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## Overview

## 1. Covariation

2. Purpose of correlation
3. Linear correlation
4. Types of correlation
5. Interpreting correlation
6. Assumptions / limitations
7. Dealing with several correlations

## Readings

## Howitt \& Cramer (2011/2014)

- Ch 6/7: Relationships between two or more variables: Diagrams and tables
- Ch 7/8: Correlation coefficients: Pearson correlation and Spearman's rho
- Ch 10/11: Statistical significance for the correlation coefficient: A practical introduction to statistical inference
- Ch 14/15: Chi-square: Differences between samples of frequency data
- Note: Howitt and Cramer doesn't cover point bi-serial correlation3


## Covariation



## Covariations are the basis of more complex models.

## Purpose of correlation

## Purpose of correlation

The underlying purpose of correlation is to help address the question:

What is the

- relationship or
- association or
- shared variance or
- co-relation
between two variables?


## Purpose of correlation

Other ways of expressing the underlying correlational question include:
To what extent do variables

- covary?
- depend on one another?
- explain one another?


## Linear correlation

## Linear correlation

The extent to which two variables have a simple linear (straight-line) relationship.


## Linear correlation

Linear relations between variables are indicated by correlations:

- Direction: Correlation sign (+ / -) indicates direction of linear relationship
- Strength: Correlation size indicates strength (ranges from -1 to +1 )
- Statistical significance: $p$ indicates likelihood that the observed relationship could have occurred by chance


## What is the linear correlation? Types of answers

- No relationship ( $r=0$ ) ( X and Y are independent)
- Linear relationship ( X and Y are dependent)
-As $X \uparrow s$, so does $Y(r>0)$
-As $\mathrm{X} \uparrow \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Y} \downarrow \mathrm{s}(r<0)$
- Non-linear relationship


## Types of correlation

To decide which type of correlation to use, consider the levels of measurement for each variable.
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## Types of correlation

- Nominal by nominal: Phi (Ф) / Cramer's V, Chi-squared
- Ordinal by ordinal:

Spearman's rank / Kendall's Tau b

- Dichotomous by interval/ratio: Point bi-serial $r_{p b}$
- Interval/ratio by interval/ratio: Product-moment or Pearson's $r$


## Types of correlation and LOM

Nominal Ordinal Int/Ratio

| Clustered barchart, Chi-square, Phi ( $\varphi$ ) or Cramer's V | $\Longleftarrow$ Recode | Scatterplot, bar chart Point bi-serial correlation ( $r_{p b}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Scatterplot or clustered bar chart <br> Spearman's Rho or Kendall's Tau | $\Longleftarrow \Uparrow_{\text {Recode }}$ |
|  |  | Scatterplot <br> Product- <br> moment <br> correlation |

## Nominal by nominal

## Nominal by nominal correlational approaches

- Contingency (or cross-tab) tables
- Observed
- Expected
- Row and/or column \%s
- Marginal totals
- Clustered bar chart
- Chi-square
- Phi/Cramer's V


## Contingency tables

- Bivariate frequency tables
- Cell frequencies (red)
- Marginal totals (blue)


Contingency table: Example
b2 Do you snore? * b3r Sm oker Crosstabulation

|  |  | b3r Smoker |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 No | 1 Yes |  |
| b2 Do you snore? | $0 \text { yes }$ | $50$ |  | (r6 |
| Total |  | 161 | 25 | 186 |

RED = Contingency cells
BLUE $=$ Marginal totals $\angle 1$

## Contingency table: Example

b2 Do you snore? * b3r Smoker Crosstabulation

|  |  | b3r Smoker |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 0 No |  | 1 Yes | Total |
| b2 Do you | 0 yes | Count | 50 | 16 | 66 |
| snore? |  | Expected Count | 57.1 | 8.9 | 66.0 |
|  | 1 no | Count | 111 | 9 | 120 |
|  |  | Expected Count | 103.9 | 16.1 | 120.0 |
| Total | Count | 161 | 25 | 186 |  |
|  |  | Expected Count | 161.0 | 25.0 | 186.0 |

Chi-square is based on the differences between the actual and expected cell counts.
b2 Do you snore? * b3r Sm oker Crosstabulation

|  |  | b3r Smoker |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 No | 1 Yes |  |
| b2 Do you | 0 yes | 75.8\% | 24.2\% | 100.0\% |
| snore? | 1 no | 92.5\% | 7.5\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | 86.6\% | 13.4\% | 100.0\% |

Row and/or column cell percentages may also aid interpretation e.g., $\sim 2 / 3$ rds of smokers snore, whereas only $\sim 1 / 3^{\text {rd }}$ of non-smokers snore. b2 Do you snore? * b3r Sm oker Crosstabulation

|  |  | b3r Smoker |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 No | 1 Yes |  |
| b2 Do you | 0 yes | 31.4\% | 64.0\% | 35.5\% |
| snore? | 1 no | 68.9\% | -36.0\% | 64.5\% |
| Total |  | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 23

## Clustered bar graph

Bivariate bar graph of frequencies or percentages.


