

Monad P3 : Existential Types (1C)

Copyright (c) 2016 - 2020 Young W. Lim.

Permission is granted to copy, distribute and/or modify this document under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License, Version 1.2 or any later version published by the Free Software Foundation; with no Invariant Sections, no Front-Cover Texts, and no Back-Cover Texts. A copy of the license is included in the section entitled "GNU Free Documentation License".

Please send corrections (or suggestions) to youngwlim@hotmail.com.

This document was produced by using LibreOffice.

Based on

Haskell in 5 steps

https://wiki.haskell.org/Haskell_in_5_steps

Overloading

The **literals** **1**, **2**, etc. are often used to represent both fixed and arbitrary precision integers.

Numeric operators such as **+** are often defined to work on many different kinds of numbers.

the **equality operator** (**==** in Haskell) usually works on numbers and many other (but not all) types.

the **overloaded behaviors** are

different for each type

in fact sometimes **undefined**, or **error**

type classes provide a **structured way** to control **ad hoc polymorphism**, or **overloading**.

In the **parametric polymorphism** the type truly does **not matter**

(Eq a) =>

Type class

Ad hoc polymorphism

<https://www.haskell.org/tutorial/classes.html>

Quantification

parametric polymorphism is useful in
defining families of types
by **universally quantifying** over all types.

Sometimes, however, it is necessary
to quantify over some smaller set of types,
eg. those types whose elements can be compared for equality.

ad hoc polymorphism

```
elem :: a -> [a] -> Bool
```

```
elem :: (Eq a) => a -> [a] -> Bool
```

<https://www.haskell.org/tutorial/classes.html>

Type class and parametric polymorphism

type classes can be seen as providing a **structured way**
to **quantify** over a constrained set of types

the **parametric polymorphism** can be viewed
as a kind of **overloading** too!

parametric polymorphism

an **overloading** occurs implicitly over all types

ad hoc polymorphism

a **type class** for a constrained set of types

```
elem :: a -> [a] -> Bool
```

```
elem :: (Eq a) => a -> [a] -> Bool
```

<https://www.haskell.org/tutorial/classes.html>

Parametric polymorphism (1) definition

Parametric polymorphism refers to when the **type** of a **value** contains one or more (**unconstrained**) **type variables**, so that the **value** may adopt any type that results from substituting those variables with **concrete types**.

```
elem :: a -> [a] -> Bool
```

<https://wiki.haskell.org/Polymorphism>

Parametric polymorphism (2) unconstrained type variable

In Haskell, this means any type in which a **type variable**, denoted by a name in a type beginning with a **lowercase letter**, appears **without constraints** (i.e. does not appear to the left of a \Rightarrow).

In **Java** and some similar languages, **generics** (roughly speaking) fill this role.

```
elem :: a -> [a] -> Bool
```

<https://wiki.haskell.org/Polymorphism>

Parametric polymorphism (3) examples

For example, the function `id :: a -> a` contains an **unconstrained type variable** `a` in its type, and so can be used in a context requiring

Char -> Char or

Integer -> Integer or

(Bool -> Maybe Bool) -> (Bool -> Maybe Bool) or

any of a literally infinite list of other possibilities.

Likewise, the empty list `[] :: [a]` belongs to every list type,

and the polymorphic function `map :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]` may operate on any function type.

<https://wiki.haskell.org/Polymorphism>

Parametric polymorphism (4) multiple appearance

Note, however, that if a single **type variable** appears multiple times, it must take the same type everywhere it appears, so e.g. the result type of **id** must be the same as the argument type, and the input and output types of the function given to **map** must match up with the list types.

id :: **a** -> **a**

map :: (**a** -> **b**) -> [**a**] -> [**b**]

<https://wiki.haskell.org/Polymorphism>

Parametric polymorphism (5) parametricity

Since a parametrically polymorphic value does not "know" anything about the **unconstrained type variables**, it must behave the same regardless of its type.

This is a somewhat limiting but extremely useful property known as **parametricity**

id :: **a** -> **a**

map :: (**a** -> **b**) -> [**a**] -> [**b**]

<https://wiki.haskell.org/Polymorphism>

Ad hoc polymorphism (1)

Ad-hoc polymorphism refers to when a **value** is able to adopt any one of several types because it, or a value it uses, has been given a separate definition for each of those types.

the **+** **operator** essentially does something entirely different when applied to floating-point values as compared to when applied to integers

```
elem :: (Eq a) => a -> [a] -> Bool
```

<https://wiki.haskell.org/Polymorphism>

Ad hoc polymorphism (2)

in languages like C, **polymorphism** is restricted to only *built-in* **functions** and **types**.

Other languages like C++ allow programmers to provide their own **overloading**, supplying **multiple definitions** of a **single function**, to be disambiguated by the **types** of the **arguments**

In Haskell, this is achieved via the system of **type classes** and **class instances**.

<https://wiki.haskell.org/Polymorphism>

Ad hoc polymorphism (3)

Despite the similarity of the name, Haskell's **type classes** are quite different from the **classes** of most object-oriented languages.

