first pe- n Syderumeregise culiar to the Sun, the other common both to Sun aurdi. and Moone. Firft, the Sun was painted with Rams Luna puelks. hornes, becaufe with the Aftronomers the figne Horat, car.jeculato Aries in the Zodiak is the obeginning of the yeare. - Picr. bisroglyp. Secondly, becaule as the frength of borned beafts 1. 10. confift in their bornes: fo the vertue and influence of the Sun and Moone, is derined into fublunariecreatures by their beames. Thirdly, becaufe the light of the Sin and Moone, makes the reflexion

178 Li 1 . 4. Of Aftaroth, Ammonia, luno, óc. cornute or borne-like. When Mopes came downe from God, Aaron and the people faw that his face Jhined, Exod. 34. the Latine reads it, Faccies eius erver cornuta: and hence it is that $M$ ofes is painted with bornes, which fome of the Rabbines haue interprePTon hav ted P hornes of mugnifucence: The errourgrew from cormia magisifi.
comice.R. Solom. porro Hebraicum YR (uade K'́sas - curnu cmararunt) fginficab in mayem cernuиas fplendorem radiofor emitcerc. q Macrob. Saturnal. lib. 1.c. 15. the doubtfull ignification of the Hebrens word 110 inifving plendor orbrightnefé and allo bornes.
q The Moone was alfo wormipped vnder the name of Diana, who although the were wornipped thorow olt all $A$ ba, yet thee was had in principall efteene among the Ephefrans, whence arofe that cry, Great is Diana of the Epheftans, LEts I9.28. Heryreatnelleamong the Ephefinas appeareth purti Plis. 16.36.14. Iy by her Temple, whichin ${ }^{r}$ onc place Plinie faith was rwo hundred and twentie yeares building, but Sin.lib.36.40. Felfewherehee faith foure humdred yeares: partly from the greatgain procired vnto the filuer Smichs in naking and felling filuer Temples of Diana; AGt. 19.24. It is numch difputed what thofe filuer 7 em. ples were; fome thinke them to be little bousen, or florines (flich as were for their fmalneffe portable) in forme reprefenting the Temple of Diana, and witlin hauing the image of $D$ iana inclofed, andin this renfe vaifa is fometimes vfed, to fignifie clofets or Thrines whereinimages were kept : others thinke certaine coines or peeces of maney, to be called by the name of Diznaes Temple, from the fimilitude of Dianaes Temple, engrauten or ftamped vpon thofe coines : as in England wee call fome peeces of gold the George, others the Angell, others the Thiffle, from the impreffion which they beare. 'The like cuftome of naming. coines from their Sculpture or
imprefion

## Of thole idolswhich,dec. Lr B. 4.

impreffion was not vnuflual among the ${ }^{\ell}$ ancients, ne- c similipret fie then were foch cones vnufuall on which the Ten- ratione Aitbriesole of Diana was engrauen, and thee capitall letters dam, fanmios quotadded, DIAN EP HE. Theodorus beza, in his dem Aitcrexiexfyum maior annotations upon the $A I t s$, reportecth that he alias suofdars hath rene two of there himfelfe.

We reade of another kinde of idolatrous worthip towards the Moose, to have been "that men facrified to her in womens apparell, and women in mons apparell, becanfethey thought the Moose to be both male and female, whence the Moons is called by old Authors as well $L$ unus as $L$ una: Ard Denis, whom nallib.j.c.cap.8o Pbilocorus affirms to be the Hone, is termed Deus Venus, alwel as DeaVenus. ${ }^{\text {. S }}$ Some hate thought that cult u $V$ enerix proGod had reflect vito this kind of Idolatry, Deut. diditituliwe Fermi22.5 . where men are forbidden to ware women apparel, \& $\mathfrak{e}$ contr oj; but it is more generally and vpon better grounds thought that the promifcuous
 ken away) is there forbidden.

## Chap. VII.

## Of other Gods mentioned in Scripture.

THE Sunne and None, which are the greater lights in the Heaven, I take to have beene the chiefer Idols worfhipped by the Heathen people. Notwithstanding, theirblinde devotion deified alfo the other Planets, and that numberleffe number of lifer lights, called in Scripture Militia coli, The haft of loenuen, whore fe-

## 180 Lis. 4. 1 ofother Gods mentioned; Óc.

 nerall natures, properties and influences, are nor difinctly knowne.In like manner there is an hofliof Idols mentioned in holy writ, of whonalittle or nuthing is fpokento the purpofe by Authors, more. thai their very names. Ofthis nature are chofe chambers of imagery, wherin all formes of creeping things. were pourtrayed on the wals, Ezeck. 8. It may betermed their Panthcon.Iu thofe colonies which the King of A/bur tranfplated into Samaria, eiery one worfhipped the god of bis omine nation. The men of Babel nade Succoth Renoth, the men of Cuthmade Nergal, the men of Hamath made Afbima, the Auims made Nibhaz and Tartak; the Shephtruims burnt their children in the fire ro Adram melech and Anum-melech thic gods
 17.
B.Dauidiandifferitit. coors lay that Succotb Benoth was the picture of an ben with ber chicken: Nergall they interpret Gallumn fylueftrem; Afinea a Gourt; Nibbaz a dog; Tartak ìn ${ }^{\text {b Lucianlib. s b.de a ale. Adrammelech m mule, ; An mmilech an horfe: }}$
rHcrodotise in Enfierpo
© cu. delegiv.lib. I. vid.Tiraguel.jn die к.ab Alex.lib. 6 11.Dirador Sicul. lib.i.18. c. Alc.r. Neopolit. tib. 6 cap. 26. tPortiomercipe, isfors violare o. frangere rorfu. 0) fivefiacgeintes ghtious beec nafsexter in borlis
 f2ly $51 \%$ thatincli bruit beafts. Hould beworliniped as gods may feemeridiculous ; but the liketo hauebeene practifed among the Heathens, profane Authorsabundantytefife. The ${ }^{\circ}$ cecke was wornhipped as . Godamongthe Syriars; \& Agnathytife Arcndesij. -A dog by others : yea chey hatie aclopied inco thenimber of theirgods, éOxen, Liors, Eagles, Wolues, Ciocodiles,Cutr, Rats, EGc. Naythey hauc disged their gods ouref their rafilens, f Gazlicke, Lecíes, Onions, boc. Tothelenaybeadded Nifrobh which was the god oftle Afjurians, and as it fecmethlad bis Temple at Niniue, 2 King. Iq. vls: and Efay $37.0!$. Sccondly, Yian Rimmon, the word figni-

## The feuerall mamners of diuine. Rexclation. L 18. 4.

 fignifietha Pomegranate. Concerning this Idoll it is much controuerfed, whether Namman finned nut in. faying; The Lord bee mercifull wnto ofhy fitunnt, thatwhenmy Maffer geeth into the houfiof Rimmon, ơo. 2 King. 5.18. Reade the whords in the Prater tenfe: When ny Mafter trent inso the houre of Rimmok, the fenfeappeares to be apardon craued for finnes patt, not afterwaird to be committed. The fame time paft, in the tities of the Pfalmes 5 2.and Pfulm. 54.Thirdly, Nebo, oiherwife called Nabe, an Idoll of the 4 Ifyrians, lerim: 4 8. x . He had his name from prophecy, אnב Nabbifignify ing a Prophet, he feemeth
 ofren mentioncd in Homer. \& Diedorau Siculus ma: Leth them bothone, and we may render Nebo, the Afyrians Ammort, or Iupiter Vaticinss, the god of sheir Orailes.

## Chap. VIII.

## The feuerallmanners of diuine Reuelation.

AS 1 dolatry originally fprang from miltaking of Scripture : fo Witch-eraftand Sorcery, (which holdeth neereaffinity with $/$ dolaty.y) feemeth to hane tad its firt beginning from an imitation of Gods Orades. Godpike in diners manners, Hebr. 1. I. By Dreames, by Vrim, by Prophers, I Sam. 28.6,7. when the Lord would by none of thefe anfwer King. Saul, then he fought to a witch. To theie might be added Gods fecaling frombe. iween the Cherubinas, his anfwering by $\boldsymbol{V}$ ijions, $A n$

A 3 $E_{\text {tod } 28 .}$
${ }^{5}$ D Kixuchi pre. fat in Pfal.
c TaimadinSanbedrm.sapor. himfelfe, obferued by the Hebrew Writers, are foure, which they terme a joure degrees of prophecie, or diuine reuelation: fomewhat the refore being froken of thefe, I purpofe to explainc the feteral!firts of vnlawfull diuinations mentioned in Scripture.

The firft degree was sส้าวI Nebuah, Prophecie. This was, when God did by certaine vifionsand ap. paritions reueale bis will.

The fecond, was שרוּ Ruach Hacodefch, The inpiration of the Holy Ghoft, whereby the party was enabled, withou:t vifions or apparicions, to prophecie: fome thewing the difference betweene thefe two, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ adde that the gift of prophecie did caft a man into a trance or extafie, all his fenfes being taken from him: but the infiration of the Holy Ghoft was without any fuch extafie, or abolition of the fenfes, as ap. peareth in $1 \theta b$, Dauid, Daniel. Both thefe degrees, as likewife Vrim and Thummim, ceafed in the fecond Temple, whence their ancient Dofors fay, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ that after the latter Prophets Haggai, Zachary, and Malachy were dead, the Holy Ghoft ment vp, or departed from Ifrael. Howbeit they had the vfe of a voice or Eccho from Heauen. In which feech we are not to underftand that the Holy Ghoft wrought not at all vpon the creatures, or that it wronght not then in the fanAification ofmen as in forner times, but that this extraor dinary enabling mes top rophecy by the injpira. sion of the $H$ oly Ghof then ceafed; and in this fenfe, the Holy Ghoft was faid to baue departed from I frael. Vnto this common receited opinion, that paffage might have reference, Alfs 19. We haue not fo much as beard , wobether there batt beene an Holy Ghoft or no. That they

> of diuine Reuelation.

LIB. 4.
they did not doubt the diftinction of ferfons, appeareth cleare, if that be true which d fome haue no- $\mathrm{d} p$. Faz: ted, that the ancient Ieries before Chrift were fo ca- Expd.23. techifed in that poirt, that they obferued the myfte$9 y$ of the Trinity in the name $\operatorname{man}$ Iehouah, for though the name confifted of forre letters in number, whence it wascalled rorsajépuanor, Quadriliterum, yet there were but threeforts of letters in the name: Iod lignified the F , ther, who was the beginning of all things : चFalu, is a coniunction copulative, and denoted the third pergon in Trinity, which proceedeth from the Eather and the Sonne. $\therefore$ He fignified the Sonne of God. The Rabbines hatue a laying, that Godmade all things, In literan He . They may allude to this, that he made all things by his word: hee faid, Let there bee thus, and thins, and it was fo: but they may alfo allude to the fecond perfon in Trinity. And furthermore they note that $n H C$, is doubled in this nawe, to demonfrate both Natures of ourbleffed Sauiosr.

The third degree, was Vrimand Thummim. Vrim fignifieth light, and Tbummim perfection. That they were two ornaments in the High Priefts brefo plate, is generally agreed vpon : but what maner of ornaments, or how they gane anfwer, is hard to refolue, ${ }^{\text {e }}$ Some thinke them to be the foure rowes of eirefpb. Aniq. it.

- ftones in the breft-plate, the $\beta$ lendor and brightneffe 3 .cap.9. of which fore thewed vicory, and by the rule of contraries, we maygather, that the darkneffe of the fones not thining prefaged euill. ${ }^{£}$ Others fay it was the
 for tha: was double, Exod.28.16. ${ }^{5}$ Others declare the manner of confulting with Vrim and Thummina thus. Firfl, they fay that only the King, or elfe the gium in Exod 28.

LIB. 4. of Vrimand Thummim. * Rather of the Confiflory had power to confult, or to propofe the matter vato the Prieft, and the Prief only had power to refolue. Sccondily, that the matter propofed muft not be trivial, but of moment and grcat difficulty. Thirdly, that this holy writing, retmed Vrim and Thummim, confifted of all the Tribes names, and likewife of the Patriarkes, Abraham, ${ }^{15}$ Jac, and Jacob ; fo that no letter of the $A$ lphabet was wanting. The queftion being propofed; Some fay that the letters which gave the anfwer were nusu (i.) they didarije and eminently appeare abouc the others. An example they take from the 2 Sam. 2. I. When Dauid asked the Lord, Shall I goe vp into any of the Citics of Izdah? The Lord anfwered, $\boldsymbol{n}^{2} \sum \boldsymbol{y}$ Gnaleh, Goe vp. Here, fav they, appeared our of the name of $\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{Y} \mathbf{w}$ Schimeon, $\zeta$ out of the name of be Leui, ,hout of the name of ninim Iehudah. Orhers fay, that the letters which reprefented the oracle were menver (i.) that they did after a ftrange manner ioyie e tbemfilues into perfeet fyllables and incire words, and made the anfwer complear. Many other opinions might be rec-

* R.Dalidizn radic. koned vp, but h he fpoke beft, who ingeniourfly confeffed that he knew not what Vrim and Thummim was.
The fourch degree was $\operatorname{Lrip}$ מת Bath Kol, Filia vocis, the daughter of a voice, or an Eccho; by it, is meant a voice from beauen, declaring the will of God; it tooke placc in the fecond Temple, when the ebree former degreces of prophecie ceafed: it gauc teftimony of our Satiou:ur; Loc a voice from beauten, faving, This is my beloued Sonne in whoin $I$ am well pleajed, Mar. 3.17. It was in truth the prologue, priface, or type of


## Offrim and Tbummim。

Lis． $4^{\circ}$
ithat true qoice of the Eather，that eternall word which reuealed bis Eathers will unto mankinde．

Thefe were the extraordinary meanes by which God rencaled himecife to his people of old：ordina－ rily，he revealed himfelfe by his written word．Not－ withtanding the Hebremes fay，that the Law，euen from the firft time of its deliuery vnto Mofes，was twofold：the one committed to mriting，which they call コถัอษ กาา Thora Schebictab，the written
 Thora begnal pe，it was alfotermed their Kabbala， from ปコア Kibbel，fignifying Accipere，To receiue or learne．They fay both were delicered by God vnto Mofes in mount Sinai；but this latter was deliuered from $M 0 \int$ es to 10 Thua，from 10 万h ua to the Elders，frome the Elders to the Prophets，from the Prophets to thofe of the great Synagogue，and fo fucce ßiuely to after－dges， till at laft it was digefted into one booke，containing principally precepts，and directions for tho ee 1fraelites， whichinbabited the Holy Land．It is called Talmud Ierofolymitanum．It was compofect in the yeare of ou ${ }^{\circ}$ Lord 230 ．This becaufe it containeth but a few con－ ftitutions，is but of litrle vfe．About $500 . y$ yeares af： ter Chrift，then was there a more full and exact col－ lection of their conftitutions，for direction of thone Iewes which diwelt in Babjlon，and other forraine places；this is termed Talmud Babylonicum，and is of greatelt vfeamong Authors，it containeth the body of their Giuill and Canon Law．This traditio－ nall Luw，they hold to be as authentique，as their written word，and that Mofes receined it from God， when he receined the $L$ aw，for，fay they，were it not for this expofition，the Decalogue it felfe might
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { 186 L.8.4. Vrimand Tbumnim. } \\
& \text { ? 7nyyy } \\
& \text { blP Mofes Koi } \\
& \text { fongoin profa. } \\
& \text { haue beene deliuered * Inhora veloci, Inleffetionnang } \\
& \text { boure. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Here wee mult know that the word Kabbala, when it is applied to the Kabbalifts, to difference them from the Talmudiffs, is raken in a fricter fenfe, and fignifieth thcfe Jubtilties, or myferies, which are obferued from the different writing of fome letters in the Scripture, from the tran $\beta$ Pof f g of them, from a mylficall kinde of Arithmetique, -8c. This was neuer wholly committedto writing. Some
 to meepe for Sara. Here " becaure the letter Caph is leffethan the reft, they note that $A$ Ababam wept but a little for Sara, becaufe fhe was old. Againe the letter Aleph is found fistimes in the firft verfe of Geneifs ; hence R. Elias collected, that the world fhould endure but $A$ ix thoufand yeares; becaufe $A$ $l$ leph in the Hebremes compuration flandect for a thoufand. From the tranppojition of letters they conclude
 thema or Excommunication; by a Metathe fis ortranBpofition of the letters, it is made Rachem figHฉา Ramach, whichletters in the Iexes computation make 248. which in their Anatomy, they finde to be the iuft number of members in a mans body. Their conclufion hence is, that if an excommunisatedperfon doe truly repent, then bis cherem is suryed info Rachem, his curfe turraed into able Sing: if be doe not repent, then bis Cherein entreth into Ramach, the curfe entretb into all bis members, to the vtter deftroying of the whole man. Againe, שיUs Ifch, fign nifiech a man, nus Eccha, a roman. Hence they note, that in

## Their Teraphims.

Lis. fo
the rame of the man there is 1 Iod, which is not in the name of the roman; in the name of the wominn there is $n \mathrm{He}$, which is not in the name of the mean: both thefe maken Iah, one of the names of God: chefe being takenaway, in borbnames there remaine UN Efch, fignifying Fire, to hew, that as long as man and wife agree, God is with them, but when they difagree, fire is betweene them. Thus we fee what vaine my fteries their Kabbalifts obferue.

## Chap. IX.

## Their Teraphim.

COncerning the Teraphim, two things are efpecially to be enquired. Firft, what they were ?Secondly, for what ufe? The word ${ }^{7}$ Taraph fignifieth ingenerall the compleat Image of a man. Michaltooke an Image, (a Teraphim)and laid it in thebed, 1 Sam.19.13. More particularly, it fignifieth an Idollor Image made for mens priuate vere in their owne boufes, fo that thefe Images feeme to haue beene their Penates or Lares, their hourhold Gods; wherfore haft thou follen my Gods? my Tenzphim?Gen. 3 1. 30 . And this man Micab had an boufe of Gods, and made an Ephod and Teraphim, Iud. 17. 5. Becaufe of the workhip exhibited to thefe Idols, hence from the Hebrew Taraph, or as fome reade it, Tharaph, commeth the Greeke ${ }^{\text {a }}$ sposis dirr, To morfhip. $\qquad$ The manner how thefe Imageswere made, is fondly rus?pax dien पัconceited thus among the Rabbies; They billid a stxor-Hefiodo
 head, aud feafoned it mith falt and fpices, and wrote vp- Eliamicrkbjio:
188. L1.4. Sewerall forts of Diuination forbidder. on a plate ofgold, the name of an vucleane pirit, and put it vader the bead upon a wall, and lighteed candles before it, and wor fbipped it. With fuch Laban fpake; fay they. But without controuerfic, the Teraphim which Michal put in the bed, was a compleat. Jtatue or 1 mage of a man. The vee of thefe Images; was to confalt with them as with Oracles, concerning things for the prefent vnknowne, or future to come: ${ }_{3}$ Absy Efra. Gern, To this purpofer certainecy were made by Aftrologers 3 30.. influences, we cuntellations, capable of heauenly The Tera, whereby they were enabled to fpeake. The Teraphims buuc JPokes ranity, Zach.10. 2. And among other reafons, why Rabel Itole away her Fathers Images, this is thought tobe one, That Laban might not by confulting with thefe Images difcouer what way tacob tooke in his flight.

Cmap. $^{\text {K. }}$

## The Seuerale fort of Diuination forbidden.

WE fhall find, Deut. 18: 10; IT. thofe Diuiners, which are by:the Iaw forbidden, diftinguifhed into feuens kinds, not becaufe there were no other, bur they were the mofevfuall. I. An obferuer of times. 2. An Inchanter. 3.A witch. 4.A Charmer. 5.A confulter with familiar ßirits. 6. A wizard. 7.A.Necroman cer. To the ee we may adde an eight bour of H0S.4.12. confulting with the faffe: And a ninth our of Ezekiel 21.2 1. A confulter mith intrals. X. The firt is fivy

Sewerall forts of Dixination forbidden. LI 3.4.
 and feafons, faying, fuch a day is good, or fuch a day is ${ }^{266}$. raught, fuch an boure, fuch a mecke, fuch a monerth is iucky, and fuch and fuch valucky, for fuch and fucch bufineffes: ${ }^{b}$ whence thofe that deriue the word from ${ }^{b} D$ Ki:echi is yad. Fiy Gnain, fignifying an eje (as if hereby were meant a lugler, or Impoffor whodeceived she eyes of bis (pectatorsby cafting a miff before them) vtteriy thifake: more pertinently the fipeake, who derive it fromissuy Gnona, fignifying Time. But of all I approne thnfe who deriue it c from gyy Granan, eA cloud, as if the originall fignific d properlya planeta-
c Ab:n Era Leuits, 19.26: ry, or Starre-gazer. Hereby he is diltinguifhedfrom the fecond fort of vnlawfull Diuiners, for he alfo was an obferticr of times; the firf drawing his conclufions from the colour or motion of the clouds: the fecond, from his owne fuperflitious obferuation of good and euill euents, happening vponfuch and Such dayes, fuch and fuch times: the firft feemerh to haue drawne his conclufions à priori, from the clouds or planets, caujing goodand badeuents: the fecond àpoferiori, from the euents themfelues; bappening: vpon fuch and fuch times. This planetary, when he obferued the douds, feemeth to hane ftood with his fare Eaft maret, his backeweftward, bis right band towards the South, and bis left hard towards the North: except it was from this pofiture of the Starre-gazers body in time of obferuing, I finde no reafon why the Hebremes Chould terme the Eafterne part of the world Kidim(i.) the formar part of ibe world: the wefterne part 4 nm (i.) The backe part, the South part nonlamin (i.) The righthand, The Worth part $\mathrm{sem}^{2}$

190 LIs. 4. Sexerallforts of Dininationforbidden. Shemol (i) The left hand: that the reafon of thefe denominations is, becaufe Adam was created with his face toward the Eaft, is as vaine, as hard to prolie.
2. The fecond is wisu Merachefoh, rerdredan Inchanter; it importeth rather an Augur, or Soothbis owowe experience draweth obferuations, to fore-tell good or euill to come, as Soothfayers doe by obleruing fuch and fuch euents, by fuch and fuch flyings of a D. Kimbhim ra- in this wife a ings, or kawings. The Rabbines fpeake dise willfay, becouse a menachefch a Soothfayer, who mouth, or his a morfell of Bread is falten out of his Led bion backe, faffe ort of hishand, or his fowne called bimbacke, or a Crow kawed vutobim, or a Goat paffed by him, or a Serpent reas on his right hand, or a Fox on bis left band, therefore bee widilday, doe no this or that to day. This word is vfed, Genef. 30.27 I haue learned by experience, faith Laban, that the Lord hath bleffed me for thy fake. Againe, Gen. 44 . 5.Is not this the Cup in which my Lord drinketh? and whereby indeed he diuineth ? That is, proueth or maked triall or experience what manner of men yee are : the Heathen people were very fupertitious in thefe obfertations : Some dayes were Atri, others Albi, fome unlucky, others buckys on fome dayes they counted it vnfortunate to begin battaile, on fome moneths vnfortunate to marry.

Menfe malum Maio nubere vulgus ait. Aad as they were fuperfitious in obferuing $v n-$

Seuerallf forts of Diunationforbidden. Li B, 40 lucky jignes, fo likewife in the meanes vfed to auert the exill portended : the meanes were either mords or deeds. © Deeds, thius if an vnlucky bird, or fuch e Plara ifieffindiz like cane sin oina ripbace
 witch, which amongit the fimplier fort of people wiedunsiu. is thought to be a meanes to cure witchcraft. By words, they thought to elude the euill, fignified by fuch fignes, when they fay, Eis kupaxim coi, In caput tuum recidat boc omen; This euill light on thine orone heat.
The third is הuבu Mecafcheph, $A$ witch, properly a Iugler. The originall fignifieth fuch a kind of Sorcerer who bemitcheth the fenfes and mindes of men, by changing the formes of things, making the m appeare othermife than indred they are. The fame word is applied to the Sorcerers ine $\neq g$ Ypt, whorefifted Mofes, Exod. 7.1 r. Then Pharaobalfo called Mecufchp im, the Sorcerers. Now the Magicians in Egypt, they alfodid in like manner with their $1 n$ chaizments. This latter part of the text explaineth what thofe Sorcevers were. In that they are called Magicians, it implyeth their learning, that they were wife men, and great Philofophers: the word inchantments declareth the manner of the delafion, and it hath the fignification of fuch a flight, whereby the eyes are deluded, for Labatim, there tranllated inshantments, importeth the gliffering flame of a fire, or fword, wherewith the cyes of mers are dilzeled. The Greeke verfion doth not vnfitly: $^{\text {a }}$ terme them odpukess,Vnguentarios, Jeplafiarios, com-
 makers, $\mu \mathrm{ug}+4$. Suidass

P92. Lip. 4. Seuerallf orts of Diuination for biddent. makers, fuch areifens who miske men and womens faces with paintings and falfe complexions. Hence it is that the Apootle compareth fuch fuije ieachers, m:he under a forme and Joew of godineffe, leade cap. tiue filly women, to the cf gheptian Sorcerers, Ianness
and Iambres, who refifted Mofes, 2 . Tim. 3. 8. Thefe

- Talmuditract. Menacibolb.cup.g. h Origen condra celfursolit. A. is Ilim.nat. bija.lib. 3-sap.E. two were of chiefe note. In the \& Talmusd they are called Lobanne and Mamre; by "Numenius, a yithagorean, lannes and Mambres; by ${ }^{\text {i }}$ Pliny, Iamnes and rotape. brew word fignifieth conisyning or confociating; either from the league and fellowbip which fuch perfons haue with the Devill, or as Bodine thinketh, LBudin. Mag. de
num. Lib. I.Gag.6.