Smoker
Mo
Yes
The category
axis bars are clustered (by colour or fill pattern) to indicate the the second variable's categories.


## Pearson chi-square test

The value of the test-statistic is
$X^{2}=\sum \frac{(O-E)^{2}}{E}$
where
$X^{2}=$ the test statistic that approaches a $x^{2}$ distribution.
$O=$ frequencies observed;
$E=$ frequencies expected (asserted by the null hypothesis).

## Pearson chi-square test:

Example

|  | Value | df | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asymp. Sig. } \\ & \text { (2-sided) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | 10.259 | 1 | 0.001 |
| Continuity Correction ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 8.870 | 1 | . 003 |
| Likelin ood Ratio | 9.780 | 1 | . 002 |
| Fisher's Exact Test |  |  |  |
| Linear-by-Linear Association | 10.204 | 1 | . 001 |
| N of Valid Cases | 186 |  |  |

Write-up: $\chi 2(1,186)=10.26, p=.001$

Chi-square distribution: Example The Chi-Square Distribution



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.975 | 0.990 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.010 | 0.025 | 0.050 | 0.100 | 0.900 | 0.950 |  |  |
| $r$ | $\chi_{0}^{2} .98(r)$ | $\chi^{2} .975(r)$ | $\chi_{0.9 s}^{2}(r)$ | $\chi_{0}^{2} .90$ ( $r$ ) | $\chi_{0.10}^{2}(r)$ | $\chi_{0.0 s}^{2}(r)$ | $\chi_{0.025}^{2}(r)$ | $\chi_{0.01}^{2}(r)$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} \downarrow$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.016 | 2.706 | 3.84 | 5.024 | 6.635 |
| 2 | 0.020 | 0.051 | 0.103 | 0.211 | 4.605 | 5.991 | 7.378 | 9.210 |
| 3 | 0.115 | 0.216 | 0.352 | 0.584 | 6.251 | 7.815 | 9.348 | 11,34 |
| 4 | 0.297 | 0.484 | 0.711 | 1.064 | 7.779 | 9.488 | 11.14 | 13.28 |
| 5 | 0.554 | 0.831 | 1.145 | 1.610 | 9.236 | 11.07 | 12.83 | 15.09 |
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Phi ( $\phi$ ) \& Cramer's V
(non-parametric measures of correlation)

## Phi ( $\phi$ )

- Use for $2 \times 2,2 \times 3,3 \times 2$ analyses e.g., Gender (2) \& Pass/Fail (2)

Cramer's V

- Use for $3 x 3$ or greater analyses e.g., Favourite Season (4) x Favourite Sense (5)

Phi ( $\phi$ ) \& Cramer's V: Example

| Symm etric Measures |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Nominal by | Phi | Value | Approx. Sig. |
| Nominal | Cramer's $V$ | .235 | .001 |
| N of Valid Cases |  | .235 | .001 |

$\chi^{2}(1,186)=10.26, p=.001, \varphi=.24$

## Ordinal by ordinal

## Ordinal by ordinal correlational approaches

- Spearman's rho $\left(r_{s}\right)$
- Kendall tau ( $\tau$ )
- Alternatively, use nominal by nominal techniques (i.e., recode or treat as lower level of measurement)


## Graphing ordinal by ordinal data

- Ordinal by ordinal data is difficult to visualise because its non-parametric, yet there may be many points.
- Consider using:
-Non-parametric approaches (e.g., clustered bar chart)
-Parametric approaches (e.g., scatterplot with binning)


## Spearman's rho ( $r_{\mathrm{s}}$ ) or <br> Spearman's rank order correlation

- For ranked (ordinal) data
-e.g. Olympic Placing correlated with World Ranking
- Uses product-moment correlation formula
- Interpretation is adjusted to consider the underlying ranked scales


