

Lambda Calculus - Church Numerals (5A)

Copyright (c) 2023 - 2016 Young W. Lim.

Permission is granted to copy, distribute and/or modify this document under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License, Version 1.2 or any later version published by the Free Software Foundation; with no Invariant Sections, no Front-Cover Texts, and no Back-Cover Texts. A copy of the license is included in the section entitled "GNU Free Documentation License".

Please send corrections (or suggestions) to youngwlim@hotmail.com.

This document was produced by using LibreOffice.

Church encoding (1)

Church encoding is a means of representing **data** and **operators** in the **lambda calculus**.

The **Church numerals** are a representation of the **natural numbers** using lambda notation.

The method is named for Alonzo Church, who first encoded data in the lambda calculus this way.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Church_encoding

Church encoding (1)

Terms that are usually considered **primitive** in other notations (such as integers, booleans, pairs, lists, and tagged unions) are mapped to **higher-order functions** under **Church encoding**.

The **Church-Turing thesis** asserts that any computable **operator** (and its **operands**) can be represented under Church encoding.

In the **untyped lambda calculus** the **only primitive data type** is the **function**.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Church_encoding

Typed and untyped calculus (1)

Lambda calculus may be **untyped** or **typed**.

In **typed lambda calculus**, **functions** can be applied only if they are capable of accepting the given input's "**type**" of data.

Typed lambda calculi are weaker than the **untyped lambda calculus**, in the sense that **typed lambda calculi** can express less than **the untyped calculus** can, but on the other hand **typed lambda calculi** allow more things to be proven;

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Church_encoding

Typed and untyped calculus (2)

in the simply typed lambda calculus it is, for example, a **theorem** that every evaluation strategy terminates for every simply typed lambda-term,

whereas **evaluation** of untyped lambda-terms need not terminate.

One reason there are many different typed lambda calculi has been the desire to do more (of what the untyped calculus can do) without giving up on being able to prove strong theorems about the calculus.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Church_encoding

Typed lambda calculus (3)

A **typed lambda calculus** is a **typed formalism** that uses the lambda-symbol (λ \lambda lambda) to denote anonymous function abstraction.

In this context, **types** are usually **objects** of a **syntactic nature** that are assigned to **lambda terms**;

the **exact nature** of a **type** depends on the **calculus** considered (see Kinds of typed lambda calculi).

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Church_encoding

Typed lambda calculus (3)

From a certain point of view,
typed lambda calculi can be seen
as refinements of the untyped lambda calculus

but from another point of view,
they can also be considered the more fundamental theory
and untyped lambda calculus
a special case with only one type.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Church_encoding

Typed lambda calculus (4)

Typed lambda calculi are **foundational** programming languages and are the **base** of **typed functional programming languages** such as ML and Haskell and, more indirectly, **typed imperative programming languages**.

Typed lambda calculi play an important role in the **design** of **type systems** for programming languages;

here **typability** usually captures desirable properties of the program, e.g. the program will not cause a **memory access violation**.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Church_encoding

Typed lambda calculus (5)

Typed lambda calculi are closely related to mathematical logic and proof theory via the Curry–Howard isomorphism and they can be considered as the internal language of classes of categories, e.g. the simply typed lambda calculus is the language of Cartesian closed categories (CCCs).

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Church_encoding

Formalism

In the philosophy of mathematics, **formalism** is the view that holds that **statements of mathematics and logic** can be considered to be **statements** about the **consequences** of the **manipulation of strings** (alphanumeric sequences of symbols, usually as equations) using **established manipulation rules**.

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Formalism_\(philosophy_of_mathematics\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Formalism_(philosophy_of_mathematics))

Classification of typed / untyped lambda calculus (1)

- **Untyped** lambda calculus -- no logical interpretation
- **Simply typed** lambda calculus -- intuitionistic **propositional logic**
- **Polymorphic** lambda calculus -- pure **second-order logic**
ie, without first-order quantifiers

- **Dependent types** -- generalization of **first-order logic**
- **Calculus of constructions** -- generalization of **higher-order logic**

<https://cstheory.stackexchange.com/questions/5834/classification-of-typed-untyped-lambda-calculi>

Classification of typed / untyped lambda calculus (2)

Type dependency is more general than first-order quantification, since it turns proofs into objects you can quantify over.