14ainoontrate. Idelol. ، af.iI. S. 10.120 in which ib bey dance and wnake mauer frequent meectings, tranflatech fuch a charmer mer Rytogether. Onkelas intimating the manner mer puaten, Amutterer, be by the mutteringner of thefe Witcheries, to be by the muttering, or foff fpeaking of fome $\hat{P}$ pell
or charmac. The defcription or charme. The defcription of a charmer is thus delincred: : Hee is a charpwer who feakeeth mords of aftrange language, and mitbout fenfe, and bee in bis foolifnuefè thinketh that thefe words are prefitable: that ifone $f_{a y} \int_{0}$, or $\int_{0}$, vnto a Serpent or Scorpion, it cannot burt a man, and bethat fuithfo or fo vnto a man bee cannoo bee hurt, ©̛c. Hee that whiperectb ouer a 20ound, or readeth s verfeout of the Bible, likinvife hee that readet $b$ ouer an In fant, staat it may noot b frighbted, ar that layeth the Booke of the Law, or the Pbilaă eries opon a cbilde that it may תleppe, Juch are not onely among Inchanters, or Charmers, but of thofe ehat generally deny the Law of God, because they maket the mords

Seuerall forts of Diuination forbidden. LI B. 40 of the Scripture medicine for the body, whercas they are not, but medicine for the foule. As it is mritten, Prou3.22. Thy flball be life vnto thy foule. Of this fort was that, whereof ${ }^{m}$ Bodinus fpeaketh, That a childe m modim.May. deby Jaying a certaine verfe out of the Pfalmes, bindred a woman that fle could not make ber butter; by reciting the fame verfe backward, bee made her butter come prefently.

The fifth, $コ \boldsymbol{J i N}$ Schoel 0 b, a confulter with ob, or with familtar ßpirits. Ob fignifieth properly a Bottle, and is applied in diuers places of Scripture to Magicians, becaule they being poffeffed with an euill firit, (peake with a foft and hollow voice, as out of a Boitle. The Greeke calleth them Errappubives, n Ventriloquos, fuch whofe voice feemeth to proceedout of their belly. Such a Diuiner was the

- cbryofolomil Cor. 12.Terculliax.adu. Marcionalib. 4. Damofell, AE.16.16. in ${ }^{\circ}$ Saint Augufines iudge- cap.25. ment, and is probably thought fo by moft Expofi- ©ungif. 2.de tors, whoare of opinion, that the pirit of Pythen, with which this Damofell was poffeffed, is the fame which the firit of $O b$ was amonglt the Hebrewes. Hence the witch of Endor, whom Saul requefted to raife vp Samuel, is faid in Hebrew to haue confulted with $O b$; but among the Latine Expofitors, the is commonly tranflated Pythoniffa, snepolfeffed with the firit of Python.

Thefixth is ירצy Iiddegnoni, A wizard; in the Greeke, hee is tranflated fometimes rvasus, a cunning man. In both languages hee had his name from knowledge, which either the wizard profeffed himfelfe to haue, or the common people thought him to have. The Rabbies fay, hee was called in

$$
\mathrm{Cc}
$$

Hebrew

194 LIs. 4 . Sewerall forts of Dixinationforbidden. P. Fag. Lenil rg. Hel rew from acert aine beaft named by them P Iadua, Verum sithaneus
befliam baxc vocas
in fhape refensbling a man, becaufe thefe wizards uphen
 vid Bouin, Nag. betwene the iv teeth. This haply might be fome diadenom. Ul. 14ep.6. Goticall sucriment or ceremony, wed for the confirt nation of the teague betweene Satan and the mpi-

2 Pereri de Mag. pag. 57. zird. A Prophane hiltory mentioneth divinations of the like kinde, as that Magicians were woit to eat the principall parts and members of fuch bealts, which they deemed prophericall, thinking thereby, that by a kinde of $\mu$ miknuvious, the foule of fuch beafts would bee conneyed into their bodies, whereby they might be enabled for prophecie.

The felienth is Dorefoh al bammethim, the Greeke anfwereth word for word, "Emponity mive verfis, An inquirer of the dead, a Necromancer. Such diuiners confulted with Satan in the Thape of a dead man. A memorable example wee finde recorded, I Sam. 29. There King Saul about t) warre with the Pbiliftims, (God denying toanfwerhimeither by dreames, or by Vrim, or by Proplets) vpon the fame of the witch of Endor, hee repaired to her, demanding that Samuel might bee, raifed up from the dead, to tell him the ifflue of the warre. Now that this was not in truth, samuel, is eafily euinced, both by teftimonies of the learned, and reafons. Firft, it is improbable, that God who had denied to anfwer him by any ordinary meanes, hould now deigne him an anfwer fo extraordinary. Secondly, no Witch or Denill can difturbe the bodies or foules of fuch as die in the Lord, becaule

Secureall forts of D iuination forbidden, LIB, 4. they reft from their labours, Reu. 14 .14. Thirdly, if it had beene samuel, he would doubteffe haue reproured Saul for confult ing with witches.
 fulter with his flaffe, Hof.4.12..Ierome faith the manner of this diuination was thus: That if the doubt were becweene thio or three cities, which firft Thould be afjoulted; to determine this, they wrote the names of the cities wpon certaine ftaues, or arrowes, which being Shaked is a quiuer together, the fir? that was pulled out determined the citze. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ Others deliuer r, Vid Durf in the manner of this confultation to haue beene thus: Dent.pag. 99 . The confulter meafured bis flaffe by fpans, or by the length of his finger, faying os be meafured, I will goe, I will not goe, I woill doe fuch a thing, 1 mill sot doe it, and as the laft $p$ anfell out, so be determined: This
 zici, Dinination by rods, or arrowes.

Theninth was ไココּ Roe baccabed, a diui. ner by intralls, Ezek. 2 1.21. Nebuchadnezarbeing to make warre both with the Iewes and the Ammowites, and doubting in the wayagaint whether of thefe he fhould make his firft onfer; Firft, he confulted with his arrowes and flaues; of which hath beene foken immediately before; Secondly, he confultedwith the intralls of beaffs. This practice was generally receinedamong the Heathens, and becaufe the lizer was the principall memberobferued, it was called nimaloxoorid, Confultation with the livier. Three things were obferued in thiskind of diuination. Firft the colour of the intrals, whether they were all well coloured. Secondly, their place, whether none were

## $\mathrm{Cc}{ }^{2}$

diplaced.

LIS. 4. Seutrall Coris of Disinationforbiddeiz. difflaced. Thirdly, the number, whether none were wanting; among thofe that were wanting, the want of the liver or the heart chiefely prefagedill. That day when Iulius Cefar was flaine, it, is toried, that in two fat Oxen then facrificed, she heart was wanting in themboth.

THE

THE

# FIFTH.BOOKE. Of their Confiftories. 

## Chap. I.

Their Courts of Iudgement, efpecially their Ecclefafticall Conjatory.


Here were in 1 frael diftinct Courts, confifting of diftinct perfons, the one principally for Church buflnefles, the other for aff aires in the common wealth, theone an ${ }^{2}$ Ecclem ${ }^{2}$ Iunius Anatjt. fraficall Conjfiory, the other a Ciwill iudicatory: of thefe, and their feuerall cenfures, and punifhments, it remaineth now to befpoken.

Thefe different confiftories or Courts of iuftice, wefinde firft diftinguifht, Deut.17:12: He rbbich will not bearken whte the Prieft or unto the Iudge. Where the people of Ifrael are directed, in what cafes, and to what perfons they fhould make their appeales from inferiour courts; Namely, to the

$$
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Prief I'rief in matters Pirituall, or ceremoniall; and to the Iudge in matters ciuill or criminall. Thefe two Courts are more plainly diltinguifhed, 2 Chron.19. where lehofaphat reforming many abufes in Church and Common-wealth, firlt appointed chorowout all the fencedcities of Iudah, fecular Iudges to determine criminall caufes, verf.5. And at lerufalem he appointed a pirituall court confifing of Leuites, Priefts, and the chicfe Fathers of Ifruel, vers.8. And in caufes firituall for the Lord, Amariah the bigh Prieft was chiefe : in caufes criminall for the King, Zebediah waschiefe, verf. II. likewife the Prophet leremiah is condemned to die, by the confifory of Priefts, Ier.26.8. But by the conjftory of Princes, or feculur Iudges, fitting in the gate, he was abfolued and difcharged, verfin. yea, although the tyranny of Antiochers, and the troublefome times enfuing had bred fuch a confuffon in matters of gouernment among the lewis, that an euident diftinction can hardly be found in the New Teffiament: yet fome footlteps and imperfect tokens of both Courts are there obferuable, principally, Matth. 2 1. 23. It. Matth. $=6.3$. The chiefe Priefts, and the Elders of the people, are named as two difinct Confiftories: and each Confiftorie feemeth to be differenced by its proper name; the fecular Confiforic termed owe of:cv, A Councell : the ßirituall termed owazwhi, A Synagogue. They will deliuer jou vp to the councells, and they mill four ge you in their Synagogues, Match: 10.17. Hence that grear affembly of Prophets and holy men, called together by $E\{r a$, for the reformav tion of the Church, after their returne from Babylon,

## LIB. 5. Their Courts of Iudgement, ©e.

is called Synagramagna, Their great Sjnagount.
The office of the Ecclegiafticull Court, was to put a difference betweetse things boly and viloby, and betweene cleane and uncleane, Leuit. 10.10. and to determine appeales ins controuerfies of difficultic. It was a reprefentatiue C'nurch. Hence is that, Dic Ec-- defie, Matth.i8.16. Tell the Chirch, becaufe vitw them belonged the porrer of Excommunication, the - fetierall forts of which cenfure follow in the next Chapter.

Onely here take notice, that as in the ciuill conjflories; confinting of feuenty ludges, which was the fupreme Court, there were iwo fate as chicfe, namely one whom they termed $N a j$, the Lord chieferuftice; and the other, whom they termed Abbeth din, the Father of the Senate: fo in the ECclefafticall Conjiftory, the bigh Prieftandhis Sagam, or fecond high Prieft, fate chiefe there, 2 King. 23.4 . 4 Hat the bigh Prieft fate in the Sanbedrimneceffarily is an errour, for hee was not elected into that fiffories affembledtogether, as often as the matters tobe determined, were partly Ceremoniall, partly Ciuill, partly belonging to the church, partly to the Common-wealth: which being not noted, caufeththe Courts not to bee diftinguined by many Expolitors. This meeting and joyning of both $C$ Cnfiftories often appeareth in the Gopell. The chicfe Prieftsand the Elders mect together.
of their Excommunication.

## Сиар. II.

## Of their Excommunication.

THey had three degrees of Excommunication. The first was called in the N.T. a caftingout of the Synagogue, 1oh.9.22. by the lewes
 bat vex Separation
mem, Elongation. nev, deducitur a yerbon7 Separaнiz. Hint etiam profcriptus, profligates, alt $\sqrt{6}$ paratas quıpiam decitur By \% ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Buxtor)f. ex Kab. bines Epifiol. Hebr: log. 55.
nified a separation from all commerce or Society with any man or woman for the diftance of foure cubits; alloy from eating or drinking with any; from the vel of the marriage bed d from Jawing, wafting, or the like, lite of the offence: It was of force thirtie dyes, yet fo that they might be fhortned yon repentance. He that was thus excommunicated had power to bee prefers at Diuineferruice, to teach others, and learne of others; be hired Servants, and was hired bimelfef, but alwayes on condition of the forefaid Separation. If he remained impenitent, according to the pleafire of the Judge, his punifhment was increafed, either to the doubling or the tripling of the time, children were not circumcised; if he died without repentance, then by the fentence of the Judge, a fine was cant upon his coff in, or bcire, to hew that hewas worthy robe fined. They mourned not for fuck a one with folemne lamentation, they followed him not unto the granule, nor buried him with com-
monburiall.

## Oftheir Excommunication. Lis. 5.

The fecond was called inthe N.T. a giuing one ouer to Satan, I Cor. 5.5. By the Iewes Jan cherem. For the better vindertanding of this word, wee muft know, that it is not ved in this fenfe in the old $T e f$. There we fhall finde it applyed to perfons, or to things; if to perfons, then it fignifieth a denoting of them to G.od by their death, Leuit. 27.29. If to thines, then it fignifieth a deuoting of them vato God, by feparating them from ordinary vfe : hence it is that Achan is punifht for ftealing the deuoted thing, 10 fh .7 . ' Perfons thus deuoted were termed by the Greekes ajuriucla, and denoted things, wiason. juald. Notwithtanding, in the Apoflestime, both Cherem, and aidereu, fignified afecond degree of $E x$ communication, differing from the former; Firft, becaufe it was not done in a priuate court, but publinhed in the audience of the whole church. Second$l y$, maledictions, and curfes were added out of the law of Mofes. At the publifhing hereof candles were tinned, and when the curfes wereended; they put out the candles, in token that the excommunicate perfon was depriued the light of heauen. This kinde of excommunication was exercifed againft the inceftuousperfon, I Cor.5.5. And againft Hymeneus, and Alexander, 1 Tim.20.25.

The third was called in the New Teff. by the Syriake name Maranatha, ICor. 16. that is, the Lord commeth. Maran fignifieth the Lord, and Atha, commeth, and this they fay was inftured by $E$ noch, Iud.14. The Iewes called it Scibammatha, the Etymologie of which word I finde to bee twofold. Some fay it foundeth as much as Maran-atha,

$$
\mathrm{Dd} \text { the }
$$
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dy Dominus, Nnか vinit. c Elias 7bisbitcs in radice.
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\& Bertram de $P$ o. litia Judaic. cap. 2. pag.2I.
g B wxicuf. Epijf.
Hebr.p.59. in dorfo Epifolre fubisisfo. lebat brec auberesia tura 71ON

7\% ำ i. probubitumefler anathema R. Gerfom luminis capti. witatis (Scil. relognare bas literas.) hrid. Iuftollizo. tas in codianta ca. ronuin Eccle $\int$. wni. wer/•иd canon. 25. Bellar. de panil lib. 1.C.22. © Cafaub. Excrit. pag. 5 ร. obfermant qisintum gradum, queim ille رésworva alter uś. stely appellat.
the Lord commeth, d Schem fignifying the Eiord, and Atha commest : cothers fay it foundeth, There is death, Scham fignifying there; and Mitba, death. Hence wee may render it an excommunication to death. ${ }^{f}$ And this is thought to be the reafon of that plirafe, 1 Iok.5.16. There is a finne unto death, (i.) wh c' c deferueth excommunication to death. $\mathrm{B}_{\text {R }}$.Gerfom forbade the breaking open of letters, vader the penalty of all three forts of excommunication. And this was termed Excommunicatio in fecreto nominis tetragrammati : fee the forme hereof in the Chapter of the Sadduces.
In the Greeke Church there were ${ }^{\text {h }}$ foure degrees of ihis cenfure. 1. Eusuas. Thofe were cenfured with this degree, who were onely barred the Lords Table: as for entrance into the Cburch, hearing the Word, praying with the congregations, they enioyed equall liberty with other Cbrificins, they might fand by and behold others receiuc the Sacrament, but thenfelues did not partake thereof, whence they were called Staintes. 2. iaminjons, concerning this cenfure, all that I reade of it is thus; That hee that is thus cenfured, hath admittance into the i Viid. Iufl l. loco. Churc', it but his place muft be behinde the pulpit,
inato. and he mule depart with the Catechumeni, that is, furh Pagans who were gained to the Chrifian faith, but not fully admitted into the church, becaufe they wanted Baptifme, and therefore that they might not pray promifcuoufly with other Chriftians, there was a place behinde the Quire of the

[^1] Church, in manner of cloyfters, allotted to them, and was from them called ${ }^{k}$ - Catechumeriumm: This
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I take to be the place for this fecond degree of Excommunication, fo that the force of this cenfure I thinke so confift in thefe three things. Firf they were barred the Lords Table. Secondly, they might not ftand by at the adminittration of the Lords Supper, (which was allowed in the firft degree) and this appearecth clearely, becaufe the Catechumeni departed alwayes at the celebration of the Communion; for to them principally it was faid, Ite miffa eff. Thirdly, though they might inomocrv, fall downe on their knees and pray, and were thence called succumbentes, yet thisthey might not doe in the congregation, but only in that place behinde the $Q$ qire or Pulpit, which was allotted to the Catechumeni, and in this alfo this fecond degree differeth from the firft. The third fort of cenfure was ivejars, the party thus cenfured was permitted to come no further than the Cburch porch, where it was lawfull for him to heare the Scriptures read, but not to ioyne in prayer, nor to approach the $L$ ords $T$ able whence fuch were termed Audientes. The fourth and laft fort was wejraduors ; perfons vider this cenfure, tood quite without the Church, requelting thofe that entred in, with teares and weeping, to petition the Lord for mercie toward them, whence they were called plo pantes.

Seeing it is commonly thought, that Cain was cenfured by the firf deyree of Excommunication called Niddui, and that the laft called Schammatha was of Enochs conftitution; both there being of fuch antiquity, I dare not fay, that the three degrees of Excommunication wereborrowed from the three

204 Lis. 5. Their ciull conffories. Sorts of vucluanneffe, which excluded people out of ${ }^{1}$ Dequiburs. Fa- the three ${ }^{1}$ camps, though there was an obferiable givesin Num $5,5,2$. proportion betweene them. Niddui, may bee paralleld with the exclufion ont of the campe of God alone, which befell thofe that were defiled by touch of the dead: Clecrem maybe conypared to the exclufion out of the campe of God, and the campe of Le$u i$, which befell thofe that were defiled of an iffue. Schammatha may be compared with the excluf1on out of all threc camps, the campe of God, the campe of Leui, and the campe of Ifrael, this befell thofe that were defiled of leprofic, and from the Iewes it is ptobable that the Greekeand Latine Churches borrowed their degrees of Excommunication.

## Chap. III.

Their ciuill Conjiftories, what perfons were neceffarily prefent in them.

IN many things, men might bee finfull in refpect of Gods L Law, though not liable to punifh. ment, in refpect of mans; thow falt not auenge, nor be mindfull of wrong, Leuit. 19. 18, which the Hebrewes explaine thus, To auenge, is to deny a good turne to one who formerly denied him. To bee mindfull of a wrong, is to doe a good turne to one who formerly would not doe fo much for him; butat the doing thereof, to vpbraid the other of his vnkindneffe. They illuftrate it thus : when Ruben faith to Simeon, Lend me thy hatchet; hee anfwereth ${ }_{2}$
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 anfwereth, I will not lend him: Afrerward Simeon hath need to borrow an hatchet of Ruber, and faith vnto him, Lend me thy hatchet; simeon faith vnto him, I will not lend him, thou wouldit not lend me thine: this is manps Nekima, Auchyemert. Now when Ruben faith to Simeoin, Lend mee thy hatohet; he anfwereth, I will nor lead him; afterward simeon borroweth an hatchet of Ruber, Ruben faith, Loe I will lend it thee, I will not deale with thee, as thou dealteit with me, this is Netira, Mindefuline $\int \mathfrak{e}$ : both thefe ware finfull, but not liable to mans indgement.In all ciuill Courts, fine forts of perfons were alwayes prefent. I. Iudges. 2.Officers. 3. Pleaders. 4. Notaries. 5. witneffes. In the fupreme Court there was one that was chiefe ouer all the other Iudges, they called him in Rebrew Nafi; in Greeke, acporte, The Prince. His leane was craned for the triall of actions: The witneffes were at leaftwo, Deut.Ig. 15. If they were falle, they punifht then with a Talio, the fane punifhment which he intended againft his bruther, Deut. 19.19. The Notaries were two, ${ }^{2}$ one foood on the right band tomerte the fentence of abjolution, and what was fposen in defence in Sanbecirim. of the partie ; the other ftood on the left hand, to write the fentence of condemation, and the obiections againft the partv. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Drujues thinks that Chrift fpeaking of the laft indgement, had re-sistlh.25. ference to this; Hee fball fet the fbeepe on the right band, and on the left the goats, , Matth.25.23. The officers were in manner of sheriffes, they were prefent to execute what the Iudges determined, whence they
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LIB. 5. Of their Excommunication. they carried vp and downe their * faues and whips, as the Confuls at Rome had rodsand axes carried before them, for the readier execurion of inftice. In Hebrew they are called שטוטריט Schoterim, by the feptuagint fometimes zoapuz/ĕs; in our Englifh trannation commonly officers, and by Saint Luke apáxioges, for doubtleffe there is allufion vnto them, Luk.12.58. When thou gocit with thine aduerfarie (adsporic) to the Mag! firate as thoulart in the way, giue diligence that thou mayen be deliuered from him, left he hale thee to the Iudge, and the Iudge deliver thee to the Officer, \&rc. The Pieader was called シй Baal rib, he itood on the right band of the party cited into the Court, whether he pleaded for, or againft him. The Lord fhall ftand on the right hand of the poore, to fane him from thofe that iudge his foule, Pfalm. I19.31. that is, the Lord fhall plead bis caufe. And Satan ftood at the right band of Iofbua, Zach. 3. I. that is, to accure bim, or plead againg bim. When Saint Iobn fpeaketh, If any man finne, wee baue an aduocate, 2 Ioh. 2.1. he alludeth vntothis Baalrib, or Pleader, The Iudges, they examined and determined matters, and after examination, fentence was pronounced by the Iudge in this manner; Tu $N$. iuftus, $T^{\prime} u N$. veus. Thou Simeon art iuff, Thou Ruben art guilty: at the pronunciation of which, the guilty perfon was dragged to the place of execution : when bee Thall be iudged, let him be condemned, P falm: 109.7. the Hebrew is, Let himgoe out wicked.

The manner of fentencing perfons, varied in moft countries. The Ienies by a fimple pronuncia-
of their Excommunication. LIB.5.
tion of fentence, bothabfolued men, and condenned them. The ${ }^{c}$ Romans gaue fentence by cafting ${ }^{c}$ Rofin.sntiq. in tables, into a certaine box or vrne prepared for Rom.2.9.6.24. the purpofe: if they abfolued any, they wrote the letter $A$, in the table, it being the firft letter of Abfoluo : if they would condemne any, they caft in a table with $C$, written in it, which is the firf letter of Condemno. If the matter were hard to determine they would caft in orher tables with $N$. L. ingnifying Nonliquet. The d Grecians in like man- ${ }^{\text {d Erafin. Adag. }}$ ner vfedthree letters, © was a token of condemna. ©prefg. tion, which occafioned that of Perjius,

Et potises nigrum, vitio prefigere theta. T was a token of Abolution; $\Lambda$ of ampliation. Others fignified condemnation, by giuing a blacke fone; and absolution, by giving a white fone.

Moserat antiquis. niueis atrifg lapillis,
Hos damnare reos, illos abfoluerc culpa.
Owid. Mctamor. 15.
Toth is there feemeth to be allufion, Reu. 2.17 . To him who ouerconsmeth, I will gilue a white fone, that is, I will abfolue and acquit him in the day of iudgement.

Note thefe three phrafes, duasiveu cis xeion, To rife upto iudgement; arvan̆rva in reioes, To rife up in iudyce-
 firft, is applied to the $1 u$ dge in the exccution of itfftice. when God refe op to indse, Pfalm. 76. 10. That is, to execute iudgement. The fecond, is applied to the party preuailing in iudgement. The men of Niniue Shall rife vp in iudgement with thes generation, Matth. 12.4I. That is, fhall be iuftified before thes generation. The
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 The laft, is applied to the partie condemned, palm. 109.7. Let him departguilty or wicked. The ungodly fball not ftand in iudgement, Pfalm. r. The like phrafes were in vfe among the Romans, Stare in Senatu, To preuaile in the Senate. Gausâ cadere, To bee caft in ones fuit. But thefe phrafes among the Romans, I thinke to haie beene taken out of their Fence-fchooles, where the fet pofiture of the body, by which a man prepareth himfelfe to fight, and graple with kis enenlie, is termed Status, or Gradus, as Cedere de ftatu, To giue backe, Gradum, vel ffatumferuare, To keepe ones ftanding: and from thence haue thofe elegancics beene tranflated into places of iudgement.
## Снав. IV.

## The number of their ciuill Courts.

THeir ciuill Courts were two, טצהך Bilux sanhedrim gedola, the great Con $\sqrt{1}$ -
 sanbedrim Ketanna, the leffer and inferiour Court. Thus I finde them diuided generally by the $R a b$ bines, and although the latter was fubdivided as will after appeare; yet in old time, there were onely two firft branches, which diuifion our Sauiour Cbrift feemeth to haue followed, calling the leffer court pioiv, by the name of Iudgement: the greater owispereo, by the name of a councell. Whofoetcr is angry with hisbrother vnaduifedly, fhall bee cul-
pable of Iudgement. Whofoener faith vnto his brother Raca, ीhall be worthy to be penifhed by the councell: whofocuer fhall fay foole, thall be worthy to be punißhed with the fire of Geherna, Matth. 5. In which words, as there is a gradation of jinne, 1. Anger, a paffion of the minde. 2. Raca, © fcorne- e Raca cin grandis full or dlighting fpecch, as Tut, Tufh, \&c. 3. Foole, reproachfull and approbrious names : So likewife there is agradation of punifbment. 1. Iudgement, a leffer court. 2. Coupcell, the greater conit. 3 . The
alicuiessef Jermo connicii, Jed magis
è contemper natum eft, orneghedudicentix. Cbrjfof. bomill 6 . in Mat. fire of Gebenia: Now Gehenna was a valley, terrible for two forts of fires in it ; Firf, for that wherein men burnt their children vnto Moloc't. ${ }^{\text {f }}$ Secondly, for another fire there continually bur- $f$ David Kimchis ning, to confume the dead carcaffes, and filth of ${ }^{\text {Pfat.27.13. }}$ Lerufalem; partly for the terribleneffe of the firft, and partly for the contemptibleneffe of the place by reafon of the fecondfire, it was a type of bell fire it felfe. We may refolue that text thus, Anger deferued the pnnithments of the leffer court. Raca, the punifhmentsof the greater: And Foole, deferued punifhments beyoud all courts, euen the fire of G chenna.

The greater court by way of excellency, was called the sanbedrim, which word came from the Greeke owésporv, a place of iudgement: It was alfo'called $y^{4}$ M Beth din, the boufe of iudgement. It was diftinguithed from the other Courts, firft, in refpect of the number of the Iudges, which were s $\int \mathrm{B}-$ - mofes Kofferyo uenty one; according to the command of God to fol, 886.col.2. Mofes attheir firt inftitution, Numbers 11. 16. Gather vnto mee Seuentie men of the Elders of 1 frael, whom thou knoweft that they are the

Ee
Eldens
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 Elders of the people, and gouernours oner thena, and bring them vnto the tabernacle of the congregation, and let them fland there with thee. From the latter words of this Text, it is obferued that there were feurenty befides Mofes, and therefore after his deceafe, they alwayes chofe one cbiefe Iudge in his roome, not reckoning him among the feuenty, they called him Nofi, the Prince or chiefe${ }^{4}$ Frames Inains Analytic. exper. Num. 18.

* Solon. Iarcbi.