## Kendall's Tau ( $\tau$ )

- Tau a
-Does not take joint ranks into account
- Tau b
-Takes joint ranks into account
-For square tables
- Tau c
-Takes joint ranks into account
-For rectangular tables


## Dichotomous by interval/ratio

## Point-biserial correlation ( $r_{\mathrm{pb}}$ )

- One dichotomous \& one continuous variable
-e.g., belief in god (yes/no) and amount of international travel
- Calculate as for Pearson's product-moment $r$,
- Adjust interpretation to consider the underlying scales
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Point-biserial correlation $\left(r_{p b}\right)$ : Example

| Correlations |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | b4r God | b8 Countries |
| b4r God | Pearson Correlation | 1 | -.095 |
| $0=$ No | Sig. (2-tailed) |  | .288 |
| $1=$ Yes | N | 127 | 127 |
| b8 Countries | Pearson Correlation | -.095 | 1 |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) | .288 |  |
|  | N | 127 | 190 |

## Interval/ratio by interval/ratio

## Scatterplot

- Plot each pair of observations (X, Y)
$-x=$ predictor variable (independent; IV)
$-\mathrm{y}=$ criterion variable (dependent; DV)
- By convention:
-IV on the $x$ (horizontal) axis
-DV on the $y$ (vertical) axis
- Direction of relationship:
$-+v e=$ trend from bottom left to top right
--ve $r=$ trend from top left to bottom right
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Scatterplot showing relationship between age \& cholesterol with line of best fit


## Line of best fit

- The correlation between 2 variables is a measure of the degree to which pairs of numbers (points) cluster together around a best-fitting straight line
- Line of best fit: $y=a+b x$
- Check for:
-outliers
-linearity

What's wrong with this scatterplot?
correlation between drinking
AND SPELLING ERRORS


Scatterplot example:
Strong positive (.81)
hy is infant

mortality positively linearly associated with the number of physicians (with the effects of GDP removed)?

A: Because more doctors tend to be deployed to areas with infant mortality (socio-economic status aside).
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Scatterplot example:
Moderately strong negative (-.76)


## Pearson product-moment correlation (r)

- The product-moment correlation is the standardised covariance.

$$
r_{X, Y}=\frac{\operatorname{cov}(X, Y)}{S_{X} S_{Y}}
$$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Covariance

- Variance shared by 2 variables
$\operatorname{Cov}_{X Y}=\frac{\Sigma(X-\bar{X})(Y-\bar{Y})}{N-1} \quad$ Cross products
- Covariance reflects the direction of the relationship:
+ve cov indicates +ve relationship -ve cov indicates -ve relationship

Covariance: Cross-products


X1

## Covariance

- Depends on the measurement scale $\rightarrow$ Can't compare covariance across different scales of measurement (e.g., age by weight in kilos versus age by weight in grams).
- Therefore, standardise covariance (divide by the cross-product of the SDs) $\rightarrow$ correlation
- Correlation is an effect size - i.e., standardised measure of strength of linear relationship

Covariance, SD, and correlation:

## Example quiz question

For a given set of data the covariance between $X$ and $Y$ is 1.20. The $S D$ of $X$ is 2 and the $S D$ of $Y$ is 3 . The resulting correlation is:
a. . 20
b. .30
c. .40
d. 1.20

## Hypothesis testing

Almost all correlations are not 0 , therefore the question is:
"What is the likelihood that a relationship between variables is a 'true' relationship - or could it simply
be a result of random sampling variability or "chance'?"

## Significance of correlation

- Null hypothesis $\left(\mathbf{H}_{0}\right): \rho=0$ : assumes that there is no 'true' relationship (in the population)
- Alternative hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right): \rho<>0$ : assumes that the relationship is real (in the population)
- Initially assume $\mathbf{H}_{0}$ is true, and evaluate whether the data support $\mathbf{H}_{1}$.
- $\rho($ rho $)=$ population product-moment correlation coefficient


## How to test the null hypothesis

- Select a critical value (alpha (a)); commonly . 05
- Can use a 1 or 2-tailed test
- Calculate correlation and its $p$ value. Compare this to the critical value.
- If $p<$ critical value, the correlation is statistically significant, i.e., that there is less than a x\% chance that the relationship being tested is due to random sampling variability.