They have more in common with **interfaces**, in that they specify a series of **methods** or **values** by their **type signature**, to be implemented by an **instance declaration**.

```
class Eq a where  
  (==)      :: a -> a -> Bool
```

```
instance Eq Integer where  
  x == y    = x `integerEq` y
```

```
instance Eq Float where  
  x == y    = x `floatEq` y
```

<https://wiki.haskell.org/Polymorphism>

Ad hoc polymorphism (4)

So, for example, if **my type** can be compared for **equality** (most types can, but some, particularly function types, cannot) then I can give **an instance declaration** of the **Eq class**

All I have to do is specify the behaviour of the **== operator** on **my type**, and I gain the ability to use all sorts of functions defined using **== operator**, e.g. checking if a value of **my type** is present in a list, or looking up a corresponding value in a list of pairs.

```
class Eq a where  
  (==)      :: a -> a -> Bool
```

```
instance Eq Integer where  
  x == y    = x `integerEq` y
```

```
instance Eq Float where  
  x == y    = x `floatEq` y
```

<https://wiki.haskell.org/Polymorphism>

Ad hoc polymorphism (5)

Unlike the **overloading** in some languages,
overloading in Haskell is not limited to **functions**

- **minBound** is an example of an **overloaded value**,
as a **Char**, it will have value **'\NUL'**
as an **Int** it might be **-2147483648**

<https://wiki.haskell.org/Polymorphism>

Ad hoc polymorphism (6)

Haskell even allows **class instances** to be defined for **types** which are themselves **polymorphic** (either **ad-hoc** or **parametrically**).

So for example, an **instance** can be defined of **Eq** that says "if **a** has an **equality operation**, then **[a]** has one".

Then, of course, **[[a]]** will automatically also have an instance, and so **complex compound types** can have **instances** built for them out of the instances of their components.

<https://wiki.haskell.org/Polymorphism>

Ad hoc polymorphism (7)

```
data List a = Nil | Cons a (List a)

instance Eq a => Eq (List a) where
  (Cons a b) == (Cons c d)    = (a == c) && (b == d)
  Nil == Nil                  = True
  _ == _                      = False
```

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/30520219/how-to-define-eq-instance-of-list-without-gadts-or-datatype-contexts>

Ad hoc polymorphism (8)

You can recognise the presence of **ad-hoc polymorphism** by looking for **constrained type variables**: that is, variables that appear to the left of \Rightarrow , like in **elem :: (Eq a) => a -> [a] -> Bool**.

Note that **lookup :: (Eq a) => a -> [(a,b)] -> Maybe b** exhibits both **parametric** (in **b**) and **ad-hoc** (in **a**) **polymorphism**.

<https://wiki.haskell.org/Polymorphism>

Parametric and ad hoc polymorphism

Parametric polymorphism	ad hoc polymorphism
Type variables (a, b, etc)	Type classes (Eq, Num, etc)
Universal	Existential?
Compile time	Runtime (also)
C++ templates	Classical
Java generics	(ordinary OO)

<http://sm-haskell-users-group.github.io/pdfs/Ben%20Deane%20-%20Parametric%20Polymorphism.pdf>

Polymorphic data types and functions

```
data Maybe a = Nothing | Just a
```

```
data List a = Nil | Cons a (List a)
```

```
data Either a b = Left a | Right b
```

```
reverse :: [a] -> [a]
```

```
fst :: (a,b) -> a
```

```
id :: a -> a
```

<http://sm-haskell-users-group.github.io/pdfs/Ben%20Deane%20-%20Parametric%20Polymorphism.pdf>

Polymorphic Types

types that are universally quantified in some way over all types.

polymorphic type expressions essentially describe families of types.

For example, **(forall a) [a]** is the family of types consisting of, for every **type a**, the **type of lists of a**.

- lists of integers (e.g. **[1,2,3]**),
- lists of characters (**['a','b','c']**),
- even lists of lists of integers, etc.,

(Note, however, that **[2,'b']** is not a valid example, since there is *no single type* that contains both 2 and 'b'.)

<https://www.haskell.org/tutorial/goodies.html>

Type variables – universally quantified

Identifiers such as **a** above are called **type variables**, and are uncapitalized to distinguish them from specific types such as **Int**.

since Haskell has only universally quantified types, there is no need to explicitly write out the symbol for **universal quantification**, and thus we simply write **[a]** in the example above.

In other words, all **type variables** are implicitly universally quantified

<https://www.haskell.org/tutorial/goodies.html>

List

Lists are a commonly used data structure in functional languages, and are a good tool for explaining the principles of polymorphism.

The list **[1,2,3]** in Haskell is actually shorthand for

the list **1:(2:(3:[]))**,

where **[]** is the **empty list** and

: is the **infix operator**

that adds its first argument to the front

of its second argument (a list).

Since **:** is right associative, we can also write this list as

1:2:3:[].

<https://www.haskell.org/tutorial/goodies.html>

Polymorphic function example

```
length      :: [a] -> Integer
```

```
length []   = 0
```

```
length (x:xs) = 1 + length xs
```

```
length [1,2,3]    => 3
```

```
length ['a','b','c'] => 3
```

```
length [[1],[2],[3]] => 3
```

an example of a **polymorphic function**.

It can be applied to a list containing elements of any type,
for example **[Integer]**, **[Char]**, or **[[Integer]]**.

<https://www.haskell.org/tutorial/goodies.html>

Patterns in functions

```
length      :: [a] -> Integer
```

```
length []   = 0
```

```
length (x:xs) = 1 + length xs
```

The left-hand sides of the equations contain

patterns such as `[]` and `x:xs`.