Nuntill 28. i MoJes K $0: \sqrt{2}$ ns. fold $186 . c 0 l 2$.
ower the ceuenty. Thefefeuenty are thought to bee chofen $\sqrt{2 x}$ ont of eucry Tribe, faue the Tribe of Lewi, out of which onely foure were chofen. * Others thinke the manner of their choile was thus; Six of euery tribe had their names written in little fcrolles of paper, in feuenty of rhefe frolles was written TPY Zaken, Senex, an Elder; in the two other Pho Chelek, Pars, A Part ; thefe fcrolles they put in a pitcherorvine, and thofe that pluckt out a feroil wherein $E$ Ider was written, were counted amongft the number of the Iudges: thofe that pluckt out the other fcrolles, in which a part was written, they were reiected, Numb.11.26. The fenior of thefe feuenty was called prin ys ab beth din, the Father of the Iudgement. Hall. The ${ }^{i}$ whole feat orbench of ludges, fate in manner of an halfe circle, the Nafi fitting in the middeft abone the reit, the otbers fitcing round about beneath, in fuch manner that the Father of the iudgement Hall fare next to the Nafionche right hand. The lefer confiflory was fubdivided into two forts, one confifted of twenty three Aldermen ${ }_{2}$ and two fuch confifories there wercin Ierufalen, the one at the doare of the Cowro before the Texple the other at the doone of the moun-
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taine of the T emple : yea, in cuery City thorowour Ifrael, where there were fixfcore hou fholders, fuch a Conifitory waserected : the other fort of lef. fer Courts confifted onely of a Triumuirat, three Aldermen : and this was erected in the leffer $\mathrm{Ci}_{1-}$ ties, which had not the number of fixfcore houfeholders.

The ${ }^{8}$ fecond difference betweene the greater $k$ mefos waxfenf. Canfoforic and the lefier, was in refpeet of theplace. ibid. The feuensy fate onely at Ierufalem within the court of the 7 emple, in a certaine houfe called noub Bu:nt Lijchath bagasith, The paued chamber, becaufe of the curious cut flones wherewith it was paued: by the Greekes it was called astrfowor, the paurment. pilate fate downe in the indgement feat, in a place called the Pauement, 1oh. 19.13. The other confftories fate all in the gates of the $C$. ties. Now becaufe the gates of the Citie are the Atrength thereof, and in their gates their Iudges fate; hence is that, Mat.16.18. The gares of bell Thall not onercome it, that is, neither the ftrength, nor policie of Satan.
Laftly, they differed in refpect of their power and authority: the Coxfiftorie of Seuency receiued ${ }^{1}$ appeales from the other inferiour Courts, from 10eut.17.\% thatthere was no appeale: againe, the Confforie of threefate not on life and death, but onely on petty matters, as whipping, pecuniary controuerfies, and fuch like; the other of twenty three fate on life and death, but with a reftrained power, they had not authority to iudge an whole tribe, the high Prieft, falfe Propbets, and other fuch weighty matters:
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this belonged onely to the feuensy in Lerutalem:
in curaras de rep. Hebr.pag. 109. ${ }^{m}$ Hence is that, $O$ Ierufalem, Ierufalem, which killeft the Prophets, Luk.13.34. The meanes how they tryed a falfe Propher was thus, they obfertued the iudgensents which he threatned, and the good which he prophefied to a place, if the iudgements tooke noreffect, this did not argue him a fulfe propbet, becaufe God was mercifull, as in the cafe of Ezeki$a b$, and the people might repent, as the Niniuites did: but if he prophefied good, and that came nor to paffe, they iudged him a falfe Prophet. The ground of this triall they make the words of leremiah, The Prophet which prophefieth of peace, when the word of the Lordfball come to paffe, then fball the Prophet bee knowne, that the Lord hath truly fent him, Ier-28.9.

The College or company of thefe feuenty, exercifed indgement, not onely vnder the Kings and n P. Galatin. ili.4. Iudges, nbutheir authority continued in times of cap. 5.
 iib. 14.cap.87. vacancies, when there was neither Iudge nor King to rule Ifrael, and it continned vntill ${ }^{\circ}$ Herod pur them downe, and deftroyed them, to fecure himfelfe of the Kingdome.

Here fome may obied, that there were no fuch Courts, or their liberty much infringed in Samuels time: For he went from yeare to yeare in circuit to Bethel, and Gilgal, and Mizpeh, and indged Ifrael in all thofe places, I Sam.7.1 6. Fo which I take it, wee may fay, that as the Emperours of Rome had power to ride Circuits, and keepe Affifes, which was done without any infringement of the liberties of their Senate: So the King sand Iudges in Ifrael had the like power, and yet the authority of their
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Courts frood firme. Thiskinde of indging by keeping of Affifes, the Romanstermed boxtui weita, the the orher $\beta \times \lambda$ hw ouvranlove.

Properties required in Iudges, and the mannor of their clection.

THe Law of God required thefe properies in Iudges; 1.wifdome. 2. Vnderftanding. 3.17ntegrity. 4. Courage, Deut. I. 13. Others are reckoned, Exod. 18.21. namely, 5. The feare of God. 6. Loue of truth. 7. Hating of couctoufnefle: to the ef may be added the eighth, namely, bouing no re/pect of perfons, Deut. 1.17. Thefe two lalt efpecially, the Heathens required in their Iudges: whence the ${ }^{2} 7$ bebans painted I uftice mithout bands, ${ }^{2}$ Pluarch.del Ifide and without eyes, to inturate that Iaderes houldreceiue ne gifts, nor be fwayed wich fight of perrons.
 Ghould befree from all blemith of body. 2. That they sanbecrino. Sbould be skilledin the feuenty languages, to the intent that theymight not nced an interpreter in the bearing of Caufes. 3. That they fbould not be farre ftrooken in yeares; which likewife was required by the Romans in their Iudges, as appearet by that common adare, Sexagenarius deponte. 4. Tbat they fbould be no Eunuches, becaufe fuch commonly were cruell. 5. That they Sbould be Fathers of children, which they thought wis a Secialmotiuc to mercy.6.T har theybouldbe skilful in

Ee 3
Magicke,

214 LIB, 5. The manner of electing Iudges. Magitke, without the knowledge of which, they were not able to iudgro of Magicians.
That there might be a fufficient fupply of able men to fucceed in the roome of the Iudyes dying,

2 Blofes Kolferf. ibid.
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Mainon.in Sar. bearin. 6ap.4. chere fate' three benches of orhers beneath, whom they called ${ }^{4} T$ almidi Chacamim, Schollers of the wife men: out of thefe they made theiz election, and two of thefe alwayes accumpanied the condemned perfon to the place of exccution.
Their inauguration of Iudges was twofold. At firf, by impgofition of bands vpon the head of the party, after the example of Mofes laying bands on 1ofbua: this impofition of hands was not held lawfull, ${ }^{\circ}$ except it were in the prefence of fiuce or three Iudges at the leaft. Afterwards it was by faying a certaine verfe. ${ }^{f}$ Loe theu art aflociated, and power is giuen thee to iudge of penalties. Hence is that faying alls manu ficbat, aut nomine tantum.

Obferve here, that Samuc, which I revder affociated, doth not alwayes fignific a man licenfed to the difcharge of fome publique office by the impofirion of bands; for here it is applyed to thofe, who were nos admitted by impoffrion of bands. No, the reafon whythefe words semica, and semicurb, are generally byall Expofitors, lewes and Chrijtians, trannlated the impopition of bands, is becaule this folemne kinde of licenfing termed Semica or Semicuth, was in old time ved onely towards two forts of men in their adminifion, towards Rabbies and towards Iudges; which kinde of permiffion, becaure it was not performed towards either of them with-

## 

without this ceremonic of impofing hands; hence thefe two words have beene tranflated the $i \mathrm{mpog}\{$ tion of bands, whereas properly they fignifie nothing elfe, but an affociation, an approximation, or conioyning of one into the fame corporation or company, of which be that doth afforiate and giue admifsion, is a mersber.

## Chap. VI.

Geremonies common inall capitall iudgements.

IN their greater punifhments which depriued of life, fome ceremonies were common to them all.
Firft, the Iudges mere to vee deliberation in all coufes, but efpecially in matters capitall. There were foure caufes, faith "Ionadban in his Targme, ${ }^{\text {atargum Tonath. }}$ that came before $M_{0}$ fes (he nameth none in parti- Num.9.8.
cular, but what they were, wee thall prefently learne out of other records) two of thefe were not meighty: in thefe he baftened; two more materiall, concerning life and death; in thefe hee delayed. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Ceterum tam de bits, quam de illis dicebat, Nora audiui. Of both the lighter, and weightier caufes, Mofes faid, I haue not beard, to wit, from ahe Lord: to fhew that a deliberation and confultation as it were with God, ought tobe in ald iudgements, be-risash. fore fentence be pronounced, thefe foure caufes 'Tarryum Hiero-

i. The

## LIs. 5. Geremonies common in capitall, お\%c.

 the Paffeouer, Nu'r.9.9. Secondly, the cafe of Zelophehads dughters $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ Num.36.10. The two weightier are 1. The caufe of the llaphemer, Leuit. 24.13. Secondly, the cafe of bim that gathered fickes on the Sab. bath, Num.I 5.35. In all thefe iudgements there is, The Lord ffake unto Mofes. And in the firl, which was counted among the lighter caufes (becaufe it was not on life and death) etten there doth Mofes in a folemne manner befpeake the people to ftand fill, Et ego audiam, AndI will beare what the Lord will command. Notwithfanding wilfuell delayes in iuftice maketh the Iudge vnrighteous. In that unrightcous Iudge, from whom the Widow wrefted fentence by importunity, we reade not of any other fault in him, but delay, Luk.18.6.
secondly, the party accufed was placed on fome bigh place, from whence hee might bee feene and heard of all the people: Set Naboth, In capite po. preli, on high among the people, I King. 2 I.g.

Thirdly, the Iudges and the witineffes did (when fentence was pronounced) put their hands vpon the condemned perfons head, and faid, sanguis tuns Super caput twism; Thy blowd be vpon thine owne head: vntothis the people had reference, faying; His bloud be onvs and our children; Mat. 27.25.

Fourthly, the place of execition was without the gates, the malefactors were had thither by two
> - 3 Wofer Katenf. in $S$ anjedrin.
> Jt. Talinud. lib. Macceth, (ap. 3. . Mifguza. execusioners, termed by the e Rabbines, noman nith chazami haccenefeth, Spectazors of the congregaition, which is a periphrajis of thofe, whom Saint Markecalleth arruxdimesf, Mark. 6.27. which word, though

Ceremonies common in capitall, \&rco LIB.50 though it be vfedby the Greekes and ${ }^{\text {E }}$ Chaldee ${ }^{£}$ paraphrafts, yet it is a mecre Latine, deriued vielid Apive a pecculando; becaufe in the Court the Execu- gum Bierofolymo tioners were only Jpectators, to beholdand at- $\mathrm{G} \in \mathrm{m} \cdot \mathbf{3 7 . 3 6}$. tend what the Iudges wonid command then.
Fifibly, when the malefactor was led to execution, a a publiquecryer went before, haying, a mefs Kofenw, wo
 becaufe be hath commeited fuch, or fuch an offence, at such a time, in fuch a place, and thefe N.N. arg witnefles thercof: If any therefore knowethany thing which may doe him good, let him cone and make it knomne. For this purpofe one was appointed to fand at the doore of the Confiftorie, with an handkerchiefe or linnen cloth in his hand, that if any perfon rhould come for his defence, he at the doore fwinged about his handkerchiefe; vpon the fight wherof, another ftanding in readineffe a pretty diftance off with an horfe, haftened and called backe the condemned perfon: yea, if the malefacor had any furtherplea for his owne purgation, he might come back foure or fine times, except he fpake vainly, for the difcerning whereof, two of thofe, whom they termed Schollers of the wife men, were fent with him to obferue his fpeech on the way.

Sixtbly, he was exhorted to cenfeffe, that he might haue his portion in the world to come: Thus Iofbua exhortet Achan, 10 Jh. 7. 19. My fon give, I pray thee, glory unto the Lord God of Ifrael, and make confefion unto him: vnto whom Acban anfwered, ver $\int 20$. Indeed I baue finned againfs Ff

218 LIB.5. Geremanies common in capitall, etc. the Lord God of Ifrael, and thus haue I done; Seuentbly, in the time of execution, they

## 4979? <br> 51725 <br> 17

corab lebona becos fobel iaija. Maierozin Sazbedrino. ©.1 3.16. Mofes Kntfonfo in Sanucdions. gane the malefactor, Granum thuris incalice vini. A graine of frankincense in a cup of wine: this they did give to caufe a giddneffe in the condemned perfons head, that thereby hee might bee leffe fenfible of the paine. SainsMarke calleth this cup ímuveropuśver ôvor, wine mingled with myrrhe, Marke 15.23. This was done after the manner of the Iewes, but the fouldiers in mockerie mingled Vineger and Gal!, with it, Matth.27.34. As likewife they gane him a fecond cup in dirifion, when they rooke a Sponge, and filledit with Vineger, and put it on a reed, 14atth: 27.48 . Saint Marke in the firtt cup, mentioneth the cuftome of the Ievpes, which in it felfe had fome fhew of compaffion, for the ground of this cuftome was taken from that, Prou. 3 r.6. Giue frong drinke unto bim that is ready to perifl. Saint Mutthew mentioneth only their wicked mixture, contrary to the receiued cuftome, fo that one Euangelif nuint expuund the other. This firft cup was' fo vfually giuenbefore execurion, that the word Calix Cup; is fometimes in Scripture put for death ie felfe. Father, if it may bee, let this cup pale from me.
i cafaubor. cxereit.pas.654. ex glaimuäid. Lafly, ${ }^{?}$ the tree whereon a man was hanged, and the fone wherewith he was foried, and the fimord wherewith he was beheaded, and the aipkin wherewith he was ftrangled, they wereall biuried, that there might be noeuill memo-

## Their capitall puniflments. Lis.5.

 riall of fuchaone, to fay: $T$ this is the tree, ehis is the fword, this the fone, this the napkin, whereon, or wherevith fuch a one was executed.
## Char. VII.

## Their capitall Punifbments.

THe reves of old had onely foure forts a paraphraf. of death in ve among them. I. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ La-chald Rubh. $\mathrm{I}, 57$. pidatio, ftoning. 2. ${ }^{\text {c Combuftio, bur- Mikhotf. fol. } 188 .}$ ning. 3. Decollatio, beheading. 4. ${ }^{\text {at }}$ Suffoca- -1.3 . 3 . tio, Atrangling. Of thefe, ftening wras counted the serila, Lap datio.
 heading worfe than ftrangling, ard frangling was sio. the enfieft of all.
They have a ${ }^{\text {f }}$ rule, that wherefoeuer the Seripture faith of an offender, Morte plectetur, He fhall be prnifbt with death, not expreffing the kinde of death, there it ought to be iaterpreted of frangling. For example, the Law faith of the Adularer, Leu.20.10. Morteplectetur, Let him be punifht with death : becaule the kinde of death is not here mentioned, they interpret it ftrangling. The reafon of this rule is, becaufe bome Exod. 2s.16. Atrangling was the cafieft death of the foure, and where the Law determineth not the punifhment, there they fay, Ampliandifauores, The fauourableft expofition is to be gitien.

The rule is notgencrally true, for in former times Adultery uas pinifbt with foning, I will indge thee after the manner of them thatare
$\mathrm{Ff}_{2}$ barlots,
220. Lis.5. Their capitall puniflaments. barlots, faith the Lord, Ezek.r6.38. And in the fortieth verfe the indgement is named; They Thall fone thee with flones: likewife the Scribers and Pbarifes faid vnto Chrift, Mofes in the Law commanded vs, that fich fhould be foned, 10.b.8.5.

Before we treat in particular of thefe foure punifhments, it may be queltioned, whecher the Iewes badany power to indge of life and death, at that time when they crucifled our bleffed Sauiour? The lemes faid to Pilat, It is not lanfull for
 vs to put any min to death, Tobn. 18. 3 I. Latter Kewes fay, thut 8 all power of capitall punifgments was taken from them forty yeares before the deAruction of the fecond Temple, and of this opinion are many Diuines.

Anfiotr. Firft, the Iewes fpeech vato pilat, that it was not lawfull for them to put any man to death, cannot bee vnderfood, as if they frould hate faid, we have no power to put any man to death; foradmir, that power in crimio nals were, in the generall, taken from them, yer in this particular, power was permitted them at that time from Pilat, Take ye him, and iuidge him according to your Law, lob.18.3 I. Neither can it be faid, that their Law could not con. demane him, if he had beene a tranfgreffoir thereof; or that they had not out of their Law to obiect againt him: for they fay, They bid a Lain, and by their Lawbe ought todie, Ioh.19,7. It was not then want of power, but the bolineffe of that time, made thern fay, it wow vulamfull.

Their capital punilbments. LIB.,.
For they held it vularfull vpontheir dayes of preparation to fit on life and death, as hath been Hewne in the Chapter of tranflating feafts. And Friday on which our Savour was condemned, was the preparation of their Sabbath.

Secondly, in the queftion, whether power of judging capitall crimes were taken from them by the Romans? Wee are to diftinguifin between crimes. Some crimes were tranggrefsions of the Roman Law, as theft, murder, robberies, \&\&c. power of indging in the fe wastaken from them : other crimes were tranfyreßions onely againft the Law of Moles, as blalphemie, and the like; in the fe, power of indging feemeth to have remained with then. When Paul was brought by the lewes before Gallo, Gallo raid unto them, If it wercia matter of wrong or micked lewdne $\int$ e, O yee lewes, reafon would, that I fhouldbeare with you: but if it be a quefion of words, and names of your Law, look ye to it, AEt s:18.14.

In handling the fe foure punifhments; firlt obferue the offenders, whom the lewes make liable to each punishment; and then the wanker of the punifbenest.

The persons to be flowed were eighteens. ${ }^{h}$ mofeskojenfis I. He that lies with his one wo: her. 2. Or with fol .188.c01 4. his fathers wife. 3: Or with bis daughter in law. 4. Or with a betrothed maid. 5. Or with the male. 6.0 r with the beaft. 7. The woman that liveth down to a beaft. 8. Theblaßphemer. 9. He that ruorbippets ar I doll. Io. He that offerer of his feed to
$\mathrm{L}_{18}$. 5. Their capitall punibbentes. Maloch. I 1 .He that hath b ifamiliar Pirit. I 2 .The wifard. 13 .The priuate intifer to Idolaty.1 4 .The publique nitbdraver to idolatry. 15. Thewitch. 16.The prophaner of the Sabbath. 17 . Hethat curfeth bis father or his mother. 18. The rebellious forne. The manner of floxing was thus, The offender was led to a place without the gates, two cubits high, his hands being bound; from hence, one of the witneifes tumbled him bya ftroke vpon the loynes; if that killed him not, the witneffeslifted ypa fone, being the weight of two men, which chiefly the other wimenfic caft vpon him; if that killed him not, all Ifrael threw fones upon bim. The bands of the witnel'es Ball be firf wponbim top put bim to death, and wfterwards the hands of vul the people, Deut. 17.\%.
Hence the opinion of ${ }^{A} R . A k i b a$ is common$1 y$ receiued, thar fuch an Idolater(it holdeth in all others condemned to this death) was referued vatill one of the common fealts, at which all the multitude of Ifrael came to Ierufalem. The partie thus exccured being quite dead, was afterward for greater ignominie, hlanged on a tree, till towards the sumnefet, at which time heand tree were both buried.
4mofes Koterens: Bocgefperiuss cilato.

Malefactorsadiudgedto burring were ${ }^{\mathrm{k}}$ ten, 1. The Priefts daughter which committed whoredome. 2. He which lieth with bhis ovpne daughber. 3.Or with his daughters diughter. 4.0 r with his fonnes daughter. 5. Or witi b bis wiues daughter. 6. Or with her fonnes daughter. 7. Or with her d.uugbers daughter. 8, or withbis motber inlaw.

Their eapitall punifbments. LIs.5.
9.0 r with the mother of his mother in law. 10.0 P with the mother of hisfather in law.

The manner of burning was twofold. Some they burnt with wood and faggots; this was ermed 'by them Combuftio corporis, The bur-1R. Leui. Leu,20. ning of the body: Others they burnt by powring in falding hot Lead in at their mouths, which defcending into theirbowels, killed them, the bulke of their body remaining whole, and this was termed therefore Combuftio anime, The burning of their foule. This laft was moft in vei; and alone defcribed by mont of their Writers.

Malefactors condemned to beheading, were ${ }^{m}$ two forts. 1. The murderer. 2. Thofe of any ${ }^{m}$ mofes Kotsons $\mathrm{m}_{0}$ Citie, who were drawne to I dolatry. The man- is Sanbedr. ner thereof is at this day in vfe.

Malefactors ftrangled weren $\int 1 x$. I. He that $=$ Mofes Kotofns. fmiteth bis father or bis mother. 2. He that fleanibd leth a foule of Ifrael. 3. An-Elder which contradiefeth the confiftory. 4. A falfe rrophet, and be that prophefieth in the name of an I doll. 5. He that lieth with another mans wife. 6. He that abufeth the body of the Priefts daughter.

The manner offrangling was thus. The malefactor was put in dung vp to the loines, towel being caftabout his necke, which two execurioners, one on each fide, plucked to and fro vitill he was dead.

## 22. Lin. 5. Punifbments not rapitall.

## Снад. VIII.

## Punibments not capitall.

THe leffer puniJbments, not capitall, in vfe among the Hebremes, are chieflyfoure. 1.Imprifonment. 2.Refitution. $\mathbf{3}$.Talio. 4. Scourging.

Imprifonment. Vnder this are comprehended the prifon, flocks, pillory, chaines, fetters, and the like: all which forts of punifhment, feeing they differ very little or nothing at all, frorre thofe which arenow in common vfe with $v$ s, they need no explication.

The Keepers of theprifon, if they let any com. mitted vito them efcape, were liable to the fame punifhment, which fhould haue beene inflicted on the party efcaped. This is gatherable from that, I King.20.39. Keepe this man; if by any meanes heo be mi sing, then Shall thy life be for bis life.

Concerning that Libera Cuffodia, which
${ }^{2}$ Ding. prater. \& Tim.I.18. ${ }^{2}$ Drufius proueth to haue beene in vfe among the Romans, I much doubt whether any fuch cuftome were in vfe among the Hebrewes. That fome kinde of prifoners at Rome did goe abroad with a leffer kinde of fetters in the day time to their worke, and fo returne at night to their prifon, hath ellewhere beene oblerued

## 

 militem copulabat: The fame chaine tyed bosh the Nouxin lib.d. prifoner and the keeper. Obferue the vnufuall quemadmodum $i$ ifignifications of thefe two words; Caflodia, a ${ }^{\text {tatur a }}$ Drufito. prifoner, and Miles, a keeper. So that Drufius delinered seneca his meaning, but not his words, when hee repeats them thus: Eadem casena tàm reum quam militem tenct. Obferue further, that the prifoner was tyed by his right arme, and the keeper by the left, becaufe the zight arme is the ftronger, and therefore iutly remaineth free rather to the kecper, than to the prifoner. Hence is that, ${ }^{c}$ Tuforte leuiorm ini - Senec. decrax. finifraputas catenam; Becaule the keeper tyed quil. cap. 10. himfelfe vnto the fame chaine, not in way of punifhment, but voluntarily for the faftier keeping of the prifoner.Reflitution. This was commanded when goods were vniufly goteen, or wrongfully detained, Exod. 22 . It was ${ }^{\text {d }}$ threefold:

SSecundum idem, in identitie, when fecundafecurde. the werie fame thing is refored which is wrongfully gotten.
Secundum aquale, whenthere is fo much for $\int 0$ much in quantitic reftored, the goods vniuftly gotten being fold, or loft.
secundum poßibile, when reftitution is made according to that which a manhath, not being able to fatisfie the whole. K k Re-

## 250．Lib．5．Punifbments not capitall．

Reflitution in identitie，was and is principally required．Whence it is，that if the thefr，whe－ ther Oxe or theepe，wore found aline vpon a man，he reftored but double，Ex：od．22．4．but if they were killed on fold，then fiue Owen were reftored for an Oxe，and foure beepe for a theepe，Exod．2 2．r．The lewes were fo precife in this kinde，that if they had buift an houfe．
－Danidkinchi with a beame or peece of timber vniuttly got－ ten，they would pull downe the houfe，and re－ fore the＇fume beime or pecee to the owner． From this the Prophet Habbakith doth noe much diffent：The fons fhall cry out of the wall， and the beame out of ibe timber forll anf wer it fabbak．2．I E．
Among the Iewes hee ought to bee fo！d that \＆Augsfitis，Epjif．Exiod not of fufficient worth to make reftitution， 5．4． ans，thatli．Augufine fairh of Chrifli－ ding to his wich oothnot make reffitution accor－ es abilitie，neser repented．And，No：z remittetur peccatum，nif⿸厂⿱⿱㇒日小心㇒ reflituatur ablatum． Talio．This was a penifhment in the fune kinde，an eyc for an cye and a tooth for a 100 th，band for hand，and－oot for fooi，Deut． 19.2 I．

T Talio identitatis，or Pyehagorica，which was
according to the letternfthe Law，when the offender was punitht with the loffe of arieye for putting out anothers cye，\＆c． Talio jimilitudinis，or analogica，which was． when the price of an eye；or fome propor－ tionable mulat is payed for an cye，pur ont，orany other member foyled．

# Punijbmects not capital. $\mathrm{L}_{18} .5$. 251 

The ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Hebrewes Vnderftand $T$ alio fimilitudi- - oculamproocuba, nis, that the price of a maime thould be payed: ideft, pretiumocunot . not Talio identitatis, not that the offender Deu. 19.21. 1 l. Thouldbe punifht with the likemaime. Becaufe Ro Soluensibid. $^{\text {a }}$. to punith like for like in identitie, is in fome cafesimpolfible, as if a blinde man put outanothers rye, or one toothleffe frike out anothers tooth.

