ELF1 7B PIC Method - ELF Study 1999

Young W. Lim

2020-04-17 Fri

Young W. Lim

ELF1 7B PIC Method - ELF Study 1999

2020-04-17 Fri 1 / 78

Outline

Relocs and memory locations

- TOC
- Overview
- Code and data segments
- ELF Relocations
- Global and local symbol relocs

2 PIC mechanism

- TOC
- Operations in the code
- Operations in the PLT
- OPerations in the GOT

IC's accessing absolute addresses

- TOC
- 1. Global Offset Table Addressing
- 2. Procedure Linkage Table Addressing

- Overview
- Code and data segments
- ELF relocations
- Global and local symbol relocs

linking in the old days

- at compile time, inter-related references are not resolved
- .o files include a reloc object that contains the information on these inter-related references
- at link time, the linker would merge these informations in .o files building a table of where symbols are ultimately located.
- the linker would run through the set of relocs, filling them in

- A reloc consists of three parts:
 - where in memory the fix is to be made
 - the symbol which is involved in the fix
 - an algorithm that the linker should use to create the fixup
- The algorithm can be as simple as R_386_32
 "use the symbol memory location; store it in binary"
- complicated, such as R_ARM_PC26
 "calculate the distance from here to the symbol, divide by 4, subtract 2 and add the result to the 3 lower bytes"

- these relocs are <u>scattered</u> through the .o files, and are used at <u>link time</u> create the correct binary executable file.
- resolving all the relocs is necessary
- in the days of static linking

run-time linking

the designers of the ELF format enabled reloc entites to hold run-time resolution information.

- So now executable files may have relocs in them, even after linking
 - ELF implements run time linking by deferring function resolution until the function is called.

- However, new algorithms are required to inform how these fixups are to be done.
- Hence the introduction of a new family of reloc algorithms

- Binary <u>executables</u> often need certain bits of information *fixed up* before they execute
- ELF binaries carry a list of relocs (relocation table) which describe these *fixups*

• Each reloc contains relocation entry

- the address in the binary that is to get the *fixup* (offset)
- the algorithm to calculate the fixup (type)
- a symbol (string and object length)

• At fixup time,

the algorithm (type) uses the offset & symbol, along with the value (addend) currently in the file, to calculate a new value to be <u>stored</u> into memory.

- One of the characteristics of the ELF binary system is a separation of code and data.
- The code of apps and libraries is marked read-only and executable
- The data is marked read-write, and not-executable.

• The code is read-only

so that multiple processes can share the code,

- the code is loaded into memory only once.
- the code is <u>never modified</u>, and appears <u>identical</u> in each process space.

- Each process has its <u>own page tables</u>, mapping the code into its <u>own memory</u>.
 - therefore the code must be position independent
 - each process can load the code into a different address
- The code segment is allowed to contain constant pointers and strings (.rodata).

- The data segment is read-write and is mapped into each process space differently.
- In Linux, each data segment is loaded from the same base mmap (identical), but it is marked copy-on-write (own copy later)

- after the <u>first write</u>, each process has its <u>own copy</u> of the data. (in its own read-write segment)
- therefore, relocs can only point to the data segment (_identically_)

- an ELF executable consists of a group of code segments followed by a group of data segments
- GOT is located at the <u>beginning</u> of data pages
- regardless of the load address (wherein the address space the program loaded) the offset from the code to the data doesn't change
- J. R. Levine, Linkers and Loaders

- if the code can load its own address into a register, the data will be at a known distance from that address
- references to data in the program's own data segment can use efficient based addressing with fixed offsets
- J. R. Levine, Linkers and Loaders

• the relocs in the data segment are *easy* to be done

- add relative offsets or
- write absolute addresses
- the relocs in the code area are more *difficult*.
 - the ELF reloc design makes the code relocs intact
 - an GOT <u>entry</u> in the data area is to be filled, (Global Offset Table).

- if code needs to refer to a global object, it refers to an entry in the GOT[],
 - at <u>run-time</u>, the <u>GOT</u> <u>entry</u> is *fixed-up* to point to the <u>correct</u> <u>address</u> of the global object.
 - the code space need <u>never</u> be *fixed-up* at <u>run time</u>.