In a **function application** these **patterns** are

matched against **actual parameters** in a fairly intuitive way

<https://www.haskell.org/tutorial/goodies.html>

Matching patterns

length :: [a] -> Integer

length [] = 0

length (x:xs) = 1 + length xs

[] only **matches** the **empty list**,

x:xs will successfully **match** any list with at least one element,

binding **x** to the **first** element and **xs** to the **rest** of the list

If the **match** succeeds,

the **right-hand side** is **evaluated**

and returned as the result of the application.

If it fails, the next equation is tried,

and if all equations fail, an error results.

<https://www.haskell.org/tutorial/goodies.html>

Not all possible cases – runtime errors

Function **head** returns the first element of a list,
function **tail** returns all but the first.

head :: [a] -> a

head (x:xs) = x

tail :: [a] -> [a]

tail (x:xs) = xs

Unlike `length`, these functions are not defined
for all possible values of their argument.

A **runtime error** occurs when these functions
are applied to an empty list.

<https://www.haskell.org/tutorial/goodies.html>

General types

With polymorphic types, we find that some types are in a sense strictly more general than others in the sense that the set of values they define is larger.

the type **[a]** is more general than **[Char]**.

type **[Char]** can be derived from **[a]**
by a suitable substitution for **a**.

<https://www.haskell.org/tutorial/goodies.html>

Principal type

With regard to this **generalization ordering**,
Haskell's type system possesses two important properties:

1. every **well-typed expression** is guaranteed to have a **unique principal type** (explained below),
2. the **principal type** can be inferred automatically.

In comparison to a monomorphically typed language such as C, the reader will find that polymorphism improves expressiveness, and **type inference** lessens the burden of types on the programmer.

<https://www.haskell.org/tutorial/goodies.html>

Unique principal types

An **expression's** or **function's** **principal type** is the **least general type** that, intuitively, "contains all instances of the expression".

For example, the principal type of **head** is $[a] \rightarrow a$; $[b] \rightarrow a$, $a \rightarrow a$, or even a are correct types, but too general, whereas something like $[\text{Integer}] \rightarrow \text{Integer}$ is too specific.

The existence of **unique principal types** is the hallmark feature of the **Hindley-Milner type system**, which forms the basis of the type systems of Haskell

<https://www.haskell.org/tutorial/goodies.html>

Explicitly Quantifying Type Variables

to explicitly bring fresh **type variables** into **scope**.

Explicitly quantifying the **type variables**

map :: forall a b. (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]

for any combination of types **a** and **b**

choose **a** = **Int** and **b** = **String**

then it's valid to say that map has the type

(Int -> String) -> [Int] -> [String]

Here we are **instantiating** the general type of **map**
to a more specific type.

https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Haskell/Existentially_quantified_types

Implicit forall

any introduction of a **lowercase type parameter**
implicitly begins with a **forall** keyword,

Example: Two equivalent type statements

id :: a -> a

id :: forall a . a -> a

We can apply additional constraints
on the quantified **type variables**

https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Haskell/Existentially_quantified_types

Three different usages for **forall**

Basically, there are 3 different common uses for the forall keyword (or at least so it seems), and each has its own Haskell extension:

ScopedTypeVariables

specify types for code inside **where** clauses

RankNTypes/Rank2Types,

The type is labeled "**Rank-N**" where N is the number of **forall**s which are nested and cannot be merged with a previous one.

ExistentialQuantification

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/3071136/what-does-the-forall-keyword-in-haskell-ghc-do>

Scoped Type Variables

helps one specify types for code inside **where clauses**.

It makes the **b** in **val :: b** the same one as
the **b** in **foob :: forall a b. (b -> b) -> b -> (a -> b) -> Maybe a -> b**.

A confusing point:

when you omit the **forall** from a type it is actually still implicitly there.
(normally these languages omit the **forall** from polymorphic types).

This claim is correct, but it refers to the other uses of **forall**,
and not to the **ScopedTypeVariables** use.

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/3071136/what-does-the-forall-keyword-in-haskell-ghc-do>

Scoped Type Variables

```
{-# LANGUAGE ScopedTypeVariables #-}  
  
...  
  
mkpair1 :: forall a b. a -> b -> (a,b)  
mkpair1 aa bb = (ida aa, bb)  
  where  
    ida :: a -> a -- This refers to a in the function's type signature  
    ida = id
```

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/3071136/what-does-the-forall-keyword-in-haskell-ghc-do>

Scoped Type Variables

```
mkpair2 :: forall a b. a -> b -> (a,b)
mkpair2 aa bb = (ida aa, bb)
  where
    ida :: b -> b -- Illegal, because refers to b in type signature
    ida = id

mkpair3 :: a -> b -> (a,b)
mkpair3 aa bb = (ida aa, bb)
  where
    ida :: b -> b -- Legal, because b is now a free variable
    ida = id
```

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/3071136/what-does-the-forall-keyword-in-haskell-ghc-do>

Scoped Type Variables

Scoped type variables make it possible to specify the particular type of a function in situations where it is not otherwise possible, which can in turn help avoid problems with the Monomorphism restriction.

This feature should be better documented in the Wiki, but this is a start.