Lambda calculi corresponding to ordinary intuitionistic FOL exist, but are not widely used enough to have a special name

-- people tend to go straight to dependent types.

<https://cstheory.stackexchange.com/questions/5834/classification-of-typed-untyped-lambda-calculi>

Classification of typed / untyped lambda calculus (3)

Pure untyped λ -calculus is Turing complete, i.e., a partial number-theoretic map is computable if, and only if, it is definable in the untyped λ -calculus.

The computational power of typed λ -calculus is much smaller.

For example, if we add a type of natural numbers **nat** to the typed λ -calculus, together with 0, successor, and primitive recursion, we get what is commonly known as Gödel's T.

It computes the primitive recursive functions only (and they are all total).

<https://cstheory.stackexchange.com/questions/5834/classification-of-typed-untyped-lambda-calculi>

Classification of typed / untyped lambda calculus (4)

The **untyped λ -calculus** does not have a reasonable interpretation under the **Curry-Howard correspondence**, while the **typed λ**

– calculus corresponds precisely to **intuitionistic propositional calculus**.

Models of typed λ

– calculus are precisely the cartesian-closed categories.

Models of the untyped λ -calculus are less well-behaved.

While it is possible to talk about them,

they are certainly not studied as widely as cartesian-closed categories.

<https://cstheory.stackexchange.com/questions/5834/classification-of-typed-untyped-lambda-calculi>

Use (1)

A straightforward implementation of Church encoding slows some access operations from $O(1)$ to $O(n)$, where n is the size of the data structure, making Church encoding impractical.

Research has shown that this can be addressed by targeted optimizations, but most functional programming languages instead expand their intermediate representations to contain algebraic data types.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Church_encoding

Use (2)

Nonetheless Church encoding is often used in theoretical arguments, as it is a natural representation for partial evaluation and theorem proving.

Operations can be typed using higher-ranked types, and primitive recursion is easily accessible.

The assumption that functions are the only primitive data types streamlines many proofs.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Church_encoding

Use (3)

Church encoding is **complete** but only representationally.

Additional functions are needed
to translate the **representation** into **common data types**,
for display to people.

It is not possible in general to decide
if two functions are **extensionally equal**
due to the **undecidability** of **equivalence** from **Church's theorem**.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Church_encoding

Use (4)

The **translation** may apply the **function** in some way to **retrieve** the **value** it represents, or **look up** its **value** as a **literal lambda term**.

Lambda calculus is usually interpreted as using **intensional equality**.

There are potential problems with the **interpretation** of results because of the difference between the **intensional** and **extensional definition** of **equality**.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Church_encoding

Church Numerals (1)

Church numerals are the representations of natural numbers under Church encoding.

The higher-order function that represents natural number n is a function that maps any function f to its **n -fold composition**.

In simpler terms, the "value" of the numeral is equivalent to the number of times the function encapsulates its argument.

$$f^{\circ n} = \overbrace{f \circ f \circ \dots \circ f}^{n \text{ times}}$$

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Church_encoding

Church Numerals (2)

Starting with **0** not applying the function at all,
proceed with **1** applying the function once,
2 applying the function twice,
3 applying the function three times, etc.:

$$f^{\circ n} = \overbrace{f \circ f \circ \dots \circ f}^{n \text{ times}}$$

$$n f x = f^{(n)}(x)$$

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Church_encoding

Church Numerals (3)

All Church numerals are functions that take two parameters. (**f** and **x**)

Church numerals 0, 1, 2, ..., are defined in the lambda calculus.