- if the code needs to refer to a local object, it refers to it relative to the &GOT[0];
 - no run-time fixed-up
 - this too is position independent

- References to a function address from an executable file and from the shared objects associated with the file must resolve to the same value.
- References from within shared objects
 - will normally be resolved (by the dynamic linker) to the virtual address of the function itself
- References from within the executable file to a function defined in a shared object
 - will normally be resolved (by the linkage editor) to the <u>address</u> of the <u>PLT entry</u> for that function within the executable file.

http://refspecs.linuxfoundation.org/ELF/zSeries/lzsabi0_zSeries/x2251.html#PROCED

- If the code needs to jump to a subroutine in a different module, the linker creates an array of jump-stubs called the PLT (procedure linkup table)
- these jump-stubs in the PLT jump indirect, using an entry in the GOT[] to implement the far call

- ELF implements run time <u>linking</u> by <u>deferring</u> <u>function</u> resolution until the function is called.
- calls to library functions go through a *fix-up* process just after the first time call is made

- GOT-relative (GOTOFF) code is made relative to the start of the GOT table (O)
- relative to the load address of the module (X)

- global relocs must neccessarily involve the three aspects of a reloc:
 - where in memory the reloc is to be made
 - the symbol involved in the reloc
 - the algorithm used to make the fixup.

- a local symbol can be <u>fixed</u> in memory with respect to a memory "section",
- the object file is allowed to drop the local symbol name, and replace it with a section-plus-offset

	.section .text		
	mov	r0, r0	@sample code
.L2:	call	_do_some	thing
	ldr	r6, .L3	<pre>@this code need a reloc!</pre>
	mov	r0, r0	
.L4:	.word	Lextern	
.L3:	.word	.L2	Othis read-only data needs a reloc

< 4 ₽ > <

3

- the code on the 3rd line (the call) needs to be fixed up, but that's easy, since it's a PC relative fixup.
 - .L2: call _do_something
- If the .o file has no idea where .Lextern is,
 - .L4: .word Lextern

it must neccessarily create a reloc which refers to symbol Lextern

.L4: .word 0 R_ARM_32 Lextern

• the word at .L3 needs a fixup as well.

.L3: .word .L2 @this read-only data needs a reloc

- If the .o file can determine the location of a local symbol, such as L2, then it is allowed to <u>replace</u> the symbol with a <u>section-plus-offset</u>
- The offset is stored in the reloc target address, and the section is an entry in the reloc symbol table

.L3: .word 4 R_ARM_32 .text

• This reduces the number of symbols in the symbol table, making run-time linking easier.

- the R_*_GOTOFF and R_*_GOT32 relocs include
 - R_386_GOTOFF : GOT-relative, local symbol address
 - R_386_GOT32 : GOT-relative, GOT entry address

an <u>offset</u> from &GOT[0], which is usually about halfway through the module.

• The R_ARM_RELATIVE relocs, on the other hand, contains an offset from the beginning of the module. Tradition.

- Operations in the code
- Operations in the PLT
- Operations in the GOT

- Lazy binding and constraints
- THree steps in a far jump
- Operations in the code

• ELF dynamic linking <u>defers</u> the <u>resolution</u> of jump / call <u>addresses</u> until the last minute.

• Constraints:

- should not force a change in the assembly code produced for apps but may cause changes as an assembly code is changed for PIC
- all <u>executable codes</u> must <u>not</u> be <u>modified</u> at run time any <u>modified data</u> must <u>not</u> be <u>executed</u> at run time

- start in the code
- 2 go through the PLT
- using a pointer from the GOT
 - the GOT entries that are used for PLT execution have <u>default</u> addresses initially
 - give control back to the corresponding PLT entry stub
 - consisiting of push and jmp PLT[0] sequence

call function_call_n

- the *relative* jump or call
- the target is a PLT entry PLT[n+1]
 - it is (n+1)-th entry not the n-th entry
 PLT[0] is the special first entry
- call PLT[n+1] : similar to a normal call
- assume *n* is a number