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/3071136/what-does-the-forall-keyword-in-haskell-ghc-do>

Hiding a type variable on the RHS

Normally when creating a new type using **type**, **newtype**, **data**, etc., every **type variable** that appears on the right-hand side must also appear on the left-hand side.

```
newtype ST s a = ST (State# s -> (# State# s, a #))
```

Existential types are a way of escaping

Existential types can be used for several different purposes. But what they do is to **hide a type variable** on the right-hand side.

https://wiki.haskell.org/Existential_type

Type Variable Example – (1) error

Normally, any type variable appearing on the right must also appear on the left:

```
data Worker x y = Worker {buffer :: b, input :: x, output :: y}
```

This is an **error**, since the **type b** of the **buffer** is not specified on the right (**b** is a **type variable** rather than a **type**) but also is not specified on the left (there's no **b** in the left part).

In Haskell98, you would have to write

```
data Worker b x y = Worker {buffer :: b, input :: x, output :: y}
```

https://wiki.haskell.org/Existential_type

Type Variable Example – (2) explicit type signature

However, suppose that a **Worker** can use any type **b**
so long as it belongs to some particular class.

Then every **function** that uses a **Worker** will have a type like

```
foo :: (Buffer b) => Worker b Int Int
```

In particular, failing to write an **explicit type signature** `(Buffer b)`
will invoke the dreaded monomorphism restriction.

Using **existential types**, we can avoid this:

https://wiki.haskell.org/Existential_type

Type Variable Example – (3) existential type

Using existential type :

```
data Worker x y = forall b. Buffer b => Worker {buffer :: b, input :: x, output :: y}
foo :: Worker Int Int
```

The **type** of the **buffer** (**Buffer**) now does not appear in the **Worker** type at all.

Explicit type signature :

```
data Worker b x y = Worker {buffer :: b, input :: x, output :: y}
foo :: (Buffer b) => Worker b Int Int
```

https://wiki.haskell.org/Existential_type

Type Variable Example – (4) characteristics

- it is now impossible for a function to demand a **Worker** having a specific type of **buffer**.
- the **type** of **foo** can now be derived automatically without needing an explicit type signature.
(No monomorphism restriction.)
- since code now has no idea what **type** the buffer function returns, you are more limited in what you can do to it.

```
data Worker x y = forall b. Buffer b => Worker {buffer :: b, input :: x, output :: y}
foo :: Worker Int Int
```

https://wiki.haskell.org/Existential_type

Hiding a type

In general, when you use a **hidden type** in this way, you will usually want that **type** to belong to a **specific class**, or you will want to **pass some functions** along that can work on that type.

Otherwise you'll have some value belonging to a **random unknown type**, and you won't be able to do anything to it!

https://wiki.haskell.org/Existential_type

Conversion to less a specific type

Note: You can use **existential types** to **convert** a **more specific type** into a **less specific one**.

constrained type variables

There is no way to perform the reverse conversion!

https://wiki.haskell.org/Existential_type

A heterogeneous list example

This illustrates **creating a heterogeneous list**,
all of whose members implement "**Show**",
and progressing through that list to show these items:

```
data Obj = forall a. (Show a) => Obj a
```

```
xs :: [Obj]
```

```
xs = [Obj 1, Obj "foo", Obj 'c']
```

```
doShow :: [Obj] -> String
```

```
doShow [] = ""
```

```
doShow ((Obj x):xs) = show x ++ doShow xs
```

With output: `doShow xs ==> "1\"foo\"'c'"`

https://wiki.haskell.org/Existential_type

Existentials in terms of forall (1)

It is also possible to express existentials with RankNTypes as **type expressions directly** (without a **data** declaration)

```
forall r. (forall a. Show a => a -> r) -> r
```

(the leading forall r. is optional unless the expression is part of another expression).

the equivalent type **Obj** :

```
data Obj = forall a. (Show a) => Obj a
```

https://wiki.haskell.org/Existential_type

Existentials in terms of forall (2)

The conversions are:

fromObj :: Obj -> forall r. (forall a. Show a => a -> r) -> r

fromObj (Obj x) k = k x

toObj :: (forall r. (forall a. Show a => a -> r) -> r) -> Obj

toObj f = f Obj

https://wiki.haskell.org/Existential_type

Existentials

Existential types, or 'existentials' for short, are a way of 'squashing' a group of types into one, single type.

Existentials are part of GHC's type system extensions.

They aren't part of Haskell98, and as such you'll have

to either compile any code that contains them

with an extra command-line parameter of **-XExistentialQuantification**,

or put **{-# LANGUAGE ExistentialQuantification #-}**

at the top of your sources that use existentials.

https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Haskell/Existentially_quantified_types

forall

Example: A polymorphic function

map :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]

Example: Explicitly quantifying the type variables

map :: forall a b. (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]

instantiating the general type of map to a more specific type

a = Int and b = String

(Int -> String) -> [Int] -> [String]

Example: Two equivalent type statements

id :: a -> a

id :: forall a . a -> a

https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Haskell/Existentially_quantified_types

forall

Suppose we have a **group of values**.

We don't know if they are all the same type,
but we do know they are all **members** of some **class**
(and, by extension, that all the values have a certain **property**).

It might be useful to throw all these **values** into a **list**.

We can't do this normally because **lists elements**
must be of **the same type** (**homogeneous** with respect to **types**).