Number	Function definition	Lambda expression
0	$0 f x = f^{(0)}(x) = x$	$\lambda f. \lambda x. x$
1	$1 f x = f^{(1)}(x) = f x$	$\lambda f. \lambda x. f x$
2	$2 f x = f^{(2)}(x) = f (f x)$	$\lambda f. \lambda x. f (f x)$
3	$3 f x = f^{(3)}(x) = f (f (f x))$	$\lambda f. \lambda x. f (f (f x))$
n	$n f x = f^{(n)}(x) = \underbrace{f (f \dots (f x) \dots)}_{n \text{ times}}$	$\lambda f. \lambda x. \underbrace{f (f \dots (f x) \dots)}_{n \text{ times}}$

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Church_encoding

Church Numerals (4)

The Church numeral 3 represents the action of applying any given **function** three times to a **value**.

The supplied **function** **f** is first applied to a supplied **parameter** **x** and then successively to its **own result**.

$$x \rightarrow f\ x \rightarrow f\ (f\ x) \rightarrow f\ (f\ (f\ x))$$

$$\begin{aligned} 3 &= 3\ f\ x \\ &= f\ (f\ (f\ x)) \\ &= f^{(3)}\ (x) \\ &= \lambda f. \lambda x. f\ (f\ (f\ x)) \end{aligned}$$

The **higher-order function** that represents **natural number** **n** is a **function** that maps any **function** **f** to its **n-fold composition**.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Church_encoding

Church Numerals (5)

The **end result** is not the **numeral 3**
unless the **supplied parameter** happens to be **0**
and the function is a **successor function**

The **function itself**,
and not its **end result**,
is the **Church numeral 3**.

The **Church numeral 3** means
simply to do anything three times.

It is an ostensive demonstration of what is meant by "**three times**".

ostensive : directly or clearly demonstrative

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Church_encoding

The **higher-order function** that
represents **natural number n**
is a **function**
that maps any **function f**
to its **n -fold composition**.

Why definition (1)

Church wasn't trying to be *practical*.
He was trying to *prove* results about
the **expressive power** of **lambda calculus** —
that in principle *any possible computation*
can be *done* in **lambda calculus**,
hence **lambda calculus** can serve
as a **theoretical foundation** for the study of **computability**.

For this purpose, it was necessary
to **encode numbers** as **lambda expressions**,
in such a way that things like the **successor** function
are easily definable.

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/41978590/why-the-definition-of-churchs-numerals>

Why definition (2)

This was a key step in showing the **equivalence** of **lambda calculus** and **Gödel's recursive function** theory (which was about **computable functions** on the **natural numbers**).

Church numerals are basically a convenient albeit not very readable encoding of numbers.

In some sense, there isn't any very deep logic to it.

The claim isn't that **1** in its essence is $\lambda f. \lambda x. f x$, but that the latter is a **serviceable encoding** of the former.

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/41978590/why-the-definition-of-churchs-numerals>

Why definition (3)

This doesn't mean that it is an *arbitrary encoding*.

There is a definite logic to it.

The most natural way to encode a number n is by something which involves n .

Church numerals use n function applications.

The natural number n is represented

by the higher order function

which applies a function n times to an input.

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/41978590/why-the-definition-of-churchs-numerals>

Why definition (4)

1 is encoded by a [function applied once](#),
2 by a [function applied twice](#) and so on.

It is a very *natural* encoding,
especially in the context of lambda calculus.

Furthermore, the fact that it is easy
to define *arithmetic* on them
streamlines the *proof*
that **lambda calculus** is equivalent to
[recursive functions](#).

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/41978590/why-the-definition-of-churchs-numerals>

Why definition (5)

To see this in practice, you can run the following **Python3** script:

```
#some Church numerals:
```

```
ZERO    = lambda f: lambda x: x
```

```
ONE     = lambda f: lambda x: f(x)
```

```
TWO     = lambda f: lambda x: f(f(x))
```

```
THREE   = lambda f: lambda x: f(f(f(x)))
```

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/41978590/why-the-definition-of-churchs-numerals>

Why definition (6)

```
# function to apply these numerals to:
def square(x): return x**2

# so ZERO(square), ONE(square), etc. are functions
# apply these to 2 and print the results:

print(ZERO(square)(2), ONE(square)(2),
      TWO(square)(2),THREE(square)(2))
```

Output:

2 4 16 256

Note that these numbers have been obtained by squaring the number two 0 times, 1 times, 2 times, and 3 times respectively.

