State Monad (3D)

Young Won Lim 11/8/17 Copyright (c) 2016 - 2017 Young W. Lim.

Permission is granted to copy, distribute and/or modify this document under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License, Version 1.2 or any later version published by the Free Software Foundation; with no Invariant Sections, no Front-Cover Texts, and no Back-Cover Texts. A copy of the license is included in the section entitled "GNU Free Documentation License".

Please send corrections (or suggestions) to youngwlim@hotmail.com.

This document was produced by using OpenOffice.

Young Won Lim 11/8/17 Haskell in 5 steps https://wiki.haskell.org/Haskell_in_5_steps

Type Synonyms

type String = [Char]

phoneBook :: [(String,String)]

type PhoneBook = [(String,String)]

phoneBook :: PhoneBook

type PhoneNumber = String
type Name = String
type PhoneBook = [(Name,PhoneNumber)]

phoneBook :: PhoneBook

http://learnyouahaskell.com/making-our-own-types-and-typeclasses

Δ

phoneBook =

[("betty","555-2938") ,("bonnie","452-2928") ,("patsy","493-2928") ,("lucille","205-2928") ,("wendy","939-8282") ,("penny","853-2492")

State Monad (3D)

Record Syntax (named field)

data Configuration =	- Configuration	
{ username	:: String	
, localHost	:: String	
, currentDir	:: String	
, homeDir	:: String	
, timeConnected	:: Integer	
}		

username :: Configuration -> String localHost :: Configuration -> String -- etc. -- accessor function (automatic)

```
changeDir :: Configuration -> String -> Configuration -- update function
changeDir cfg newDir =
    if directoryExists newDir -- make sure the directory exists
    then cfg { currentDir = newDir }
    else error "Directory does not exist"
```

https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Haskell/More_on_datatypes

newtype and data

data **____** newtype

Data can <u>only</u> be replaced with newtype if the type has exactly <u>one constructor</u> with exactly <u>one field</u> inside it.

It ensures that the trivial **wrapping** and **unwrapping** of the single field is eliminated by the **compiler**.

simple wrapper types such as **State** are usually defined with **newtype**.

type : used for type synonyms

newtype State s a = State { runState :: s -> (s, a) }

newtype examples

newtype Fd = Fd CInt

-- data Fd = Fd CInt would also be valid

-- newtypes can have deriving clauses just like normal types newtype Identity a = Identity a deriving (Eq, Ord, Read, Show)

-- record syntax is still allowed, but only for <u>one field</u> **newtype** State s a = State { runState :: s -> (s, a) }

- -- this is *not* allowed:
- -- **newtype** Pair a b = Pair { pairFst :: a, pairSnd :: b }
- -- but this is:
- data Pair a b = Pair { pairFst :: a, pairSnd :: b }
- -- and so is this:

```
newtype NPair a b = NPair (a, b)
```

The state function

The Haskell type **State** describes **functions** that take a state and return both a result and an updated state, which are given back in a tuple.

The **state function** is wrapped by a **data type** definition which comes along with a **runState accessor** no need for pattern matching





Type State



State String, State Int, State SomeLargeDataStructure, and so forth.

Calling the type **State** is arguably a bit of a misnomer because the **wrapped value** is <u>not</u> the <u>state</u> itself but a <u>state processor</u> (accessor function: runState)



State Packages

Control.Monad.Trans.State, transformers package. (focused here)

Control.Monad.**State**, **mtl** package. Control.Monad.**State.Lazy**, **mtl** package.

The "state" function



runState function

State is a record with only one element,
 whose type is a function (:: s -> (a, s))
runState converts a value of type State s a

to a <u>function</u> of this type (:: s -> (a, s))

(s -> (a, s)) state State s a runState (s -> (a, s))

ghci> :t runState runState :: State s a -> s -> (a, s)

Every time you apply **runState** to the value of type **State** s a, the result is a function of type $s \rightarrow (a, s)$.

```
newtype State s a = State { runState :: s -> (a, s) }
```

https://stackoverflow.com/questions/3240947/understanding-haskell-accessor-functions

state & runState function



newtype State s a = State { runState :: s -> (a, s) }

https://stackoverflow.com/questions/3240947/understanding-haskell-accessor-functions

Instantiating a State Monad

<u>wrap</u> a function type and give it a <u>name</u>. $s \rightarrow (a, s)$

State s can be made a *Monad instance*, for every type s

the *Monad instance* is **State** s, and <u>not</u> just **State**

(**State** can't be made an instance of Monad, as it takes <u>two</u> type parameters, rather than <u>one</u>.)