- PLT entry : stub code
- Indirect call through the GOT
- push, jmp PLT[0] sequence
- overriding the default GOT[n+3]
- the special entry PLT[0]
- Summary of steps
- the PLT is a synthetic area, created by the linker
- exists in both executable and libraries
- an array of stubs, one per imported function call
- through PLT[0], the resolver is called at last

```
PLT[n+1]: jmp *GOT[n+3]
push #n ; push n as a argument to the resolver
jmp PLT[0]
```

• a call to PLT[n+1] will result in *indirect call* through GOT[n+3]

- because of three special GOT entries : GOT[0,1,2]
 jmp *GOT[n+3] ; 6-byte long
- initially, the value at GOT[n+3] points back to PLT[n+1]+6
 - the next instruction after the 6 byte instruction jmp *GOT[n+3]
 - push and jmp PLT[0] sequence

PLT[n+1]: jmp *GOT[n+3] ; 6 bytes insturction PLT[n+1]+6: push #n ; push n as a argument to the resolver jmp PLT[0]

http://netwinder.osuosl.org/users/p/patb/public_html/elf_relocs.html

- by the instruction at PLT[n+1]+6, n is pushed onto the stack as an argument for the resolver (push #n)
- consider *n* as an *ID* for the called library function
- the resolver uses the argument *n* on the stack in resolving the symbol *n* (here *n* is treated as a symbol)

PLT[n+1]: jmp *GOT[n+3] ; 6 bytes insturction
PLT[n+1]+6: push #n ; push n as a argument to the resolver
jmp PLT[0]

(4) overriding the default GOT[n+3]

- the resolver is called by the stub at PLT[0]
- the resolver modifies the default value at GOT [n+3] to point the correct target symbol *n*
- overrides PLT[n+1]+6 (the default value at G[n+3])
- thus after the <u>first call</u>, the control is taken directly to the correct target symbol n (function_call_n) instead of executing the push-jump sequence (through

PLT[n+1]: jmp *GOT[n+3] ; 6 bytes insturction PLT[n+1]+6: push #n ; push n as a argument to the resolver jmp PLT[0]

(5) the special entry PLT[0]

• the resolver needs 2 argument

- symbol *n* is already on the stack
- pointer to the relocation table : GOT[1]
- &GOT[1] is added on the stack
- the <u>resolver</u> that is located in ld-linux.so.2 can determine *which library function* is asked for its service using these two arguments on the stack
- GOT [2] : entry point of dynamic linker

PLT[0]: push &GOT[1] jmp GOT[2] ; entry point of dynamic linker

- call PLT[n+1]
- 2. jmp *GOT[n+3]

at the 1st call, jmp PLT[n+1]+6

- push #n
- jmp PLT[0]

- push &GOT[1] (pointer to the reloc table)

- jmp GOT[2] (entry point of dynamic linker)

after the 1st call, jmp n

http://netwinder.osuosl.org/users/p/patb/public_html/elf_relocs.html

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

- Three types of GOT entries
- the three special GOT entries
- the PLT-fixup
- the PLT-fixup vs data-fixup

- the GOT contains *helper pointers* for both PLT fixups and GOT fixups
 - the first 3 entries are special and reserved
 - the next M entries belong to the PLT fixups
 - the next D entries belong to various data fixups
- the GOT is a synthetic area, createdy by the linker exists in both executables and libraries
 - each <u>library</u> and <u>executable</u> gets its own PLT and GOT array

- the special 3 entries in the GOT
- GOT[0] : linked list pointer used by the dynamic linker address of .dynamic section
- GOT[1] : pointer to the reloc table for this module module identification info for the linker
- GOT[2] : pointer to the fixup / resolver code, located in Id-linux.so.2 entry point in dynamic linker

- when the GOT is first set up, all the GOT entries related to <u>PLT fixups</u> are pointing to code back at PLT[0]
- GOT[n+3] are pointing back to PLT[n+1]+6 which eventually jump to PLT[0] to call the resolver

PLT[n+1]: jmp *GOT[n+3] push #n ; push n as a argument to the resolver jmp PLT[0]

M entries belong to the <u>PLT fixups</u>

GOT[3]	indirect function call helpers
GOT[4]	indirect function call helpers
	•••
GOT[3+M-1]	indirect function call helpers,