<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/41978590/why-the-definition-of-churchs-numerals>

```
ZERO = lambda f: lambda x: x
ONE  = lambda f: lambda x: f(x)
TWO  = lambda f: lambda x: f(f(x))
THREE = lambda f: lambda x: f(f(f(x)))
```

Church numeral (1)

Natural numbers are non-negative.

Given a successor function, **next**, which adds one, we can define the natural numbers in terms of **zero** and **next**:

$$1 = (\text{next } 0)$$

$$2 = (\text{next } 1) = (\text{next } (\text{next } 0))$$

$$3 = (\text{next } 2) = (\text{next } (\text{next } (\text{next } 0)))$$

and so on.

<https://www.cs.unc.edu/~stotts/723/Lambda/church.html>

Church numeral (2)

Therefore a number **n** will be that number of **successors** of **zero**.

Just as we adopted the convention **TRUE = first**, and **FALSE = second**, we adopt the following convention:

zero = $\lambda f.\lambda x.x$
one = $\lambda f.\lambda x.(f\ x)$
two = $\lambda f.\lambda x.(f\ (f\ x))$
three = $\lambda f.\lambda x.(f\ (f\ (f\ x)))$
four = $\lambda f.\lambda x.(f\ (f\ (f\ (f\ x))))$

1 = **(next 0)**

2 = **(next 1)** = **(next (next 0))**

3 = **(next 2)** = **(next (next (next 0)))**

f ← **next**

x ← **zero**

<https://www.cs.unc.edu/~stotts/723/Lambda/church.html>

Church numeral (3)

a "unary" representation of the natural numbers,
such that **n** is represented
as **n applications** of the **function f** to the **argument x**.

zero = $\lambda f.\lambda x.x$

one = $\lambda f.\lambda x.(f\ x)$

two = $\lambda f.\lambda x.(f\ (f\ x))$

three = $\lambda f.\lambda x.(f\ (f\ (f\ x)))$

four = $\lambda f.\lambda x.(f\ (f\ (f\ (f\ x))))$

This representation is referred to as
CHURCH NUMERALS.

<https://www.cs.unc.edu/~stotts/723/Lambda/church.html>

Church numeral (3)

We can define the function **next** as follows:

$$\mathbf{next} = \lambda n. \lambda f. \lambda x. (f ((n f) x))$$

and therefore **one** as follows:

$$\mathbf{one} = (\mathbf{next} \text{ zero})$$

$$\Rightarrow (\lambda n. \lambda f. \lambda x. (f ((n f) x)) \text{ zero})$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda f. \lambda x. (f ((\text{zero } f) x))$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda f. \lambda x. (f ((\lambda g. \lambda y. y f) x)) \quad (* \text{ alpha conversion avoids clash } *)$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda f. \lambda x. (f (\lambda y. y x))$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda f. \lambda x. (f x)$$

$$\mathbf{zero} = \lambda f. \lambda x. x$$

$$\mathbf{one} = \lambda f. \lambda x. (f x)$$

$$\mathbf{two} = \lambda f. \lambda x. (f (f x))$$

$$\mathbf{three} = \lambda f. \lambda x. (f (f (f x)))$$

$$\mathbf{four} = \lambda f. \lambda x. (f (f (f (f x))))$$

<https://www.cs.unc.edu/~stotts/723/Lambda/church.html>

Church numeral (4)