In cafe of bodily maimes therefore, the ${ }^{\text {h }}$ Hebrem Dosiors fav that the party offending ${ }^{\text {h Vid. Mun }} \mathrm{E} \mathrm{m}_{0}$ was bound to a fiucfold fatesfuction, firt, for the burt in the loffe of the member. Secondly, for the dammage in loffe of his labour. Thirdly, for his paine or griefe arifing from the wound. Fourthly, for the chatrge in curing it. Fifthly, for the bleinifh or deformity theriby occalioned. Munfer rendreth thofefiue thus; Dammum. lefio, dolor, medicina, confufio. The ${ }^{i}$ Romans i $\mathcal{A}$ gelliuslib. likewife had a Talio in their law, but they alfo ${ }^{\text {Ix.cap. } 1 .}$ gaue liberty to the offender, to make choice whether he would by way of commutation pay a proportionable mulet, or in identity fufferthe like maime in his body.
Scourging. This was twofold; either Virgis, with rods? or flagellis, with fcourges. This latterwas more grieuous than the former, as appearethby that Ironicall fpeech ? ${ }^{\text {k }}$ porcia lex ${ }^{k}$ cir.proRasiric. virgas ab omnium cinium corpore amouit, bic mifericors flagella retulit. Both were in vfe among the Romans, but onely the latter among the Hebrewes. This beating or foourging was commanded, Deut. 25.2 , 3. where the number of exceed. Fortic flripes fhall he caufe him to haur, and not paff. The Iewes in many things laboured to feeme boly aboue thelaw. For example, where the Lord commanded a Subbath to be fanatified, they added their Sabbatulum, that is, they began their Subbaith about an houre fooner, and ended about an houre later than the law required: where the Lord forbade

- Thisbices in them to eat or drinke things facrificed to Idols, Tor they prohibited all drinking with Heathens, becaufe it is doubtfull whether it were offered to Idols or no. The Lord commanded them in the time of the paffeouer to put andy leauen out yyo of thir houfes, they would not take the m name The Lord commanded them to absaine from eating swines flefb, they would not
n. Eliass Tbisbit.
ib.d. fo much as name $i t$, but in their common talke "would call a Sow, דhא Mabar acher, Another thing. In like manner, the Lord comnanded chicfe malefactors which deferued beating, to bee punifht with forrie Aripes; they in their greateft corrections, would give but thirtie nine. of the Iemes fixe times recciued lfortie fripes faute one, 2 Cor. I I 24. For this purpofe the fourge confifed of threetbomgs, fo that at eacli blow hee receiued threc fripes, and in their greateft correction
> - Ialmand. lib. maocoth, cap. 3 . i* Mifibras. were giluen shirteene blowes, that is, fortie Aripes faue one. Whecher ${ }^{\circ}$ thefe thongs were made the one of abuls hide, the other two of an affe


## Punifbments not capitall. Lir.5.

 hide, $\mathrm{Cr}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}$ all three of a calues hide, the mat- ${ }^{\mathrm{P}}$ Bualurim.sid. ter is not materiail, both opinions hane their ${ }^{\text {Drif. }}$ Authors.The manner of correaing fuch was thus. The malefactor had both his hands tyed vnto a pift, one cubit and halfe high, fo that his body bowed epon it. The ludge fiall caufe him to low downe, Deut. 25.2. This poft or fake on which the malefator leaned in time of whipping, wastermed puロy Gnammd, Columina, a pillar. His cloatl es wire plucked off from him downeward vito the thighes, and ${ }^{r}$ this was ${ }^{\text {Tramutibla. }}$ done either by renting, or tearing of them. The Gouernours rent Paul and Silas their cloathes, and commended them to bee beaten with rods, Afts 16.22 .

That the Readic thouldinflict a number of Atripes proportionable vnto the tranfegreffion, this correction was performed in the jight of the Iudge. The Indge Thall caufe him to bee beaten before hisface, Dent. 25.2. 'The chiefe sTamud biid. 1 udge of the three, during the time of the correction, did either reade or recite, Deut. 28.58 , 59. If thou wilt nor keepe, and doe all the words of this law, Ofe. Then the Lord will make thy plagues wonderfull, fo. The fcond Iulge hee numbred the Atripes; and the shird he bade the Beadlesmite. The chiefe 1 udge concludedall, faying, ret bee being merifull, forg awe their iniquity; 犬̛́c. Pal. 78.38 .

Sometimes in notorious offences to augment the paines, they tyed certaine huckle-

254 Lib.5. Punifbenents borrooved, foc, bones or plummets of Lead, or fharpe thornes to the end of the thongs, and fuch fourges
 Althenturshl. 4. taxillata. uIn the Scripture thy are temined iarr.is uer.fili.31. Scorpions; My Father hath chaftized you with rods, but I will correct youl with Srorpions, IKing. I2.12.

## Chap. IX.

## Punilloments borrowed from other Nations.

THE punifhments borrowed from other Nations are principally $\sqrt{2 x}$ : I. difectio, the the death on the Crofle. 2. Serrâ diffectio, The cutting one afunder witb a faw. 3. Damnatio ad befticts, The committing one to fight for bis life with wilde beafts. 4. Trooes, the wheele. 5. zaтатоитлидेs, drowning one in the fea. 6. тинтаurpus, beating one to death with cudgels. The fir $f$ and the third, were meerly Rominpunifbments; the fecond was likewife vfed by the Romans, but whether originally taken from them is doubtfull; the fourth and the laff were meerly Greeke punifbments; the fifth was for the fubftance in yfe among Hebrewes, Greeks, and Komans, but in the manner of drowning them, they differed. It will bee needfull to feake fomewhat of all

## Punibmentshorrowed, ©́c. Lib.s. 255

1. Crux. This word is fometimes applied to any tree or flake on which a man is tortured to death, but moft properly it is applied to a frame of wood conditing of two pecces of timber compaited croffc c -vife. The firt is toamed Crux. fimplex, the Lalt, Crusx compnot. This later is


Crux decuffata. This was made of two equali pecess of timber oblioue'y croffing one the ather in the midule, after the man ner of a $R 0$ man X . and thence it is called decufata. ${ }^{2}$ De. ${ }^{2}$ Hicron intoman culfare efle per medium fcare, Velutifi duce regulice cap. $3^{\text {r. }}$ concurrant ad Reciem liters X. quc figuraeftorucis. This kinde of croffe is by the common people termed Crux Aidrcana, Saine Andrews croffe, becaufe on fuch a one hee is reported to haue beene crucified:

Crux commiffa. This was when a peece of timber erected, was ioyned in the middle to a trauerfe or onerthwatt top, fomewhat fhorter than the peece erect, in manner of a Ronane $T$. This is called Crux: Antoniana, Saint Anthony bis Croffe, becaufe he is often painted with fuch a Croffe.

Crux immifja. This was when a thort trauerfe fomewhat obliquely croffed the ftake crect, not quite in the middle, as Crux decu $\iint_{\mathrm{a}}$ $t a$; nor quite on the top, as Crux commiffa, bur neere the top in this maner $t$. b This is ${ }^{b}$ Lipius dicrice thought to haue beene Crux Chrifti, the Crofe ${ }^{\text {li.s...cap. } 1 \mathrm{o}}$.
onwhich our Sauiour Chriff fuffered.
The ceremonies yfed by the Romans towards

256 Lis.5. Punibmentsborrored, dro. thofe whom they crucified were thefe: Firft,

- Infiple excid. lib 5.cap. 32. Fibloconiva Flacchm It. Lili.lib.I. they ${ }^{\text {c }}$ fourged them, and fometimesticd them to a pillar in time offcourging. Artemidorus is
 That is, being tyed to the pillar hee receined
${ }^{\wedge}$ Plaut. Bacch. many fripes. ${ }^{\text {d Plautus is thought to baue allu- }}$
ded to the fame:

Incrò, atque adfringite ad columnam fortiter.

- Prudencizs: Hierasym. Beda vid. Lipf. de cruce, hb. 2. calp. 4. The ancient Fathers ' report that our Saiour' was whipt thus ad columnam, but the Scripture is filent, both touching the place and manner of his whipping, onely that he was whipt is tefified. He foourged Iefus, and delinered him tobe crucified, Mat. 27-26.

Secondly, they caufed them to beare their - Plutaric. defera owne Croffe. © Maleficicium ad Supplicium edw-
nums. vixdic. cuntur, quifque wameffert crucem. Thus Chrift bore bis owne Croffe, 10h.19.I7. To this there is allufion, Hee that taketh not his Croffe, and followveth after me, is not worthy of me, $M a t .10 .38$.

BEufib. Ectles. bif. lid. s.cap. I. 11. Sueton. Domit. sap. 10.

Thirdy, that the equitie of the proceeding might clearely appeate, the ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ caufe of the punifhment was written in a Table, and fo carried before the condemned perfon, or clfe it was proclaimed by a publique Cryer. This caufe was termed by the Romans commonly Titulus, log.cap. 1.S Sctove in in Hebrew, Grecke, and Latine, Lefus of Nazaret
collg. the King of the Icmes.

## ${ }^{\text {i }}$ Arcmidor. lib 2.

 58. Fourthly, they ${ }^{\text {i }}$ pluckt off their cloathes from fuch as were to be crucified. Thus chrift fufferednaked.
## pumiflements borroxed from other nations. L i B.5.

Serrâdiffectio, A fawing one infunder; They fawed them from the head downward. The ${ }^{k}$ Romuns they vled this kinde of punifhment, fo likewife did the Hebrewes. Thus Manafjes is thcught to haue punifht the Prophet IJaiah, and the Apgtle to haue alluaied vato it. They were fawne afunder, Heb. 11 . 37. Damnario adbeftias. Thofe who were condemned to wilde bealts, are properly termed Beftiarii. Whether Saint Puul did according to the letter, fight with bealts at Ephefus, I Cor. 15.32. is much contronerfed. ${ }^{1}$ Some vnderftand by beafls, Demetrius, and others that oppofed him at Ephefue, "others more probably vnder \&and the words literally; and thiskinde of punifhment was commonly ex-
m Chryfotome.
Ambroje alii. ercifedagainft Chriftians in the Primitiue Cbuech, in fo much, that $\boldsymbol{H}$ catbens imputing the caufe of all publike calamities vnto the Chriftions, would call out ; "Chriftianos ad Leones, Let the Chriftians be $n$ Tertullian Aqvebaled to Lions: Yea the literall interpretation of ${ }^{\text {oog.cap. } 40 .}$ the words, is a ftronger argument that Saint $P$ aul beleeved the Refurrection (which is the fcope of the text) than to vrderitand the words of a metaphoricall fight, againft the enemies of his do Atrine.
Tporis, The wheele: A wife King bringeth the wheele ouer the wicked, Prou-20.26. I take the words to imply nomorebut this, That as the wheele turneth round, fo by the mifdome of a King the miforiefe intended by wicked men, as brought vpon their owne bead. That Lereby fhould be underfood, the grinding of wicked men vnder a cart-whecle, as the husbandman brake fome fort of graine vider a wheele, is the mecreconceit of Expofitors on this place, for no Records make mention of any fuck

234 LIB.5. Pinnifbments borroured from ctiser nations. punifhment in vere among the feres. Amone the Greckes there was a punitherent went voder this

 ren. De cademper. thev bound faft the offender to the epokes of a mheele, na ionate thityemotib. in Apbob. wswias and there fourged himto inforce a conferfon.
kalatumoues, Drowning one in she fer. This was in ver amons many nations, but the manier diffePsine ilib, s. con- red. The E Eomins they fowed vp a paricide intce a

 Pomp. icperni:
zid Cal. Abodi,ti, and fo calt them all into the fea. The $q$ Grecians cap.zi. when they indged any tothiskinde of punifhment, 1 sticuassib.i4. thev wrapthim vp in Lead. The Hebrewes tied a x Hier toat 18.6 mane about his necke. Thus in refpect of the kinde of mow are to be undertood, who fay this kinde of funimment was pecultar to the Temes.

Tyuranousis. It is rendred by che ge erall name of turturing, Heb.I 1.35. 2 M.ic.6.19. But the word fignifietha fpeciall kinde of torturing, by beatiag one with cudgels unto death. It hath its denomination from riptarov, which fignifieth a drumme

 as if the perfon thus tortured, were racke anditietched out in minver of a drumme head : but it
is thlafles fito
 fribit afle Ėdえa iols sujfloytas iy
 21\%10 gavio 50. fignifieth allo a drism-ficke, and 'thence commeth the punihnent to be terined $\tau_{\text {Imp.mismus, that }}$ is, arubring or buating one 2o deucith with cudyels, as if it were with dimm-fickes. This is cuident by Etenzar ; He cime willingly mo monjerusur, to this kinde of tormert, 2 Macab.6. F9. and int the thirtiecth verf, whiners hee gane vp the ghoft, there is

## Puni(bments borrowedfrom oiher nations. Lis.5.

mention of his frokes, not of his racking of ffrctching.
Iunius reckoneth " another kinde of punifnment, a turisider. 2g. 26. termed by the Hebrewes pary, T jinok, which hee would haue to be a compound word : doubtleffe his meaning is that it fhould be compounded of 4 TJI, Nauis, a fhip or boat; and Fin Ianak, Sugcre, To fucke, for he fatth that thereby is meanta certaine punifhment termed Nauicula fugentis, which $\times P$ liz- $\times$ Phatardo. in $A_{i}$, tarch defcribeth in this manner; That the offender ${ }^{\text {taxerxe. }}$
Thould beenclofed betweene swo boats as in a prijon, or as his phrafe is (quafi in vagina) as in a Jheath, and to preferue life in him, milke and hony tempered together, pas forcibly put into bis mouth wher her be would.or ne. And hence, from this fucking in of milk and bony, this punifhmenthath beene termed Nauiculafugentis. But the ${ }^{\text {y }}$ Hebrewes §ay tbat $T$ finok was nothing elfe but manacles, or cords, wherewith prifoners hands were tied. Ileaue it indifferent to the Reader, to follow which interpretation he pleale.

Infirumextumcon-今ringens maлия.
D. Kimch.irr.s9.
36.

## $\mathrm{Hh}_{2}$ THE



## THE

## SIXTH BOOKE.

## 

## Mifcellaneous Rites.

## Сиap. I.

 of Circumecifion.

Heir Sacraments were two. I. The Pafeouer, of which there hath beene a fet chapter. Secondly, Circumcijon, of which now.
Circumcijion, was a cutting off of the foreskinne, as a figne and frale of Gods Couenant, made with the people of the Iemes. It is called a Jigne by God in its firlt inftitution, Genef. 17: and a feale by the Apofile, Rom.4.II. Yea, it is called a figne and a feale, by
 Talmud.
It was vfed (though not as a Sasrament) by many $\mathrm{Hh}_{3}$
other
${ }^{2} 3^{8}$ LIE. 6.
"Alex, ab Alic.r. 4.b.2. cap. 25. He rodes. iib, 2,Dindor. Sicullib: cag. 11. letoricer 3.

Of Circumajigon.
othernations: by the incabitants of colchis, the AEbiopians, the Trogloditue, and the E.Egptians. In a figuratiue fenfi: alluring vato this facramentall rite, we teade of shrece other forts of circumcifrom in the scriptare, fo that inall there are fure mentioned, I. This of the flefh. 2. Another of the beari. 3. Athird of the lips. 4. And a fourth of the eares. Viveare toconnder it in its properacception, and here to obferue; Firlt, the cime when it was adminiftred. Secondly, the manner how. Thirdly, the penaltyincule it wets owitted.

The tinee was the cightibday, yea the eighth diry was fo precifely obfermed, that if it fell on the Sabbath, yet they circumcifed the childe; whence arofe that faving among them, Circumcijoo pellit Subbatum, Circumcijon driueth away the Sabbath; or, the Sabbath giticth place to Circumcijon. And with this accordeth that of our saxiour, ree on the Subbath day circumcife a man, Ioh-7.22. The lewes fupcrltitioully conceitiog that each creatures perfection depended vpon the fanctification of oneSab. bath day at leaft, fay that God did therefore inioyne the eighth day, that one Sabbath might firf paffe ouer each male, before he fhould be partaker of this Sacrament. But more probably we may fay, that the reafons why God would not fuffer them to anticipate the eight $\bar{b} d a y$, were, firf to thew, that God in the matrer of faluation, neither was, nor is $\sqrt{2} m$ plytiedrosacraments, for then there had beene no leffe cruelty in forbidding Circumeifion untill the eighth day, than there was louc in permitting it upons she eighth. Secondly, becaufe in this time of the Hofaicalfpedagogie, there was akinde of legallunshankeffe,

> Of Circumsiforn. Lıв.
clesneffe, in which the crestures were thought tobe, as remaining in theiroloud, for che firfferen dayes atrerthelibirth, Leuit. 22 2:27.It.12:2,3. NorwithItandug gad chongit it no: conuenient rodeferre it longer thaneighe dayes, for the comfort of the parents, which the recenced by a mature and fealonatie initiation of ale irchilderes.

The manne how cirduchion was adminitred, I finde thus record d, Some of thofe that were frefurt held a veffllftll of duft, into which they PaulFag.D:at. did caft the foreskinn b ind clit off. Againe, they ${ }^{10}$. prepared in the roome, a certaine void chaire firs a chifoo:b cait. Eli.ss; which was dane, part!y in honour of him, in Malic.3. for which refpectalfo, as often as they fell on any dificut place in Scripere, they whid fay, ${ }^{c}$ Ve- e Matreems in aid. niet Elias, bromniar enodibit ; Wiee kneve thit Eli.is breuataris, will come, and be will telles all things : but chicfly it was done becaufe they thoughtelias to be predent there in fpirit, whofebodily comming they did, and dxe davly expect. Thefeceremonies are incereIy yenif, pracufed by the latter lemes, but veteriy unknowne in our Sauiour Chrift bis time, andas it appearechby the sumbitane wom her fpeech, if that prene rbiall favinganpliednow vnto Elias, was of old applied to Chrift, Iol. 4.25. Tirdly, hee which fipplied tine place of the mitnefle, or as wee phrafe ir, of the Codfat er, ${ }^{f}$ held the childe in his atmes whiles it was circumcifed: this Gadfather they called B.abl Be ith, and Sandak, that is, the Manfer of the Couenant. Wriah che Prief, and 3achariats the fonne of leberechiah, are ${ }^{3}$ thought to elias 7 ikb.jit hate beene Codf. thers at the circumcifion of Mal


Lib． 6. of Circumcijion． Atome of hauing Godfathers in Baptijme，to have ta－ ken its originall．Fourthly，The parents named the childe，and in Zacharits time，it feemeth that in the naming of the infant，they had refpect to fome name of his anceftors．They faid unto ber，
h Pluteyctis．prob． 102．Afacrob．Sat． lib．T．cap． 16. iCel．Rbodig．tib． 22 cap． 12. ${ }^{k}$ Arift．bilf．anims． lib．7．cap． 12. 1 Tirtuide idol． cap． 16.
${ }^{m}$ Scholiaf．Ari－ Boobin Luffirat．p． 886．11．Suidas in

－Sulukius de con－
mis lib．1．cap． 16.
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$70: 927.5$ 7กำココ コッツコド 1コクゴ゙ Mucs Kulfeuf in traciat． Circumif．fol．ais． ${ }^{8} \square$ ทลองอกセ there is none of thykindred thas is named mith．this， name，Luke 1．61．Other nations，had theirfet dayes alfo after the birth，for the naming of their chil－ dren．＊The Romins gatienames to their male chil－ dren on the ninth day，to the female on the eighth． The ithenians gavie names on the tenth．${ }^{\text {k }}$ Others on the felicnth．Thefe ${ }^{1}$ dayes Tertullian calleth Nominalia．The Grecians beffdes the tenth day on which they named the childe，they obferued alfo the fifth，${ }^{m}$ on which day the midwiues tooke the childe，and ranne about a fire made for the purpofe，ving that cermonie as a purification of themfelues and the childe；on this day the nera Natalitia ；${ }^{n}$ from which cliftome，that a－ mong cbriftians of the Godfathers fending gifes to to returre againe to the rites of the Iemes．After the childe hadberene circumcifed，the father faid； －Bleffid be orir Lord Gud，miso hath fanctified ves mith bisprecepts，and bath commanded ris，that reee foould cause this childe to esster inio the Couenant of Abra． ham．After this，the whole Church or company prefent repliedinthis manner；As thouhaft made him to eriter into the Cousenant，fo moke hima alfo to en－ ter inio the Law，into Matrimonie，and into good
workes．

The penalty for the omiffion of circumcijoin

$$
\text { of circumcifion. Liв. } 6 .
$$

runneth in this forme; That foule fball be cut off from bis people, Ger. 17. 14. I vnderitand the penalty to be pronounced againft fuch an omiffion, which proceeded either from contempt, or wilfult neglect. Inthiscafe, the queltion is, what is meant by this phrafe ? Fiw rould thatl be cut off fross the people: fecondly, who ought thas to bepwifibt? Whether the childe, or the parents, and fuch who fupply the place of parents? For the firt, befides Gods lecret action in punifhing fuch delinguents, mee thioks there is a rule of direstien for the Church, how to proceed againtt fuch in her difcipline: if any vndertand here, by cutsing off fucth a mans. Joule from hispeople, the fentence of Excommurication, or cafting bimout of the Synagogue, I fhall not oppofe it; though I rather incline to thofe, who vnderfand hereby a $b o$ dily death inflicted vpon fuch an offender; in which fenfe the phrafe is taken, Exod. 3 I. 14. whofoener doth any worke in the Sabbath, that foule fhall be cus off from among his people. And it is very remarkable, that when $M$ ofes his childe was uncircumcifed, the Lord fought to kill Mofes: which, as it intimateth the punit?ment for this fault to be a bodily death : fo it cleerely cuinceth, that not the childe till hee commeth to yeares of difcretion, but the parents were liable to the punifhment. The opinion of the Rabbines concerning this latter point, is thus delinered: ${ }_{9}$ If the Father circumcife him not, then the: Iudges are commanded to circumcife binn, and

9 Mofes Kotferyas Efat. circumcis. for.
114.col.40 if it be onknowne to the Iudges, and they circumcife bimnot, when be is waxengreat, be is bound to circumcife himfelfe, andeuery day that paffeth ouer him, ofter he is wpaxen great, and hee circumcifeth not himIi Selfe,
 felfe，loe bee breaketh the Commandement．

Here it may be demanded，low it is poifible for a man，afteronce he hath beene marked with the －Figne of circumaifion，to blot ont that character， and become uncircumeifed？for thus fome lemes for feare of Antiochus，made chemfelues vncircum． cifed，I Ma：1．16．Others for thame，after they were gained to the knowledge of Chrift，and to the entertainment of the chrilian faith，vncircum－
－Epipbaz．lib．de msenf．ob pord pag． 415．13．Ceisus 1.7. сspois． cifed thensfelues，I Cor－7．18．The anfwer is，that this was done by drawing up the foreskinne with a Chirurgeonhis inftument；and vnto this，the $A$ ． pofte in the forequoted place alludeth，us cmavides， Ne．attrabat praputium．This wicked inuention is afcribed vnto Efau，as the firft author，and practifer thereof．

## Chap．II．

> Of ibeir firft fruits, and their firflings, or firft-bonne.

THe we and end of their firff fruits，was that the after fruits might be confeoratedin then．Tothis purpofe theywere inioyned to offerthe firft：fruits of their trees，which ferucd for food，Leuit．T9．23，24．In which this．order was oblerved；the three firflyeaves after the tree kad
－Mat
．クコラゴィ Sweerdos ca 601se－ Rebat．Aben．Efia is blume locum． beene planced，the fruits were counted uncircunci－ fed，and vroleane ：it was vilawfull to eat them，fell them，make any benefit of them：on the fourth yeare， they were accounted boly，that is，cicher they

Their firfl-fruit's, and forltings, ơّc。 LIB, $\mathrm{L}_{1}$.

## 243

were given the the Priefts, Nom. 18.12, 1.3. or the owners did cat diembefore the Lard at lerufalem, as the v did theivecond tithe: and this ${ }^{\text {b }}$ latter is the ${ }^{\circ}$ Tatimend. Baba. is common opinion of the Hebrews. After the fourth magzapher Jerri. yeare, they reamed to the vfe of the owner: wee ${ }^{\text {capri. }}$ may call the ic os 1 Therinuald, Simply the firff fruits.

Secondly, they were enioynied to pay yearely the first fruits of ency scares increafe, and the fe wee may call axdecks, and of them there were many forts. Firfl, first fruits in the floeafe, Lsuit. 23.10. Secondly, firffruits in two wave loaves, Levit. 23.17. There two bounded their hatueft, that in the fheafe was offered in the beginning of harueft, vpon the ffteenth of Nifaris, the other of the loaves at the end, upon their Pentecoft: and Levit. 23 . they are both called nivea Thenuphoth, that is, flake offerings. Thirdly, there was a firs of the dough, Nom. I 5.io. namely, a ${ }^{\text {c faure }}$ and twentieth part thereof, given vntothe Priefts: which kine of offering was obfervid, even when they were returned out of $B a b y$ lon, Nebem.10.37. vito this Saint Paul hath refe-

```
c
คที่
``` rence, Rom. II I 6 . If the first fruit be holy, the lumpe is also holy. Fourthly, they were to pay vito the Priefts, the first fruits of the threfbing-flaore, Numb. 15.20. There two lat are called mum Thermmoth, that is, heaue-offerings; this the heaue-offering of the threffing-floore; the outlier, the beaut offering of the dough, Numb. 15:20. Vader the name of firff fruits, commonly Authors treat of no other, bur this lats, and wholly omit all the former forts: before wee proceed to the explaining of the lat, note with me the difference of thee two words, Thenuphoth, and Therwmoth; both fignifie hake-

L1s. 6. Their firffruits, ind finglings, ©́c. offerings, beauc-efferings, or waue-offerings, but with dP.Eag. ingentat. this difference; ' The Ther umoth, was by a waining of elewarion, lifting the oblation vpward did downward, to fignifie that God was Lord both of heaten andearth. The Thenuphoth, wasby anaing of agiwation, wauing it to and fro, from the right hand to the left, from the Eaft to the weff, from the North tothe South: by which kinde of agitation, they ac. knowledged God to be Lord of the whole world. Now, that we may know what thefe firft fruits of the threfbing floore were, the Rabbies; and" others following them, diftinguifh them into two forts : the fird of thefe, was firft fruits of feuen thingsonely, 1. Wheat. 2. Barley. 3.Grapes. 4. Figges. S. Pomegranaies. 6.oliwes. 7. Dates. For all which, the
- R.Solom. Deut.二6.2.1f.MOF.Kotfexf.fub, 80 I. 601.3. Promifed Land is commended, Deut.8.8. \({ }^{\text {e }}\) Thefe the Talmudifts terme בiccurim; and when they treat of firf fruits, they treat of them vnder this mame, and vndertand by the name of Bic. curimno other. Thefe, they fay, are the firff fruits, which the people are fo of en in the Law commanded to bring vp vato the Sanctuary, at the feaft of Pentecoft, which was the end and clofure of their haruelt, as was fignified both by this oblation, and likewife by that of the twomare lormes, Levit: 23.17.