• D entries belong to various data fixups

GOT[3+M]	indirect pointers to global data references
GOT[3+M+1]	indirect pointers to global data references
GOT[end]	indirect pointers to global data references

- Global Offset Table Addressing
- Process Linkage Table Addressing

- Position independent references to absolute locations
- Loadable object file
- The link editor and the runtime linker
- Separate GOT's
- Address of the dynamic structure
- Memory segment addresses
- _GLOBAL_OFFSET_TABLE_

- PIC cannot, in general, contain absolute virtual addresses
- GOTs hold absolute addresses in private data
- Addresses are therefore available without compromising the position-independence and shareability of a program's text.
- A program references its GOT in a position-independent way and extracts absolute values.
- this technique redirects position-independent references to absolute locations.

- Initially, the GOT holds information as required by its relocation entries.
- After the system creates memory segments for a loadable object file, the runtime linker processes the relocation entries for example, R_386_GLOB_DAT in the entries of GOT

The runtime linker

determines the associated symbol values, calculates their absolute addresses, and sets the appropriate memory table entries to the proper values.

 Although the absolute addresses are unknown when the link-editor creates an object file, the runtime linker knows the addresses of all memory segments and can thus calculate the absolute addresses of the symbols contained therein.

- If a program requires direct access to the absolute address of a symbol, that symbol will have a GOT entry.
- Because the executable file and shared objects have separate global offset tables, a symbol's address can appear in several tables.
- The runtime linker processes all the GOT relocations before giving control to any code in the process image.
- This processing ensures that absolute addresses are available during execution.

- The table's entry zero is reserved to hold the address of the dynamic structure, referenced with the symbol _DYNAMIC.
- This symbol enables a program, such as the runtime linker, to find its own dynamic structure without processing its relocation entries.
- This method is especially important for the runtime linker, because it must <u>initialize</u> itself <u>without</u> <u>relying</u> on other programs to relocate its memory image.

- The system can choose <u>different memory segment addresses</u> for the same <u>shared object</u> in different <u>programs</u>.
- It can even choose <u>different memory segment addresses</u> for different <u>executions</u> of the same program.
- Nonetheless, memory segments do not change addresses once the process image is established.
- As long as a process exists, its memory segments reside at fixed virtual addresses.

- A GOT's format and interpretation are processor-specific
- For SPARC and IA processors, the symbol GLOBAL_OFFSET_TABLE

can be used to access the table.

- this symbol can reside in the middle of the .got section, allowing both negative and nonnegative subscripts into the array of addresses.
- The symbol type is an array of Elf32_Addr for 32-bit code, and an array of Elf64_Addr for64-bit code:

extern Elf32_Addr _GLOBAL_OFFSET_TABLE_[]; extern Elf64_Addr _GLOBAL_OFFSET_TABLE_[];

https://docs.oracle.com/cd/E19683-01/816-1386/chapter6-74186/index.html

56 / 78

- GOT and PLT for absolute locations
- Function calls and link-editor
- Function calls and runtime linker
- Procedure Linkage Table example
- Different addressing modes

- Step 1 the second and third entries in the GOT
- Step 2 the GOT address in %ebx
- Step 3 jump to the corresponding PLT entry
- Step 4 the first instruction of the PLT entry
- Step 5 the seond instruction of the PLT entry
- Step 6 the first entry of the PLT
- Step 7 the actual address of the function
- Step 8 the subsequent function calls
- LD_BIND_NOW

- The GOT converts *position-independent* address calculations to absolute locations.
- The PLT converts *position-independent* function calls to absolute locations.
- Executable files and shared object files have separate GOT's and separate PLT's

- The link-editor <u>cannot</u> resolve <u>execution transfers</u> such as function calls *from* one executable or shared object *to* another
- So, the link-editor <u>arranges</u> to have the program transfer control to entries in the PLT.

- For 32-bit IA dynamic objects, the PLT *resides* in <u>shared</u> <u>text</u> but *uses* addresses in the private GOT.
- The runtime linker determines the absolute addresses of the destinations and modifies the GOT's memory image accordingly.
- The PLT thus redirects the <u>entries</u> without compromising the position-independence and shareability of the program's text.