and **two** as follows:

```
two = (next one)  
=> ( $\lambda n.\lambda f.\lambda x.(f ((n f) x))$  one)  
=>  $\lambda f.\lambda x.(f ((\mathbf{one} f) x))$   
=>  $\lambda f.\lambda x.(f ((\lambda g.\lambda y.(g y) f) x))$  (* alpha conversion avoids clash *)  
=>  $\lambda f.\lambda x.(f (\lambda y.(f y) x))$   
=>  $\lambda f.\lambda x.(f (f x))$ 
```

```
val next = fn n => fn f => fn x => (f ((n f) x));
```

```
  next =  $\lambda n.\lambda f.\lambda x.(f ((n f) x))$ 
```

```
val next = (f ((n f) x))
```

```
next =  $\lambda n.\lambda f.\lambda x.(f ((n f) x))$ 
```

```
zero =  $\lambda f.\lambda x.x$ 
```

```
one =  $\lambda f.\lambda x.(f x)$ 
```

```
two =  $\lambda f.\lambda x.(f (f x))$ 
```

```
three =  $\lambda f.\lambda x.(f (f (f x)))$ 
```

```
four =  $\lambda f.\lambda x.(f (f (f (f x))))$ 
```

<https://www.cs.unc.edu/~stotts/723/Lambda/church.html>

Church numeral (5-1)

NOTE that $((\mathbf{two} \ g) \ y) = (g \ (g \ y))$.

So if we had some function, say
one that increments n:

$$\mathbf{inc} = \lambda n.(n+1)$$

$$\mathbf{two} = \lambda f.\lambda x.(f \ (f \ x))$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{two} \ g &= (\lambda f.\lambda x.(f \ (f \ x))) \ g \\ &= \lambda x.(g \ (g \ x)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} ((\mathbf{two} \ g) \ y) &= (\lambda x.(g \ (g \ x))) \ y \\ &= (g \ (g \ y)) \end{aligned}$$

<https://www.cs.unc.edu/~stotts/723/Lambda/church.html>

Church numeral (5-2)

then we can get a feel for a Church Numeral as follows:

```
((two inc) 0)
=> ((λf.λx.(f (f x)) inc) 0)
=> (λx.(inc (inc x) 0)
=> (inc (inc 0))
=> (λn.(n+1) (λn.(n+1) 0))
=> (λn.(n+1) (0 + 1))
=> ((0 + 1) + 1)
=> 2
```

two = $\lambda f.\lambda x.(f (f x))$

two g = $(\lambda f.\lambda x.(f (f x))) g$
= $\lambda x.(g (g x))$

((two g) y)
= $(\lambda x.(g (g x))) y$
= $(g (g y))$

inc = $\lambda n.(n+1)$

<https://www.cs.unc.edu/~stotts/723/Lambda/church.html>

Church numeral (6-1)

We are now in a position to define **addition** in terms of next:

```
add = λm.λn.λf.λx.(((m next) n) f) x;
```

```
next = λn.λf.λx.(f ((n f) x))
```

<https://www.cs.unc.edu/~stotts/723/Lambda/church.html>

Church numeral (6-2)

Therefore four may be computed as follows:

```
four = ((add two) two)
      => ((λm.λn.λf.λx.((((m next) n) f) x) two) two)
      => (λn.λf.λx.((((two next) n) f) x) two)
      => λf.λx.((((two next) two) f) x)
      => λf.λx.(((λg.λy.(g (g y)) next) two) f) x)
      => λf.λx.(((λy.(next (next y)) two) f) x)
      => λf.λx.(((next (next two)) f) x)
      => λf.λx.(((next (next (next (next zero)))) f) x)

      => λf.λx.(((next (λn.λf.λx.(f ((n f) x)) two)) f) x)
```

```
add = λm.λn.λf.λx.((((m next) n) f) x);
```

```
next = λn.λf.λx.(f ((n f) x))
```

```
two   = λf.λx.(f (f x))
      = λg.λy.(g (g y))
```

```
two g = (λf.λx.(f (f x))) g
      = λx.(g (g x))
```

```
((two g) y)
      = (λx.(g (g x))) y
      = (g (g y))
```

```
one = (next zero)
```

```
two = (next one)
```

```
      = (next (next zero))
```