However, **existential types** allow us
to loosen this requirement by defining a **type hider** or **type box**:

https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Haskell/Existentially_quantified_types

Heterogeneous list example

Example: Constructing a heterogeneous list

```
data ShowBox = forall s. Show s => SB s
```

```
heteroList :: [ShowBox]
```

```
heteroList = [SB (), SB 5, SB True]
```

calling the constructor on three values of different types,
[SB (), SB 5, SB True], to place them all into a single list
so we must somehow have **the same type** for each one.

Use the **forall** in the constructor

```
SB :: forall s. Show s => s -> ShowBox.
```

https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Haskell/Existentially_quantified_types

forall

```
data ShowBox = forall s. Show s => SB s
heteroList :: [ShowBox]
heteroList = [SB (), SB 5, SB True]
```

If we were now writing a function to which we intend to pass **heteroList**, we couldn't apply a function such as `not` to the values inside the **SB** because their type might not be `Bool`.

But we do know something about each of the elements: they can be converted to a string via `show`. In fact, that's pretty much the only thing we know about them.

https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Haskell/Existentially_quantified_types

forall

```
instance Show ShowBox where
  show (SB s) = show s    -- (*) see the comment in the text below

f :: [ShowBox] -> IO ()
f xs = mapM_ print xs

main = f heteroList
```

https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Haskell/Existentially_quantified_types

forall

Example: Using our heterogeneous list

instance Show ShowBox where

```
show (SB s) = show s      -- (*) see the comment in the text below
```

```
f :: [ShowBox] -> IO ()
```

```
f xs = mapM_ print xs
```

```
main = f heteroList
```

Example: Types of the functions involved

```
print :: Show s => s -> IO ()      -- print x = putStrLn (show x)
```

```
mapM_ :: (a -> m b) -> [a] -> m ()
```

```
mapM_ print :: Show s => [s] -> IO ()
```

https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Haskell/Existentially_quantified_types

forall

One way to think about forall is to consider **types** as a set of possible values.

Bool is the set **{True, False, \perp }**
(remember that bottom, \perp , is a member of every type!),

Integer is the set of integers (and bottom),

String is the set of all possible strings (and bottom), and so on.

https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Haskell/Existentially_quantified_types

forall a. a

forall serves as a way to assert a **commonality** or **intersection** of the specified types (i.e. sets of values).

forall a. a is the **intersection** of all types.

This **subset** turns out to be the set $\{\perp\}$,

since it is an **implicit value in every type**.

that is, [the **type** whose **only available value is bottom**]

However, since **every Haskell type includes bottom, $\{\perp\}$** ,
this quantification in fact stipulates all Haskell types.

But the only permissible operations on it are

those available to [a **type** whose only available value is **bottom**]

https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Haskell/Existentially_quantified_types

forall a. a

The list, `[forall a. a]`, is the **type of a list** whose **elements** all have the type `forall a. a`, i.e. **a list of bottoms**.

The list, `[forall a. Show a => a]`, is the **type of a list** whose **elements** all have the type `forall a. Show a => a`.

The **Show** class constraint requires the possible types to also be **a member of the class, Show**.

However, \perp is still the only value common to all these types, so this too is **a list of bottoms**.

https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Haskell/Existentially_quantified_types

forall a. a

The list, `[forall a. Num a => a]`, requires each element to be a member of the class, Num.

Consequently, the possible values include

numeric literals, which have the specific type,
forall a. Num a => a, as well as **bottom**.

`forall a. [a]` is the type of **the list** whose elements all have the same type **a**.

Since we cannot presume any particular type at all, this too is **a list of bottoms**.

https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Haskell/Existentially_quantified_types

forall

We see that most **intersections over types** just lead to **bottoms** because **types** generally don't have **any values in common** and so presumptions cannot be made about a **union of their values**.

a heterogeneous list using a **'type hider'**.

This **'type hider'** functions as a **wrapper type**

which guarantees certain facilities

by implying a **predicate** or **constraint** on the permissible types.

the purpose of **forall** is to **impose type constraint**

on the permissible types within a **type declaration**

and thereby guaranteeing certain facilities with such types.

https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Haskell/Existentially_quantified_types

forall

Example: An existential datatype

```
data T = forall a. MkT a
```

Example: This defines a polymorphic constructor,
or a family of constructors for T

```
MkT :: forall a. (a -> T)
```

Example: Pattern matching on our existential constructor

```
foo (MkT x) = ... -- what is the type of x?
```

Example: Constructing the heterogeneous list

```
heteroList = [MkT 5, MkT (), MkT True, MkT map]
```

https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Haskell/Existentially_quantified_types

forall

Example: A new existential data type, with a class constraint

```
data T' = forall a. Show a => MkT' a
```

Example: Using our new heterogenous setup

```
heteroList' = [MkT' 5, MkT' (), MkT' True, MkT' "Sartre"]
```

```
main = mapM_ (\(MkT' x) -> print x) heteroList'
```

```
{- prints:
```

```
5
```

```
()
```

```
True
```

```
"Sartre"
```

```
-}
```

https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Haskell/Existentially_quantified_types

forall

Example: The runST function

```
runST :: forall a. (forall s. ST s a) -> a
```

Example: Bad ST code

```
let v = runST (newSTRef True)  
in runST (readSTRef v)
```