.PLT0:		.PLTO:	
pushl	got_plus_4	pushl	4(%ebx)
jmp	*got_plus_8	jmp	*8(%ebx)
nop;	nop	nop;	nop
nop;	nop	nop;	nop
.PLT1:		.PLT1:	
jmp	<pre>*name1_in_GOT</pre>	jmp	*name1@GOT(%ebx)
pushl	<pre>\$offset</pre>	pushl	<pre>\$offset</pre>
jmp	.PLTO@PC	jmp	.PLTO@PC
.PLT2:		.PLT2:	
jmp	<pre>*name2_in_GOT</pre>	jmp	*name2@GOT(%ebx)
pushl	<pre>\$offset</pre>	pushl	<pre>\$offset</pre>
jmp	.PLTO@PC	jmp	.PLTO@PC

-- Absolute code

-- Position independent code

https://docs.oracle.com/cd/E19683-01/816-1386/chapter6-1235/index.html

47 ▶

- the PLT instructions use <u>different</u> operand addressing modes for
 - absolute code
 - position-independent code.

	got_plus_4	4(%ebx)		
	*got_plus_8	*8(%ebx)		
	<pre>*name1_in_GOT</pre>	*name1@GG)T(%ebx)	
	<pre>*name2_in_GOT</pre>	*name2@GG)T(%ebx)	
-	Absolute code	 Position	independent	code

• Nonetheless, their interfaces to the runtime linker are the same.

Step 1 - the second and third entries in the GOT

- the runtime linker and program cooperate to resolve the symbolic references through the PLT and the GOT
- When first creating the memory image of the program, the runtime linker sets the 2nd and 3rd entries in the GOT to special values.
 - the scond GOT entry : identifying information
 - the third GOT entry : jump to the runtime linker
 - got_plus_4 4(%ebx) got_plus_8 8(%ebx) -- Absolute code -- Position independent code

Step 2 - the GOT address in %ebx

- If the PLT is <u>position-independent</u>, the address of the GOT must be in %ebx.
- each shared object file in the process image has its own PLT
- <u>control</u> transfers to a PLT <u>entry</u> only from within *the same* object file
- So, the <u>calling function</u> must <u>set</u> the GOT <u>base register</u> <u>%ebx</u> before it calls the PLT entry

- for example, the program calls name1, which transfers control to the label .PLT1.
 - R_386_PLT32 reloc in . o file has been resolved by PC-relative, PLT entry address from the symbol reference (function call)
 - R_386_JMP_SLOT reloc in . so file has a entry in GOT and the the runtime linker will fill it with the target address

.PLT1:		.PLT1:	
jmp	<pre>*name1_in_GOT</pre>	jmp	<pre>*name1@GOT(%ebx)</pre>
pushl	<pre>\$offset</pre>	pushl	<pre>\$offset</pre>
jmp	.PLTO@PC	jmp	.PLTO@PC

Step 4 - the first instruction of the PLT entry

- The first instruction (jmp *name1@GOT(%ebx)) jumps to the address in the GOT entry for name1.
- Initially, the GOT entry holds the address of the following instruction of jmp
 - the address of the 2nd instruction of the PLT entry (pushl \$offset)
 - not the real address of name1.

.PLT1:		.PLT1:	
jmp	<pre>*name1_in_GOT</pre>	jmp	<pre>*name1@GOT(%ebx)</pre>
pushl	<pre>\$offset</pre>	pushl	<pre>\$offset</pre>
jmp	.PLTO@PC	jmp	.PLTO@PC

https://docs.oracle.com/cd/E19683-01/816-1386/chapter6-1235/index.html

2020-04-17 Fri 67 / 78

Step 5 - the remaining instructions of the PLT entry

- The program pushes the offset of a relocation entry on the stack. a 32-bit, nonnegative byte offset in the relocation table
- The designated relocation entry has
 - R_386_JMP_SLOT relocation type
 - relocation offset specifies the GOT entry for name1 used in the previous instruction jmp *name1@GOT(%ebx)
 - a symbol table index, which the runtime linker uses to get the referenced symbol, name1.
- After pushing the relocation offset, the jmp .PLTO@PC instruction jumps to .PLTO, the first entry in the PLT.