<https://www.cs.unc.edu/~stotts/723/Lambda/church.html>

Church numeral (7)

mult = $\lambda m.\lambda n.\lambda x.(m (n x))$

six = $((\mathbf{mult} \text{ two}) \text{ three})$

$\Rightarrow ((\lambda m.\lambda n.\lambda x.(m (n x)) \text{ two}) \text{ three})$

$\Rightarrow (\lambda n.\lambda x.(\text{two} (n x) \text{ three}))$

$\Rightarrow \lambda x.(\text{two} (\text{three } x))$

$\Rightarrow \lambda x.(\text{two} (\lambda g.\lambda y.(g (g (g y))) x))$

$\Rightarrow \lambda x.(\text{two } \lambda y.(x (x (x y))))$

$\Rightarrow \lambda x.(\lambda f.\lambda z.(f (f z)) \lambda y.(x (x (x y))))$

$\Rightarrow \lambda x.\lambda z.(\lambda y.(x (x (x y))) (\lambda y.(x (x (x y))) z))$

$\Rightarrow \lambda x.\lambda z.(\lambda y.(x (x (x y))) (x (x (x z))))$

$\Rightarrow \lambda x.\lambda x.(x (x (x (x (x z))))))$

two = $\lambda f.\lambda x.(f (f x))$

= $\lambda g.\lambda y.(g (g y))$

three = $\lambda f.\lambda x.(f (f (f x)))$

= $\lambda g.\lambda y.(g (g (g y)))$

<https://www.cs.unc.edu/~stotts/723/Lambda/church.html>

Church numeral (8-1)

`power = λm.λn.(m n);`

n^m

`nine = ((power two) three)`

$three^{two}$

`=> ((λm.λn.(m n) two) three)`

`=> (λn.(two n) three)`

`=> (two three)`

`=> (λf.λx.(f (f x)) three)`

`=> λx. (three (three x))`

`=> λx. (three (λg.λy.(g (g (g y))) x))`

`=> λx. (three λy.(x (x (x y))))`

`two = λf.λx.(f (f x))`

`= λg.λy.(g (g y))`

`three = λf.λx.(f (f (f x)))`

`= λg.λy.(g (g (g y)))`

<https://www.cs.unc.edu/~stotts/723/Lambda/church.html>

Church numeral (8-2)

$\Rightarrow \lambda x. (\text{three } \lambda y. (x (x (x y))))$

$\Rightarrow \lambda x. (\lambda g. \lambda z. (g (g (g z))) \lambda y. (x (x (x y))))$

$\Rightarrow \lambda x. \lambda z. (\lambda y. (x (x (x y))) (\lambda y. (x (x (x y))) (\lambda y. (x (x (x y))) z)))$

$\Rightarrow \lambda x. \lambda z. (\lambda y. (x (x (x y))) (\lambda y. (x (x (x y))) (x (x (x z)))))$

$\Rightarrow \lambda x. \lambda z. (\lambda y. (x (x (x y))) (x (x (x (x (x z))))))$

$\Rightarrow \lambda x. \lambda z. (x (x (x (x (x (x (x (x z))))))))$

two = $\lambda f. \lambda x. (f (f x))$
= $\lambda g. \lambda y. (g (g y))$

three = $\lambda f. \lambda x. (f (f (f x)))$
= $\lambda g. \lambda y. (g (g (g y)))$

<https://www.cs.unc.edu/~stotts/723/Lambda/church.html>

Church numeral (9-1)

The following lambda **function tests for zero**:

first = $\lambda x.\lambda y.\lambda z.x$

third = $\lambda x.\lambda y.\lambda z.z$

iszero = $\lambda n.((n \text{ third}) \text{ first})$

= $\lambda n.((n \lambda x.\lambda y.\lambda z.z) \text{ first})$

= $\lambda n.((n \lambda x.\lambda y.\lambda z.z) \lambda x.\lambda y.\lambda z.x)$

<https://www.cs.unc.edu/~stotts/723/Lambda/church.html>

Arithmetic in lambda calculus (1)

the most commonly defined **Church numerals**

$0 := \lambda f. \lambda x. x$

$1 := \lambda f. \lambda x. f x$

$2 := \lambda f. \lambda x. f (f x)$

$3 := \lambda f. \lambda x. f (f (f x))$

using the alternative syntax presented above in Notation:

$0 := \lambda f x. x$

$1 := \lambda f x. f x$

$2 := \lambda f x. f (f x)$

$3 := \lambda f x. f (f (f x))$

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lambda_calculus#Formal_definition

Arithmetic in lambda calculus (2)

A **Church numeral** is a **higher-order function** –
it takes a **single-argument function** **f**,
and returns another **single-argument function**.