Correlation - SPSS output

| Correlations |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cigarette | CHD |
|  | Consumption | Mortali |  |
|  | per Adult per | ty per |  |
|  | Day | 10,000 |  |
| Cigarette | Pearson |  |  |

Consumption per Correlation

(2-tailed).

## Imprecision in hypothesis testing

- Type I error: rejects $\mathbf{H}_{0}$ when it is true
- Type II error: Accepts $\mathbf{H}_{0}$ when it is false
- Significance test result will depend on the power of study, which is a function of:
-Effect size (r)
- Sample size ( $N$ )
-Critical alpha level ( $\alpha_{\text {crit }}$ )


## Significance of correlation



Scatterplot showing a confidence interval for a line of best fit
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## Practice quiz question:

Significance of correlation
If the correlation between Age and test Performance is statistically significant, it means that:
a. there is an important relationship between Age and test Performance
b. the true correlation between Age and Performance in the population is equal to 0
c. the true correlation between Age and Performance in the population is not equal to 0
d. getting older causes you to do poorly on tests

## Interpreting correlation

## Coefficient of Determination ( $r^{2}$ )

- $\mathrm{CoD}=$ The proportion of variance or change in one variable that can be accounted for by another variable.
- e.g., $r=.60, r^{2}=.36$



## Interpreting correlation

(Cohen, 1988)

- A correlation is an effect size
- Rule of thumb

| Strength | $\underline{\boldsymbol{r}}$ | $\underline{\underline{\boldsymbol{r}^{2}}}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Weak: | $.1-.3$ | $1-10 \%$ |
| Moderate: | $.3-.5$ | $10-25 \%$ |
| Strong: | $>.5$ | $>25 \%$ |

Size of correlation (conen, 1988)
WEAK (.1-.3)

MODERATE (.3-.5)

STRONG (>.5)

## Interpreting correlation

(Evans, 1996)

| Strength | $r$ | $\underline{r}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| very weak | 0-. 19 | (0 to 4\%) |
| weak | . $20-.39$ | (4 to 16\%) |
| oderate | . $40-.59$ | (16 to 36\%) |
| strong | . $60-.79$ | (36\% to 64\%) |
| ery stron | . $80-1.00$ | (64\% to 100\%) |

Correlation of this scatterplot $=-.9$

x1
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Correlation of this scatterplot $=-.9$


X1
68

What do you estimate the correlation of this scatterplot of height and weight to be?
a. -.5
b. -1
c. 0
d. . 5
e. 1
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What do you estimate the correlation of this scatterplot to be?
a. -. 5
b. -1
c. 0
d. . 5
e. 1


X
${ }^{7} 0$

What do you estimate the correlation of this scatterplot to be?
a. -.5
b. -1
c. 0
d. . 5
e. 1

## Write-up: Example

"Number of children and marital satisfaction were inversely related ( $r(48)=-.35, p<.05$ ), such that contentment in marriage tended to be lower for couples with more children. Number of children explained approximately $10 \%$ of the variance in marital satisfaction, a small-moderate effect (see Figure 1)."

## Assumptions and limitations

(Pearson product-moment linear correlation)

## Assumptions and limitations

1. Levels of measurement
2. Normality
3. Linearity
4. Effects of outliers
5. Non-linearity
6. Homoscedasticity
7. No range restriction
8. Homogenous samples
9. Correlation is not causation

## Normality

- The $X$ and $Y$ data should be sampled from populations with normal distributions
- Do not overly rely on a single indicator of normality; use histograms, skewness and kurtosis (within -1 and +1 )
- Inferential tests of normality (e.g., Shapiro-Wilks) are overly sensitive when sample is large


## Effect of outliers

- Outliers can disproportionately increase or decrease $r$.
- Options
-compute $r$ with \& without outliers
- get more data for outlying values
- recode outliers as having more conservative scores
-transformation
-recode variable into lower level of measurement

Age \& self-esteem

$$
(r=.63)
$$



AGE

Age \& self-esteem
(outliers removed) $r=.23$


AGE

Non-linear relationships

Check scatterplot Can a linear relationship 'capture' the lion's share of the variance?
If so,use $r$.
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## Non-linear relationships

If non-linear, consider

- Does a linear relation help?
- Transforming variables to 'create’ linear relationship
- Use a non-linear mathematical function to describe the relationship between the variables


## Scedasticity

- Homoscedasticity refers to even spread about a line of best fit
- Heteroscedasticity refers to uneven spread about a line of best fit
- Assess visually and with Levene's test