Example: Briefer bad ST code

```
... runST (newSTRef True) ...
```

https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Haskell/Existentially_quantified_types

forall

Example: The compiler's typechecking stage

newSTRef True :: forall s. ST s (STRef s Bool)

runST :: forall a. (forall s. ST s a) -> a

together, (forall s. ST s (STRef s Bool)) -> STRef s Bool

Example: A type mismatch!

together, (forall s'. ST s' (STRef s' Bool)) -> STRef s Bool

https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Haskell/Existentially_quantified_types

forall

Example: Identity function

```
id :: forall a. a -> a
```

```
id a = a
```

Example: Polymorphic value

```
x :: forall a. Num a => a
```

```
x = 0
```

Example: Existential type

```
data ShowBox = forall s. Show s => SB s
```

Example: Sum type

```
data ShowBox = SBUnit | SBInt Int | SBBool Bool | SBIntList [Int] | ...
```

https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Haskell/Existentially_quantified_types

forall

```
{-# LANGUAGE ExistentialQuantification, RankNTypes #-}
```

```
newtype Pair a b = Pair (forall c. (a -> b -> c) -> c)
```

```
makePair :: a -> b -> Pair a b
```

```
makePair a b = Pair $ \f -> f a b
```

https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Haskell/Existentially_quantified_types

forall

```
λ> :set -XExistentialQuantification
λ> :set -XRankNTypes
λ> newtype Pair a b = Pair {runPair :: forall c. (a -> b -> c) -> c}
λ> makePair a b = Pair $ \f -> f a b
λ> pair = makePair "a" 'b'

λ> :t pair
pair :: Pair [Char] Char

λ> runPair pair (\x y -> x)
"a"

λ> runPair pair (\x y -> y)
'b'
```

https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Haskell/Existentially_quantified_types

forall – quantifier (1)

quantifier in predicate calculus

type quantifier polymorphic types

to encode a type in **type isomorphism**

Isomorphism

from . to = id

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/3071136/what-does-the-forall-keyword-in-haskell-ghc-do>

forall – quantifier (2) type isomorphism

the class of **isomorphic types**, i.e. those which can be **cast** to each other without loss of information.

type isomorphism is an **equivalence relation** (**reflexive, symmetric, transitive**), but due to the limitations of the type system, only **reflexivity** is implemented for all types

Isomorphism

from . to = id

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/3071136/what-does-the-forall-keyword-in-haskell-ghc-do>

forall – quantifier (3)

```
foo :: (forall a. a -> a) -> (Char, Bool)
bar :: forall a. ((a -> a) -> (Char, Bool))
```

To call **bar**, any **type a** can be chosen,
and it is possible to pass a **function** from **type a** to **type a**.

the **function (+1)** or the **function reverse**.

the **forall** is considered to be as saying

"I get to pick the type now". (**instantiating**.)

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/3071136/what-does-the-forall-keyword-in-haskell-ghc-do>

forall – quantifier (4)

```
foo :: (forall a. a -> a) -> (Char, Bool)
```

```
bar :: forall a. ((a -> a) -> (Char, Bool))
```

The restrictions on calling **foo** are much more stringent:
the argument to **foo** must be a **polymorphic function**.

With that type, **the only functions** that can be passed to **foo**
are **id** or a **function** that always **diverges** or **errors**, like **undefined**.

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/3071136/what-does-the-forall-keyword-in-haskell-ghc-do>

forall – quantifier (5)

```
foo :: (forall a. a -> a) -> (Char, Bool)
```

```
bar :: forall a. ((a -> a) -> (Char, Bool))
```

The reason is that with **foo**, the **forall** is to the **left of the arrow**, so as the **caller** of **foo** I don't get to pick what **a** is —rather it's the **implementation** of **foo** that gets to pick what **a** is.

Because **forall** is to the **left of the arrow**, rather than **above the arrow** as in **bar**, the **instantiation** takes place in the **body** of the **function** rather than at the **call** site.

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/3071136/what-does-the-forall-keyword-in-haskell-ghc-do>

forall – quantifier (6) above, below, left

Jargon "**above**", "**below**", "**to the left of**".

nothing to do with the *textual ways* types are written
everything to do with **abstract-syntax trees**.

In the **abstract syntax**,

- a **forall** takes the **name** of a **type variable**,
and then there is a **full type** "**below**" the **forall**.
- an **arrow** takes **two types** (**argument** and **result type**)
and forms a **new type** (the **function type**).
- the **argument type** is "**to the left of**" the **arrow**;
- it is the **arrow's left child** in the **abstract-syntax tree**.

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/3071136/what-does-the-forall-keyword-in-haskell-ghc-do>

forall – quantifier (7)

forall a . [a] -> [a],

the **forall** is **above the arrow**;

what's to the **left of the arrow** is [a].

forall n f e x . (**forall** e x . n e x -> f -> Fact x f)

-> Block n e x -> f -> Fact x f

(**forall** e x . n e x -> f -> Fact x f)

the type in parentheses would be called

"a **forall** to the **left of an arrow**".

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/3071136/what-does-the-forall-keyword-in-haskell-ghc-do>

foo :: a -> a (1)

foo :: a -> a

given this type signature, there is only one function
that can satisfy this type and
the identity function **id**.

foo 5 = 6

foo True = False

they both satisfy the above type signature,
then why do Haskell folks claim
that it is **id** alone which satisfies the type signature?