The fecond, was paid of Corne, wine, Oyle, and the Flece, Dest.18.4. Nam.18.12.vea of all things elfe that the earth brought forth for mans food. Thus their Dectors are to be vadertood, where they f. Mofs Keypr in \(\mathrm{Cay}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{f}}{ }^{\text {Q Q }}\) Qicquid eduliorum ex terra incrementum caSat.part.3 iralz. ice pit, obsoxium ef primituiis, Therume, ớ decimis.

Their firtfruits, and firgtlings, \&́c. L і в. 6.
ying, the Greeke renders it, apporoue - , A feparation, becanfe this was a coiffecr.tion, or fetting apart of the Lordsportion. In allufion vato this, I take Suint
 Separated vnio the Gopeell, Rom. 1.1, cipuecar Axsèv, Aaronflate fepurate the Lemites, fo the Grecke renders it, but the Originall is, Aaron foall waue she Leewites, Numb. 8. in. Againe, apocioxta, Sep rate me Burnabas aind Saul, Aits I3.2. Drufirs delinereth. another reafon, as hath beene faid inthe Chapter of the Pharifes. But to procced: the Hebremescallcd this fecond payment, not only Theruma fimply, bue fometimes \({ }^{\text {g T Theruma gedold, the great beauc-offe- s mana }}\) ring, in compaiifon of that Tithe which the Lexites \(\operatorname{n=77.}\) payed vnto the Priefts : for that was termed Therumamagnafher, the beaue-offering of the 1 ithe, \(N\) um. 18.26. which, though it were one of ten, in refpect of that portion which the Leuites receilued ; yet it was but one of an hundred, in refpect of the Hurbandmans focke, who payed the Leuites: and thus was it a great deale leffe, than the great heaue-offe. ring, as will prefently appeare. This (the Hebrewes fay) the owners were not bound to bring vp to Ierufalem.

The Law prefcribed no fet quantity to bee paid, either in the Biccurim, or in the Theruma; but by tradition, they were taught to pay at leaft the fixtieth part in both, euen in thofe fewen thinos, alfo paid vnder the name of Biccurim, or firfffruits, as wcll as in their beaue-offering termed \(T\) beruma, or Theruma gedola.

Thus the Talmudifts doe diftinguiih the Bicsurim, from the Theruma gedola, but in my opinion,
 the Bicurim may be contained vnder Theruma gedolh, and in tuuth, both of them are nothing effe bur the beave-offering of the floore, formerly mentioned out of Num. 15. 20. My realons are thefe; 1. Scrituture gitech no fuch leatue to keepe any part of their firft fruits at home ; if that coukid bee proved; the diftinction were watrantable. 2. Scripture doth not limit firf fruits vnto thofe feuen kinds, which alone gie vnder the name of Eiccurim. 3. Thenrectues confound both members ; for in their Biccurim, they fay, they paid, I. wheat. 2. B.arléy. In their Therma, they fay, they paid corne; as if vnder corne, wheat and barley were not contained. Some tiay fay they paid their biccurim in the care, whiles the hartieft was yet fanding: and their Theruma in wheat and barley ready thre fbicd and winnowed. My rcafons why it cannot be fo are thefe. r. Becaufe then they fhould pay twice a fixticth part in their corne. 2. Becaure the corne offered in the fheafe was but a little quantity, and it was offercul, not at theiri Pentrecoft when theif hartuere ended, butat their Paffeouer when their harueft began, Lexit.23.10. Whereas their Biccurim or firff fruits were alwayes offered at their Pentecoff.
But omitting further proofes, \(J\) proceed to fhew the ground, why in this beaue-offerinis of the floore, at leafe afixtieth part was prefcribed : it is grounded vpos that of the Propbet Ezefiel, This is the oblation that ye fhall offer, the fixth part of anin Ephah out of an Homer, \(E\) Zek. 45 . 1 3. that is, the fixtiech part of the whole, becaule an Homer containern ten Etplats. Hence they trioke that difinintion of thefe offerings. * Some, lay they, gave the forctieth part

Their. firfferuts, and firflings, Ge. Lib. G.
of theirincreafe : this, becanfe it was ahe greatele guantivegimen in this kinde of oblations, they termed, \({ }^{\text {Ther }}\) Thma oculi ioni, Trie oullationof afire eye: others (though they werenur fo liberall as the former, yet that hey might norbe reputed niggatdy) gane a fifteth part, and this they termed, \({ }^{i}\) Theruma mediann? the ublation of a middleeye: others, whom they renned fordid, gatue iut a fixtieth part, lefle than which they could not gine, this they termed, \({ }^{k}\) Theruma oculimali, the oblation of an esill eye; fo that the payment of thee was bounded by the tradition of the Elders, berwecnethe Ifixiethand the fortiethpart: butcte pharifesthat theymoght be holy aboue others, made thein bounds the fiftieth and the thirticthpart; fo that he was repued fordid whin them, that paid che fiftieth part; and none liberall, except hepaid the thirtieth. The manner how the fe firt fruitstermed Biccurim were paicl, is at large fet downe Deut.26. But in rime of the Frophets, othar Ceremonies feemetohauebeene receiued, of which the Hebrew Dotors fay thus: \({ }^{\mathrm{m}}\) when they carried optheir firf fuuts, all the cities that were in a Coisity', gathered together to the chiefe citic of the County, to theend that they might not goe up alone; for it is fiad, Intle multitude of people is the Kirger bonour, Prow.14.2S. Andthey cime and lodged all nightint the freets of the city, and went not into boufer farfeare ef pollution : and in the morning the Gouermour faid, Arife, andletus goe up to Sion, the City of the Lordour God. Andbefore them-nent a B ull, which had his hornes cowered with Gold, and an oliue Garland on bis bead, to fignifie the firgt fruits of the feuen kinds. of fruits. There nus likemife a pipe flrooke wp: before.

LIB. 6. Their firgt fruits, and firfliags, do. beforethem, untill they came neere tolersfalem, and all the way as they went, they fang, I rciojccat in thesse that faid unto me, we will goe into the hoafe of the Lord, orc. Pfolm. I2 2. Vnto thes, and orber like manner of folemne affemblics, the Frophet hathrefererce, faying, ree foall baue a fong, as in a night when an boly folemnity is kept, and glidnejfe of heart, as when one goeth with a pipe 10 come wnto the nountaine of the Lord, Efa.30.29.

The firflings, or firf-borne of man and bealt, tile Lord challenged as his owne, Exad. I 3. The ground of this Law was, becaufe God fmote all the firftborne in exgjpt from man to beaft, but 乃pared the Ifraelites; for a perpetiall memory of which bene fir, he commanded then to fanctifie all their firftborne males vato him. Now the firft-borne of men, and uncleane beafts, were redeemed for fure filuer Thekels of the Sanctuary, paid vnto the Priefts for each of them, Numb. I8. 15, 16. Vnto this Saint Peter alludeth, Laying, W'ee are not redeemed with corruptible things, is filuer and gold, I Pet. I. I8. The firfl-ling of cleme beafts ought to be facrificed, theirbloud to be fprinkled on the Altar, their fat robe burnt for a burnt offering, and their flefh to retume to the Priefts.

Oblerue how God would be honoured by the firflings of men and cartell; by the firft fruits of trecs, and of the earth, in the Sbeafe, in the threfbing-floore, in the dough, in the loaues; All which teach vs to confecrate the firg and prime of our yeares wnto the Lord.

Снар. III.
:Of Tithes.

WVE a here to enquire; Firf, wbat things in gemerall were titheable? Secondly, how many kindes of tithes there were? Thirdly, the time when each fort of Tithe beganne to be titheable.
- Firff, their yearcly encreafe, was either cattell, fruits of the trees, or fruits of the land, of all thefe 'Vid. Sixtis.A. they payed Tithes, euen to mint, anife, and cummine. Thefe things they ought not to leaue ondone, Matth.23.23.

Secondly, the forts of Tithes, payed out of the fruits, both of the trees, and the land, by the hufbandman, were two, payed in this manner: When the Haruef had beene ended, and all gathered, then the Husbandman laidafide bis great Thersma, otherwife called the firffruits of bis threfbingfloore, of which it hath beene fpoken in the Chapter of firff fruits. This being done, thenout of the semainder he paid a tenthpart vnto the Leuites; and this they termed \({ }^{*}\) Magnafber rifchon, the firft T ithe, Tob.I.7. this was alwayes payed in kinde, and as it feemeth to me, it was not brought vp to lerufalem by the Husbandman, \({ }^{b}\) (others thinke otherwife) but payed vnto the Leuites in the fenerall Cit ies of tillage, Nehem. 10.37. out of this firf Tithe, the Lexites paid a tenth portion vnto the Priefts; this they termed * Magnafber min ham-magnafher, the
\[
\mathrm{Kk} \quad \text { tithe }
\]
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tithe of the Tithes, Neh. 10.38. and Decim:3 funEtitatum, the tithe of boly things, 2 Chron. 3 r. 6. this the Leuites brought vp to the houfe in God, Nenem. Io.38. When the Lesites had paid this tenth portion vnto the Priefts, then the teuitos and their families might eat the remainder of the firit tithe, inany place, enen out of Ierufalem, Nu. 8.31 .

This firf Tithe being payed, the Husbandman payed out of that which remained a fecond rithe; this the Husbandman might pay in kinde if hee pleared, or if he would, he might by way of commutation pay the worth thereof in money; but when he payed in money, he added a fifth part, fo that what in kinde was ters in the hondred, that changed into money, was twelue ir the bundred. This the Husbandman brought vp vnto Lerufalem, and made akinde of Loue-feafi therewith, vnto which he inuited the Priefts and Leuites, onely cuefy third yeare he carried it not to Ierufalem, but pent it at bome withinh is ownegates, :pon the Lexites, the fatherleffe, the widowes, and the poore, Deut. 14. I8.
c mofes Kations. trail. de dicimase canda, fol.rge. They reckoned their thind yeare from nhe Sabbaticall jeare, on which the Laded refted; fo that the firfand fecond Tithe was payed by the Rusbandman, the firft, fecoad, fousth, and fiftignewes after the Sibbaticall geare; but vpon tire thirdand fixityyears, onely the fart Tithe was pijed to the Leentes, and the fecond was fpent at home. Hence in refpeet of the kindes, this is calied \(* 2\) gnaibber foheni, the fecond Tithe, Tob.r.7. in refpectit was paved oo the
 gnani, m"axisédon, the poore mirs. Tithe, ans it M.ag. nafberfchelifai, the third Tithe, Tob.I. . On thofe

\section*{Of Tithes. \\ LIв. 6.}
yeares on which it was carried vp to Ienufalem, it ought of neceflity to be eaten within the court of the Temple, Deut. 14.26. and by the third Tithe we are to vnderfand the poore mansT ithe on the third yeare, which yeare is termed anyeare of Tithes,Deu.26.12.

They likewife tithed their cattell. Of their bullockes; and their Jbeepe, and all that paffed vnder the rod, the tenth mas boly to the Lord, Leuit. 27. 32 . Some Expofitors vaderfand by this phrafe of paffing under the rod, that ail cattell are titheable which liue vnder the cuffody of a keeper, as if there were allufion to the fbepherds flaffe, or keepers rod which they vfe in keeping their cattel. The Hebrewes more probably vnderttand hereby, the manner of their decimation or tithing their cattell, which was as followeth. \({ }^{\text {d He that hat lambes (or bullockes) thus } \int \text { e- d Solomon Larchin, }}\) parateth his tenth, beegathereth all his lambes, and all bis bullockes into a fold, to ubich be maketh a little doore, thät two camnot goe forth together : their dammesare placed without the doore, to the end, that the lambs bearing them bleating, wight goe forth one after another in order. Then one beginneth to number with his rod, one, two, three, \&C. and the tenth which commeth forth, whether it be male or fcmale, perfect or blemibsed, be marketh it with a red marke, faying, This isfor sithe. At this day the Iewesthough they are not intheirowne Countrey, ncither haue any Leuinicall Priefhood, yet thofe who will be reputed religious among them, doe diftribute in lien of Tithes, the tench of their increafe vnto the poore, being perfwaded, that God doth bleffe theirefares the more : for their vfuall prouerbe is, e Thegrafber; \({ }^{\text {e }}\) bifchbil fohe the gnalher, that is, Pay Tithes that thou. majeft berich.

Kk 2 The
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The sime of the yeare from which they reckoned fTalwudotral. de nono anat ad inisiaw Buxtorf.Syag. Ind. cap. 12. 8 Mojes Kotens. in praseps.aflorm. 156. Tithes, was different. For \({ }^{\circ}\) beafis they counted the yeare from Elul to Elul, that is, from Auguff to Auguft, s for graine, pulfe, and berbes, from Tifri to Tifri, that is, from Seprember to September : for the Fruit of irees, from Schebat to Schebat, that is, from Ianzary to laneary.

In this Synopfis following (which Sixtines Amsma hath taken out of Scaliger) the manner of Ifraels tithing is fet downe.
6000. FBufhels in one yeare.
100. Bufhels was the leaft that could be paid by the Huf. bandman to the Prieffs, for the firt fruits of the threfhing floore.
5900 Burfhels remained to the husbãdman, out of which he payed two Tithes.
The Hufbandman had growing

590 Bunhels were the firt tithe to the Levites.
Bufhels the Leuites payed the Priefts, whichwas called rhe tithe of the Tithes.
5610 Buffiels remained to the Husbandman, out of which he payed his fecowd Titbe.
531 Bumels were the jecond Tithe.
4779 Bufhels remained to the husbandman as his owne, all being payed.
\[
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\(\int^{1121}\)

Bufhels are the fumme of both Tithes ioyned together, which is aboue a fixth part of the whole, namely, sineteene out of an bundred.

We are to know moreover, that through the corruption of the times, in time of Hezekiabs reigne, Tithes began generally to beneglected, in fo much that then Ouerfers were appointed to looke to the true paiment thereof, 2 Chrom. 3 I. 13. Notwithftanding, partly throtigh the negligence of the OuerSeers, partly through the couetoufneffe of the people, about one hundred thitty yeares before our Saziours Incaraation, corruptionfo preuailed, that the people in a manner noglefted all tithes, yea none or very few payed, either their firf, fecond, or poore mans tithe, only they paid the great beaue-offering iuftly. For this reafon (faith \({ }^{6}\) Mofes Kotfenfis) in the dayes of \(\operatorname{coh}\) a the Prief, who fucceeded Simeon the iuft,
h 2 Mofes Kations. Fohigg. colis. I take it he meaneth Iobainnes Hyrcanse) theirgreat Court termed their Sanhedrim, made a decree that more faithfull \(O\) werfeers fhould be appointed for the tithes. At this time many things became queftionable, whether they were titheable or no ? whence che high Court of their sanhedrim decreed, that in the things doubtfull (which they termed nkip Demai) \({ }^{i}\) though they paid neither \(f i r f\), nor poore mans tithe, yet they paid a fecond tithe, and a fmall boaue- ibid. offering; namely, nkur dred: Mint, Anife and Gunsmine, feemeth to have beene of thefe dombifullthings, in which, though

LIB. 6. Of their Mariages.
the decree of their Sanhedrim required but one in the bundred, yet the pharifes would pay a iuft tenth, Matth. 23.23. and hence it is, that theyboafted, They gaue tithes of all that theypoffelfed, Luke.x8.12. In which they outftripped the other lemes, who in there paiments, tooke the liberty granted them by the sanhedrim.

\section*{Chap. IV.}

Oftheir Mariages.
Ththis Chapter of their Mariages, we are to confider: Firfl, the diftinction of their wiucs. Secondly, the manner of the ir betrothing s. Thirdly, the rites and ceremonies of theirmariage. Lafly, the forme of their diworce. The Patriarks in the old Teftament, had nany of them two forts of wiues; both of them were repused lawfyll, and true wiues, and therefore the children of both were accounted legirimate. The Hebrew commonly call theone Nafchim, Primary wiues, married with nuptiall ceremonies and rites requifite. Some derive the word from new Nafcha, Oblitus fuit, quafi obliuriofa ditte, becaufe for the moft part, womens memory is not so flvong as Mens: but they thinke not amiffe, who fay, that nomen are fo called from obliwion, or forgetfulineffe, becaufe the fathers family is forgotten, and in manner exting in their daughters, whenthey are married. Hence proceeds that common faying of the Hebyewes, \({ }^{2}\) Familia matris, non vocat iuffumilia: and for the contrary rearon, a male child is cal.
led पコィ Zacar, from his memory, becaufe the memory of the father is preferuedin the \({ }^{\mathrm{b}}\) fonne, according to that fpeech of Abfalom; I haue no fonne to keepe my name in remembrance, 2 Sam.18.18.

The other fort of wiues, they call \({ }^{c}\) Pilligg \(\int\) chim, Secundarywiues, or balfe-wiues; the Englifb tranflates them Concubines, and that not unficly, for fomerimes the Hebrem word it felfe denoteth an Infumous frumpet, or common barlot.

Thie diferences berweene the fe Concubines, and the chiefe orprimary times, are many. I. A diparity in their audhority, or hoifhold gonemment : the wifc was as rsijtreffe, the Concubine as an bandmaid, or feruant. She had only lus tori, a true and lawfull right unto the marriage bed, as the chicfe wife had; otherwife the veas in all refpects inferiour. And this appeareth in the hiftory of Sarah, and H.tgar. Secondly, the betrothing was different : the chiefe wife, at her efpoufals, received from her husbaud certaine gifts and tokens, as pledges and ceremonies of the contrait. Thus Abrabamsiteward (who is probably thought to be Eliezer, of whon we reat, Gen. 15.2.) gate in Ifaks mame unto Rebecoa, ieme!'s of filver, andiewels of gold, and wiment, Gen:24.53. This cuftome was in vicalfo among the Grecians, who called thefe gifts d Esva, \({ }^{\circ}\) Moreouer, the chiffe wife likewife received from herhusband, a bill of writing, or matyimoniall letters; whereas the Concubine receilued neither fuch mifts, nor fach letters. Thirdly, onlv the children of the chiefe wife fiucceeded the faiber in his inberiance; the children of the Concubine receiued gifts or legacies: Abrabamgane all biss goods to Ifark: but unto the fornes of the Concubines which
b. Eandem presis mafula rationems babitam apudGre-
 pides. \(\sum\) rínor 2 à
 Teves.
 focurdaria, vocein compoftam effe aiunit \(\in x\) i 5 D Diuidere, © T Tv Vxor, quafiveur disisia ơ disaidia.
 Díng qixleu a. vx́Efvor ázध́cico. Figrser. Iliad.g. vid. cliam Sisd. it ? Efver. e D. Kimcbi. 2581.2 .5 .13.

256 Lys. 6. Of their Meariages. Abrabamhad, Abrabam gate gifts, Genef. \(25 \cdot 5,6\). And here, by the way, we may take notice, that the fir 1 barne, by right of primogeniture, receiued a double portion of bis fathers goods: The farher Sbald give hime a double portion of all that he hath, for be is the firfio fhisftrengeh, Deut. 2 I. 17. Vnto this cuftome the Prophet Eiifhaes ipeech alludeth, when he prayeth \(E\) lijah, that his fpirit might bee double uponhim, 2 King. I.9. that is, that he might haue a doubleportion of the Jpirit, in comparifon of the other Prophets, or rather the fons of the Prophets, among? whom he obtained the place of an elder brotber, and therefore prayeth for the right of primogeniture: fo that we are not to underftand him, as if he did ambitioully defirea greater meafure of the fpirit, than refted upon his Mafter, bue that he defired to excell the other remaining Prophets, vnto whom afterward
\& partem aworum. he became a Father. The \({ }^{\text {f }}\) Hebrew phrafe is in both places the fame.
Secondly, in their betrothing we are to confider, I. The diffance of time betweene the effoufals, and the confirmation of their mariage, which fome haue conceited to haue been a fully yeare, at leaft ten moneths, and this they obferue from Rebecca, her brother and mothers anfwer unto Abrabams feruant, defiring that the maid mightnot depart prefently, but remaine after the efpoufals at leaft ten dayes, Gen. 24.
gorkeler, \(K\). SAlomax.
\(\mathfrak{h} \square\) ำ
, onyar Buorws Rinorum कityum. 55. Whichtext they interpret, 8 ten moneths, vrderftanding thereby, that which elfewhere is phrafed anyeare of dayes, Gen. 4 I. I. But ifwe fhould yecld this interpretation, (although our Englifh at leaft ten diayes, is more agreeable vnto the Septuagint and the Origizall yet it followeth not, that this time
\[
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was craved for the fulfilling of any prefcribed di－ france between the efpoufals and the mariage，but rather，it implieth the tender affection of the mo－ the towards her daughter，as being loth fo fudden－ ly to part with her ：Notwithftanding，it is not un－ likely，that there was a competent diftance of time， betweene the first affiancing and the confirmation of the marriage，though not prescribed；or limited to any Set number of dyes，weeks，or moneths．The fecond thing confiderable in their betrothing，is to enquire the manner of their contracting，which might bee doncin 1 frae，three ways：Firft，\({ }^{i}\) By apeece of mo－in nev．Secondly，By writing．Thirdly，By copulation，and all the fe in the presence of witneffes：By apecci of mo－ nev，though it were but a farthing，or the worth thereof，at which time，the man vfed this，or the like forme of words；\({ }^{\text {k }}\) Le thou art betrothed unto ne： And he gave her the money before witnefles．By bill，and then he wrote the like forme of words；Be thou betrothed unto me ：which he gave her before witnefles；and it was written with her name in it， elf it was nobetrothing． by copulation，and then he faidlikewife，Loe thou Shalt be betrothed unto moe by copulation，and fo he was united vito her before two witneffes，after which copulation，the was his betro－ the wife．If he lay with her by way of fornication， and not by the name of betrothing；or if it were by themfelues，without the fore－acquainting of witneffes， it was no betrothing；however he might nor lye with her the fecond time，before the mariage was ac－ complifhed．And though the betrothing might be any of the fe three reaves，ye t virally it was by apeece of money；and if they would，they might doe it by
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writing : but betrothing by copulation was forbidden by the wife men of Ifrael, and wiro fo did it, was chatifed with rods ; ho wheit the berrothing ftood inforce. Thefe folemities in betrothing, were performed by the manand wo nan, widera tent or ca-

1597nElias Thesbit. nopy made for the purpofe, called in their lansuage \({ }^{1}\) Gbuppa, A Tabernacle, or Tent: to this the P Palmift alludeth, \(P \int a l\). \(19.4,5\). In them hath he fet a Tabernacle for the sunne, which as a Bridegroome, comming out of hischamber, rejoyceth as a ftrong mars torunarace.

Thirdly, the rites and ceremonies of their mariage were performed in the affembly of ten men ait leaft, with bleffings and thankfivings vnto God, whence the houfe it felfe was called on Beth billuia; the boufe ofpraife: and their mariage fong, \({ }^{n}\) Hillulim; praifes. The bridegroomes intimate friends which accompanicd him, and fung this Epitbalamium or
 the bride-chamber, Mat.9. I 5. Such I conceive thofe thirty companionsco haue beene, which Sampfon af: fociated to himfelfe, Iudg. I4. I r. The forme of this praife, or bles/ing, is ar large defcribed by Genebrard, and the fumme thereof is this: The chicfe of there companionstakechacup, and bleffeth it, faying, Bleffed art.thou, O Lordour God, the Kivg of the morld, wherch ereateft the fruit of the vine: A frerward chen he faith; Bleffed be the Lorlour Gid, the King of the world, who batb created man after bis owne image, according to the imange of his owne likeneffe, and hath thereby prepared vinto bimfelfe an euerlajfing building, bleffed be thou O Lord, whobaft created him. Then followethagaine; Bleffedart thou, O Lerdour God,
who baff created joy and gladne ffe, the bridegroome and the bride, charity and brotherly loue, rejoycing and pleafure, peace and jociety: I befeech thee, O Lord, let there Suddenly be heardin the cities of Iudah, and the fireets of Ierufalem, the voicc of ioy and gladneffe, the veice of the bridegroome and the bride: the voice of exultation in the bride-chamber is freeter than any feaf, and children fweeter than the fweetneffe of a fong: and this being ended, he drinketh to the maried coliple.

This cuftome of praijing God at fuch times was not needleffe, or fuperfluous, for the fruit of the wombe was expected as a Jpeciall ble ßing from God, and fo acknowledged by them in that faying : That foure keyeswere inthe hand of him, who was the Lord of the whole world, which were committed neither to Angell, nor Seraphim; Namely, \({ }^{\circ}\) Clauis o hnam pluxid, clauis cibationis, clauis fepulchrorum, 心' clauis ferilitatis. Concerning the key of raine, thus fpeaketh the Scripture; The Lord will open to theehis good nojng treafure, Dcut. 28. Concerning the key offood; Thou openeft thy hands, pfalm. 145. Concerning the key of the graue; when I Iball open your Sepulchers, Ezek. 37. Concerning the key of barrenneffe; God remexsbred Rachel, and opened ber nombe, Gen. 30 . Whereby is intimated, that thefe foure things, Ged bat breferwed in his orpne hand and cusfody; Namely, Raine, Food, the Raijing of our bodies, and the Procreation of children.

The time of the mariage feaft appearech clearely to have beene, ryually P jeuen dayes. Samp fon conti- PVid. rbubiniw nued hisfeaft feuen dayes, lud.14•10, 11 . And of this feven dayes feaft, Diuines doe viderfand that Aunglingauk fpeech of Labaras vnto Lacob, concerning Leah: Ful- Super Gmed. 88. fill her weeke, and we will alfogiue thee this, Genef.