Scedasticity


Homoscedasticity with both variables normally distributed


Heteroscedasticity with skewness on one variable
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## Range restriction

- Range restriction is when the sample contains restricted (or truncated) range of scores
-e.g., level of hormones and age $<18$ might have linear relationship
- If range restriction, be cautious in generalising beyond the range for which data is available
-e.g., level of hormones may not continue to increase linearly with age after age 18

Range restriction


## Heterogenous samples

- Sub-samples (e.g., males \& females) may artificially increase or decrease overall r.
- Solution - calculate separately for subsamples \& overall, look for differences
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Scatterplot of Same-sex \& Opposite-sex Relations by Gender


Opp Sex Relations
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Correlation is not causation e.g.,:
correlation between ice cream consumption and crime, but actual cause is temperature
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Correlation is not causation e.g.,:
Stop global warming: Become a pirate


Causation may be in the eye of the beholder


It's a rather interesting phenomenon. Every time I press this lever, that graduate student breathes a sigh of relief.
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## Dealing with several correlations

## Dealing with several correlations

Scatterplot matrices organise scatterplots and correlations amongst several variables at once.

However, they are not sufficiently for over for more than about five variables at a time.
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## Correlation matrix:

Example of an APA Style Correlation Table

Table 1.
Correlations Between Five Life Effectiveness Factors for Adolescents and Aduits ( $\mathrm{N}=3640$ )

|  | Time <br> Manage- <br> ment | Social <br> Compet- <br> ence | Achieve- <br> ment <br> Motivation | Intellectual <br> Flexibility | Task <br> Leadership |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Time Management |  | .36 | .53 | .31 | .42 |
| Social Competence |  |  | .37 | .32 | .57 |
| Achievement Motivation |  |  |  | .42 | .41 |
| Intellectual Flexibility |  |  |  | .37 |  |
| Task Leadership |  |  |  | 93 |  |
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## Summary

## Summary: Covariation

1. The world is made of covariations.
2. Covariations are the building blocks of more complex analyses, including
3. factor analysis
4. reliability analysis
5. multiple regression

## Summary: <br> Purpose of correlation

1. Correlation is a standardised measure of the extent to which two phenomenon co-relate.
2. Correlation does not prove causation - may be opposite causality, co-causal, or due to other variables.

## Summary: Types of correlation

- Nominal by nominal:

Phi (Ф) / Cramer's V, Chi-squared

- Ordinal by ordinal:

Spearman's rank / Kendall's Tau b

- Dichotomous by interval/ratio:

Point bi-serial $r_{p b}$

- Interval/ratio by interval/ratio:

Product-moment or Pearson's $r$

## Summary: Correlation steps

1. Choose measure of correlation and graphs based on levels of measurement.
2. Check graphs (e.g., scatterplot)
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## Summary:

## Correlation steps

3. Consider
-Effect size (e.g., $\Phi$, Cramer's $V, r, r^{2}$ )

- Direction
- Inferential test (p)

4. Interpret/Discuss

- Relate back to hypothesis
-Size, direction, significance
-Limitations e.g.,
- Heterogeneity (sub-samples)
- Range restriction
- Causality?


## Summary: Interpreting correlation

- Coefficient of determination
-Correlation squared
-Indicates \% of shared variance
Strength $\underline{r} \quad \underline{r}^{\underline{2}}$
Weak: . $1-.3$ 1-10\%
Moderate: . $3-.5$ 10-25\%
Strong: $>.5>25 \%$


## Summary:

## Asssumptions \& limitations

1. Levels of measurement
2. Normality
3. Linearity
4. Effects of outliers
5. Non-linearity
6. Homoscedasticity
7. No range restriction
8. Homogenous samples
9. Correlation is not causation

## Summary: <br> Dealing with several correlations

- Correlation matrix
- Scatterplot matrix


## References

Evans, J. D. (1996). Straightforward statistics for the behavioral sciences. Pacific Grove, CA: Brooks/Cole Publishing
Howell, D. C. (2007). Fundamental statistics for the behavioral sciences. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth.
Howell, D. C. (2010). Statistical methods for psychology (7th ed.). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth. Howitt, D. \& Cramer, D. (2011). Introduction to statistics in psychology (5th ed.). Harlow, UK: Pearson.

## Open Office Impress

- This presentation was made using Open Office Impress.
- Free and open source software.
- http://www.openoffice.org/product/impress.html