Monad State s a Monad State s a State String, State Int, State SomeLargeDataStructure, and so forth.

newtype State s a = State { runState :: s -> (a, s) }

instance Monad (State s) where
 return implementation
 (>>=) implementation

Common implementation of return and >>=

instance Monad (State s) where



return method







https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Haskell/Understanding_monads/State

State Monad (3D)

return method





giving a value (x) to **return** results in a **state processor** function which takes a state (s) and returns it <u>unchanged</u> (s), together with value x we want to be returned. Finally, the function is wrapped up by **state.**



State Monad Examples – return

runState (return 'X') 1

('X',**1**)

return

set the result value but leave the state unchanged.

return 'X' :: State Int Char runState (return 'X') :: Int -> (Char, Int) initial state = 1 :: Int final value = 'X' :: Char final state = 1 :: Int result = ('X', 1) :: (Char, Int)

Setting and Getting the State

put :: s -> State s a

put s :: State s a

put newState = state \$ _ -> ((), newState)

-- setting a state to newState

get :: State s s

get = state \$ \s -> (s, s)

-- getting the current state s

State s a ((), s) ((), newState) S newState



https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Haskell/Understanding_monads/State

put and get



https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Haskell/Understanding_monads/State

State Monad (3D)

runState put and runState get



https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Haskell/Understanding_monads/State

State Monad (3D)

put and get viewed as inside functions



https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Haskell/Understanding_monads/State

State Monad (3D)

Inside the state monad

Whenever **sc** is a **s**tateful **c**omputation **sc** can be directly assigned to x, **inside** the state monad,

X <- SC

the <u>result</u> of the stateful computation **sc** is assigned to **x** (like **evalState** is called with an initial state).

In order to check the current state, you can do

s <- get

and s will have the value of the current state.

Inside Functions and runState Functions

Most monads are equipped with some "*run*" functions such as **runState**, **execState**, and so forth.

But, frequent calling such functions <u>inside the monad</u> shows that the functionality of the monad does not fully exploited



Redundant computation examples



Inside function examples

```
collectUntil :: (s -> Bool) -> State s a -> State s [a]
collectUntil f s = step
where
step = do a <- s
liftM (a:) continue
continue = do s' <- get
if f s' then return [] else step
```

```
simpleState = state (\x -> (x,x+1))
```

```
*Main> evalState (collectUntil (>10) simpleState) 0
[0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10]
```

```
State Monad (3D)
```

liftM

liftM :: (Monad m) => (a -> b) -> m a -> m b mapM :: (Monad m) => (a -> m b) -> [a] -> m [b]

liftM lifts a function of type a -> b to a monadic counterpart.mapM applies a function which yields a monadic value to a list of values, yielding list of results embedded in the monad.

> liftM (map toUpper) getLine
Hallo
"HALLO"

> :t mapM return "monad" mapM return "monad" :: (Monad m) => m [Char]

https://stackoverflow.com/questions/5856709/what-is-the-difference-between-liftm-and-mapm-in-haskell

mapM

```
> :t mapM return "monad"
mapM return "monad" :: (Monad m) => m [Char]
```

```
> map (x -> [x+1]) [1,2,3]
[[2],[3],[4]]
```

```
> mapM (x -> [x+1]) [1,2,3]
[[2,3,4]]
```

https://stackoverflow.com/questions/5856709/what-is-the-difference-between-liftm-and-mapm-in-haskell

Setting the State

put :: s -> State s a
put newSt = state \$ _ -> ((), newSt)



Given a wanted state newState, **put** generates a **state processor** which ignores whatever the **state** it receives, and gives back the **state** we originally provided to put. the same **state**

Since we don't care about the result (a) of this processor (all we want to do is to change the state), the first element of the tuple will be (), the **universal placeholder value**.

Getting the State

get :: State s s get = state \$ \s -> (s, s)

The resulting **state processor** gives back the state st it is given in both as a result and as a state.

That means the state will remain <u>unchanged</u>, and that a <u>copy</u> of it will be made available for us to manipulate.



evalState and execState

runState

unwrap the **State** s a value to get the actual **state processing function** which is then applied to some initial state.



Given a State s a and an initial state s,evalStateonly the result valueexecStatejust the new state.

evalState :: State s a -> s -> a
evalState p s = fst (runState p s)
execState :: State s a -> s -> s
execState p s = snd (runState p s)



State Monad Examples – **get**

runState get 1

(1,1)

get

set the result value to the state and leave the state unchanged.

Comments:

```
get :: State Int Int

runState get :: Int -> (Int, Int)

initial state = 1 :: Int

final value = 1 :: Int

final state = 1 :: Int
```

get :: State s s get = state \$ \s -> (s, s)

State Monad Examples – **put**

runState (put 5) 1

((),5)

put

set the result value to () and set the state value.