LIs．6．Oftheir Mariages．
29．27．In which fpeech，it is thought that Laban did defire lacob，not to reiect and turne away Leah， butto confirme the prefent mariage，bv fulfilling the vfuall dayes of her mariage feaft．From thiscu－ ftome，together with the praitice of Iofeph，mourn－ ing feuen dayes for his father，Gen． 50 ．I 0 ．arofe that vfiall prouerbe among the Iewes；Stptem ad conni－ wium，septem ad luctum．Thechiefe gollernour of the fealt was called：Baal mif chte；which name is fitly expreffed by being called the \({ }^{s}\) Ruler of the feaft， 10h．2．9．The moderne Iewes in Italy，when they in－ uite any to a msriuge feaft，vfe this forme of words； Such ai one，or fuch a one intreateth you to credit his daugbters mariage，with your prefence at thefeaft，\(\sigma\) ．
syu 3ャロ．Thenhe which is inuited replieth，＂Mazal tob：
Stutives de cosniu： l．2．c．3． which fome interpret to be the wifhing of good lucke in generall ；but I rather thinke，that hereby was wilhed to the maried parties，a Peeciail ble ßing in the procreation of children：whence the medding ring，
－mumfer．Geri．30 given vnto che Bridewife，had＂this infcription or pofie，Mazaltob；and the Hebrewes call the Planet Iupiter，Mazal，whofe influence，they thought to be of great efficacy and furce for generation ：but in truth，Mazal fignifieth any other Planet or Starre in the heauen，according to that Hebrew proverbe；
＊Noseft tibs ulla berba inferius cui no：fis Mazal in firmamento，cofc rit ipfam ro Ma． ral，ob dijit ci， cresce．
x．There is no berbe in the earih，rbich bath nor a Na－ zal，or Starre，in the firmament anfipering it，and fri－ king it，Jaying，Grow．Now tol fignifieth good，fo that the phrafe foundethas much as，Be it done in a good houre，or vider a good Planer．

At the time of the marriage alfo，the man gaue his wife domry bill，which the Scriuener wrote，and the bridegroome paid for，whereby he endowed his
fpoufe, if fhe were a virgin, with two hundred deneyrs(that is, fifty thekels) and if the had beene maried before, with an hundred deniers(that is, twenty five fhekels) and this was called the root or prinripall of the dowry: the dowry might notbelefle, but more, fo much as he would, though it were to a talent of gold. There is mention of a contraet between Tobies and Sarra, and that was performed, not by a Scriuener, but by Raguel, the womans father, where we may obferne, that before the writing of this bill, there was a giuing of the woman vnto berbusband. The forme of words there vfed is, Behold, take ber after the law of Mofes, Tubir.7.14. A copy of this dow-ry-bill is taken by Bertram, out of the Babylon Tal. mud. The words thereof are thus; ' Vpon the fixth dar of the weeke the fourth of the moneth siuan in the Buxtorf. Grayma-
 yeare fiue thoufand two bundred fifty foure of the creation of the world, according to the computation which wie vfe here at Maßilia, a City which is fituate neare the Sea Jboare, thebridegroome Rabbi Mofes, the fonne of Rabbi Iebuda, faid unto the briderifc clarona, the daughter of Rabbi Dauid, the fonne of Rabbi Mofes, a Citizen of Lisbon; Be vnto me a wife according to the law of Mofes and I frael; and I according to the word of God, will worfhip, bonour, maintaine, and gouerne thee, according to the manner of the busbands among the rewes, which doe wor hip, honour, maintaine, and gouerne their wiues faithfully. I alfo doe beftow vpon thee, the dowry of thy virginity, two bundred deniers in \(\sqrt{\mathrm{g} L}\) uer, mhich belong vinto thee by the law; and morcouer, thy food, thy apparell, and Juficient zeceeffaries, as likewife the knowledge of thee, according to the cuftome of all the earth. Thus Clarona the Virgin sefted, and the Bridegroome.

After the mariage was finithed, then the wife might challenge from her husband three things as debi. 1. Eood. 2. Apparell. 3. Cohabitation, or the right of the bed; which they note from Exod. 2 I. IO. where it is faid, if he take him another wife, her food, ber raiment, and ber duty of mariage fhatl be not diminifh. And vnto this the Apofle alludeth, calling it, Duebeneuolence, I Cor.7.3.

The wife, when the was firlt prefented visto her husband, conered her head with a veile, in token of fubiection. Rebecca tooke a veile, and conered her felfe, Gen. 24.65 . and for this caufe (namely in figne offubiection) ought the woman to hane power on her head, I Corinth.II. 10. where by power, the Apofle vaderftandeth a veile. Doe any aske the quettion; why he thould denote this veile by the name of power, efpecially feeing it was in tokers of fubiedtion? The Apofle being an Hebrew of the Hebrewes, might

2 2n7 Velame mulierès, à verbo ไาฯ subiccit.
 have refpect vnto the \(H e b r e w\) word \({ }^{z}\) Radid, fignifying a veile, which commcth from the roor Radad, tobeare rule and authority, and fo might vie the Greeke word, fignifying "power, in the fame fenfe as the Hebremes did. And in truth, what was this \(\int u b i e\) ation to the husband, but a kinde of power and proteEtion deriued vnto the wife, in comparifon of her former flate, being a Virgin? and thereforc in cafe her

\footnotetext{
SiveRy Nby Sive Radid.ajo
 interpretor verbaz Ma:mon. in Soia. cap.s.5.5.
} hasband was iealous of her, amongif other tokens of forrow, the was commandedto fland at hicr triall with her \({ }^{5}\) bead vncourered, Numb. 5.18. intimating thereby, that if fhe could not then cleare heer feffe, fhe was from thence forward, deprited of all pomer,
which heretofore fhe enioved by the manes of her husband.

After the mariage was finifhed, fometimes there was permitted a Bill ofdiuorce; this, the Hebremes called \({ }^{c}\) Sepher Kerithuth, A bill of cutting off, becaule the woman isby this meanes cut off from ber husbands family. \({ }^{\text {d }}\) Ten things were thought requifite as the root and foundation of a diuorce. I. That a manpost ber not away, but of bisown will. 2.T That he put her away by writing, not by aizy other thing. 3 . That the matter of the mriting be to diuorceber, andput her away out of ber peffejsion 4. That the matter of that diuorcement be berneene bim and ber. 5. That it be written by her name. 6. That there be no afion winting, after the writing thereof, faue the deliwery of it vnto ber, 7. That the giue it vnto ber.8. Thit be give it ber before zuitne fles. 9. Th it he give it ber by the law of diusorces. 10. That ir be the busbandor his depaty, that deliuereth it visto ber. The forme or copy of this Bill of diuorcement was, as it followeth; " \({ }^{\text {V Pponfucha }}\) a day of the meeke, fuch and fuch of the moneth \(N\). Fuch or juch an. yeare of the crestion of the world, according to the computation which we refe here in this City N. . It unte neere the riuer \(N\). that 1 of the Countrey \(N\). the fonne of Rabbi N.of the Countrey N. But now I dwelling in fuch or fuch aplace, neere fucin, or Sucha a iuer, baue dejared of mine swa free mill, witho at any coation, and houe diuarced, difmilfed, and caft out thee, thee I fiy, thee my nife \(N\). of the Cosutrey \(N\). the duaghter of Rabbi N. depelling infuchor fuch a cointrey, and daclling now in fuct or fich a place, fitaze neere fuchor fuchia river, whichbint beene my wifeleretofore; but now I doe divorce thee, difmiffe thee, and calt thee out, that tho:
thou maiefl be free, and haue the rule of thy selfe, to depart, and to marry with any other man, whom thou wilt; and let no man be refufed by thee for me, from this day forward for euer. Thus be thou lanfull for any man, and this Sball be to theefrom me, abill offeparation, a bill of diuorce, and a letter of difmißion. According to the Law of Mojes
and Ifrael.
\(N\). the fonne of \(N\). witneffe, \(N\). the fonne of \(N\). mitneffes.
£ Solomon Iarchi. Hof.cap.1. 10. - Maimon. de dijuort.cap. I1.9.18.

This \(b i l l\) was written by a \({ }^{f}\) scriuener, or publike Notary. And B furthermore, a woman being diuorced, or otherwife a widow, it was not lawfull for her to marry againe, till fhe had taried ninety dayes, befides the day of her diuorce, or of her busbands death, and ber laft eppoufals: to the end that it might bee knowne whether Shee weve with childe or no, and that there might be proofe, whe ther it were the feed of her firft husband or of berfecond.

It was a common cuftome among the Romans, about the time of our \(\mathcal{S}\) auiours birth, euen for the women to diuorce their busbands, and to marry againe at their pleafure.Of this, Heathen Authors fpeake:
-Sic fiunt octo mariti.
Quinqueper autumnos.
Iurenal.Satyr. 6.ver .230.
Et nubet decimo iam Thelefinaviro.
Martial.lib.8.
h Senec. 3 .de DE xef. 16.
iPlutaych. inal. tibiads.
\[
\text { Of their Mariages. LIв. } 6.265
\]
not letters of cutting off, or putting amay. This fame practice was in vie alfo among the Hebremes. Hence is that faying of our Sauiour: If a moman fhallput a. way ber husband, and be maried to another, ơ c.Mark. 10.12. Now although, at that time humane lawes forbade not mariages renened withothers ipon fuch diuorces, yet Gods law condemned both fuch dinorces, and fuch mariages, andbefore God, perfons marrying after fuch diworcenents, were reputed digamites, that is, to haue two busbands, or two wiues. For this reafon, a Minifter abone others is commanded to be \(\mu\) uas s gwaxios a'vip, The busband of one wife, I Tim. 3.2. Ard the roman, fhe is commanded to be fròs ardis spuin, The wife of one busband, 1 I im. 5.9. In which texts fecondmariages (in cafe of the husbands or wites death) are no more forbidden, than thePoct forbade them in the like Phrafe :

Vnico gaudens mulier marito.
Horat.carmin.3.14.
Note in the lat place, that among the Iewes the Bride womanalfo brought a dowry to her husband; it was fometimes more, fometimes leffe; it was called by the Rabbines ארצ Nedunia. Raguel gaue with bis daughter Sarra, balfe bis goods, ferwants, axd
\(k\) EliasThubbit.1t. Solom.Larchi.Ger. 31.15. cattell, and money,Tab.10.10.

Chap. V.

\section*{Of their Burials.}

AT the time of mans death, before his buriall, many ceremonies were obferued. Firft, the next of the kinne clofed the cyes of the deceafed body. Iofeph fhallput bis bands wpon thy eyes, Gen.46.4 This was likewife practifed both by the Romans, and the Grecians.

Ille meos oculos comprimat, ille tuos. O uid.
 Secondly, they wa/bed the body being dead. Tabitha died, and when they had wa bhed ber, they laid her wp in an vpper chamber, AIt.9.37. The Baptiz.ition', or woffing ar fuch a time, was shreefold: The finft was
 pollution coner racted by the touch of a dead carcafle; fo that if haply any ighorantly and vnawares becanic thus vncleane, then was he by a kinde of waffing to be made cluane againe. The fecond was Batlorus shs vexü̃; A Baptization, or walbing of the dead corpsit felfe; thus \(T\) abitha was wafbed: neither is the word Ramilouos vinufually applyed to common wafbings, as Mark.7.4.we reade of the wafbing of cips, pots, vef-
- Tcrishlian.apo30g.csp.47. It.Eu. Wafinings was proper to the Iewes: this fecond, in vere
 frigelisic or ang4nt.Virg.lib.6. Eneid. (which was Ratloouos inip vexpüv, A baptization for the dead, I Cor. 15.9 .) proper to fome amiffe led Chri. ftians. Ir may be demanded, whatmanner of Bap-
tifmethis was? With fubmiffin of my judgement, I vedertard this place with ' Saint Ambrose, of a cantroforcor. Sacramental m ashing, applied d vito feme lining man \({ }^{\text {16.29. }}\) in the name and behalfe of his friend, dying without Baptifme, out of a fuperRitious conceit, that the Sacrament thus conferred to one alive, in the name of the deceafed, might be availeable for the otherdying unbaptifed. As it the Apofle did wound tho fe fuperfitious Corinthians with their own quill, and prove the refurrection of the dead from their owne pro. neous practife, telling them in effect, That their ftperflitious cultome of baptijung the living for the dead, were vane andbcotlecle, if there were no refurrection. Arid therefore the Apofle vetch anewphaticail diftinction of the persons, in the next mmedate verde, laying; Why are we alfo in jeopardy every houre? He inferreth the refurrection by force of a double argument; the fir \(f\) t, drawne from their fuperftitious baptization for the dead : the Second, from the hourely jeopardy and perill wherein we, that is, himfelfe and other Chriftians are. So that, as that Father noteth, the Apafle doth not hereby approne their doing, but euinceth their hope of the refurrection from their own practife, though croneours. That there was d Vicarium tale bat: fran (as Ter-d Tertullian. lib. ie tullian calleth it) in vie among the Marcionites, is iffirved.carnis. evident, yea and among the \({ }^{\text {e }}\) Corinthians alfo:the e Ep pe' an. de ce. manner thereof is thus defcribed; \({ }^{〔}\) When any Cate- rat aniuberef. 28 cisumenifl died so me living person placed wider the bed fchisfor. cor. 15 . of the deccafid, they came rato the deccafedparty, and asked him, whether be would be baptifcd? then bee replying nothing, the party wider the bed anfuecred for hims Saying, that thee would be baptifcd: and thus they
\[
\mathrm{Mm}_{2} \quad \text { baptifed }
\]

The thirdceremony vfed by the lewes towards the dead party, was the enbolming of the corps, which for the maine thereof, it is probable they learned from the Esyptians, for we finde Iofiph to be the firft that practiled it, Gen.50.2. The 1 Egyptian manner of enbalming was thus: 8 They tooke out the bowels of the dead, they cleanfed them and roajbed them with the wine of Dates, ơ after that againe mitho dors: then filled they the bowels with pure AM irrbe beaten, and Ca(Jia, andotber O dors (except Frankincenfe) ér femed them vp. After this, they feufoned the corps bid den in ritre, Seucnty dayes, not longer: after feuenty dayes they walbed the corps, \&r wrapped it in fine linnen clort g oummed, which gumme she e Egyptians often vfed inflead of Glem. TheGreeks termed this reaxivery. And the ver thereof was for the preferuation of the body, that it might not putrifie, and therefore when the funcrall obfequies were not long delayed, they vfed another Find of enbalming, namely an externall and outward application of Spices ard Odours, without the vnbowelling of the corps. This the Greekes termed

 frripturis lata fig. nificalione, adde. notordam viramque condilura\%. lisos? Ja jas cccur--ir, Gen. 50.26. bro cosquod in Hebreo Y) Th77.Eta romatubus condine. yent. h indapratery. This was vfed towatd our Sauiour chrif, Iohn 19.40.

Sometimes they did vfe to Burne the corps, preferuing onely the bones in fome vrne or pircher, \(A\) mos 6. 10. But commonly they interred the whole body, and buried it in the earth. The ancient lewes if they receined not from their Ancefours, then would they purchafe a buriall place themfelves, for the buriall of them, and their family. The forme of that place was thus; It was a vauli hewed out in a

\section*{Of their Burials．}

LIE． 6.
rocke，\({ }^{1}\) fix cubits long，and foure broab，in which eight other cels or lefer noles（or as fome fay thir－ reene）were made，as fo many diftinct recepta－ cles，or sombes for the deadbodies to be laid in ：As Tolma Sederine－ zikn．BanaBalb ya．cap．6． often as they buried any，they were wont to role a great fione to the mouth of the caue．The caue or vault it felle they remed from the act of buriall， k Keber， which fignificth a place of buriall；or from its forme， －Mugnara，a derme，or caue．The feucral cells or recep－ tacles in which the body was laid，they called \({ }^{m}\) cto－ cim，graues，toombes；and the fone the \(\begin{aligned} \\ \text { named＂} G 0 \text { ．＂S5 }\end{aligned}\) lel，A rolling fone．This qiueth great light to that in the Gofpell，Iofeph tooke the body of Chrift，and wrap－ ped it in a cleane linnen cloth，and pur it in his new toombe，which he had hewen out ina rocke，and rolled agreat fone to the doore of the Sepulcher，Mat．27．59． 60 ．Thefe caues or vaults，the wealthier fort would paint，garnifh，and beautifie at the mouth or entrance of them：hence commeth that phrafe，Sepulchrade－ albata，Painted toombes．As often as they had occafi－ on to mention or feeake of any friend deceafed，they vfed that in the Proucrbs，The memory of theiuft is bleffed，Prou．I u．7．Hence the Rabbies，in their quo－ tations of any worthy Author deceafed，vfially fi：b－ ioyne this honourable commemoration，Beneditte memoric N．Such，orfuch a one of bleffed memory．

\section*{M1173：}

กコาコち memo．
via eius aftion bene－
Butheir vfuall epitaph or infcription vpon their dictione． fepulchers，was，\({ }^{\mathrm{F}}\) Lethis foule bee bound wo in the p

กตロッチン Garden of Eden，or in the bundle of the liuing，Amen，הทnys Amen，Amen，Selati．

The latter lewes，haue beene ftrangely conceited sheindler in s． concerning the place of burials，and are perfwaded， that ifan 1 fraclite be buried in any Itrange Country

Lib. 6.
Of their Burials. out of the promifed land, he fhall not be partaker fo much as of refurrection, exceft the Lord vouchfafe to make him hollow paj] ages vnder the earth, thorow which his body by a continuall volutation and rolling, may be brought into the land of Canaan. The ground hereof, is taken from the charge of \(1 a c o b \mathrm{vn}\) -

9 Solom. Iarcbi, Ger.47.29.
-
\(\qquad\) to his fonne Iofiph, that he fhould not bury him in the Jand of Ey?pt, but in Canaan. 4 For which charge they affigne three reafons. Fingt, becaufe he forefaw by the firit of Prophecy, thar the duft of that land Thould afterward be minedinto lice. Secondly, becaufe thofe who died out of the holy land, fhould not sife againe without a painfull roll ing and tumbling of theirbodies, thornw thofe hollow paffages. Thirdly, that the Egyprims might not idolatroufly worhiphim.

They made a feaf at theirburials, which is filed The bread of men, Ezek.24.17. And a chp of confoluti.on.Ier. 16.7. becaufe it was adminiftred to comfort thofe that were fad of heart. It much refembled the Roman Silicernium.
From thofe two places laft quoted, we may obferue that at the buriall of rheir friends, they vfed thefe ceremonies which follow, fome to tefiffe, fome to augment theirgriefe. 1. Cutting themfelues, that is, wounding or cutting any part ol their body, with anykinde of inftrument. "This pratice was learsed frum the Heathens, who were wont not only to fratch their face, but to punch and pricke certaine parts of the ir body witha needle, and then cover it ouer with inke, which they ved as a fpeciall ceremony in their fupertitious wor mip, and therefore ir is forbid, Deut. I4.I. Secondly, making them-
\[
\text { Of their Burials. LIB. } 6 . \quad 27 \text { I }
\]
felues bald, which was done diuers manner of wayes; either by fbauing their haire, or plucking it off with their hands, or by empoifoned plaifters to make it fall s seat sfast off. Other nations were wont to thatue off the \({ }^{5}\) haire umpofuere capillos. of their head, and to offer it in the behalfe of the dead:they did fometimes thane their cheeks, fometimes their eye-lids : and this alfo being an Heathenifh cuftome, was likewife forbidden in ifrael, \(D\) ewi. 14.1.T birdly, oing bare beaded that they might caft duft or athes vpon their heads, fignifying thereby, that they were vamorthy the ground on wich they went. Fourthly, going bare footed, for their greater humiliation. Fifthly, the couering of their lips, for that was a peciall forone of forrow, and fname. The Seers Thall bee afbamed, \(\mathcal{O} c\). they thall all couer their lips, for they have noanfwer of God; Mich. 3.7. If ir be demanded, how they couered their lips ? It is thought they did it \({ }^{t} \dot{b} y\) cafting the skirt of their cloke, or garment ouer them. Sixtbly, " rentingtheir clathes. Seventhly, putting fackcloth about theirloynes, Geref. \(37 \cdot 34\). Thefe were genera!l tokens of griefe; vfed vpon allextraordinary occalions of forruw. Twoother there were, more properito burials, ro augment theirgriefe. Firft, minftrels, whowith their fadtunes inclined the affectio s of the people to mourning. = Of thefe there were two forts: Some plaving on pipes, others founding trumpets. At the funerall of Noblemen, ar old men, they ved a trumpet: at the funcrall of the common people, or children, they - feday pipe. Inthis refpect it is faid; That Iefus men suctarind deneros bee raifed lairus bis daughter, caflout the minferels, Mat.9.2 3. Secondly, women hired to fing at burials Dizuchied Aben Efra.P. Fag. Latit. 1445. usciffatue Potyucna pallá zunenal. Satyr.io.
\(\times\) Maioris atatis
funera ad ubbam praferve Soleborit: minnerisucrò atatis ad ibiaz. Serimus Fuid lib.s. y tibia suz teneros mancs.LegePbrys miefla.st:sim, for the fame purpofe, and likewife by outward figni- 121 .

L 3 も. ä。 Oftheir Eurials. ficarions of forrow, to moue the company, and more frongly to affect them, callfor the mourning ommen, to casid ferdfor skilfull women, Iercm. 9. 17. Thele the Romans called, Freficas, quafi in boc ipfums preforas, chieg of skitfull mourners.

\section*{Char.JI.}

\section*{citheirOathes.}

TFio manner of furearing, was fometimes by lifting op their hands towards heauen; Abratoran faid to the King of Sodome; Ibrue. lifted op my band vato the Lord; that is, I haue fworne, that I will not take from a threed cuento a fhonelatchet, Ger. 14.22 . Vnto which cuitome the Pf. \(\mathrm{mmi} / \mathrm{t}\) feeneth to allude, \(P \int\). 106.26. He lifted up bis hand; that is, be frore. Sometimes he thar tooktheoath, did put bishandonder the ochers thigh, whichadw iniftred the oath. We read this manner of adminiltration, to have beene vfedby Abraham, Gen.24.2. and Iacoh,
\(\therefore\) Aben E/ra Ges. 242.
b Salomom larchi ibid.
c AucutimqueA. fuper Gerr.62.

Alex.ab Alex. ?b. 5.c.so.
c Linius dec. 3o lit. S.IT.VMer.Max. 6ib.g cer.3. fiuresicel er Sasotbra:ume roBreyumayas. Izzaco Thal Saty". 3. Gen.47.29. Which ceremony, \({ }^{2}\) fome interpret to be, as a tokenoffubiection: bothers as a myfcry of circumcijon, the ligne whereof they bore about that place of their body: others more probably thinke it to bee a myferious \(\int\) ynification of Chrift the promifed feed, who was to come out of Abrabamsloynes, or thigh as the like phrafe is vfed, Gen.46.26, the foules that came out of Iacobs thigh. Sometimes alforthe manner of depofing, was to fand before the Altar, I King. 8. 31. Which wasalfo the cuftome of the \({ }^{-}\)Athenians, the \({ }^{\circ}\) Caribaginians, and the \({ }^{f}\) Romans. The obiect of lainfull oith, was, and is, onely the Lord:

\section*{of their oathes.}

Lord: whence he that tooke the eath, was faid to confefferunto God. Compare Efay 45.23 . with Rom. 14.1 I. And the ancient forme of imporing an oath was this, Giue glory to God,10f.7.19. Iohn 9.24. Now God was glorified by an oath, becaufe thereby there was a folemne confeffion and acknowledgement of Godsomniprefence, that he is prefent in euety place; of his omnifcience, that hee knoweth all Cecrets; of his truth, that he is a maintainer of truth, and an auenger offalfbood: of his iuftice, that hec is rilling, and his omnipotency, that hee is able to punifh thole, that by fwearing fhall difionour him. And as the obiect of a lawfull oath was onely God; Soit is implyed that it was notrafhly or vnaduifedly to bevndertaken, but by a kinde of neceffity impojed, for the Hebrew word yaus is a paffiue, and fignifieth to be sworne, rathet than \(t \theta\) freare.

In corrupter times they were wont to fweare by the a creat ares, but the Iewes chiefly by Hierufalem, by the Temple; by the gold of the Temple, by the Alsar, and the gift on the Altar. This gift in Hebrezw was termed Corban, and it was ene of thofe \({ }^{\text {b }}\) oathes, which in our Sauiour Chrifts time the Soribes and Pharifes accounted principally obligatory. Ifany fwore by the Altar, it was nothing : but if any fwore by the oblation of the Altar; hce was bound to perfcrme it, Matt.23. 18. yea although Gods law inioyned honour, and releefe towards parents, yet if they bad bcund themfelues by this oath Corban, that they would not help or releeve their parents, they raught they were difcharged. Whence faiththeir \({ }^{\text {i }}\) Talmud, Eucry one ought to bonour bis Father and Mother except he bath vorred ibe contrary. Andit is euident,

8 1llimp, porrusn of cepasis inter deas iurcixrando babuca runt E!yptij.Plix. lib.19.6.6. liens Iauenalis.Sat. 1s. h'Evoís \({ }^{3}\) nvay,
 a śplevorögxor roogGai x is dacisuct. Inter quafacramenta cum quibuifdam a clis, cliam infuraxdurs gived Corban appeliaser, enumicras \(10 \int\) ep bb:
ciniraApiom. \(1 \mathrm{lig}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{H}\) ] p. 147.
i TaimudHierefan lymit.tracroderionis cop. 10.

I） 18 g 6. \(a_{5}\) their oilthes． that：the lewes did often，by folcmne vowes and


 Wขa．Iniciurando fo obftringunt，kxic velilli licoiminihil fe canmods prefie usroc．Pbilolud．de pecarlibus lesiib．p 595.
＊osthes binde themfilues，that they．avould neuer doc good to fuch，or fucha．man．Weinuf furtiemore know，that vlually to their oathestluere was anexe－ cration，or conditionall curfe annexed，winch fome－ times was exprefied，is；If 1 dose not doe thzes，and tbzs； then the Lord doe foto mi，and more alfo，I S．in．I 4.4 .4. Allo I King．20．10．Sometines it is underfood；as； bave worne，if I talic from：a chreed to a foocelatcher， Gen．I4：22．thenler the Lord do fotome and morealfo； this，or the like is underfood，and maketh the former

 coibax，fi quic－ quam lits prodero：
Interiveinr ó हैz Interjueiar o ezn
Siquicqua ：quem－ adorodam ãs Exit Si quifquath，Mat 10．14．Matt． 23．18．El evecra－ tione fubsudua－ fcrous exicygat．Per corbin nibil izibi prodera＂Cricrum jquisurgeat，quòd in Conte fit xopectu
sion per Corbax，ve B）sepbaij；fcres dum，quod fomiss cllipfis in invand： formulis nonc！l！ inifirata，bine
178：77527 vales int \}"习习习 per domiciliurahoc．Fid Brufium de tribus cưnl． 2 cse． 5 ． part of che oath，to found negatiulely；as if \(A b\) rabaim had Said，I bane Sworne，I will not takefrom a threed te 4 floooc Lutchet．In like manner，Pral． 95 ．I have fwortue
 ter into my reff，Heb．3．i8．This helpeth the expofiti－ on of that difficult place，Mat． 15.5 ．which we reade， \({ }^{1}\) By the gift that is offered by me thou maijthaü cpro－ fut：but it we conceriue it chus，according to the formic of the oath Corban；By．Corban if thou reccizieany pro：－ fir by mee：and vnderftand the execration implyed， Then let God doe thus and much more te me，the fenle will be thus；By Corbans thousfallt receise no profit by me．This expofition is as agrecable to the foppe of the place，as it is to their forme of fwearing；and plainly iliewedh how tie Pharifes，by their traditi－ ons，tranfgreffed the commandement of God．For God comminded，faving：Honoir thy frither and thy mother．But the scribes and Pbstrifes faid：Whofocter fhoild fay to father or mother，feek ing feleefe，sy Corban thou fbalt recciuse no profit fiom me，he was difclarged．

\section*{Of their writing, their, Maforites, U' 6 . In s. 6.}

\section*{Char. VII.}

Of their writing, their Maforites, and theirmorke.

