

# First Order Logic – Semantics (3A)

---

Copyright (c) 2016 - 2017 Young W. Lim.

Permission is granted to copy, distribute and/or modify this document under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License, Version 1.2 or any later version published by the Free Software Foundation; with no Invariant Sections, no Front-Cover Texts, and no Back-Cover Texts. A copy of the license is included in the section entitled "GNU Free Documentation License".

Please send corrections (or suggestions) to [youngwlim@hotmail.com](mailto:youngwlim@hotmail.com).

This document was produced by using LibreOffice

# Based on

---

Contemporary Artificial Intelligence,  
R.E. Neapolitan & X. Jiang

Logic and Its Applications,  
Burkey & Foxley

# Model

---

First specify a **signature**

Constant Symbols

Predicate Symbols

Function Symbols

Determines the **language**

Given a language

A **model** is specified

A **domain of discourse**

An **interpretation**

# Model

1. a nonempty set D of **entities** called a **domain of discourse**
  - this domain is a set
  - each element in the set : entity
  - each constant symbol : one entity in the domain
  
2. an **interpretation**
  - (a) an entity in D is assigned to each of the constant symbols.  
Normally, every entity is assigned to a constant symbol.
  - (b) for each **function**,  
an entity is assigned to each possible input of entities to the **function**
  - (c) the predicate '**True**' is always assigned **the value T**  
The predicate '**False**' is always assigned **the value F**
  - (d) for every other **predicate**,  
**the value T** or **F** is assigned  
to each possible input of entities to the **predicate**

# Model

## Constant assignment

(a) an entity → the constant symbols.

## Function assignments

(b) an entity → each possible input of entities to the **function**

## Truth value assignments

(c) **the value T** → the predicate '**True**'  
**the value F** → the predicate '**False**'

(d) for every other **predicate**,  
**the value T or F** is assigned → every other predicate  
to each possible input of entities to the **predicate**

# Signature Model Examples

## Signature

1. constant symbols = { Mary, Fred, Sam }
2. predicate symbols = { married, young }
  - $\text{married}(x, y)$  : arity two
  - $\text{young}(x)$  : arity one

## Model

1. domain of discourse D : the set of three particular individuals
2. interpretation
  - (a) a different individual is assigned to each of the **constant symbols**
  - (b) **the truth value assignments**
    - $\text{young}(\text{Mary}) = \text{F}$ ,  $\text{young}(\text{Fred}) = \text{F}$ ,  $\text{young}(\text{Sam}) = \text{T}$
    - $\text{married}(\text{Mary}, \text{Mary}) = \text{F}$ ,  $\text{married}(\text{Mary}, \text{Fred}) = \text{T}$ ,  $\text{married}(\text{Mary}, \text{Sam}) = \text{F}$
    - $\text{married}(\text{Fred}, \text{Mary}) = \text{T}$ ,  $\text{married}(\text{Fred}, \text{Fred}) = \text{F}$ ,  $\text{married}(\text{Fred}, \text{Sam}) = \text{F}$
    - $\text{married}(\text{Sam}, \text{Mary}) = \text{F}$ ,  $\text{married}(\text{Sam}, \text{Fred}) = \text{F}$ ,  $\text{married}(\text{Sam}, \text{Sam}) = \text{F}$

# Signature Model Examples

## Signature

1. constant symbols = { Fred, Mary, Sam }
2. predicate symbols = { love }      love(x, y) : arity two
3. function symbols = { mother }      mother(x) : arity one

## Model

1. domain of discourse D : the set of three particular individuals
2. interpretation
  - (a) a different individual is assigned to each of the **constant symbols**
  - (b) **the truth value assignments**  
love(Fred, Fred) = F, love(Fred, Mary) = F, love(Fred, Ann) = F  
love(Mary, Fred) = T, love(Mary, Mary) = F, love(Mary, Ann) = T  
love(Ann, Fred) = T, love(Ann, Mary) = T, love(Ann, Ann) = F
  - (c) **the function assignments**  
mother(Fred) = Mary, mother(Mary) = Ann, mother(Ann) = - (no assignment)



# Formal Language

The truth values of **all sentences** are assigned :

1. the truth values for sentences developed with the symbols  $\neg$ ,  $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$ ,  $\Rightarrow$ ,  $\Leftrightarrow$  are assigned as in propositional logic.
2. the truth value for two terms connected by the  $=$  symbol is T if both terms refer to the same entity; otherwise it is F
3. the truth value for  $\forall x p(x)$  has value T if  $p(x)$  has value T for **every assignment** to  $x$  of an entity in the domain  $D$ ; otherwise it has value F
4. the truth value for  $\exists x p(x)$  has value T if  $p(x)$  has value T for **at least one assignment** to  $x$  of an entity in the domain  $D$ ; otherwise it has value F
5. the operator precedence is as follows  $\neg$ ,  $=$ ,  $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$ ,  $\Rightarrow$ ,  $\Leftrightarrow$
6. the **quantifiers** have precedence over the operators
7. **parentheses** change the order of the precedence

# Formal Language

1.  $\neg, \wedge, \vee, \Rightarrow, \Leftrightarrow$

$\forall \exists$

2. = symbol

3.  $\forall x p(x)$

4.  $\exists x p(x)$

5. the **operator precedence** is as follows  $\neg, =, \wedge, \vee, \Rightarrow, \Leftrightarrow$

6. the **quantifiers**  $\forall \exists$  have precedence over the **operators**

7. **parentheses** change the order of the precedence

# Formal Language

Signature

Constant Symbols = {Socrates, Plato, Zeus, Fido}

Predicate Symbols = {human, mortal, legs} all arity one

$\forall$   $\exists$

Model

D: the set of these four particular individuals

Interpretation

(a) a different individual is assigned to each of the constant symbols

human(Zeus)  $\wedge$  human(Fido)  $\vee$  human(Socrates) T

human(Zeus)  $\wedge$  (human(Fido)  $\vee$  human(Socrates)) F

$\forall x$  human(x)

$\forall x$  mortal(x)

$\forall x$  legs(x)

## References

- [1] en.wikipedia.org
- [2] en.wiktionary.org
- [3] U. Endriss, “Lecture Notes : Introduction to Prolog Programming”
- [4] <http://www.learnprolognow.org/> Learn Prolog Now!
- [5] [http://www.csupomona.edu/~jrfisher/www/prolog\\_tutorial](http://www.csupomona.edu/~jrfisher/www/prolog_tutorial)
- [6] [www.cse.unsw.edu.au/~billw/cs9414/notes/prolog/intro.html](http://www.cse.unsw.edu.au/~billw/cs9414/notes/prolog/intro.html)
- [7] [www.cse.unsw.edu.au/~billw/dictionaries/prolog/negation.html](http://www.cse.unsw.edu.au/~billw/dictionaries/prolog/negation.html)
- [8] <http://ilppp.cs.lth.se/>, P. Nugues, `An Intro to Lang Processing with Perl and Prolog