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/3071136/what-does-the-forall-keyword-in-haskell-ghc-do>

foo :: a -> a (2)

That is because there is an implicit forall hidden in the type signature.

```
id :: forall a. a -> a
```

Constraints liberate, liberties constrain

A **constraint** at the **type level**,
becomes a **liberty** at the **term level**

A **liberty** at the **type level**,
becomes a **constraint** at the **term level**

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/3071136/what-does-the-forall-keyword-in-haskell-ghc-do>

foo :: a -> a (3)

A **constraint** at the **type level**..

So putting a constraint on our type signature

```
foo :: (Num a) => a -> a
```

becomes a **liberty** at the term level gives us the liberty or flexibility to write all of these

```
foo 5 = 6
```

```
foo 4 = 2
```

```
foo 7 = 9
```

...

Same can be observed by constraining a with **any other typeclass** etc

A **constraint** at the **type level**,
becomes a **liberty** at the **term level**

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/3071136/what-does-the-forall-keyword-in-haskell-ghc-do>

foo :: a -> a (4)

foo :: (Num a) => a -> a translates to
 $\exists a, \text{st } a \rightarrow a, \forall a \in \text{Num}$

existential quantification

which translates to there exists some instances of **a**
for which a function of **a -> a**
and those instances all belong to the set of **Numbers**.

adding a **constraint** (**a** should belong to the set of **Nnumbers**),
liberates the **term** level to have multiple possible implementations.

A **constraint** at the **type level**,
becomes a **liberty** at the **term level**

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/3071136/what-does-the-forall-keyword-in-haskell-ghc-do>

foo :: a -> a (5)

the explanation of **forall**:

So now let us **liberate** the the **function** at the **type** level:

foo :: forall a. a -> a translates to:

$\forall a, a -> a$

the **implementation** of this type signature

should be such that it is **a -> a** for all circumstances.

A **liberty** at the **type level**, becomes
a **constraint** at the **term level**

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/3071136/what-does-the-forall-keyword-in-haskell-ghc-do>

foo :: a -> a (6)

So now this starts **constraining** us at the **term** level.

We can no longer write

foo 5 = 7

because this **implementation** would not satisfy
when a **Bool** type value is passed to **foo**

this is because

under all circumstances $\forall a, a \rightarrow a$

it should return something of the similar type.

a can be a **Char** or a **[Char]** or a custom datatype.

A **liberty** at the **type level**, becomes
a **constraint** at the **term level**

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/3071136/what-does-the-forall-keyword-in-haskell-ghc-do>

foo :: a -> a (7)

$\forall a, a \rightarrow a$ the **liberty** at the **type** level
foo 5 = 7 a constraint at the **term** level
 (impossible implementation)

this **liberty** at the **type** level is what is known
as **Universal Quantification**

the **only** **function** which can satisfy **foo :: forall a. a -> a**

foo a = a the **identity** function

A **liberty** at the **type** level, becomes
a **constraint** at the **term** level

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/3071136/what-does-the-forall-keyword-in-haskell-ghc-do>

foo :: a -> a (8)

Runar Bjarnason titled "Constraints Liberate, Liberties Constrain".

CONSTRAINTS LIBERATE,
LIBERTIES CONSTRAIN

Its very important to digest and believe this statement

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/3071136/what-does-the-forall-keyword-in-haskell-ghc-do>

RunST (1)

```
runST :: forall a. (forall s. ST s a) -> a
```

runST has to be able to produce a **value** of **type a**,
no matter what **type** we give as **a**.

runST uses an **argument** of **type (forall s. ST s a)**
which certainly must somehow produce the **a**.

runST must be able to produce a **value** of **type a**
no matter what **type** the **implementation** of **runST**
decides to give as **s**.

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/3071136/what-does-the-forall-keyword-in-haskell-ghc-do>

RunST (2)

```
runST :: forall a. (forall s. ST s a) -> a
```

the benefit is that this puts a **constraint** on the **caller** of **runST** in that the **type a** cannot involve the **type s** at all.

you can't pass it a value of type **ST s [s]**, for example.

the implementation of **runST** is **free** to perform **mutation** with the value of **type s**.

The **type guarantees** that this **mutation** is **local** to the implementation of **runST**.

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/3071136/what-does-the-forall-keyword-in-haskell-ghc-do>

Rank-N-Types (1)

Normal Haskell '98 types are considered **Rank-1 types**.

A Haskell '98 type signature such as

a -> b -> a

implies that the type variables are **universally quantified** like so:

forall a b. a -> b -> a

forall can be **floated** out of the **right-hand side** of ->

forall a. a -> (forall b. b -> a)

is also a **Rank-1 type** because it is equivalent to the previous signature.

https://wiki.haskell.org/Rank-N_types

Rank-N-Types (2)

However, a **forall** appearing within the **left-hand side** of (->) cannot be moved up, and therefore forms another level or rank.

The type is labeled "**Rank-N**" where **N** is the number of **forall**s which are **nested** and **cannot** be **merged** with a previous one.

(forall a. a -> a) -> (forall b. b -> b)

is a **Rank-2** type because the latter forall can be moved to the start but the former one cannot.

Therefore, there are two levels of universal quantification.

https://wiki.haskell.org/Rank-N_types

Rank-N-Types (3)

Rank-N type reconstruction is undecidable in general,
and some explicit type annotations are required in their presence.