VVRiting in no nation came to its perfeStiun on a fudden, but by degrees: The opinions of the ancient, concerning the authors and inuentors of letters, are different. Some fay * Cadmas brought the vfe ofletters into Grecee; others fay, b Palimedes: efome fay, Rhad amanthus brought them into Affyria: Memson into Egyt : Herculesinto Pbrygia : and Carmenta into Latium. Likewife fome lay the Phenicians had firft the knowledge and vfe of letters.

Pbienicesprimi (fame \(\int\) i crédimus) au \(\sqrt{3}\),
Manfuram rudibus rocem /ignarefiguris,
Lucan.
Others fay the \({ }^{d}\) Ethiopians : \({ }^{c}\) others the AJJiri- dDiotior Sicath.4. ans. But vponbetter grounds it is thoughit, that irofes firlt taught the vfe of letters to the Ieve's, and that Euskg. lors. the Phenicians learned them from the Iemes, and the Grecians from the Phenicians.
In like manner, the matter vpon whichmen wrote, in ruder times was different, Some wrote on rindes of trees, whence Liber, fignifying orig, inally a rinde of a tree, is now vfed for abooke 5 fome wrote on soiogen, 1 iens. tile-ftones with a bone in fead of apen: fome on \(T_{a}\) - in visact sunthis. bles; this laft was chietly in vee among the Iemes, the Decalogue was written in two tables offlone. A gaine, write thefe things vpona table, Ef. So. 8:
\({ }^{2}\) Plix.lib.7.cap.5s. Diedor. Sisul.lib.6. capis.
\({ }^{\text {b }}\) Scruius lib.2. Exacid
c Alix. Gesidal.l. 3.
sap.so.
cPlin L7.c.g6.
Emfib. prapar.

LIs: 6. Oftheirmiting, their Maforites, of. imi mugus, faith the septuagint, as if the rovitingtables at that time were made of box rreee. They vled not then pens or quils, but a certaine inftrument or punch, made of iron or fteele, called fylus, it was iharpe at oneend, for the more conuenient indenting or caruing of the characters; and broad at the other, for the fcraping or blotting out what had been written; whence fprang that prouerbiall fpeech: "inaertere ftilum, To unfay what he bath faid, or to blot out what ho bath pritten: Scribe fyllohominis : write with the pen of man, \(E \int .8\). I. Afterward before they came to binde vp bookes in manner as now we haue them, they wrote in a roll of paper or parchment, which fonetimes was ten iubits broad, and twenty long, Zach.5.2. This they called maxa Megillain Hebrew, from Galal, to role; Volumen in Latine; in Englifba volume, from voluo, to role. In the volume of the Booke it is written, Pfal:40.7. And chriftcloging the Bonke, gate it to the Miniter, Luk.4.20. the word is \(\pi\) Tu'sas, complicans, folding, or rolling it vp. And verf. 17 - aianavja, Explicars, vafolding, oropening it:
ismaverf. infitut. i. Thefe volumes. were written not with one entire continuedwriting, buthe writing wis diftinguiThed into many ffaces, columner, or platformes, like vnto fomany Area : thefe platformes, filled with writing, were in fteadioffo many pages in a booke: and thus wee are to vnderfand that, 1erem. 36.23. When rehidi had riad three or foure leaues, bee cut it with the penknifo, We. There leaues, were nothing clfe but fuch paces and platformes in the roll. After chis manner the renes referue the law written in fuch rolls and with fuch . ßaces in their Synagogues. at this day.

Of theirmoriting, their Maforites, doc. L п в. 6.
It is much controverfed, whether the Iewes did from the beginning write with vowels and accents, or whether they were added by the Maforites; for the vinderftanding of which, it will be needfun, Firft, to enquire who the \(M\) a forites were; Secondly, what their worke was; and then to deliuer in a propofition what may be probablythought in this point.
- Firfl, concerning the Ma.iforites, wee are to know that you Mafar fignifieth tradere, to deliuer: and Mafora a tradition, delivered from hand to hand, to polkerity without writing, as the Pytbagoreans and Druides were wont to doe; bur by the figure Synecdoche, it fignifieth thofecriticatt notes or Scholion, written in the margine of the Bible, and thofe that were the authors of thofe criticall obferuations were termed Maforite, Maforites. Concerning thefe authors who they were, there are two opinions. Some \({ }^{\mathbf{k}}\) thinke that they were certaine learned lewes liuing in the city Tiberiws, they termed them Sapien- Bupxoff. Coximenit tee Tiberiadis, The mife men of Tiberias. Thefe miife mafor. c. 3 . sen are thought to haue added the ere marginall notes vnto the Hebrem Eibles, \({ }^{1}\) fometime after the finith - Elias Leuiza in ing of the Babylon Talmud, which was about the yeare of our \(L\) ord, 506 . This opinion is vnlikely for thefe two reafons. r. \({ }^{m}\) Becaufe wee cannot finde in hiftories, the continuance of any College or fchoole prefat tertia,l. Maforetb. Baimanaforeth.
me Buxtorf: in cemment. Maforrc. 7 . in Tibcrias folong, but rather that degrees in learning ceafed there, within foure hund red yeares after our Sauiour his birth. 2. "In both Talmuds mention is made of the \(M\) Sofora, and the things contained thereת Brizorf:in come ment.Mafor.c.8. in. Others therefore more probably fav, \({ }^{\circ}\) that the or. Afarim. R.Ge. NTaforites were that Ecclejiafticall senate or Gouscell, dalia. Buxiopf in held by Efra, Hag i, Zachary, Ralachi, and diuers ir.

278 Lis. 6\% Oftheir writing, their Maforites, ש' 6. ochers aficmbled for the eformation of the Church, affer their returne from Eabylon; they are called \(V_{t}\) ni Synagegre magnc. Thus Councell continued dat leaft forty yeares; for Simeon the iafl, when went nut in his Priettly robes, to rect and pacific Alexander the Great, comming in hofile mauner againt terusfos
virge Aboita, f. I lem, \(p\) was the latit of that Coimecll, andithat was aboue three hundred yearesbefore the birth of our Sauiour, Efia vas the Prejident or chicfe of this Councelt, he was offich repute amono the iuncs; thas
g Talmud. Samliedrimo.. f 21.
r. Tisitarfoincenremens. Misor.'c. 1 .〔Tertullian. l. de babis.mulicbr.
chryofom hom. 8. ad Hebrees. Ir news aduerf. baref.ib. 3.6.25. Ausefin. de mirab. Saere faript. 6. 2. circa finsm.
- Gexeirard.'.2. chromoleg. they paralleld him with Mofes, faying, \({ }^{q}\) Dignus erat Efra, quod datafuiffet lex perminus cius Ifraeli, innois pracejsifleteum Mofes.

In the fecond place, we are to confider the worke, what the men of this great Synaggue, being the true Maforites did; their worke maybe reduced to thefe particulars. I. When this great Councell was affemblud, they, among whom Efrawaschiefe (who was affifted with the infpiration of Gods Spirit) ? detcrmined whatbookes were Canonicall, what purious and Apocryphall. Secondly, f the aut entique and Ca nonicall bookes were purged by them, of all crrors crept into the Text in time of theircaptinity. Thirdly, they \({ }^{\text {E }}\) digefted the old Teftament into twenty two bookes, according to the number of the Hebrew letters. Fourthly, they diftinguifht it into great fections and verfes: for though the law was not fo confufedly written, withoutany fpace or note of diftinction betweene word and word, that it feemed all one cortinued verfe, or as the Kabbalifts fpeake, nyw A=nn Theba achath, one word, vitill the tinace of the Maforites; yet it was not fo diftinguifhe into Sections, and verfes, as now we have it. Fifthly, They added added their cenfures and criticalloberuations, concerning the irregularity of many words, in refper of the vomels and accents. Sixthly, they numbred the verfes, words, and leters of enery booke, to prevens all poffibility of corrupting the Text in thture times, for now they fay the gife of prophecy fhould ceafe. Lafty, they noted the different writing, and different reading: for the videritanding of which wee mult know, that in the Hebremtext, many words are written with more, many with fewer letters, than they are pronounced; " many words mritten in the rext, uswas ofo voces, which are not pronounced, \&c. In the margine the difference is expreffed, whence the diffetence in the ciripre fundur texta, foanonic text they terme בancenib, Scriptionem; the writing ; the difference in the margine they terme nap guntur, quasiad.
 Ruth.3.32. according to that in the matgine. \({ }^{\mathbf{x}}\) This diference \(x\) costra wos difpuis thought by fome to bee 2 corre ation of the Pible, atat Elias Levilu ix accoraing to feucrall copies atter their returne from prefat. 3 . h. MaffioBabjlot, but that it is of diuine ailt bority, containing many myiteries knowne to MOSes, and the Prophets flicceffuely'though many of them vnknowne to our age) and that it was not any corrction, but the difference it felfe primarily and purpofely was intended by the Prophess, anturioly pen-men of the Scripture, cuidently appeareth by the dinerfity of readings in thofe books, which were written by Higg gi, Zacbary, is alachy, \(D\) aniel, and \(E f r a\), they being the Authors of their owne bookes, needed no correition at that time themfehes being prefent, yet inchem this different reading is vfed.

If the sird place, the propofition followeth, gancly, seeing thit the Maforites plod their cenfure

\section*{280 \\ Lib. 6. \\ Of Ifrads camps.}
on many words for their irregularity in their vowels and accents; therefore, The vowels originally were not from the Maforites, but of the fame antiquity with their words; and in truth, otherwife they had beene a body or carcafe without a Joule.

\section*{Chap. VIII.}

\section*{\({ }_{1}\) Iraclspitching of their tents, or of \\ their camps.}

VVHoles the Ifraelites wandred throw the Wilderneffe, their church was a Tabernacle, and their habitations Tents, fo that their whole Campe might be termed a moveable City. It was divided into three parts. In the centre or middle of all, was the Tabernacle it felfe, with its courts, this they termed the Cape of the Divine Maiefty: Next round about, pitch t the Priefts and Leuites, to whom the charge of the tabernacle belonged, (and therefore the neareft adioyning place of habitation, might be the conuenienteft for them) this was called the Camp of Levi. In the veter parts round aboutLeui, the tweluw \(T\). . The pitch t the ir tents, this they termed the Camper of Ifra el. The fir t Camper refembled a great Cathedrall church with its church-yard. The second, a privileged place about the Church, as it were for Colleges for the habitation of the Clergy. The third, the body of a City, wherein the tomnefmen or laity dwelt. The forme of the whole, is 'Fzield.Num.2.3. probably thought to bee fonirefquare, "Come fay twelve miles long, and twelue miles broad.
\[
\text { Of ifraels camps. } \quad \text { LĪ̄. }
\]

In the Eafterne part pitched thefe three tribes, Iudah; IIJ achar, and Zabulon. On the Southfide, \(R u\) -
ben, simeon, and Gad. On the Wert, Ephraim, Manafles, and Eeniamin. On the North, Dan, Afber, and Napthali: and there made vp the outward Campe, termed the campe of 1 frael . Betweenc each tribe, in euery one of thoie foure quarters, there were diftant fpaces like ftreets, where there was buying andfelling as in a market, and tradefmen in their fhops, in \({ }^{\mathrm{b}}\) manner of a city leading to and fro. This Campe is 'thought to be round a mile diftant from the tabernacle, that is, a sabbath daye siourney, \& this is gathered from Iofb.3.4.where the diftance between the people
b Oi Avítzàn.

vircuting xixar-
 Lofepbll.3.Antia.
cill 1.8 .97.
"Tradhmi Hebrai, flios ifractinacaItrametatos fur ]cic is circuitu tabernacu\(l, 3 t\) unum millia. re inferfucril(i.) pacium nillepafJuum, é boc erat iter Sabbati.P. Fago Nami,2,3. \&the Arke, is commanded to be two thoufand cubits.

After this, pitched the Campe of Leui : In the Eafterne part Mofes, Aaron, and the Priefts: In the South, the Cobathites: in the Weft, the Gerfbonites: in the North, the Merarites.

In the middle was the Campe of the Diuine Maiefty. Vnto this Dauidalludeth; God is in the middeft of her, fhe flall not be mowed, \(P \mathrm{Pal} .46 .5\).

After the fame manner, the parts of the City \(I e_{-}\) rufalem were diftinguifhed, when the common wealth was fetled. \({ }^{4}\) From the gate of Ierufalem, to the mountain of the \(T\) emple, was the campe of Ifrael: from d Maimonian Belb. the gate of the mountaine of the Temple, to the gate of the court (which was otherwile called Nicanors babshirabcap. 70
\(\$ .11\). gate) was the Campe of Leui : from the gatc of the Court, ; \& forward, was the camp of the Divine Maiefty.
Furthermore wee are toknow, that the twelue \(T\) ribes had betweene them foure principall banners or ftandards, three Tribes toone ftandard, for which reafon, the church is faid to bee terrible as an army

LIs． 6. © F Ifraels Camps． with 6 anners，Canticl．6．4．The Hebrewword Banner，

化 auts．
\({ }^{4}\) Dicunt in vexillo Rube fuife ima－ ginem bornimus：in vexillo Iehudah， imaginem leonis：in vexillo Epbraim？ ineaginembouj：in vexill 0 an，insagi－ nem aquille P．Fag． Num，2．Aben Efra ibid．
i Angeli ex boc
verfa de finiri pof． fiun Suntenim Jpiritas intelliger－ tes ut bomo，poten． tes viLee，minifita． torij et Bos，éce－ beres ut Aquila． Tremel，in Ezck：1． \＆Hieramym．adiai tixnfuicommenta－ rij in Alat．Il．Gre－ gorius bomi． 4 ．in Eack．AbH：crony－ modifichit D．Als－ gufinizs in Mat－ theo，© Marco， xam in lsone Mat． tbrum，Marcmm．in bemise putat ad－ nubialusy．Augs－ fins de conserfuE． uangelif．ib．I．c．6．k Fathers are Thadowed forth the foure Esingilifts．

The man shadowed S. Matthew, because heebeginneth his Goppell with the generation of clriff, according to his humanity : The Lion Saint Marke, becauls he beginneth his Golpell, from that voice of the Lion roaring in the wildernefle, Fox clamantis in deferto: The Owe Saint Luke, becaufe hee begin teth with Zacharias the Prieft: And the Eagle Saint John, who oaring aloft, beginneth with the Diuinity of Christ.

Thus hate we feene how they pitch their Camps; there marching followers: and here wee are to conf ider : Firft, their marching int their iourneyes throw the wilderneffe. Secondly, their marching in their buttels.

Concerning the ir marching in their iourneyes, they either mowed forward, or abode fill, according to the moving or ftanding of the cloud, which conducted them: The mane.erthereof is deforibed, \(N u m b .10\). and summarily we may view it thus: when God took vp the cloud, Mufcspraved, and the Priefts with trumpets blew an alarmed, then u dab the fire tandart role vp, with Iffachar and Zabulon, and they marchedformoft; then followed the Gerfbunites and Merarites, bearing the words and colierings of the Tabernacle in wagons. The troopers founded the fecond alarme.then Ruben, Sirncon and Gadrofe vp and followed the Tabernacle; and alter them went the Cohathites, in the midge of the trelue. Tribes, bearing on their thoulders, the Arke, Candlefticke, Table, Altar, andorter holy things. At the third alarme, rofe vp the Standard of Ephraim, Manalfes, and Xeniamin, and the fe followed the Sanct vary; vito this \(D a\) uid hath reference, when he praycth, Peal. 80. 2, Be-

284 Lis．6．Of Ifraels Camps． fore Epbraim，Beniamin，and Manafles，ftire vp thy ftrength，and come and fave vs．At the folirtha－ larme，arofe the ftandard of D am，Alber，and Naphea－ \(l i\) ；and to thefe was committed the care of gathering together the lame，feeble，and ficke，and to look that nothing was leftbehinde ：whence they were called the gathering boft，Iofh．6．9．vntothis，Dauidallu－ deth；When my Father and my Mother forfake me， the Lord will gather me，PSal．27．10．

Concerning their marching in warre．Firft，the Priefts founded the alarme with trumpets，Numb．

1วบา99
clanger，Vocifera． tio．Hebrei dupli－ cimclangoreina efe fatusht alterym－ quc vocari．
ตyロアス
alcerum
กรทクด
quorisil blle agaa． bilis of vox，bic ci． tus consiflifgue fra． gor：illc ad contu－ candoscretus，hic ad acceradcudos wili． tum animos lact．
 10．9．this they termed \({ }^{1}\) Terugnab．Secondly，one Prieft was felected out of thereft，to firre vp the hearts of the people，and by a kinde of horratory O－ ration，to encourage them to the warre，Deut．20．2． him they called Vnctum belli，The anointed of the baitell．Tivirdly，they marched on by fiue and fune in battell aray，Exod．I 3．18．fo the．\({ }^{m}\) originall fignificth in that place．

In the lant place，we are to confider how they were to deale in befieging a Towne，for the conceining whereof，nute thefe two propofirions．

1．They were to offerpeace vnto all forreiners，and Canamites，Deat．20．10．And this is clecrely figni－ fied，Iofh．11．19．There was not a City that made peace with the children of Ifrail，fane the Hiuites， the inhabitants of Gibeon，all other they tooke in battell．For it was of the Lord to harden their hearts． Yet here Moal and Ammon are excepted，Ifrael muft not fecke thcirpeace，Deut．23．6．

2．They were to make couenant with nonc of the fe－ zen Nations，Deut．7．2．Exod．23．32．\＆34．14．With ferreiners they might，1ofh．9．7．peraduenture you
\[
\begin{equation*}
\text { Of ifraels camps. Liв. } 6 . \tag{285}
\end{equation*}
\]
dwell amony vs, and how fhall wee make a couenint with you? Not, how fhall we make peace with you.

Some may quetion, what the difference was betweene making peace, and making a coucnant? I anfwer, \(t\) trofold. I. The making of peace was a naked ftipulation, or promife mutnally made, for the laying alide of all hoftile affections towards each other, whereby life on both fides might bee fecured: Making a coucnant, was a folemne binding of each other to performance of this mutuail promife, by outward ceremonies of \({ }^{n}\) cutting a beaft in twaine, and paffing between the parts thereof, Ier.34. I 8 . as if they Hebrai Fadur fur would fay; Thuslet it be done to him, and thus let his
body be cut in two, who fball breake this couenant. Se-
 (i.) Dinider, aust condly, peace was not concluded by the Ifraelites, but onely vpon thefe termes, that the peopleflould become tributary unto them, Deut. 20.1 I. The making of a couenant was vpon equall termes, without any condition either of tribute or \{eruice, as is gatherable from the couenant made by 10 bua with the Gibeonites, where tbere is no mention of any condition at all, Ioflb. 9 .

This difference feemeth to me warrantable, and ferueth to reconcile many places of Scripture, as where God faith; offer peace to all: and make a couenant with none. Secondly, it fheweth the frand of the Gibeonites to bee greate: than is commonly conceiued, for they fought not peace fimply, but a couenant. Diake alcague with us, Iofb. 9.6. T̈hirdly, it falueth that common obiection, made in defence of vnaduifedoathes, to prowe them obligatory, though unlawfull. The argument is framed thus: The coucnant which Iofbua made with the Gibeonites varaduifedly.
quemaditiodun a-
pud Latinos, dici. thi Percut 'refe. des, quatoculio fluxit ais antiquo fiederisfaciendimore. Saccrdo: enima fericbatporcusm fil:ce, dicens, Sic à Io. uef criatur is, qui
frinctum boc fregerit feduasut cga bunc porcum ferin. Likins Decad. 1 lib. I.ag. 17.
L.s. 6. Their Meafures.
mis vonlamfull: but that mas obferued by bim, and the \(b_{\text {reach thereof, } 2 \text { ben S }}\) aul few the Gibeonites, punibed by God, 2 Sam. 2 I. I. Therefore, coc. I fay ir falueth that obiection, becaufe if we diligently obferue toSouahs practice, we fhall finde viaduifed oathes to be fo farre, and only fof furre binding, as they agree with Godsword.Gods wordrequired the Gibeonites mould haue their liues fecured, becaufe they accepted peace; thus farre therefore the cosienant wow fill of force: Gods word required, thar the Canasnites after the acceptation of peace fhould become tributary; here the coucrant was not of force, and therefore 10 Shuab made the m berers of mood, and dramers of mo.zter,, m \(^{\text {ch }}\) is alind of tribute in the language of the Scripture, a tribute of the body, though not of the purfe, in which fenfe the Eppptiantask mafters, are in the originall called tribute mafters, Exod.1.! I.

\section*{Снар. IX.}

Their Meafures.

MEafures in vfe among the Hebremes, and fo amoing all other Nations, they are of two forts: fome Menfure applicationis, meafures of application, as a fpan, a cubit, a yard, and the like. Secondly, Menfura capacitat is, Meafures of cupacity, as pints, quarts, pecks, burhels, \&c. Meafures of application, mentioned in Scripture, are thefe that follow; in which that there mightbee no deceit, the ground of thefe meafures, was the breadth of fo many, or fo many barly cornes middle fizedlaid by one another.
- It conraineth the breadth of fix barly comes ioyned together where they are thickeft : though in round reckoning, it goeth foraninch, yet in accurat fpeaking * foure fiygers make three inches. Of this there is mention, Ierem. 52.2 I .

Palmus, this was two-fold; Palmusmivor, and Palmusmaior. Theleffer containeth the breadth of :Arias inomitan: Thabal Cain. foure fingers, (i.) three inches : the Hebrewsterme it, nou Tophach, the Greeks zuxasusiox: the greater is termed niv Zereth, by the Greeks omsauì ; in Latine, spithama, of Dodrans. It containeth the meafure that is betweene the thumbe and the little finger ftretcht our, \(A\) panne.

Exi Pagram, Pes, A foot. It containeth \({ }^{6}\) twelue inches.
nes Amma, Cubitus, A cubit. We fhall finde in Authors mention of foure kinds efcubits. r. Cubitus communis, this was the meafure from the elbow to the fingers end ; it contained a foot and halfe. or balfe a yard, it is called the common cubit.2. Cubitus facer, Anboly cubit, this was a fullyard, containing two of the common cubits, as appeareth by comparing 1 King.7.15.with 2 Chro. 3.15.In the firtt place the pillars are reckoned each of the cighteen oubits high: in the fecond place, they are reckoned fuwe and thirty cubirs high; which together with the bafis, being ane ordinary cubit high, doubleth the number; fo that the firt text, is to bevnder\{ood ofboly cubits s: the fecôd, of common cubits. 3. Gubitus regis', the Kingscubit; this was \({ }^{\text {c }}\) bree fingerslonger thian the common cubit:
\({ }^{6}\) guatuor palmas fril.minores.pce. martyti.Reg. c.6. Whereas the commoncubit is termed cubitus viri, defripeta.Eably. the cubiv of a man, Deut.3.1 i. Onkelos doth improperly terme it cubitimareg", the Kingscubit. Lafly, there was culitus geometricus, A geometricall cubit,
dOrig.bom. i.in Gencl.It Augsfl: dec.Cuitat.Dei.ib. 15.cap. 27.
e 2 nuinos palmos. \(€\) Se.. palinos.
*Ticanclisa in 6.nc lecum. it contained fix common cubits, \({ }^{〔}\) and according to thefe cubits, it is thought that Noabs Arke was built.

Some make the difference betweene the cubut of the Santuary, and the common cubit, to be thus: The common cubit, they fay contained cffteene inches ; the boly cubit \({ }^{\text {F }}\) eighteene inches. But that the holy cubit contained two common cubits hath beene euidently proned, and it is probable, that thofe who make the difference to be only threeinches, have miftaken the Kings cubit for the boly cubit.
san Chebel, Funiculus, A line or rope. The iuft length thereof is vnknowne, the vfe thereof, was to meafure grounds, whence it is fometimes taken for the inheritance it felfe. The lines are fallen to mee in pleafant places, \(P \int a l .16 .6\). That is, mine inberitance. i.s Kaneh, Arundo, the Reede. The vfe of this, was to meafure buildirgs; the length thereof was finx cubits and an band-breadth, Ezek. 40.5. The cubits in this place,are * interpreted Kings cubits: it was leffe liable to deceit than the rope, becaufe it could not bee fbortned, or lengthened, by fbrinking or fretching; hence the Canon or rule of the holy Scrip. ture is myltically typed out by this Rcede, Ezek. 40. And Reuel. 2 I. 15 .
To thefe may bee added other meafures, wherewith they meafured their wayes, and zolkes. The

Zridor, Stadium; A furlong. It is often mentioned
z) froor in the New Teftament, not at all in the Old. \(\operatorname{g}\) It contained one bundred twenty fiue paces, which is the cighth part of our mile. Some thinke ir to be called fo ism mis sciveos, from ftanding, becaufe Hercules ran \(\int_{\theta}\)

\title{
Their Meafures． \\ L18．6。
}
much ground，before be fiood ftill．
Milliariums，\(A\) mile：it containeth with vs a thou－ fand paces，but much more among the Hebrewes． Their word nาコ liarah，tranflated often Milliarium， properly liegnifiech \(A\) dinner or meale；and being ap． plyced vino iontheyes，zalkes，or wayes，it fignificd fo much groundas vfially is gone，or contueniently may be rrauelled in halte a day，betweene meale and meale，or bait and bait．The word is read，Gen．35．16． Whentherewas（Yาאท กาว Cibrathbaarers）about balfe a dayes ivarney of ground．The Greeke ia that place hath anvocouth word \(x\) beersi．doubtleffe it was made from the Hebrew Cibrath，and ingnifieth balfe a dijes iourney．

Their meal ures of capacity；termed Menfurecapaci。 tatis，were of two jorts．Some for dry things，as corne， feed，\＆zc．Some for liquid things，as Wine，Oyle， \＆c．In both that there might bee a iuft proportion obferued，alitheir meafures were defined by a fet number of hen egge fbels of a middle fize．

In my paraileling of them with our meafures， where I peake of Bufbels，Halfe－Bulbels，Peckes， Ac．Iam to bee viderftood according to winchefer meafure，as we phrafe it，flich a bufhell containeth eiubt gallons．Where I fpeake of Gallons，Pottles， Quarts，©̛ c．I am to be vidertood according to our Ale－meafure，thereby I auoid fractions of number．

ЭP Kab，Kabus，\(A\) Kab．\({ }^{2}\) This contained twenty a Arias momen． foure egges，it held proportion with our Quart．The Thubal．caino leaft meafure mentioned in Scripture，is the Foursh part of a Kab， 2 King．6．25．The famine in Samaria was fo great，that a fourth pari of a Kab of doues dsugg was fold for fite pieces of filuer．The Rabbines hiaue

\section*{290}

a Prourbe，That b．ten Kabs of fpeech defernded int o שיחּ コรับ

\section*{} \(V\) Vid．Boxtur．lexic． inmin
＊Al／fed．pracooz．
theul．lib．2．p．58s．
－Vid．Bus．itarf．
Lexic．ingコア c．：opere R．Alphes． tractat a＇e pafib． cap．5． 50.176.

