

Atomic Construct (11A)

- Task
-

Copyright (c) 2024 Young W. Lim.

Permission is granted to copy, distribute and/or modify this document under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License, Version 1.2 or any later version published by the Free Software Foundation; with no Invariant Sections, no Front-Cover Texts, and no Back-Cover Texts. A copy of the license is included in the section entitled "GNU Free Documentation License".

Please send corrections (or suggestions) to youngwlim@hotmail.com.

This document was produced by using OpenOffice and Octave.

Atomic operations

Use OpenMP **atomic** operations to allow multiple threads to safely update a **shared numeric variable**, such as on hardware platforms that support atomic operation use.

An **atomic** operation applies only to the **single assignment statement** that immediately follows it, so atomic operations are useful for code that requires **fine-grain synchronization**.

<https://www.intel.com/content/www/us/en/docs/advisor/user-guide/2024-1/basic-openmp-atomic-operations.html>

Atomic operation examples (1)

For example, consider this annotated C/C++ serial code:

```
int count;
void Tick() {
    ANNOTATE_LOCK_ACQUIRE(0);
    count = count+1;
    ANNOTATE_LOCK_RELEASE(0);
}
...
```

<https://www.intel.com/content/www/us/en/docs/advisor/user-guide/2024-1/basic-openmp-atomic-operations.html>

Atomic operation examples (2)

The parallel C/C++ code after adding `#include <omp.h>` and `#pragma omp atomic`:

```
#include <omp.h> //prevents a load-time problem with a .dll not being found
int count;
void Tick() {
    // Replace lock annotations
    #pragma omp atomic
    count = count+1;
}
...
```

<https://www.intel.com/content/www/us/en/docs/advisor/user-guide/2024-1/basic-openmp-atomic-operations.html>

Atomic operation examples (3)

Consider this annotated Fortran serial code:

```
program ABC
  integer(kind=4) :: count = 0
  . . .
contains
subroutine Tick
  call annotate_lock_acquire(0)
  count = count + 1
  call annotate_lock_release(0)
end subroutine Tick
. . .
end program ABC
```

<https://www.intel.com/content/www/us/en/docs/advisor/user-guide/2024-1/basic-openmp-atomic-operations.html>

Atomic operation examples (4)

The parallel Fortran code after adding use omp_lib and the !\$omp atomic directive:

```
program ABC
  use omp_lib
  integer(kind=4) :: count = 0
  . . .
  contains
  subroutine Tick
    !$omp atomic
    count = count + 1
  end subroutine Tick
  . . .
end program ABC
```

<https://www.intel.com/content/www/us/en/docs/advisor/user-guide/2024-1/basic-openmp-atomic-operations.html>

Atomic operation examples (5)

Apart from using critical construct to synchronize accessing the same variable, atomic is another choice.

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <omp.h>

int main(void)
{
    int sum = 0;

    #pragma omp parallel for
    for (int index = 1; index <= 10; index++)
    {
        #pragma omp atomic
        sum += index;
    }

    printf("Sum is %d\n", sum);
    return 0;
}
```

<https://nanxiao.gitbooks.io/openmp-little-book/content/posts/atomic-construct.html>

Atomic operation examples (6)

the atomic ensures updating sum variable is an **atomic operation**, so the program always calculate the correct result.

Besides +, atomic construct also supports other operators, such as: -, *, /, etc.

Similarly, -=, *=, /= are covered too.

```
# gcc -fopenmp parallel.c
# ./a.out
Sum is 55
# ./a.out
Sum is 55
# ./a.out
Sum is 55
# ./a.out
Sum is 55
```

<https://nanxiao.gitbooks.io/openmp-little-book/content/posts/atomic-construct.html>

Atomic operation examples (6)

Synchronization: atomic

- atomic provides **mutual exclusion** but only applies to the load / update of a memory location.
- This is a lightweight, special form of a **critical section**.
- It is applied only to the (single) assignment statement that immediately follows a atomic construct

```
{  
  ...  
  #pragma omp parallel  
  {  
    double tmp, B;  
    ...  
    #pragma omp atomic  
    {  
      X+=tmp;           atomic only protects the update of X  
    }  
  }  
}
```

<https://nanxiao.gitbooks.io/openmp-little-book/content/posts/atomic-construct.html>

Atomic operation examples (6)

```
int ic, i, n;
ic = 0;

#pragma omp parallel shared(n,ic) private(i)
  for (i=0; i++, i<n)
  {
    #pragma omp atomic
    ic = ic + 1;
  }
```

“ic” is a counter.

The atomic construct ensures that no updates are lost when multiple threads are updating a counter value.

<https://nanxiao.gitbooks.io/openmp-little-book/content/posts/atomic-construct.html>

Atomic operation examples (6)

```
#pragma omp atomic
```

```
#pragma omp atomic read|write|update|capture
```

"If the low-level, high performance constructs for mutual exclusion exist on this hardware, use them.

Otherwise act like this is a critical section."

Is there any benefit to critical sections in this case?

Perhaps critical sections allow for function calls, where atomic only refers to a scalar set operation?

just available for simple binary operations to update values.

<https://dev.to/winstonpuckett/openmp-notes-1cfa>

Atomic operation examples (6)

If, as in the example above, our critical section is a single assignment, OpenMP provides a potentially more efficient way of protecting this.

OpenMP provides an atomic directive which, like `critical`, specifies the next statement must be done by one thread at a time:

```
#pragma omp atomic
global_data++;
```

Unlike a `critical` directive:

The statement under the directive can only be a single C assignment statement.

It can be of the form: `x++`, `++x`, `x--` or `--x`.

It can also be of the form `x OP= expression` where `OP` is some binary operator.

No other statement is allowed.

<https://ianfinlayson.net/class/cpsc425/notes/13-openmp-sync>

The motivation for the atomic directive is that some processors provide single instructions for operations such as `x++`. These are called Fetch-and-add instructions

References

- [1] en.wikipedia.org
- [2] M Harris, <http://beowulf.lcs.mit.edu/18.337-2008/lectslides/scan.pdf>