pushl	<pre>\$offset</pre>	pushl	<pre>\$offset</pre>
jmp	.PLTO@PC	jmp	.PLTO@PC

https://docs.oracle.com/cd/E19683-01/816-1386/chapter6-1235/index.html

2020-04-17 Fri 68 / 78

- The push1 4(%ebx) instruction pushes the address of the second GOT entry on the stack, giving the runtime linker one word of identifying information
- then jmp * 8(%ebx) instruction jumps to the address in the third GOT entry, to jump to the runtime linker

pushl	got_plus_4	pushl	4(%ebx)
jmp	*got_plus_8	jmp	*8(%ebx)

• The runtime linker unwinds the stack,

- checks the designated relocation entry
 - relocation type R_386_JMP_SLOT
 - relocation offset *name1@GOT(%ebx)
 - symbol table index
- gets the symbol's value
- stores the actual address of name1 in its GOT entry,
- jumps to the destination (the actual address of name1)

Step 8 - the subsequent function calls

- Subsequent executions of the PLT entry transfer directly to name1, <u>without</u> calling the runtime linker again (the address in the third GOT entry)
- The jmp instruction at .PLT1 jumps to name1 instead of falling through to the pushl instruction.

.PLT1:		.PLT1:	
jmp	<pre>*name1_in_GOT</pre>	jmp	<pre>*name1@GOT(%ebx)</pre>
pushl	<pre>\$offset</pre>	pushl	<pre>\$offset</pre>
jmp	.PLTO@PC	jmp	.PLTO@PC

*name1_in_GOT *name1@GOT(%ebx)
initially contains the address of the next pushl instruction
then is modified to have the address of the called function

```
• .PLT1 (the PLT entry for name1)
```

.PLT1:		.PLT1:		
jmp	<pre>*name1_in_GOT</pre>	jmp	1P	<pre>*name1@GOT(%ebx)</pre>
pushl	<pre>\$offset</pre>	pushl	\$	offset
jmp	.PLTO@PC	jmp		PLTO@PC

• .PLT0 (the first PLT entry)

.PLTO:	.PLTO:		
pushl	got_plus_4	pushl	4(%ebx)
jmp	*got_plus_8	jmp	*8(%ebx)

• runtime linker jumps to the address of name1
• .PLT1

.PLT1: .PLT1: jmp *name1_in_GOT jmp lP *name1@GOT(%ebx)

• directly jump to name1

- The LD_BIND_NOW environment variable changes dynamic linking behavior.
- If its value is non-null, the runtime linker processes R_386_JMP_SLOT relocation entries (PLT entries) before transferring control to the program.

- relocation entries for code are placed in .rel.text
- relocation entries for initialized data are in .rel.data
- typedef struct {
 int offset;
 int symbol:24,
 type:8;
 - } Elf32_Rel;
 - The relocation entry for the PLT example has
 - relocation offset specifies the GOT entry for name1 used in the previous instruction jmp *name1@GOT(%ebx)
 - symbol table index, which the runtime linker uses to get the referenced symbol, name1.
 - relocation type R_386_JMP_SLOT

https://people.cs.pitt.edu/~xianeizhang/notes/Linking.html#reloc

• Offset in a PLT entry

- the pushed offset $soffset = 8n = sizeof(Elf32_Rel) * n$
 - the offset of a relocation entry in the relocation table
- the jump offset var@GOT(%ebx)
 - The symbol term (reference) is replaced with offset from the start of the GOT to the GOT slot for the symbol

.PLT1:		.PLT1:	
jmp	<pre>*name1_in_GOT</pre>	jmp	<pre>*name1@GOT(%ebx)</pre>
pushl	<pre>\$offset</pre>	pushl	<pre>\$offset</pre>
jmp	.PLTO@PC	jmp	.PLTO@PC

- relocation entries for a PLT are placed in .rel.plt
- Relocation offset of R_386_JMP_SLOT type relocation specifies the GOT entry for a given function (.got.plt)
- Its offset member gives the location of a GOT entry
- The runtime linker modifies the *GOT entry* to transfer control to the designated symbol address.

https://docs.oracle.com/cd/E19683-01/816-1386/chapter6-1235/index.html

э

3

• • • • • • • • • • •