A Arias siontain． 2aukal．cain．
cipiptimazat men－
 the roorld，and tlic rromen tooke away nine of them．
Moy omer．It contained＊one kab and anbalfe， and a fifinpart of a kab ，that is，Thrce pints and an balfe pint，and a fifth part of an halfe pint．It was the tenth part of an Ephah，Exod：I 6．36．
nio Scab，oitu，Satum，the Latine Interpreters commonly render itby Modius．Ir contained c fix Kabs，thatis，Agallon andbalfe．We tranllate the word in gencrall \(A\) menfure：To morrow this time a me．t fure（that is，s Satum）of fine flower thall be fold foris Shekei， 2 King．7－．t．

Nロヘ Ephah．It contained d three Sara，that is，balfe abufleell and a pottle．

Jnb Lethec．It contained effteene Modios（i．）sa－ ta；that is，two bufbels，fox gallons and apottle．Men－ tion of this is made， \(\mathrm{Hef.3} .2\) ．It is there rendred in Englifb，balfe an Homer．

72．Homer．It is fo called from yuan Chamor， Afinus，an Affe；becaufe this meafure containedfo much graine or corne，as an Affe could well beare． It contained ten Ephühs，Eack． 45 ．I1．that is，Forty fiue gallons，or fiue bufbels and five gallons． －7y Cor，Cor us．The Cor and the Flomer were of the faine quantity，Ezek．45－14．It was hot onely of liquidthings，Luk．16．7．

Thefe meafures of which we have fpeken bither－ tothe Hebrewes vfed in meafuring of dry things ： Three orther meafures there were，which they vfed forliquid or moift things．
indxzorfo in loco fapprianscilata．

NTS Log．It contained \＆fix egre－flels．It was of the fame quantity as the fourth part of a \(N a b\) ，Holle apinnavio

Hent Hin. It contained thequantity of \& feuenty g buxtorfibin. tho egge-ghels, fo that it was of our meafure thriee quarts.
m Bath, \(\operatorname{Far} \theta\), Bathus, the Bath. It was of the fame capacity with the Epbith, the tenth parl of an Homer, Ezek.45-14. The Latinc interpreterscommonly render it Cadus. \({ }^{\text {h }}\) Hierome writing vpon \(E-\) b Hieron : 2 ack.45. zekicl, renders it Vadus. Decima pars Cori, inquit, in乃peciebus liquidis vocatur batbus, jiuc radus. I fometimes thought there had beene fome errour in the print, namely Vadus put for Cadus: But now I finde the Greekes to vfe boih fur \(\theta\), and baido, for this meafure, and from the laft of thefe Greeke words, that ancient Father reades it Vadus. Sometimes our Englifb renders it in generall A meafure, Luke 16.6. It contained foure gallons and anhalfe.

All thele meafures were proper to the Hebremes; I finde three others mentioned in the \(N . T\). taken from otherNations.

Esciss, Sextarius. We Englifh it ingererall, A fot, Marke. 7.4. \({ }^{i}\) it was of the fame quantity with the i Alped.pracoo. Log, if we videritand it of the Romane Sextarius. It Tbsel.p 561 . was fomewhat more, if wee undertard it of the Attick Sextarius, videcim Attici Sextary aquabant Romanos duodecim. In probability we are to wnderftand the Romane meafure, fothat it containcdfix egges, that is, halfe a pint.
xivis, Chenix. A meafure, Rewel. 6. 6. It fignifieth properly that meafure of corne, which was allowed feruants for their maintenance ellery day. Whence was occafioned that Specch of Fythagoras; Super Chanice non Sedendum; that is, wee muft not reft rppon the prouijion which fufficeth for a day, but re muft take

Pp 2
care
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1 Budens de afe. bib. 5.
care for che morrow. It containe dik foure Sextary, that is, \(A\) quart.

Mersints, Nectrets, Ioh. 2.6.Ir is tranflated A Firkin. It was a meafure in vfe among the Athenians. \({ }^{1}\) It was of the fame quantity with cadus, and cadus (as before was noted) was equall to the Hebrew Bath, \(f 0\) that it contained fouregallons and an balfe.

\section*{Сhap. X.}

Their Coynes, firft of braflen Coines.

THat they might haue iuft coines and weights, they weighed both them and their weights by barly cornes.
semfiv, Miuutum, A mite, Luke 2 1.20.MAark. 12. 42. Thelatter Hebrewes call it פֿ the Syriake

๓กทับร a 10 or Koifenf.jol. 824 Col 4.
> - Drufinsimpra. \$57.Lnc.12.59. Kมทロய (i.0ct aua, the eighthpart of A jarium.) m It weighed halfe a berley corne. It valued of our moncy, threeparts ofonec.

Kofsauriss, Quadrans, A farthing. It was a Roman coine, weighing agmine of barley, it confifted of two mitcs. The poore widow threw in tmo mites, which make a farthing, Marlie. 12.42. by confequence it valued of oursc. \(\frac{2}{2}\).
'Aariciov, A flarius, vel Affurium. It was a Roman coine weighing foure graines. The Rabbines call it Monk Ifor, and fay that it containeth \({ }^{*}\) eight mites. Of this wee reade, Matth. 10. 29: Are not two fparrowes foldfor (an A fjurium) our Englifh readeth it foria farthing.? It valueth of ours in precife fpeaking, \(q^{2}-\mathrm{q}\) :

\section*{Their filuer Coines.}

הาx Gerah. It was the twentieth part of the Shekel of the Sanctuary; \(A\) Shekel is twenty Gerabs, Exod.30.13. It was the leatt filuer coine among the Hebrewes; It valued of nurs I \(\mathbf{d} .06\).

Mามมส Agorath. Wee Englifh it in generall, a peece of filuer, 1 Sam.2. 36. But it appearethby the Chaldee Paraphrafe, that it is of the fame value with Gerah, that Paraphrafe enders both Nפט Megnu, by the Grecke they are both rendered 6 bon \(\theta\), the value thereof therefore is 1 t 0.06 .
nטיטק Keflita. The word fignifieth a lambe, and is vfed for a cerraine coine among the Hebrewes, on the one fide whereof the image of a lambe was flamped, our Englifh reades it in generalla a peece of money. lacob boughra parcell of a field for an hundred pecees of money, Gen.33.19. In the originall it is for an hundred lambs. Bur it is apparent, that acob paid money; for S. Seesen faith, he bought it for money, \(A\) ti. 7. 16. In the iudgement of the Kabbins, it was the fame that \({ }^{n}\) Obolus, \({ }^{\text {o twenty }}\) of them went to a Shekel; n R. Solow. Gen. 33 So that the value thereof was \(10,0 b\). 19.11.R.Dauid. in lib.iadic. It Leni
ๆכב Cefiph, dipwour, Argenteus, a peece of finuer; as ben Gorf.Gin. 33. the Romans numbered theirfummes by Sefferces, in \({ }_{0}^{19}\) fo much that Nummus is often-times putabfolute- Loca Gen,...19. ly, to fignifie the fame as Seftertius; fo the Hebrewes counted their fums by Shekels, and the Grecians by Drachme, hence Argenteus, A peece of Stiuer, being put abfolutely in the Btble, if mention in that place be of the Hebrew coines, it ftandeth for a shekel, and valueth 25.6 . if it ftand fer the shekel of the SanGuary: if it fand for a common Shekel, then it valu-
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Their Coines.
eth 1 5. 3 . Butifmention bee of the Grecke coines, as AEt.19.19.then it fignifieththe Atrick Drachma, which valueth of our noney \(\mathbf{I} \mathbb{1}, o b\).
segxum, Luke 15.S. \({ }^{\text {P }}\) It was a quarter of a Shekel; andthus by confequence it valued of ours \(7 \mathbf{D}\). \(0 b_{0}\). ©ifaxuev, Didrachmum, Matth. 17.24. Wec En4 \({ }^{4}\) glif it Tribute money: The Syriak readeth q Duo \(Z\) uzim, now that coine which was termed \(Z u \approx\) by the Hebreves, was anfwerable to the Romane denuir, whence is appeareth that it valued of ours I. き. 3.0 .

Erainp, Stater. Wee Englifhit a pecce of money at large, but it contained precifely two Didrachma. For the Tribute money to bee paid for each perfor, was Didrachmum, as is euidene; Matth.17.24.andethis Stater was paid for two, namely for Chrija and Peser; the value of it therefore was \(2 \mathbf{3} .60\).
surdeor, Denarius, A penny. This was their Tribute
- Trearel inath. 82.19. money, Matth.22.19. There were 'two Jorts of pence in vfe among them; the commonpenny, which valued of ours 7 it ob. And the penny of the Sanctuary, whicis valued \(\mathrm{F} \mathfrak{\xi}_{4} 3 \boldsymbol{d}\). For it was anfwerable to their Di drachmum, and of this laft wee mult vndertand Saint Matthew in this place, for their Tribute money was Didrachmum, as before hath beene noted out of Mat.17.24. This Didrachmum, or balfe shekel, was
TAben efraN:bim.10.3z. formerly paid by the I/raelites, ? enery yeare after they were twenty yeares old, towards their Temple, Exed.jo. 13.Caffir bytaking away this money from the Temple, and changing it into a Tributefor his ome coffers, didin truth take away from God that which was Gods. Hence in that queftion propofed vnto Chrift, Is it lawfull to giue tribute vato Cefar or
\[
\text { Their Coymes. LI日. } 6 .
\]
not? Chriftanfwereth, Render vnio Cafdr the thinys that are Cafars, and Unto God the things ther are Gods. 'This very Tribute afterward was paid by the Iemes towards the Roman Capiroll, by vertue of adecree IJo celb de belío lib.7.cap. 26. made by Vefpalian.
 It valued therefore of ours 7.0 .06 .
马ew shekel, sidlus, A shokel.It wastwo-ful!, Siclus regius, \(T^{\text {b }}\) be Kings shekel, of common vfe in buying and felling, it valted I \$. 3 in. And siclus sanctuarij, The shekel of the Sanctuary, it valued \(\mathbf{2 9 . 6 0}\).

The shekels of the Sanchieary were of tro ftamps. The one was alwayes in vfe among the lewes : the thiry feeces of filuer mbich Iudas received, are thought zo be thirty shekels of the sanetuary. It had ftampt on the one fide, the pot of Monna, or as nthers thinike Aarons Cenfer, or Incenfecup: the infcriprion onchis
 Ifrael: on the reverfe fide, was Rlampt Aapons rod budding, with chis infeription about the Coine, nevipn =nsurin Ierufchildijm bakeduccha. After the comming of our Sanionir, the Tewes which were conuerted to the chriftian faith, "changed their shetel, andon the firte fide fanpthe Inage of chrift with ant athe frouth of the Image,and a in the nole, which three letters made his name \(I e-\) fu. On the renerfe fide there was no picture, bur the whole runde wis filled with this infcription,
 (i.) Mejuis rex venit umat pace, of lax debomize facha ef wita. In fome coines, for the latter clanfe of that infcription is read, Pntw mex ofic (i..) Deristoimo effaidtus.

\section*{Their Coines.}

The Kings Shekel in Daxid and Salomons time, hat fampr on the one fide, a kinde of tower tanding betweene 17 and 35 and vaderneath was שעּ עי The whole infcription was, Ierufalemvibs fanctitatis: On the reuerfe fide, the rundle was filled wich this Hebrem 7 วทa habษ


The Shekel againe was diuided into leffer coines, which had their denomination from the parts thereof. Thus we reade of the halfe Shekel, Exod. 30. 13. The thirdpart of a shekel, Nehem. 10.32. The quar. ter of a Shekel, ISam.9.8.

\section*{Theirgold Coines.}

3nr Zabab. The Englifb readesit, A peree of gold, 2 King 5.5. By it is meant, that which elfewhere is called Siclus auri, A Sbekel of gold, I Chron, 2 1. 25. Hence the one thoufand feuen hundred peeces of gold mentioned, Iudg. 8. 26. The Greeke renders
u E'ix \&く。
- Brictowoodde nump\%is

\section*{Brectrmoed de numanio.} 1700. "Shekels of gold. × The weight of this Coine was two Aeticke drams, the value 15 \%.

โוברาke 1 darcon, of this we weade, \(E f r .8 .27\). It wasallo called yuמpuד Drakmon, of which we read, \(E \int\) r. 2. 69. Buth thefe names feeme to denote the fame coine, ifnot, yet both were of the fanc weight. The Greeke interprets them both by opaxpuin, and our Englifb accordingly renders both \(A\) Dramme: which muft bee underfood of the Drams in vfeamong the Hebrewes, weighing two Attick drams. From the Greeke foaxun, Drakmon feemeth to have had its name. y Hee coniectureth not amiffe, who thinketh that Adarcon was fo called, quaji Daricon, which

Their Summes. Lis. 6.
which was a certaine coine of gold in vfe among the Perfians, and from King Darius (whofe image one fide thereof bore) was named Daricon, and amongt the Cbaldeans is often prefixed before a word, as \(n\) is amongit the Hebremes. The value of this coine was of ours 15.5 .

\section*{Their Summes.}

Their summes were troo \(\rightarrow\) Maneh, añ, Mina, a Pound.In gold it weighed one bundred sheiels. This appeareth by comparing theic texts, 1 King.Io.17. Tres \(-⿰ 丬 \square\) Munim, Tbret pound of gold went to one Thield. Now we reade, 2 Chron. 9.16 .Three hundred Shekels of gold went to ore fhield. The name shekels, is not expreffed in the originall, butneceffarily vndertood, as appeareth in that which was fooken of \(Z\) abab. For it is a receiued unle, that in Scripture, Aurum beiag put with a numeral, fignifieth fomany shekels of gold:and fo Argentum in like manner. The weight the rof then being ose bundred Shekels, it followeth that the vaiue was 75 .ll. In filuer their Manelh weighed fixty shekels, Ezek.45.12. Co That it valued 7.If. 10. . Note, that \({ }^{2}\) Sheindler was deceiued, in x sheinder, iss faying that the price or value of the Maneh, was nam changed in Ezekiels time, becaufe it then valued 60. Shekels, for the difference is not berweene the facredand prophane Mareh, as Skeindler conceineth it, but betweene the Manchof go'd, which was valued at an hundred Shekels alwayes, and the Maneh of filuer, which weighed 60 . shickels, according to the forequoted place in Exekiel.

Lः月. 6.
Their Summes.
The fecund fumme was בכב Cicar, Talentum, \(A\) Talent. This if it were of fluer, it côtained in weight three thoufand Shekels. For thofe two verfes being compared together, Exod. \(38.25,26\). Iheweth that fox bundred thoufand men, paying euery man balfe a she ked, the whole fumme amounteth to an bundred valents; whence it followeth, that A Talent of filuer amongit the Hebrewes, was 375 . I. But a Talent of gold (the proportion of gold to filuerbeing obferved) was twelue times as much, fo that it valwed of ours, 4500.1 .
In thistract of their coines we are to know three things. Firf, that as the Romans in the former ages, vfed, és graue, Bullion mony, vnftampt, which in the Mafe or Billot they weighed out in their paiments; andafrerward, exs fignatum, coined metals: So the Hebrewes, though at laft they vfed coined money, yet at firtt they weighed their money, wncoined; Abrabam weighed to Ephron the filuer, Genef. 23.16. Hence the Shekel hadits name from Spu Shakal, Ponderare; librare, Ta weigh, orput in the ballance. Secondly, as the coined Shekel was two-fold; one for the vfe of the fanGuary; the other for the vfe of the Common-wealth, \&e that of the Sanctuary, was double the price of the other: So the reeight of the shekel is to be difinguifht after the fame manner; the Shekel of the Sanizuary weighed balfe an ounce Troy weight; the cö mon Shekel weighed a quarter of an ounce. For example, Goliahs Speares bead weighed fix bundred shekels of the Sanctuary, I Sam. 17.7 . that is, twenty fiue pound weight: Abfoloms baire weighed two bundred shekels after the Kings weight, 2SAm. 14.26. that is, foure pound weight
peight and two ounces. Yea the fummes, which I have reckoned only according to the Sanctuary, in common vfe according to the Kings reight, theyabate halfe their value.

Thirdly, the leffer coynes were in generall termed кiрpuaqa, or in the fingularnumber керриа, Iobuz 2. 15. The word fignifieth properly a fmall quantity, or little peece of metall, fuch as maybee clipt off from coynes. \({ }^{2}\) Tpon the firft of the moneth Adar Pro- \({ }^{2}\) mofes Koferiej: de clamation 20.15 made thorowout Ifrael, that the people Sichis fol. 122.606 .30乃bould prouide their balfe. Shekels, which were yearely payed tomard the feruice of the Temple, according to the commandement of God, Exod. 3 O. 13. \({ }^{\text {b }} \mathrm{On}\) b mopesKorfars. the twenty fifth of Adar, then they brought ta-ibido bles into the Temple (that is, into the outward court where the people ftood) on thefe tables lay thefe Kippuata, or leffer coines, to furnifh thofe who wanted balfe shekels for their offerings, or that wanted leffer peeces of money, in their payment for oxen, fheepe, or doues, which likewife ftood there in a readineffe in the fame court tobee fold for facrifices: but this fupply of leffer coines, was not without an exchange for other money, or other things in lieu of money, and that vpon aduantage. Hence thofe that fate at thefe tables, as chiefe bankers or Mafters ufthe exchange, they were termed Kepurivsum, in refpect of the lefler coines which they exchanged; in refpect of the exchange it felfe,
 the fame in Greeke, as Cambium in Latine, whence c Kopuco, is-

 kets of exchange ; in refpect of the tables at which they fate, they are termed by the Talmudijfs - Schuldiban, Men\(\int_{a}\); for the fame reafon they are fometimes termed by the Greekes rsamb? Mensary. Thefe are thofe changers of momey, which our Saniour droue out of the Temple.
\(F I \mathcal{N} I S\).
invan nbm
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Buxtorfius. Beza.
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sozomets.
Statius. Stukius.
suetonizs. suidu. Syres interpres.

Thirbites.
Tiraquelius. Tolctes. Trencliss.
- Almud Babylonicus. Tilmud Hierofolymitanus Pirgilise. Turgum Vzielidis, fure !enathanis. \(\checkmark\) Atablay. Varro. Targum Onkelos. Targsm Hicrofolywnitanum. Tertullianus. 1609 Theophylatius. Theodoretes. Theophraftes. Thelofanees.

A TABLE OF THE SEuerall texts of Scriptyre explained in the fix Bookes.

Gemesis. Chap. Verf. Pag. 4 4
7
8
9

\section*{II}

14
17
17
18
20
21
22
23
24
24
24
24
25
29
30
30
31
jI
31
35
35
37
298
272
255
256
262
256
260
I90
259
28
30
53
19
16
34

GENESIS.
Chap. Veri. Pag.

38 41 43 . 43 44 45 46
46 4
\(\cdot \quad 26\)
47
49
49
49
50.
50
\begin{tabular}{|lr}
24 & 2 \\
1 & 255
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{cc}
29 & 272 \\
3 & 2
\end{tabular}
32
\begin{tabular}{|ccr} 
ExoDVs. \\
1 & 11 & 286 \\
1 & 14 & 122 \\
3 & 5 & 53 \\
3 & 15 & 157 \\
7 & 11 & 191 \\
12 & 6 & 121 \\
12 & 15 & 124 \\
12 & 26.3 & 119 \\
12 & 27 & 116 \\
13 & 29.11 .47 .48 \\
13 & 248
\end{tabular}\(|\) Rr

ExUDVs.
Chap. Verf. Pag.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& I \\
& I
\end{aligned}
\]

I
13
14 \(18 \quad 284\)
\(117^{0}\)
\(24 \quad 92\)
\begin{tabular}{lll}
15 & 20 & 26
\end{tabular}
\[
16
\]
\[
23
\]
\[
114
\]
\[
16
\]
\[
16
\]
\[
34
\]
\[
19
\]
\[
18
\]
(-)

7
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 18 \\
& 21
\end{aligned}
\]
\begin{tabular}{ll}
21 & 213 \\
10 & 262
\end{tabular}
\(14 \quad 87\)
\(21 \quad 12195\)
\(221,3,4,225,226\)
23. \(32,34.14 .284\)
\begin{tabular}{lll}
25 & 22 & 73 \\
\(i 8\) & 28
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lll}
28 & 16 \\
28 & 16 & 183
\end{tabular}

29 4,9,20. I8
30
30 1073,148
\(13.293,294\)
8229
23
15
.4. 241
173
20.175

34175
178
3 514
2.5,26. 298

LEYI。



Chap. Verf Pag. \(2 I\) 23 4.3 2.4
\begin{tabular}{ll}
25 & 8 \\
26 & 1,2 \\
26 & 20
\end{tabular}
\({ }_{2}\) CHRON.


ICRRON.
NEKEMIAH.

 22 4
4
24
8
1,2
20 22 21 22

22

22
Chap. Vérf. Pag.
\(3,28 \quad 84\) \(10 \quad 108\) \(15 \quad 132\) 18 134 \(3^{2} \quad 296\) \(37 \quad 243\) 37,38. 249
\(\qquad\)
Ester.

141
145

Proverbs.


ISAIAH. Chap. Vetr. Pag.
\begin{tabular}{ll}
12 & 3 \\
17 & 8 \\
18 & 2 \\
27 & 8 \\
30 & 9 \\
30 & 29 \\
37 & 38 \\
38 & 8 \\
45 & 23 \\
63 & 3 \\
65 & 5
\end{tabular}
IEREMIAH.
\begin{tabular}{llr}
\hline 7 & 18 & 176 \\
9 & 17 & 272 \\
16 & 7 & 109,270 \\
19 & 5 & 159 \\
26 & 8,16 & 198 \\
28 & 9 & 212 \\
32 & 35 & 159 \\
34 & 18 & 285 \\
35 & & 35 \\
35 & 7 & 62 \\
36 & 6 & 145 \\
36 & 23 & 276 \\
40 & 5 & 98 \\
44 & 17 & 176 \\
48 & 1 & 201 \\
48 & 7 & 170 \\
52 & 21 & 287 \\
52 & 24 & 20 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Lament.

2
19 177 169 177 248 180 95 273 148 46 IEREMXAH.

92
\(2751^{20}\)

Ezechigi。
Chap. Verf. Pag \(10 \quad 282\)


Rr 3

MICH.
Chap. Verfo Pag. 3. 7 271 HABB.
2 II 226

Hagg.

3
10
\(7^{8}\)

Zachar.
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\hline 3 & 1 & 206 \\
5 & 2 & 276 \\
9 & 14 & 152 \\
10 & 2 & 188 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Malach.


Ecclesiasticvs

26
266
IMACCHAB.

N NACCHAB． Chap．Verf．Pag． \begin{tabular}{ccc}
1 & 16 & 2.42 \\
4 & 59 & 154 \\
5 & 42 & 30 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

2 MaCcmab


MATTHEN， \(\begin{array}{ccc}\text { Chap，Verf Pag．} \\ 21 & 9 & 132\end{array}\) 21
28
22
2 21
22
22 23
19
23
32
5
6
9
17
18 18 \(23: 49,254\) 31
23 25 26 26 26
26 27
27
27
27
27
27
28 i
23
23
23
23
23
23
24
25
26
26
26
26
27
27
27
27
27
27
28

MARKE。
\begin{tabular}{llr} 
& & \\
6 & 21 & 68 \\
6 & 27 & 216 \\
7 & 3 & 43 \\
7 & 4 & 46 \\
7 & 4 & 266,291 \\
8 & 15 & 69 \\
10 & 12 & 265 \\
12 & & 56 \\
12 & 42 & 292 \\
13 & 35 & 98
\end{tabular}


MARKE。
Chap．Vcrf．Pag．
14
15
15
15
2 26
23 104 218 \(25,34 \cdot 94,1=2\)
42 111

LVKE。
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline I & 5.9 & 21 \\
\hline 1 & 6 & 240 \\
\hline 2 & 1 & 66. \\
\hline 3 & 2 & 20 \\
\hline 3 & 37.8846 & 101 \\
\hline 4 & 17，20 & 276 \\
\hline 4 & 20 & 81 \\
\hline 5 & 17 & 30 \\
\hline 7 & －． 30 & 30 \\
\hline 7 & \(3^{8}\) & 99 \\
\hline 7 & 39 & 46 \\
\hline 8 & 44 & 50 \\
\hline 10： & 39 & 34 \\
\hline II & 38 & 46 \\
\hline 13 & 38 & 92 \\
\hline 12 & 58 & 206 \\
\hline 13 & 1 & 67 \\
\hline 13 & 15 & 115 \\
\hline ［3 & 34 & 212 \\
\hline 14. & 7 & 108 \\
\hline 15 & 8 & 294 \\
\hline 16 & 1 & 130 \\
\hline 16 & 6.7 & 290 \\
\hline 16 & 22 & 1.06 \\
\hline 16 & 29 & 26 \\
\hline 18 & & 51 \\
\hline 18 & 6 & 216 \\
\hline 18 & 11 & 41 \\
\hline 18 & 12 & 46，54 \\
\hline & & I．VK E． \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

```
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