Rank-2 or **Rank-N types** may be specifically enabled
by the language extensions

`{-# LANGUAGE Rank2Types #-}` or
`{-# LANGUAGE RankNTypes #-}`.

https://wiki.haskell.org/Rank-N_types

Rank-N-Types (4)

In order to unpack an existential type, you need a polymorphic function that works on any type that could be stored in the existential.

This leads to a natural relation between higher-rank types and existentials; and an encoding of existentials in terms of higher rank types in continuation-passing style.

https://wiki.haskell.org/Rank-N_types


```
foo :: (forall a. a -> a) -> (Char, Bool)
bar :: forall a. ((a -> a) -> (Char, Bool))
```

The type of **foo** above is also of **rank 2**.

An ordinary polymorphic type, like that of **bar**, is **rank-1**, but it becomes **rank-2** if the **types of arguments** are required to be polymorphic, with their own **forall** quantifier.

And if a function takes **rank-2 arguments** then its type is **rank-3**, and so on.

In general, a **type** that takes **polymorphic arguments of rank n** has **rank n + 1**.

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/3071136/what-does-the-forall-keyword-in-haskell-ghc-do>

Rank-N-Types

Let's start with that

`mayb :: b -> (a -> b) -> Maybe a -> b`

is equivalent to

`mayb :: forall a b. b -> (a -> b) -> Maybe a -> b`

except for when `ScopedTypeVariables` is enabled.

This means that it works for every **a** and **b**.

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/3071136/what-does-the-forall-keyword-in-haskell-ghc-do>

Rank-N-Types

```
ghci> let putStrLnList x = [x]
ghci> liftTup putStrLnList (5, "Blah")
([5], ["Blah"])
```

the type of this liftTup?

```
liftTup :: (forall x. x -> f x) -> (a, b) -> (f a, f b)
```

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/3071136/what-does-the-forall-keyword-in-haskell-ghc-do>

Rank-N-Types

Code 1:

```
ghci> let liftTup liftFunc (a, b) = (liftFunc a, liftFunc b)
```

```
ghci> liftTup (\x -> [x]) (5, "Hello")
```

```
  No instance for (Num [Char])
```

```
  ...
```

```
ghci> :t liftTup
```

```
liftTup :: (t -> t1) -> (t, t) -> (t1, t1)
```

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/3071136/what-does-the-forall-keyword-in-haskell-ghc-do>

Rank-N-Types

Code 2:

```
-- test.hs
```

```
liftTup :: (x -> f x) -> (a, b) -> (f a, f b)
```

```
liftTup liftFunc (t, v) = (liftFunc t, liftFunc v)
```

```
ghci> :l test.hs
```

```
    Couldn't match expected type 'x' against inferred type 'b'
```

```
    ...
```

Hmm. so here GHC doesn't let us apply **liftFunc** on **v**

because **v** :: **b** and **liftFunc** wants an **x**.

We really want our function to get a function that accepts any possible **x**!

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/3071136/what-does-the-forall-keyword-in-haskell-ghc-do>

Rank-N-Types

Code 2:

```
-- test.hs
```

```
liftTup :: (x -> f x) -> (a, b) -> (f a, f b)
```

```
liftTup liftFunc (t, v) = (liftFunc t, liftFunc v)
```

```
ghci> :l test.hs
```

```
    Couldn't match expected type 'x' against inferred type 'b'
```

```
    ...
```

Hmm. so here GHC doesn't let us apply **liftFunc** on **v**

because **v :: b** and **liftFunc** wants an **x**.

We really want our function to get a function that accepts any possible **x**!

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/3071136/what-does-the-forall-keyword-in-haskell-ghc-do>

Rank-N-Types

Code 3:

```
{-# LANGUAGE RankNTypes #-}  
liftTup :: (forall x. x -> f x) -> (a, b) -> (f a, f b)  
liftTup liftFunc (t, v) = (liftFunc t, liftFunc v)
```

So it's not liftTup that works for all x, it's the function that it gets that does.

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/3071136/what-does-the-forall-keyword-in-haskell-ghc-do>

RunST : rank-2 polymorphic type

```
runST :: forall a. (forall s. ST s a) -> a
```

The **type** of **runST** is an example of a **rank-2 polymorphic type** because the **type** of its **argument** contains a **forall** quantifier.

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/3071136/what-does-the-forall-keyword-in-haskell-ghc-do>

Existential Quantification

```
-- test.hs
{-# LANGUAGE ExistentialQuantification #-}
data EQList = forall a. EQList [a]
eqListLen :: EQList -> Int
eqListLen (EQList x) = length x

ghci> :l test.hs
ghci> eqListLen $ EQList ["Hello", "World"]
2
```

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/3071136/what-does-the-forall-keyword-in-haskell-ghc-do>

Existential Quantification

```
ghci> :set -XRankNTypes
ghci> length (["Hello", "World"] :: forall a. [a])
  Couldn't match expected type 'a' against inferred type '[Char]'
  ...
```

With Rank-N-Types, forall a meant that your expression must fit all possible as. For example:

```
ghci> length ([] :: forall a. [a])
0
```

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/3071136/what-does-the-forall-keyword-in-haskell-ghc-do>

References

- [1] <ftp://ftp.geoinfo.tuwien.ac.at/navratil/HaskellTutorial.pdf>
- [2] <https://www.umiacs.umd.edu/~hal/docs/daume02yaht.pdf>