Comments:

```
put 5 :: State Int ()
runState (put 5) :: Int -> ((),Int)
initial state = 1 :: Int
final value = () :: ()
final state = 5 :: Int
```

put :: s -> State s a
put newState = state \$ _ -> ((), newState)

Put and get in mtl packages



Unwrapped Implementation Examples (1)



Unwrapped Implementation Examples (1)

evalState :: State s a -> s -> a evalState act = fst . runState act

execState :: State s a -> s -> s execState act = snd . runState act



Unwrapped Implementation Examples (2)

```
modify :: (s -> s) -> State s ()
modify f = do { x <- get; put (f x) }</pre>
```

```
gets :: (s -> a) -> State s a
gets f = do { x <- get; return (f x) }</pre>
```

```
runState (modify (+1)) 1
```

((),<mark>2</mark>)

```
runState (gets (+1)) 1
(2,1)
```

evalState (gets (+1)) 1 <u>2</u> execState (gets (+1)) 1 <u>1</u>

https://wiki.haskell.org/State_Monad

get & put : functions inside the State monad

get :: s

put :: s -> (a, s)

State Monad (3D)

Unwrapped Implementation Examples (3)



Function type of >>=



p :: State s a
k :: (a -> State s b)







1st and 2nd arguments of >>= :



p :: State s a



```
k :: (a -> State s b)
```



https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Haskell/Understanding_monads/State

State Monad (3D)

Binding operator >>=



p :: State s a	State Monad value
k :: (a -> State s b)	State Monad returning function





https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Haskell/Understanding_monads/State

State Monad (3D)

p >>= k = q

Conceptual computation flow of >>=

instance Monad (State s) where

(>>=) :: State s a -> (a -> State s b) -> State s b
p >>= k = q where



state transition : running the state processor

https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Haskell/Understanding_monads/State

State Monad (3D)

Three Orthogonal Functions

Thinking of extraction : a slightly misleading intuition.

Nothing is being "extracted" from a monad.

The more *fundamental* definition of a monad can be stated by <u>three orthogonal functions</u>:

fmap :: (a -> b) -> (m a -> m b) return :: a -> m a join :: m (m a) -> m a

m is a monad.

https://stackoverflow.com/questions/15016339/haskell-computation-in-a-monad-meaning

Three Orthogonal Functions and >>=

fmap :: (a -> b) -> (m a -> m b) return :: a -> m a join :: m (m a) -> m a

(a -> b) -> (m a -> m b) (a -> m b) -> (m a -> m (m b)) (a -> m b) -> (m a -> m b)

how to implement (>>=) with these:

starting with arguments of type m a and a -> m b,

your only option is using **fmap** to get something of type m (m b),

(a -> m b) -> (m a -> m (m b))

after which you can use **join** to *flatten* the nested "layers" to get just m b.

(a -> m b) -> (m a ->m b)

https://stackoverflow.com/questions/15016339/haskell-computation-in-a-monad-meaning

(a -> b) -> (m a -> m b) (a -> m b) -> (m a -> m (m b)) (a -> m b) -> (m a -> m b)

join :: m (m a) -> m a nothing is being taken "out" of the monad as the computation going <u>deeper</u> into the monad, with successive steps being <u>collapsed</u> into a single layer of the monad.

when **join** (m (m a) -> m a) is applied, it doesn't matter as long as *the nesting order is preserved* (a form of *associativity*) and that the *monadic layer* introduced by **return** does *nothing* (an *identity* value for **join**).

Left identity	return a >>= f	fa
Right identity	m >>= return	m
Associativity	(m >>= f) >>= g	m >>= (\x -> f x >> g)

https://stackoverflow.com/questions/15016339/haskell-computation-in-a-monad-meaning

Applying the state function to **p** and **r**



https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Haskell/Understanding_monads/State

Applying ${\bf k}$ and the state function



Running the state processor





https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Haskell/Understanding_monads/State

State Monad (3D)

State Transition



https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Haskell/Understanding_monads/State

State Monad (3D)

State Transition from s0 to s2



State Transition from s0 to s2





(*, <mark>S</mark>)



(0V, <mark>0S</mark>)



Another implementation of >>=





-- running the first processor on s0.



-- running the second processor on s1.

state (\ s0 -> (y, s2))

References

- [1] ftp://ftp.geoinfo.tuwien.ac.at/navratil/HaskellTutorial.pdf
- [2] https://www.umiacs.umd.edu/~hal/docs/daume02yaht.pdf