The **Church numeral** **n** is a **function**
that takes a **function** **f** as argument
and returns the **n-th composition** of **f**,
i.e. the **function** **f** **composed** with itself **n times**.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lambda_calculus#Formal_definition

Arithmetic in lambda calculus (3)

This is denoted $f^{(n)}$ and is in fact the n -th power of f (considered as an operator);

$f^{(0)}$ is defined to be the identity function.

Such repeated compositions (of a single function f) obey the laws of exponents, which is why these numerals can be used for arithmetic.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lambda_calculus#Formal_definition

Arithmetic in lambda calculus (4)

$0 := \lambda f. \lambda x. x$

$1 := \lambda f. \lambda x. f x$

$2 := \lambda f. \lambda x. f (f x)$

$3 := \lambda f. \lambda x. f (f (f x))$

$f^{(0)}$ is defined to be the identity function.

In Church's original lambda calculus, the **formal parameter** of a lambda expression was required to occur **at least once** in the function body, which made the above definition of 0 impossible.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lambda_calculus#Formal_definition

Arithmetic in lambda calculus (5)

One way of thinking about the **Church numeral n** , which is often useful when analysing programs, is as an **instruction 'repeat n times'**.

For example, using the **PAIR** and **NIL functions** defined below, one can define a **function** that constructs a **(linked) list of n elements** all equal to **x** by **repeating 'prepend another x element' n times**, starting from an **empty list**.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lambda_calculus#Formal_definition

Arithmetic in lambda calculus (6)

The lambda term is

$\lambda n.\lambda x.n$ (PAIR x) NIL

By varying what is being repeated,
and varying what argument that function
being repeated is applied to,
a great many different effects can be achieved.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lambda_calculus#Formal_definition

Arithmetic in lambda calculus (7)

We can define a successor function,
which takes a Church numeral n and returns $n + 1$
by adding another application of f ,
where $(mf)x$ means the function f is applied m times on x :

$$\text{SUCC} := \lambda n. \lambda f. \lambda x. f (n f x)$$

Because the m -th composition of f composed
with the n -th composition of f gives the $m+n$ -th composition of f ,
addition can be defined as follows:

$$\text{PLUS} := \lambda m. \lambda n. \lambda f. \lambda x. m f (n f x)$$

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lambda_calculus#Formal_definition

Arithmetic in lambda calculus (8)

PLUS can be thought of as a function taking two natural numbers as arguments and returning a natural number; it can be verified that

$\text{PLUS } 2 \ 3$ and 5

are β -equivalent lambda expressions.

Since adding m to a number n can be accomplished by adding 1 m times, an alternative definition is:

$\text{PLUS} := \lambda m. \lambda n. m \text{ SUCC } n$ [23]

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lambda_calculus#Formal_definition

Arithmetic in lambda calculus (9)

Similarly, multiplication can be defined as

MULT := $\lambda m.\lambda n.\lambda f.m (n f)$

Alternatively

MULT := $\lambda m.\lambda n.m (\text{PLUS } n) 0$

since multiplying m and n is the same as repeating the add n function m times and then applying it to zero.

Exponentiation has a rather simple rendering in Church numerals, namely

POW := $\lambda b.\lambda e.e b$

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lambda_calculus#Formal_definition

References

- [1] <ftp://ftp.geoinfo.tuwien.ac.at/navratil/HaskellTutorial.pdf>
- [2] <https://www.umiacs.umd.edu/~hal/docs/daume02yaht.pdf>